

ON THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR  
LACUNARY TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES II

SHIGERU TAKAHASHI

(Received June 24, 1974)

1. **Introduction.** In this note we set

$$S_N(t) = \sum_1^N a_m \cos 2\pi n_m(t + \alpha_m) \text{ and } A_N = \left(2^{-1} \sum_1^N a_m^2\right)^{1/2},$$

where  $a_m \geq 0$  and  $\{n_m\}$  is a sequence of positive integers satisfying the gap condition

$$(1.1) \quad n_{m+1}/n_m \geq 1 + cm^{-\alpha}, \text{ for some } c > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2.$$

For  $\alpha = 0$ , M. Weiss [5] proved that if

$$A_N \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } a_N = o(A_N(\log \log A_N)^{-1/2}), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

then for any sequence of  $\{\alpha_m\}$

$$\overline{\lim}_N (2A_N^2 \log \log A_N)^{-1/2} S_N(t) = 1, \text{ a.e. .}$$

For  $\alpha > 0$ , we proved the following

**THEOREM A** [4]. *If*

$$A_N \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } a_N = O(A_N N^{-\alpha} (\log A_N)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a positive number, then we have

$$\overline{\lim}_N (2A_N^2 \log \log A_N)^{-1/2} S_N(t) \leq 1, \text{ a.e. .}$$

The purpose of the present note is to prove the

**THEOREM B.** *Suppose*

$$(1.2) \quad A_N \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } a_N = O(A_N N^{-\alpha} \omega_N^{-1}), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $\omega_N = (\log N)^\beta (\log A_N)^\delta + (\log A_N)^\beta$  and  $\beta > 1/2$ , then we have

$$\overline{\lim}_N (2A_N^2 \log \log A_N)^{-1/2} S_N(t) \geq 1, \text{ a.e. .}$$

If  $\alpha < 1/2$  and  $\{a_m\}$  is non-increasing, then by Theorem A and B we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_N (2A_N^2 \log \log A_N)^{-1/2} S_N(t) = 1, \text{ a.e. .}$$

In §§ 2-5 we prove Theorem B. The method of the proof is to approximate  $S_N(t)$  by the sums of a “almost strongly multiplicative” system and apply the method of P. Révész [2].

2. Preliminaries. Let us put, for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$p(k) = \max \{m; n_m \leq 2^k\},$$

$$\Delta_k(t) = S_{p(k+1)}(t) - S_{p(k)}(t) \text{ and } B_k = A_{p(k+1)}.$$

If  $p(k) + 1 < p(k + 1)$ , (1.1) implies that

$$2 > n_{p(k+1)}/n_{p(k)+1} > \prod_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)-1} (1 + cm^{-\alpha})$$

$$> 1 + c\{p(k + 1) - p(k) - 1\}p^{-\alpha}(k + 1),$$

and hence

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} p(k + 1) - p(k) = O(p^\alpha(k)), \\ p(k + 1)/p(k) \rightarrow 1, \end{cases} \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore, we have, by (1.2) and (2.1),

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} b_k = \max \{|a_m|, p(k) < m \leq p(k + 1)\} = O(B_k \omega_{p(k)}^{-1} p^{-\alpha}(k)) \\ \sum_{p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} |a_m| \leq b_k \{p(k + 1) - p(k)\} = O(B_k \omega_{p(k)}^{-1}), \end{cases} \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

LEMMA 1. For any given  $k, j, q$  and  $h$  satisfying  $p(j) + 1 < h \leq p(j + 1) < p(k) + 1 < q \leq p(k + 1)$ , the number of solutions  $(n_r, n_i)$  of the equations

$$n_q - n_r = n_h \pm n_i^{*})$$

where  $p(j) < i < h$  and  $p(k) < r < q$ , is at most  $C2^{j-k}p^\alpha(k)$  where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $k, j, q$  and  $h$ .

PROOF. If  $k < j + 3$ , the lemma is evident by (2.1). We assume that  $k \geq j + 3$ . If we denote  $m$  the smallest number  $r$  of the solutions  $(n_r, n_i)$ , then the number of solutions is not greater than  $q - m$ . Since  $(n_h \pm n_i) \leq 2^{j+2}$ , we have

$$n_m \geq n_q - 2^{j+2} > n_q(1 - 2^{j+2-k}) \geq n_q(1 + 2^{j-k} \cdot 5)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, we have, by (1.1)

$$1 + 2^{j-k} \cdot 5 > n_q/n_m > \prod_{s=m}^{q-1} (1 + cs^{-\alpha}) \geq 1 + c(q - m)p^{-\alpha}(k + 1).$$

---

\*) Clearly,  $n_q + n_r = n_h \pm n_i$  has no solutions.

Thus, by (2.1) we can prove the lemma.

In the same way we can prove the following

LEMMA 1'. For any given  $k, j, q$  and  $h$  such that  $j \leq k - 2, p(j + 1) < h \leq p(j + 2)$  and  $p(k + 1) < q \leq p(k + 2)$ , the number of solutions  $(n_r, n_i)$  of the equations

$$n_q - n_r = n_h \pm n_i$$

where  $p(j) < i \leq p(j + 1)$  and  $p(k) < r \leq p(k + 1)$ , is at most  $C2^{j-k}p^\alpha(k)$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $k, j, q$  and  $h$ .

LEMMA 2. We have, for any  $M$  and  $N$  ( $M < N$ ),

- (i)  $\left\| B_N^{-2} \sum_M^N (A_m^2 - \|A_m\|^2) \right\| = O((\log B_N)^{-8})$ ,
- (ii)  $\left\| B_N^{-2} \sum_M^N A_m A_{m-1} \right\| = O((\log B_N)^{-8})$ ,\*) as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

PROOF. (i) Let us put, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$U_k(t) = A_k^2(t) - \|A_k\|^2 - 2^{-1} \sum_{p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} a_m^2 \cos 4\pi n_m(t + \alpha_m).$$

Then we have, by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_k\|_\infty &= O(B_N^2(\log B_N)^{-16}), \\ \left\| B_N^{-2} \sum_M^N (A_m^2 - \|A_m\|^2) \right\|^2 &= 2B_N^{-4} \sum_{k=M+1}^N \sum_{j=M}^{k-1} \int_0^1 U_k(t) U_j(t) dt + O((\log B_N)^{-16}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Further, by Lemma 1 and (2.2), we have, for  $k > j$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 U_k U_j dt \right| &\leq C2^{j-k} p^\alpha(k) \sum_{q=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} |a_q| b_k \sum_{h=p(j)+1}^{p(j+1)} |a_h| b_j \\ &= O(2^{j-k} \|A_k\| \|A_j\| p^{\alpha/2}(k) p^{-\alpha/2}(j) B_N^2 (\log B_N)^{-16}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Since  $p(j + 1)/p(j) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have, for every  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^{j-k} p^{-\alpha}(j) \leq C' p^{-\alpha}(k), \text{ for some } C' > 0.$$

Hence, we have

---

\*)  $\|f\|$  denotes  $L^2$ -norm unless otherwise stated.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=M+1}^N \sum_{j=M}^{k-1} 2^{j-k} \|A_k\| \|A_j\| p^{\alpha/2}(k) p^{-\alpha/2}(j) \\ & \leq C' \sum_{k=M+1}^N \|A_k\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^{j-k} \|A_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C' \left( \sum_{k=M+1}^N \|A_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=M+1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^{j-k} \|A_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2} = O(B_N^2), \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Therefore, by the above relations we can prove (i).

(ii) Using Lemma 1' we can prove (ii) in the same way.

LEMMA 3. If  $M < N$  and  $\lambda_N = o((\log A_N)^{2-1/2\beta})$ , as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , then

(i) 
$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \sum_M^N (A_m^2 - \|A_m\|^2) \right\} dt = 1 + o(1),$$

(ii) 
$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \sum_M^N A_m A_{m+1} \right\} dt = 1 + o(1), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. (i) From (1.1), the frequencies of terms of  $A_m^2 - \|A_m\|^2$  are in the interval  $[2^m c p^{-\alpha}(m+1), 2^{m+2}]$ . Since  $p(j+1)/p(j) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ , we may assume that

(2.3) 
$$2^m c p^{-\alpha}(m+1) \uparrow +\infty, \text{ as } m \uparrow +\infty.$$

We set  $m(0) = M$  and if  $m(j)$  is defined, then we put

(2.4) 
$$m(j+1) = \min \{m + m(j); c 2^{m(j)+m} p^{-\alpha}(m(j) + m + 1) > 2^{m(j)+2}\}.$$

By (2.1) we can define  $m(j)$  for every  $j$  and if  $m(j') \leq N < m(j'+1)$ , then we put

$$T_j(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m(j)}^{m(j+1)-1} \{A_m^2(t) - \|A_m\|^2\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq j < j', \\ \sum_{m(j')}^N \{A_m^2(t) - \|A_m\|^2\}, & \text{if } j = j'. \end{cases}$$

From (2.2) it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_j\|_\infty & \leq 2 \max_m \|A_m\|_\infty^{(2\beta-1)/2\beta} \sum_{m(j)}^{m(j+1)-1} \|A_m\|_\infty^{(2\beta+1)/2\beta} \\ & = O(B_N^2 (\log B_N)^{(4-2\beta)/2\beta} \sum_{m(j)}^{m(j+1)-1} (\log p(m+1))^{-(2\beta+1)/2}), \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

If  $1 \leq m < m(j+1) - m(j)$ , we have, by (2.4)

$$p^\alpha(m(j) + m + 1) \geq C' 2^{m+1}, \text{ for some } C' > 0.$$

Hence we have, for some constants  $A$  and  $A'$ ,

$$\sum_{m(j)}^{m(j+1)-1} (\log p(m+1))^{-(2\beta+1)/2} \leq A \sum_1^\infty m^{-(2\beta+1)/2} = A',$$

and we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \varepsilon_N = \max (\|T_j\|_\infty; 0 \leq j \leq j') = O(B_N^2(\log B_N)^{(4-2\beta)/2\beta}),$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Therefore,

$$T_j^2 \leq \varepsilon_N |T_j| < \varepsilon_N \sum_{m(j)}^{m(j+1)-1} (A_m^2 - \|A_m\|^2) + 2\varepsilon_N \sum_{m(j)}^{m(j+1)} \|A_m\|^2.$$

Using the inequality  $e^x \leq (1+x)e^{x^2}$  for  $|x| \leq 1/2$ , we have, by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \sum_0^{j'} T_j \right\} &\leq \left\{ \prod_0^{j'} \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} T_j \right) \right\}^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\lambda_N^4}{B_N^4} \sum_0^{j'} T_j^2 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \prod_0^{j'} \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} T_j \right) \right\}^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\varepsilon_N \lambda_N^4}{B_N^4} \sum_0^{j'} T_j + o(1) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

This shows that,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2\varepsilon_N \lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \right) \sum_0^{j'} T_j \right\} dt \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^1 \prod_0^{j'} \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} T_j \right)^{1/2} dt \right\} e^{o(1)} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^1 \prod_1 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2 T_{2j}}{B_N^2} \right) dt \int_0^1 \prod_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2 T_{2j+1}}{B_N^2} \right) dt \right\}^{1/2} e^{o(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

where  $\prod_1$  (or  $\prod_2$ ) denotes the product over all  $j$  satisfying  $0 \leq 2j \leq j'$  (or  $0 \leq 2j+1 \leq j'$ ). From the definitions of  $\{T_j\}$  and (2.3), the frequencies of  $T_{2j}(t)$  are not less than  $c2^{m(2j)}p^{-\alpha}(m(2j)+1)$  and

$$\left\{ \text{frequencies of terms of } \prod_0^{j-1} \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} T_{2k} \right) \right\} \leq 2^{m(2j-1)+2},$$

therefore we have, by (2.4)

$$\int_0^1 \prod_1 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} T_{2j} \right) dt = 1 \text{ and } \int_0^1 \prod_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} T_{2j+1} \right) dt = 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2\varepsilon_N \lambda_N^2}{B_N^2} \right) \sum_0^{j'} T_j \right\} dt = 1 + o(1), \quad *) \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

---

\*) By Jensen's inequality we have  $\int_0^1 \exp \{ \lambda_N^2 B_N^{-2} \sum_0^{j'} T_j \} dt \geq 1$ .

Since  $\varepsilon_N \lambda_N^2 B_N^{-2} = o(1)$ , as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , the above relation proves (i). Using the same method and (i) we can prove (ii).

**3. Almost Multiplicatively Orthogonal Summands.** Putting  $\phi(k) = \sum_{m=1}^k (\log \log B_m + 1)$ , we take a sequence  $\{q(k)\}$  of integers satisfying

$$q(0) = 0 \text{ and } \|A_{q(k)-1}\| = \min \{\|A_m\|; \phi(2k-1) < m \leq \phi(2k)\}.$$

Set

$$Q_k(t) = \sum_{q(k-1)}^{q(k)-2} A_m(t) \text{ and } D_k = \left\| \sum_1^k Q_m \right\|$$

then

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \sum_1^N A_{q(k)-1} \right\| = o(D_N), \quad D_N \sim B_{q(N)-2}, \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{q(k-1)}^{q(k)-2} \|A_m\|_\infty = O(D_k (\log D_k)^{-8} \log \log B_{2k}) \\ = O(D_k (\log D_k)^{-8} \log \log D_k), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

since  $q(k) - 2 \geq \phi(2k - 1) > 2k - 1$  and  $B_k/B_{k+1} \rightarrow 1$ , as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

LEMMA 4. *If  $M < N$ , then*

$$\left\| D_N^{-2} \sum_M^N (Q_k^2 - \|Q_k\|^2) \right\| = O((\log D_N)^{-7}), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. Let us put

$$(3.3) \quad A'_m(t) = \begin{cases} A_m(t), & \text{if } q(k-1) \leq m \leq q(k) - 2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad T'_m(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=q(k-1)}^{m-2} A'_j, & \text{if } q(k-1) + 2 \leq m < q(k) - 2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_M^N (Q_k^2 - \|Q_k\|^2) = 2 \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} A'_m A'_{m-1} + 2 \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} A'_m T'_m + \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} (A'_m{}^2 - \|A'_m\|^2).$$

By Lemma 2, it is sufficient to show that

$$\left\| D_N^{-2} \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} A'_m T'_m \right\| = O((\log D_N)^{-7}), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since  $\int_0^1 A'_m T'_m A'_n T'_n dt = 0$  if  $|m - n| \geq 2$ , we have, by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} \Delta'_m T'_m \right\|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} \int_0^1 \Delta'^2_m T'^2_m dt \\ &= O(D_N^2 (\log D_N)^{-16} (\log \log D_N)^2 D_N^2) = o(D_N^4 (\log D_N)^{-14}), \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. If  $\lambda_N = o((\log D_N)^{3-(1/2\beta)})$ , as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , then we have

$$\int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{D_N^2} \sum_M^N (Q_m^2 - \|Q_m\|^2) \right\} dt = 1 + o(1), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

PROOF. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 4. Therefore, by Lemma 3 and Jentsen's inequality it is sufficient to show that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{D_N^2} \sum_{q(M-1)}^{q(N)-2} \Delta'_m T'_m \right\} dt = 1 + o(1), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda_N^2}{D_N^2} \sum \Delta'_m T'_m \right\} &\leq \left\{ \prod \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2}{D_N^2} \Delta'_m T'_m \right) \right\}^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{2\lambda_N^4}{D_N^4} \sum \Delta'^2_m T'^2_m \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \prod \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2 \Delta'_m T'_m}{D_N^2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \exp \{ o(D_N^{-2} \sum \Delta'^2_m) \}, \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the proof of (3.5) it is enough to show that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^1 \prod_1 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2 \Delta'_{2m} T'_{2m}}{D_N^2} \right) dt \int_0^1 \prod_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda_N^2 \Delta'_{2m+1} T'_{2m+1}}{D_N^2} \right) dt = 1.$$

Further, both of the sequences  $\{\Delta'_{2m} T'_{2m}\}$  and  $\{\Delta'_{2m+1} T'_{2m+1}\}$  are multiplicatively orthogonal, we can prove (3.6).

We take a constant  $\theta > 1$  which will be determined more precisely in § 5 and put

$$\begin{aligned} N(0) &= 1, \quad N(k) = \min \{m; D_m^2 > \theta^{2k}\}, \quad X_k(t) = \sum_{N(k)+1}^{N(k+1)} Q_m(t), \\ V_k &= \|X_k\| \text{ and } \eta_k = \max (\|Q_m\|_\infty V_k^{-1}, N(k) < m \leq N(k+1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} D_{N(k)}^2 \sim \theta^{2k}, & V_k^2 \sim \theta^{2k+2} - \theta^{2k} \\ \eta_k = O(k^{-8} \log k), & \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 6. We have

- (i)  $\overline{\lim}_k (2D_{N(k)}^2 \log \log D_{N(k)})^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{N(k)} Q_m(t) \leq 1, \text{ a.e.},$
- (ii)  $\overline{\lim}_k (2D_{N(k)}^2 \log \log D_{N(k)})^{-1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{N(k)} \Delta_{q(m)-1}(t) = 0, \text{ a.e.}$

PROOF. Cf. [4] p. 326 (i) and (ii).

Hence for the proof of our theorem it is sufficient to show that

$$(3.8) \quad (2\theta^{2k+2} \log k)^{-1/2} \sum_1^k X_m(t) \geq 1, \quad \text{a.e. .}$$

4. **Characteristic Functions.** In the following let  $f_{k,l}(u, v)$  denote the characteristic function of the random vector  $(X_k V_k^{-1}, X_l V_l^{-1})$ , that is,

$$f_{k,l}(u, v) = \int_0^1 \exp \{iuX_k(t) V_k^{-1} + ivX_l(t) V_l^{-1}\} dt .$$

LEMMA 7. *Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \varepsilon < 1/7 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2\beta} < 1 .$$

*Then for any  $(k, l)$  and  $(u, v)$  such that*

$$(4.2) \quad k^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq l \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad \max(|u|, |v|) \leq k^2 ,$$

*if  $k > k_0$ , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_{k,l}(u, v) - \exp \{-(u^2 + v^2)/2\}| \\ & \leq C(k^{-8} |u|^3 \log k + l^{-8} |v|^3 \log k + k^{-7} |u|^2 + l^{-7} |v|^2) , \end{aligned}$$

*where  $C$  is a positive constant.*

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \exp \left\{ \frac{i u X_k}{V_k} + \frac{i v X_l}{V_l} \right\} - P_k(u, t) P_l(v, t) \exp \left\{ \frac{-u^2 P'_k(t) - v^2 P'_l(t)}{2} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \exp \left( \frac{i u X_k}{V_k} \right) - P_k \exp \left( \frac{-u^2 P'_k}{2} \right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \exp \frac{i v X_l}{V_l} - P_l \exp \left( \frac{-v^2 P'_l}{2} \right) \right| , \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_k(u, t) = \prod_{m=N^{(k)}+1}^{N^{(k+1)}} \{1 + i u Q_m(t) / V_k\}$  and  $P'_k(t) = V_k^{-2} \sum_{m=N^{(k)}+1}^{N^{(k+1)}} Q_m^2(t)$ . Since (3.7) and (4.2) imply that  $u\eta_k = o(1)$  and  $v\eta_l = o(1)$ , as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have, for  $k > k_0$ ,

$$\exp(iuX_k V_k^{-1}) = P_k(u, t) \exp \{-u^2 2^{-1} P'_k(t) + R_k(u, t)\}$$

where

$$|R_k(u, t)| \leq |u|^3 \sum_{m=N^{(k)}+1}^{N^{(k+1)}} |Q_m V_k^{-1}|^3 \leq \eta_k |u|^3 P'_k(t) .$$

By Lemma 4 and 5, we have



$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 |\exp(iuX_k V_k^{-1}) - P_k(u, t) \exp\{-u^2 2^{-1} P'_k(t)\}| dt \\ & \leq \int_0^1 |\exp\{R_k(u, t)\} - 1| dt \leq \int_0^1 |R_k(u, t)| \exp\{|R_k(u, t)\}| dt \\ & \leq \eta_k |u|^3 \int_0^1 P'_k(t) \exp\{\eta_k |u|^3 P'_k(t)\} dt \\ & < \eta_k |u|^3 \|P'_k\| \exp\{\eta_k |u|^3\} (1 + o(1)) \\ & < C\eta_k |u|^3, \quad \text{for some constant } C > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the same inequality holds for  $l$ .

On the other hand since  $\{Q_m(t)\}$  is multiplicatively orthogonal, it is seen that

$$\int_0^1 P_k(u, t) P_l(v, t) dt = 1,$$

and we have, by Lemma 4 and 5,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 P_k(u, t) P_l(v, t) \exp\left\{\frac{-u^2 P'_k(t) - v^2 P'_l(t)}{2}\right\} dt - e^{-(u^2+v^2)/2} \right| \\ & = \left| \int_0^1 P_k(u, t) P_l(v, t) \left[ \exp\left\{\frac{-u^2 P'_k(t) - v^2 P'_l(t)}{2}\right\} - e^{-(u^2+v^2)/2} \right] dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 |1 - \exp\{2^{-1}u^2(P'_k - 1) + 2^{-1}v^2(P'_l - 1)\}| dt \\ & \leq \int_0^1 |u^2(P'_k - 1) + v^2(P'_l - 1)| \\ & \quad \times [\exp\{2^{-1}u^2(P'_k - 1) + 2^{-1}v^2(P'_l - 1)\} + 1] dt \\ & \leq \{u^2 \|P'_k - 1\| + v^2 \|P'_l - 1\|\} \\ & \quad \times \{\|\exp\{2^{-1}u^2(P'_k - 1) + 2^{-1}v^2(P'_l - 1)\}\| + 1\} \\ & \leq C(u^2 k^{-7} + v^2 l^{-7}) \\ & \quad \times \{\|\exp(2^{-1}u^2(P'_k - 1))\|_4 \|\exp(2^{-1}v^2(P'_l - 1))\|_4 + 1\} \\ & \leq C(u^2 k^{-7} + v^2 l^{-7}), \quad \text{for some } C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. [3] *Let  $F(x, y)$  and  $G(x, y)$  be two dimensional distribution functions. Denote the corresponding characteristic functions by  $f(u, v)$  and  $g(u, v)$ . Suppose that  $G(x, y)$  has a bounded density function. Further set*

$$\hat{f}(u, v) = f(u, v) - f(u, 0)f(0, v)$$

and

$$\hat{g}(u, v) = g(u, v) - g(u, 0)g(0, v).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x,y} |F(x, y) - G(x, y)| \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{\hat{f}(u, v) - \hat{g}(u, v)}{uv} \right| dudv + \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{f(u, 0) - g(u, 0)}{u} \right| du \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{f(0, v) - g(0, v)}{v} \right| dv + \frac{1}{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $T > 0$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant.

Making use of Lemmas 7 and 8 we can prove the

LEMMA 9. Let  $F_{k,i}(x, y)$  denote the distribution function of the vector  $(X_k(t) V_k^{-1}, X_i(t) V_i^{-1})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x,y} \left| F(x, y) - (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^y \exp \{ -(z^2 + z'^2)/2 \} dz dz' \right| \\ & \leq C(\log k)^2 k^\epsilon l^{-8} \end{aligned}$$

for  $k^{1/(1+\epsilon)} \leq l \leq k$ , where  $\epsilon$  satisfies (4.1) and  $C$  is a constant.

PROOF. Set  $f(u, v) = f_{k,i}(u, v)$  and  $g(u, v) = e^{-(u^2+v^2)/2}$ . Then  $\hat{g}(u, v) = 0$  and by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(u, v) &= \int_0^1 \left[ \exp \left\{ \frac{i u X_k(t)}{V_k} \right\} - f(u, 0) \right] \left[ \exp \left\{ \frac{i v X_i(t)}{V_i} \right\} - f(0, v) \right] dt \\ &\leq |uv| V_k^{-1} V_i^{-1} \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 |X_k(t) - X_k(t')| dt' \right] \left[ \int_0^1 |X_i(t) - X_i(t')| dt' \right] dt \\ &\leq 4 |uv|. \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 8 we put  $T = k^2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-T}^T \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{\hat{f}(u, v) - \hat{g}(u, v)}{uv} \right| dudv \\ &= \iint_{A(k)} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(u, v)}{uv} \right| dudv + \iint_{B(k)} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(u, v)}{uv} \right| dudv, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(k) = \{(u, v); k^{-4} < |u| \leq k^2, k^{-4} < |v| \leq k^2\}$  and  $B(k) = \{(u, v); |u| \leq k^2, |v| \leq k^2\} - A(k)$ . By Lemma 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \iint_{A(k)} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(u, v)}{uv} \right| dudv \right\} \leq Ck^6 (\log k)^2 l^{-8}, \\ & \left\{ \iint_{B(k)} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(u, v)}{uv} \right| dudv \right\} \leq 8k^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we can obtain

$$\int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{f(u, 0) - g(u, 0)}{u} \right| du \leq Ck^{-2} \log k$$

and

$$\int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{f(0, v) - g(0, v)}{v} \right| dv < Ck^{\epsilon} l^{-\delta} \log k.$$

Thus, we can complete the proof.

**5. Proof of (3.8).** The following lemma is an extension of the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

**LEMMA 10.** [1] *If  $\{E_k\}$  is a sequence of arbitrary events, fulfilling the conditions*

$$\sum P(E_k) = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n P(E_k E_l) / \left\{ \sum_1^n P(E_k) \right\}^2 = 1,$$

*then we have  $P\{E_k \text{ i.o.}\} = 1$ .*

**LEMMA 11.** *Let  $\epsilon$  be a positive number satisfying the condition (4.1). Then we have*

$$|\{t; X_k(t) \geq \{(2 - \epsilon) \log k\}^{1/2} V_k \text{ i.o.}\}| = 1.$$

**PROOF.** Let us put  $C_r = \{t; X_r(t) \geq \{(2 - \epsilon) \log r\}^{1/2} V_r\}$  and

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma = \epsilon/7, \quad u_r = \sqrt{(2 - \epsilon') \log r}, \quad y_r = u_r/2,$$

where  $\epsilon'$  is a positive number satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad \epsilon < \epsilon' < 2\epsilon(1 + (1 + \gamma)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Further, let  $\sum_1, \sum_2$  and  $\sum_3$  denote the summation over the  $(k, l)$ -sets  $\{1 \leq k \leq n, k^{1/(1+\gamma)} \leq l < k\}$ ,  $\{1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq n^{\epsilon/4}\}$  and  $\{n^{\epsilon/4} \leq k \leq n, n^{\epsilon/4} < l < k^{1/(1+\gamma)}\}$  respectively. On the other hand by Lemma 9, we have

$$(5.3) \quad P(C_k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\sqrt{(2-\epsilon)\log k}} e^{-z^2/2} dz + O(k^{-2}(\log k)^2) \\ \sim (2\pi)^{-1/2} k^{-1+\epsilon/2} ((2 - \epsilon) \log k)^{-1/2}, \text{*) as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_2 P(C_k C_l) \leq n^{\epsilon/4} \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k) = o\left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k) \right)^2 \right\}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By Lemma 9 we have, for  $k^{1/(1+\epsilon)} < k^{1/(1+\gamma)} \leq l < k$ ,

$$|P(C_k C_l) - P(C_k)P(C_l)| = o(P(C_k)P(C_l)), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

and by (5.3), it is seen that

\*)  $P$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

$$(5.5) \quad \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k) \right\}^2 \sim 2 \sum_1 P(C_k)P(C_l) \sim 2 \sum_1 P(C_k C_l), \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Using the inequality  $e^x \leq (1+x) \exp\{2^{-1}(x^2 + |x|^3)\}$  for  $|x| < 1/3$  and the multiplicative orthogonality of  $\{Q_m(t)\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ \frac{u_k X_k}{V_k} - \frac{u_k^2 P'_k(t)}{2} (1 + u_k \eta_k) + \frac{u_l X_l}{V_l} - \frac{u_l^2 P'_l(t)}{2} (1 + u_l \eta_l) \right\} dt \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \prod_{m=N(k)+1}^{N(k+1)} (1 + u_k Q_m V_k^{-1}) \prod_{s=N(l)+1}^{N(l+1)} (1 + u_l Q_s V_l^{-1}) dt = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Tschebyshev's inequality, it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_r V_r^{-1} - 2^{-1}P'_r(t)(1 + u_r \eta_r)u_r \geq y_r, r = k, l\} \\ \leq \exp(-y_k u_k - y_l u_l). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\lambda_N = r^2$  in Lemma 5, it is seen that

$$P\{P'_r(t) > 1 + r^{-1}\} \leq C e^{-r}, \text{ for some constant } C > 0.$$

Since (5.1), (5.2) and (3.7) imply that  $C_r \subset \{X_r V_r^{-1} > y_r + 2^{-1}(1+r^{-1})(1+u_r \eta_r)u_r\}$  for  $r > r_0$ , we have, for  $n > n_0$  and  $k > l \geq n^{\epsilon/4}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_k C_l) & \leq P\{C_k C_l \text{ and } \bigcup_{r=k,l} \{P'_r(t) > 1 + r^{-1}\}\} \\ & \quad + P\{X_r V_r^{-1} > y_r + 2^{-1}P'_r(t)(1 + u_r \eta_r)u_r, P'_r(t) \leq 1 + r^{-1}, r = k, l\} \\ & \leq 2C \exp(-n^{\epsilon/4}) + \exp\{-(1 - \epsilon'/2) \log k - (1 - \epsilon'/2) \log l\} \\ & \leq C' k^{-1+\epsilon'/2} l^{-1+\epsilon'/2}, \text{ for some } C' > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (5.2) and (5.3)

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_2 P(C_k C_l) & = O(n^{\epsilon'(1+(1+r)^{-1}/2)}) \\ & = o\left\{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k)\right)^2\right\}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) we can prove the lemma.

Since  $\epsilon$  in Lemma 11 is small as we please, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \overline{\lim}_k (2V_k^2 \log k)^{-1/2} X_k(t) \geq 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

Let  $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1/2$ , be an arbitrary number. Then by (3.7) we can take the constant  $\theta$  which is used to define  $\{N(k)\}$  in § 4 so large that

$$D_{N(k)}^2 \leq \delta^2 D_{N(k+1)}^2,$$

then

$$V_k^2 = D_{N(k+1)}^2 - D_{N(k)}^2 \geq (1 - \delta^2) D_{N(k+1)}^2 \geq (1 - \delta)^2 \theta^{2(k+1)}.$$

By Lemma 5 and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \overline{\lim}_k (2D_{N^{(k+1)}}^2 \log \log D_{N^{(k+1)}})^{-1/2} \sum_1^k X_m(t) \\
 & \geq \overline{\lim}_k (2\theta^{2(k+1)} \log k)^{-1/2} \sum_1^k X_m(t) \\
 & \geq \overline{\lim}_k (2\theta^{2(k+1)} \log k)^{-1/2} X_k(t) - \overline{\lim}_k (2\theta^{2(k+1)} \log k)^{-1/2} \sum_1^{k-1} X_m(t) \\
 & \geq (1 - \delta) - \delta = 1 - 2\delta \quad \text{a.e.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta$  is arbitrary we can prove (3.8).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. RÉNYI, Probability Theory, Akad. Kiado, Budapest (1970).
- [2] P. RÉVÉSZ, The law of the iterated logarithm for multiplicative systems, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 21 (1972), 557-564.
- [3] S. M. SADIKOVA, On two dimensional analogue of an inequality of Essen with applications to the central limit theorem, Theory of Prob. and its Appl. 11 (1966), 325-335.
- [4] S. TAKAHASHI, On the law of the iterated logarithm for lacunary trigonometric series, Tôhoku Math. J., 24 (1972), 319-329.
- [5] M. WEISS, The law of the iterated logarithm for lacunary trigonometric series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 91 (1959), 448-469.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY  
KANAZAWA, JAPAN

