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1. Introduction. In additive number theory an important topic is the problem of finding an asymptotic formula for the number of solutions of a given congruence. In many additive congruences the error term estimates of asymptotic formulas contain logarithmic factors. The aim of the present paper is to illustrate application of double exponential sums and a multidimensional smoothing argument in removing these factors for a class of additive problems.

Let $g$ be a primitive root modulo an odd prime number $p$ and let $K, N$ and $M$ be any integers with $1 \leq K, N<p$. We start by recalling the well known formula of Montgomery [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{K N}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} p\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ denotes the number of integers $x \in[H+1, H+K]$ such that $g^{x} \in[M+1, M+N]$. In this paper we establish the following statement.

Theorem 1. The following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J-\frac{K N}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that the notations $A \ll B$ and $A=O(B)$ are both equivalent to $|A| \leq c B$ for some absolute positive constant $c$.

Estimate (2) gives the asymptotic formula $J \sim K N / p$ in the range

$$
K N p^{-3 / 2} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

while formula (1) gives the same asymptotic formula when

$$
K N p^{-3 / 2} \log ^{-2} p \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover, if $K N \ll p^{3 / 2}$, then our estimate guarantees the bound $J \ll$ $p^{1 / 2}$, while formula (1) provides the bound $J \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} p$. Also note that
estimate (2) improves (1) in the range $K N \leq p^{3 / 2+o(1)}$ and coincides with (1) for larger values of $K N$.

The method that we use to prove Theorem 1 is applicable to a class of other well known additive problems. For a given integer $h \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, denote by $J_{1}$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
g^{x}-g^{y} \equiv h(\bmod p), \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq N
$$

In [7] (see also [10]) the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=\frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} p\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been established. In the present paper we prove
ThEOREM 2. The following estimate holds:

$$
J_{1}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}
$$

We see that Theorem 2 provides the asymptotic formula $J_{1} \sim N^{2} / p$ in the range

$$
N p^{-3 / 4} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

while (3) gives the same asymptotic formula when

$$
N p^{-3 / 4} \log ^{-2} p \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

We mention that in a series of recent works it has been proved that any residue class $h(\bmod p)$ is representable in the form

$$
h \equiv g^{x}-g^{y}(\bmod p), \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq c p^{3 / 4}
$$

for a suitably chosen constant $c$ (see $[2,5,9]$ ). In [3] it is shown that one can take $c=2^{5 / 4}$.

The following result has been obtained in [8]:
Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subset\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ with $u$ and $v$ elements respectively, and let $S$ and $T$ be any integers with $1 \leq T \leq p$. If $J_{2}$ denotes the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
x y \equiv z(\bmod p), \quad x \in \mathcal{U}, y \in \mathcal{V}, S+1 \leq z \leq S+T
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{2}-\frac{u v T}{p}\right|<2(p u v)^{1 / 2} \log p \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our approach leads to
Theorem 3. The following estimate holds:

$$
J_{2}-\frac{u v T}{p} \ll(p u v)^{1 / 2} \log \left(u v T^{2} p^{-3}+2\right)
$$

From Theorem 3 we derive the asymptotic formula $J_{2} \sim u v T / p$ under the condition

$$
u v T^{2} p^{-3} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

while estimate (4) gives the same formula only when

$$
u v T^{2} p^{-3} \log ^{-2} p \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty
$$

We remark that estimate (4) (even with constant 2 on the right hand side replaced by 1) is a consequence of the Vinogradov double exponential sum estimate (see Lemma 5 below) and the inequality

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{n=S+1}^{S+T} e^{2 \pi i a n / p}\right|<p^{1 / 2} \log p
$$

(see, for example, the proof of Lemma 5 in [4, p. 109]).
Theorem 4. Let $h \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and let $J_{3}$ denote the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
x y \equiv h(\bmod p), \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq N
$$

Then

$$
J_{3}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)
$$

In particular, the asymptotic formula $J_{3} \sim N^{2} / p$ holds when $N p^{-3 / 4}$ $\rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. In passing we remark that the argument of our paper can be used in a series of other related problems.

For more information on very recent results on distribution properties of special sequences related to our paper we refer the reader to [1]-[3], [5], [7], [9], [10] and references therein.

## 2. Lemmas

Lemma 5. Let $m$ be a positive integer, and let a be an integer coprime to $m$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{x=0}^{m-1} \sum_{y=0}^{m-1} \nu(x) \varrho(y) e^{2 \pi i a x y / m}\right| \leq \sqrt{m X Y}
$$

for any complex numbers $\nu(x), \varrho(y)$ with

$$
\sum_{x=0}^{m-1}|\nu(x)|^{2}=X, \quad \sum_{y=0}^{m-1}|\varrho(y)|^{2}=Y
$$

The proof of this lemma can be found in [11, p. 142].

Lemma 6. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}, A, B$ and $m$ be any integers, $1 \leq A, B \leq m$. Then

$$
W:=\sum_{a=0}^{m-1}\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} e^{2 \pi i a x / m}\right|\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a y / m}\right| \ll m A \log \left(B A^{-1}+2\right)
$$

Proof. If $A \geq B$, then applying the Cauchy inequality we obtain

$$
W^{2} \leq \sum_{a=0}^{m-1}\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} e^{2 \pi i a x / m}\right|^{2} \sum_{a=0}^{m-1}\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a y / m}\right|^{2}=m^{2} A B \leq m^{2} A^{2}
$$

whence the result.
Let $A<B$. Then

$$
W \leq 2 W_{1}+2 W_{2}+2 W_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{1}= & \sum_{0 \leq a \leq m / B}\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} e^{2 \pi i a x / m}\right|\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a y / m}\right| \\
W_{2}= & \sum_{m / B<a \leq \min \{m / A, m / 2\}}\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} e^{2 \pi i a x / m}\right|\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a y / m}\right| \\
W_{3}= & \sum_{\min \{m / A, m / 2\}<a \leq m / 2}\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} e^{2 \pi i a x / m}\right|\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a y / m}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The trivial estimate shows

$$
W_{1} \ll(m / B) A B \leq m A
$$

To estimate $W_{2}$ we recall that for $1 \leq a \leq m / 2$,

$$
\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a y / m}\right| \ll \frac{m}{a}
$$

Then, estimating the sum over $x$ trivially, we obtain

$$
W_{2} \ll A \sum_{m / B<a \leq m / A} \frac{m}{a} \ll m A \log \left(B A^{-1}+2\right)
$$

Finally, for $W_{3}$ we have

$$
W_{3} \ll \sum_{a>m / A} \frac{m^{2}}{a^{2}} \ll m A
$$

Therefore, $W \ll m A \log \left(B A^{-1}+2\right)$.

Lemma 7. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}, A, B$ be any integers with $1 \leq A, B \leq p-1$. Then for any integer a with $(a, p)=1$,

$$
I:=\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} \sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i a g^{x+y} / p}\right| \ll p^{1 / 2} A \log \left(B A^{-1}+2\right) .
$$

The same estimate holds if in the exponent the function $g^{x+y}$ is replaced by $g^{x-y}$.

Proof. Applying the smoothing argument, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\frac{1}{p-1}\left|\sum_{b=0}^{p-2} \sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} \sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} e^{2 \pi i a g^{z} / p} e^{2 \pi i b(x+y-z) /(p-1)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-2}\left|\sum_{z=1}^{p-1} e^{2 \pi i a g^{z} / p} e^{-2 \pi i b z /(p-1)}\right|\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} \sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i b(x+y) /(p-1)}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum over $z$ is a Gauss sum, so its absolute value is equal to $p^{1 / 2}$ for any integer $b \not \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))$ and is equal to 1 for $b \equiv 0(\bmod (p-1))$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \ll p^{-1 / 2} \sum_{b=0}^{p-2}\left|\sum_{x=L_{1}+1}^{L_{1}+A} e^{2 \pi i b x /(p-1)}\right|\left|\sum_{y=L_{2}+1}^{L_{2}+B} e^{2 \pi i b y /(p-1)}\right| \\
& \ll p^{1 / 2} A \log \left(B A^{-1}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have also used Lemma 6 with $m=p-1$.
The estimate of the sum with $g^{x-y}$ in the exponent instead of $g^{x+y}$ is completely analogous.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. If $N>p / 2$ then $J$ is equal to $K$ minus the number of integers $x$ for which

$$
H+1 \leq x \leq H+K, \quad g^{x} \in[M+N+1, M+p](\bmod p)
$$

where now $p-N<p / 2$. For this reason it is sufficient to consider the case $N<p / 2$. By the same argument we may suppose that $K<p / 2$. Also note that if $K \leq 10$ or $N \leq 10$, then the estimate becomes trivial, since in this case we have $J \leq 10$. Therefore, we may assume that $10 \leq K, N<p / 2$.

Let $K_{1}, N_{1}$ be some positive integers with $K_{1}<K$ and $N_{1}<N$. Denote by $J^{\prime}$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
g^{x+z} \equiv y+t(\bmod p)
$$

subject to the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
H+1 \leq x \leq H+\left(K-K_{1}\right), & & 1 \leq z \leq K_{1} \\
M+1 \leq y \leq M+\left(N-N_{1}\right), & & 1 \leq t \leq N_{1}
\end{array}
$$

It is obvious that for fixed integers $z$ and $t$ the corresponding number of solutions of the above congruence (in variables $x$ and $y$ ) is not greater than $J$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \geq \frac{J^{\prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, let $J^{\prime \prime}$ be the number of solutions to the congruence

$$
g^{x-z} \equiv y-t(\bmod p)
$$

subject to the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H+1 \leq x \leq H+K+K_{1}, & 1 \leq z \leq K_{1} \\
M+1 \leq y \leq M+N+N_{1}, & 1 \leq t \leq N_{1}
\end{array}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \leq \frac{J^{\prime \prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\frac{J^{\prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}-\frac{K N}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{J^{\prime \prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}-\frac{K N}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)
$$

for some $K_{1}, N_{1}$. To prove it we express $J^{\prime}$ by means of trigonometric sums:

$$
J^{\prime}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x=H+1}^{H+K-K_{1}} \sum_{z=1}^{K_{1}} \sum_{y=M+1}^{M+N-N_{1}} \sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a\left(g^{x+z}-y-t\right) / p}
$$

Isolating the term corresponding to $a=0$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime}= & \frac{K_{1} N_{1}\left(K-K_{1}\right)\left(N-N_{1}\right)}{p} \\
& +\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{x=H+1}^{H+K-K_{1}} \sum_{z=1}^{K_{1}} \sum_{y=M+1}^{M+N-N_{1}} \sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a\left(g^{x+z}-y-t\right) / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $1 \leq a \leq p-1$ we have, according to Lemma 7,

$$
\left|\sum_{x=H+1}^{H+K-K_{1}} \sum_{z=1}^{K_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a g^{x+z} / p}\right| \ll p^{1 / 2} K_{1} \log \left(K K_{1}^{-1}+2\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime}- & \frac{K_{1} N_{1}\left(K-K_{1}\right)\left(N-N_{1}\right)}{p} \\
& \ll p^{-1 / 2} K_{1} \log \left(K K_{1}^{-1}+2\right) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{y=M+1}^{M+N-N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a y / p}\right|\left|\sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a t / p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 6 the sum over $a$ is $\ll p N_{1} \log \left(N N_{1}^{-1}+2\right)$. Hence, $J^{\prime}-\frac{K_{1} N_{1}\left(K-K_{1}\right)\left(N-N_{1}\right)}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} K_{1} N_{1} \log \left(K K_{1}^{-1}+2\right) \log \left(N N_{1}^{-1}+2\right)$,
whence

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{J^{\prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}= & \frac{\left(K-K_{1}\right)\left(N-N_{1}\right)}{p}  \tag{7}\\
& +O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log \left(K K_{1}^{-1}+2\right) \log \left(N N_{1}^{-1}+2\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $K N<100 p^{3 / 2}$, then we choose $K_{1}=[K / 2], N_{1}=[N / 2]$ and obtain

$$
\frac{J^{\prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)=\frac{K N}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)\right)
$$

If $K N>100 p^{3 / 2}$, then we put

$$
V=K N p^{-3 / 2} \log ^{-2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}\right)
$$

and observe that $2<V \leq \min \{K, N\}$. Thus, we can choose $K_{1}$ and $N_{1}$ to be

$$
K_{1}=[K / V], \quad N_{1}=[N / V] .
$$

Therefore, from (7) we obtain

$$
\frac{J^{\prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}-\frac{K N}{p} \ll \frac{K N}{p V}+p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} V \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}\right)
$$

Thus, in both cases we have

$$
\frac{J^{\prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}-\frac{K N}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)
$$

whence, in view of (5), we deduce the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \geq \frac{K N}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above argument applied to $J^{\prime \prime}$ leads to

$$
\frac{J^{\prime \prime}}{K_{1} N_{1}}-\frac{K N}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)
$$

which, due to (6), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \leq \frac{K N}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(K N p^{-3 / 2}+2\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result now follows from (8) and (9).
4. Proof of Theorem 2. We may suppose that $N>10$ and also, due to (3) for example, that $N<p / 2$.

Let $N_{1}$ be a positive integer to be chosen later, $N_{1} \leq N / 4$. Denote by $J_{1}^{\prime}$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
g^{x+z}-g^{y} \equiv h g^{-t}(\bmod p)
$$

subject to the conditions

$$
1 \leq x \leq N-2 N_{1}, \quad 1 \leq z \leq N_{1}, \quad 1 \leq y \leq N-N_{1}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq N_{1}
$$

Let $J_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
g^{x-z}-g^{y} \equiv h g^{t}(\bmod p)
$$

subject to the conditions

$$
1 \leq x \leq N+2 N_{1}, \quad 1 \leq z \leq N_{1}, \quad 1 \leq y \leq N+N_{1}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq N_{1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}^{2}} \leq J_{1} \leq \frac{J_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{N_{1}^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We express $J_{1}^{\prime}$ in terms of trigonometric sums and obtain

$$
J_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-2 N_{1}} \sum_{z=1}^{N_{1}} \sum_{y=1}^{N-N_{1}} \sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a\left(g^{x+z}-g^{y}-h g^{-t}\right) / p}
$$

Isolating the term corresponding to $a=0$ and applying Lemma 7 to the sum over $x$ and $z$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{J_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}^{2}}- & \frac{\left(N-2 N_{1}\right)\left(N-N_{1}\right)}{p} \\
& \ll p^{-1 / 2} N_{1}^{-1} \log \left(N N_{1}^{-1}+2\right) \sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{y=1}^{N-N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a g^{y} / p}\right|\left|\sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a h g^{-t} / p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Application of the Cauchy inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{y=1}^{N-N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a g^{y} / p}\right|\left|\sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a h g^{-t} / p}\right| \\
& \quad \ll\left(\sum_{a=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{y=1}^{N-N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a g^{y} / p}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{a=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{t=1}^{N_{1}} e^{2 \pi i a h g^{-t} / p}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq p N^{1 / 2} N_{1}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}^{2}}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll \frac{N N_{1}}{p}+p^{1 / 2} N^{1 / 2} N_{1}^{-1 / 2} \log \left(N N_{1}^{-1}+2\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N<100 p^{3 / 4}$ then we let $N_{1}=[N / 4]$ and obtain

$$
\frac{J_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}^{2}}=O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)=\frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

If $N>100 p^{3 / 4}$, then we define

$$
V=N^{4 / 3} p^{-1} \log ^{-2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}\right)
$$

and observe that $4 \leq V \leq N$. Now put $N_{1}=[N / V]$ and observe that in this case from (11) we again have

$$
\frac{J_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}^{2}}=\frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Thus, for the $N_{1}$ chosen the above asymptotic formula holds, and hence due to (10) we have

$$
J_{1} \geq \frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Analogously,

$$
\frac{J_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{N_{1}^{2}}=\frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

whence, in view of (10),

$$
J_{1} \leq \frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
J_{1}=\frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(N^{2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)+p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and the result follows.
5. Proof of Theorem 3. We remark that if $T \leq 10$, then the statement follows from the trivial estimates $J_{2} \leq 10 u, J_{2} \leq 10 v$ and the fact that the error term in this case dominates. Furthermore, without loss of generality we may assume that $T<p / 2$.

Let $T_{1} \leq T / 2$ be an integer to be chosen later. Denote by $J_{2}^{\prime}$ the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
x y \equiv z+t(\bmod p)
$$

subject to the conditions

$$
x \in \mathcal{U}, \quad y \in \mathcal{V}, \quad S+1 \leq z \leq S+T-T_{1}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq T_{1}
$$

Let $J_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
x y \equiv z-t(\bmod p)
$$

subject to the conditions

$$
x \in \mathcal{U}, \quad y \in \mathcal{V}, \quad S+1 \leq z \leq S+T+T_{1}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq T_{1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{2}^{\prime}}{T_{1}} \leq J_{2} \leq \frac{J_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{T_{1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $J_{2}^{\prime}$ via trigonometric sums, isolating the main term, applying Lemma 5 to the double sum over $x \in \mathcal{U}, y \in \mathcal{V}$, and Lemma 6 to the double sum over $z$ and $t$, and following exactly the same lines of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain

$$
\frac{J_{2}^{\prime}}{T_{1}}-\frac{u v T}{p} \ll \frac{u v T_{1}}{p}+(p u v)^{1 / 2} \log \left(T T_{1}^{-1}+2\right)
$$

If $T^{2} u v<10000 p^{3}$, then we put $T_{1}=[T / 2]$, and in this case obtain

$$
\frac{J_{2}^{\prime}}{T_{1}}=O\left((p u v)^{1 / 2}\right)=\frac{u v T}{p}+O\left((p u v)^{1 / 2} \log \left(u v T^{2} p^{-3}+2\right)\right)
$$

If $T^{2} u v>10000 p^{3}$, then define

$$
V=\left(u v T^{2} p^{-3}\right)^{1 / 2} \log ^{-1}\left(u v T^{2} p^{-3}\right)
$$

Observe that $2 \leq V \leq T$. Let $T_{1}=[T / V]$. Then we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{2}^{\prime}}{T_{1}}-\frac{u v T}{p} \ll(p u v)^{1 / 2} \log \left(u v T^{2} p^{-3}+2\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{T_{1}}-\frac{u v T}{p} \ll(p u v)^{1 / 2} \log \left(u v T^{2} p^{-3}+2\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (12)-(14) together, we deduce Theorem 3.
6. Proof of Theorem 4. For $N \leq 10$ the statement is trivial. Furthermore, we have the well known asymptotic formula

$$
J_{3}=\frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} p\right)
$$

Therefore, to prove Theorem 4 we may assume that $10<N<p / 2$.
Let $J_{3}^{\prime}$ be the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
(x+u)(y+v) \equiv h(\bmod p), \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq N-K, 1 \leq u, v \leq K
$$

where $K<N$ is a positive integer to be chosen later. By the same argument that we have used in the previous sections, we have the inequality

$$
J_{3} \geq \frac{J_{3}^{\prime}}{K^{2}}
$$

Next, we express $J_{3}^{\prime}$ in terms of trigonometric sums:

$$
J_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-K} \sum_{y=1}^{N-K} \sum_{u=1}^{K} \sum_{v=1}^{K} e^{2 \pi i a\left(x+u-h(y+v)^{-1}\right) / p}
$$

Using the standard technique, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-K} \sum_{y=1}^{N-K} \sum_{u=1}^{K} \sum_{v=1}^{K} e^{2 \pi i\left(a\left(x+u-h z^{-1}\right)+b(z-y-v)\right) / p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the classical Weil estimate of Kloosterman sums we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{z=1}^{p-1} e^{2 \pi i\left(b z-a h z^{-1}\right) / p}\right| \leq 2 p^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. This also holds if $a \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $b \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ (even with the right hand side replaced by 1). Therefore, (16) holds if at least one of the numbers $a$ and $b$ is not divisible by $p$. Hence, in (15) isolating the term corresponding to $a=b=0$ and using (16) for other values of $a$ and $b$, we obtain

$$
J_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{(N-K)^{2} K^{2}(p-1)}{p^{2}}+2 \theta p^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x=1}^{N-K} e^{2 \pi i a x / p}\right|\left|\sum_{u=1}^{K} e^{2 \pi i a u / p}\right|\right)^{2}
$$

where $|\theta| \leq 1$. We use Lemma 6 to bound the sum over $a$. This yields

$$
J_{3}^{\prime}-\frac{(N-K)^{2} K^{2}}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} K^{2} \log ^{2}\left(N K^{-1}+2\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{3}^{\prime}}{K^{2}}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll \frac{K N}{p}+p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N K^{-1}+2\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N<100 p^{3 / 4}$, then define $K=N-1$ and deduce that in this case

$$
\frac{J_{3}^{\prime}}{K^{2}}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)
$$

Let $N>100 p^{3 / 4}$. Choose

$$
V=N^{2} p^{-3 / 2} \log ^{-2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}\right)
$$

and note that $2 \leq V \leq N$. Now define $K=[N / V]$ and observe that in this case as well from (17) we have

$$
\frac{J_{3}^{\prime}}{K^{2}}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{3} \geq \frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain a similar upper bound for $J_{3}$, define $J_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ to be the number of solutions of the congruence

$$
(x-u)(y-v) \equiv h(\bmod p), \quad 1 \leq x, y \leq N+K, 1 \leq u, v \leq K
$$

Then $J_{3} \leq K^{-2} J_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ and

$$
J_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N+K} \sum_{y=1}^{N+K} \sum_{u=1}^{K} \sum_{v=1}^{K} e^{2 \pi i\left(a\left(x-u-h z^{-1}\right)+b(z-y+v)\right) / p}
$$

The argument used to obtain lower bounds for $J_{3}^{\prime}$ and $J_{3}$ leads to the upper bound

$$
J_{3} \leq \frac{N^{2}}{p}+O\left(p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)\right)
$$

Combining this with (18), we conclude that

$$
J_{3}-\frac{N^{2}}{p} \ll p^{1 / 2} \log ^{2}\left(N p^{-3 / 4}+2\right)
$$
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