

# ON THE REPRESENTATION OF STRICTLY CONTINUOUS LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

by LIAQAT ALI KHAN and K. ROWLANDS

(Received 14th January 1980)

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $E$  a real or complex topological vector space, and  $C(X, E)$  the vector space of all bounded continuous  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$ ; when  $E$  is the real or complex field this space will be denoted by  $C(X)$ . The notion of the strict topology on  $C(X, E)$  was first introduced by Buck (1) in 1958 in the case of  $X$  locally compact and  $E$  a locally convex space. In recent years a large number of papers have appeared in the literature concerned with extending the results contained in Buck's paper. In particular, a number of these have considered the problem of characterising the strictly continuous linear functionals on  $C(X, E)$ ; see, for example, (2), (3), (4) and (8). In this paper we suppose that  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space and that  $E$  is a Hausdorff topological vector space with a non-trivial dual  $E'$ . The main result established is Theorem 3.2, where we prove a representation theorem for the strictly continuous linear functionals on the subspace  $C_{cb}(X, E)$  which consists of those functions  $f$  in  $C(X, E)$  such that  $f(X)$  is totally bounded.

Throughout, we use the notation and terminology introduced in (5).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $X$ , and  $M(X)$  the Banach space of all bounded regular Borel measures on  $X$ . The topology  $\tau$  of  $E$  may be determined by a family  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-norms,  $\{\nu_i: i \in I\}$  say (see (7), p. 2)), and without loss of generality we can assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is full in the sense that, if  $\nu_{i_1}, \dots, \nu_{i_m}$  is any finite collection of members of  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \nu_{i_k}$  is also in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\lambda\nu \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$ . For each

$i \in I$ , let  $M_i(X, E')$  denote the set of all finitely additive  $E'$ -valued set functions  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  which have the following properties:

- (i) for each  $a \neq 0$  in  $E$ ,  $\mu_a(F) = \mu(F)(a)$  ( $F \in \mathcal{B}$ ) defines an element  $\mu_a$  of  $M(X)$ ;
- (ii) there exists a constant  $k$  such that  $|\mu|_i(X) \leq k$ , where, for each  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define  $|\mu|_i$  by

$$|\mu|_i(F) = \sup \left| \sum_j \mu_{a_j}(F_j) \right|,$$

the supremum being taken over all finite partitions  $\{F_j\}$  of  $F$  into members of  $\mathcal{B}$  (henceforth referred to as a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition) and all finite collections  $\{a_j\}$  of points in  $E$  such that  $\nu_i(a_j) \leq 1$ .

Let  $M(X, E') = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i(X, E')$ . We now suppose that  $m \in M_i(X, E')$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $f \in C_{ib}(X, E)$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_F$  be the collection of all  $\alpha = \{F_1, \dots, F_n; x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , where  $\{F_j\}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition of  $F$  and  $x_j \in F_j$ . If  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{D}_F$ , define  $\alpha_1 \cong \alpha_2$  if and only if each set which appears in  $\alpha_1$  is contained in some set in  $\alpha_2$ . In this way  $\mathcal{D}_F$  becomes an indexing set. Let  $\omega_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n m(F_j)(f(x_j))$ . We then have the following

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\{\omega_\alpha\}$  ( $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_F$ ) is a Cauchy net.

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  (and without loss of generality suppose that  $\varepsilon < 1/4$ ). Then the set  $V = \{x \in E: \nu_i(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$ .  $f(X)$  is totally bounded and so there exist points  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in  $X$  such that  $f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n (f(y_j) + V)$ . Let  $V_j = \{x \in X: f(x) - f(y_j) \in V\}$ . Each  $V_j$  is closed, and so is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $F'_j = V_j \cap F$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and define  $G_1 = F'_1$ ,  $G_j = F'_j \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{j-1} F'_k$  ( $2 \leq j \leq n$ ). By keeping those  $G_j$ 's which are non-empty we get a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition,  $\{G_1, \dots, G_{n_0}\}$  say, of  $F$ . Choose  $x_j \in G_j$  and let  $\alpha_0 = \{G_1, \dots, G_{n_0}; x_1, \dots, x_{n_0}\}$ . Note that  $\nu_i(f(x) - f(y)) \leq 2\varepsilon$  if  $x, y$  are in the same  $G_j$ . Then for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \cong \alpha_0$ , we have

$$|\omega_{\alpha_1} - \omega_{\alpha_2}| \leq |\omega_{\alpha_1} - \omega_{\alpha_0}| + |\omega_{\alpha_0} - \omega_{\alpha_2}|.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_{\alpha_1} - \omega_{\alpha_0}| &= \left| \sum_k m(F_k)f(y_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} m(G_j)f(x_j) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \left( \sum_{F_k \subseteq G_j} m(F_k)f(y_k) - \sum_{F_k \subseteq G_j} m(F_k)f(x_j) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \sum_{F_k \subseteq G_j} m(F_k)(f(y_k) - f(x_j)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\nu_i \left( \left[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right] (f(y_k) - f(x_j)) \right) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right] \nu_i(f(y_k) - f(x_j)) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \nu_i(f(y_k) - f(x_j)) \leq 1,$$

where  $[t]$  denotes the integer part of  $t$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right] \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \sum_{F_k \subseteq G_j} m(F_k)(f(y_k) - f(x_j)) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \sum_{F_k \subseteq G_j} m(F_k) \left( \left[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right] (f(y_k) - f(x_j)) \right) \right| \\ &\leq |m|_i(F), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|\omega_{\alpha_1} - \omega_{\alpha_0}| \leq \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right]} |m|_i(F) < 4\varepsilon |m|_i(F)$$

since  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ .

Similarly we can prove that  $|\omega_{\alpha_2} - \omega_{\alpha_0}| < 4\varepsilon |m|_i(F)$ . Thus  $|\omega_{\alpha_1} - \omega_{\alpha_2}| < 8\varepsilon |m|_i(F)$ , and since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary the result follows.

In view of the above lemma, we can now make the following

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mu \in M(X, E')$  and let  $f \in C_{ib}(X, E)$ . The integral of  $f$  with respect to  $\mu$  is defined by

$$\int_X d\mu f = \lim_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}$$

where the limit is taken over the indexing set  $\mathcal{D}_X$ .

Let  $C(X) \otimes E$  denote the vector space spanned by the set of all functions of the form  $\phi \otimes a$ , where  $\phi \in C(X)$ ,  $a \in E$ , and  $(\phi \otimes a)(x) = \phi(x)a$  ( $x \in X$ ). It is straightforward to show that, if  $\phi \in C(X)$  and  $a \in E$ , then  $\int_X d\mu(\phi \otimes a) = \int_X \phi d\mu_a$ . Also it is easy to show that the equation

$$\Phi(f) = \int_X d\mu f \quad (f \in C_{ib}(X, E))$$

defines a linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $C_{ib}(X, E)$ .

Every topological vector space has a base of closed, balanced, shrinkable neighbourhoods of 0 (6). (A neighbourhood  $W$  of 0 in a TVS is said to be shrinkable if  $\lambda \bar{W} \subseteq \text{int } W$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .)

If  $\mathcal{W}$  is a base of closed, balanced, shrinkable  $\tau$ -neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$ , then the Minkowski functional  $\rho_W$  of each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  is continuous (6, Theorem 5). We also note that, for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $W = \{x \in E: \rho_W(x) \leq 1\}$ , and that  $\rho_W$  is positive homogeneous.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $m \in M_i(X, E')$ . Then

- (a)  $|m|_i \in M(X)$ ;
- (b) there exists a  $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$\left| \int_X dm f \right| \leq \int_X (\rho_{W_i} \circ f) d|m|_i \leq \|f\|_i |m|_i(X) \quad (f \in C_{ib}(X, E)),$$

where  $\|f\|_i = \sup_{x \in X} \rho_{W_i}(f(x))$ .

**Proof.** (a) It follows immediately from the definition that  $|m|_i$  is a bounded non-negative-valued set function on  $X$ . We show that  $|m|_i$  is countably additive, as follows.

It is straightforward to show that  $|m|_i$  is finitely additive. Let  $\{A_k\}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be a sequence of disjointing sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  and suppose that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = A$ . For any positive integer  $n$ ,

$$|m|_i(A) \geq |m|_i\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n |m|_i(A_k),$$

and so

$$|m|_i(A) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |m|_i(A_k). \tag{1}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exist a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition  $\{F_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) of  $A$  and a collection of points  $\{a_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) with  $v_i(a_j) \leq 1$  such that

$$|m|_i(A) \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^m m_{a_j}(F_j) \right| + \epsilon.$$

Since each  $m_{a_j}$  is countably additive and  $\{F_j \cap A_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a partition of  $F_j$ , we have  $m_{a_j}(F_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{a_j}(F_j \cap A_k)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ). Hence

$$|m|_i(A) \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{a_j}(F_j \cap A_k) \right| + \epsilon \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |m|_i(A_k) + \epsilon. \tag{2}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, it follows from (1) and (2) that  $|m|_i$  is countably additive.

To complete the proof of (a) we show that  $|m|_i$  is regular. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ . There exist a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition  $\{F_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) of  $F$  and a collection  $\{a_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) of points with  $v_i(a_j) \leq 1$  such that

$$|m|_i(F) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |m_{a_j}|(F_j) + \epsilon.$$

Since each  $m_{a_j}$  is regular, there exist compact sets  $K_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) such that  $K_j \subseteq F_j$  and  $|m_{a_j}|(F_j) < |m_{a_j}|(K_j) + \epsilon/2^j$ . Let  $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j$ . Then  $K \subseteq F$  and

$$|m|_i(F) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |m_{a_j}|(K_j) + 2\epsilon.$$

Moreover, for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ '-partition of  $K_j$ ,  $\{G_{j,1}, \dots, G_{j,t}\}$  say, such that

$$|m_{a_j}|(K_j) < \sum_{l=1}^{t_j} |m_{a_j}(G_{j,l})| + \epsilon/2^j. \tag{*}$$

If  $m_{a_j}(G_{j,l}) \neq 0$ , we can write  $|m_{a_j}(G_{j,l})| = m(G_{j,l})(a'_{j,l})$ , where

$$a'_{j,l} = \frac{m_{a_j}(G_{j,l})}{|m_{a_j}(G_{j,l})|} a_j,$$

and we note that  $v_i(a'_{j,l}) \leq 1$  for all  $j$  and  $l$ .

If  $m_{a_j}(G_{j,l}) = 0$  for some  $j$  and  $l$ , then the contribution of such terms to the summation in (\*) is zero, and so we define  $a'_{j,l} = 0$  for these terms.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |m|_i(F) &< \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^{t_j} m(G_{j,l})(a'_{j,l}) + 3\epsilon \\ &\leq |m|_i(K) + 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary it follows that  $|m|_i(F) = \sup_{K \subseteq F} |m|_i(K)$ , where  $K$  is compact. Similarly we can prove that  $|m|_i(F) = \inf_{F \subseteq G} |m|_i(G)$ , where  $G$  is open. Thus  $|m|_i$  is regular, and so is an element of  $M(X)$ .

(b) Let  $W_i$  be a closed, balanced, shrinkable  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$  such that  $\{x \in E: \nu_i(x) \leq 1\} \supseteq W_i = \{x \in E: \rho_{W_i}(x) \leq 1\}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -partition,  $\{F_j: 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  say, of  $X$ , and points  $x_j \in F_j$  such that

$$\left| \int_X df \right| \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^m m(F_j)f(x_j) \right| + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m (\rho_{W_i} \circ f)(x_j) |m|_i(F_j) \right| \leq \int_X (\rho_{W_i} \circ f)(x) d|m|_i + \varepsilon.$$

Let  $H_1$  (resp.  $H_2$ ) be the set of  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\rho_{W_i}(f(x_j)) \neq 0$  ( $\rho_{W_i}(f(x_j)) = 0$ ). We note that, if  $j \in H_2$ , then  $\nu_i(tf(x_j)) \leq 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X df \right| &\leq \sum_{j \in H_1} (\rho_{W_i} \circ f)(x_j) \left| m(F_j) \left( \frac{f(x_j)}{\rho_{W_i} \circ f(x_j)} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in H_2} \frac{\varepsilon}{|m|_i(X)} \left| m(F_j) \left( \frac{|m|_i(X)f(x_j)}{\varepsilon} \right) \right| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in H_1} (\rho_{W_i} \circ f)(x_j) \left| m(F_j) \left( \frac{f(x_j)}{\rho_{W_i} \circ f(x_j)} \right) \right| + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We note that, if  $|m|_i(X) = 0$ , then the inequality we are seeking to establish holds trivially.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X df \right| &\leq \sum_{j \in H_1} (\rho_{W_i} \circ f)(x_j) |m|_i(F_j) + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \int_X (\rho_{W_i} \circ f)(x) d|m|_i + 3\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and so, since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\left| \int_X df \right| \leq \int_X (\rho_{W_i} \circ f) d|m|_i.$$

The other inequality is straightforward to prove.

### 3. The representation theorem

**Definition 3.1.** The pair  $(X, E)$  is said to have the  $\beta$ -density property if  $C(X) \otimes E$  is  $\beta$ -dense in  $C(X, E)$ .

It has been proved in (5) that  $C(X) \otimes E$  has the  $\beta$ -density property in each of the following cases:

- (a) if  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space of finite covering dimension and  $E$  is any topological vector space;
- (b) if  $X$  is any completely regular Hausdorff space and  $E$  is a locally convex space.

In the sequel we shall assume that  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space and that  $(X, E)$  has the  $\beta$ -density property.

**Theorem 3.2.** For each  $\mu \in M(X, E')$ , the equation

$$\Phi(f) = \int_X d\mu f \quad (f \in C_{cb}(X, E)) \tag{3}$$

defines a  $\beta$ -continuous linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $C_{cb}(X, E)$ . Conversely, if  $\Phi$  is a  $\beta$ -continuous linear functional on  $C_{cb}(X, E)$ , then there exists a unique  $\mu$  in  $M(X, E')$  such that  $\Phi$  is given by (3).

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in M(X, E')$  and suppose that  $\Phi$  is the linear functional on  $C_{cb}(X, E)$  defined by (3). Now  $\mu \in M_i(X, E')$  for some  $i \in I$ , and so, by Lemma 2.3(a),  $|\mu|_i \in M(X)$ . It follows from (3, Lemma 4.2) that the equation

$$\Phi_i(\phi) = \int_X \phi d|\mu|_i \quad (\phi \in C(X))$$

defines a  $\beta$ -continuous linear functional  $\Phi_i$  on  $C(X)$ . Thus, using the notation of (5), there exists a function  $\psi$  in  $B_0(X)$ ,  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ , such that  $|\Phi_i(\phi)| \leq 1$  whenever  $\phi \in C(X)$  and  $\|\psi\phi\| \leq 1$ . Let  $W_i$  be a closed, balanced, shrinkable  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of 0 defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.3(b) and let  $f \in U(\psi, W_i)$ . Then, since  $\|\psi(\rho_{W_i} \circ f)\| = \|\rho_{W_i}(\psi f)\| \leq 1$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3(b) that

$$|\Phi(f)| \leq \int_X (\rho_{W_i} \circ f) d|\mu|_i \leq 1.$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is  $\beta$ -continuous.

Conversely, let  $\Phi$  be a  $\beta$ -continuous linear functional on  $C_{cb}(X, E)$ . Then there exist a  $\nu_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and a  $\psi \in B_0(X)$  such that  $|\Phi(f)| \leq 1$  for all  $f \in U(\psi, V_i)$ , where  $V_i = \{x \in E: \nu_i(x) \leq 1\}$ . For each  $a \neq 0$  in  $E$ , let  $\Phi_a(\phi) = \Phi(\phi \otimes a)$  ( $\phi \in C(X)$ ). It is straightforward to prove that  $\Phi_a$  is a  $\beta$ -continuous linear functional on  $C(X)$ , and so, by (3, Lemma 4.5), there exists a unique  $\mu_a$  in  $M(X)$  such that

$$\Phi_a(\phi) = \int \phi d\mu_a \quad (\phi \in C(X)).$$

For each  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ , the functional  $\mu(F)$ , defined by

$$(\mu(F))(a) = \mu_a(F) \quad (a \in E),$$

is an element of  $E'$ , as follows. It is straightforward to show that  $\mu(F)$  is linear. Since  $\Phi$  is  $\beta$ -continuous it is continuous with respect to the uniform topology on  $C(X, E)$  and so there exists a closed, balanced, shrinkable  $\tau$ -neighbourhood  $W$  of 0 in  $E$ , such that  $|\Phi(\phi)| \leq 1$  whenever  $\phi \in U(1, W)$ . Consider  $h \in C(X)$ , with  $0 \leq h \leq 1$ . Then  $\rho_W(h(x)a) = h(x)\rho_W(a) \leq \rho_W(a)$  for all  $x \in X$ , and so  $h \otimes a \in U(1, W)$  whenever  $\rho_W(a) \leq 1$ . Thus  $|\Phi_a(h)| = |\Phi(h \otimes a)| \leq 1$  whenever  $\rho_W(a) \leq 1$ , which implies that  $|\Phi_a(h)| \leq \rho_W(a)$  for all  $a \in E$ . If  $h \in C(X)$  and  $\|h\| \leq 1$ , then  $|\Phi_a(h)| \leq 4\rho_W(a)$ . Thus  $\|\Phi_a\| \leq 4\rho_W(a)$ , and so from the inequalities

$$|\mu(F)(a)| = |\mu_a(F)| \leq \|\mu_a\| = \|\Phi_a\| \leq 4\rho_W(a),$$

the continuity of  $\mu(F)$  follows.

Thus  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E'$ , defined by

$$(\mu(F))(x) = \mu_x(F) \quad (F \in \mathcal{B}, x \in E),$$

is a finitely additive  $E'$ -valued set function on  $\mathcal{B}$  with property (i). Moreover  $|\mu|_t(X)$  is finite for some  $t \in I$ , as we now show.

There exists an  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-norm  $\nu_t$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\{x \in E: \nu_t(x) \leq 1\} \subseteq W = \{x \in E: \rho_W(x) \leq 1\}.$$

Let  $\{F_j\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition of  $X$  and let  $\{a_j\}$  be any collection of points in  $E$  such that  $\nu_t(a_j) \leq 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ). We now proceed by using the same argument as the one given in (8, Lemma 4). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Each  $\mu_{a_j}$  is regular and so there exist compact sets  $K_j \subseteq F_j$  such that  $|\mu_{a_j}|(F_j \setminus K_j) < \varepsilon/2m$ , and open sets  $V_j \supseteq K_j$  such that  $|\mu_{a_j}|(V_j \setminus K_j) < \varepsilon/2m$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ; since the  $K_j$ 's are disjoint compact sets and  $X$  is completely regular, the  $V_j$ 's may be chosen so that  $V_j \cap V_{j'} = \emptyset$  ( $j \neq j'$ ). Choose functions  $g_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) in  $C(X)$ ,  $0 \leq g_j \leq 1$ , such that  $g_j(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K_j$  and  $\text{supp } g_j \subseteq V_j$ . Let  $h = \sum_{j=1}^m g_j \otimes a_j$ . Then  $h \in C(X, E)$  and  $\nu_t(h(x)) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ , and so  $|\Phi(h)| \leq 1$ . By using the above inequalities as in the proof of (8, Lemma 4) we have that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(F_j)a_j \right| < \varepsilon + |\Phi(h)| \leq \varepsilon + 1.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\mu$  satisfies condition (ii).

Let  $g$  be any function in  $C(X) \otimes E$ . Then  $g = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i \otimes b_i$ , where  $\phi_i \in C(X)$ , and  $b_i \in E$ , and so

$$\Phi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^p \Phi(\phi_i \otimes b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p \int_X \phi_i d\mu_{b_i} = \sum_{i=1}^p \int_X d\mu(\phi_i \otimes b_i) = \int_X d\mu g.$$

Since  $C(X) \otimes E$  is  $\beta$ -dense in  $C_{tb}(X, E)$ , it follows from the above that  $\Phi(f) = \int_X d\mu f$  for all  $f \in C_{tb}(X, E)$ .

Finally,  $\mu$  is unique, as we now show. Suppose that there is an  $m$  in  $M(X, E')$  such that  $\Phi(f) = \int_X dm f$  for all  $f \in C_{tb}(X, E)$ . In particular, for any  $\phi \in C(X)$  and  $x \in E$ ,  $\int_X d\mu(\phi \otimes x) = \int_X dm(\phi \otimes x)$ . Hence  $\int \phi d\mu_x = \int \phi dm_x$  for all  $\phi \in C(X)$ , and so, by (3, Lemma 4.5),  $\mu_x = m_x$ . Thus, for any Borel set  $F$  and any  $x$  in  $E$ ,  $\mu(F)(x) = \mu_x(F) = m_x(F) = m(F)(x)$ . It follows that  $\mu(F) = m(F)$ , and so  $\mu = m$ , as required.

REFERENCES

(1) R. C. BUCK, Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space, *Michigan Math. J.* **5** (1958), 95–104.  
 (2) R. A. FONTENOT, Strict topologies for vector-valued functions, *Canadian J. Math.* **26** (1974), 841–853.  
 (3) R. GILES, A generalization of the strict topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **161** (1971), 467–474.  
 (4) A. KATSARAS, Spaces of vector measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **206** (1975), 313–327.

(5) L. A. KHAN, The strict topology on a space of vector-valued functions, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **22** (1979), 35–41.

(6) V. KLEE, Shrinkable neighbourhoods in Hausdorff linear spaces, *Math. Ann.* **141** (1960), 281–5.

(7) L. WAELBROECK, *Topological vector spaces and algebras* (Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics 230, 1971).

(8) J. WELLS, Bounded continuous vector-valued functions on a locally compact space, *Michigan J. Math.* **11** (1965), 119–126.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COLLEGE  
ISLAMABAD  
PAKISTAN

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS  
THE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF WALES  
ABERYSTWYTH