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Abstract: The formula for the expectation of the product of four scalar real Gaussian random variables is generalized to matrix-valued (real or complex) Gaussian random variables. As an application of the extended formula, we present a simple derivation of the covariance matrix of instrumental variable (IV) estimates of parameters in multivariate linear regression models.
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The expectation of the product of four real scalar randor variables $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$, $i=1, \ldots, 4$, which are jointly Gaussian distributed can be simply expressed in terms of first- and second-order moments (E denotes expectation) (see e.g. [1],[2])

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right) & =E\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) E\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)+E\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right) E\left(x_{2} x_{4}\right)+ \\
& +E\left(x_{1} x_{4}\right) E\left(x_{2} x_{3}\right)-2 E\left(x_{1}\right) E\left(x_{2}\right) E\left(x_{3}\right) E\left(x_{4}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

This relationship plays an important role in determining the (asynptotic) variances and covariances of the estimates of correlation and spectral density functions of stationary stochastic processes ([3]-[6]), as well as of several parameter estimates (see e.g. [3], [7], [13]). In this note formula (1.1) is generalized to matrix-valued real or complex random variables which are Gaussian distributed. Using the Kronecker product notation, the generalized formula is expressed in a compact form (section 2).
As an application of the extended formula, we derive (in section 3) the asymptotic covariance matrix of IV estimates of parameters in multivariate linear regression models. The extended formula may find other applications in multivariate analysis and system identification.

## 2 The main results

In order to state our main result we first need to introduce some definitions (see e.g. [8], [13]):

Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be ( $\left.m^{*} n\right)$ and ( $\left.p^{*} r\right)$ matrices, respectively. The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ is defined by

Denote the vector having "1" at the s-th position and zero elsewhere by $e_{s}$. The dimension of $e_{s}$ will be clear from the context.

Finally, we introduce the "vec" operation on a matrix, consisting of stacking the columns of a matrix on top of each other. If $A$ is a $n^{\star_{n}}$ matrix with columns denoted by $A_{*_{1}}, A_{*_{2}}, \ldots, A_{*_{n}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Vec}(A):=\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{*_{1}}  \tag{2.2}\\
A_{*_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
A_{\star_{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We can now state a generalization of (1.1) for matrix-valued real Gaussian random variables.

Theorem 1:
Let $A, B, C, D$ be matrices of dimension ( $\left.p^{*} q\right),\left(q^{*} r\right),\left(r^{*} s\right)$ and ( $\left.s^{*} t\right)$. Assume that the entries of these matrices are real randon variables which (jointly) have a multivariate Gaussian distribution. Then the following result holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
E\{A B C D\} & =E\{A B\} \cdot E\{C D\}+\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left\{E\left\{e_{k}^{T} C \otimes A\right\}\right] \cdot\left[E\left\{D \otimes B e_{k}\right\}\right] \\
& +E\{A[E\{B C\}] D\}-2 E\{A\} E\{B\} E\{C\} E\{D\}
\end{align*}
$$

An alternative expression for the second term in the r.h.s. of (2.3a) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{q}} \sum_{m=1}^{\mathrm{S}}\left[E\left\{A e_{\ell} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{T}} c^{T}\right\}\right]\left[E\left\{\mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}} e_{\ell} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{T}} \downarrow\right\}\right] \tag{2.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=1$ the expression (2.3a) can be simplified to:

$$
\begin{align*}
E\{A B C D\} & =E\{A B\} \cdot E\{C D\}+E\{C \otimes A\} E\{D \otimes B\}+E\{A[E\{B C\}] D\} \\
& -2 E\{A\} E\{B\} E\{C\} E\{D\} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof:

For ease of reference we first state the following results which can be readily verified (see e.g. [8],[13]):

Let $X, Z, Y$ be matrices of dimensions ( $m^{*} n$ ), ( $n^{*} p$ ) and ( $p^{*} r$ ) respectively; then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (X \otimes Y)^{T}=\left(X^{T} \otimes Y^{T}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \operatorname{Vec}(X Z Y)=\left(Y^{T} \otimes X\right) \text { Vec } Z \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we note that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& (E\{A B C D\})_{i j}=E e_{i}^{T} A B C D e_{j}=E \sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{i}^{T} A B e_{k} e_{k}^{T} C D e_{j} \\
& =E\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{q} e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell} e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{m=1}^{S} e_{k}^{T} C e_{m} e_{m}^{T} D e_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \sum_{m=1}^{S} E\left\{e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell} e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k} e_{k}^{T} C e_{m} e_{m}^{T D} e_{j}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the formula (1.1) we thus obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (E\{A B C D\})_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \sum_{m=1}^{s}\left\{E\left(e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell} e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k}\right) E\left(e_{k}^{T} C e_{m} e_{m}^{T} D e_{j}\right)+\right. \\
& +E\left(e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell} e_{k}^{T} C e_{m}\right) E\left(e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k} e_{m}^{T} D e_{j}\right)+E\left(e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell} e_{m}^{T} D e_{j}\right) E\left(e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k} e_{k}^{T} C e_{m}\right) \\
& \left.-2 E\left(e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell}\right) E\left(e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k}\right) E\left(e_{k}^{T} C e_{m}\right) E\left(e_{m}^{T} D e_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& =e_{i}^{T}\left\{\underset{k}{\sum}\left[E\left(\sum_{\ell} A e_{\ell} e_{\ell}^{T} B\right)\right] e_{k} e_{k}^{T}\left[E\left(\sum_{m} C e_{m} e_{m}^{T} D\right)\right]\right\} e_{j} \\
& +\underset{k}{\sum} \sum_{\ell} \quad \sum_{m} E\left\{\left(e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell}\right)\left(e_{k}^{T} C e_{m}\right)\right\} \quad E\left\{\left(e_{k}^{T} B^{T} e_{\ell}\right)\left(e_{j}^{T} D^{T} e_{m}\right)\right\} \\
& +\underset{\ell}{\sum} \underset{m}{\sum} E\left\{\left(e_{i}^{T} A e_{\ell}\right)\left(\sum E\left[e_{\ell}^{T} B e_{k} e_{k}^{T} C e_{m}\right]\right)\left(e_{m}^{T} D e_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& -2 e_{i}^{T}\left\{\sum\left[\sum\left(E\{A\} e_{\ell} e_{\ell}^{T} E\{B\}\right)\right] \quad e_{k} e_{k}^{T}\left[\sum\left(E\{C\} e_{m} e_{m}^{T} E\{D\}\right)\right]\right\} e_{j} \\
& =A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It can easily be verified that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=e_{i}^{T P}[E\{A B\} \cdot E\{C D\}] e_{j}  \tag{2.9}\\
& A_{2}=e_{i}^{T} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \sum_{m=1}^{S}\left[E\left\{A e_{\ell} e_{m}^{T} C^{T}\right\}\right]\left[E\left\{B^{T} e_{\ell} e_{m}^{T} D\right\}\right] e_{j} \\
& A_{3}=e_{i}^{T} E\{A[E\{B C\}] D\} e_{j}  \tag{2.11}\\
& A_{4}=-2 e_{i}^{T}\{E\{A\} E\{B\} E\{C\} E\{D\}] e_{j} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

An alternative expression for $A_{2}$ can be obtained as follows. Using the fact that for ( $\mathrm{q}^{*} \mathrm{~S}$ ) matrices $R$ and $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{q}} \sum_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{R}_{\ell \mathrm{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{T} \ell}=[\operatorname{Vec}(\mathrm{R})]^{\mathrm{T}}[\operatorname{Vec}(\mathrm{~T})] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\sum_{k}\left[\operatorname{Vec}\left(E\left\{\left(e_{i}^{\Gamma} A\right)^{T} e_{k}^{T} C\right\}\right)\right]^{T}\left[\operatorname{Vec}\left(E\left\{\left(e_{k}^{T} B^{T}\right)^{T} e_{j}^{T} D^{T}\right\}\right)\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation $(2.6)$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vec}\left[\left(e_{i}^{T} A\right)^{T} e_{k}^{T} C\right]=\operatorname{Vec}\left(A^{T} e_{i} e_{k}^{T} C\right)=\left(C^{T} e_{k} \otimes A^{T}\right) \operatorname{Vec}\left(e_{i}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vec}\left[\left(e_{k}^{T} B^{T}\right)^{T} e_{j}^{T} D^{T}\right]=\operatorname{Vec}\left(B e_{k} e_{j}^{T} D^{T}\right)=\left(D \otimes \operatorname{Be}{ }_{k}\right) \operatorname{Vec}\left(e_{j}^{T}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (2.15) and (2.16) into (2.14) we obtain, by using (2.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=e_{i}^{T}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left[E\left\{e_{k}^{T} C \otimes A\right\}\right] \cdot\left[E\left\{D \otimes B e_{k}\right\}\right]\right\} e_{j} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof of $(2.3 a, b)$ is concluded. If $r=1$ then $e_{k}^{T} C=C$ and $B e_{k}=B$, and the expression (2.4) follows froin (2.3a). Thus the proof is finished.

Theorem 1 provides a compact expression for the expectation of the product of four real matrix-valued random variables having a Gaussian distribution. An application of this result is presented in the next section. Another application is presented in the following.

In what follows, let us relax the assumption that the matrix random variables $A, B, C$ and $D$ are real-valued. In other words, $A, B, C, D$ may consist of elements which are complex-valued variables. The assumption of Gaussianity is maintained. This means that the real and imaginary parts of the entries of $A, B, C, D$ are assumed to be jointly Gaussian distributed. Under these conditions we claim that the formulas of theorem 1 continue to hold for complex A,B,C,D matrices. To prove this claim it would clearly be necessary and sufficient to show that the scalar formula (1.1) holds for (scalar) complex Gaussian random variables as well. This is shown in the next lemma:

Lemma 1:
Let the complex scalar-valued random variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ be jointily Gaussian distributed (that is to say, their real and imaginary parts are joint Gaussian random variables). Then the formula (1.1) applies.

Proof: It should, in principle, be possible to prove the assertion of the lemma by making use of formula (1.1) for real variables. However, the calculations involved appear to be very tedious. A much simpler proof can be obtained by using theorem 1. Let the real and imaginary parts of a variable $x$ be denoted by $\bar{x}$ and $\tilde{x}$, respectively. To each variable $x$ we associate the real-valued matrix

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{x} & -\tilde{x}  \tag{2.18}\\
\tilde{x} & \bar{x}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We denote this association by the symbol "~":

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \sim x \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can easily be verified that for two complex variables $x$ and $y$,

$$
X Y=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{x y} & -\widetilde{x y}  \tag{2.20}\\
\widetilde{x y} & \overline{x y}
\end{array}\right]=Y X
$$

In other words, the matrix $X Y$ ( or $Y X$ ) is associated with the variable xy.

Using formula 2.3 (with expression (2.3b) for the second term), we can write (let $X_{i}$ denote the matrix (2.18) associated with $x_{i}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{X}_{4}\right\}=\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}+\mathrm{T}_{4} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}=\left(E X_{1} X_{2}\right)\left(E X_{3} X_{4}\right) \sim\left(E x_{1} X_{2}\right)\left(E x_{3} x_{4}\right)  \tag{2.22}\\
& T_{2}=\left(E\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x}_{1} \\
\tilde{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x}_{3} & \tilde{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right) \quad\left(E [ \begin{array} { l } 
{ \overline { x } _ { 2 } } \\
{ \tilde { x } _ { 2 } }
\end{array} ] \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x}_{4} & \left.\left.-\tilde{x}_{4}\right]\right)+ \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(E\left[\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{x}_{1} \\
\bar{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x}_{3} & \tilde{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right)\left(E\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{x}_{2} \\
\bar{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x}_{4} & -\tilde{x}_{4}
\end{array}\right]\right)+ \\
& +\left(E [ \begin{array} { c } 
{ \tilde { x } _ { 1 } } \\
{ \overline { x } _ { 1 } }
\end{array} ] \left[\begin{array}{ll}
-\tilde{x}_{3} & \left.\left.\bar{x}_{3}\right]\right)\left(E\left[\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{x}_{2} \\
\bar{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{x}_{4} & \left.\left.\bar{x}_{4}\right]\right)
\end{array}\right),{ }^{2}\right]
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(E\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{x}_{1} \\
\tilde{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x}_{3} & -\tilde{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right)\left(E\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{x}_{2} \\
\bar{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{x}_{4} & \bar{x}_{4}
\end{array}\right]\right)+ \\
& +\left(E\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{x}_{1} \\
\bar{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{x}_{3} & \bar{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right)\left(E\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x}_{2} \\
\tilde{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x}_{4} & -\tilde{x}_{4}
\end{array}\right]\right)+ \\
& +\left(E\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{x}_{1} \\
\bar{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{x}_{3} & \bar{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right)\left(E [ \begin{array} { l } 
{ \tilde { x } _ { 2 } } \\
{ \overline { x } _ { 2 } }
\end{array} ] \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{x}_{4} & \left.\left.\bar{x}_{4}\right]\right)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& =E\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{x}_{1} & -\tilde{x}_{1} \\
\tilde{x}_{1} & \bar{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{x}_{3} & -\tilde{x}_{3} \\
\tilde{x}_{3} & \bar{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right] \cdot E\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\vec{x}_{2} & -\tilde{x}_{2} \\
\tilde{x}_{2} & \bar{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\vec{x}_{4} & -\tilde{x}_{4} \\
\tilde{x}_{4} & \bar{x}_{4}
\end{array}\right]= \\
& =\left(E X_{1} X_{3}\right)\left(E X_{2} X_{4}\right) \sim\left(E x_{1} X_{3}\right)\left(E X_{2} X_{4}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{3}=E\left\{X_{1}\left[E\left\{X_{2} X_{3}\right\}\right] X_{4}\right\}=\left(E X_{1} X_{4}\right)\left(E X_{2} X_{3}\right) \sim\left(E x_{1} x_{4}\right)\left(E x_{2} x_{3}\right)  \tag{2.24}\\
& T_{4}=-2\left(E X_{1}\right)\left(E X_{2}\right)\left(E X_{3}\right)\left(E X_{4}\right) \sim-2\left(E x_{1}\right)\left(E x_{2}\right)\left(E x_{3}\right)\left(E x_{4}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $E\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} X_{4}\right) \sim E\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right)$, the proof is completed.

We were unable to locate a reference containing the result of lemma 1. only a special case of this result, which holds under a certain restriction on the Gaussian distributions of $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ (see [9]-[11]), appears to be known (see e.g. [12]).

## 3. An application

Consider the following multivariate linear regression equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\Phi^{T}(t) \theta^{*}+v(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y(t)$ is the $n_{y} x 1$ output vector; $\theta * \epsilon R^{n}{ }^{n}$ denotes the unknown parameter vector; $\Phi(t)$ is the ( $n_{\theta} x n_{y}$ ) regressor matrix which may contain delayed values of $y(t)$, and $v(t)$ is an $n_{y}$-dimensional disturbance term. We assume that the entries of $\Phi(t)$ and $v(t)$ are real stationary stochastic processes and that $E v(t)=0$. A fairly large class of systems (for example, noisy weighting function and difference equation systems) can be represented in the form (3.1) (see e.g. [7], [13]).
Let the unknown parameter vector $\theta^{*}$ be estimated by the Instrumental Variable method (IV), (see [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{N}:=\left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} z(t) \Phi^{T}(t)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{N} z(t) y(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z(t)$ is an IV-matrix of dimension $n_{\theta} x n_{y}$, whose entries are real stationary stochastic processes and which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E z(T) \Phi^{T}(t) \text { is non-singular } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
E Z_{i j}(t) v_{k}(s)=0 & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n_{\theta} ; 1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant n_{y}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \text { for all } s \geqslant t \quad(o r s \leqslant t)
\end{array}
$$

The asymptotic (for large $N$ ) behaviour of $\hat{\theta}_{N}$ can, under the assumptions stated, be established as follows. From (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{ } N\left(\hat{\theta}_{N}-\theta^{*}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} Z(t) \Phi^{T}(t)\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{t=1}^{N} Z(t) v(t)\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} z(t) \Phi^{T}(t) \rightarrow R:=E z(t) \Phi_{N+\infty}^{T}(t) \quad(w p 1) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that (see appendix 4 in [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{N}\left(\hat{\theta}_{N}-\theta^{*}\right) \xrightarrow[N+\infty]{\text { dist }} N\left(0, R^{-1} P R^{-T}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N} z(t) v(t) v^{T}(s) z^{T}(s)\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i.e. $\sqrt{ } N\left(\hat{\theta}_{N}-\theta^{*}\right)$ converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with zero mean and variance $R^{-1} P R^{-T}$ ).
An explicit expression for $P$ (and hence for the asymptotic covariance matrix of the IV estimator (3.21), can be found in [7], [13]. Our purpose here is to make use of theorem 1 to provide a simple derivation of that expression. In doing so we have to impose the Gaussianity assumption on the stochastic processes involved.

Using theoren 1 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& E Z(t) v(t) v^{T}(s) Z^{T}(s)=E\{Z(t) v(t)\} E\left\{v^{T}(s) z^{T}(s)\right\}+ \\
& +E\left\{v^{T}(s) O Z(t)\right\} E\left\{z^{T}(s) \otimes v(t)\right\}+E\left\{z(t) E\left[v(t) v^{T}(s)\right] z^{T}(s)\right\} \\
& -2 E\{Z(t)\} E\{v(t)\} E\left\{v^{T}(s)\right\} E\left\{z^{T}(s)\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to (3.4) and since $E\{v(t)\}=0$, expression (3.9) simplifies to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{z(t) v(t) v^{T}(s) z^{T}(s)\right\}=E\left\{Z(t) E\left[v(t) v^{T}(s)\right] z^{T}(s)\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{v}(\tau):=E v(t+\tau) v^{T}(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inserting (3.10) into (3.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau=-N}^{N}(N-|\tau|) E\left\{Z(t+\tau) R_{v}(\tau) Z^{T}(t)\right\}= \\
& =\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{+\infty} E\left\{Z(t+\tau) R_{v}(\tau) Z^{T}(t)\right\} \\
& -\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau=-N}^{N}|\tau| E\left\{Z(t+\tau) R_{v}(\tau) Z^{T}(t)\right\} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the stationarity condition imposed on $Z(t)$ and $v(t)$, the limit of the second term in (3.12) can easily be shown to be zero. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{+\infty} E\left\{z(t+\tau) R_{v}(\tau) z^{T}(t)\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is exactly the expression for $P$ derived in [7], [13] via a different technique. Inserting (3.13) into $R^{-1} P R^{T}$ we obtain an expression for the asymptotic covariance matrix of the IV estimator $\hat{e}_{N}$.
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