

## ON THE SPECTRUM OF INNER DERIVATIONS IN PARTIAL JORDAN TRIPLES

L. L. STACHÓ\*

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $D$  be a bounded balanced domain in a complex Banach space  $E$ . In contrast with the fact that the complete holomorphic classification of bounded domains of general type seems to be hopeless, Kaup-Upmeyer [9] proved that for bounded balanced domains holomorphic equivalence is the same as linear equivalence. They achieved this result by a systematic study of the group  $G$  of all biholomorphic automorphisms of  $D$ , which makes it possible to give further refinements of this statement. They showed there exists a closed complex subspace  $E_0$  and a continuous real trilinear map

$$E \times E_0 \times E \rightarrow E \quad (x, a, y) \mapsto \{xa^*y\}$$

symmetric complex bilinear in  $x, y$  and conjugate linear in  $a$  such that, regarding holomorphic vector fields as differential operators [7], for every  $a \in E_0$  the vector field  $(a - \{xa^*x\})\partial/\partial x$  is complete in  $D$  and that furthermore

$$G = GL(D) \cdot \{\exp[(a - \{xa^*x\})\partial/\partial x] : a \in E_0\}, \quad G(0) = D \cap E_0$$

where  $GL(D) := \{\alpha \in GL(E) : \alpha(D) = D\}$ . It would be a remarkable step, also with a possible independent interest in theoretical physics, characterizing those triple products which arise from the biholomorphic automorphism group of some bounded balanced domain in the above way. It is well-known [4] that the triple product  $\{*\}$  satisfies the following topological algebraic postulates

(J1)  $\{E_0 E_0^* E_0\} \subset E_0$

(J2)  $\{ab^*\{xy^*z\}\} = \{\{ab^*x\}y^*z\} - \{x\{ba^*y\}^*z\} + \{xy^*\{ab^*z\}\}$   
 $(a, b, y \in E_0, x, z \in E)$

(J3)  $a \square a^* \in \text{Her}(E) \quad (a \in E_0)$

where  $a \square b^*$  is the operator  $x \mapsto \{ab^*x\}$  and  $\text{Her}(E)$  stands for the family of all  $E$ -Hermitian operators [2]. Such algebraic structures are called partial her-

\* Supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation  
 Received December 27, 1988; in revised form May 3, 1989

mitian Jordan triple systems or *partial J\*-triples* (resp. *J\*-triples* if  $E = E_0$ ) for short in the following. We say that a partial J\*-triple  $(E, E_0, \{*\})$  is *positive* if for every  $a \in E_0$  the spectrum  $\text{Sp}(a \square a^*)$  is non-negative and *geometric* if all vector fields  $(a - \{xa^*x\})\partial/\partial x$  ( $a \in E_0$ ) are complete in some bounded balanced domain in  $E$ . In 1983 Kaup [8] settled the case  $E = E_0$  completely: A J\*-triple is geometric if and only if  $\inf_{\|a\|=1} \|aa^*a\| \neq 0$  and

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \leq \text{Sp}(a \square a^*) \subset \frac{1}{2}\Omega_a + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_a \quad (a \in E = E_0)$$

where  $\Omega_a := \{0\} \cup \text{Sp}(a \square a^* | C_0(a))$  and  $C_0(a)$  is the smallest  $a \square a^*$ -invariant subspace containing  $a$ . It was a far-reaching consequence of (1.1) that the Harish-Chandra realization of a bounded symmetric domain in a Banach space is always convex [7], [8].

The proof of (1.1) uses some properties of the quadratic representation which are not available for arbitrary geometric partial J\*-triples. The aim of this paper is to develop a technique based on the ultrapower imbedding due to Dineen [5] to the study of the spectrum of the inner derivations  $a \square a^*$ . As main result we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Every geometric partial J\*-triple is positive.*

The idea of the proof is the observation that a suitable ultrapower extension [5] of the abelian family  $\{b \square b^* : b \in \mathcal{C}_0(a)\}$  admits convenient joint eigenvectors and its span is linearly homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$  by a mapping which can be factorized through the tensor square of the Gelfand representation of  $\mathcal{C}_0(a)$ . With this method we give also a new and Jordan theoretically very simple proof for Kaup's spectral estimate (1.1) for geometric J\*-triples.

The analog of (1.1) for arbitrary geometric partial J\*-triples is false: To every  $p > 0$  the space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  endowed with the triple product  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_1)(\alpha, 0)^*(\xi_2, \xi_2)\} := \bar{\alpha}(\xi_1\xi_2, (\xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_2\xi_1) \cdot p)$  defined on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \times (\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^2$  is a geometric partial J\*-triple corresponding to the 2-dimensional Reinhardt domain  $\{(\xi, \xi) : |\xi|^2 + |\xi|^2/p < 1\}$  (cf. [11], [1, p. 162]). Here we have  $\Omega_{(1,0)} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\text{Sp}((1,0) \square (1,0)^*) = \{1, p\}$ .

**2. Joint eigenvectors of box operators.**

Throughout this section let  $E$  be a geometric partial J\*-triple with triple product  $\{*\}$  on  $E \times E_0 \times E$  and assume that  $D$  is a bounded balanced domain in  $E$  in which the vectors fields  $(b - \{zb^*z\})\partial/\partial z$  are complete for all  $b \in E_0$ . Let us also fix  $a \in E_0$  arbitrarily. We denote by  $T$  the Gelfand representation [8], [6, Th. 10.38] of  $\mathcal{C}_0(a)$ , i.e.  $T \mathcal{C}_0(a) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_0(a)$  is a topological isomorphism such that

$$T(\varphi\bar{\chi}\psi) = \{T(\varphi)T(\chi)^*T(\psi)\} \quad (\varphi, \chi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)), \quad T(\xi) = a$$

where  $\xi(\omega) := \sqrt{\omega}$  ( $\omega \in \Omega_a$ ) and  $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_a) : \varphi(0) = 0\}$ . Recall [8] that  $\Omega_a \geq 0$  and that  $\{b \square b^* : b \in \mathcal{C}_0(a)\}$  is a commutative family of bounded  $E$ -hermitian operators. Define  $\mathcal{L}(a) := \text{Span}\{b \square b^* : b \in \mathcal{C}_0(a)\}$ .

LEMMA 2.1.  $\mathcal{L}(a) = \mathcal{C}_0(a) \square \mathcal{C}_0(a)^*$  and there exists a linear homeomorphism  $L : \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(a)$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad L(\varphi\bar{\psi}) = T(\varphi) \square T(\psi)^* \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)).$$

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{D} := \{\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a) : \phi \text{ vanishes in a neighbourhood of } 0 \in \Omega_a\}$ . We may define  $L_0(\phi) := T(\phi/\xi) \square T(\xi)^*$  ( $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ ). It is well-known [4] that

$$T(p) \square T(q)^* = T(p\bar{q}/\xi) \square T(\xi)^* \quad \text{for } p, q \in \mathcal{D} := \{\text{odd polynomials of } \xi\}.$$

Given  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ , we can find sequences  $(p_n), (q_n)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  tending uniformly to  $\varphi/\xi^2$  and  $\psi/\xi^2$ , respectively. Then  $L_0(\varphi\bar{\psi}) = T(\varphi\bar{\psi}/\xi) \square T(\xi)^* = \lim_n T(\xi^3 p_n q_n) \square T(\xi)^* = \lim_n T(\xi^2 p_n) \square T(\xi^2 q_n)^* = T(\varphi) \square T(\psi)^*$ . Hence  $\|L_0(\varphi)\| = \|T(\phi^{1/2}) \square T(\phi^{1/2})^*\| \leq M \|\phi\|$  ( $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_+$ ) where  $M := \sup\{\|T(\varphi) \square T(\psi)^*\| : \|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = 1\} < \infty$ . Decomposing the functions of  $\mathcal{D}$  into linear combinations from  $\mathcal{D}_+$ , it follows  $\|L_0\| \leq 4M$ . By the density of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$  there is a unique continuous linear extension  $L : \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(a)$  of  $L_0$  satisfying (2.3). On the other hand every  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$  can be written in the form  $\phi = \varphi\bar{\psi}$  for some  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$ . Hence with  $d := \max\{\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|\}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} d \cdot \|L(\phi)\| &\geq \sup_{\|\chi\|=1} \|L(\phi)T(\chi)\| = \\ &= \sup_{\|\chi\|=1} \|T(\varphi)T(\psi)^*T(\chi)\| \geq \sup_{\|\chi\|=1} \frac{1}{d} \|\varphi\bar{\psi}\chi\| = \frac{1}{d} \|\phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $L$  is a linear homeomorphism. In particular the range of  $L$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(a)$  and  $\text{ran}(L) = L\{\varphi\bar{\psi} : \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)\} = T(\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)) \square T(\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a))^* = \mathcal{C}_0(a) \square \mathcal{C}_0(a)^*$ .

The following fact seems to be known. We sketch a proof because we do not know a reference.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $F$  be a Banach space and  $\mathcal{A}$  a separable linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(F)$  consisting of commuting operators and let  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then to every approximate eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  of  $\alpha_0$  there exist a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $F$  and a continuous linear functional  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\lambda_0 = \Lambda(\alpha_0)$  and

$$\|x_n\| \rightarrow 1, \|\alpha x_n - \Lambda(\alpha)x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}).$$

PROOF. Every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  acts on  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, F)$  by  $(x_n) \mapsto (\alpha x_n)$  and hence also on  $\tilde{F} := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, F)/M$  where  $M := \{(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, F) : \lim_n x_n = 0\}$ . Denote this operator by  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \{\tilde{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a commutative subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{F})$ . It suffices to

show that the operators in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  admit a joint eigenvector in the  $\lambda_0$ -eigenspace of  $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ .

It is clear that  $\tilde{F}_0 := \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{F} : \tilde{\alpha}_0 \tilde{x} = \lambda_0 \tilde{x}\} \neq 0$  and that  $\tilde{F}_0$  is left invariant by all  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $(\alpha_n)$  be a dense sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define an  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -invariant subspace  $\tilde{F}_n$  and  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  recursively in the following way: Let  $\lambda_n$  be an approximate eigenvalue of the operator  $\alpha_n|_{\tilde{F}_{n-1}}$  and let  $\tilde{F}_n := \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{F}_{n-1} : \tilde{\alpha}_n \tilde{x} = \lambda_n \tilde{x}\}$ . This is possible since the approximate point spectrum of every bounded linear operator on a Banach space is not empty [11, p. 310]. The only thing we have to verify is that

$$\bigcap_n \tilde{F}_n \neq 0.$$

First we show by induction that  $\tilde{F}_n \neq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Assume  $\tilde{F}_{n-1} \neq 0$ . By the definition of  $\lambda_n$  there is a sequence  $(\tilde{x}^k)$  in  $\tilde{F}_{n-1}$  with  $\|\tilde{x}^k\| = 1$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\tilde{\alpha}_n \tilde{x}^k \rightarrow 0$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $\tilde{F}_0 \supset \dots \supset \tilde{F}_n$ , we also have  $\tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{x}^k = \lambda_j \tilde{x}^k$  ( $0 \leq j < n$ ) for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $k$  chose a representing sequence  $(y_m^k : m \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $F$  for  $\tilde{x}^k$ . It follows that for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find  $k(\ell)$  such that, by setting  $z_{n,\ell} := y_{m(\ell)}^{k(\ell)}$ , we have

$$\| \|z_{n,\ell}\| - 1 \| < \ell^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \| \tilde{\alpha}_j z_{n,\ell} - \lambda_j z_{n,\ell} \| < \ell^{-1} \quad (0 \leq j \leq n).$$

Hence the relation  $\tilde{F}_n \neq 0$  is immediate.

We complete the proof by observing that the vector  $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{F}$  which is represented by the diagonal  $(z_{n,n})$  of the double sequence  $(z_{n,\ell})$  constructed above satisfies  $\|\tilde{z}\| = 1$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{z} = \lambda_j \tilde{z}$  ( $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-trivial ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $E^{\mathcal{U}}$  the  $\mathcal{U}$ -ultrapower of  $E$  that is  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E)/N$  where  $N := \{(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_n = 0\}$ . The elements of  $E^{\mathcal{U}}$  are the cosets  $(x_n)_{\mathcal{U}} := (x_n) + N$  with the norm  $\|(x_n)_{\mathcal{U}}\| := \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_n\|$  ( $(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E)$ ). We regard  $E$  as a subspace of  $E^{\mathcal{U}}$  by the imbedding  $x \mapsto (x, x, \dots)_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Taking  $E_0^{\mathcal{U}} := \{(a_n)_{\mathcal{U}} : (a_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_0)\}$ , the canonical extension

$$\{(x_n)_{\mathcal{U}}(a_n)_{\mathcal{U}}^* := (\{x_n a_n^* y_n\})_{\mathcal{U}} \quad ((x_n), (y_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E); \quad (a_n) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, E_0))$$

of the triple product makes  $(E^{\mathcal{U}}, E_0^{\mathcal{U}}, \{*\}_{\mathcal{U}})$  into a partial  $J^*$ -triple. We denote it also by  $E^{\mathcal{U}}$  and write simply  $\{*\}$  instead of  $\{*\}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Note that the vector fields  $(\tilde{b} - \{z\tilde{b}^*z\})\partial/\partial\tilde{z}$  are complete in the closed set  $\tilde{D} := \{(z_n)_{\mathcal{U}} : z_1, z_2, \dots \in D\}$  (the arguments of [5, Th. 9] apply with straightforward modifications). Since these vector fields are locally bounded it follows that they are complete also in the interior of  $\tilde{D}$ .

Since the spectrum of a hermitian operator is real [2], by [11, p. 310] it coincides with the approximate point spectrum. Therefore we can summarize the previous results as follows:

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $E$  be a geometric partial  $J^*$ -triple and  $\mathcal{U}$  a non-trivial ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $E^{\mathcal{U}}$  is also a geometric partial  $J^*$ -triple. Given  $a \in E_0$  and*

$\lambda_0 \in \text{Sp}(a \square a^*)$  there exists a complex Radon measure  $\mu$  of bounded variation on  $\Omega_a$  and  $0 \neq \tilde{x} \in E^{\mathcal{U}}$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_0 = \int \omega \, d\mu(\omega)$$

$$(2.5) \quad \{T(\varphi)T(\psi)^*\tilde{x}\} = \int \varphi\bar{\psi} \, d\mu \cdot \tilde{x} \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)).$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Assume  $D$  is a bounded balanced domain in  $E$  in which the vector fields  $(b - \{zb^*z\})\partial/\partial z$  are complete for all  $b \in E_0$ . Let us fix  $a \in E_0$  arbitrarily and denote by  $T$  the Gelfand representation of  $\mathcal{C}_0(a)$  (see Section 2). Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-trivial ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  and regard  $E$  as a subtriple of  $E^{\mathcal{U}}$ . Set  $\lambda_0 := \text{Sp}(a \square a^*)$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda_0 < 0$ . According to Proposition 2.3 choose  $0 \neq \tilde{x} \in E^{\mathcal{U}}$  and a Radon measure  $\mu$  of bounded variation on  $\Omega_a$  satisfying (2.4) and (2.5).

We shall establish that in this case necessarily

$$(3.1) \quad \{\tilde{x}\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)^*\tilde{x}\} = 0.$$

Assuming (3.1) for the moment, we finish the proof of the theorem as follows: We may assume  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{D}$  (defined in Section 2). Then given any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$ , the solution  $\tilde{z}_\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E^{\mathcal{U}}$  of the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{z}_\varphi(t) = T(\varphi) - \{\tilde{z}_\varphi(t)T(\varphi)^*\tilde{z}_\varphi\}, \quad \tilde{z}_\varphi(0) = \tilde{x}$$

must stay in  $\tilde{D}$  for all time. One verifies directly [cf. [4]] that for  $\varphi \geq 0$  we have

$$\tilde{z}_\varphi(t) = T(\tanh(t\varphi)) + \exp\left[-2 \int \log \cosh(t\varphi) \, d\mu\right] \tilde{x}.$$

Since  $\tilde{D}$  is bounded, this means that  $\sup\{\exp[-2 \int \log \cosh(\psi) \, d\mu]: \psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)_+\} = \sup\{\exp[-\int \phi \, d\mu]: \phi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)_+\} < \infty$ . Hence  $\int \phi \, d\mu \geq 0$  ( $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)_+$ ) which contradicts (2.4).

**PROOF OF (3.1):** Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|T(\varphi) \square T(\varphi)^* - a \square a^*\| < -\lambda_0/3$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$  with  $\|\varphi - \xi\| \leq \delta$  where  $\xi := \sqrt{\text{id}}$  on  $\Omega_a$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a) = \text{Span}\{\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a): \text{diam supp } \psi < \delta\}$ , it suffices to see that  $\{\tilde{x}T(\psi)^*\tilde{x}\} = 0$  whenever the support of  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$  has diameter  $\leq \delta$ .

Let  $I := (\lambda, \lambda + \delta^2) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  be an interval of length  $\delta^2$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)$  such that  $\text{supp } \psi \subset I$ . Let  $\varphi$  denote the function  $\varphi(\omega) := \text{length}([0, \omega] \setminus I)^{1/2}$  ( $\omega \in \Omega_a$ ) and define  $b := T(\varphi)$ ,  $e := T(\psi)$ . We have  $\varphi(I) = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and hence  $(b \square b^*)e = T(\varphi^2\psi)$

$= \lambda \cdot e$ . On the other hand,  $(b \square b^*)\tilde{x} = \eta\tilde{x}$  where  $\eta := \int |\varphi|^2 d\mu$  and  $|\lambda_0 - \eta| = \|(a \square a^* - b \square b^*)\tilde{x}\|/\|\tilde{x}\| \leq \|a \square a^* - b \square b^*\| < \lambda_0/3$  since  $\|\varphi - \xi\| \leq \delta$ . In particular  $\eta < 2\lambda_0/3$ . Observe that, by (J2), the eigen-subspaces  $S(\kappa) := \{\tilde{y} \in E^{\mathcal{A}}: (b \square b^*)\tilde{y} = \kappa\tilde{y}\}$ ,  $S_0(\kappa) := \{\tilde{y} \in E_0^{\mathcal{A}}: (b \square b^*)\tilde{y} = \kappa\tilde{y}\}$  satisfy

$$\{S(\kappa_1)S_0(\kappa_2)^*S(\kappa_3)\} \subset S(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + \kappa_3) \quad (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 \in \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular  $\{\tilde{x}e^*\tilde{x}\} \in \{S(\eta)S_0(\lambda)^*S(\eta)\} \subset (2\eta - \lambda)$ . According to Sinclair’s Theorem  $\|a \square a^* - v \cdot \text{id}\| = \text{rad Sp}(a \square a^* - v \cdot \text{id}) = v - \min \text{Sp}(a \square a^*)$  and similarly  $\|b \square b^* - v \cdot \text{id}\| = v - \min \text{Sp}(b \square b^*)$  whenever  $v \geq \|a \square a^*\|, \|b \square b^*\|$ . By the triangle inequality it follows  $|\min \text{Sp}(a \square a^*) - \min \text{Sp}(b \square b^*)| \leq \|a \square a^* - b \square b^*\| < -\lambda_0/3$ . Hence  $2\eta - \lambda < 2\eta < 4\lambda_0/3 < \min \text{Sp}(b \square b^*)$ . Thus  $S(2\eta - \lambda) = 0$  which completes the proof.

**4. New proof of Kaup’s spectral estimate (1.1) for geometric J\*-triples**

Let  $E_0 = E$  be a geometric J\*-triple and fix  $a \in E, \lambda_0 \in \text{Sp}(a \square a^*)$  arbitrarily. Choosing any non-trivial ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , from Proposition 2.3 we see that there exists a Radon measure of bounded variation on  $\Omega_a$  and  $0 \neq \tilde{x} \in E^{\mathcal{A}}$  satisfying (2.4) and (2.5) where  $T$  is the Gelfand representation of  $\mathcal{C}_0(a)$ .

Consider any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)_+$  and set  $e := T(\varphi)$ . Since  $E^{\mathcal{A}}$  equipped with the binary product  $u \bullet v := \{ue^*v\}$  is a commutative Jordan algebra, by [3, p. 145. (3.3)] (or for an elementary proof see [6, Prop. 10.42])

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{\{ee^*e\}e^*e\}e^*\tilde{x}\} &= 3\{\{ee^*e\}e^*\{ee^*\tilde{x}\}\} - 2(ee \square e^*)^3\tilde{x} \\ \{T(\varphi^5)T(\varphi)^*\tilde{x}\} &= 3\{T(\varphi^3)T(\varphi)^*\{T(\varphi)T(\varphi)^*\tilde{x}\}\} - 2(T(\varphi) \square T(\varphi)^*)^3\tilde{x} \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (2.5) we obtain

$$\int \varphi^6 d\mu = 3 \int \varphi^4 d\mu \int \varphi^2 d\mu - 2 \left( \int \varphi^2 d\mu \right)^3 \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)_+).$$

Given a compact subset  $S \subset \Omega_a$ , we can find a bounded sequence  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \in \mathcal{C}_0(\Omega_a)_+$  converging pointwise to  $1_S$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S) &= 3\mu(S)^2 - 2\mu(S)^3 \\ (4.1) \quad \mu(S) &\in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\} \quad (S \text{ compact } \subset \Omega_a). \end{aligned}$$

This is possible only if the support of  $\mu$  consists of at most 2 points, and hence (4.1) and (2.4) entail  $\lambda_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\Omega_a + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_a$ .

## REFERENCES

1. H. Behnke and P. Thullen, *Theorie der Funktionen mehrerer komplexer Veränderlichen* (Ergebnisse der Math. 51), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1970.
2. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators* (London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 2), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1971.
3. H. Braun and M. Koecher, *Jordan-Algebren* (Grundl. der Math. Wiss. 128), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1966.
4. H. Braun, W. Kaup and H. Upmeyer, *On the automorphisms of circular and Reinhardt domains in complex Banach spaces*, Manuscripta Math. 25 (1978), 97-133.
5. S. Dineen, *Complete holomorphic vector fields on the second dual of a Banach space*, Math. Scand. 59 (1986), 131-142.
6. J. M. Isidro and L. L. Stachó, *Holomorphic Automorphism Groups in Banach Spaces*, (North-Holland Math. Studies 105), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
7. W. Kaup, *Algebraic characterization of symmetric complex Banach manifolds*, Math. Ann. 228 (1977), 39-64.
8. W. Kaup, *A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in Banach spaces*, Math. Z. 183 (1983), 503-529.
9. W. Kaup and H. Upmeyer, *Banach spaces with biholomorphically equivalent unit balls are isomorphic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1976), 129-133.
10. D. Panou, *Über die Klassifikation der beschränkten bizirkularen Gebiete in  $C^n$* , Dissertation Tübingen, 1988.
11. H. H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, (Grundlehren der Math. 215), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

BOLYAI INSTITUTE  
ARADI VERTANUK TERE 1  
6720 SZEGED  
HUNGARY