

# On the Normalization of Haar Measures in the Representation Theory of Compact Semi-Simple Lie Groups

GARTH WARNER

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a compact real semi-simple Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{j}$  a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; let  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  (respectively  $\mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}$ ) denote the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (respectively  $\mathfrak{j}$ ); let  $\Phi = \{\alpha\}$  denote the set of roots of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\Phi^+$  the positive roots (relative to some ordering of  $\Phi$ ); let  $W$  denote the Weyl group of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C})$ . Because  $\mathfrak{g}$  is compact, the Killing form  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is negative definite; agreeing to use  $-B$ , we see, therefore, that  $\mathfrak{g}$  may be equipped with the structure of a Euclidean space in a natural way. It is well-known that the restriction of  $B$  to  $\mathfrak{j}$  is non-degenerate; therefore  $\mathfrak{j}$  may also be regarded as a Euclidean space in the obvious manner.

Now suppose that  $G$  is a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; let  $J$  denote the analytic subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{j}$ —then  $J$  is a maximal torus in  $G$ . The group  $G$  operates on  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the usual way (namely through the adjoint representation); we shall agree to write  $xX$  in place of  $Ad(x)X$  ( $x \in G, X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ). Let  $d_\mathfrak{g}(X)$  (respectively  $d_\mathfrak{j}(H)$ ) denote the canonical element of volume on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (respectively  $\mathfrak{j}$ ) as measured by  $-B$ ; let  $d_G(x)$  denote normalized Haar measure on  $G$  (hence  $\int_G d_G(x) = 1$ ); let  $\pi$  denote the polynomial function on  $\mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}$  which is defined by the requirement  $\pi(H) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(H)$  ( $H \in \mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}$ )—then, according to Harish-Chandra [1, p. 105], there exists a positive constant  $\text{vol}(G)$  such that, for all continuous functions  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with compact support, we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}} f(X) d_\mathfrak{g}(X) = \text{vol}(G) \int_{\mathfrak{j}} \left| \pi(H) \right|^2 d_\mathfrak{j}(H) \int_G f(xX) d_G(x).$$

The objective of the present note is to compute  $\text{vol}(G)$ .

Let  $r$  denote the cardinality of the set  $\Phi^+$ ,  $[W]$  the order of the Weyl group  $W$ ; let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the usual scalar product on the real span (in the dual of  $\mathfrak{j}_\mathbb{C}$ ) of the elements  $\alpha$  in  $\Phi$ ; let  $\rho$  be one-half the sum of the positive roots (i.e. let  $\rho = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha$ )—then it turns out that

$$\text{vol}(G) = (2\pi)^r / ([W] \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\rho, \alpha)) \quad (\pi = 3.14 \dots).$$

Besides being of interest in its own right, this result has the following significance relative to some work of Kostant (cf. Pukanszky [1]). Thus let us suppose for the moment that  $G$  is, in addition, simply connected. As was pointed out by Kostant (and described in Pukanszky's paper), there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all equivalence classes of finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $G$  and certain  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$  (assumed to be identified with its dual through the Killing form); the  $G$ -orbits in question here are those of the form  $\Lambda + \rho$ ,  $\Lambda$  a dominant integral linear function on  $\mathfrak{j}_0$ ; each such orbit admits a  $G$ -invariant measure—the  $K$ -measure of the orbit—of finite total volume  $V_\Lambda$  (say) (cf. Pukanszky [1, p. 256]); this being so, it can then be shown (cf. Pukanszky [1, p. 262]), for any dominant integral  $\Lambda$ , that

$$V_\Lambda = \text{vol}(G) 2^r (r!) \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\Lambda + \rho, \alpha).$$

The problem of explicitly computing  $\text{vol}(G)$  was, however, left open there. Using the above stated value for  $\text{vol}(G)$ , we see that actually

$$V_\Lambda = (4\pi)^r r! [W]^{-1} \left( \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\Lambda + \rho, \alpha) / \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\rho, \alpha) \right) = (4\pi)^r r! [W]^{-1} d_\Lambda,$$

$d_\Lambda$  the dimension of the irreducible representation determined by  $\Lambda$  (we have, of course, recalled Weyl's well-known formula for  $d_\Lambda$ ). It is instructive to compare the above formula for  $V_\Lambda/d_\Lambda$  with the observations in Pukanszky [1, p. 264] on the nilpotent case.

The present method of calculating  $\text{vol}(G)$  depends upon some results of de Rham [1] and Schwartz [1] which deal with the fundamental solutions to certain partial differential equations; this technique has also been utilized by Harish-Chandra [2] in his study of the invariant integral on a non-compact semi-simple Lie algebra.

## 2. Preliminary Results.

(A) *Results of de Rham.* Let  $E$  be an  $n$ -dimensional real vector space,  $Q$  a positive definite bilinear form on  $E$ ; fix an orthonormal basis  $\{X_i\}$  in  $E$  relative to the Euclidean norm on  $E$  determined by  $Q$ ; let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  denote the corresponding set of coordinates; put  $dX = dx_1 \cdots dx_n$ —thus  $dX$  is the usual canonical element of volume on  $E$ . Let  $n_1, n_2$  be two non-negative integers such that  $n_1 + n_2 = n \geq 2$ . Put

$$u = \sum_1^{n_1} x_i^2 - \sum_{n_1+1}^n x_i^2, \quad \square = \sum_1^{n_1} (\partial/\partial x_i)^2 - \sum_{n_1+1}^n (\partial/\partial x_i)^2.$$

De Rham [1] has shown that there exists a function  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  (the real numbers) with the following properties. Let  $\Xi(X) = \xi(u(X))(X \in E; X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i)$ —then  $\Xi$  is a locally summable function on  $E$  and

$$\square^{[n/2]} \cdot \Xi = \delta$$

in the sense of distributions. [Here  $\delta$  denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at the origin while  $[n/2]$  is the greatest integer in  $n/2$ .] It is actually possible to give explicit expressions for  $\xi$  in terms of the Heaviside function  $t \mapsto Y(t)$  ( $Y(t)$  being 0 or 1 according as  $t \leq 0$  or  $t > 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ). There are four cases:

- (1)  $\xi(t) = (-1)^{(n_1-1)/2} a_n^{-1} Y(t) |t|^{-1/2}$  if  $n \equiv n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- (2)  $\xi(t) = (-1)^{n_1/2} a_n^{-1} Y(-t) |t|^{-1/2}$  if  $n \equiv n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- (3)  $\xi(t) = -(-1)^{n_1/2} b_n^{-1} \log |t|$  if  $n \equiv n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- (4)  $\xi(t) = (-1)^{(n_1-1)/2} c_n^{-1} Y(t)$  if  $n_1 \equiv n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Here

$$a_n = 2^{n-1} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma((n-1)/2), \quad b_n = \pi c_n = 2^n \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2),$$

$\Gamma$  the classical gamma function.

(B) *Results of Schwartz.* Retain the notations introduced in (A). Given  $X \in E$ , write  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i$  and set  $d(X) = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$ ; put  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial/\partial x_i)^2$ —then Schwartz [1, p. 47] has established the following points.

If  $n$  is odd

$$\Delta^{k+(n-1)/2} \cdot d^{2k-1} = c_{k,n} \delta \quad (k \geq 1)$$

and if  $n$  is even

$$\Delta^{k+n/2} \cdot (d^{2k} \log d) = c'_{k,n} \delta \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Here  $c_{k,n}$  and  $c'_{k,n}$  are non-zero numbers and the above relations are taken in the sense of the theory of distributions. The constants  $c_{k,n}$ ,  $c'_{k,n}$  are:

$$c_{k,n} = (2(k+(n-1)/2) - n)(2(k+(n-1)/2) - 2 - n) \dots (4-n)(2-n) \cdot 2^{k+(n-1)/2-1} (k-1+(n-1)/2)! \frac{2(\sqrt{\pi})^n}{\Gamma(n/2)};$$

$$c'_{k,n} = [(2(k+n/2) - n)(2(k+n/2) - 2 - n) \dots (4-n)(2-n)] \cdot 2^{k-1+n/2} (k-1+n/2)! \frac{2(\sqrt{\pi})^n}{\Gamma(n/2)}$$

where in the formula  $[[\dots]]$  the factor zero is omitted.

(C) *Results of Harish-Chandra.* (1) Let  $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$  denote the symmetric algebra over  $\mathfrak{g}_e$ ,  $I(\mathfrak{g}_e)$  the corresponding set of  $G$ -invariants in  $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ ; we shall identify  $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$  with the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{g}_e$  (via the identification of  $\mathfrak{g}_e$  with its dual through the Killing form). Let us also bear in mind that the elements of  $S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$  can be regarded, whenever it is convenient to do so, as differential operators on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; if  $p \in S(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ , then the corresponding differential operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$  will be denoted by  $\partial(p)$ —the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{g}_e)$  of the algebra of all differential operators on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which is generated by  $S(\mathfrak{g}_e) \cup \partial(S(\mathfrak{g}_e))$  is called the algebra

of polynomial differential operators (on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). If  $f$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $D$  a differential operator on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then, in what follows, we shall usually write  $f(X; D)$  instead of  $Df(X)(X \in \mathfrak{g})$ . Similar definitions and notations apply to  $\mathfrak{j}$ .

(2) Given  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , set

$$\phi_f(H) = \pi(H) \int_{\mathfrak{g}} f(xH) d_G(x) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{j}).$$

It is easy to prove that  $\phi_f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{j})$ ; moreover it can be shown (cf. Harish-Chandra [1, p. 103]) that

$$\phi_{\partial(p)f} = \partial(\tilde{p})\phi_f \quad (\text{all } p \in I(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)).$$

Here  $\tilde{p}$  denotes the restriction of the polynomial function  $p$  to  $\mathfrak{j}_\alpha$ . Harish-Chandra [1, p. 104] has also established that

$$\phi_f(0; \partial(\pi)) = [W] \prod_{\alpha > 0} (\rho, \alpha) f(0) \quad (\rho = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha).$$

(3) Let  $\omega$  denote the Casimir polynomial of  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}$  its restriction to  $\mathfrak{j}_\alpha$  (thus  $\omega(X) = \text{tr}(ad(X))^2$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ); let  $R$  and  $L$ , respectively, denote the mappings  $D \mapsto D \circ \partial(\tilde{\omega})$ ,  $D \mapsto \partial(\tilde{\omega}) \circ D$  ( $D \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{j}_\alpha)$ ) of  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{j}_\alpha)$  into itself (the circle denoting composition of differential operators); put  $\nabla = L - R$ . Let  $r$  denote the number of positive roots of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{j}_\alpha)$ —then clearly the greatest integer in  $n/2$ ,  $[n/2]$ , is  $[l/2] + r$  ( $n$  the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $l$  the dimension of  $\mathfrak{j}_\alpha$ ). Harish-Chandra [2, p. 572] has shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \circ \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{[n/2]}) &= (L - \nabla)^{[n/2]} \pi \\ &= \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{[l/2]}) \circ \eta \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{[l/2]+r}{i} (-1)^i L^{r-i} \nabla^i \pi,$$

the first term in the expression for  $\eta$  being the usual binomial coefficient. The local expression for  $\eta$  at the origin can be computed (cf. Harish-Chandra [2, p. 572])—the result is

$$\eta_0 = (-1)^r [l/2]([l/2] + 1) \cdots ([l/2] + r - 1) 2^r \partial(\pi).$$

[If  $D$  is any differential operator on  $\mathfrak{j}$  (say), then the local expression of  $D$  at a point  $H \in \mathfrak{j}$  is that unique element  $p \in S(\mathfrak{j}_\alpha)$  such that  $f(H; D) = f(H; \partial(p))$  for all  $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{j})$ .]

**3. Computation of  $\text{vol}(G)$ .** We shall agree to retain the notations introduced in  $n^{\circ}$ s 1 and 2.

The computation of  $\text{vol}(G)$  will be divided into two separate cases, according to whether  $l \geq 2$  or  $l = 1$ .

*The Case  $l \geq 2$ .* We shall employ the results of de Rham outlined in 2(A). Let  $\omega$  denote the Casimir polynomial of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}$  the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $\mathfrak{j}_0$ . Fix an orthonormal basis for  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{j})$  relative to  $(-B)$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  denote the corresponding set of coordinates—then, in the notations of 2(A),

$$u = -\sum_1^n x_i^2 = \omega, \quad \square = -\sum_1^n (\partial/\partial x_i)^2 = \partial(\omega), \quad \Xi(X) = \xi(\omega(X))(X \in \mathfrak{g}).$$

[Hence we are taking  $n_1 = 0, n_2 = n$ —plainly  $n \geq 2, \mathfrak{g}$  being semi-simple.] Since  $n \equiv l \pmod 2$ , cases (2) and (3) of 2(A) are applicable simultaneously to both  $\partial(\omega)$  and  $\partial(\tilde{\omega})$  according to whether  $l$  is odd or even, respectively. Let  $\tilde{\Xi}$  denote the function corresponding to the pair  $(\mathfrak{j}, \partial(\tilde{\omega}))$ —then we have

$$g(0) = \int_{\mathfrak{j}} \tilde{\Xi}(H)g(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d_{\mathfrak{j}}(H)$$

for all  $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{j})$ . On the other hand, for  $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{j})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{j}} \Xi(H)g(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d(H) = \begin{cases} 2^{l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma((l-1)/2)}{\Gamma(n-1)/2} g(0) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd;} \\ 2^{l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma(l/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)} g(0) & \text{if } l \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, for example, consider the case when  $l$  is odd—then

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= \int_{\mathfrak{j}} a_i^{-1} Y(-\tilde{\omega}(H)) |\tilde{\omega}(H)|^{-1/2} g(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d_{\mathfrak{j}}(H) \\ &= a_i^{-1}/a_n^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{j}} a_n^{-1} Y(-\tilde{\omega}(H)) |\tilde{\omega}(H)|^{-1/2} g(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d_{\mathfrak{j}}(H) \\ &= a_i^{-1}/a_n^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{j}} \Xi(H)g(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d(H). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\int_{\mathfrak{j}} \Xi(H)g(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d_{\mathfrak{j}}(H) = \frac{a_i}{a_n} g(0) = 2^{l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma((l-1)/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)} g(0).$$

The case of even  $l$  is treated in a similar way. Now choose an  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $f(0) = 1$ —then, as has been noted above,  $\phi_f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{j})$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = f(0) &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}} \Xi(X)\partial(\omega^{l/2})f(X) d_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \\ &= \text{vol}(G) (-1)^r \int_{\mathfrak{j}} \Xi(H)\pi(H)\phi_{\partial(\omega^{l/2}),f}(H) d_{\mathfrak{j}}(H) \\ &= \text{vol}(G) (-1)^r \int_{\mathfrak{j}} \Xi(H)\pi(H)\phi_f(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}^{l/2})) d_{\mathfrak{j}}(H) \quad (\text{cf. 2(C) - (2)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{vol}(G) (-1)^r \int_{\mathbb{1}} \Xi(H) \phi_r(H; \pi \circ \partial(\bar{\omega}^{(n/2)})) d_{\mathbb{1}}(H) \\
 &= \text{vol}(G) (-1)^r \int_{\mathbb{1}} \Xi(H) \phi_r(H; \partial(\bar{\omega}^{(l/2)}) \circ \eta) d_{\mathbb{1}}(H)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\eta$  in the last expression is as in 2(C)-(3). We recall that the local expression for  $\eta$  at zero,  $\eta_0$ , is:

$$\eta_0 = (-1)^r \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} ([l/2] + i) 2^r \partial(\pi).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= \text{vol}(G) (-1)^r \int_{\mathbb{1}} \Xi(H) \phi_r(H; \partial(\bar{\omega}^{(l/2)}) \circ \eta) d_{\mathbb{1}}(H) \\
 &= \text{vol}(G) \begin{cases} (-1)^r 2^{l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma((l-1)/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)} \phi_r(0; \eta) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd;} \\ (-1)^r 2^{l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma(l/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)} \phi_r(0; \eta) & \text{if } l \text{ is even,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and so, keeping in mind that  $\phi_r(0; \partial(\pi)) = [W] \prod_{\alpha>0} (\rho, \alpha)$  (cf. 2(C)-(2)), we find that

$$1 = \begin{cases} \left\{ [W] \prod_{\alpha>0} (\rho, \alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} ([l/2] + i) 2^{r+l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma((l-1)/2)}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)} \right\} \text{vol}(G) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd;} \\ \left\{ [W] \prod_{\alpha>0} (\rho, \alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} ([l/2] + i) 2^{r+l-n} \pi^{(l-n)/2} \frac{\Gamma(l/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)} \right\} \text{vol}(G) & \text{if } l \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ; if  $z$  is neither zero nor a negative integer, then, as is well known,

$$\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z + i) = \frac{\Gamma(z+r)}{\Gamma(z)} \quad (r \geq 1).$$

Using this relation in the last expression for 1 above, we find that

$$\text{vol}(G) = (2\pi)^r / ([W] \prod_{\alpha>0} (\rho, \alpha))$$

whether  $l$  be odd or even. Therefore the claimed value for  $\text{vol}(G)$  has been established in the case  $l \geq 2$ .

(ii) *The Case  $l = 1$ .* In this situation  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the compact real form for  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ —hence  $n = 3$ . Let us use 2(B) with  $n = 3, k = 1$ —thus

$$c_{1,3}f(0) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}} d(X) \Delta^2 f(X) d_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Here  $\Delta = -\partial(\omega)$ ,  $d(X) = (-\omega(X))^{1/2}(X \in \mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $\tilde{d}$  denote the distance function on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Choose  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $f(0) = 1$ —then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,3} &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}} d(X)\Delta^2 f(X) d_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \\ &= \text{vol}(G)(-1) \int_{\mathfrak{h}} \tilde{d}(H)\pi(H)\phi_{\partial(\omega^2),f}(H) d_{\mathfrak{h}}(H) \\ &= \text{vol}(G)(-1) \int_{\mathfrak{h}} \tilde{d}(H)\phi_f(H; \pi \circ \partial(\tilde{\omega}^2)) d_{\mathfrak{h}}(H). \end{aligned}$$

Employing the notations introduced in 2(C)–(3), note that  $\pi \circ \partial(\tilde{\omega}^2) = R^2\pi = (L - \nabla)^2\pi = (L^2 - 2L\nabla + \nabla^2)\pi = (L^2 - 2L\nabla)\pi = L(L - 2\nabla)\pi = \partial(\tilde{\omega}) \circ \mu$  where  $\mu = (L - 2\nabla)\pi$ . Now  $L = R + \nabla$ —thus  $\mu = (R - \nabla)\pi$  and so  $\mu_0 = -(\nabla\pi)_0 = -2\partial(\pi)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,3} &= \text{vol}(G)(-1) \int_{\mathfrak{h}} \tilde{d}(H)\phi_f(H; \partial(\tilde{\omega}) \circ \mu) d_{\mathfrak{h}}(H) \\ &= \text{vol}(G)(-1)^2 c_{1,1}\phi_f(0; \mu) \quad (\text{cf. } 2(\text{B})) \\ &= \text{vol}(G)(-1)^2 c_{1,1}(-2)\phi_f(0; \partial(\pi)) \\ &= (-2)[W](\rho, \alpha)c_{1,1} \text{vol}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\text{vol}(G) = c_{1,3}/(-2)[W](\rho, \alpha)c_{1,1}.$$

The values of  $c_{1,3}$  and  $c_{1,1}$  are known—see 2(B) above. Moreover in the case at hand,  $[W] = 2$ ,  $(\rho, \alpha) = 2^{-1}(\alpha, \alpha) = 1/4$ . Bearing in mind that  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ ,  $\Gamma(3/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$ , we get

$$\text{vol}(G) = \frac{(-4)2(\sqrt{\pi})^2\Gamma(1/2)}{(-2)2(1/4)4\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(3/2)} = 4\pi,$$

as desired.

The computation of  $\text{vol}(G)$  is now complete.

REFERENCES

[1] HARISH-CHANDRA, Differential operators on a semi-simple Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 79 (1957), pp. 87–120.  
 [2] ———, Some results on an invariant integral on a semi-simple Lie algebra, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. 80 (1964), pp. 551–593.  
 [3] L. PUKANZKY, On the characters and the Plancherel formula of nilpotent groups, *J. of Functional Analysis*, vol. 1 (1967), pp. 255–280.  
 [4] L. SCHWARTZ, *Theorie des Distributions*, vol. I, Hermann, Paris, 1957.  
 [5] G. DE RHAM, Solution élémentaire d'opérateurs différentiels du second ordre, *Ann. de l'Institut Fourier*, vol. 8 (1958), pp. 337–366.

Department of Mathematics,  
 University of Washington,  
 Seattle, Washington.

*Date communicated:* NOVEMBER 20, 1969