

## ON THE STABILITY OF PEXIDER TYPE TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

GWANG HUI KIM

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to study the stability problem for the pexider type trigonometric functional equation  $f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2g(x)h(y)$ , which is related to the d'Alembert, the Wilson, the sine, and the mixed trigonometric functional equations.

### 1. Introduction

J. Baker, J. Lawrence and F. Zorzitto in [4], and Bourgin [5] introduced the following: if  $f$  satisfies the inequality  $|f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta$ , then either  $|f(x)| \leq \max(4, 4\delta)$ , or  $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ . This is frequently referred to as superstability.

In next year, J. Baker [3] proved the superstability of the cosine functional equation (also called the d'Alembert equation)

$$(A) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)f(y),$$

which is improved by P. Găvruta [7].

And also the sine functional equation

$$(S) \quad f(x)f(y) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^2 - f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^2$$

is investigated by P.W. Cholewa [6].

---

Received July 25, 2008. Revised August 16, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 39B52.

Key words and phrases: stability, superstability, sine functional equation, d'Alembert equation, trigonometric functional equation.

The cosine functional equation (A) is generalized to the following functional equations

$$(A_{fg}) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x)g(y),$$

$$(A_{gf}) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2g(x)f(y),$$

$$(A_{gg}) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2g(x)g(y),$$

where the two unknown functions  $f, g$  are to be determined. The equation  $(A_{fg})$  introduced by Wilson, is sometimes referred to as the Wilson equation. Their stability have been investigated by Badora, Ger, Kannappan, Kim ([1], [2], [8], [10]) and others.

Motivated by some trigonometric identities (for example,  $\sin(\alpha + \beta) - \sin(\alpha - \beta) = 2 \cos \alpha \sin \beta$ ), we consider the following trigonometric functional equation

$$(T_{gh}) \quad f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2g(x)h(y),$$

which has special cases as follow :

$$(T) \quad f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2f(x)f(y),$$

$$(T_{fg}) \quad f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2f(x)g(y),$$

$$(T_{gf}) \quad f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2g(x)f(y),$$

$$(T_{gg}) \quad f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2g(x)g(y).$$

The aim of this paper is to investigate stability problem for the pexider type trigonometric functional equations  $(T_{gh})$ .

As a consequence, we obtain the stability of the above trigonometric type equations  $(T)$ ,  $(T_{fg})$ ,  $(T_{gf})$ ,  $(T_{gg})$  as corollaries, and also extend the obtained results to the Banach algebra.

In this paper, let  $(G, +)$  be an Abelian group,  $\mathbb{C}$  the field of complex numbers, and  $\mathbb{R}$  the field of real numbers. Whenever we deal with (S), we need to assume additionally that  $(G, +)$  is a uniquely 2-divisible group. We will write then “under 2-divisibility”, for short. We may assume that  $f, g$  and  $h$  are non-zero functions and  $\varepsilon$  is a nonnegative real constant.

## 2. Stability of the equation $(T_{gh})$

In this section, we investigate the stability of the pexider type trigonometric functional equation  $(T_{gh})$  related to the d'Alembert (A), the Wilson types  $(A_{fg})$  and  $(A_{gf})$  the sine (S), and the mixed type functional equations  $(T_{fg})$  and  $(T_{gf})$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x-y) - 2g(x)h(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

*If  $h$  fails to be bounded, then*

(i)  *$g$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility and one of the cases  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $f(x) = f(-x)$ ,*

(ii) *if, additionally,  $h$  satisfies (A) or (T),  $g$  and  $h$  are solutions of Wilson type :*

$$(A_{ggh}) \quad g(x+y) + g(x-y) = 2g(x)h(y).$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $h$  be unbounded. Then we can choose a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $G$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \neq |h(y_n)| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Taking  $y = y_n$  in (2.1) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{f(x+y_n) - f(x-y_n)}{2h(y_n)} - g(x) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|2h(y_n)|},$$

that is,

$$(2.3) \quad g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x+y_n) - f(x-y_n)}{2h(y_n)} \quad \forall y \in G.$$

Using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(x+(y+y_n)) - f(x-(y+y_n)) - 2g(x)h(y+y_n) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f(x+(y-y_n)) + f(x-(y-y_n)) + 2g(x)h(y-y_n) \right| \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{f((x+y)+y_n) - f((x+y)-y_n)}{2h(y_n)} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{f((x-y)+y_n) - f((x-y)-y_n)}{2h(y_n)} - 2g(x) \cdot \frac{h(y+y_n) - h(y-y_n)}{2h(y_n)} \right| \\
 (2.4) \quad & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|h(y_n)|}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with the use of (2.2) and (2.3), we conclude that, for every  $y \in G$ , there exists the limit

$$(2.5) \quad k_1(y) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(y+y_n) - h(y-y_n)}{h(y_n)},$$

where the function  $k_1 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  obtained in that way has to satisfy the equation

$$(2.6) \quad g(x+y) + g(x-y) = g(x)k_1(y) \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

Applying the case  $g(0) = 0$  in (2.6), it implies that  $g$  is an odd function. Keeping this in mind, by means of (2.6), we infer the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x+y)^2 - g(x-y)^2 &= [g(x+y) + g(x-y)][g(x+y) - g(x-y)] \\
 &= g(x)k_1(y)[g(x+y) - g(x-y)] \\
 &= g(x)[g(x+2y) - g(x-2y)] \\
 &= g(x)[g(2y+x) + g(2y-x)] \\
 (2.7) \quad &= g(x)g(2y)k_1(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $y = x$  in (2.6) we get the equation

$$g(2x) = g(x)k_1(x), \quad x \in G.$$

This (2.7), in return, leads to the equation

$$g(x+y)^2 - g(x-y)^2 = g(2x)g(2y)$$

valid for all  $x, y \in G$  which, in the light of the unique 2-divisibility of  $G$ , states nothing else but (S).

Next, in particular case  $f(x) = f(-x)$ , it is enough to show that  $g(0) = 0$ . Suppose that this is not the case.

Putting  $x = 0$  in (2.1), from the above assumption and a given condition, we obtain the inequality

$$|h(y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2|g(0)|}, \quad y \in G.$$

This inequality means that  $h$  is globally bounded – a contradiction. Thus the claimed  $g(0) = 0$  holds.

(ii) if  $h$  satisfies (T), the defined limit  $k_1$  of (2.5) states nothing else but  $2h$ , so (2.6) validates  $(A_{ggh})$ .

Finally, an obvious slight change in the steps of the proof applied after (2.3) gives us the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(x + (y + y_n)) - f(x - (y + y_n)) - 2g(x)h(y + y_n) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f(x + (-y + y_n)) - f(x - (-y + y_n)) - 2g(x)h(-y + y_n) \right| \\ & \leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad & \left| \frac{f((x + y) + y_n) - f((x + y) - y_n)}{2h(y_n)} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{f((x - y) + y_n) - f((x - y) - y_n)}{2h(y_n)} \right. \\ & \left. - 2g(x) \cdot \frac{h(y + y_n) + h(-y + y_n)}{2h(y_n)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|h(y_n)|} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with the use of (2.3), and since  $h$  satisfies (A), so (2.8) implies  $(A_{ggh})$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality (2.1) for all  $x, y \in G$ .*

*If  $g$  fails to be bounded, then*

- (i)  *$h$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility,*
- (ii) *if, additionally,  $g$  satisfies (A),  $g$  and  $h$  are solutions of  $h(x + y) - h(x - y) = 2g(x)h(y)$  and  $h(x + y) + h(x - y) = 2h(x)g(y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be unbounded solution of the inequality (2.1). Then, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $G$  such that  $0 \neq |g(x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Taking  $x = x_n$  in the inequality (2.1), dividing both sides by  $|2g(x_n)|$  and passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain that

$$(2.9) \quad h(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n + y) - f(x_n - y)}{2g(x_n)}, \quad x \in G.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x_n + x$  and  $x_n - x$  in (2.1), we can, with an application of (2.9), state the existence of a limit function

$$k_2(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x_n + x) + g(x_n - x)}{g(x_n)},$$

where the function  $k_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the equation

$$(2.10) \quad h(x + y) - h(x - y) = k_2(x)h(y) \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

From the definition of  $k_2$ , we get the equality  $k_2(0) = 2$ , which jointly with (2.10) implies that  $h$  has to be odd.

(i) Run along the same lines applied after (2.6), which states nothing else but (S).

(ii) if  $g$  satisfies (A), the defined limit function  $k_2$  is simply  $2g$ , so (2.10) implies  $h(x + y) - h(x - y) = 2g(x)h(y)$ .

Secondly, as above, replacing  $x$  by  $x_n + y$  and  $x_n - y$ , and replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (2.1), respectively. Then, since  $g$  satisfies (A), we obtain, with an application of (2.9), the equation  $h(x + y) + h(x - y) = 2h(x)g(y)$ .  $\square$

By replacing  $h$  by  $f$ ,  $g$  by  $f$ ,  $h$  by  $g$  in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollaries.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose that  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$(2.11) \quad |f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2g(x)f(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

*Then either  $f$  is bounded or  $g$  satisfies (A).*

*Proof.* Replacing  $h$  by  $f$  in (2.1) of Theorem 1. An obvious slight change in the steps of the proof of Theorem 1, gives that  $k_1$  of (2.5) states nothing else but  $2g$ . Hence, from (2.6),  $g$  satisfies the equation (A).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose that  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality (2.11)*

*If  $g$  fails to be bounded, then*

- (i)  *$g$  satisfies (A),*
- (ii)  *$f$  and  $g$  are solutions of  $(T_{gf})$  and  $(A_{fg})$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $h$  by  $f$  in (2.1) of Theorem 2.

(i) It is enough from Corollary 1 to show that the boundedness of  $f$  implies the boundedness of  $g$ . Namely, If  $f$  is bounded, choose  $y_0 \in G$  such that  $f(y_0) \neq 0$ , and then by (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x)| &= \left| \frac{f(x + y_0) - f(x - y_0)}{2f(y_0)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(x + y_0) - f(x - y_0)}{2f(y_0)} - g(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2|f(y_0)|}, \end{aligned}$$

from which follows that  $g$  is also bounded on  $G$ . Since  $f$  is nonzero, the unboundedness of  $g$  implies the unboundedness of  $f$ . Hence, from Corollary 1,  $g$  satisfies (A).

(ii) Since we known that  $g$  satisfies (A) by (i), Following steps applied in Theorem 2, then the equation  $(T_{gf})$  holds from (2.10).

For the case  $(A_{gf})$ , replacing  $x$  by  $x_n + y$  and  $x_n - y$ , and  $y$  by  $x$  in (2.11), respectively. other step runs same as above.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** Suppose that  $f, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality

$$(2.12) \quad |f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2f(x)h(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

If  $f$  fails to be bounded, then

- (i)  $h$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility,
- (ii) If, additionally,  $f$  satisfies (A),  $f$  and  $h$  are solutions of  $h(x + y) - h(x - y) = 2f(x)h(y)$  and  $h(x + y) + h(x - y) = 2h(x)f(y)$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** Suppose that  $f, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality

$$|f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2f(x)h(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

If  $h$  fails to be bounded, then

- (i)  $f$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility and one of the cases  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(x) = f(-x)$ ,
- (ii) if, additionally,  $h$  satisfies (A) or (T),  $f$  and  $h$  are solutions of  $f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x)h(y)$ ,
- (iii)  $h$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility,
- (iv) if, additionally,  $f$  satisfies (A),  $f$  and  $h$  are solutions of  $h(x + y) - h(x - y) = 2f(x)h(y)$  and  $h(x + y) + h(x - y) = 2h(x)f(y)$ .

*Proof.* It is trivial from Theorem 2 except for (iii) and (iv).

As a boundedness proof of (ii) in Corollary 1, we can see that the unboundedness of  $h$  implies the unboundedness of  $f$ , so (v) and (vi) follows from (i) and (ii) immediately.  $\square$

From Theorem 2, we obtain easily the following result :

**COROLLARY 5.** *Suppose that  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$|f(x+y) - f(x-y) - 2g(x)g(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

*Then either  $g$  is bounded or  $g$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility.*

*Proof.* It is trivial from (i) of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 6.** ([12]) *Suppose that a non-zero function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$|f(x+y) - f(x-y) - 2f(x)f(y)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

*Then  $f$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is not bounded. Then, by applying  $g = h = f$  in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2,  $f$  satisfies simultaneously (A) and (T). This forces that  $f$  is a zero function. But we know that there exists the cosine function which satisfies (A) as a non-zero. Hence we arrive the result by a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. Extension to the Banach algebra

The obtained results for the functional equations ( $T_{gh}$ ) in section 2 can be extended to the Banach algebra. For simplify, we only will represent one of them, and the applications to the other theorems and corollaries will be omitted.

Given mappings  $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , for above equations, we will denote a difference for each equation by an operator  $DT_{gh} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as

$$DT_{gh}(x, y) := f(x+y) - f(x-y) - 2g(x)h(y).$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Assume that  $f, g, h : G \rightarrow E$  satisfy the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x-y) - 2g(x)h(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

*For an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional  $x^* \in E^*$ ,*



if the superposition  $x^* \circ g$  fails to be bounded, then

- (i)  $h$  satisfies (S) under 2-divisibility,
- (ii) if, additionally,  $x^* \circ g$  satisfies (A),  $g$  and  $h$  are solutions of the equation  $h(x + y) - h(x - y) = 2g(x)h(y)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Assume that (3.1) holds, and fix arbitrarily a linear multiplicative functional  $x^* \in E^*$ . As is well known, we have  $\|x^*\| = 1$  whence, for every  $x, y \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\geq \|f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2g(x)h(y)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|y^*\|=1} |y^*(f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2g(x)h(y))| \\ &\geq |x^*(f(x + y)) - x^*(f(x - y)) - 2x^*(g(x))x^*(h(y))|, \end{aligned}$$

which states that the superpositions  $x^* \circ f$ ,  $x^* \circ g$  and  $x^* \circ h$  yield a solution of inequality (2.1).

Since, by assumption, the superposition  $x^* \circ g$  is unbounded, an appeal to Theorem 2 shows that the function  $x^* \circ h$  solves the equation (S). In other words, bearing the linear multiplicativity of  $x^*$  in mind, for all  $x, y \in G$ , the difference  $DS_h(x, y) := h(x)h(y) - h(\frac{x+y}{2})^2 + h(\frac{x-y}{2})^2$  falls into the kernel of  $x^*$ . Therefore, in view of the unrestricted choice of  $x^*$ , we infer that

$$DS_h(x, y) \in \bigcap \{\ker x^* : x^* \in E^*\}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since the algebra  $E$  has been assumed to be semisimple, the last term of the above formula coincides with the singleton  $\{0\}$ , i.e.

$$h(x)h(y) - h(\frac{x + y}{2})^2 + h(\frac{x - y}{2})^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G,$$

as claimed.

(ii) Under the assumption that the superposition  $x^* \circ g$  satisfies (A), we know from Theorem 2 that the superpositions  $x^* \circ h$  and  $x^* \circ g$  are solutions of the equation

$$x^*(h(x + y)) - x^*(h(x - y)) = 2x^*(g(x))x^*(h(y)).$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x + y) - h(x - y) - 2g(x)h(y) \\ \in \bigcap \{\ker x^* : x^* \in E^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

The other argument is similar. □

### References

- [1] R. Badora, On the stability of cosine functional equation, *Rocznik Naukowo-Dydak.*, *Prace Mat.*, 15 (1998), 1–14.
- [2] R. Badora and R. Ger, On some trigonometric functional inequalities, *Functional Equations- Results and Advances*, (2002), 3–15.
- [3] J. A. Baker, The stability of the cosine equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 80 (1980), 411–416.
- [4] J. A. Baker, J. Lawrence and F. Zorzitto, The stability of the equation  $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74 (1979), 242–246.
- [5] D. G. Bourgin, Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings, *Duke Math. J.*, 16, (1949), 385–397.
- [6] P.W. Cholewa, The stability of the sine equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88 (1983). 631–634.
- [7] P. Găvruta, On the stability of some functional equations, in : Th. M. Rassias and J. Tabor(Eds.), *Stability of mappings of Hyers-Ulam type*, Hadronic Press, 1994, pp. 93–98.
- [8] Pl. Kannappan and G. H. Kim, On the stability of the generalized cosine functional equations, *Ann. Acad. Pedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 1 (2001), 49–58.
- [9] G. H. Kim, The Stability of the d'Alembert and Jensen type functional equations, *Jour. Math. Anal & Appl.*, 325 (2007), 237–248.
- [10] ———, A Stability of the generalized sine functional equations, *Jour. Math. Anal & Appl.*, 331 (2007), 886–894.
- [11] ———, On the Stability of the generalized sine functional equations, *Acta Sinica*, preprint.
- [12] ——— and Y.W. Lee, Boundedness of approximate trigonometric functions, *Appl. Math. Letters*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics,  
Kangnam University  
Youngin, Gyeonggi, 446-702, Korea  
*E-mail*: ghkim@kangnam.ac.kr