# On the restriction of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\boldsymbol{F})$ to a Borel subgroup 

Vytautas Paskunas


#### Abstract

Let $F$ be a non-Archimedean local field and let $p$ be the residual characteristic of $F$. Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ and let $P$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. In this paper we study the restriction of irreducible smooth representations of $G$ on $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$-vector spaces to $P$. We show that in a certain sense $P$ controls the representation theory of $G$. We then extend our results to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$-modules of finite length and unitary $K$-Banach space representations of $G$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a complete discretely valued field $K$ with residue field $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a non-Archimedean local field and let $p$ be the residual characteristic of $F$. Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ and let $P$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. In this paper we study the restriction of smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$-representations of $G$ to $P$. We show that in a certain sense $P$ controls the representation theory of $G$. We then extend our results to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$-modules of finite length and unitary $K$-Banach space representations of $G$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a complete discretely valued field $K$, with residue field $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ and uniformizer $\varpi_{K}$.

The study of smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$-representations of $G$ have been initiated by Barthel and Livne in [BL94]. They have shown that smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$-representations of $G$ with central character fall into four classes:
(1) one-dimensional representations $\chi \circ$ det;
(2) (irreducible) principal series $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}\left(\chi_{1} \otimes \chi_{2}\right)$, with $\chi_{1} \neq \chi_{2}$;
(3) special series $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \chi \circ \operatorname{det}$;
(4) supersingular.

Here, Sp is defined by an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow 0
$$

and the supersingular representations can be characterised by the fact that they are not subquotients of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi$ for any smooth character $\chi: P \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$. Such representations have only been classified in the case when $F=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, by Breuil [Bre03]. If $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ no such classification is known so far, although in a joint work with Breuil [BP07] we can show that there are 'a lot more' supersingular representations than in the case $F=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$.

The main result of this paper can be summed as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$-representations of $G$, such that $\pi$ is irreducible with a central character, then the following hold:
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(i) if $\pi$ is in the principal series, then $\left.\pi\right|_{P}$ is of length 2; otherwise $\left.\pi\right|_{P}$ is an irreducible representation of $P$;
(ii) we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\mathrm{Sp}, \pi^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} 1, \pi^{\prime}\right),
$$

and if $\pi$ is not in the special series, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The first part of this theorem and the second part with $\pi^{\prime}$ irreducible are due to Berger [Ber05] in the case $F=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Berger uses the theory of $(\phi, \Gamma)$-modules and the classification of supersingular representations. Our proof is completely different and purely representation theoretic. In fact, this paper grew out of trying to find a simple representation theoretic reason to explain Berger's results. Vigneras [Vig06] has studied the restriction of principal series representation of split reductive $p$ adic groups to a Borel subgroup. Her results contain the first part of the theorem in the case where $\pi$ is not supersingular and $F$ arbitrary.

Using the theorem, we extend the result to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules of finite length.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules, and suppose that $\pi$ is of finite length and that the irreducible subquotients of $\pi$ admit a central character. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[P]}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ and suppose that $\phi$ is not $G$-equivariant. Let $\tau$ be the maximal submodule of $\pi$, such that $\left.\phi\right|_{\tau}$ is $G$-equivariant, and let $\sigma$ be an irreducible $G$-submodule of $\pi / \tau$, then

$$
\sigma \cong \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \operatorname{det},
$$

for some smooth character $\delta: F^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$. Moreover, choose $v \in \pi$ such that the image $\bar{v}$ in $\sigma$ spans $\sigma^{I_{1}}$, then $\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v) \neq 0, \varpi_{K}(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=0$, and

$$
g(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=\delta(\operatorname{det} g)(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall g \in G,
$$

where $\Pi$ and $I_{1}$ are defined in $\S 2$.
This criterion implies the following.
Corollary 1.3. Let $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ be unitary $K$-Banach space representations of $G$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ be $G$-invariant norms defining the topology on $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$. Set

$$
L_{1}=\left\{v \in \Pi_{1}:\|v\|_{1} \leqslant 1\right\}, \quad L_{2}=\left\{v \in \Pi_{2}:\|v\|_{2} \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

Suppose that $L_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ is of finite length as an $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module and that the irreducible subquotients admit a central character. Moreover, suppose that if $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ$ det is a subquotient of $L_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$, then $\delta \circ$ det is not a subobject of $L_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$, then

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{P}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{L}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right)$ denotes continuous $K$-linear maps.
Moreover, Theorem 1.1 implies the following.
Corollary 1.4. Let $\Pi$ be a unitary $K$-Banach space representation of $G$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be a $G$-invariant norm defining the topology on П. Set

$$
L=\{v \in \Pi:\|v\| \leqslant 1\} .
$$

Suppose that $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ is a finite length $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module and that the irreducible subquotients are either supersingular or characters, then every closed $P$-invariant subspace of $\Pi$ is also $G$-invariant.

According to Breuil's $p$-adic Langlands philosophy a two-dimensional $p$-adic representation of the absolute Galois group of $F$ should be related to a unitary $K$-Banach space representation of $G$; see a forthcoming work of Colmez [Col07] for the case $F=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, where the restriction to a Borel subgroup plays a prominent role. However, if $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ it is an open problem to construct such unitary $K$ Banach space representations of $G$. We hope that our results will help to understand this.

## 2. Notation

Let $\mathfrak{o}$ be the ring of integers of $F$, let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}$ and let $q$ be the number of elements in the residue field $\mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p}$. We fix a uniformiser $\varpi$ and an embedding $\mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}$ we denote the Teichmüller lift of $\lambda$ to $\mathfrak{o}$ by [ $\lambda$ ]. Set

$$
\Pi=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
\varpi & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad t=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $P$ be subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in $G, T$ the subgroup of diagonal matrices, $K=$ $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathfrak{o})$ and

$$
I=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathfrak{o}^{\times} & \mathfrak{o} \\
\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^{\times}
\end{array}\right), \quad I_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\
\mathfrak{p} & 1+\mathfrak{p}
\end{array}\right), \quad K_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} \\
\mathfrak{p} & 1+\mathfrak{p}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

All of the representations in this paper are on $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$-vector spaces, except for $\S 6$.

## 3. Key

In this section we show how to control the action of $s$ on a supersingular representation $\pi$ in terms of the action of $P$. All of the hard work here is done by Barthel and Livne in [BL94], we just record a consequence of their proof of [BL94, Theorem 33].

Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible representation of $K$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a representation of $F^{\times} K$ such that $\varpi$ acts trivially on $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\left.\tilde{\sigma}\right|_{K}=\sigma$. Set $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F^{\times} K}^{G} \tilde{\sigma}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}=\operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right)$. It is shown in [BL94, Proposition 8] that as an algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma} \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}[T]$, for a certain $T \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}$, defined in [BL94, §3]. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ such that $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi=F^{\times} K$ and $\varphi(1)$ spans $\sigma^{I_{1}}$. Since $\varphi$ generates $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ as a $G$-representation, $T$ is determined by $T \varphi$.

Lemma 3.1. We have the following.
(i) If $\sigma \cong \psi \circ$ det, for some character $\psi: \mathfrak{o}^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$, then

$$
T \varphi=\Pi \varphi+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \varphi
$$

(ii) Otherwise,

$$
T \varphi=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \varphi .
$$

Proof. In the notation of [BL94] this is a calculation of $T\left(\left[1, e_{\overrightarrow{0}}\right]\right)$. The claim follows from [BL94, (19)].

Let $\pi$ be a supersingular representation of $G$, such that $\varpi$ acts trivially. Let $v \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ and suppose that $\langle K \cdot v\rangle \cong \sigma$. The Frobenius reciprocity gives $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}, \pi\right)$, such that $\alpha(\varphi)=v$.

Lemma 3.2. There exists an $n \geqslant 1$ such that $\alpha \circ T^{n}=0$.
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Proof. Now $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}, \pi\right)$ is naturally a right $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}$-module; let $M=\left\langle\alpha \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}\right\rangle$ be an $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}$-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}, \pi\right)$ generated by $\alpha$. The proof of [BL94, Proposition 32] implies that $\operatorname{dim}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}} M$ is finite. Let $\bar{T}$ be the image of $T$ in $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}}(M)$ and let $m(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\bar{T}$. Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ be such that $m(\lambda)=0$, then we may write $m(X)=(X-\lambda) h(X)$. Since $m(X)$ is minimal the composition

$$
h(T)\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \pi
$$

is non-zero. According to [BL94, Theorem 19], $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ is a free $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}$ module, hence $h(T)$ is an injection and so $h(T)\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$. This implies that $\pi$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} /(T-\lambda)$. Since $\pi$ is supersingular [BL94, Corollary 36] implies that $\lambda=0$, and hence $m(X)=X^{n}$, for some $n \geqslant 1$.
Corollary 3.3. Let $\pi$ be a supersingular representation, such that $\varpi$ acts trivially. Let $v \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ be such that $\langle K \cdot v\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$. Set $v_{0}=v$ and for $i \geqslant 0$ set

$$
v_{i+1}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v_{i} .
$$

Then $v_{i} \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ and there exists an $n \geqslant 1$, such that $v_{n}=0$.
Proof. Set $\sigma=\langle K \cdot v\rangle$. If $\sigma$ is not a character then Lemma 3.1(ii) implies that $v_{i}=\left(\alpha \circ T^{i}\right)(\varphi)$, for all $i \geqslant 0$ in particular $I_{1}$ acts trivially on $v_{i}$ and the statement follows from Lemma 3.2. If $\sigma$ is a character, then after twisting we may assume that $\sigma=1$. Since $I$ acts trivially on $\Pi v_{0}$ the space $\left\langle K \cdot\left(\Pi v_{0}\right)\right\rangle$ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} 1$. Now

$$
v_{1}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) s\left(\Pi v_{0}\right) .
$$

If $v_{1}=0$, then we are done. If $v_{1} \neq 0$, then $\left[\operatorname{Pas} 04,(3.1 .7)\right.$ and (3.1.8)] imply that $\left\langle K \cdot v_{1}\right\rangle \cong \mathrm{St}$, where St is the inflation of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$. We may apply the previous part to $v_{1}$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$ and let $v \in \pi^{I_{1}}$. Suppose that

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v=0
$$

Then

$$
s v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1 \\
0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda]
\end{array}\right) v .
$$

Proof. Since

$$
t v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v
$$

we obtain

$$
v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}} t^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) v .
$$

If $\beta \in F^{\times}$, then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{1}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\beta^{-1} & 1 \\
0 & \beta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\beta^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $v \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\varpi[\lambda] & 1
\end{array}\right) \in I_{1} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}
$$

we obtain

$$
s v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1 \\
0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda]
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1
\end{array}\right) v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1 \\
0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda]
\end{array}\right) v .
$$

Since $G=P I_{1} \cup P s I_{1}$, we use Lemma 3.4 to show that the action of $P$ on $\pi$ already 'contains all the information' about the action of $G$ on $\pi$.

## 4. Supersingular representations

In this section we study the restriction of supersingular representations of $G$ to a Borel subgroup.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$ and let $v \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ be non-zero and such that $I$ acts on $v$ via a character $\chi$, then there exists $j \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$ (usually non-unique) such that

$$
w:=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \lambda^{j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v
$$

is in $\pi^{I_{1}}$ and $\langle K \cdot w\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$.
Proof. Set $\tau=\langle K \cdot(\Pi v)\rangle$. For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1$ set

$$
w_{j}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \lambda^{j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) s(\Pi v)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \lambda^{j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v
$$

The set $\left\{\Pi v, w_{j}: 0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1\right\}$ spans $\tau$.
If $w_{0}=0$ then Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi v & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \varpi
\end{array}\right) s v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1 \\
0 & {[\lambda]}
\end{array}\right) v \\
& =-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varpi & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-[\lambda] & 0 \\
0 & {\left[\lambda^{-1}\right]}
\end{array}\right) v=-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}} \chi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-[\lambda] & 0 \\
0 & {\left[\lambda^{-1}\right]}
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\chi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{[\lambda]} & 0 \\
0 & {\left[\lambda^{-1}\right]}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\lambda^{r}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}
$$

for some $0 \leqslant r<q-1$, we obtain that $\tau$ is spanned by the set $\left\{w_{j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1\right\}$. Let $\sigma$ be a $K$-irreducible subrepresentation of $\tau$. The space $\sigma^{I_{1}}$ is one dimensional, so $I$ acts on $\sigma^{I_{1}}$ by a character. However, one may verify that the group

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{[\lambda]} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right): \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}\right\}
$$

acts on the set $w_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1$ by distinct characters, hence $\sigma^{I_{1}}$ is spanned by $w_{j}$ for some $1 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1$.

Suppose that $w_{0} \neq 0$. If $w_{0}$ and $\Pi v$ are linearly independent, then the natural map $\operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} \chi^{s} \rightarrow \tau$ is an injection, because it induces an injection on $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} \chi^{S}\right)^{I_{1}}$. It follows from $[\operatorname{Pas04},(3.1 .5)]$ that $\left\langle K \cdot w_{0}\right\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$. If $w_{0}$ and $\Pi v$ are not linearly independent, then $\chi=\chi^{s}$. It follows from $[\operatorname{Pas} 04,(3.1 .8)]$ that $\left\langle K \cdot w_{0}\right\rangle$ is isomorphic to a twist of the Steinberg representation by a character.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$ and let $w$ be a non-zero vector in $\pi$. Then there exists a non-zero $v \in\langle P \cdot w\rangle \cap \pi^{I_{1}}$ such that $\langle K \cdot v\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$.
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Proof. Since $\pi$ is smooth there exists $k \geqslant 0$ such that $w$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k+1}\end{array}{ }_{1}^{0}\right)$. Then $w_{1}:=t^{k} w$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1\end{array}\right)$. Iwahori decomposition gives us

$$
I_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\
0 & 1+\mathfrak{p}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
\mathfrak{p} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence, $\tau:=\left\langle I_{1} \cdot w_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(I_{1} \cap P\right) \cdot w_{1}\right\rangle \subseteq\langle P \cdot w\rangle$. Since $I_{1}$ is a pro- $p$ group, we have $\tau^{I_{1}} \neq 0$, and hence $\langle P \cdot w\rangle \cap \pi^{I_{1}} \neq 0$. Let $w_{2} \in\langle P \cdot w\rangle \cap \pi^{I_{1}} \neq 0$ be non-zero. Since $\left|I / I_{1}\right|$ is prime to $p$, there exists a smooth character $\chi: I \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$such that

$$
w_{3}:=\sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}} \chi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\lambda^{-1}\right]} & 0 \\
0 & {\left[\mu^{-1}\right]}
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{[\lambda]} & 0 \\
0 & {[\mu]}
\end{array}\right) w_{2}
$$

is non-zero. As $I$ acts now on $w_{3}$ by a character $\chi$ we may apply Lemma 4.1 to $w_{3}$ to obtain the required vector.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\pi$ be supersingular, then $\left.\pi\right|_{P}$ is an irreducible representation of $P$.
Proof. Let $w \in \pi$ be non-zero. According to Proposition 4.2 there exists a non-zero $v \in\langle P \cdot w\rangle \cap \pi^{I_{1}}$, such that $\sigma:=\langle K \cdot v\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$. Corollary 3.3 implies that there exists a non-zero $v^{\prime} \in \pi^{I_{1}} \cap\langle P \cdot v\rangle$ such that

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v^{\prime}=0 .
$$

According to Lemma $3.4 s v^{\prime} \in\left\langle P \cdot v^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Since $G=P I_{1} \cup P s I_{1}$ and $\pi$ is an irreducible $G$-representation we have

$$
\pi=\left\langle G \cdot v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle P \cdot v^{\prime}\right\rangle \subseteq\langle P \cdot w\rangle
$$

Hence, $\pi=\langle P \cdot w\rangle$ for all $w \in \pi$ and so $\left.\pi\right|_{P}$ is irreducible.
Theorem 4.4. Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be smooth representations of $G$, such that $\pi$ is supersingular, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. As $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ we only have to prove surjectivity. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ be non-zero. We are going to find $v^{\prime} \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ such that $\phi\left(v^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{I_{1}}$ and

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v^{\prime}=0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

Choose $v \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ such that $\langle K \cdot v\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$. Since $\left.\pi\right|_{P}$ is irreducible by Theorem 4.3, $\phi$ is an injection and hence $\phi(v) \neq 0$. Since $v$ is fixed by $I_{1}$ and $\phi$ is $P$-equivariant, we have that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $I_{1} \cap P$. Since $\pi^{\prime}$ is smooth there exists an integer $k \geqslant 1$ such that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k} & 1\end{array}\right)$. Suppose that $k>1$. Lemma 4.1 implies that there exists $j$, such that $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-1$ and if we set

$$
v_{1}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \lambda^{j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v,
$$

then $v_{1} \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ and $\left\langle K \cdot v_{1}\right\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$. Since $\phi$ is $P$-equivariant, $\phi\left(v_{1}\right)$ is fixed by $I_{1} \cap P$ and

$$
\phi\left(v_{1}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}} \lambda^{j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \phi(v) .
$$

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$, then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
\beta & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \alpha \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \alpha(1+\alpha \beta)^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(1+\alpha \beta)^{-1} & 0 \\
\beta & 1+\alpha \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix identity coupled with

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1
\end{array}\right) t=t\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\mathfrak{p}^{k} & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

implies that $\phi\left(v_{1}\right)$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1\end{array}\right)$. By repeating the argument we obtain $w \in \pi^{I_{1}}$ such that $\langle K \cdot w\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$ and $\phi(w)$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \mathfrak{p} \\ 1\end{array}\right)$. Iwahori decomposition implies that $\phi(w)$ is fixed by $I_{1}$. Set $v_{0}=w$ and for $i \geqslant 0$,

$$
v_{i+1}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v_{i} .
$$

Since $v_{i}$ are fixed by $I_{1}, \phi\left(v_{i}\right)$ are fixed by $I_{1} \cap P$. Moreover,

$$
\phi\left(v_{i+1}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \phi\left(v_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $\phi\left(v_{0}\right)$ is fixed by $I_{1}$, the argument used above implies that $\phi\left(v_{i+1}\right)$ are fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1\end{array}\right)$ and hence fixed by $I_{1}$. Corollary 3.3 implies that $v_{n}=0$ for some $n \geqslant 1$. Let $m$ be the smallest integer such that $v_{m}=0$ and set $v^{\prime}=v_{m-1}$. Then $v^{\prime} \in \pi^{I_{1}}, \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)^{I_{1}}$ and

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t v^{\prime}=0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

Lemma 3.4 applied to $v^{\prime}$ and $\phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(s v^{\prime}\right) & =-\phi\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1 \\
0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda]
\end{array}\right) v^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{\times}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\varpi\left[\lambda^{-1}\right] & 1 \\
0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda]
\end{array}\right) \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=s \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G=P I_{1} \cup P s I_{1}$ this implies that $\phi\left(\pi(g) v^{\prime}\right)=\pi^{\prime}(g) \phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)$, for all $g \in G$. Since $\pi$ is irreducible $\pi=\left\langle G \cdot v^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and this implies that $\phi$ is $G$-equivariant.

## 5. Non-supersingular representations

Let $\chi: T \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$be a smooth character. We consider it as a character of $P$, via $P \rightarrow P / U \cong T$. We define a smooth representation $\kappa_{\chi}$ of $P$ by the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \kappa_{\chi} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the map on the right is given by the evaluation at the identity. The representation $\kappa_{\chi}$ is absolutely irreducible by [Vig06, Théorème 5]. If $\chi=\psi \circ$ det for some smooth character $\psi: F^{\times} \rightarrow$ $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$, then the sequence splits as a $P$-representation and we obtain

$$
\left.\operatorname{Sp} \otimes \psi \circ \operatorname{det}\right|_{P} \cong \kappa_{\chi} .
$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(\chi, \pi) \neq 0$, then $\chi$ extends uniquely to a character of $G$, and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(\chi, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\chi, \pi)
$$

## V. Paskunas

Proof. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}(\chi, \pi)$ be non-zero and let $v$ be a basis vector of the underlying vector space of $\chi$. Since $\pi$ is a smooth representation of $G$, there exists $k \geqslant 1$ such that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k} & 1\end{array}\right)$. Since $t \phi(v)=\phi(t v)=\chi(t) \phi(v)$, we obtain that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $\left(\mathfrak{p}^{1} \begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array} 1\right.$ obtain that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $s U s$. Now $s U s$ and $P$ generate $G$. This implies the claim.
Corollary 5.2. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ be a smooth representation of $G$. Suppose that $\chi \neq \chi^{s}$ and let $\phi \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ be non-zero, then $\phi$ is an injection.

Proof. Lemma 5.1 implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right)=0$. Hence, the sequence (2) cannot split. So if $\operatorname{Ker} \phi \neq 0$, then Ker $\phi$ contains $\kappa_{\chi}$. Hence, $\phi$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\phi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\chi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$. Lemma 5.1 implies that $\bar{\phi}=0$ and hence $\phi=0$.
Corollary 5.3. Suppose that $\chi \neq \chi^{s}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right)$ are non-zero, then by Corollary 5.2 the restriction of $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ to $\kappa_{\chi}$ induces non-zero homomorphisms in $\operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\kappa_{\chi}, \kappa_{\chi}\right)$. Since $\kappa_{\chi}$ is absolutely irreducible this implies that there exists a scalar $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$such that the restriction of $\phi_{1}-\lambda \phi_{2}$ to $\kappa_{\chi}$ is zero. Now $\phi_{1}-\lambda \phi_{2} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right)$ and is not an injection, hence by Corollary 5.2 it must be equal to zero.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$, then the restriction to $\kappa_{\chi}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\iota: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \pi\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\kappa_{\chi}, \pi\right) .
$$

Proof. If $\chi \neq \chi^{s}$, then the injectivity of $\iota$ is given by Corollary 5.2. If $\chi=\chi^{s}$, then the injectivity follows from Lemma 5.1 and [BL94, Theorem 30(1)(b)]. We are going to show that $\iota$ is surjective.

Let $\varphi_{1} \in \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi$ be an $I_{1}$ invariant function such that $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi_{1}=P I_{1}$ and $\varphi_{1}(1)=1$. Set

$$
\varphi_{2}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\lambda]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) s \varphi_{1} .
$$

Then $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right)^{I_{1}}$ and $I$ acts on $\varphi_{1}$ by a character $\chi$ and on $\varphi_{2}$ by a character $\chi^{s}$. Since $G=P K$ we have

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi\right)^{K_{1}} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} \chi,
$$

as a representation of $K$, and hence $\sigma=\left\langle K \cdot \varphi_{2}\right\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of $K$, which is not a character. We let $F^{\times}$act on $\sigma$ via $\chi$. Frobenius reciprocity gives us a map

$$
\alpha: c-\operatorname{Ind}_{F \times}^{G} \sigma \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi .
$$

It follow from [BL94, Theorem 30(3)] that there exists $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$, determined by $\chi$, such that $\alpha$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F \times}^{G} G /(T-\lambda) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi,
$$

where $T \in \operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F} \times{ }_{K} \sigma\right)$ is as in $\S 3$. Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$
\varphi_{2}=\lambda^{-1}\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\mu]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \varphi_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\kappa_{\chi}, \pi\right)$ be non-zero. Since $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi_{2}=\operatorname{Ps} I_{1}$ we have $\varphi_{2}(1)=0$ and hence $\varphi_{2} \in \kappa_{\chi}$. Since $\kappa_{\chi}$ is irreducible $\psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \neq 0$ and the $P$-equivariance of $\psi$ gives:

$$
\psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=\lambda^{-1}\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\mu]}  \tag{3}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

This equality coupled with the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.4 implies that $\psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ is fixed by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1\end{array}\right)$. Since $\psi$ is $P$-equivariant, $\psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ is fixed by $I_{1} \cap P$. The Iwahori decomposition implies that $\psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ is fixed by $I_{1}$.

So $I_{1}$ fixes $\Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ and $I$ acts on $\Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ via the character $\chi$. Hence, $\left\langle K \cdot \Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} \chi$. Now

$$
\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\mu]}  \tag{4}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) s\left(\Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right)=\psi\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_{q}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & {[\mu]} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) t \varphi_{2}\right)=\lambda \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

If $\left.\chi\right|_{T \cap K} \neq\left.\chi^{s}\right|_{T \cap K}$, then this implies that $\left\langle K \cdot \Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} \chi$. Equation (4) and [Pas04, (3.1.5)] imply that $\left\langle K \cdot \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \cong \sigma$. If $\left.\chi\right|_{T \cap K}=\psi \circ$ det for some $\psi: \mathfrak{o}^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$, then the above equality implies that if $\Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ and $\psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$ are linearly independent, then

$$
\left\langle K \cdot \Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{I}^{K} \chi,
$$

otherwise

$$
\left\langle K \cdot \Pi \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{St} \otimes \psi \circ \operatorname{det},
$$

where St is the lift to $K$ of Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$. In both cases we obtain that $\left\langle K \cdot \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle \cong \operatorname{St} \otimes \psi \circ \operatorname{det} \cong \sigma$. Hence, $\left\langle G \cdot \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ is a quotient of c-Ind ${ }_{F}{ }^{\times}{ }_{K} \sigma$. Equation (3) and Lemma 3.1 imply that $\left\langle G \cdot \psi\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right\rangle$ is a quotient of

$$
\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F \times}^{G}{ }_{K} \sigma /(T-\lambda) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi .
$$

Hence, $\iota$ is also surjective.
Corollary 5.5. Suppose that $\chi \neq \chi^{s}$ and let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \pi\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \pi\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi, \pi\right)$ be non-zero. It follows from Corollary 5.2 that the composition

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \pi /\left\langle G \cdot \psi\left(\kappa_{\chi}\right)\right\rangle
$$

is zero. Hence, the image of $\psi$ is contained in $\left\langle G \cdot \psi\left(\kappa_{\chi}\right)\right\rangle$. It follows from Theorem 5.4 applied to $\pi=\left\langle G \cdot \psi\left(\kappa_{\chi}\right)\right\rangle$ and the irreducibility of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi$ that $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \chi$ is isomorphic to $\left\langle G \cdot \psi\left(\kappa_{\chi}\right)\right\rangle$ as a $G$-representation. The $G$-equivariance of $\psi$ follows from Corollary 5.3.

Corollary 5.6. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{P}(\mathrm{Sp}, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1}, \pi\right) .
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Sp}, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1}\right)=0$, but $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1}, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} 1\right) \neq 0$, so the above result cannot be improved.

## 6. Applications

Let $K$ be a complete discrete valuation field, $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers and $\varpi_{K}$ a uniformizer, and we assume that $\mathcal{O} / \varpi_{K} \mathcal{O} \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$. We extend the results of previous sections to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules of finite length and, after passing to the limit, to unitary $K$-Banach space representations of $G$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules and suppose that $\pi$ is of finite length and let the irreducible subquotients of $\pi$ admit a central character. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[P]}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ and suppose that $\phi$ is not $G$-equivariant. Let $\tau$ be the maximal submodule of $\pi$, such that $\left.\phi\right|_{\tau}$ is $G$-equivariant, and let $\sigma$ be an irreducible $G$-submodule of $\pi / \tau$, then

$$
\sigma \cong \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \operatorname{det},
$$

## V. Paskunas

for some smooth character $\delta: F^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$. Moreover, choose $v \in \pi$ such that the image $\bar{v}$ in $\sigma$ spans $\sigma^{I_{1}}$; then $\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v) \neq 0, \varpi_{K}(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=0$, and

$$
g(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=\delta(\operatorname{det} g)(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall g \in G .
$$

Proof. We denote by $\operatorname{Ind}_{1}^{G} \pi^{\prime}$ the space of smooth functions from $G$ to the underlying $\mathcal{O}$ module of $\pi^{\prime}$, equipped with the $G$ action via right translations. Let $\alpha: \pi \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{1}^{G} \pi^{\prime}$ be a $P$-equivariant map, given by

$$
[\alpha(w)](g)=g \phi(w)-\phi(g w), \quad \forall w \in \pi, \forall g \in G
$$

Then $\tau=\operatorname{Ker} \alpha$. Hence, $\alpha$ induces a $P$-equivariant map

$$
\bar{\alpha}: \sigma \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{1}^{G} \pi^{\prime}
$$

Suppose that $\bar{\alpha}$ is $G$-equivariant, then

$$
\left[g^{-1} \alpha(g v)\right](1)=\left[g^{-1} \bar{\alpha}(g \bar{v})\right](1)=[\bar{\alpha}(\bar{v})](1)=[\alpha(v)](1)=0 .
$$

Hence, $g \phi(v)=\phi(g v)$, for all $g \in G$. So the maximality of $\tau$ implies that $\bar{\alpha}$ is not $G$-equivariant. Hence, Theorem 4.4, Lemma 5.1, Corollaries 5.5 and 5.6 imply that

$$
\sigma \cong \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \operatorname{det}
$$

for some smooth character $\delta: F^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}^{\times}$, and

$$
\langle G \cdot \alpha(v)\rangle \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1} \otimes \delta \circ \operatorname{det} .
$$

After twisting we may assume that $\delta$ is the trivial character. It follows from [BL94, Theorem 30(1)(b)] that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1}, \operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1}\right) \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p} .
$$

Corollary 5.6 applied to $\pi=\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G} \mathbf{1}$ implies that $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{v})$ is a scalar multiple of the function denoted by $\varphi_{2}$ in the proof of Theorem 5.4. By construction $\alpha(v)=\bar{\alpha}(\bar{v})$. Hence, $\alpha(v)$ is fixed by $I_{1}$ and $\Pi \alpha(v)+\alpha(v)$ spans the trivial subrepresentation of $G$. In particular,

$$
[\Pi \alpha(v)](1)+[\alpha(v)](1)=[h \Pi \alpha(v)](1)+[h \alpha(v)](1), \quad \forall h \in P .
$$

Since $\phi$ is $P$-equivariant, we obtain

$$
\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v)=h(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall h \in P .
$$

Suppose that $\Pi \phi(v)=\phi(\Pi v)$. Since $\alpha(v)$ is $I_{1}$-invariant we obtain

$$
h \Pi u \phi(v)-\phi(h \Pi u v)=[u \alpha(v)](h \Pi)=[\alpha(v)](h \Pi)=h(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=0,
$$

for all $h \in P$ and $u \in I_{1}$. Also

$$
h u \phi(v)-\phi(h u v)=[u \alpha(v)](h)=[\alpha(v)](h)=0, \quad \forall u \in I_{1}, \forall h \in P .
$$

Since $G=P I_{1} \cup P \Pi I_{1}$, we obtain that $g \phi(v)=\phi(g v)$, for all $g \in G$, but this contradicts the maximality of $\tau$. So $\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$. Since $\sigma$ is irreducible $\varpi_{K} \bar{v}=0$, and hence

$$
\left[\varpi_{K} \alpha(v)\right](\Pi)=\varpi_{K}(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=0
$$

so $\mathcal{O}(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))=\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}(\Pi \phi(v)-\phi(\Pi v))$. Lemma 5.1 implies that $G$ acts trivially on $\Pi \phi(v)-$ $\phi(\Pi v)$.

Corollary 6.2. Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be as above and suppose that if $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ$ det is a subquotient of $\pi$, then $\delta \circ$ det is not a subobject of $\pi^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Definition 6.3. A unitary $K$-Banach space representation $\Pi$ of $G$ is a $K$-Banach space $\Pi$ equipped with a $K$-linear action of $G$, such that the map $G \times \Pi \rightarrow \Pi,(g, v) \mapsto g v$ is continuous and such that the topology on $\Pi$ is given by a $G$-invariant norm.

Corollary 6.4. Let $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ be unitary $K$-Banach space representations of $G$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ be $G$-invariant norms defining the topology on $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$. Set

$$
L_{1}=\left\{v \in \Pi_{1}:\|v\|_{1} \leqslant 1\right\}, \quad L_{2}=\left\{v \in \Pi_{2}:\|v\|_{2} \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

Suppose that $L_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ is of finite length as an $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module and the irreducible subquotients admit a central character. Moreover, suppose that if $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ$ det is a subquotient of $L_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$, then $\delta \circ \operatorname{det}$ is not a subobject of $L_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$, then

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{P}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{L}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right)$ denotes continuous $K$-linear maps.
Proof. Corollary 6.2 implies that for all $k \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(L_{1} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{1}, L_{2} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(L_{1} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{1}, L_{2} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}\left(L_{1} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{1}, L_{2} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}\left(L_{1}, L_{2} / \varpi_{K}^{k} L_{2}\right)$ by passing to the limit we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)
$$

It follows from [Sch01, Proposition 3.1] that

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K .
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{P}\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \cong \mathcal{L}_{P}\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 6.5. Let $\pi$ be a smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module of finite length and suppose that the irreducible subquotients of $\pi$ are either supersingular or characters, then every $P$-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $\pi$ is also $G$-invariant.
Proof. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ be $\mathcal{O}[P]$ submodule of $\pi$. If $\sigma$ is an irreducible subquotient of $\pi$, then by Theorem 4.3 $\left.\sigma\right|_{P}$ is also irreducible, hence $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are $\mathcal{O}[P]$ submodules of finite length.

Let $\tau$ be an irreducible $\mathcal{O}[P]$-submodule of $\pi^{\prime}$. Since $\pi$ is a finite length $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module, the submodule $\langle G \cdot \tau\rangle$ is of finite length. Let $\sigma$ be a $G$-irreducible quotient of $\langle G \cdot \tau\rangle$. Since $\tau$ generates $\langle G \cdot \tau\rangle$ as a $G$-representation, the $P$-equivariant composition

$$
\tau \rightarrow\langle G \cdot \tau\rangle \rightarrow \sigma
$$

is non-zero, and since $\tau$ is irreducible, it is an injection. Now $\left.\sigma\right|_{P}$ is irreducible, so the above composition is an isomorphism. Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 5.1 imply that $\tau$ is $G$-invariant and isomorphic to $\sigma$. By induction on the length of $\pi^{\prime}$ as an $\mathcal{O}[P]$-module, $\pi^{\prime} / \tau$ is a $G$-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $\pi / \tau$. Since $\pi^{\prime}$ is the set of elements of $\pi$ whose image in $\pi / \tau$ lies in $\pi^{\prime} / \tau, \pi^{\prime}$ is $G$-invariant.
Corollary 6.6. Let $\Pi$ be a unitary $K$-Banach space representation of $G$, let $\|\cdot\|$ be a $G$-invariant norm defining the topology on $\Pi$. Set

$$
L=\{v \in \Pi:\|v\| \leqslant 1\} .
$$

Suppose that $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ is a finite length $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module and the irreducible subquotients are either supersingular or characters, then every closed $P$-invariant subspace of $\Pi$ is also $G$-invariant.
Proof. Let $\Pi_{1}$ be a closed $P$-invariant subspace of $\Pi$. Set $M=\Pi_{1} \cap L$, then $M$ is an open $P$-invariant lattice in $\Pi_{1}$. Proposition 6.5 implies that for all $k \geqslant 1, M / \varpi_{K}^{k} M$ is a $G$-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $L / \varpi_{K}^{k} L$. By passing to the limit we obtain that $M$ is a $G$-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $L$. Since $\Pi_{1}=M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K$ we obtain the claim.

On the restriction of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ to a Borel subgroup

## Acknowledgements

This paper was written while I was working with Christophe Breuil on a related project; I would like to thank him for his comments and for pointing out some errors in an earlier draft. I would like to thank Eike Lau for a stimulating discussion, which led to a simplification of the proofs in $\S 6$. I would also like to thank Florian Herzig and Marie-France Vignéras whose comments improved the original manuscript.

## References

BL94 L. Barthel and R. Livné, Irreducible modular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ of a local field, Duke Math. J. 75 (1994), 261-292.
Ber05 L. Berger, Représentations modulaires de $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ et représentations galoisiennes de dimension 2, Preprint (2005), available at www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~lberger.
Bre03 C. Breuil, Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right) I$, Compositio Math. 138 (2003), 165-188.
BP07 C. Breuil and V. Paskunas, Towards a modulo p Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$, Preprint (2007), available at www.ihes.fr/~breuil/publications.html.
Col07 P. Colmez, Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ et $(\phi, \Gamma)$-modules, Preprint (2007), available at www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~colmez.
Pas04 V. Paskunas, Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.), vol. 99 (2004).
Sch01 P. Schneider, Nonarchimedean functional analysis (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
Vig06 M.-F. Vignéras, Série principale modulo p de groupes réductifs p-adiques, Preprint (2006), available at www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~vigneras.

Vytautas Paskunas paskunas@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de
Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany


[^0]:    Received 9 October 2006, accepted in final form 9 January 2007, published online 8 October 2007.
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 22E50.
    Keywords: supersingular, mod $p$ representations.
    This journal is (c) Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2007.

