

## On the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial II

by A. SCHINZEL (Warszawa)

*Dedicated to the memory of Jacek Szarski*

**Abstract.** For a polynomial  $f$  with integral coefficients and  $f(0) \neq 0$  the number of its irreducible factors counted with or without multiplicities and the maximal multiplicity in question are estimated in terms of its degree  $|f|$  and of the sum of squares of its coefficients  $\|f\|$  roughly by  $\sqrt{|f|\log\|f\|}$ . The estimates in their exact form are nearly best possible.

This paper is a sequel to [9] and the same notation is used. For a polynomial  $f$  with integral coefficients  $|f|$  is its degree,  $\|f\|$  the sum of squares of its coefficients; if  $|f| \geq 1$  then  $\Omega(f)$  and  $\omega(f)$  are the number of irreducible factors of  $f$  counted with or without multiplicities, respectively,  $\Omega_1(f)$  and  $\omega_1(f)$  the relevant numbers of irreducible non-cyclotomic factors. Finally,  $m(f)$  is the maximal multiplicity of a factor of  $f$ . Clearly,

$$\max\{\omega(f), m(f)\} \leq \Omega(f) \leq |f|$$

and it has been proved in [9] that if  $f(0) \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(f) &\leq \sqrt{26|f|\log 7|f|\log\|f\|}, \\ (1) \quad \omega(f) - \omega_1(f) &\ll |f|^{1/2}, \\ \Omega(f) - \Omega_1(f) &\ll |f|^{3/4}(\log\log|f|)^{1/2}(\log\|f\|)^{1/2} \quad (|f| \geq 3). \end{aligned}$$

Here as everywhere in the sequel the constants implicit in the Vinogradov symbol  $\ll$  are absolute.

It has been conjectured in [9] that for every  $\varepsilon$  between 0 and 1

$$(2) \quad \omega(f) = o(|f|^\varepsilon)(\log\|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon},$$

$$(3) \quad \Omega(f) = O(|f|^\varepsilon)(\log\|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Dobrowolski's result on the Lehmer problem about algebraic integers implies (see [1], Theorem 2)

$$(4) \quad \Omega_1(f) = O(|f|^\varepsilon)(\log\|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}$$

for every  $\varepsilon$  between 0 and 1; on the other hand, he has disproved conjectures (2) and (3) for every  $\varepsilon < 1/2$  or  $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$ , respectively. For  $m(f)$  there is an estimate implicit in a theorem of Erdős and Turán [3], namely

$$(5) \quad m(f) < 16 \sqrt{n \log |a_0 a_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{i=0}^n |a_i|}, \quad \text{where } f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^{n-i}$$

(this has been pointed out to the author by M. Mignotte).

An easy modification of the proof of (4) gives

**THEOREM 1.** *For every  $\varepsilon$  between 0 and 1 and every polynomial  $f$  with integral coefficients and  $f(0) \neq 0$*

$$\omega_1(f) = o(|f|^\varepsilon) (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Our main result is

**THEOREM 2.** *For every polynomial  $f$  with integral coefficients and  $f(0) \neq 0$*

$$(6) \quad \omega(f) = o(\sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|}) \quad (|f| \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(7) \quad m(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|},$$

$$(8) \quad \Omega(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\| \log r \log \log r},$$

$$r = \max\left(3, \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right).$$

**COROLLARY.** *For every  $\varepsilon$  between 0 and 1/2*

$$(9) \quad \Omega(f) = O(|f|^{1/2+\varepsilon}) (\log \|f\|)^{1/2-\varepsilon}.$$

The given estimates are quite precise, as it is shown by the examples

$$f_1(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x-i), \quad f_2(x) = (x-1)^n, \quad f_3(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x^i-1)^{n-i+1}.$$

Here  $|f_1| = |f_2| = n$ ,  $|f_3| \sim n^3$ ,  $\log \|f_1\| \ll n \log n$ ,  $\log \|f_2\| \ll n$ ,  $\log \|f_3\| \ll n \log n$  (see [1], p. 401),  $\omega(f_1) = n$ ,  $m(f_2) = n$ ,  $\Omega(f_3) \gg n^2 \log n$ . Hence for  $f = f_1$  the left-hand side of (6) is  $n$ , the right-hand side is  $o(n(\log n)^{1/2})$ , for  $f = f_2$  the left-hand side of (7) and (9) is  $n$ , the right-hand side is  $\ll n$ , for  $f = f_3$  the left-hand side of (8) is  $\gg n^2 \log n$ , the right-hand side is  $\ll n^2 \log n \cdot \log \log n$ . Note that (7) is weaker than (5), but the proof will be entirely different. There is still room to conjecture that

$$\Omega(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\| \log r}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Put

$$M(f) = |a_f| \prod_{i=1}^n \max\{1, |a_i|\}$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are the zeros of the polynomial  $f$  listed with proper multiplicity and  $a_f$  is its leading coefficient.

Let

$$(10) \quad f(x) = f_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^{\omega_1} f_i(x)^{\beta_i},$$

where  $f_i$  are for  $i > 0$  distinct non-cyclotomic polynomials and  $f_0$  is a product of cyclotomic factors. Then

$$M(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega_1} M(f_i)^{\beta_i}.$$

Landau [4] showed that  $M(f) \leq \|f\|^{1/2}$ , thus

$$\log \|f\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} \log M(f_i).$$

On the other hand, by comparison of degrees in (10),

$$\|f\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} |f_i|.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} |f_i|^\epsilon (\log M(f_i))^{1-\epsilon} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} |f_i| \right)^\epsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} \log M(f_i) \right)^{1-\epsilon} \\ \leq \|f\|^\epsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\epsilon}.$$

Take an arbitrary  $A > 0$  and consider separately  $i \leq \omega_1$  such that

$$(12) \quad |f_i|^\epsilon (\log M(f_i))^{1-\epsilon} \geq A$$

and the remaining ones. The number of  $i$ 's satisfying (12) does not exceed, in virtue of (11),  $\|f\|^\epsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\epsilon} / A$ .

On the other hand, by Dobrowolski's theorem ([1], Theorem 1) either  $|f_i| \leq 2$  or

$$\log M(f_i) \geq \left( \frac{\log \log |f_i|}{\log |f_i|} \right)^3,$$

whence for a certain  $c(\epsilon) > 1$

$$\log M(f_i) \geq \frac{1}{c(\epsilon) |f_i|^\epsilon}.$$

Thus the negation of (12) gives  $|f_i|^{\epsilon^2} < c(\epsilon) A$  and also  $\log M(f_i) < A^{1/(1-\epsilon)}$ .

The number of polynomials  $g$  with integral coefficients with bounded  $|g|$  and  $M(g)$  is finite; hence the number of  $i$ 's for which (12) fails does not exceed  $B(\varepsilon, A)$  independent of  $f$ . Eventually we obtain

$$\omega_1 = \omega_1(f) \leq \frac{|f|^s (\log \|f\|)^{1-s}}{A} + B(\varepsilon, A)$$

and since  $A$  is arbitrary, the theorem follows.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need several lemmata.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\Phi_n(x)$  be the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial,  $\Phi_n(x)^{e_n} \|f(x)$ . Then for every prime  $p$

$$\varepsilon_n \leq \frac{\varphi(np)}{\varphi(n) \log p} \left( \log \left( \frac{|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \right) + \log \|f\| \right),$$

where  $\varphi$  is Euler's function.

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = \Phi_{np}(x)^{e_{np}} \Phi_n(x)^{e_n} g(x), \quad g \in Z[x].$$

Differentiating  $e_{np}$  times and substituting afterwards for  $x$  a primitive  $np$ th root of unity,  $\zeta_{np}$ , we get

$$(13) \quad f^{(e_{np})}(\zeta_{np}) = (e_{np})! \Phi_{np}'(\zeta_{np})^{e_{np}} \Phi_n(\zeta_{np}) g(\zeta_{np}).$$

Taking norms from  $Q(\zeta_{np})$  to the rational field  $Q$ , we obtain

$$(14) \quad |N(f^{(e_{np})}(\zeta_{np}))| \leq |\overline{f^{(e_{np})}(\zeta_{np})}|^{\varphi(np)} \leq \left( \varepsilon_{np}! \left( \frac{|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \right) \|f\| \right)^{\varphi(np)},$$

$$(15) \quad |N(\Phi_{np}'(\zeta_{np})^{e_{np}} g(\zeta_{np}))| \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, since  $\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N\Phi_n(\zeta_{np}) &= \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j, np)=1}}^{np} \Phi_n(\zeta_{np}^j) = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j, np)=1}}^{np} \prod_{d|n} (\zeta_{np}^{dj} - 1)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})} \\ &= \pm \prod_{d|n} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j, np)=1}}^{np} \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_n^j}{d^p}\right)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})} = \pm \prod_{d|n} \Phi_{\frac{n}{d}p}(1)^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})} \frac{\varphi(np)}{\varphi(\frac{n}{d}p)}. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\Phi_r(1) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } r = q^a, q \text{ prime,} \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

hence

$$N\Phi_n(\zeta_{np}) = \begin{cases} \pm \Phi_p(1)^{\varphi(np)/\varphi(p)} & \text{if } p \nmid n, \\ \pm \Phi_p(1)^{\varphi(np)/\varphi(p)} \Phi_{p^2}(1)^{-\varphi(np)/\varphi(p^2)} & \text{if } p | n. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(16) \quad |N\Phi_n(\zeta_{np})| = p^{\varphi(n)}.$$

The lemma follows from (13)–(16).

LEMMA 2. *There exists an absolute constant  $c \geq e^2$  such that in the notation of Lemma 1 we have*

$$\sum^* \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n)+1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll |f| \log \|f\| \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|},$$

where  $\omega(n)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ ,  $\sum^*$  is taken over all  $n$  with  $\varepsilon_n > c \log \|f\|$ .

Proof. Let us assume that  $\varepsilon_n \geq e^2 \log \|f\|$  and define  $b_n$  by the equation

$$(17) \quad \frac{b_n}{\log b_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2 \log \|f\|} \geq \frac{e^2}{2} > \frac{2}{\log 2}.$$

Since  $x/\log x$  is increasing for  $x \geq e$ , we have

$$(18) \quad b_n \geq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2 \log \|f\|} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}.$$

Let us consider prime numbers  $p \leq b_n$ . For such primes  $p$  we have

$$\frac{p}{\log p} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2 \log \|f\|};$$

hence by Lemma 1

$$\varepsilon_{np} \log \frac{e|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \geq \log \left( \frac{|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \right) \geq \frac{\varphi(n) \log p}{\varphi(np)} \varepsilon_n - \log \|f\| \geq \log \|f\|.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{e|f|/\varepsilon_{np}}} \geq \frac{\log e|f|/\varepsilon_{np}}{e|f|/\varepsilon_{np}} \geq \frac{\log \|f\|}{e|f|} \geq \left( \frac{\log \|f\|}{|f|} \right)^{3/2}$$

(note that  $|f| \geq \varepsilon_n \geq e^2 \log \|f\|$ ); hence

$$\frac{e|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \leq \left( \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \right)^3, \quad \log \frac{e|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \leq 3 \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}$$

and by Lemma 1

$$3\varphi(np) \varepsilon_{np} \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \geq \varphi(n) \varepsilon_n \log p - \varphi(n) p \log \|f\|.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq b_n} \log p &= b_n + O(b_n / \log b_n), \\ \sum_{p \leq b_n} p &= \frac{b_n^2}{2 \log b_n} + O\left(\frac{b_n^2}{(\log b_n)^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & 3 \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \sum_{p \leq b_n} \varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np) \\ & \geq b_n \varphi(n) \left( \varepsilon_n - \frac{b_n \log \|f\|}{2 \log b_n} \right) + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon_n \varphi(n) b_n}{\log b_n} \right) + O \left( \frac{b_n^2}{(\log b_n)^2} \varphi(n) \log \|f\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and using (17) and (18)

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad 3 \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \sum_{p \leq b_n} \varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np) & \geq \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_n \varphi(n) b_n + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\|} \right) \\ & \geq \frac{3}{8} \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\|} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let the constant implicit in the  $O$  symbol on the right-hand side of (19) be  $c_1$ . We now choose a constant  $c \geq e^2$  such that

$$\log c \geq 4c_1.$$

Then if  $\varepsilon_n > c \log \|f\|$ , we get

$$c_1 \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log f} \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\|} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}$$

and (19) gives

$$3 \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \sum_{p \leq b_n} \varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np) \geq \frac{1}{8} \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\|} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}.$$

Summing over  $n$  subject to the condition  $\varepsilon_n > c \log \|f\|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad 3 \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \sum_n^* \sum_{p \leq b_n} \frac{\varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np)}{\omega(n)+1} \\ \geq \frac{1}{8} \sum_n^* \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\| (\omega(n)+1)} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for every  $m$

$$1 \geq \sum_{p|m} \frac{1}{\omega(m/p)+1};$$

hence

$$|f| \geq \sum \varepsilon_m \varphi(m) \geq \sum_n \sum_p \frac{\varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np)}{\omega(n)+1}.$$

This together with (20) gives the lemma.

LEMMA 3. We have

$$A(x) = \sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} 1 \ll x$$

and for  $x \geq 2$

$$B(x) = \sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \ll \log x.$$

Proof. The estimate for  $A(x)$  is due to Erdős [2]. The estimate for  $B(x)$  is obtained by partial summation (see [8], p. 371) as follows:

$$B(x) = \frac{A(x)}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{A(\xi)}{\xi^2} d\xi \ll 1 + \int_1^x \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \ll \log x.$$

LEMMA 4. For every  $a \geq 2$  and  $x \geq 3$

$$\sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} \frac{\omega(n) + 1}{\varphi(n) \log(ax/\varphi(n))} \ll (\log \log x)^2.$$

Proof. We shall first show that for  $\xi \geq 3$

$$(21) \quad C(\xi) = \sum_{\varphi(n) \leq \xi} \omega(n) \ll \xi \log \log \xi.$$

By a theorem of Landau ([5], p. 216) for a suitable constant  $b$  and  $\xi \geq 3$

$$\varphi(n) \leq \xi \quad \text{implies} \quad n \leq b\xi \log \log \xi = \eta > 1.$$

Now by Lemma 2 in [9]

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq \eta \\ \omega(n) > 10 \log \log \eta}} \omega(n) \ll \frac{\eta}{\log \eta}.$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\varphi(n) \leq \xi \\ \omega(n) > 10 \log \log \eta}} \omega(n) \ll \frac{\eta}{\log \eta} \ll \frac{\xi \log \log \xi}{\log \xi}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3

$$\sum_{\substack{\varphi(n) \leq \xi \\ \omega(n) \leq 10 \log \log \eta}} \omega(n) \leq 10 \log \log \eta \cdot A(\xi) \ll \xi \log \log \xi.$$

Thus (21) follows. Now using partial summation, we get

$$\sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} \frac{\omega(n)}{\varphi(n) \log(ax/\varphi(n))} \leq \frac{C(x)}{x \log a} + \int_1^x \frac{\log ax - \log \xi - 1}{\xi^2 (\log ax/\xi)^2} C(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{x \log \log x}{x \log a} + \int_1^3 \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2 \log ax/\xi} + \int_3^x \frac{\xi \log \log \xi}{\xi^2 |\log ax/\xi|} d\xi \\ &\ll \log \log x + \frac{1}{\log a} + \log \log x \int_3^x \frac{d\xi}{\xi \log ax - \log \xi} \\ &\ll \log \log x - \log \log x \cdot \log(\log ax - \log \xi)|_3^x \\ &= \log \log x - \log \log x \cdot \log \log a + \log \log x \cdot \log \log \frac{ax}{3} \\ &\ll (\log \log x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} \frac{\omega(n) + 1}{\varphi(n) \log(ax/\varphi(n))} \leq \frac{1}{\log ax} + 2 \sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} \frac{\omega(n)}{\varphi(n) \log(ax/\varphi(n))},$$

the lemma follows.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** By Theorem 1 with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\omega_1(f) = o(\sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|}) \quad (|f| \rightarrow \infty),$$

thus in order to prove (6) it is enough to show that

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) = o(\sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|}) \quad (|f| \rightarrow \infty).$$

Take a number  $A$  arbitrarily large. If  $\log \|f\| \geq A^2$  we have by (1)

$$(22) \quad \omega(f) - \omega_1(f) \ll |f|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{A} \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|}.$$

If  $\log \|f\| \leq A^2$  the number of distinct terms of  $f(x)$  does not exceed  $\|f\| \ll \exp A^2$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^{a_i}$ ,  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k$ . Suppose that  $\Phi_n(x) | f(x)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \zeta_n^{a_i} = 0$  and there exists a subset  $S$  of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  such that

$$a_0 + \sum_{i \in S} a_i \zeta_n^{a_i} = 0,$$

but no subsum of the left-hand side vanishes. It follows from the result of Mann on sums of roots of unity ([6], Theorem 1, see also [7], Lemma 2) that  $q = n / (n, \text{g.c.d. } a_i)$  is square-free and is composed entirely of primes  $\leq k + 1$ . Hence  $n$  is of the form  $q d$ , where  $q | \prod_{p \leq k+1} p$  and  $d | a_i$  for an  $i \in S$ . The number of pairs  $\langle q, d \rangle$  satisfying these conditions is less than  $2^{k+1} \sum_{i \in S} d(a_i)$ , where  $d(m)$  is the divisor function. Thus we get

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) < 2^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k d(a_i).$$



However,  $d(m) \ll m^{1/4}$ , hence

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) \leq 2^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{1/4} \leq 2^{k+1} k |f|^{1/4} \leq |f|^{1/4} \exp \exp A^2.$$

If  $|f|^{1/4} > 2A \exp \exp A^2$  we get

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) < \frac{|f|^{1/2}}{2A} \leq \frac{\sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|}}{A}$$

which together with (22) proves (6).

In order to prove (7) and (8) let us observe that the multiplicity  $m$  of an irreducible non-cyclotomic factor of  $f$  does not exceed  $\Omega_1(f)$ . Hence by (4) with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$

$$m \leq \Omega_1(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|}.$$

It remains to show in the notation of Lemma 1 that for every  $n$

$$(23) \quad \varepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|} = B_1(f)$$

and

$$(24) \quad \sum \varepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|} \log r \log \log r = B_2(f), \quad r = \max(3, |f|/\log \|f\|).$$

We set

$$l = \log r$$

and in order to prove (23) we distinguish three cases:

- (i)  $\varphi(n) > \sqrt{r}$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(n) \leq \sqrt{r}$  and  $\varepsilon_n \leq c \log \|f\|$ ,
- (iii)  $\varphi(n) \leq \sqrt{r}$  and  $\varepsilon_n > c \log \|f\|$

( $c$  is the constant of Lemma 2). The obvious inequality

$$(25) \quad \sum \varepsilon_n \varphi(n) \leq |f|$$

gives in case (i)  $\varepsilon_n \leq |f|/\sqrt{r} \leq B_1(f)$ .

In case (ii) we get  $\varepsilon_n \leq c\sqrt{r} \log \|f\| \leq B_1(f)$ .

Finally, in case (iii) we have by Lemma 2 and (25)

$$\frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n) + 1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll l |f| \log \|f\|$$

and, since  $\frac{\varphi(n)}{\omega(n) + 1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\left( \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log |f|} \right)^2 \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll rl,$$

where the right-hand side is at least  $c \log c > 1$ , because by (iii)

$$|f| \geq \varepsilon_n \geq c \log \|f\|.$$

The function  $x \log x$  is increasing for  $x > 1$ ; hence  $x^2 \log x < y$  implies  $x < 2\sqrt{y/\log y}$  for  $y > 1$  and we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll \sqrt{\frac{rl}{\log rl}} \ll \sqrt{r}, \quad \varepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{r} \log \|f\| \ll B_1(f).$$

The proof of (23) and thus of (7) is complete.

In order to prove (24) we observe that if  $r/l \leq 9$ , then

$$|f| \leq 3\sqrt{|f| \log \|f\| l}$$

and (24) follows from (25). Thus we assume that

$$(26) \quad r/l > 9,$$

and decompose the sum  $\sum \varepsilon_n$  into three sums

$$(27) \quad \sum \varepsilon_n = \Sigma_1 \varepsilon_n + \Sigma_2 \varepsilon_n + \Sigma_3 \varepsilon_n,$$

where  $\Sigma_1$  is over  $n$  such that

$$\varphi(n) > \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}};$$

$\Sigma_2$  is over  $n$  such that

$$\varphi(n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_n \leq c \frac{\log \|f\|}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}},$$

$\Sigma_3$  is over  $n$  such that

$$\varphi(n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_n > c \frac{\log \|f\|}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}}.$$

By (25)

$$(28) \quad \Sigma_1 \varepsilon_n < |f|/\sqrt{rl}^{-1} = B_2(f)(\log l)^{-1} \ll B_2(f).$$

For the sum  $\Sigma_2 \varepsilon_n$  we get the estimate

$$\Sigma_2 \varepsilon_n \leq c \log \|f\| \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} B\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{l}}\right);$$

hence by Lemma 3

$$(29) \quad \Sigma_2 \varepsilon_n \leq c \log \|f\| \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \log \frac{r}{l} \ll \log \|f\| \sqrt{rl} = B_2(f)(\log l)^{-1} \ll B_2(f).$$

To estimate  $\Sigma_3 \varepsilon_n$  we use Lemma 2. We have

$$\varepsilon_n \geq c \frac{\log \|f\|}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \geq c \log \|f\|;$$

hence

$$\Sigma_3 \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n)+1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} < l |f| \log \|f\|,$$

and

$$\Sigma_3 \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n)+1} \log \frac{c}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{r/l} \leq l |f| \log \|f\|.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4 with  $x = \sqrt{r/l} \geq 3$

$$\Sigma_3 \frac{\omega(n)+1}{\varphi(n) \log \left( \frac{c}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{r/l} \right)} \ll (\log \log \sqrt{r/l})^2 \ll (\log l)^2.$$

By the Schwarz inequality

$$\Sigma_3 \varepsilon_n \leq \sqrt{l |f| \log \|f\| \log l} = B_2(f),$$

which together with (27)–(29) proves (24), and hence (8).

**Proof of the Corollary.** If  $|f|/\log \|f\| < 3$  we have  $\Omega(f) \leq |f| \leq \sqrt{3 |f| \log \|f\|}$ . If  $|f|/\log \|f\| \geq 3$ , we have for every  $\varepsilon$

$$\sqrt{\log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} \log \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}} = O\left(\left(\frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right)^\varepsilon\right);$$

hence

$$\Omega(f) = \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|} O\left(\left(\frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right)^\varepsilon\right) = O(|f|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (\log \|f\|)^{1/2-\varepsilon}).$$

**Note added in proof.** Taking in the proof of Theorem 1  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A = \log \log |f|$  and in the proof of (6)  $A = \frac{1}{2} \log \log |f|$  we get a quantitative version of (6)

$$\omega(f) \ll \sqrt{\frac{|f| \log \|f\|}{\log \log |f|}}.$$

It seems likely that  $\log \log |f|$  can be replaced here by  $\log |f|$ .

### References

- [1] E. Dobrowolski, *On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, Acta Arith. 34 (1979), p. 391–401.
- [2] P. Erdős, *Some remarks on Euler's  $\varphi$ -function and some related problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), p. 540–544.
- [3] P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On the distribution of roots of polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), p. 105–119.
- [4] E. Landau, *Sur quelques théorèmes de M. Petrovitch relatifs aux zéros des fonctions analytiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France 33 (1905), p. 1–11.
- [5] —, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, reprint New York 1953.
- [6] H. B. Mann, *On linear relations between roots of unity*, Mathematika 12 (1968), p. 107–117.

- [7] H. L. Montgomery and A. Schinzel, *Some arithmetic properties of polynomials in several variables*, pp. 195–203 in *Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications*, London–New York–San Francisco 1977.
- [8] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg, 1957.
- [9] A. Schinzel, *On the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* 13 (1976), p. 305–314.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 10.03.1981*

---