

## ON THE EXTENSIONS TO THE BIDUAL OF A MAXIMAL MONOTONE OPERATOR

JEAN-PIERRE GOSSEZ

**ABSTRACT.** An example is given which shows that a maximal monotone operator from a Banach space  $X$  to its dual  $X^*$  may have several extensions into a maximal monotone operator from  $X^{**}$  to  $X^*$ .

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a real Banach space with dual  $X^*$  and let  $T: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  be a maximal monotone operator. Identifying as usual  $X$  to a subspace of  $X^{**}$ , we look at  $T$  as a monotone operator from  $X^{**}$  to  $2^{X^*}$ ; by Zorn's lemma, this operator can be extended into a maximal monotone operator from  $X^{**}$  to  $2^{X^*}$ . We are interested here in the question whether this extension is *unique*.

There are a number of cases where it is so.

Denote by  $\bar{T}: X^{**} \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  the (monotone) operator whose graph is the closure of the graph of  $T$  with respect to the weakest topology on  $X^{**} \times X^*$  which is stronger than  $\sigma(X^{**}, X^*) \times \sigma(X^*, X^{**})$  and such that  $(x^{**}, x^*) \rightarrow \langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle$  is upper semicontinuous. Since any maximal monotone extension of  $T$  to  $X^{**}$  contains  $\bar{T}$ , we see that if  $\bar{T}$  is maximal monotone, then  $T$  has a unique maximal monotone extension to  $X^{**}$ . An operator  $T$  such that  $\bar{T}$  is maximal monotone is called *of dense type* (a terminology slightly different from that of [2]). This kind of condition arises in the study of monotone operators in nonreflexive Banach spaces (cf. [2], [1], [7]). It is known, for instance, that the subdifferential of a convex function or the monotone operator associated with a saddle function are of dense type (cf. [6], [2], [5], [4]).

On the other hand, there are maximal monotone operators which are not of dense type but which have a unique maximal monotone extension to the bidual (cf. the example in [3]: the uniqueness assertion is contained in Proposition 1 of [3] and the fact that the operator considered there is not of dense type follows easily from relation (1) of [3]).

It is our purpose in this note to construct a maximal monotone operator which admits several (actually an infinity) maximal monotone extensions to the bidual. Our construction is based on a refinement of the method used in [3].

---

Received by the editors February 5, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47H05; Secondary 46B10, 35J60.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

**Example.** Let  $A: l^1 \rightarrow l^\infty$  be the bounded linear operator defined by

$$(1) \quad (Ax)(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x(m)K(m, n)$$

for  $x = (x(1), x(2), \dots) \in l^1$ , where the infinite matrix  $K$  is constructed in the following way: take a bounded sequence  $(r_1, r_2, \dots)$  of real numbers in which each number  $-n^{-2}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) appears infinitely many times and write

$$(2) \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots \\ -r_1 & 0 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots \\ -r_2 & -r_2 & 0 & r_3 & \cdots \\ -r_3 & -r_3 & -r_3 & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}.$$

The corresponding operator  $A$  is then antisymmetric (i.e.  $A \subset -A^*$ ) and thus (maximal) monotone.

**PROPOSITION.** *There are infinitely many maximal monotone operators  $B: (l^\infty)^* \rightarrow 2^{l^\infty}$  which extend  $A$ .*

Let us say that two points  $(x^{**}, x^*)$  and  $(y^{**}, y^*)$  in  $X^{**} \times X^*$  are monotonely related if  $\langle x^{**} - y^{**}, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0$  and that a point  $(x^{**}, x^*)$  is monotonely related to a subset of  $X^{**} \times X^*$  if it is monotonely related to each point of this subset. Clearly, by Zorn's lemma, the proposition will be proved if we exhibit an infinite number of points in  $(l^\infty)^* \times l^\infty$  such that each of them is monotonely related to the graph of  $A$  but any two of them are not monotonely related.

**LEMMA 1** (cf. [3]). *Let  $A: X \rightarrow X^*$  be a bounded linear antisymmetric operator. Then  $(x^{**}, x^*)$  is monotonely related to the graph of  $A$  if and only if  $x^* = -A^*x^{**}$  and  $\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle \geq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(x^{**}, x^*)$  verify  $\langle x^{**} - y, x^* - Ay \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $y \in X$ . Then

$$\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle \geq \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle x^{**}, Ay \rangle$$

for all  $y \in X$ , which implies  $\langle x^{**}, x^* \rangle \geq 0$  and  $x^* = -A^*x^{**}$ . The converse implication is proved by direct calculation. Q.E.D.

Let  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  denote the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$ ; then  $l^\infty$  can be identified to the space  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  of the continuous real-valued functions on  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  and  $(l^\infty)^*$  to the space  $\mathfrak{M}(\beta\mathbb{N})$  of the Radon measures on  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . Given a bounded infinite matrix  $K$ , we consider for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the function  $K(m, \cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  and extend it continuously on  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ ; let  $K(m, a)$  denote the value of this extension at  $a \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ . Then we consider for  $a \in \beta\mathbb{N}$  the function  $K(\cdot, a)$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  and extend it continuously on  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ ; let  $K(b, a)$  denote the value of this

extension at  $b \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ . If  $K$  is antisymmetric, then the extended matrix  $K(b, a)$  verifies  $K(m, a) = -K(a, m)$  for  $a \in \beta\mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , but is generally not antisymmetric on  $\beta\mathbb{N} \times \beta\mathbb{N}$ , as is illustrated by the following simple example (cf. [3]):

$$(3) \quad K(m, n) = 0 \text{ if } m = n, \quad -1 \text{ if } n > m, \quad +1 \text{ if } n < m;$$

this example also gives some feeling for formula (5) below.

We will assume below that

$$(4) \quad \text{for any } a \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, K(m, a) \text{ converges as } m \rightarrow \infty;$$

this means that for any  $a \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K(b, a)$  as a function of  $b$  is constant on  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . This condition is satisfied by the matrices (2) and (3).

LEMMA 2. Let  $A: l^1 \rightarrow l^\infty$  be a bounded linear antisymmetric operator with an associated matrix  $K$  satisfying (4). Then

$$(5) \quad \langle \mu, -A^* \mu \rangle = -\mu(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \cdot \int_{\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}} K(b, a) d\mu(a)$$

for all  $\mu \in M(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , where  $b$  in the right-hand side is arbitrary in  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ .

PROOF. First we deduce from (1) that

$$(6) \quad (Ax)(a) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} x(m)K(m, a)$$

for  $x \in l^1$  and  $a \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, if  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is a generalized sequence converging to  $a$ , then  $K(\cdot, n_i)$  remains bounded in  $l^\infty$  and converges componentwise to  $K(\cdot, a)$ ; consequently  $K(\cdot, n_i)$  converges to  $K(\cdot, a)$  in  $l^\infty, \sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$ , and (6) follows from (1). Now we have

$$(7) \quad (A^* \mu)(m) = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} K(m, a) d\mu(a)$$

for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, for any  $y \in l^1$ ,

$$\langle y, A^* \mu \rangle = \langle \mu, Ay \rangle = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{N}} y(m)K(m, a) dv(m) \right] d\mu(a)$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the counting measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ ; equality (7) then follows from Fubini's theorem. Under assumption (4), we have

$$(8) \quad (A^* \mu)(b) = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} K(b, a) d\mu(a)$$

for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $b \in \beta\mathbb{N}$ . Indeed (7) gives

$$(A^* \mu)(m) = \int_{\mathbb{N}} K(m, a) d\mu(a) + \int_{\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}} K(m, a) d\mu(a);$$

by an argument similar to the preceding one involving  $\sigma(l^\infty, l^1)$ , we can pass

to the limit in the first integral, and by Lebesgue's theorem, using assumption (4), we can pass to the limit in the second integral. Finally, for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{N}(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , we have

$$\langle \mu, -A^* \mu \rangle = - \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} \left[ \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}} K(b, a) d\mu(a) \right] d\mu(b);$$

writing each integral in the right-hand side as the sum of an integral over  $\mathbb{N}$  and an integral over  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , using the antisymmetry of  $K$  and Fubini's theorem, we obtain (5). Q.E.D.

**PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION.** From the choice of  $K$  (cf. (2)), we can find a sequence  $a_n$  in  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K(b, a_n) = -n^{-2}$  for  $b \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . (Recall that when  $a \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K(b, a)$  as a function of  $b$  is constant on  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ .) Let  $\mu_n = n\delta_{a_n}$  where  $\delta_{a_n}$  denotes the Dirac measure at the point  $a_n$ . Using Lemma 1, we see that each  $(\mu_n, -A^* \mu_n)$  is monotonely related to the graph of  $A$  since, by Lemma 2,

$$\langle \mu_n, -A^* \mu_n \rangle = -n \cdot (-n^{-2}) \cdot n \geq 0.$$

But if  $n \neq m$ , then  $(\mu_n, -A^* \mu_n)$  and  $(\mu_m, -A^* \mu_m)$  are not monotonely related since, by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu_n - \mu_m, -A^* \mu_n + A^* \mu_m \rangle &= \langle \mu_n - \mu_m, -A^* (\mu_n - \mu_m) \rangle \\ &= -(n - m) \cdot (-n^{-2} \cdot n + m^{-2} \cdot m) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. Q.E.D.

**REMARK.** In the situation of the above Proposition, there are infinitely many linear maximal monotone operators  $B: D(B) \subset (I^\infty)^* \rightarrow I^\infty$  which extend  $A$ . This follows easily from our construction and from the following two simple facts: (a) in a dual pair  $(E, F)$ , let  $T: D(T) \subset E \rightarrow F$  be a linear monotone operator and let  $(e, f) \in E \times F$  be monotonely related to the graph of  $T$ , with  $e \notin D(T)$ ; then the linear extension of  $T$  to  $\text{vct}[D(T), e]$ :

$$\tilde{T}(x + \lambda e) = T(x) + \lambda f \quad \text{for } x \in D(T) \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbf{R},$$

is still monotone; (b) let  $T: D(T) \subset E \rightarrow F$  be a linear monotone operator with a  $\sigma(E, F)$  dense domain; if  $T$  is maximal among all linear monotone operators, then  $T$  is maximal monotone.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Donaldson, *Inhomogeneous Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and nonlinear parabolic initial value problems*, J. Differential Equations **16** (1974), 201-256. MR **52** #1284.
2. J.-P. Gossez, *Opérateurs monotones non linéaires dans les espaces de Banach non réflexifs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **34** (1971), 371-395. MR **47** #2442.
3. ———, *On the range of a coercive maximal monotone operator in a nonreflexive Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 88-92. MR **45** #7544.
4. ———, *On the subdifferential of a saddle function*, J. Functional Analysis **11** (1972), 220-230. MR **50** #2908.

5. R. T. Rockafellar, *Monotone operators associated with saddle-functions and minimax problems*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. XVIII, part 1), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 241–250. MR 44 #3159.

6. ———, *On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings*, Pacific J. Math. 33 (1970), 209–216. MR 41 #7432.

7. E. Zini, *Théorèmes d'existence d'équations et d'inéquations non linéaires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A 281 (1975), A207–A209.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES, CAMPUS DE LA PLAINE,  
C.P. 214, 1050 BRUXELLES, BELGIUM