# ON THE REALIZATION OF THE STIEFEL-WHITNEY CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES BY SUBMANIFOLDS

BY

HARUO SUZUKI*

(Received March 1, 1958)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS



## Introduction

We know several results on the realization of cohomology classes by submanifolds in a compact differentiable manifold [2, 3]. A fundamental theorem by R. Thom [3] shows that the realizability of cohomology classes can be

* The material of this work was submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the University of Chicago, 1957 and it was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant 3462 of the United States.

reduced to existence of a mapping with certain properties (see section 1).

It is quite natural to ask whether the Stiefel-Whitney classes are realizable by submanifolds. There are two ways to attack this problem. The first one is to use *Schubert varieties* in a Grassmann manifold. It gives rather general information about the problem in vector bundles. The second one is to find directly a map satisfying the requirements of Thom's fundamental theorem. It can be applied to the Stiefel-Whitney classes of any vector bundles and it depends on the study of a homotopy type of a cell complex $M(O(k))$. Thus we can use this method successfully for low dimensional classes.

In Chapter I, we define *induced Schubert subvarieties* and obtain a series of necessary conditions for realizability of the Stiefel-Whitney classes of vector bundles over a compact differentiable manifold, calculating the cohomology class of a singular locus. If the dimension of the manifold is equal to the codimension of the singular locus, then a sufficient condition for the classes to be realizable is stated as follows: The cohomology class of the singular locus with respect to integer coefficients vanishes.

In Chapter II, we discuss the realization of the Stiefel-Whitney classes of vector bundles over a compact differentiable manifold, using the canonical isomorphism from cohomology group of base space onto that of total space and the Steenrod Square operations. We compute the second **k**-invariant of $M(O(2))$ and obtain a rather strong sufficient condition in order that $W_2$ of a vector bundle over $V_6$ is realizable by a submanifold. In particular, any $W_2$ of a vector bundle of an orientable manifold $V_6$ is realizable.

In the last Chapter, we consider complete intersections of non-singular hypersurfaces, in which any $W_i$ is realizable by a submanifold.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professors S. S. Chern and R. Thom for their interests and instructions while engaged in this work. He also wishes to thank Professor E. Spanier for his valuable suggestions and discussions.

## CHAPTER I

## REALIZATION OF THE STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES BY INDUCED SUBMANIFOLDS

### 1. Preliminaries.

Let $\mathfrak{S}^n$ be an $n$-vector bundle over a finite cell complex with any closed subgroup $G$ of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ as its structural group. It is induced from an $N$-universal bundle $A_{G,n}$ over a classifying space $B_{G,n}$, for instance, a Grassmann manifold $G_{n,N}$ for a sufficiently large integer $N$ (see Steenrod [1]). Suppose $S_{G,n}$ be an associated $(n-1)$-sphere bundle to $A_{G,n}$. Combining $S_{G,n}$ and $A_{G,n}$, one can make an associated closed $n$-cell bundle $\bar{A}_{G,n}$ where $S_{G,n}$ is the boundary. Shrinking $S_{G,n}$ into a point, we get a *cell complex* $M(G, n)$ *corresponding to the subgroup* $G$ *of* $O(n)$.

Since $B_{G,n}$ is a differentiable manifold, it has a simplicial subdivision. We can assume that the diameter of each simplex is so small that it is contained in a coordinate neighborhood. Let $b$ be an $n$-cell of fiber in the fiber bundle $\bar{A}_{G,n}$. We take all cells of the form $\sigma \times b$ for any simplex $\sigma$ in $B_{G,n}$. They give a cellular subdivision of $\bar{A}_{G,n}$ up to its boundary $S_{G,n}$. Define a cochain isomorphism $\varphi_{G,n}$ of $C^i(B_{G,n}; Z_2)$ onto $C^{n+i}(\bar{A}_{G,n}, S_{G,n}; Z_2)$ by the formula,

$$\varphi_{G,n}(c)(\sigma \times b) = c(\sigma)$$

for any cochain $c \in C^i(B_{G,n}; Z_2)$ and for $i \geqq 0$. This induces the *canonical* isomorphism $\varphi^*_{G,n}: H^i(B_{G,n}; Z_2) \approx H^{n+i}(A_{G,n}, B_{G,n}; Z_2)$. Let $1_{G,n}$ be the unit class of $H^*(B_{G,n}; Z_2)$. $H^n(M(G,n); Z_2)$ is generated by $\varphi^*_{G,n}(1_{G,n}) = U_{G,n}$ which is called the *fundamental class of* $M(G,n)$.

If $G = O(n)$, then we denote $A_{G,n}$, $B_{G,n}$ and $M(G,n)$ by $A_{O(n)}$, $B_{O(n)}$ and $M(O(n))$ respectively.

Let $K$ be a topological space and let $u$ be an element of $H^n(X; Z_2)$. We say that $u$ is *realizable* for $G \subset O(n)$, if there is a mapping $f: K \to M(G,n)$ such that $u = f^* U_{G,n}$. Suppose $F_r$ is a submanifold of dimension $r$ in a compact differentiable manifold $M$ of dimension $m \geqq r$ and of class $C^\infty$. Let $i$ be the imbedding $F_r \subset M$. If an element $z$ of $H_r(M; Z_2)$ is the image of the fundamental class of $F_r$, then we say that $z$ is *realized by the submanifold* $F_r$.

**FUNDANENTAL THEOREM** (**THOM**). *A cohomology class $u$ of $H^n(M; Z_2)$ is realizable for the group $G \subset O(n)$ if and only if the dual homology class $z$ of $u$ is realized by a submanifold $F_r$ of dimension $r$ and the fiber bundle of normal vectors on $F_r$ in $M$ has the group $G$ as its structural group* (see [2]).

A sum of two realizable classes is not necessarily realizable. *Their cup-product*, however, *is realizable* (see [2, 3]). All the above statements are valid for integer coefficients if $M$ is orientable.

It is well known that the Grassmann manifold has a cellular subdivision by the *Schubert varieties*, where *variety* means a set defined by a system of algebraic equations, which may have singular locus. The Stiefel-Whitney class $W_j$ of dimension $j$ is defined as a cohomology class with coefficients in $Z_2$, determined by the Schubert class

$$\{0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{j}\}.$$

It coincides with the class of obstruction cocycle of a field of $(n-j+1)$-frames over the $j$-skeleton of $G_{n,N}$. We can see that any 1-dimensional cohomology class in a manifold is realizable. Hence $W_1$ is necessarily realizable.

Now we mention the following important relation due to Thom [4], between $W_j$ of the $N$-universal bundle over $B_{G,n}$ and the Steenrod square operation $Sq^i$;

$$Sq^j U_{G,n} = W_j U_{G,n}$$

$$= \varphi^*_{G,n} W_j \text{ for } 0 \leqq j \leqq n. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $f$ be a mapping of a finite cell complex $L$ into $B_{G,n}$ which induces an $n$-vector bundle $\mathfrak{S}^n$ over $L$. The Stiefel-Whitney class $W_j(\mathfrak{S}^n)$ is given by

$$f^* W_j = W_j(\mathfrak{S}^n).$$

Let $\varphi^*_{\mathfrak{S}^n}$ be the canonical isomorphism of $\mathfrak{S}^n$ defined in the same way as $\varphi^*_{G,n}$. Suppose $B_n$ be an associated $(n-1)$-sphere bundle to $\mathfrak{S}^n$, which can be regarded as the boundary of an associated $n$-cell bundle $\mathfrak{S}^n$. $\varphi^*_{\mathfrak{S}^n}$ is the isomorphism of $H^i(L; Z_2)$ onto $H^{n+i}(\mathfrak{S}^n, B_n; Z_2)$. Putting $f^* U_{G,n} = U_{\mathfrak{S}^n} = W_n(\mathfrak{S}^n)$, (1.1) leads immediately to the relation,

$$\begin{aligned} Sq^j U_{\mathfrak{S}^n} &= W_j(\mathfrak{S}^n)\, U_{\mathfrak{S}^n} \\ &= \varphi^*_{\mathfrak{S}^n} W_j(\mathfrak{S}^n), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

for $0 \leqq j \leqq n$.

Suppose $F_r$ be a subvariety of a compact differentiable manifold $M_{n+r}$ with a singular subvariety $F_{r_1}$ of dimension $r_1 < r$, $F_{r_2}$ denotes a singular subvariety in $F_{r_1}$ of dimension $r_2 < r_1$ and so on. The sequence $F_{r_1} \supset F_{r_2} \supset \ldots$ ends by $F_{r_i}$ after finite repetitions. The transversality theorem[1)] says that *for any differentiable mapping $f$ of a compact differentiable manifold $V_n$ to $M_{n+r}$, there exists a mapping which is homotopic and arbitrarily near to $f$ and also transversally regular with respect to $F_r \supset F_{r_1} \supset F_{r_2} \supset \ldots \supset F_{r_i}$* (see Thom [5, 17]).

## 2. Subvarieties Corresponding to $W_i$.

Suppose $V_n$ be a compact differentiable manifold of dimension $n$, and suppose $\mathfrak{E}^m$ be an $m$-vector bundle over $V_n$. Then we have a mapping $f$ of $V_n$ into a Grassmann manifold $G_{m,N}$ such that the induced bundle is $\mathfrak{E}^m$.

$W_i$ in $G_{m,N}$ is realized by the Schubert variety $[\underbrace{N-1, N-1, \ldots, N-1}_{i}, N, \ldots, N] = F_i$. By the transversality theorem, there exists a differentiable mapping $f_1$ which is homotopic to $f$ and transversally regular with respect to singular subvarieties of $[N-1, \ldots, N-1, N, \ldots, N]$. Therefore $W_i(\mathfrak{E}^m)$ is realized by the subvariety[2)] $f_1^{-1}(F_i)$, which we call an *induced Schubert variety.* It has a singular subvariety $S_1$ which is a realization of $f^*\{0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{i+1}\} = S_1^*$ and $S_1$ has a singular subvariety $\{S_1\}^2$ which corresponds to $f^*\{0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{i+2}\} = [\{S_1\}^2]^*$ and so on.[3)] Thus we can say that $S_1^*$ is the first obstruction to the realization of $W_i(\mathfrak{E}^m)$ by an induced Schubert variety. $[\{S_1\}^2]^*$ is the second one. Hence we get the idea of higher obstructions.

1) When $F_r$ has no singularity, the transversality theorem is given in [2, Theorem I. 6]. Its proof in general case is found in [5, Chap. II, Theorem 1] and [17].

2) We use the term of subvariety for a subset defined by a system of algebraic equations, which may have singularities, and also its inverse image by a differentiable map.

3) See [5, Chap. I].

If $S_1^*$ vanishes then the Schubert variety becomes an actual manifold. This idea is the main tool of this section and section 4.

According to Chern's paper [6], we have several relations for multiplication of the Schubert classes. For the sake of brevity, we denote $\{0, \ldots, 0, a_k, \ldots, a_n\}$ by $\{a_k, \ldots, a_n\}$. We have $\{0\} = 1$. Put $\{a\} = 0$ if $a < 0$. Then the following formula holds:

$$\{a_k, \ldots, a_n\}\{b\} = \Sigma\{a_k + b_k, \ldots, a_n + b_n\} \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum extends over all partitions of $b$ satisfying the conditions that $a_j + b_j \leqq a_{j+1}$, $\sum_{j=k}^{n} b_j = b$. We have also the relation,

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = \begin{vmatrix} \{a_1\}, & \{a_1 - 1\}, & \ldots & \{a_1 - n + 1\} \\ \{a_2 + 1\} & \{a_2\} & \ldots & \\ \ldots & & \ldots & \\ \{a_1 + n - 1\} & & \ldots & \{a_n\} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Put $\{j\} = \bar{W}_j$. Then (2.1) leads to

$$\sum_{0 \leqq j \leqq k} W_j \bar{W}_{k-j} = 0 \qquad 1 \leqq k \leqq n. \tag{2.3}$$

(2.3) shows that $\bar{W}_j$ can be solved in $W_j$. Using (2.2), it can be seen that any Schubert classes are polynomials in $W_j$, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}_1 &= W_1 \\ \bar{W}_2 &= W_1^2 + W_2 \\ \bar{W}_3 &= W_1^3 + W_3 \\ \bar{W}_4 &= W_1^4 + W_2 W_1^2 + W_2^2 + W_4 \\ \bar{W}_5 &= W_1^5 + W_2^2 W_1 + W_3 W_1^2 + W_5, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and so on.

Now we shall consider the realization of $\bar{W}_2$ by the induced Schubert variety without singularity which gives a method to solve realizability of $\bar{W}_2$. The first obstruction is the class $\{0, \ldots 0, 2, 2, 2\}$. Using (2.2), we obtain that

$$\{0, \ldots, 0, 2, 2, 2\} = \begin{vmatrix} \{2\} & \{1\} & \{0\} \\ \{3\} & \{2\} & \{1\} \\ \{4\} & \{3\} & \{2\} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Substitute (2.4) in (2.5), we get the relation,

$$\{0, \ldots, 0, 2, 2, 2\} = W_2 W_4 + W_3^2.$$

If an induced Schubert variety is a submanifold, then its singularity vanishes. Hence we get the result:

THEOREM 2.1. *If $\bar{W}_2(\mathfrak{G}^m)$ is realizable by the induced Schubert submanifold, then we have*

$$W_2(\mathfrak{G}^m)\, W_4(\mathfrak{G}^m) = (W_3(\mathfrak{G}^m))^2. \tag{2.6}$$

In the same way, the first obstruction of realization of $W_3(\mathfrak{G}^m) = f^*\{0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1, 1\}$ by the Schubert manifold is given by the following formula

$$\{0, \ldots, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2\} = P_8$$

which is the Pontrjagin class of dimension 8 and is a cohomology class with integer coefficients. Using (2.2) we have

$$P_8 = \begin{vmatrix} \{2\} & \{1\} & 1 & 0 \\ \{3\} & \{2\} & \{1\} & 1 \\ \{4\} & \{3\} & \{2\} & \{1\} \\ \{5\} & \{4\} & \{3\} & \{2\} \end{vmatrix}. \qquad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.4) in (2.7), we obtain

$$P_8 = W_3 W_5 + W_4^2, \qquad \text{mod } 2.$$

Thus, in order that $W_3(\mathfrak{G}^m)$ is realizable by the induced Schubert submanifold, it is necessary that

$$W_3(\mathfrak{G}^m)\, W_5(\mathfrak{G}^m) = (W_4(\mathfrak{G}^m))^2. \qquad (2.8)$$

This result can be generalized for any Stiefel-Whitney class $W_{2j+1}(\mathfrak{G}^m)$ of odd dimension.

THEOREM 2.2. *If $W_{2j+1}(\mathfrak{G}^m)$ is realizable by the induced Schubert submanifold, then we have*

$$P_{4(j+1)}(\mathfrak{G}^m) = 0 \qquad \textit{(integer coefficients)} \qquad (2.9)$$

*and*

$$W_{2(j+1)-1}(\mathfrak{G}^m)\, W_{2(j+1)+1}(\mathfrak{G}^m) = (W_{4(j+1)}(\mathfrak{G}^m))^2 \qquad \textit{mod } 2.$$

PROOF. By definition we have $\{0, \ldots, 0, 2, \ldots, 2\} = P_{4(j+1)}$ and $P_{4(j+1)}(\mathfrak{G}^n) = f^* P_{4(j+1)}$ which vanishes. Thus the first part of Theorem follows immediately.

Let $\mathbf{1}$ be a canonical mapping of the real Grassmann manifold $G_{m,N}$ into the complex Grassmann manifold $C_{m,N}$ and let $C_{2k}$ be the Chern class of dimension $2k$.

W. T. Wu [7] proves that

$$\mathbf{1}^* C_{2k} = (W_k)^2 \qquad \text{mod } 2$$

and

$$\mathbf{1}^* C_{2k} = (-1)^{k/2} P_{2k} + (1/2)\, \delta U_{2k-1}$$

where $k = 2(j+1)$ and $U_{4j+3} = \sum_{i=0}^{2j+1} W_i\, W_{4j-i+3}$. It follows that

$$(1/2)\delta U_{2k-1} = (1/2)\delta \Big( \sum_{i=0}^{2j+1} W_i\, W_{4j-i+3} \Big) \qquad (2.10)$$

$$= (1/2)\delta\, (W_{4j+3} + W_1 W_{4j+2} + \ldots + W_{2j+1} W_{2j+1}).$$

We have the relations (Wu [9]),

$$Sq^1 W_i = W_1 W_i + \binom{i+1}{1} W_{i+1},$$

$$Sq^1 W_{4j+3} = W_1 W_{4j+3},$$

$$Sq^1 (W_1 W_{4j+2}) = W_1 W_{4j+3},$$

$$Sq^1 (W_2 W_{4j+1}) = W_3 W_{4j+1},$$

$$Sq^1 (W_3 W_{4j}) = W_3 W_{4j+1},$$

........

Substituting these formulae into (2.10), we obtain

$$(1/2)\delta U_{2k-1} = W_{2j+1} W_{2j+3} \qquad \text{mod } 2,$$

which leads to the second part of our theorem.

Theorem 2.2 might be generalized for $W_{2k}$, but we have no general formula to compute it. If $n < 6$, then the both sides of (2.6) vanish. Hence it holds necessarily. Similarly (2.9) holds necessarily if $n < 4(k+1)$.

**3. Examples.**

$P(i)$ denotes an $i$-dimensional real projective space. The *cobordism group* $\mathfrak{N}^6$ *mod* 2 of real compact manifolds of dimension 6 admits as generators (see Thom [2]),

(i) $P(6)$,

(ii) $P(4) \times P(2)$,

(iii) $P(2) \times P(2) \times P(2)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *The relation*

$$W_3^2 + W_2 W_4 = 0 \qquad \textit{mod } 2 \tag{3.1}$$

*holds for manifolds of type* (i), *and not for manifolds of types* (ii), (iii).

PROOF. We denote by $W_j(\text{i}), \ldots,$ the $j$-th Stiefel-Whitney classes of manifolds of types (i), .... It is well known that the total Stiefel-Whitney Class of $P(i)$ is given by

$$W(P(i)) = (1+h)^{i+1}$$

where $h$ is the generator of the cohomology ring $H^*(P(i); Z_2)$.

In the case (i), we have

$$W_2(\text{i}) = \binom{7}{2} h^2 = h^2,$$

$$W_3(\text{i}) = \binom{7}{3} h^3 = h^3,$$

$$W_4(\text{i}) = \binom{7}{4} h^4 = h^4.$$

Therefore it follows that

$$(W_3(\text{i}))^2 = h^6 = h^2 h^4$$
$$= W_2(\text{i}) W_4(\text{i}).$$

In the case (ii), we denote by $h_1$ and $h_2$ the generators of cohomology ring $H^*(P(4); Z_2)$ and $H^*(P(2); Z_2)$ respectively. We have the total Stiefel-Whitney classes,

$$W(P(4)) = 1 + h_1 + h_1^4,$$
$$W(P(2)) = 1 + h_2 + h_2^2.$$

It follows that

$$W_2(\mathrm{ii}) = h_2^2 + h_1h_2,$$
$$W_3(\mathrm{ii}) = h_1h_2^2,$$
$$W_4(\mathrm{ii}) = h_1^4.$$

Thus we get

$$(W_3(\mathrm{ii}))^2 = h_1^2h_2^4,$$
$$W_2(\mathrm{ii})W_4(\mathrm{ii}) = (h_2^2 + h_1h_2)h_1^4$$
$$= h_1^4h_2^2 + h_1^5h_2.$$

Therefore we have

$$(W_3(\mathrm{ii}))^2 \neq W_2(\mathrm{ii})\, W_4(\mathrm{ii}).$$

In the case (iii), let $h_1$, $h_2$ and $h_3$ be generators of cohomology rings of first, second and third factors in $P(2) \times P(2) \times P(2)$. We have the total Stiefel-Whitney classes

$$W(P(2)) = 1 + h_i + h_i^2.$$

Thus it follows that

$$W_2(\mathrm{iii}) = \sum_1^3 h_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} h_ih_j,$$

$$W_3(\mathrm{iii}) = \sum_{i \neq j} h_i^2h_j + h_1h_2h_3,$$

$$W_4(\mathrm{iii}) = \sum_{i \neq j} h_i^2h_j^2 + \sum_{(i,j,k)} h_i^2h_jh_k.$$

Thus we get

$$(W_3(\mathrm{iii}))^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} h_i^4h_j^2 + h_1^2h_2^2h_3^2$$
$$= h_1^2h_2^2h_3^2,$$
$$W_2(\mathrm{iii})\, W_4(\mathrm{iii}) = 6h_1^2h_2^2h_3^2 = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain the result,

$$(W_3(\mathrm{iii}))^2 \neq W_2(\mathrm{iii})\, W_4(\mathrm{iii}).$$

Any other manifold else belongs to the trivial type, for which the theorem always holds.

The *cobordism group* $\mathfrak{N}^8$ *mod* 2 of real compact manifolds of dimension 8 admits as generators,

(i) $P(8)$,

(ii) $P(6)\times P(2)$,

(iii) $P(4)\times P(4)$,

(iv) $P(4)\times P(2)\times P(2)$,

(v) $P(2)\times P(2)\times P(2)\times P(2)$.

The first class of singularity of $W_3$ is the Pontrjagin class $P_8=0$, since Pontrjagin classes are multiplicative and since they are trivial in any real projective space. Thus any cobordism class of real compact manifold of dimension 8 contains a manifold in which the first class of singularity in an induced Schubert variety of $W_3$ vanishes. By the same argument any cobordism class of dimension $4(k+1)$ contains a manifold in which the first class of singularity in an induced Schubert variety of $W_{2k+1}$ vanishes. (On the contrary, we don't have a corresdonding result for the Stiefel-Whitney class $W_{2k}$ as it is easily seen in Theorem 3.1.)

REMARK. (1) Equivalence in the sense of cobordism does not conserve the realizability by the induced Schubert submanifold of cohomology classes. For example, a complex projective plane $PC(2)$ and $P(4)$ belong to the same cobordism type mod 2 because every corresponding Stiefel-Whitney numbers of both manifolds are equal. We have, however, $P_4(PC(2))\neq 0$ and $P_4(P(4))=0$, therefore $W_3$ is realizable in $P(4)$ and is not in $PC(2)$ (see sec. 4). (2) Theorem 3.1 shows that the method of the induced Schubert manifold is negative for the cases (ii), (iii) of cobordism types mod 2 of dimension 6. For any differentiable map $V_6\to R_5$ (5-dimensional Euclidean space), the critical variety has at least one singular point, if $V_6$ belongs to classes (ii), (iii).

## 4. A Sufficient Condition.

Let $V_n$ and $M_{n+r}$ be compact differentiable manifolds of dimensions $n$ and $n+r$ respectively. Suppose $F_r$ be a compact subvariety[4] in $M_{n+r}$ which may have some singularities. Let $f$ be a differentiable mapping of $V_n$ into $M_{n+r}$. Using the transversality theorem and the assumption about dimensions of manifolds, we can take a mapping of $V_n$ into $M_{n+r}$ which is sufficiently near and homotopic to $f$, satisfying following conditions:

(1) It is a transversally regular mapping with respect to $F_r$ and its singularities, that means, in particular:

(2) Its image intersects $F_r$ in regular points.

(3) The inverse image of $F_r$ is a set of isolated points.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $f$ is such a mapping as far as the induced homomorphism of homology groups is concerned.

Using the above property (2), we construct *tubular sets* $N_{r_k}$ with respect to singular loci $F_{r_k}$ for $1\leq k\leq i$ which do not contain at all the image points of $f$. $N_{r_k}$ is defined as the set of all points of normal geodesics of $F_{r_k}$ of length $\rho_{r_k}$ which we call the *diameter* of $N_{r_k}$. Let $N_{r_0}$ be a tubular set of $F_{r_0}$ by means of normal geodesics of length $\rho_{r_0}$ putting $r=r_0$. Define a *tubular neighborhood*

4) See footnote 2 of section 2.

$N(F_r)$ of $F_r$ as a union of all $N_{r_k}$ ($k = 0, \dots, i$). We denote its boundary by $T(F_r)$. We can take $\rho_{r_k}$ such that $\rho_{r_k}$ is sufficiently small to $\rho_{r_{k+1}}$. It makes the cellular subdivision of $N(F_r)$ simple, namely the cellular subdivision stated in section 1 can be applied for $N(F_r)$ successively from lowest dimension. We can construct a neighborhood deformation retraction of $T(F_r)$ in $N(F_r)$ by an induction in $k$, using a deformation along normal geodesics in a neighborhood of $T(F_r)$. Put $A = M_{n+r} - N(F_r)$. Obviously $A$ is a neighborhood deformation rectract in $M_{n+r}$. Using a triangular subdivision of $M_{n+r}$, we can construct a cellular subdivision of $M_{n+r}$ compatible with that of $N(F_r)$.

We consider the problem to compress $f$ into $A$ in the sense of Spanier-Whitehead (see [8]). $F_r$ denotes also the chain determined by the subvariety $F_r$ and $D$ denotes the homomorphism of chain groups to cochain groups by taking intersection numbers in integer coefficients.

LEMMA 4.1. *If we have $f^*DF_r = 0$ with respect to integer coefficients, then we get $f^*V_n \circ F_r = 0$, where $\circ$ means an intersection of chains.*

PROOF. It follows from the condition of our lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} DF_r \cap f_*V_n &= f_*(f^*DF_r \cap V_n) \\ &= f_*(0 \cap V_n) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} F_r \circ f_*V_n &= DF_r \cap f_*V_n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The main theorem in this section is the following:

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose $M_{n+r}$ be simply connected and $F_r$ be a compact subvariety. Let $f$ be a mapping of $V_n$ into $M_{n+r}$. If we have $f^*DF_r = 0$ with respect to integer coefficients, then $f$ is compressible into $A$.*

PROOF. Let $M_i$ be the $i$-skeleton of $M_{n+r}$. The theory of compression by Spanier and Whitehead [8] tells us the following: Suppose $A \cup M_{i-1}$ is simply connected, $i \geqq 2$ and $\dim(M_i - A) = i$. Let $f_i$ be a mapping of $V_n$ into $(A \cup M_i, A)$. Let $j_i$ be the inclusion map $(A \cup M_i, A) \subset (A \cup M_i, A \cup M_{i-1})$. Then we get the following diagram,

$$\pi^i(A \cup M_i, A \cup M_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{j_i^*} \pi^i(A \cup M_i, A) \xrightarrow{f_i^*} \pi^i(V_n).$$

The first obstruction to compressing $f_i$ into $A \cup M_{i-1}$ is defined by

$$z_i(f_i) = f_i^* j_i^* \in Z_i(A \cup M_i,\ A;\ \pi^i(V_n)). \tag{4.1}$$

If $z_i(f_i) = 0$, then $f_i$ is compressible into $A \cup M_{i-1}$.

We have $\pi^i(V_n) = 0$ for $n < i \leqq n + r$. Hence we get $z_i(f_i) = 0$ for such $i$. Therefore $f$ can be compressed successively into $A \cup M_n$. $z_n(f_n)$ is a critical obstruction. On the other side, we can find a deformation $d_t$ $(0 \leqq t \leqq 1)$ of $A \cup M_{n-1}$ in $M_{n+r}$ leaving $T(F_r)$ fixed in such a way that the image of $d_1$ does not intersect at all with $F_r$. Therefore if $f_n$ is compressed into $A \cup M_{n-1}$, $d_1 f_{n-1}$ which is homotopic to $f$ is the required compression. We want to show $z_n(f_n) = 0$ under the assumption $f^*DF_r = 0$.

We know $\pi^n(V_n) \approx H^n(V_n)$ (the Hopf's mapping theorem). Excising the interior $A^i$ of $A$ from $(M_{n+r}, A)$ because of the neighborhood retraction of $A$ in $M_{n+r}$, we get the following result,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(A \cup M_n, A; \pi^n(V_n)) &= Z_n(M_{n+r}, A; H^n(V_n)) \\ &= Z_n(N(F_r), T(F_r); H^n(V_n)) \\ &\overset{\varphi_{N(Fr)}}{\approx} Z_0(F_r; L \otimes H^n(V_n)) \\ &= H_0(F_r; L \otimes H^n(V_n)) \\ &= L \otimes H^n(V_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $L$ is a local system corresponding to the orientation of the normal bundle over $F_r$. All groups of $L$ are isomorphic to $Z$. From (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_n(f_n) &= f_n^* j_n^* \\ &= f^*(U_{N(F_r)}) \in H^n(V_n) \\ &= f^*(DF_r) \\ &= a v_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_n$ is the fundamental cocycle of $V_n$ and $a$ is an integer. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(DF_r)\,(V_n) &= DF_r(f_* V_n) \\ &= I(f_*(V_n), F_r) \\ &= a, \end{aligned}$$

where $I(\ )$ denotes an intersection number. Lemma 4.1 shows that $a = 0$. Hence we get $z_n(f_n) = 0$.

Since $M_{n+r}$ is simply connected, so is $M_{n-1}$ if $n \geqq 3$, because a homotopy of a closed curve into a constant mapping can be compressed into $M_{n-1}$. By the same reason, $A \cup M_{n-1}$ is simply connected, if $n \geqq 3$. Moreover we can assume that in the cellular subdivision of $N(F_r)$, any 1-cell is in $T(F_r)$. Hence, $A \cup M_{n-1}$ is still simply connected if $n = 2$. Thus the conditions of the Spanier-Whitehead's theorem about compression are satisfied. Namely, $f_n$ is compressed into $A \cup M_{n-1}$ for $n \geqq 2$.

Proof of our theorem is given except for $n = 1$. In this case, however, any closed path is deformed into a point outside of $F_r$. Thus the the rem is completely proved.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $f$ be a differentiable mapping of $V$ of dimension $\leqq n$ into a simply connected manifold $M_{n+r}$, and let $F_s$ be a subvariety in $M_{n+r}$ which has the singular locus $F_r$. If $f^*DF_r = 0$, then $f^*DF_s$ is realized by a non-singular submanifold induced from $F_s$ by $f$.*

PROOF. If the dimension of $V$ is less than $n$, our theorem is obvious. It is sufficient to consider the case where the dimension of $V$ is exactly equal to $n$. From theorem 4.1 and the property (1) in the beginning of this section, there is a differentiable mapping which is homotopic to $f$, transversally regular with respect to $F_s$ and has no image points in $F_r$. The inverse image by this mapping is the required manifold.

COROLLARY 4.1. *If $n < 2(i+1)$ then $W_i(\mathfrak{E}^m)$ can be realized by an induced Schubert submanifold.*[5]

PROOF. Put $M_{mN} = G_{m,N}$, $DF_s = (0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{i})$ and $DF_r = (0, \ldots, 0, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{i+1})$, where ( ) means a Schubert cochain. $f^*DF_r$ is of dimension $2(i+1)$. Hence it is obviously 0. From theorem 4.2, we get the result.

COROLLARY 4.2. *$W_i(\mathfrak{E}^m(V_{2(i+1)}))$ is realized by an induced Schubert submanifold, if $f^*(0, \ldots 0, 2, \ldots, 2) = 0$ in integer coefficients.*

COROLLARY 4.3. *$W_{2k+1}(\mathfrak{E}^m(V_{4(k+1)}))$ is realized by an induced Schubert submaifold, if $P_{4(k+1)}(\mathfrak{E}^m(V_{4(k+1)})) = 0$ in integer coefficients.*

# CHAPTER II

# REALIZATION OF THE STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES BY THE CONSTRUCTION OF MAPPINGS

## 5. A Necessary Condition.

Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be an $m$-vector bundle over a compact differentiable manifold $V_n$. We denote by $W_i(\mathfrak{E})$ the $i$-th Stiefel-Whitney characteristic class.

THEOREM 5.1. *If $W_i(\mathfrak{E})$ is realizable by a submanifold in $V_n$, then the class $(W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{E}))^2$ belongs to the ideal generated by $W_{2i}(\mathfrak{E})$.*

PROOF. From the result stated in section 1, we can see that there is a mapping $f$ of $V_n$ into $M(O(2i))$ such that

$$f^*U_{2i} = W_{2i}(\mathfrak{E}), \tag{5.1}$$

where $U_{2i}$ denotes the fundamental class of $M(O(2i))$. It also follows that

$$Sq^1 U_{2i} = \varphi^*_{G,2i} W_1. \tag{5.2}$$

Using (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*_{\mathfrak{E}} W_1(\mathfrak{E}) &= f^*\varphi^*_{G,2i} W_1 \\ &= f^* Sq^1 U_{2i} \\ &= Sq^1 f^* U_{2i} \\ &= Sq^1 W_{2i}(\mathfrak{E}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking square in the sense of cup-product, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (Sq^1 W_{2i}(\mathfrak{E}))^2 &= f^*(\varphi^*_{G,2i} W_1)^2 \\ &= f^*(U^2_{2i}\, W^2_1) \\ &= f^*(U_{2i})\, f^*(U_{2i}(W_1))^2 \end{aligned}$$

5) If singularities of an induced Schubert variety vanish, we call it an *induced Schubert manifold*.

$$= W_{2i}(\mathfrak{S}) f^*(\varphi^*_{G,2i} W_1)^2$$
$$= W_{2i}(\mathfrak{S}) \varphi^*_{\mathfrak{S}}(W_1(\mathfrak{S}))^2. \tag{5.3}$$

Using Wu's formula [9], we get

$$(Sq^1 W_{2i}(\mathfrak{S}))^2 = (W_1(\mathfrak{S})\, W_{2i}(\mathfrak{S}))^2 + (W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}))^2 \tag{5.4}$$

(5.3) and (5.4) prove our theorem.

The condition of theorem 5.1 is necessarily satisfied if $n \leqq 2(i+1)$. For $n < 2(i+1)$, it is obvious that $W_{2(i+1)}(\mathfrak{S}) = 0$. For $n = 2(i+1)$, the Poincaré-Veblen's duality shows the decomposition. The simplest example is the case of $W_3(\mathfrak{S})$. If $W_2(\mathfrak{S})$ is realizable by a submanifold in $V_n$, then we have $(W_3(\mathfrak{S}))^2 = W_2(\mathfrak{S})\{X\}$, which holds necessarily if $n \leqq 6$.

THEOREM 5.2. *If $W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S})$ is realizable by a submanifold, then $(W_1(\mathfrak{S}) W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S}))^2$ belongs to the ideal generated by $W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S})$ if $i$ is even and $(Sq^1 W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}))^2$ belongs to the ideal if $i$ is odd.*

PROOF. From the same argument as (5.3), it follows that

$$(Sq^2(W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}))^2 = W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) \varphi^*_{\mathfrak{S}}(W_2(\mathfrak{S}))^2. \tag{5.5}$$

Using Wu's formula, we obtain

$$Sq^2 W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) = W_2(\mathfrak{S}) W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) + \begin{Bmatrix} 2i-1 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} W_1(\mathfrak{S}) W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S})$$
$$+ \begin{Bmatrix} 2i \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} W_{2i+3}(\mathfrak{S}). \tag{5.6}$$

If $i = 2k$, then we have

$$\begin{Bmatrix} 2i-1 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} = 1 \mod 2,$$
$$\begin{Bmatrix} 2i \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 4k \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} = 0 \mod 2.$$

Substituting these values in (5.6), we get

$$Sq^2 W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) = W_2(\mathfrak{S})\, W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) + W_1(\mathfrak{S})\, W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S}).$$

From (5.5) it follows that

$$(W_1(\mathfrak{S})\, W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S}))^2 = 0 \quad (W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S})).$$

If $i = 2k+1$, then we have

$$\begin{Bmatrix} 2i \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 2(2k+1) \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} = 1 \quad \mod 2,$$

hence, we obtain

$$Sq^2 W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) = W_2(\mathfrak{S}) W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) + W_1(\mathfrak{S})\, W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S}) + W_{2i+3}(\mathfrak{S})$$
$$= W_2(\mathfrak{S})\, W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S}) + Sq^1 W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S}).$$

From (5.5) it follows that

$$(Sq^1 W_{2i+2}(\mathfrak{S}))^2 = 0 \qquad (W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{S})).$$

REMARK. (1) Theoremes 5.1 and 5.2 hold not only for the Stiefel-Whitney classes but also for any classes which satisfy the squaring formula by Wu:

$$Sq^r W_i = \sum_t \binom{i-r+t-1}{t} W_{r-t} W_{i+t}.$$

(2) For large $i$, Theorem 5.1 takes a little more detailed form; if $W_{2i}(\mathfrak{E})$ is realizable, then it follows that

$$(W_{2i+k}(\mathfrak{E}))^2 = 0 \qquad (W_{2i+1}(\mathfrak{E})),$$

for $1 \leq k \leq 2i-1$.

We will give an example of a tangent bundle with a non-realizable class $W_2$. This is the tangent bundle of the manifold $P = P(2) \times P(4) \times P(5)$. It is easily seen that

$$W(P(2)) = 1 + h_1 + h_1^2,$$
$$W(P(4)) = 1 + h_2 + h_2^4,$$
$$W(P(5)) = 1 + h_3^2 + h_3^4.$$

Then the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the above product manifold are given by

$$W_2(P) = h_1^2 + h_3^2 + h_1 h_2,$$
$$W_3(P) = h_3^2 h_1 + h_1^2 h_2 + h_3^2 h_2,$$

consequently

$$(W_3(P))^2 = h_3^4 h_1^2 + h_1^4 h_2^2 + h_3^4 h_2^2$$
$$= h_3^4 h_1^2 + h_3^4 h_2^2.$$

On the other side, any classes of $H^4(P; Z_2)$ are sums of the following elements;

$$h_1^2 h_2^2,\ h_1^2 h_2 h_3,\ h_1^2 h_3^2,$$
$$h_1 h_2^3,\ h_1 h_2^2 h_3,\ h_1 h_2 h_3^2,\ h_1 h_3^3,$$
$$h_2^4,\ h_2^3 h_3,\ h_2^2 h_3^2,\ h_2 h_3^3,\ h_3^4.$$

$H^*(P; Z_2)$ is a free commutative ring over $Z_2$ generated by $h_1$, $h_2$ and $h_3$ with relations $h_1^3 = h_2^5 = h_3^6 = 0$. Possible forms of the right side in the equation,

$$(W_3(P))^2 = W_2(P)\ \{X\} \quad \mod 2 \tag{5.7}$$

are sums of the following elements;

$$u_1 = h_1^2 h_2^2 h_3^2,$$
$$u_2 = h_1^2 h_2 h_3^3,$$
$$u_3 = h_1^2 h_3^4,$$
$$v_1 = h_1^2 h_2^4 + h_1 h_2^3 h_3^2,$$
$$v_2 = h_1^2 h_2^3 h_3 + h_1 h_2^2 h_3^3,$$
$$v_3 = h_1^2 h_2^2 h_3^2 + h_1 h_2^2 h_3^4,$$
$$v_4 = h_1^2 h_2 h_3^3 + h_1 h_3^5,$$
$$w_1 = h_1^2 h_2^4 + h_2^4 h_3^2,$$
$$w_2 = h_1^2 h_2^3 h_3 + h_1 h_2^4 h_3 + h_2^3 h_3^3,$$

$$w_3 = h_1^2h_2^2h_3^2 + h_1h_2^3h_3^2 + h_2^2h_3^4,$$
$$w_4 = h_1^2h_2h_3^3 + h_1h_2^2h_3^3 + h_2h_3^5,$$
$$w_5 = h_1^2h_3^4 + h_1h_2h_3^4.$$

It is easily shown that

$$h_1^2h_3^4 = w_5 + v_3 + u_1,$$

namely

$$h_1^2h_3^4 \equiv 0 \quad \mathrm{mod}\ (u_1,\ u_2,\ u_3,\ v_1,\ v_2,\ v_3,\ v_4,\ w_1,\ w_2,\ w_3,\ w_4,\ w_5) = M$$

and

$$h_2^2h_3^4 = w_3 + u_1 + v_1 + h_1^2h_2^4$$
$$= w_3 + u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + h_2^4h_3^2,$$

namely

$$h_2^2h_3^4 \equiv h_1^2h_2^4 \equiv h_2^4h_3^2.$$

Since $h_2^4h_3^2$ appears only in $w_1$, it does not belong to $M$. Consequently, (5.7) has no solution, that is to say, $(W_3(P))^2 \neq 0$ $(W_2(P))$, which implies that $W_2(P)$ can not be realized by a submanifold.

**6. On the Spaces $K(Z_2, 2;\ Z,\ 4;\ \mathbf{k}^5)$ and $M(O(2))$.**

Now we shall consider the condition of Theorem 5.1 for $W_2$. Our theorem can be stated as follows; *if $W_2(\mathfrak{F})$ is realizable then*

$$(W_3(\mathfrak{F}))^2 = 0 \qquad (W_2(\mathfrak{F})),$$

that is to say, we can find a cohomology class $\{X\}$ mod 2 of dimension 4, satisfying

$$(W_3(\mathfrak{F}))^2 = W_2(\mathfrak{F})\ \{X\} \quad \mathrm{mod}\ 2. \tag{6.1}$$

Suppose the base space of $\mathfrak{F}$ is a manifold of dimension 6. It is known that $K(Z_2, 2)$ and $M(O(2))$ are of same 4 type. Let $f$ be the canonical mapping of $M(O(2))$ to $K(Z_2, 2)$. When we extend the homotopy inverse $\bar{f}$ of $f$ from 4-skeleton to 5-skeleton, obstruction is given by the Eilenberg-MacLane invariant which is an element of $H^5(Z_2, 2;\ \pi_4(M(O(2))))$. Let $\iota$ be the fundamental cocycle of $K(Z_2, 2)$. The invariant generates the kernel of the homomorphism $f^*$ of $H^5(Z_2, 2; Z)$ to $H^5(M(O(2)); Z)$. Here we notice that $\pi_4(M(O(2))) = Z$. $H^5(Z_2, 2; Z)$ is a cyclic group of order 4 generated by $(1/4)\delta\mathbf{p}(\iota)$ where $\mathbf{p}$ is the Pontrjagin square and $H^5(M(O(2)); Z)$ is a cyclic group of order 2. The kernel of $f^*$ is generated by $(1/2)\delta\mathbf{p}(\iota)$ which is exactly the invariant (see Thom [2] and Eilenberg-Mac Lane [10]).

We construct a mapping $h$ of $V_6$ to $K(Z_2, 2)$ such that

$$h^*\iota = W_2(\mathfrak{F}).\ ^{6)}$$

6) Let $u$ be a 2-cell of $K(Z_2, 2)$ which gives the fundamental cycle. Define $h\,|\,(V_6)_1$ as a constant mapping. Extend $h$ over $(V_6)_2$ in such a way that each 2-simplex $\sigma_2$ of $V_6$ goes to $u$ in a degree which is equal to $W_2(\mathfrak{F})(\sigma_2)$ mod 2. Since we have $\delta W_2(\mathfrak{F}) = 0$, $h$ can be extended over $(V_6)_3$, namely over $V_6$.

Since $K(Z_2, 2) = PC(\infty)$ has a simplicial subdivision, we can assume that $h$ is simplicial. According to Eilenberg-Mac Lane's paper [10], the obstruction to extend the mapping $\bar{f}h$ of the 4-skeleton $(V_6)_4$ of $V_6$ to $M(O(2))$ over the 5-skeleton $(V_6)_5$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^*((1/2)\delta \mathbf{p}(\iota)) &= (1/2)\delta \mathbf{p}(f^*\iota) \\ &= (1/2)\delta \mathbf{p}(W_2). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

From Wu's paper [11], we have

$$\mathbf{p}(W_2) = (P_4)_4 + \theta_2(W_1{}^2 W_2) \qquad \text{mod } 4,$$

where $(P_4)_4$ is the Pontrjagin class $P_4$ reduced mod 4 and $\theta_2$ is a natural homomorphism of $Z_2$ to $Z_4$ defined by the exact sequence,

$$0 \to Z_2 \xrightarrow{\theta_2} Z_4 \to Z_2 \to 0.$$

Let $p_4$, $w_1$ and $w_2$ be representative cocycles of $P_4$, $W_1$ and $W_2$ respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1/2)\delta \mathbf{p}(W_2) &= (1/2(\delta(p_4 + 2(w_1{}^2 w_2)) \\ &= \{\delta(w_1{}^2 w_2)\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

We denote by $K = K(Z_2, 2\,;\, Z, 4\,;\, \mathbf{k}^5)$ a space with $\pi_2(K) \simeq Z_2$, $\pi_4(K) \simeq Z$, $\pi_i(K) = 0$ for $i \neq 2$, 4 and with the Eilenberg-MacLane invariant $\mathbf{k}^5$. In particular, killing homotopy groups of dimension $i \geqq 5$, we can get the cell complex $K(Z_2, 2\,;\, Z, 4\,;\, \mathbf{k}^5(M(O(2))))$, which is regarded as the second step of the Postnikov system of $M(O(2))$.

Now suppose $\mathbf{k}^5 = \mathbf{k}^5(M(O(2))) = (1/2)\delta\mathbf{p}(\iota)$. Because of (6.3), we obtain. the following diagram of mappings;

$$(V_6)_5 \xrightarrow{g_1} K \underset{5}{\cong} M(O(2)), \quad K \underset{g}{\overset{\bar{g}}{\rightleftarrows}} M(O(2)) \tag{6.4}$$

where the notation $\underset{5}{\cong}$ means that spaces of both sides are of same 5 type.

LEMMA 6.1 *The following relation holds*:

$$H^*(K\,;\, Z_2) \approx H^*(Z_2, 2\,;\, Z_2) \otimes H^*(Z, 4\,;\, Z_2). \tag{6.5}$$

PROOF. According to the theory of Eilenberg-Mac Lane complexes, $H^*(Z_2, 2\,; Z_2)$ and $H^*(Z, 5\,;\, Z_2)$ are generated by cohomology operations of their fundamental cocycles $\iota$ and $\nu$ respectively (see [13, Exp. 16]). We have the exact cohomology sequence of $(K, K(Z, 4))$ with coefficient group $Z_2$:

$$\to H^i(K, K(Z, 4)\,;\, Z_2) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^i(K\,;\, Z_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^i(Z, 4\,;\, Z_2) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^{i+1}(K, K(Z, 4)\,;\, Z_2) \to. \tag{6,6}$$

Let $p^*$ be the homomorphism of $H^*(Z_2, 2\,;\, Z_2)$ to $H^*(K, K(Z, 4)\,;\, Z_2)$ induced by the projection $p : (K, K(Z, 4)) \to (K(Z_2, 2), 0)$. From the definition of $\mathbf{k}$-invariant, we have $p^*\mathbf{k}^5 = -\delta^*\nu$. By our assumption, we get $\mathbf{k}^5 = (1/2)\delta\mathbf{p}(\iota) = 2(1/4)\delta\mathbf{p}(\iota) = 0 \bmod 2$. Hence we have $\delta^*\nu = 0$. Because of the exactness of (6.6), there is a class $\bar{\nu} \in H^4(K; Z_2)$ such that $i^*\bar{\nu} = \nu$. Since the inclusion map $i$ commutes with any cohomology operations, $i^*$ is a homomorphism onto. (6.6) causes the following exact sequence;

$$0 \to H^i(K, K(Z,4); Z_2) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^i(K; Z_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^i(Z,4; Z_2) \to 0. \tag{6.7}$$

Consequently, $i^*$ is onto, that is to say, the fiber $K(Z,4)$ is *totally non-homologous to zero with respect to* $Z_2$. It is obvious that $H^i(Z_2, 2; Z_2)$ is of finite dimension over $Z_2$ for all $i \geqq 0$.

Define a homomorphism $q^*$ of $H^*(Z,4; Z_2)$ into $H^*(K; Z_2)$ in such a way that $q^*\nu = \bar{\nu}$ which induces the homomorphism whole over $H^*(Z,4; Z_2)$, taking corresponding cohomology operations of $\nu$ and $\bar{\nu}$ respectively. Obviously we have $i^*q^* = 1$. Hence our lemma is a direct consequence of Chap. III, Prop. 8 by Serre [13].

LEMMA 6.2. *There is $\bar{\nu}$ of Lemma 6.1 such that the second* $\mathbf{k}$*-invariant of $M(O(2))$ is given by*

$$\mathbf{k}^6(M(O(2))) = (Sq^1\bar{\iota})^2 + \bar{\iota}\,\bar{\nu} \qquad mod\ 2, \tag{6.8}$$

*where* $\bar{\iota} = p^*\iota$.

PROOF. $\bar{\iota}$ is a generator of $H^2(K; Z_2)$. In the diagram (6.4), we have

$$g^*\bar{\iota} = U_{O(2)}.$$

It is known that $H^4(M(O(2)); Z_2) = Z_2(U^2_{O(2)}, U_{O(2)}(W_1)^2)$. From Lemma 6.1, we have $H^4(K; Z_2) = Z_2((\bar{\iota})^2, \bar{\nu})$. Since $g^*$ is an isomorphism of $H^i(K; Z_2)$ to $H^i(M(O(2)); Z_2)$ for all $i \leqq 4$, including cup-products, there is a unique $\bar{\nu}$ satisfying the relation,

$$g^*\bar{\nu} = \varphi^*_{O(2)}(W_1)^2 = U_{O(2)}(W_1)^2.$$

Obviously we have

$$g^*(Sq^1\bar{\iota})^2 = (Sq^1U_{O(2)})^2.$$

It is known that $\mathbf{k}^6$ generates the kernel of $g^*$, i.e., $\{\mathbf{k}^6\} = g^{*-1}(0)$. We can see from Lemma 6.1 that $H^6(K; Z_2) = Z_2((\bar{\iota})^3, (Sq^1\bar{\iota})^2, \bar{\iota}\,\bar{\nu}, Sq^2\bar{\nu})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g^*(\bar{\iota})^3 &= (W_2)^3 \neq 0, \\ g^*(Sq^1\bar{\iota})^2 &= U^2_{O(2)}W_1^2 \neq 0, \\ g^*(\bar{\iota}\,\bar{\nu}) &= U^2_{O(2)}W_1^2, \\ g^*(Sq^2\bar{\nu}) &= Sq^2(U_{O(2)}W_1^2) \\ &= U^2_{O(2)}W_1^2 + U_{O(2)}W_1^4. \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore only possible generator of $g^{*-1}(0)$ is given by

$$(Sq^1\bar{\iota})^2 + \bar{\iota}\bar{v}.$$

We denote again by $K$ the mapping cylinder of $g$ in (6.4). $K$ contains $M(O(2))$ which is denoted simply by $M$, here. $M$ is closed in $K$. From the exact cohomology sequence, it follows that

$$H^r(K, M; Z_2) = 0 \text{ for } r < 6, \quad H^6(K, M; Z_2) = Z_2,$$

$$H^r(K, M; Z_p) = 0 \text{ for } r \leqq 6 \text{ and any odd prime } p.$$

Using the duality with respect to $Z_2$ and $Z_p$, we have

$$H_r(K, M; Z_2) = 0 \text{ for } r < 6, \quad H_6(K, M; Z_2) = Z_2,$$

$$H_r(K, M; Z_p) = 0 \text{ for } r \leqq 6 \text{ and any odd prime } p.$$

From the universal coefficient formula, we get

$$H_r(K, M; Z) = 0 \text{ for } r < 6, \quad H_6(K, M; Z) = Z_2.$$

Because of the relative Hurewicz theorem, we obtain

$$\pi_6(K, M) = Z_2,$$

hence

$$\pi_5(M) = Z_2.$$

Thus (6.8) is exactly the second invariant of $M(O(2))$.

Define in the singular complex $S(K)$ the following relation of equivalence $(\rho)$: Two simplices $\sigma^q_{f_1}$ and $\sigma^q_{f_2}$ $(q \geqq 4)$ in $S(K)$ are equivalent by $\rho$ if and only if the mappings $f_1$ and $f_2$ of the standard $q$-simplex $\Delta^q$ in $K$ coincide up to the 3-skeleton of $\Delta^q$. It is then well known (definition of the Postnikov system) that the quotient complex $S(K)/\rho$ can be identified (up to homotopy) to $S(K(Z_2, 2))$.

Let $w$ be a 4-dimensional cochain in $S(K)$ with values in $Z$, with the following property: If two 4-simplices $\sigma^4_{f_1}$ and $\sigma^4_{f_2}$ are $\rho$-equivalent, then

$$w(\sigma^4_{f_1}) - w(\sigma^4_{f_2}) = d(f_1, f_2) \in \pi_4(K) \tag{6.9}$$

It is obvious that such cochains do exist. Take arbitrarily the value of $w$ on some representative of any $\rho$-class, and compute the value of $w$ on any other simplex of the class according to (6.9). Consider now the 5-skeleton $P^5$ of the "base" $K(Z_2, 2)$. We can extend the map of $P^5$ to $K$ already given on the 3-skeleton to the 4-skeleton (because of $\pi_3(K) = 0$). Let $f_1$ be such an extension. The extension of $f_1$ to the 5-skeleton gives rise to an obstruction cocycle $\alpha_{f_1} \in C^5(K(Z_2, 2): Z)$. This cocycle depends on the extension $f_1$. But I claim that the cocycle,

$$\alpha_{f_1} - \delta[f_1^*(w)]$$

does not depend on the particular extension $f_1$. In fact, if we replace $f_1$ by an other extension $f_2$, we have

$$\alpha_{f_1} - \alpha_{f_2} = \delta d(f_1, f_2).$$

hence, according to (6.9)

$$\alpha_{f_1} - \delta[f_1^*(w)] = \alpha_{f_2} - \delta[f_2^*(w)], \tag{6.10}$$

ehT cohomology class of this cocycle does not depend on the particular choice of the cochain $w$ : Suppose we replace the cochain $w$ by another cochain $\bar{w}$ satisfying also

$$\bar{w}(\sigma_{f_1}^4) - \bar{w}(\sigma_{f_2}^4) = d(f_1, f_2).$$

Then the difference $\bar{w} - w$ takes the same value of any couple of 4-simplexes $\sigma_{f_1}^4$ and $\sigma_{f_2}^4$ which are $\rho$-equivalent, hence $\bar{w} - w$ is a 4-dimensional cochain $u$ in the base space $K(Z_2, 2)$. And we get

$$(\alpha_{f_1} - f_1{}^*\delta w) - (\alpha_{f_1} - f_1{}^*\,\delta\bar{w}) = \delta u.$$

It is readily seen that the cohomology class of $\alpha_{f_1} - f_1{}^*\delta w$ is nothing but the Eilenberg-Mac Lane invariant, as defined in [14], with the use of a minimal complex. Using such a minimal complex, and taking the lifting $f_1$ for which $w = 0$, we get into the original definition of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane invariant $\mathbf{k}^5$ as an obstruction.

$\alpha_{f_1} - f_1{}^*(\delta w) = k$ is a representative cocycle of the invariant $\mathbf{k}^5$. Let us compute its image $p^*k$ in $S(K)$. On any 5-dimensional simplex $\sigma^5$ in $S(K)$, we have $< p^*k, \sigma^5 > = < k, p_*(\sigma^5) > = < \alpha_f - f^*(\delta w), p_*(\sigma^5) >$ for any lifting $f$. But if we take the lifting $f$ already given by $\sigma^5$ in $S(K)$, we have $\alpha_f(\sigma^5) = 0$, hence $p^*k = -\delta w$.

The cochain $w$ plays the role of a *transgression cochain* (it is obvious that $w$ restricted to the fiber of $P$ gives the fundamental cocycle). It should be observed that the Eilenberg-Mac Lane invariant $\mathbf{k}$ and the image by transgression of the fundamental cocycle are of opposite signs.

The relation $p^*k = -\delta w$ leads

$$w = (1/2)\delta \mathfrak{p}(\bar{\iota}) = 0 \mod 2. \tag{6.11}$$

Obviously, $w$ is a cocycle mod 2. We denote by $\{w\}$ the cohomology class mod 2 determined by $w$. There are two possibilities in the value of $g^*\{w\}$ : (A) $U_{O(2)}W_1{}^2$ or (B) $U_{O(2)}W_1{}^2 + U_{O(2)}{}^2$. In the case (A), we have $\bar{\nu} = \{w\}$. In the case (B), we replace $\{w\}$ by $\{\bar{w}\} = \{w\} + (\bar{\iota})^2$, namely $\bar{\nu} = \{w\}$.[7] In both cases, therefore, we can find a cocyle $w$ mod 2 satisfying (6.9) and $\bar{\nu} = \{w\}$.

LEMMA 6.3. *One can choose a mapping $g_2$ of $(V_6)_5$ to $K$ instead of $g_1$ in (6.4), satisfying the following conditions;*

$$g_2{}^*(\bar{\iota}) = W_2(\mathfrak{S}), \tag{6.12}$$

$$g_2{}^*(w) = X \text{ for given } X \in Z^4(V_6\,;\, Z). \tag{6.13}$$

PROOF. Suppose $g_1{}^*w = X_0 \neq X$. $g_1|(V_6)_4$ is a mapping induced by a mapping $f_1$, namely $g_1 = f_1 h$. $g_1{}^*w$ is a cocycle, since $g_1$ is defined over $(V_6)_5$. we can find a mapping $f_2$ of the 4-skeleton of $K(Z_2, 2)$ to $K$ in such a way that its induced mapping $g_2 = f_2 h$ of $(V_6)_4$ to $K$ satisfies the following condi-

7) Since $f_1$ and $f_2$ coincide on the 3-skeleton of $K(Z_2, 2)$, the added term $(\bar{\iota})^2$ does not affect at all the formulae (6.9), (6.10) and (6.11). The cocycle $(\bar{\iota})^2$ is zero on any 4-dimensional spherical cycle.

tions,

$$g_1|(V_6)_3 = g_2|(V_6)_3,$$
$$d(g_1, g_2) = X_O - X.$$

(6.9) leads the relation,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1{}^*w - g_2{}^*w &= h^*(f_1{}^*w - f_2{}^*w) \\ &= h^*d(f_1, f_2) \\ &= d(f_1h, f_2h) \\ &= d(g_1, g_2) \\ &= X_0 - X, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} g_2{}^*w &= X_0 + (X - X_0) \\ &= X. \end{aligned}$$

Taking coboundary, the obstruction cocycle to extend $g_2$ over $(V_6)_5$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g_2) &= \delta(g_2{}^*w) \\ &= \delta X \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

with respect to integer coefficients. Hence $g_2$ can be extented over $(V_6)_5$. Since $g_2$ is not changed on $(V_6)_3$, the relation (6.12) holds for $g_2$ as for $g_1$. From the construction of $g_2$, we have $g_2{}^*w = X$ which is the second relation.

## 7. $W_2$ in $V_6$.

THEOREM 7.1. *If there is an integral class $\{X\} \in H^4(V_6; Z)$ such that*

$$(Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{S}))^2 = W_2(\mathfrak{S})\ \{X\} \quad mod\ 2 \tag{7.1}$$

*where the right side of* (7.1) *is the cup-product by the natural pairing*

$$Z_2 \otimes Z \to Z_2,$$

*then $W_2(\mathfrak{S})$ is realizable by a submanifold in $V_6$.*

PROOF. Using Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3, the obstruction class to extend the mapping $\bar{g}g_2$ of $(V_6)_5$ to $M(O(2))$ over the whole manifold $V_6$ is given by the following formula,

$$\begin{aligned} g_2{}^*\mathbf{k}^6(M(O(2))) &= g_2{}^*(Sq^1\bar{\iota})^2 + g_2{}^*(\bar{\iota}\bar{\nu}) \\ &= (Sq^1g_2{}^*\bar{\iota})^2 + g_2{}^*\bar{\iota}\, g_2{}^*\bar{\nu} \\ &= (Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{S}))^2 + W_2(\mathfrak{S})\{X\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can construct an extension of $\bar{g}g_2$ over $V_6$ which is denoted by $H$: $V_6 \to M(O(2))$. It follows from the Lemma 6.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*U_{O(2)} &= g_2{}^*\bar{g}^*U_{O(2)} \\ &= g_2{}^*\bar{\iota} \end{aligned}$$

$$= W_2(\mathfrak{E}).$$

Thus our theorem is a direct consequence of Thom's fundamental theorem which is stated in section 1.

When $V_6$ is orientable, then the above theorem leads the following result:

THEOREM 7.2. *In an orientable manifold $V_6$ the $W_2$ class of any vector bundle is realizable.*

PROOF. In the orientable manifold $V_6$, using the formula of iterated square operations (see J. Adem [5]), we have the following relation,

$$\begin{aligned}(Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{E}))^2 &= Sq^3(Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{E}))\\ &= Sq^1(Sq^2Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{E}))\\ &= W_1(V_6)(Sq^2Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{E}))\\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

as $W_1(V_6) = 0$ in $V_6$, where $W_1(V_6)$ is the $W_1$-class of the tangent bundle on $V_6$(see W. T. Wu[16]). Consequently the equation (7.1)

$$\begin{aligned}(Sq^1W_2(\mathfrak{E}))^2 &= (W_3(\mathfrak{E}))^2\\ &= W_2(\mathfrak{E})\ \{X\}\end{aligned}$$

has always a solution $X = 0$.

*Examples of non-orientable manifolds of dimension* 6. We shall show that (7.1) holds for each generator of cobordism group mod 2 in dimension 6, which are denoted by (i), (ii) and (iii) in section 3. It is easily seen by a direct calculation that

$$(Sq^1W_2(\mathrm{i}))^2 = 0.$$

Hence we can take $X = 0$ for manifold (i), $P(6)$.

For the manifold (ii), $P(4) \times P(2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}W_1(\mathrm{ii}) &= h_1 + h_2,\\ W_2(\mathrm{ii}) &= h_1h_2 + h_2^2,\\ W_3(\mathrm{ii}) &= h_1h_2^2,\\ (W_3(\mathrm{ii}))^2 &= h_1^2h_2^4\\ &= 0,\\ (W_1(\mathrm{ii}))^2(W_2(\mathrm{ii}))^2 &= h_2^2(h_1 + h_2)^4\\ &= h_1^4h_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}(Sq^1W_2(\mathrm{ii}))^2 &= (W_1(\mathrm{ii}))^2(W_2(\mathrm{ii}))^2 + (W_3(\mathrm{ii}))^2\\ &= h_1^4h_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = h_1^4$ which is an integral class, we obtain (7.1).

For the manifold (iii), $P(2) \times P(2) \times P(2)$, we have

$$W_1(\mathrm{iii}) = \sum_1^3 h_i,$$

$$W_2(\text{iii}) = \sum_1^3 h_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} h_i h_j,$$

$$W_3(\text{iii}) = \sum_{i \neq j} h_i^2 h_j + h_1 h_2 h_3,$$

$$(W_3(\text{iii}))^2 = h_1^2 h_2^2 h_3^2,$$

$$W_1(\text{iii})^2 W_2(\text{iii})^2 = \left(\sum_1^3 h_i^2\right)\left(\sum_{i \neq j} h_i^2 h_j^2\right)$$

$$= h_1^2 h_2^2 h_3^2.$$

Consequently we get

$$(Sq^1 W_2(\text{iii}))^2 = (W_1(\text{iii}))^2 (W_2(\text{iii}))^2 + (W_3(\text{iii}))^2$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence we can also take $X = 0$.

No examples are known of a non-orientable $V_6$ in which $W_2$ is not realizable.

## CHAPTER III

## SPECIAL MANIFOLDS

### 8. Totally Realizable Manifolds.

In the following, $\mathfrak{G}$ denotes any commutative ring. In particular, $Z$ and $Z_p$ denote the ring of integers and the ring of integers modulo $p$ as usual, where $p$ is a prime number. Let $M$ be a real compact differentiable manifold. If every homogeous class of the cohomology ring $H^*(M;\ \mathfrak{G})$ is realized by a compact submanifold which is not necessarily connected, then we say that the manifold $M$ is *totally realizable* for the coefficient ring $\mathfrak{G}$.

For example, an $n$-sphere $S^n$ and more generally a product space of spheres, $S^{n_1} \times S^{n_2} \times \ldots \times S^{n_k}$ is totally realizable for $Z_2$ but not for $Z_p (p > 2)$ and $Z$. Now we consider the following manifold. Let $S$ be a sphere of dimension $\geqq 2$ and let $q$ be the symmetric transformation of $S$ with respect to a hyperplane which determines its equater. Then $q$ is a homeomorphism of $S$ onto itself changing its orientation. We denote by $x$ a point of $S$ and denote by $I$ the unit interval. In a product space $S \times I$, we identify a point $(x, 0)$ with $(q(x), 1)$ and denote by $L$ the manifold which is thus obtained. It is easily seen that $L$ is a sphere bundle over a circle $S^1$ with $G = \{1, q\}$ as its structural group. We denote by $s$ and $s^1$ the fundamental classes of $H^*(S;\ Z_2)$ and $H^*(S^1;\ Z_2)$ respectively. Let $i$ be an inclusion map of $S$ into $L$ and let $\pi$ be the projection of $L$ onto $S^1$. Then we have an isomorphism,

$$H^*(L;\ Z_2) \approx H^*(S;\ Z_2) \otimes H^*(S^1;\ Z_2)$$

by a similar argument to Lemma 6.1, Chap. II. Non-trivial elements of $H^*(L,\ Z_2)$ are;

$$1,\ \pi^*(s^1),\ (i^*)^{-1}s,\ l = (i^*)^{-1}s \cup \pi^*(s^1).$$

where $l$ is the findamental cocyle of $L$. They are all realizable by compact connected manifolds: $L$, $S_x$ (a fiber over $x \in S_1$), $y \times S_1$ ($y$ is a point on the equator of $S$) and a point of $L$, respectively.

A real projective space $P(n)$ of dimension $n$ is totally realizable for $Z_2$, because a non-trivial cohomology class of each dimension is realized by a linear subspace of corresponding codimension. In a similar way, we can see that a complex projective space $PC(n)$ of complex dimension $n$ is also totally realizable for $Z_2$.

## 9. Complete Intersections of Hypersurfaces.

Let $M$ and $V$ be real compact differentiable manifolds of dimension $n+r$ and $n$ respectively. Suppose $V$ is imbedded in $M$ by a differentiable map. A set of all normal vectors on $V$ in $M$ makes a fiber bundle over $V$ with an $r$-dimensional vector space as fiber. It is well known that this fiber bundle is isomorphic to an open tubular neighborhood $N(V)$ of $V$ which consists of all normal geodesics with sufficiently small distance from $V$. We shall call a submanifold of codimension 1 in $M$ a *non-singular hypersurface* in some generalized sense of that of the projective space. We consider $r$ non-singular hypersurfaces $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r$ in $M$ which are in *general position*, that is to say, each point $x$ of $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \dots \cap H_r$ in $M$ has a neighborhood $U$ in which local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{n+r}$ with $x$ as its center are defined and $U \cap H_i$ is given by $x_{n+i} = 0$. Suppose $V_n$ is such an intersection and we call it a *complete intersection* [8] of non-singular hypersurfaces $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r$.

THEOREM 9.1. *The Stiefel-Whitney characteristic classes of normal bundles over a complete intersection $V_n$ of non-singular hypersurfaces in $M$ are all realizable by submanifolds.*

PROOF. The normal bundle decomposes into $r$ real line bundles, each of which is induced by the corresponding hypersurface $H_i (1 \leqq i \leqq r)$. Its characteristic class $h_i$ is the restriction of the cohomology class $\bar{h}_i$ which is dual to the homolcgy class of $H_i$. The total Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal bundle $N$ is given by the following formula,

$$W(N) = \left[\prod_1^r (1+\bar{h}_i)\right]_{V_n}$$

$$= \prod_1^r (1+h_i),$$

$$W_k(N) = \Big(\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \bar{h}_{i_1}\dots\bar{h}_{i_k}\Big)_{V_n}$$

8) This definition is essentially due to F. Hirzebruch, Proc. Int. Congress of Math. (Amsterdam) Vol. III(1954), pp. 457-473.

$$= \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} h_{i_1}\dots h_{i_k}. \tag{9.1}$$

Let $D$ be the duality operator of Poincaré-Veblen. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(h_i) &= \bar{h}_i \cap V_n \\ &= DH_i \cap V_n \\ &= H_i \circ V_n. \end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Therefore each $h_i$ is realizable by submanifold in $V_n$. We have already known in section 1 that a product of realizable classes is also realizable. On the other side $\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \bar{h}_{i_1}\dots \bar{h}_{i_k}$ is a class in the totally realizable manifold $M$. Hence, it is realized by a submanifold $\mathbf{H}_k$ in $M$. By the same way as (9.2), $W_k(N) = \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_k)} (\bar{h}_{i_1}\dots \bar{h}_{i_k})_{V_n}$ is realizable in $V_n$.

Consider the restriction of the tangent bundle of $M$ over the submanifold $V_n$. Since $W_i(M)$ are all realizable in $M$, it the follows that the Stiefel-Whitney classes $(W_i(M))_{V_n}$ are realizable for $0 \leqq i \leqq n$, The restriction of the tangent bundle of $M$ over $V_n$ is a Whitney sum of the tangent bundle of $V_n$ and the normal bundle over $V_n$ in $M$. Thus, using the Whitney duality, we get the following relation,

$$\Sigma_{\alpha+\beta=i} W_\alpha(N) W_\beta(V_n) = W_i(M)_{V_n}, \tag{9.3}$$

for $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq r$, $0 \leqq \beta \leqq n$ and $0 \leqq i \leqq n+r$.

LEMMA 9.1. *Let $V$ be a submanifold in a totally realizable manifold $M$. If one of two fiber bundles, the normal bundle or the tangent bundle over $V_n$ has Stiefel-Whitney classes which are all restrictions of some classes in $M$, then so does the other.*

PROOF. Characteristic classes of one fiber bundle in (9.3) can be solved with respect to those of the other. Suppose $W_\alpha(N)$ are all restrictions of classes $\overline{W}_\alpha$ in $M$. Then $W_\beta(V_n)$ are all polynomials in $W_\alpha(N)$ and $W_i(M)_{V_n}$. Since $\overline{W}_\alpha$ and $W_i(M)$ can be realized by submanifolds in the totally realizable manifold $M$, polynomials in them are also realizable in $M$. On the other side, polynomials of $W_\beta(V_n)$ in $W_\alpha(N)$ and $W_i(N)_{V_n}$ are restrictions of corresponding polynomials in $\overline{W}_\alpha$ and $W_i(M)$. Hence $W_\beta(V_n)$ are also realizable.

THEOREM 9.2. *A complete intersection $V_n$ of non-singular hypersurfaces in a totally realizable $M$ for $Z_2$ has the tangent bundle whose Stiefel-Whitney classes are all realizable.*

PROOF. It is seen in the proof of theorem 9.1 that $W_\alpha(N)$ are all restrictions of classes in $M$. Our theorem is a direct consequence of lemma 9.1.

## REFERENCES


[1] N. E STEENROD, The topology of fiber bundles, Princeton Mathematical Series 14, Princeton University Press (1951).

[2] R. THOM, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables, Comm. Math. Helv., 28 (1954), 17-86.

[3] H. SUZUKI, On the realization of cohomology classes by submanifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958), 541~550.

[4] R. THOM, Espace fibrés en sphères et carrés de Steenrod, Ann. Sci. École Norm Sup., 69 (1952), 109-182.

[5] R. THOM, Les singularités des applications différentiables, Ann. de L'institut Fourier, VI. (1955-1956), 43-87.

[6] S. S. CHERN, On the multiplication in the characteristic ring of a sphere bundle, Ann. of Math., 49(1948), 362-373.

[7] W. T. WU, On Pontrjagin classes I, Acta Math. Sinica, 3(1953), 291-315.

[8] E. SPANIER and J. H. C WHITEHEAD, Obstructions to compression, Quarterly Journ. of Math., 6 (1955), 91-100.

[9] W. T. WU, Les $i$-carrés dans une variété grassmanienne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 230(1950), 918-920.

[10] S. EILENBERG and S. MAC LANE, Cohomology groups of Abelian groups and homotopy theory IV, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 38 (1952), 325-329.

[11] W. T. WU, On Pontrjagin classes III, Acta Math. Sinica, 4 (1954), 323-346.

[12] H. CARTAN, Algebras d'Eilenberg-MacLane et homotopie, École Norm. Sup. Séminaire, 1954-1955.

[13] J. P. SERRE, Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés, Applications, Ann. of Math., 54 (1951), 425-505.

[14] S. EILENBERG and S. MACLANE, Relations between homology and homotopy groups of spaces II, Ann of Math., 61 (1950), 514-533.

[15] J. ADEM, The iteration of the Steenrod squares in algebraic topology, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 38 (1952), 720-726.

[16] W. T. WU, Class caractéristques et $i$-carrés d'une variété, C. R. Acad. Paris, 230(1950), 508-511.

[17] R. THOM, Un lemme sur les applications différentiables, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (1956), 59-71.


TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI JAPAN,
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILL., U. S. A..