

# ON THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE DIFFUSION EQUATION WITH A NONLOCAL BOUNDARY CONDITION

MEHDI DEGHAN

*Received 15 November 2001*

Parabolic partial differential equations with nonlocal boundary specifications feature in the mathematical modeling of many phenomena. In this paper, numerical schemes are developed for obtaining approximate solutions to the initial boundary value problem for one-dimensional diffusion equation with a nonlocal constraint in place of one of the standard boundary conditions. The method of lines (MOL) semidiscretization approach is used to transform the model partial differential equation into a system of first-order linear ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The partial derivative with respect to the space variable is approximated by a second-order finite-difference approximation. The solution of the resulting system of first-order ODEs satisfies a recurrence relation which involves a matrix exponential function. Numerical techniques are developed by approximating the exponential matrix function in this recurrence relation. We use a partial fraction expansion to compute the matrix exponential function via Pade approximations, which is particularly useful in parallel processing. The algorithm is tested on a model problem from the literature.

## 1. Introduction

Over the last few years, many physical phenomena were formulated into nonlocal mathematical models [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. However, such problems were not well studied in general. For instance, there were few discussions on the numerical solutions of the parabolic partial differential equations with nonlocal boundary specifications.

In this paper, we are concerned with one-dimensional parabolic equations with a nonlocal condition: the so-called energy specification. This is a linear constraint having the form  $\int_0^b u(x, t) dx = E(t)$ , where  $b$  is a constant and  $E$  is a given function. Coupled with a one-dimensional parabolic equation, this condition is quite different from the classical boundary condition.

The nonlocal problems are very important in the transport of reactive and passive contaminants in aquifer, an area of active interdisciplinary research of mathematicians,

engineers, and life scientists. We refer the reader to [9, 10] for the derivation of mathematical models and for the precise hypothesis and analysis.

Mathematical formulation of this kind also arises naturally in various engineering models, such as nonlocal reactive transport in underground water flows in porous media [9, 11], heat conduction, radioactive nuclear decay in fluid flows [25], non-Newtonian fluid flows, viscoelastic deformations of materials with memory (in particular polymers) [24], semiconductor modeling [1], and biotechnology.

The number of physical phenomena modeled by partial differential equations involving nonlocal integral terms is constantly increasing. The authors of [23] have given an example from metrology. This example is a model for the evolution of the temperature distribution of air near the ground during calm clear nights.

Parabolic problems with nonlocal boundary conditions also arise in the quasistatic theory of thermoelasticity [12, 13]. An interesting collection of nonlocal parabolic problems in one-space dimension is discussed in [19].

One very important characteristic of all these models is that they all express a conservation of a certain quantity (mass, momentum, heat, etc.) in any moment for any subdomain. This in many applications is the most desirable feature of the approximation method when it comes to the numerical solution of the corresponding initial boundary value problem.

Only recently much attention has been paid in the literature to the development, analysis, and implementation of accurate methods for the numerical solution of time-dependent partial differential equations with nonlocal boundary conditions [14, 15, 16].

The familiar finite-difference, finite-element methods have been used by many authors in the last ten years for this model [4] and some other similar problems [2, 3, 5, 17, 18, 28].

The purpose of this paper is to use the Pade approximant for solving the one-dimensional time-dependent diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + s(x, t), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad (1.1)$$

subjected to the given initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (1.2)$$

the boundary condition

$$u(1, t) = g(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad (1.3)$$

and the nonlocal boundary condition

$$\int_0^b u(x, t) dx = m(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T, \quad 0 < b < 1, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $b$ ,  $s$ , and  $m$  are known, while the function  $u$  is to be determined.

The existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence on the data of the solution of this nonlocal problem has been studied in [6, 20].

This paper is organized in the following way. Numerical schemes for the solution of (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) are described in Section 2. Development of numerical methods based on the Pade approximants is presented in Section 3. A discussion on the parallel algorithms is given in Section 4. To approximate the matrix exponential function, a rational approximation consisting of three parameters is described in this section. The numerical results for two test problems produced by the methods developed are given in Section 5. Section 6 concludes this paper with a brief summary.

## 2. Treatment of the nonlocal boundary specification

We reformulate the nonlocal boundary condition (1.4) to the separated Neumann type condition

$$u_x(0, t) = u_x(b, t) - m'(t), \quad (2.1)$$

first by differentiating with respect to  $t$ , and then using (1.1). Thus (2.1) serves as the boundary condition at zero. Equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (2.1) can now be spatially differenced in the standard way, provided that  $b$  is a mesh point.

We divide the domain  $[0, 1] \times [0, T]$  into an  $M \times N$  mesh with spatial step size  $h = 1/M$  in  $x$  direction and the time step size  $l = T/N$ , respectively. Grid points  $(x_i, t_n)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= ih, & i &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ t_n &= nl, & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

in which  $M$  is an integer. We use  $u_i^n$  to denote the approximation of  $u(ih, nl)$ .

The solution vector  $U^n$  will be denoted in the following form:

$$U^n = (u_1^n, u_2^n, \dots, u_{M-1}^n). \quad (2.3)$$

In this paper, the method of lines semidiscretization approach is used to transform the model partial differential equation into a system of first-order linear ordinary differential equations, the solution of which satisfies a certain recurrence relation involving matrix exponential terms. The development of numerical methods will be based on Pade approximations to such exponentials. A technique which does not require the use of complex arithmetic is used to approximate the solution of the one-dimensional heat equation at interior grid points.

The space derivative in (1.1) and boundary terms will be replaced by the finite-difference approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{h^{-2}}{12} (11u(x-h, t) - 20u(x, t) + 6u(x+h, t) \\ &\quad + 4u(x+2h, t) - u(x+3h, t)) + O(h^3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .



### 3. Solution at the first time step and the Pade approximant

To approximate the matrix exponential in (2.10), we consider rational approximation to  $e^\theta$  of the form

$$R(\theta) = \frac{p(\theta)}{q(\theta)} = \frac{b_0 + b_1\theta + b_2\theta^2}{a_0 - a_1\theta + a_2\theta^2 - a_3\theta^3}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the coefficients  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are real and  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $b_0 = 1$ ,  $b_1 = 1 - a_1$ ,  $b_2 = 1/2 - a_1 + a_2$ , and  $a_3 = 1/6 - a_1/2 + a_2$ .

So we have

$$\exp(lA) = G^{-1} \left( I + (1 - a_1)lA + \left( \frac{1}{2} - a_1 + a_2 \right) l^2 A^2 \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$G = I - a_1 lA + a_2 l^2 A^2 - \left( \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} a_1 + a_2 \right) l^3 A^3. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the denominator of  $R(\theta)$  has distinct real zeros provided that  $a_2^2 - 3a_1a_3 > 0$ . We have chosen  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 14/15$ , and  $a_3 = 1/10$ . It can be shown that using these values,  $L$ -stability is granted [27].

The quadrature term in (2.10) will be approximated by

$$\int_t^{t+l} \exp[(t+l-s)A] \psi(s) ds = W_1 \psi(s_1) + W_2 \psi(s_2) + W_3 \psi(s_3), \quad (3.4)$$

where  $s_1 \neq s_2 \neq s_3$  and  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ , and  $W_3$  are matrices.

It can be shown that when  $\psi(s) = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$ ,

$$W_1 + W_2 + W_3 = M_1, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $M_1 = A^{-1}(\exp(lA) - I)$ ; when  $\psi(s) = [s, s, \dots, s]^T$ ,

$$s_1 W_1 + s_2 W_2 + s_3 W_3 = M_2, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $M_2 = A^{-1}[t \exp(lA) - (t+l)I + A^{-1}(\exp(lA) - I)]$ ; and when  $\psi(s) = [s^2, s^2, \dots, s^2]^T$ ,

$$s_1^2 W_1 + s_2^2 W_2 + s_3^2 W_3 = M_3, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$M_3 = A^{-1}[t^2 \exp(lA) - (t+l)^2 I + 2A^{-1}(t \exp(lA) - (t+l)I + A^{-1}(\exp(lA) - I))]. \quad (3.8)$$

Taking  $s_1 = t$ ,  $s_2 = t + l/2$ , and  $s_3 = t + l$ , and then solving (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7) simultaneously and replacing  $\exp(lA)$  by (3.2) and (3.3) gives

$$W_1 = \frac{l}{6}[I + (4 - 9a_1 + 12a_2)lA]G^{-1}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$W_2 = \frac{2l}{3}[I - (1 - 3a_1 + 6a_2)lA]G^{-1}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$W_3 = \frac{l}{6}[I + (3 - 9a_1 + 12a_2)lA + (1 - 3a_1 + 6a_2)l^2A^2]G^{-1}. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, (2.10) can be written as

$$U(t+l) = \exp(lA)U(t) + W_1\psi(t) + W_2\psi\left(t + \frac{l}{2}\right) + W_3\psi(t+l), \quad (3.12)$$

in which  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ , and  $W_3$  are given by (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11), respectively.

We focused on the construction of a rational approximation with real and distinct poles. So the resulting algorithm readily admits parallelization through (real) partial fraction expansion [26].

Assuming that  $r_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are distinct real zeros of  $q(\theta)$ , then

$$G = \left(I - \frac{l}{r_1}A\right)\left(I - \frac{l}{r_2}A\right)\left(I - \frac{l}{r_3}A\right), \quad (3.13)$$

and the approximation to the matrix exponential function may be written in partial fraction form as

$$\exp(lA) = \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i \left(I - \frac{l}{r_i}A\right)^{-1}, \quad (3.14)$$

in which  $p_i$ , the partial fraction coefficients of  $R(\theta)$ , are defined by

$$p_i = \frac{1 + (1 - a_1)r_i + (1/2 - a_1 + a_2)r_i^2}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^3 (1 - r_i/r_j)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence

$$\exp(lA)U(t) = \left[ p_1 \left(I - \frac{l}{r_1}A\right)^{-1} + p_2 \left(I - \frac{l}{r_2}A\right)^{-1} + p_3 \left(I - \frac{l}{r_3}A\right)^{-1} \right] U(t). \quad (3.16)$$

Note that the implementation of the method using a parallel architecture with three processors is based on the partial fraction decomposition (see [21]) of  $\exp(lA)u(t)$ ,  $W_1\psi(t)$ ,  $W_2\psi(t + l/2)$ , and  $W_3\psi(t + l)$  in (3.12).

Using (3.16) and similar equations for  $W_1\psi(t)$ ,  $W_2\psi(t+l/2)$ , and  $W_3\psi(t+l)$  in (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} U(t+l) = & A_1^{-1} \left[ p_1 U(t) + \frac{l}{6} \left( p_4 \psi(t) + 4p_7 \psi \left( t + \frac{l}{2} \right) + p_{10} \psi(t+l) \right) \right] \\ & + A_2^{-1} \left[ p_2 U(t) + \frac{l}{6} \left( p_5 \psi(t) + 4p_8 \psi \left( t + \frac{l}{2} \right) + p_{11} \psi(t+l) \right) \right] \\ & + A_3^{-1} \left[ p_3 U(t) + \frac{l}{6} \left( p_6 \psi(t) + 4p_9 \psi \left( t + \frac{l}{2} \right) + p_{12} \psi(t+l) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= I - \frac{l}{r_i} A, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ p_{3+i} &= \frac{1 + (4 - 9a_1 + 12a_2)r_i}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^3 (1 - r_i/r_j)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ p_{6+i} &= \frac{1 - (1 - 3a_1 + 6a_2)r_i}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^3 (1 - r_i/r_j)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ p_{9+i} &= \frac{1 + (3 - 9a_1 + 12a_2)r_i + (1 - 3a_1 + 6a_2)r_i^2}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^3 (1 - r_i/r_j)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

So,  $U(t+l) = y_1 + y_2 + y_3$  in which  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ , and  $y_3$  are, respectively, the solutions of the systems

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 y_1 &= p_1 U(t) + \frac{l}{6} \left[ p_4 \psi(t) + 4p_7 \psi \left( t + \frac{l}{2} \right) + p_{10} \psi(t+l) \right], \\ A_2 y_2 &= p_2 U(t) + \frac{l}{6} \left[ p_5 \psi(t) + 4p_8 \psi \left( t + \frac{l}{2} \right) + p_{11} \psi(t+l) \right], \\ A_3 y_3 &= p_3 U(t) + \frac{l}{6} \left[ p_6 \psi(t) + 4p_9 \psi \left( t + \frac{l}{2} \right) + p_{12} \psi(t+l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

#### 4. The parallel algorithms

Note that (3.19) have great importance in the parallel environment since they can be used to solve the corresponding linear algebraic systems on processors operating concurrently.  $U(t+l)$  in (3.12), the solution vector at time  $t = (n+1)l$ , may now be obtained via the parallel algorithms using three different processors in the following form.

**Processor I**

*Step 1:* Input  $l, r_1, U(0), A$ .

*Step 2:* Compute  $p_1, p_4, p_7, p_{10}$ , and  $I - (l/r_1)A$ .

*Step 3:* Decompose  $I - (l/r_1)A = L_1 U_1$ .

*Step 4:* Evaluate  $\psi(t), \psi(t+l/2), \psi(t+l)$ .

*Step 5:* Use

$$z_1(t) = \frac{l}{6} \left( p_4 \psi(t) + 4p_7 \psi\left(t + \frac{l}{2}\right) + p_{10} \psi(t+l) \right). \quad (4.1)$$

*Step 6:* Solve

$$L_1 U_1 y_1(t) = p_1 U(t) + z_1(t). \quad (4.2)$$

**Processor II**

*Step 1:* Input  $l, r_2, U(0), A$ .

*Step 2:* Compute  $p_2, p_5, p_8, p_{11}$ , and  $I - (l/r_2)A$ .

*Step 3:* Decompose  $I - (l/r_2)A = L_2 U_2$ .

*Step 4:* Evaluate  $\psi(t), \psi(t+l/2), \psi(t+l)$ .

*Step 5:* Use

$$z_2(t) = \frac{l}{6} \left( p_5 \psi(t) + 4p_8 \psi\left(t + \frac{l}{2}\right) + p_{11} \psi(t+l) \right). \quad (4.3)$$

*Step 6:* Solve

$$L_2 U_2 y_2(t) = p_2 U(t) + z_2(t). \quad (4.4)$$

**Processor III**

*Step 1:* Input  $l, r_3, U(0), A$ .

*Step 2:* Compute  $p_3, p_6, p_9, p_{12}$ , and  $I - (l/r_3)A$ .

*Step 3:* Decompose  $I - (l/r_3)A = L_3 U_3$ .

*Step 4:* Evaluate  $\psi(t), \psi(t+l/2), \psi(t+l)$ .

*Step 5:* Use

$$z_3(t) = \frac{l}{6} \left( p_6 \psi(t) + 4p_9 \psi\left(t + \frac{l}{2}\right) + p_{12} \psi(t+l) \right). \quad (4.5)$$

*Step 6:* Solve

$$L_3 U_3 y_3(t) = p_3 U(t) + z_3(t). \quad (4.6)$$

Then

$$U(t+l) = y_1(t) + y_2(t) + y_3(t). \quad (4.7)$$



Table 5.1. Results for  $u$  from Test 1.

$h$	Numerical Methods	$l = 0.01$	$l = 0.005$	$l = 0.0025$	$l = 0.001$
0.01	The implicit scheme	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$6.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	The Pade scheme	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
0.005	The implicit scheme	$7.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	The Pade scheme	$5.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$
0.0025	The implicit scheme	$6.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	The Pade scheme	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$
0.001	The implicit scheme	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.0 \times 10^{-5}$
	The Pade scheme	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-6}$

In implementing these algorithms, Processor I generates once-only decomposition of  $I - (l/r_1)A$ , while Processor II generates once-only decomposition of  $I - (l/r_2)A$  and Processor III generates once-only decomposition of  $I - (l/r_3)A$ .

## 5. Numerical test

The numerical method described in the previous sections was applied to two problems from the literature.

*Test 1.* Consider (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) with

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \exp(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\
 g(t) &= \frac{e}{1+t^2}, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
 b &= 0.3, \\
 m(t) &= \frac{e^{0.3} - 1}{1+t^2}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \\
 s(x, t) &= \frac{-(1+t)^2 \exp(x)}{(1+t^2)^2}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad 0 < x < 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

which is easily seen to have exact solution

$$u(x, t) = \frac{\exp(x)}{1+t^2}. \tag{5.2}$$

The results of  $u(0.25, 1.0)$  with  $h = 0.01, 0.005, 0.0025, 0.001$ , and  $l = 0.01, 0.005, 0.0025, 0.001$ , using the scheme discussed in Section 4, are shown in Table 5.1 and are compared with the results obtained using the implicit finite-difference scheme of [4].

In Table 5.1, we present the relative error,  $|U_{\text{approx}} - U_{\text{exact}}|/|U_{\text{exact}}|$ , at  $x = 0.25$  for our formula and the method of [4].

The results obtained using the new scheme developed in this paper are slightly more accurate than those from the scheme of [4]. Note that the new scheme will require less CPU time. It is clear that as far as efficiency is concerned, the scheme introduced in this

Table 5.2. Results for  $u$  from Test 2.

$h$	Numerical Methods	$l = 0.01$	$l = 0.005$	$l = 0.0025$	$l = 0.001$
0.01	The implicit scheme	$9.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	The Pade scheme	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
0.005	The implicit scheme	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	The Pade scheme	$5.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$
0.0025	The implicit scheme	$7.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	The Pade scheme	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
0.001	The implicit scheme	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
	The Pade scheme	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-6}$

paper is a better candidate for the model problem. This technique can be coded very efficiently on super/parallel computers.

*Test 2.* Consider (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) with

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \sin(\pi x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \\
 g(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
 b &= 0.75, \\
 m(t) &= \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \exp(-\pi^2 t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \\
 s(x, t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \quad 0 < x < 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

which is easily seen to have the exact solution

$$u(x, t) = \exp(-\pi^2 t) \sin(\pi x). \tag{5.4}$$

The results for our second example are given in Table 5.2. Calculations were performed for  $h = l = 0.01, 0.005, 0.0025, 0.001$ .

Overall, the results showed that the technique developed in this paper gave superior numerical results to those computed using the method of [4]. It is worth mentioning that the use of only real arithmetic especially in multispace dimensions can yield large saving in CPU time used.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, an algorithm was applied to the one-dimensional diffusion equation with a nonlocal condition replacing one standard boundary condition. The second-order spatial derivative was discretized to result in an approximating system of ODEs. The exact solution of this system of first-order ODEs satisfies a recurrence relation involving the matrix exponential function. This function is approximated by a rational function possessing real and distinct poles, which consequently readily admits a partial fraction expansion, thereby allowing the distribution of the work in solving the corresponding linear algebraic

systems on concurrent processors. The method developed does not require the use of complex arithmetic and needs only real arithmetic in its implementation. This technique worked very well for one-dimensional diffusion with an integral condition. For the model problem considered, the parallel algorithm (4.7) was found to be about three times faster than the standard implicit finite-difference scheme of [4]. A comparison with the standard implicit scheme for the model problem clearly demonstrates that the new technique is computationally superior. The numerical test obtained by using the method described in this paper gives acceptable results and suggests convergence to the exact solution when  $h$  goes to zero.

## References

- [1] W. Allegretto, Y. Lin, and A. Zhou, *A box scheme for coupled systems resulting from microsensor thermistor problems*, *Dynam. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Systems* **5** (1999), no. 1-4, 209–223.
- [2] J. R. Cannon, Y. Lin, and S. Wang, *An implicit finite difference scheme for the diffusion equation subject to mass specification*, *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.* **28** (1990), no. 7, 573–578.
- [3] J. R. Cannon and A. L. Matheson, *A numerical procedure for diffusion subject to the specification of mass*, *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.* **31** (1993), no. 3, 347–355.
- [4] J. R. Cannon, S. Pérez Esteva, and J. van der Hoek, *A Galerkin procedure for the diffusion equation subject to the specification of mass*, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **24** (1987), no. 3, 499–515.
- [5] J. R. Cannon and J. van der Hoek, *An implicit finite difference scheme for the diffusion equation subject to the specification of mass in a portion of the domain*, *Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations* (Parkville, 1981), North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1982, pp. 527–539.
- [6] ———, *Diffusion subject to the specification of mass*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **115** (1986), no. 2, 517–529.
- [7] J. R. Cannon and H. M. Yin, *A class of nonlinear nonclassical parabolic equations*, *J. Differential Equations* **79** (1989), no. 2, 266–288.
- [8] V. Capasso and K. Kunisch, *A reaction-diffusion system arising in modelling man-environment diseases*, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **46** (1988), no. 3, 431–450.
- [9] J. H. Cushman and T. R. Ginn, *Nonlocal dispersion in porous media with continuously evolving scales of heterogeneity*, *Transp. Porous Media* **13** (1993), no. 1, 123–138.
- [10] J. H. Cushman, H. Xu, and F. Deng, *Nonlocal reactive transport with physical and chemical heterogeneity: localization error*, *Water Resources Res.* **31** (1995), no. 9, 2219–2237.
- [11] G. Dagan, *The significance of heterogeneity of evolving scales to transport in porous formations*, *Water Resources Res.* **13** (1994), 3327–3336.
- [12] W. A. Day, *A decreasing property of solutions of parabolic equations with applications to thermoelasticity*, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **40** (1982), no. 4, 468–475.
- [13] ———, *Extensions of a property of the heat equation to linear thermoelasticity and other theories*, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **41** (1983), no. 3, 319–330.
- [14] M. Dehghan, *Fully explicit finite-difference methods for two-dimensional diffusion with an integral condition*, *Nonlinear Anal., Ser. A: Theory Methods* **48** (2002), no. 5, 637–650.
- [15] ———, *Numerical solution of the three-dimensional parabolic equation with an integral condition*, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **18** (2002), no. 2, 193–202.
- [16] ———, *Numerical solution of a non-local boundary value problem with Neumann's boundary conditions*, *Comm. Numer. Methods Engrg.* **19** (2003), no. 1, 1–12.
- [17] G. Ekolin, *Finite difference methods for a nonlocal boundary value problem for the heat equation*, *BIT* **31** (1991), no. 2, 245–261.

- [18] R. E. Ewing, R. D. Lazarov, and Y. Lin, *Finite volume element approximations of nonlocal reactive flows in porous media*, Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations **16** (2000), no. 3, 285–311.
- [19] G. Fairweather and R. D. Saylor, *The reformulation and numerical solution of certain nonclassical initial-boundary value problems*, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput. **12** (1991), no. 1, 127–144.
- [20] A. Friedman, *Monotonic decay of solutions of parabolic equations with nonlocal boundary conditions*, Quart. Appl. Math. **44** (1986), no. 3, 401–407.
- [21] A. R. Gourlay and J. Ll. Morris, *The extrapolation of first order methods for parabolic partial differential equations. II*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **17** (1980), no. 5, 641–655.
- [22] J. D. Lambert, *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Systems. The Initial Value Problem*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1991.
- [23] A. S. V. Murthy and J. G. Verwer, *Solving parabolic integro-differential equations by an explicit integration method*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **39** (1992), no. 1, 121–132.
- [24] M. Renardy, W. J. Hrusa, and J. A. Nohel, *Mathematical Problems in Viscoelasticity*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 35, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1987.
- [25] V. V. Shelukhin, *A non-local in time model for radionuclides propagation in Stokes fluid*, Dinamika Sploshn. Sredy (1993), no. 107, 180–193.
- [26] M. S. A. Taj and E. H. Twizell, *A family of fourth-order parallel splitting methods for parabolic partial differential equations*, Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations **13** (1997), no. 4, 357–373.
- [27] D. A. Voss and A. Q. M. Khaliq, *Time-stepping algorithms for semidiscretized linear parabolic PDEs based on rational approximants with distinct real poles*, Adv. Comput. Math. **6** (1996), no. 3-4, 353–363.
- [28] S. Wang and Y. Lin, *A numerical method for the diffusion equation with nonlocal boundary specifications*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci. **28** (1990), no. 6, 543–546.

Mehdi Dehghan: Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran 15914, Iran

*E-mail address:* [mdehghan@aut.ac.ir](mailto:mdehghan@aut.ac.ir)

## Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

### Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Edson Denis Leonel**, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; [edleonel@rc.unesp.br](mailto:edleonel@rc.unesp.br)

**Alexander Loskutov**, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; [loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru](mailto:loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru)