

## ON THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

SHŌICHIRO SAKAI

(Received August 28, 1969)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all complex valued continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact space. The Stone-Weierstrass theorem gives the conditions under which a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B$  coincides with  $A$ . A plausible non-commutative extension of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem is

Conjecture. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $P(\mathfrak{A})$  be the set of all pure states of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and let  $0$  be the identically zero function on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ .

Kaplansky [9] proved a theorem equivalent to the conjecture for GCR  $C^*$ -algebras (equivalently, type I  $C^*$ -algebras [6], [13]). Glimm [5], Ringrose [10] and Akemann [1] gave some considerations related to this conjecture.

The purpose of this paper is to present another consideration to the conjecture. Unfortunately, we can not solve the problem completely; but the author feels that the results obtained here indicate strongly that the conjecture will be true for all separable  $C^*$ -algebras. Throughout the present paper, we shall deal with separable  $C^*$ -algebras only. The main tool to attack the problem is the reduction theory. As corollaries of our results, we shall show: (1) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a uniformly hyperfinite  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ ; (2) A new proof of Kaplansky's theorem in the separable case; (3) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})} \subsetneq \overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$  and the commutant of  $\pi(\mathfrak{B})$  is hyperfinite, where  $\overline{\pi(\cdot)}$  is the weak closure of  $\pi(\cdot)$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{B}$  can not separate  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ ; (4) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$  is a finite  $W^*$ -algebra and  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})} \subsetneq \overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$ , where  $\overline{\pi(\cdot)}$  is the weak closure of  $\pi(\cdot)$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{B}$  can not separate  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ .

**2. Theorems.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $P(\mathfrak{A})$  be the set of all pure states of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and let  $0$  be the identically zero function on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Throughout this section, we shall assume that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ —namely, for any two different  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ , there exists an element  $b$  such that  $\varphi_1(b) \neq \varphi_2(b)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  has not the unit, we shall consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{A} + \lambda 1$  and the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B} + \lambda 1$  obtained by adjoining the unit 1, where  $\lambda$  are complex numbers. Any pure state  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be uniquely extended to a pure state  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ ; therefore  $P(\mathfrak{A} + \lambda 1) = \widetilde{P(\mathfrak{A})} + \lambda p_0$ , where  $p_0$  is the pure state of  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  such that  $p_0(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$ . Then, clearly  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}_1) \cup (0)$ ; therefore it is enough to assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the unit 1.

LEMMA 1.  $\mathfrak{B}$  contains the unit 1.

PROOF. Suppose that  $1 \notin \mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\|b+1\| \geq 1$  for  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ —in fact, if  $\|b+1\| < 1$ ,  $-b$  is invertible and  $(-b)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{B}$ ; hence  $1 \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Therefore, there exists a bounded linear functional  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{B}) = 0$  and  $\|f\| = f(1) = 1$ ; hence  $f$  is a state (cf. [4], [11]). Let  $\mathfrak{I} = \{x | f(x^*x) = 0, x \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a closed left ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{I}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a maximal left ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{J}$ , then there exists a pure state  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{J} = \{x | \varphi(x^*x) = 0, x \in \mathfrak{A}\}$  (cf. [4], [8]); this implies that  $\mathfrak{B}$  can not separate  $\varphi$  and 0. This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

Henceforward, we shall assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has the unit and so  $\mathfrak{B}$  contains the unit. In this case, the separation of  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$  by  $\mathfrak{B}$  is equivalent to the separation of  $P(\mathfrak{A})$  by  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

DEFINITION 1. A  $W^*$ -algebra  $M$  is said to be atomic, if it is a direct sum of type I-factors.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . By  $\overline{\pi(A)}$ , we shall denote the weak closure of  $\pi(A)$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The representation  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  is called to be atomic, if the  $W^*$ -algebra  $\overline{\pi(A)}$  is atomic.

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\varphi$  be a state on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi\}$  the  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$  constructed via  $\varphi$ .  $\varphi$  is called to be atomic, if the representation  $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi\}$  is atomic.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be two states on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that the restriction  $\varphi_1|_{\mathfrak{B}}, \varphi_2|_{\mathfrak{B}}$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$  are atomic. Suppose that  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

PROOF. Put  $\varphi = \frac{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{2}$  and consider the  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\varphi(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product of  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$  and  $\xi$  is a vector in  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ , and let  $e'$  be the projection of  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$  onto the closed subspace  $[\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})\xi]$  generated by  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})\xi$ ; then the representation  $b \rightarrow \pi_\varphi(b)e'(b \in \mathfrak{B})$  is

atomic. Let  $z$  be the central envelope of  $e'$  in the commutant  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})'$  of  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})$ , then the mapping  $yz \rightarrow ye'$  of  $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})z}$  onto  $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})e'}$  is a \*-isomorphism; hence  $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})}$  contains a direct summand of an atomic  $W^*$ -algebra. Let  $p'$  be a minimal projection in  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})'$ , then  $b \rightarrow \pi_\varphi(b)p'(b \in \mathfrak{B})$  is irreducible. Take  $\eta$  ( $\|\eta\|=1$ )  $\in p'\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$  and consider a state  $\psi_0(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\eta, \eta \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then,  $\psi_0|_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is pure; we shall show that  $\psi_0$  is pure on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{\psi | \psi = \psi_0 \text{ on } \mathfrak{B}, \psi \text{ states on } \mathfrak{A}\}$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a  $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}^*, \mathfrak{A})$ -compact convex set in  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is the dual Banach space of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Arbitrary extreme point in  $\Gamma$  is also extreme in the state space of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; hence it is pure. If  $\Gamma$  contains two points, there are two different pure states  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ ; hence  $\Gamma$  consists of only one point and it is pure.

Now suppose that  $p'\mathfrak{H}_\varphi \not\subseteq [\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})\eta]$ , and let  $V$  be the orthocomplement of  $p'\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$  in  $[\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})\eta]$ . Let  $\xi_1 (\neq 0) \in p'\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_2 (\neq 0) \in V$  and  $\|\xi_1 + \xi_2\| = 1$ . Then,  $g_1(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)(\xi_1 + \xi_2), (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \rangle$  and  $g_2(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)(\xi_1 - \xi_2), (\xi_1 - \xi_2) \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  are pure states of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $g_1 = g_2$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Hence  $g_1 = g_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since the restriction of  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})$  on  $[\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})\eta]$  is irreducible,  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \lambda(\xi_1 - \xi_2)$  for some complex number  $\lambda$  ( $|\lambda| = 1$ ). This is a contradiction; hence  $[\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})\eta] = [\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})\eta]$  and so  $p' \in \pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})'$ . Let  $c$  be the greatest central projection of  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})'$  such that  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})'c$  is atomic; then any non-zero projection of  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})'c$  is a sum of mutually orthogonal minimal projections; hence  $c \in \pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})'$ .

Since  $\xi \in c\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ ,  $[\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})\xi] \subset c\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ ; hence  $c\mathfrak{H}_\varphi = \mathfrak{H}_\varphi$  and so  $c = 1_{\mathfrak{H}_\varphi}$ , where  $1_{\mathfrak{H}_\varphi}$  is the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{H}_\varphi$ ; therefore  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})' \subset \pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})'$  and so  $\overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{B})} = \overline{\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})}$ . Since  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \leq 2\varphi$ , there exists vectors  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  such that  $\varphi_1(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\eta_1, \eta_1 \rangle$  and  $\varphi_2(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\eta_2, \eta_2 \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . For  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ , there exists a direct set  $\{\pi_\varphi(b_\alpha)\}$  ( $b_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}$ ) such that  $\pi_\varphi(b_\alpha) \rightarrow \pi_\varphi(a)$  (strongly); hence  $\varphi_1(b_\alpha) \rightarrow \varphi_1(a)$  and  $\varphi_2(b_\alpha) \rightarrow \varphi_2(a)$ ;  $\varphi_1(b_\alpha) = \varphi_2(b_\alpha)$  implies  $\varphi_1(a) = \varphi_2(a)$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be two states on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and suppose that one of them is atomic and  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $\varphi_1$  is atomic. Consider the \*-representation  $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})$  is atomic; hence, there exists a family of mutually orthogonal minimal projections  $(e_i' | i = 1, 2, \dots)$  in  $\pi_\varphi(\mathfrak{A})'$  such that  $\sum_i e_i' = 1_{\varphi_1}$ . Let  $\varphi_1(x) = \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle$ , then  $\varphi_1(x) = \sum_i \langle \pi_\varphi(x)e_i'\xi, e_i'\xi \rangle = \sum_i \|e_i'\xi\|^2 \langle \pi_\varphi(x) \frac{e_i'\xi}{\|e_i'\xi\|}, \frac{e_i'\xi}{\|e_i'\xi\|} \rangle$ .

Since  $\langle \pi_\varphi(x) \frac{e_i'\xi}{\|e_i'\xi\|}, \frac{e_i'\xi}{\|e_i'\xi\|} \rangle$  is pure, its restriction on  $\mathfrak{B}$  is also pure (cf. the proof of Lemma 2); hence  $\varphi_1|_{\mathfrak{B}}$  is atomic and so by Lemma 2,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . This completes the proof.

Now we shall explain some results of the reduction theory (cf. [3], [11], [12]). Let  $M$  be a type I  $W^*$ -algebra on a separable Hilbert space,  $M_*$  the predual of  $M$ . Then,  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is a homogenous type  $I_{n_i}$   $W^*$ -algebra ( $n_i \leq \aleph_0$ ). Moreover,  $M_i = B_i \otimes Z_i$ , where  $B_i$  is a type  $I_{n_i}$ -factor, and  $Z_i$  is the center of  $M_i$ . Let  $B_{i*}$  be the predual of  $B_i$ , then we can consider the weak  $*$ -topology  $\sigma(B_i, B_{i*})$  on  $B_i$ .

Then, we have the realization  $B_i \otimes Z_i = L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$ , where  $(\Omega_i, \mu_i)$  is a measure space with a probability measure  $\mu_i$  and  $L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$  is the  $W^*$ -algebra of all essentially bounded  $B_i$ -valued weakly  $*$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega_i$ . For  $a \in B_i \otimes Z_i$ , the corresponding element of  $L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$  is denoted by  $\int a(t)$ , then  $\|a\| = \text{ess. sup.}_{t \in \Omega_i} \|a(t)\|$  and  $a_1 + a_2 = \int a_1(t) + a_2(t)$ ,  $\lambda a_1 = \int \lambda a_1(t)$ ,  $a_1 a_2 = \int a_1(t) a_2(t)$  and  $a_1^* = \int a_1(t)^*$  for  $a_1, a_2 \in B_i \otimes Z_i$  and  $\lambda$  are complex numbers.

Moreover the predual of  $L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i) = L^1(B_{i*}, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$ , where  $L^1(B_{i*}, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$  is the Banach space of all  $B_{i*}$ -valued Bochner integrable functions  $f$  on  $\Omega_i$  with the norm  $\|f\| = \int \|f(t)\| d\mu_i(t)$ . Therefore, we have the realization  $M_{i*} = L^1(B_{i*}, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$ . For  $g \in M_{i*}$ , the corresponding element in  $L^1(B_{i*}, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$  is denoted by  $\int g(t)$ . Then we have:  $\|g\| = \int \|g(t)\| d\mu_i(t)$ ,  $g_1 + g_2 = \int g_1(t) + g_2(t)$ ,  $\lambda g_1 = \int \lambda g_1(t)$ , and if  $\varphi$  is a normal state on  $M_i$ ,  $\varphi(t)$  is a normal state on  $B_i$  for almost all  $t$ ; moreover let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M_i$ , then we can choose a null set  $Q_i$  such that  $d \rightarrow d(t)$  ( $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ) is a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $B_i$  for all  $t \in \Omega_i - Q_i$ ; moreover, if the  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $(\mathcal{D}, Z_i)$  of  $M_i$  generated by  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $Z_i$  coincides with  $M_i$ , the weak closure  $\overline{\mathcal{D}(t)} = B_i$  for all  $t \in \Omega_i - Q_i$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(t) = \{d(t) | d \in \mathcal{D}\}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{D}(t)}$  is the weak closure of  $\mathcal{D}(t)$ .

Since  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus M_i$ , by considering the direct sum  $(\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i, \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mu_i)$  of the measure spaces  $(\Omega_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $M$  can be realized as the  $W^*$ -algebra of vector valued functions  $\int x(t)$  such that  $x_i \in L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $\|x\| = \sup \|x_i\|$ , where  $x_i$  is the restriction of  $x$  on  $\Omega_i$ . This realization will be denoted by  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $M$  such that the  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $M$  generated by  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $Z$  coincides with  $M$ , where  $Z$  is the center of  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{E} z_i$  and  $Z_i$  generate  $M_i$ , where  $z_i$  is the identity of  $M_i$ ; hence there exists a null set  $Q$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $a \rightarrow a(t)$  ( $a \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is a  $*$ -homomorphism and  $\overline{\mathcal{E}(t)} = B_i$  for all  $t \in \Omega_i - Q$  and all  $i$ .

Henceforward, the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  will be assumed to be separable. Let  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{F}\}$

be a  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \pi(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \pi(\mathfrak{B})$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}'_0$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}'_0$ ) be the commutant of  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ ). Let  $C$  be a maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}'_0$ , then the  $W^*$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$  generated by  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $C$  is of type I and  $C$  is the center of  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$ , because  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)' = \mathfrak{A}'_0 \cap C = C$ .

By putting  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C) = M$ , we can apply the reduction theory.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  into  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$  such that  $(\alpha) \|T(x)\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ;  $(\beta) T(y) = y$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ . Then,  $T(x) = x$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $T(x_0) \neq x_0$  for some  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ . Then, there exists a normal state  $\psi$  of  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$  such that  $\psi(T(x_0)) \neq \psi(x_0)$ .  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus L^\infty(B_i, \Omega_i, \mu_i)$ . Now let  $D$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$  generated by  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $T(x_0)$ , then  $D$  is separable.

By the previous considerations, we can assume that  $x \rightarrow x(t)$  ( $x \in D$ ) is a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $D$  into  $B_i$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}_0(t)} = B_i$  for all  $t \in \Omega_i - \mathfrak{N}$  with  $\mu(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}_0(t) = \{x(t) | x \in \mathfrak{A}_0\}$ .

Let  $\psi = \int \psi(t)$ , then  $\psi(x_0) = \int \psi(t)(x_0(t))d\mu(t)$  and  $\psi(T(x_0)) = \int \psi(t)(T(x_0)(t))d\mu(t)$ . Since  $\psi(x_0) \neq \psi(T(x_0))$ , there exists a set  $\mathfrak{M}$  with  $\mu(\mathfrak{M}) > 0$  such that  $\psi(t)(x_0(t)) \neq \psi(t)(T(x_0)(t))$  for all  $t \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Therefore, there exists a  $t_0$  such that  $\psi(t_0)$  is a positive linear functional on  $B_{i_0}$  and  $\psi(t_0)(x_0(t_0)) \neq \psi(t_0)(T(x_0)(t_0))$ ,  $x \rightarrow x(t_0)$  ( $x \in D$ ) is a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $D$  into  $B_{i_0}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}_0(t_0)} = B_{i_0}$ . Now we shall define a linear functional  $\psi_1$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  as follows:  $\psi_1(a) = \psi(t_0)(\pi(a)(t_0))$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then,  $\psi_1$  is an atomic state on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $x_0 = \pi(a_0)$  for some  $a_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$ ; we shall define a linear functional  $\psi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{B} + \lambda a_0$  ( $\lambda$  complex numbers) as follows:  $\psi_2(b + \lambda a_0) = \psi(t_0)(\pi(b)(t_0) + \lambda T(x_0)(t_0))$  for  $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_2'(b + \lambda a_0)\| &\leq \|\psi(t_0)\| \|\pi(b) + \lambda T(x_0)\| = \|\psi(t_0)\| \|T(\pi(b) + \lambda \pi(a_0))\| \\ &\leq \|\psi(t_0)\| \|\pi(b) + \lambda \pi(a_0)\| \leq \|\psi(t_0)\| \|b + \lambda a_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\psi_2'$  is well-defined and bounded. Let  $\psi_2$  be a linear functional on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\|\psi_2\| = \|\psi_2'\|$  and  $\psi_2 = \psi_2'$  on  $\mathfrak{B} + \lambda a_0$ . Since  $\psi_2(1) = \psi_2'(1) = \|\psi(t_0)\|$ ,  $\psi_2$  is positive and clearly  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Therefore by Lemma 3,  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; hence  $\psi_1(a_0) = \psi(t_0)(\pi(a_0)(t_0)) = \psi(t_0)(x_0(t_0)) = \psi_2(a_0) = \psi(t_0)(T(x_0)(t_0))$ . This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

Let  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  be the  $W^*$ -algebra of all bounded operators on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . For any  $w \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ , let  $K(w)$  be the weakly closed convex subset of  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  generated by  $\{u^* w u | u \in C_u\}$ , where  $C_u$  is the set of all unitary elements in  $C$ . A family of

weakly continuous linear mappings  $\{w \rightarrow u^*wu \mid u \in C_u\}$  on  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  is commutative; hence by the theorem of Kakutani-Markoff (cf. [2]),  $K(w)$  contains at least one fixed point  $w_0$ —namely,  $u^*w_0u = w_0$  for all  $u \in C_u$ ; hence  $w_0 \in C' = (\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$ . Therefore, there exists a projection  $P$  with norm one of  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  onto  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$  (cf. [14]).

Now we shall show

**THEOREM 2.** *For  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ , let  $\Gamma(x)$  be the weakly closed convex subset of  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  generated by  $\{u'^*xu' \mid u' \in \mathfrak{B}'_{0,u}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}'_{0,u}$  is the set of all unitary elements of the commutant  $\mathfrak{B}'_0$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ . Then,  $P(r) = x$  for all  $r \in \Gamma(x)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $L(B(\mathfrak{H}))$  be the algebra of all bounded operators of  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  into  $B(\mathfrak{H})$ . Then,  $L(B(\mathfrak{H}))$  is the dual of  $B(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{\gamma} B(\mathfrak{H})_{*}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the greatest cross norm and  $B(\mathfrak{H})_{*}$  is the predual of  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  (cf. [7]). We shall consider the weak  $*$ -topology  $\sigma(L(B(\mathfrak{H})), B(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes_{\gamma} B(\mathfrak{H})_{*})$  on  $L(B(\mathfrak{H}))$ . Then, the unit sphere  $S$  of  $L(B(\mathfrak{H}))$  is compact. The linear mapping  $V_{u'} : w \rightarrow u'^*wu'$  ( $w \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ ) belongs to  $S$ ; let  $S_0$  be the weakly  $*$ -closed convex subset of  $S$  generated by  $\{V_{u'} \mid u' \in \mathfrak{B}'_{0,u}\}$ , then for arbitrary  $r \in \Gamma(x)$ , there exists a  $V \in S_0$  such that  $V(x) = r$ .

Now, consider a linear mapping  $d \rightarrow P(V(d))$  ( $d \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ) of  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  into  $(\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$ , then  $P(V(y)) = P(y) = y$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ ; hence by Theorem 1,  $P(V(x)) = P(r) = x$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_0$  be the weak closure of  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ , then  $\|w - r\| = \|w - x\|$  for  $w \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_0$  and  $r \in \Gamma(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $u' \in \mathfrak{B}'_{0,u}$ ,  $\|w - u'^*xu'\| = \|u'^*wu' - x\| = \|w - x\|$ ; therefore  $\|w - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i'^*xu_i'\| \leq \|w - x\|$ , where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $u_i' \in \mathfrak{B}'_{0,u}$ ; hence  $\|w - r\| \leq \|w - x\|$ .

On the other hand, if  $\|w_0 - r_0\| < \|w_0 - x\|$  for some  $w_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_0$  and  $r_0 \in \Gamma(x)$ , then  $\|P(w_0 - r_0)\| = \|w_0 - P(r_0)\| \leq \|w_0 - r_0\|$ . But,  $w_0 - P(r_0) = w_0 - x$ . This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 2.**  $\|v - r\| \geq \|v - x\|$  for  $v \in (\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$  and  $r \in \Gamma(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ .

The proof is quite similar with the second part of the proof of Corollary 1.

**3. Applications.** We shall show some applications of the results in the section 2.

DEFINITION 4. Let  $M$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra.  $M$  is called to be hyperfinite, if there exists an increasing sequence of type  $I_{n_i}$ -factors  $\{M_i\}$  ( $n_i < +\infty$ ) containing the unit of  $M$  in  $M$  such that  $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i} = M$ , where  $\overline{(\cdot)}$  is the weak closure of  $(\cdot)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{B}$  a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})} \not\subseteq \overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$  and the commutant  $\pi(\mathfrak{B})'$  of  $\pi(\mathfrak{B})$  is hyperfinite. Then,  $\mathfrak{B}$  can not separate  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ . Put  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \pi(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \pi(\mathfrak{B})$ . By the result of Schwartz (cf. [14]),  $\Gamma(x) \cap \overline{\mathfrak{B}_0} \neq (\phi)$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ; hence by Corollary 1,  $\inf_{w \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}_0}} \|x - w\| = 0$  and so  $x \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}_0}$ . This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra.  $A$  is called to be uniformly hyperfinite, if there exists an increasing sequence of type  $I_{n_i}$ -factors  $\{A_i\}$  ( $n_i < +\infty$ ) containing the unit of  $A$  in  $A$  such that the uniform closure of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = A$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a uniformly hyperfinite  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  and let  $f$  be a bounded selfadjoint linear functional on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{B}) = 0$  and  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $f = f^+ - f^-$  be the orthogonal decomposition such that  $f^+, f^- \geq 0$ , and  $\|f^+\| + \|f^-\| = \|f\|$ . Put  $\varphi = f^+ + f^-$  and take the  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  as the  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  in §2. Then,  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}_0} \not\subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  is uniformly hyperfinite, there exists an increasing sequence of type  $I_{n_i}$ -factors  $(B_i)$  ( $n_i < +\infty$ ) in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  such that the uniform closure of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \mathfrak{B}_0$ . We can easily find a projection  $Q_i$  with norm 1 of  $B(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi)$  onto  $B_i$ , because  $B(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi) = B_i \otimes B_i'$ . Let  $Q$  be an accumulate point of the set  $\{Q_i | i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  in  $L(B(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi))$  with  $\sigma(L(B(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi)), B(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi) \otimes B(\mathfrak{H}_\varphi)_*)$ , then clearly  $Q(y) = y$  for  $y \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ ; moreover  $Q(\mathfrak{A}_0) \subset \overline{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)} = \overline{\mathfrak{B}_0} \subset (\mathfrak{A}_0, C)$ ; hence by Theorem 1,  $Q(x) = x$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  and so  $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{B}_0}$ . This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that there exists a  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$  is a finite  $W^*$ -algebra and  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})} \subsetneq \overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{B}$  can not separate  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ . By the result of Umegaki (cf. [15]), there exists a projection  $Q$  with norm 1 of  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})}$  onto  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})}$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 1,  $Q(\pi(a)) = \pi(a)$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ ; hence  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})} = \overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})}$ . This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 4 (Kaplansky [9]). *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a type I  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B}$  separates  $P(\mathfrak{A}) \cup (0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{B} \subsetneq \mathfrak{A}$ . Take a  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi, \mathfrak{H}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\overline{\pi(\mathfrak{A})} \supsetneq \overline{\pi(\mathfrak{B})}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a type I  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\pi(\mathfrak{B})'$  is a type I  $W^*$ -algebra. By the theorem of Kakutani-Markoff, the structure theorem of type I  $W^*$ -algebras and the considerations of Schwartz (cf. [14]), we can easily see that  $\Gamma(x) \cap \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_0 \neq (\phi)$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ; hence by Corollary 1,  $x \in \overline{\mathfrak{B}}_0$ . This is a contradiction and completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. AKEMANN, The Stone-Weierstrass problem for  $C^*$ -algebras, to appear.
- [2] N. BOURBAKI, Espaces vectoriels topologiques, chap. I-II, Actualités Sci. Indust., Hermann, Paris, 1953.
- [3] J. DIXMIER, Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (Algèbres de von Neumann), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
- [4] J. DIXMIER, Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
- [5] J. GLIMM, A Stone-Weierstrass theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras, Ann. of Math., 72(1960), 216-244.
- [6] J. GLIMM, Type I  $C^*$ -algebras, Ann. of Math., 73(1961), 572-612.
- [7] A. GROTHENDIECK, Product tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 16.
- [8] R. KADISON, Irreducible operator algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 43(1957), 273-276.
- [9] I. KAPLANSKY, The structure of certain operator algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 70(1951), 219-255.
- [10] J. RINGROSE, On subalgebras of a  $C^*$ -algebra, Pacific J. Math., 15(1965), 1377-1382.
- [11] S. SAKAI, The theory of  $W^*$ -algebras, Lecture notes, Yale University, 1962.
- [12] S. SAKAI, On the reduction theory of von Neumann, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 70(1964), 393-398.
- [13] S. SAKAI, On a characterization of type I  $C^*$ -algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72(1966), 508-512.



- [14] J. SCHWARTZ, Two finite, non-hyperfinite, non isomorphic factors, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 16(1963), 19-26.
- [15] H. UMEGAKI, Conditional expectation in an operator algebra, I, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 6(1954), 177-181.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PHILADELPHIA, U. S. A.