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# ON THE HILBERT SCHEME COMPACTIFICATION OF THE SPACE OF TWISTED CUBICS 

By Ragni Piene and Michael Schlessinger*

1. Introduction. One of the enumerative problems treated by Schubert in his book "Kalkul der abzählenden Geometrie" [S] is that of determining the number of twisted cubic curves which satisfy various given conditions. The complete solution to this problem should contain a description of the intersection ring of some compactification of the space of twisted cubics. In this paper we make a step in this direction by undertaking a study of the compactification given by the Hilbert scheme (see also [P]).

A twisted cubic curve is a rational, smooth curve of degree 3 in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. The space $H_{0}$ of such curves has the structure of a smooth, 12-dimensional, noncompact variety-in fact, $H_{0}$ can be identified with the homogeneous space $\operatorname{SL}(4) / \mathrm{SL}(2)$. Let $\operatorname{Hilb}^{P(m)}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$ denote the Hilbert scheme parametrizing closed subschemes of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(m)$. Then $H_{0} \subset$ $\operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$, and we denote by $H$ the closure of $H_{0}$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. Hilb ${ }^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$ consists of two irreducible components, $H$ and $H^{\prime}$, of dimension 12 and 15 respectively. Both $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ are smooth and rational, they intersect transversally, and their intersection is nonsingular, rational, of dimension 11.

The component $H^{\prime}$ which does not contain the twisted cubics contains the points corresponding to plane cubic curves union a point in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. The intersection $H \cap H^{\prime}$ consists of plane, singular cubic curves, with a "spatial" embedded point at a singular point, "emerging from" the plane. The most degenerated such curve (in the sense that all curves corresponding to points in $H \cap H^{\prime}$ specialize to one of that kind) consists of a line tripled in the plane, with a spatial embedded point. A main ingredient in the proof of the theorem is the explicit construction of the deformation space of such

[^0]a curve. We use a comparison theorem which enables us to identify the deformation theory of a projective variety with that of its associated homogeneous ideal, provided that suitable linear systems on the variety are complete (Section 3). The degenerate curve has a $G_{m}$ action and its universal deformation is easy to compute (Section 5).
2. Preliminary description of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{\mathbf{3 m + 1}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}_{k}^{3}=\mathbf{P}^{3}(k$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2,3$ ) be a twisted cubic curve, i.e., $C$ is smooth, rational, of degree 3 . All such curves are projectively equivalent, hence we may fix one, say $C_{0}=\phi\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$, where $\phi: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is given by $\phi(u, v)=\left(u^{3}, u^{2} v, u v^{2}, v^{3}\right)$, and identify the space $H_{0}$ of twisted cubics with automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ modulo automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. So $H_{0}=$ $\mathrm{SL}(4) / \mathrm{SL}(2)$ is a homogeneous space, hence smooth and irreducible, of dimension 12.

Since a twisted cubic curve has Hilbert polynomial $P(m)=3 m+1$, we have $H_{0} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$; let $H=\bar{H}_{0}$ denote its closure. Set $H_{0}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{C^{\prime}: C^{\prime}=\right.$ a plane, smooth cubic curve in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ union a point in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ not on the curve $\}$; then $H_{0}^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$, and we denote by $H^{\prime}=\bar{H}_{0}^{\prime}$ its closure. Since $H_{0}^{\prime}$ is irreducible, so is $H^{\prime}$, and $H^{\prime}$ has dimension 15.

Lemma 1. $\operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)=H \cup H^{\prime}$.
Proof. Suppose $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$ is a closed subscheme with Hilbert polyno$\operatorname{mial} P(m)=\chi\left(\Theta_{C}(m)\right)=3 m+1$. We must show that $C$ is a specialization of a curve in $H_{0}$ or $H_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $\bar{C} \subset C$ be the maximal closed subscheme of $C$ which is Cohen-Macaulay and of pure dimension 1. There are three cases to consider: i) $\bar{C}=C$. Then $C$ is projectively Cohen-Macaulay and there is a projective resolution of the maximal homogeneous ideal $I \subset P=$ $k[x, y, z, w]$ defining $C$,

$$
0 \rightarrow P(-3)^{2} \rightarrow P(-2)^{3} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0 \quad[\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Ex} .1, \text { p. } 430]
$$

By [loc. cit, Thm. 2] $C$ can be deformed to a twisted cubic. ii) $C=\bar{C} \cup Y$, where $Y \cap \bar{C}=\emptyset$ and $\lg \mathcal{\vartheta}_{Y}=r \geq 1$. Since $\chi\left(\Theta_{C}(m)\right)=\chi\left(\Theta_{\bar{C}}(m)\right)+r=$ $3 m+1$ and $\chi\left(\Theta_{\bar{C}}(m)\right) \geq 3 m$, we have $r=1$ and $\chi\left(\Theta_{\bar{C}}(m)\right)=3 m$. Hence $\bar{C}$ is a plane cubic curve, and $Y$ is a reduced point, so $C \in H^{\prime}$. iii) $C$ has embedded points. Set $K=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Theta_{C} \rightarrow \Theta_{\bar{C}}\right)$. Reasoning as in the previous case, we conclude $\lg K=1$, and $\bar{C}$ is plane, so that $C \in H^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2. If $C \in H \cap H^{\prime}$, then $C$ is a plane, singular cubic curve with a spatial embedded point, "emerging from" the plane, at a singular
point. More precisely, $C$ is projectively equivalent to the curve defined by an ideal $I \subset k[x, v, z, w]$ of the form $I=\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, q(x, y, w)\right)$ where $\mathrm{q}(x, y, w)$ is a cubic form which is singular at $(0,0,1)$.

Proof. With the notation of the proof of Lemma $1, \bar{C}$ is plane and $C$ is connected, so we're in case iii) of that proof. Moreover, it follows from a lemma of Hironaka [H, p. 360] that the embedded point must occur at a singular point of $\bar{C}$. It remains to describe the structure of $C$ at the embedded point. First we observe that if $C$ is contained in some surface $S \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}$, then $S$ has to be singular at the embedded point $p$ of $C$. In fact, we may assume that $C \subset S$ are the closed fibres of families $C_{R} \subset S_{R} \subset \mathbf{P}_{R}^{3}$, over a discrete valuation ring $R$ with traction field $K$, s.t. $C_{K} \subset \mathbf{P}_{K}^{3}$ is a twisted cubic (if $\operatorname{deg} S=1$, replace $S$ by $S$ union a plane not containing $p$ ). If $p$ were a smooth point on $S$, then it would be smooth on $S_{R}$, since $S$ is a Carlier divisor on $S_{R}$. Then $C_{R}$ would be a local complete intersection at $p$, hence so would $C$, and so $p$ could not be an embedded point on $C$. Assume the embedded point is $(0,0,0,1)$, and that the ideal of $C$ in the affine coordinate ring $k[x, y, z]$ is equal to $I_{a}=(z, q) \cap Q$, where $q(x, y)$ is singular at $(0,0)$, and $Q$ is an $(x, y, z)$-primary ideal. Consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K=(z, q) / I_{a} \rightarrow k[x, y, z] / I_{a} \rightarrow k[x, y] /(q) \rightarrow 0
$$

We know $\lg K=1$, so that either (a) $z \in Q$, or (b) there is a $q^{\prime} \in Q$ with $q^{\prime}=q(\bmod z)$. In case $(\mathrm{a}), z \in I_{a}$, hence $C$ is plane and cannot by the observation above, be the specialization of a twisted cubic. (In this case, $I=(z, x q, y q)$, and the ideal of $C$ is obtained by homogenizing $q$ with respect to $w$.) In case (b), $z \in I_{a}$, but necessarily ( $\left.x z, y z, z^{2}, q^{\prime}\right) \subset I_{a}$, and these ideals are equal. Now $q^{\prime}=q+\alpha z, \alpha \in k$, and if $\alpha \neq 0$, then the surface defined by $q^{\prime}$ would be smooth at $(0,0,0,1)$. By the observation above, we must therefore have $\alpha=0$ and hence $q^{\prime}=q$.

Note that it follows from Lemma 2, by counting parameters, that the dimension of $H \cap H^{\prime}$ is equal to 11 .
3. Local Description of the Hilbert Scheme. To a subscheme $X$ of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ corresponds the homogeneous ideal $I$ in the polynomial ring $P=$ $k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ such that $X=\operatorname{Proj}(P / I)$ and $I$ is maximal with respect to this. We thus have a map

$$
u: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}
$$

from the universal deformation space $M$, which parametrizes all homogeneous ideals with Hilbert function equal to that of $I$, to the Hilbert scheme $M^{\prime}$ which parametrizes subschemes of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with Hilbert polynomial equal to that of $X$. We shall show here that $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are isomorphic near the base points $I$ and $X$, provided that the linear systems cut out on $X$ by hypersurfaces of suitable degrees are complete.

Comparison Theorem. If the ideal of polynomials defining $X \subset$ $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$, of degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}$, $\ldots, d_{r}$, for which

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I\right)_{d} \xlongequal{\Im} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(d)\right) \\
d=d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{r},
\end{gathered}
$$

then the map $u: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is an analytic isomorphism at the basepoints $I, X$.

We remark that in general, when the completeness condition is not satisfied, one must replace $I$ by a high truncation, as Curtin [C] does for Mumford's obstructed curve.

Proof of the Comparison Theorem. We compare the Zariski tangent and normal spaces of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$. Let $R=k\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right] / J, J \subseteq(t)^{2}$, be the completion of the local ring of $M$ at its base point. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{1}(M)=\left((t) /(t)^{2}\right)^{*} \\
& t^{2}(M)=(J / t J)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

the Zariski tangent and normal (i.e. "obstruction") spaces of $M$ (In general $t^{i}(M)=T^{i}(k / R, k) i \geq 1$ are the "homotopy" of $R[\mathrm{~A}]$.) Now $u$ induces $u^{i}: t^{i}(M) \rightarrow t^{i}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, all $i$; as in [S, p. 153] we find easily that $u: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is an analytic isomorphism provided that $u$ is a "two equivalence" in the sense that $u^{1}$ is an isomorphism and $u^{2}$ is a monomorphism.

If we now take $T^{i}(I)=T^{i}(A / P, A), T^{i}(X)=T^{i}\left(X / \mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, the appropriate cotangent cohomology, we get a commutative diagram

where the vertical "Kodaira-Spencer" maps form two equivalences, by versality of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$. We must show that $T^{\prime}(I) \rightarrow T^{\prime}(X)$ is a two equivalence.

To compute $T^{i}(I)$ for $i=1,2$ we take a free resolution

of the module $P / I$ over the polynomial ring $P=k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Here $F=$ $\Sigma P\left(-d_{i}\right)$ and $\lambda=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. We map $\Lambda^{2} F \rightarrow G$ by sending $u \wedge v$ to $w$ in $G$ with $\mu(w)=\lambda(u) v-\lambda(v) u \in \operatorname{ker} \lambda$. The cotangent complex, in low degrees, is then $L: L_{3} \rightarrow L_{2} \rightarrow L_{1}=\Lambda^{2} F \otimes A \otimes H \otimes A \rightarrow G \otimes A \rightarrow$ $F \otimes A$ with $A=P / I$ (see [L.S.]), and $T^{i}(I)$ is the cohomology of $L^{\cdot}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}(L ., A)$.

Now the complex $\mathcal{L} .=\tilde{L}$. restricts, over each affine open subset $U$ of $X$ to the relative cotangent complex of $U$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. Following Illusie [I] we then have $T^{\cdot}(X)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{L} ., \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. If we consider instead the cohomology $S^{\cdot}(X)$ of the complex of vector spaces $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{L} ., \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, the edge homomorphism $S^{\cdot}(X) \rightarrow T^{*}(X)$ is a two equivalence and we need only show that $T^{*}(I) \rightarrow S^{\cdot}(X)$ is a two equivalence. The map in question comes from taking cohomology of the horizontal rows of the diagram


By hypothesis $\alpha_{1}$ is an isomorphism, so that $\alpha$ induces a two-equivalence and the proof is thus complete.

We remark that the cohomology sheaves $\mathfrak{J}^{i}$ of $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \text { consist of }}$ the normal sheaf $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{J}^{1}$ to $X$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, which determines local deformations of $X$, and the sheaf $J^{2}$ which contains obstructions to local deformations of $X . J^{2}$ is supported on the noncomplete intersection locus of $X$. We have $H^{0}\left(X, J^{1}\right) \cong T^{1}(X)$ and $0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(X, J^{1}\right) \rightarrow T^{2}(X) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, J^{2}\right)$ which decomposes $T^{2}(X)$ into local and global obstructions.

The hypotheses of the comparison theorem are certainly met for the smooth space curves in $H_{0}$ or $H_{0}^{\prime}$. For a curve $C$ in $H \cap H^{\prime}$ let $I=(x z, y z$, $\left.z^{2}, q\right), J=(z, q)$ be the ideal of the Cohen-Macaulay curve $\bar{C}$ and $K=J / I$, which is isomorphic to $P /(x, y, z)$ twisted once as a $P$ module. The local
cohomology sequence associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P / I \rightarrow$ $P / J \rightarrow 0$ now shows that $(P / I)_{d} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Theta_{C}(d)\right)$ is an isomorphism for all $d>0$. By the comparison theorem above we find that the completion of the Hilbert scheme at the point $C$ is given by the universal deformation of the ideal $I$ associated to $C$.

Alternatively, we may show directly that deformations of $I$ and $C$ agree by computing that the tangent space $T^{1}(I)=H^{0}\left(C, \mathfrak{N}_{C}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathfrak{J}^{1}\right)$ has dimension 16 and consists entirely of nonpositively weighted (thus globalizable) deformations of the singular point. Moreover, $H^{1}\left(J^{1}\right)=0$ and $T^{2}(I)=H^{0}\left(J^{2}\right) \cong T^{2}(X)$ (has dimension two). The deformations of $C$ thus coincide with the nonpositive deformations of its singular point, which coincide with homogeneous deformations of the affine cone over $C$.
4. The tangent spaces to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{\mathbf{3 m + 1}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$. Let $C \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)=$ $H \cup H^{\prime}$, let $I \subset P=k[x, y, z, w]$ denote the maximal homogeneous ideal defining $C$, and set $A=P / I$, so that $\mathcal{O}_{C}=\tilde{A}$. Set $\mathfrak{J}=\tilde{I}$, so that $\mathfrak{N}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}\left(\mathscr{J}, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)=\left(\mathscr{J} / \mathfrak{S}^{2}\right)$ is the normal sheaf of $C$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. With this notation the tangent space to $\operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$ at $C$ is given by $T_{H \cup H^{\prime}, C}=H^{0}(C, \mathfrak{N})$, and we now want to compute this space, which, as we have seen in Section 3 , is isomorphic to $T^{1}(I)=\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A)_{0}$ (the degree 0 piece of the graded module $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A)$.) By [E, loc. cit] we know that $H-H \cap H^{\prime}$ is smooth, so that $\operatorname{dim} T_{H \cup H^{\prime}, C}=12$ if $C \in H-H \cap H^{\prime}$. (This can also be computed directly from a presentation of $I$, as will be done below in the other cases.)

Lemma 3. If $C \in H \cap H^{\prime}$, then $\operatorname{dim} T_{H \cup H^{\prime}, C}=16$.
Proof. We may assume $C$ is defined by a homogeneous ideal $I$ as in Lemma 2. It suffices to show $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A)_{0}=16$. Set $J=(z, q)$; then $J$ defines a plane curve $\bar{C} \subset C$. Set $\bar{A}=P / J$ and $K=J / I$; then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0$. Consider the following presentation of $J$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow P(-4) \longrightarrow P(-1) \oplus P(-3) \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(-, A)$ we obtain a long exact sequence which yields $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(J, A)=A(1) \oplus M(3)($ where $M=(x, y, z) A)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(J, A)=$ $\bar{A}(4)$. The presentation of $K$,

$$
0 \rightarrow P(-4) \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{r}
z \\
-y \\
x
\end{array}\right)} P(-3)^{3} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
y & z & 0 \\
-x & 0 & z \\
0 & -x & -y
\end{array}\right)} P(-2)^{3} \xrightarrow{(x, y, z)} P(-1) \xrightarrow{z} K \rightarrow 0
$$

shows $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(K, A)=K(1)$ and that $\operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(K, A)_{0} \subset A(2)_{0}^{3}=A_{2}^{3}$ is generated by

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
z w \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
z w \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
z w
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
-q_{1} \\
-q_{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right)\right\},
$$

where $q=x q_{1}+y q_{2}\left(\right.$ and $q_{1}, q_{2} \in(x, y) A$, since $q$ is singular at $(0,0,1)$.
From $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow J \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ we therefore obtain the following exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow K(1) \rightarrow A(1) \oplus M(3) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(K, A) \xrightarrow{\beta}$ $\bar{A}$ (4). A diagram chase shows that the map $\beta$ is the restriction of the map $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, 0\right): A(2)^{3} \rightarrow \bar{A}(4)$, and hence is 0 . Thus we obtain a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \bar{A}(1) \oplus M(3) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(K, A) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which yields $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A)_{0}=\operatorname{dim} \bar{A}_{1}+\operatorname{dim} M_{3}+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(K, A)_{0}=$ $3+9+4=16$.

Lemma 4. If $C \in H^{\prime}-H \cap H^{\prime}$, then $\operatorname{dim} T_{H \cup H^{\prime}, C}=15$.
Proof. Case (i): $C=\bar{C} \cup Y$, where $Y$ is a reduced point not on $\bar{C}$. Then $H^{0}(C, \mathfrak{Y})=h^{0}\left(\bar{C}, \Theta_{\bar{C}}(3) \oplus \mathcal{\vartheta}_{\bar{C}}(1)\right)+3=15$. Case (ii): $C$ has an embedded point emerging from the plane of $\bar{C}$, at a nonsingular point of $\bar{C}$. We may assume $I=\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, q\right)$, where $q \in k[x, y, w]$ is a cubic form which goes through, but is nonsingular at, the point $(0,0,1)$. The computation of its dimension is similar to the one above, except that in this case $\operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(K, A)_{0}$ is generated by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
z w \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
z w \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
z w
\end{array}\right),
$$

which completes the count. Case (iii): $C$ has an embedded point and is contained in a plane, i.e., we are in case (a) of the proof of Lemma 2. Then we may assume $I=(z, x q, y q)$, where $q \in k[x, y, w]$ is a cubic form vanishing at $(0,0,1)$. Set $P^{\prime}=k[x, y, w]$ and $I^{\prime}=(x q, y q) \subset P^{\prime}$, and let $\mathscr{N}^{\prime}$ denote the normal sheaf of $C$ in the plane $z=0$. Reasoning as in the proof of the comparison thm. one shows $H^{0}\left(C, \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\rho}^{\prime}(I, A)_{0}$. Since
$h^{0}(C, \mathfrak{N})=h^{0}\left(C, \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}\right)+h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{\vartheta}_{C}(1)\right)$ and $h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{\vartheta}_{C}(1)\right)=4$, it suffices to show $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{P^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime}, A\right)_{0}=11$.

Set $J^{\prime}=(q) \subset P^{\prime}, \bar{A}=P^{\prime} / J^{\prime}$, and $K=J^{\prime} / I^{\prime}$. The $P^{\prime}$-module $K$ has a presentation

$$
0 \longrightarrow P^{\prime}(-5) \xrightarrow{(-y)} P^{\prime}(-4)^{2} \xrightarrow{(x, y)} P^{\prime}(-3) \xrightarrow{q} K \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

From this, we obtain $\operatorname{Hom}_{P^{\prime}}(K, A)=K(3)$, and that $\operatorname{Ext}_{P^{\prime}}^{1}(K, A)_{0}$ is generated by $\binom{q w}{0},\binom{0}{q w}$. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \bar{A}(3) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{P^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime}, A\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{P}^{1}(K, A) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and we conclude: $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{P^{\prime}}\left(I^{\prime}, A\right)_{0}=\operatorname{dim} \bar{A}_{3}+2=11$.
Thus we have shown that $H \cup H^{\prime}$ is smooth outside $H \cap H^{\prime}$, and that $\operatorname{dim} T_{H \cup H^{\prime}, C}=16$ if $C \in H \cap H^{\prime}$.

Lemma 5. For all $C \in \operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right), H^{1}(C, \mathfrak{N})=0$.
Proof. We shall consider separately four cases and show that in each case we have $\chi(\mathfrak{T})=h^{0}(C, \mathfrak{N})$, by the above computations. i) $C \in H-$ $H \cap H^{\prime}$. The exact sequence of sheaves

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}^{3}(-3)^{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}^{3}}(-2)^{3} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(2)^{3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{\vartheta}_{C}(3)^{2} \longrightarrow \omega_{C}(4) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\omega_{C}$ denotes the dualizing sheaf on $C$, from which we get $\chi(\mathfrak{N})=$ $12=h^{0}(C, \mathfrak{N})$. Hence $h^{1}(C, \mathfrak{Y})=0$. ii) $C \in H \cap H^{\prime}$. The exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}(1) \oplus \tilde{M}(3) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{p}^{3}}^{1}\left(K, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives $\chi(\mathfrak{T})=7+\chi(\tilde{M}(3))=7+\chi\left(\Theta_{C}(3)\right)-1=16=h^{0}(C, \mathfrak{Y})$. iii) $C \in H^{\prime}-H \cap H^{\prime}, C=\bar{C} \cup Y, Y \cap \bar{C}=\emptyset$. Then $\chi(\mathfrak{N})=\chi\left(\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{C} / \mathbf{P}^{3}}\right)+$ $3=15=h^{0}(C, \mathfrak{N})$. iv) $C \in H^{\prime}-H \cap H^{\prime}, C \subset P^{2} \subset \mathbf{P}^{3}, C$ has an embedded point. Then $\chi(\mathfrak{N})=\chi\left(\mathscr{N}_{C / \mathbf{p}^{2}}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)$. The exact sequence (Lemma 4, (iii))

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}(3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{N}_{C / \mathbf{P}^{2}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}^{1}\left(\tilde{K}, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives $\chi\left(\mathscr{N}_{C / \mathbf{P}^{2}}\right)=11$, hence $\chi(\mathfrak{H})=15=h^{0}(C, \mathfrak{N})$.
5. The universal deformation of $k[x, y, z, w] /\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, x^{3}\right)$. From the description given in Lemma 2, we know that every $C \in H \cap H^{\prime}$ specializes to a curve of the form: a line tripled in a plane, with a spatial embedded point. Such a curve is completely determined by its associated (point-line-plane) flag, and all such curves are projectively equivalent. (They form a closed orbit-isomorphic to the flag variety, hence of dimension 6under the action of $\operatorname{SL}(4)$ on $\operatorname{Hilb}^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$.) Thus, in order to study deformations of some $C \in H \cap H^{\prime}$, it suffices to study deformations of a curve of the above degenerated form, e.g. whose maximal homogeneous ideal is $I=\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, x^{3}\right)$.

Lemma 6. Suppose $I=\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, x^{3}\right)$. Then I has a universal deformation space of the form $M=\mathbf{A}^{12} \cup \mathbf{A}^{15}$, where $\mathbf{A}^{12} \cap \mathbf{A}^{15}=\mathbf{A}^{11}$ and the intersection is transversal.

Proof. Consider the following presentation of $A=P / I$ over $P=$ $k[x, y, z, w]$ :
$0 \longrightarrow P(-4) \xrightarrow{\nu} P(-4) \oplus P(-3)^{3}$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mu} P(-2)^{3} \oplus P(-3) \xrightarrow{\lambda} P \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where the maps are given by

$$
\lambda=\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, x^{3}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
x^{2} & y & z & 0 \\
0 & -x & 0 & z \\
0 & 0 & -x & -y \\
-z & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \nu=\left(\begin{array}{r}
0 \\
z \\
-y \\
x
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have already seen (Lemma 3) that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}(I, A)_{0}=16$, and one checks that the following 10 elements (of $A_{2}^{3} \oplus A_{3}$ ),

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0_{2} \\
x \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
z w \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
x w^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
y w^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{5}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
z w^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{6}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
x^{2} w
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{7}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
x y w
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{8}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
y^{2} w
\end{array}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{9}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
x y^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{10}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
y^{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

together with the 6 "trivial deformations" (corresponding to moving the flag determined by $C$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}=w \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
z w \\
0 \\
0 \\
3 x^{2} w
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2}}=y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
3 x^{2} y
\end{array}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{3}}=w \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
z w \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{4}}=w \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x w \\
y w \\
2 z w \\
0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{5}}=x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{2} \\
x y \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{6}}=y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x y \\
y^{2} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

form a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}(I, A)_{0}$.

To obtain homogeneous deformations of $I$, we consider homogeneous perturbations $\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}$ of the maps $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\lambda^{\prime}= & \left(x z+u_{1}(b x+c y), y z-u_{1}(a x+b y),\left(z+u_{2} w\right) z-u_{1}^{2}\left(b^{2}-a c\right),\right. \\
& \left.a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}+\left(u_{3} x+u_{4} y+u_{5}\left(z+u_{2} w\right)\right) w^{2}\right), \\
\mu^{\prime}= \\
\nu^{\prime}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a x+b y+u_{3} w^{2} & y & z-u_{1} b+u_{2} w & u_{1} a \\
b x+c y+u_{4} w^{2} & -x & -u_{1} c & z+u_{1} b+u_{2} w \\
u_{5} w^{2} & 0 & -x & -y \\
-z & -u_{1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
z+u_{2} w \\
-y \\
x
\end{array}\right) .
$$

where we have set $a=x+u_{6} w, b=u_{9} y+u_{7} w, c=u_{10} y+u_{8} w$, and where the variables $u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 10$ give infinitesimal deformations tangent to the basis elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(I, A)_{0}$ denoted by $\partial / \partial u_{i}$.

One checks that $\lambda^{\prime} \cdot \mu^{\prime} \equiv 0 \bmod \left(u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{4}, u_{1} u_{5}\right)$. Moveover, $\mu^{\prime} \cdot \nu^{\prime}=\left(-u_{1} u_{3} w^{2},-u_{1} u_{4} w^{2},-u_{1} u_{5} w^{2},-u_{1} u_{2} w\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \left(u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} u_{3}\right.$, $u_{1} u_{4}, u_{1} u_{5}$ ), and no additional higher order terms can cancel these entries. Therefore, the flat deformation that we can obtain over the union of the 6space $u_{2}=u_{3}=u_{4}=u_{5}=0$ with the 9 -space $u_{1}=0$ cannot be extended to any larger parameter space. (Alternatively, the entries arising from $\lambda^{\prime} \cdot \mu^{\prime}$ may be shown to span $T^{2}$.) We have thus exhibited a versal deformation of $I$ (and hence of $C$ ).

A universal deformation is now obtained from the above by adding the trivial deformations; this is done by performing everywhere the following substitutions: $x=x+t_{1} w+t_{2} y, y=y+t_{3} w, z=z+t_{4} w+t_{5} x+t_{6} y$. Hence we have shown:

$$
M=\operatorname{Spec}\left(k\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{10}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{6}\right] /\left(u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{4}, u_{1} u_{5}\right)\right)
$$

Remark. Recalling the exact sequence (proof of Lemma 3)

$$
0 \longrightarrow \bar{A}(1) \oplus M(3) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(I, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(K, A) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and remarking that

$$
a \in \bar{A}_{1} \text { goes to }\left(\begin{array}{l}
x a \\
y a \\
z a \\
0
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}(I, A)_{0} \subset A_{2}{ }^{3} \oplus A_{3}
$$

and

$$
b \in M_{3} \text { goes to }\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
b
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

we observe that $\operatorname{Hom}(I, A)_{0}$ is generated, modulo the trivial deformations, by the elements coming from $M_{3}$, together with the elements $\partial / \partial u_{1}$ and $\partial /$ $\partial u_{2}$. The former corresponds to "twisting the curve into space" (hence making the embedded point disappear), whereas the latter corresponds to moving the embedded point out of the plane.
6. The Hilbert Scheme Hilb ${ }^{\mathbf{3 m + 1}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$. We shall now prove the theorem stated in the introduction.

Theorem. The scheme Hilb ${ }^{3 m+1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$ is the union of two nonsingular rational varieties $H$ and $H^{\prime}$, of dimension 12 and 15 ; their transversal intersection is nonsingular rational of dimension 11.

Proof. By the previous Lemmas, we need only demonstrate the rationality of $H, H^{\prime}, H \cap H^{\prime}$. Consider the point $C_{0} \in H \cap H^{\prime}$ whose ideal is $I=\left(x z, y z, z^{2}, x^{3}\right)$, and the universal family of deformations of $I$ constructed in section 5 . We get a flat family $X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{12} \cup \mathbf{A}^{15}$ of subschemes of $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ and hence a classifying map $\phi: \mathbf{A}^{12} \cup \mathbf{A}^{15} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right)$. We have seen that $\phi$ is an analytic isomorphism at each point of its domain. The ideal $I$ occurs only at the base point of $A^{12} \cup A^{15}$, and does not reappear as the
parameters ( $u, t$ ) approach infinity. $\phi$ has degree one over the Hilbert point $C_{0}$, and therefore has degree one over each point of its image, as any such point specializes to $C_{0} . \phi$ is therefore an open immersion, and the theorem follows.

Alternatively, one may compute directly that the ideals corresponding to parameter values ( $u, t$ ) and $u^{\prime}, t^{\prime}$ ) are not equal unless $u=u^{\prime}, t=t^{\prime}$, and proceed as above.

Also, as Robert Varley has kindly pointed out to us, the rationality of $H$ is classical. Fix two planes $p_{1}, p_{2}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. They intersect a general twisted cubic $C$ in two pairs of three points, and these six points in turn determine $C . H$ is thus birationally equivalent to the product of $\mathrm{Symm}_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ with itself. A modern proof of the rationality of a symmetric product was given by Mattuck [ $M$ ]; this may also be seen from the versal deformation of a suitable thick point.

Corollary. The scheme $H$ decomposes as a finite disjoint union of affine spaces, $H=\mathbf{A}^{12} \cup U \mathbf{A}^{n_{i}}$, where $0 \leq n_{i} \leq 11$ and all integers between 0 and 11 occur.

Proof. $H$ is smooth, complete (in fact projective), and has a finite number of orbits under the action of $S L$ (4). By a result of D . Luna (see [DP], 7.2) the set of fixed points of a maximal torus of $S L(4)$ is finite, and therefore we can apply the results of Bialynicki-Birula [B, section 4]. (The $\mathbf{A}^{12}$ found in the proof of the theorem can be taken as the beginning of such a decomposition.)
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