AD A 052313



The Florida State University Department **Statistics** Tallahassee, Florida 32306





## ON THE STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS AND RELATED RESULTS FOR QUASI-STATIONARY SEQUENCES

by R. J. Serfling

FSU Statistics Report M430 ONR Technical Report No. 123

August, 1977
Department of Statistics
The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306

Research supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. N00014-76-C-0608. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

### ABSTRACT

# ON THE STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS AND RELATED RESULTS FOR QUASI-STATIONARY SEQUENCES

Under second moment assumptions and weak dependence conditions on a sequence of random variables  $\{X_i\}$ , Gaposkin (1975) has established almost sure convergence of the series  $\sum_1^\infty \lambda_k^- X_k^-$  under certain restrictions on the rate of convergence to 0 of the constants  $\{c_k\}$ . Similarly, Móricz (1977) has established conditions for the almost sure convergence to 0 of the sequence  $\lambda_n^- \sum_1^n X_k^-$ . In the present paper, some extensions of these results are obtained.

ACCESSION NTIS	White Section	
DDC	Buff Section	
HINANNOUN	TOLD —	
JUSTIFICAT		
March 1		
BY	TION / AVAIL ARILITY CODES	
BISTRIBU	TION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
RISTRIBUT	TION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
BISTRIBU	TION/AVAILABILITY CODES	

 Main results and discussion. Consider a sequence of random variables {X<sub>i</sub>} satisfying

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}_{1} \equiv \mathbf{0}$$
 ,  $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}_{1}^{2} \equiv \mathbf{1}$ 

and, for a sequence of constants  $\{\phi_i\}$ ,

$$|\mathbb{E}X_{j}X_{k}| \le \phi_{k-j}$$
, all  $j \le k$ .

Such a sequence  $\{X_i\}$  is called *quasi-stationary* with respect to the sequence  $\{\phi_i\}$ . The almost sure asymptotic behavior of the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  may be characterized by an assertion of the form

(A) 
$$\lambda_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0$$
,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , w.p.1,

where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence of positive constants tending to 0. It is of interest to establish (A) under mild restrictions on the constants  $\{\phi_i\}$  and  $\{\lambda_i\}$ . A related problem concerns the almost sure behavior of the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i X_i$  for such a sequence of constants  $\{\lambda_n\}$ . In this case the desired assertion is

(a) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i X_i \text{ converges w.p.1.}$$

By the well-known Kronecker lemma, (a) implies (A) in the case of  $\lambda_n$  non-increasing.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60F99, Secondary 60G99.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-stationary random variables; strong law of large numbers; almost sure convergence of infinite series.

Rademacher (1922) and Mensov (1923) independently established that
(a) holds if

(1.1a) 
$$\phi_i = 0$$
,  $i > 0$ ,

and

(1.1b) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2} \log^{2} n < \infty .$$

Kac, Salem and Zygmund (1948) relaxed (1.1a) to  $\phi_n = 0(n^{-1-\epsilon})$  for an  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Gaposkin (1975) proved the following much broader result. Put

$$w(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i.$$

THEOREM a (Gaposkin). If

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} w(n) \lambda_{n}^{2} \log^{2} n < \infty,$$

then (a) holds.

This theorem allows the possibility of  $w(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , whereas the earlier results are confined to the case  $w(\infty) < \infty$ .

Returning to (A), we have

COROLLARY a. If (1.2) is satisfied and  $\lambda_n$  is nonincreasing, then (A) holds.

On the other hand, a direct approach — bypassing (a) — offers the possibility of obtaining (A) under weaker restrictions than (1.2). In this direction, Móricz (1977) has obtained the following result.

THEOREM A (Moricz). If

(1.3a) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} w(n) \lambda_{n}^{2} < \infty ,$$

(1.3b) 
$$w(n) \lambda_n^2$$
 is nonincreasing,

and

(1.3c) 
$$w(2n)/w(n) \ge q > 1$$
, all n,

then (A) holds.

Note that (1.3a) relaxes (1.2). However, (1.3c) requires w(n) to grow at a fast rate. For example, (1.3c) is satisfied by w(n) of the form w(n) = cn<sup>a</sup>, but not by w(n) of the form w(n) = exp( $2\sqrt{\log n}$ ). For the latter, Theorem A is inapplicable, whereas Theorem a does yield a conclusion.

The present note provides an alternate to Theorem A which essentially removes condition (1.3c). As in [5], put W(1) = w(1) and, for  $n \ge 2$ , define W(n) by

$$W^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) = W^{\frac{1}{2}}([\frac{1}{2}n] - 1) + W^{\frac{1}{2}}([\frac{1}{2}n])$$
.

THEOREM B. If

(1.4a) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} W(n) \lambda_{n}^{2} < \infty$$

and

(1.46)  $W(n) \lambda_n^2$  is nonincreasing,

then (A) holds.

Conditions (1.3a) and (1.3c) together imply (1.4a), as evident from the Lemma below. Also, the mild constraints (1.3b) and (1.4b) are mere variants of each other. Thus Theorem B has somewhat broader application than Theorem A. In particular, it yields

EXAMPLE. Consider  $w(n) = \exp(2\sqrt{\log n})$ . In this case (by the Lemma below)

$$W(n) = O(w(n) \log n),$$

so that (A) holds if  $\lambda_n$  satisfies (1.4b) for this w(n) and if

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} w(n) \lambda_{n}^{2} \log n < \infty. \square$$

In the preceding example, the use of Corollary a would be less effective than Theorem B, since (1.5) is weaker than (1.2). The gain in effectiveness of Theorem B over Corollary a occurs when w(n) grows sufficiently fast.

LEMMA. (1) In general,  $W(n) = O(w(n) \log^2 n)$ .

- (ii) If  $w(n) = \exp(2\sqrt{\log n})$ , then  $W(n) = O(w(n) \log n)$ .
- (111) If w(n) satisfies (1.5c), then W(n) = O(w(n)).

As a complement to Theorem B, the following generalization of Theorem a will be established.

THEOREM B. If (1.4a) and

(1.6a) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} w(n) \lambda_{n}^{2} (\log n) (\log \log n)^{1+\epsilon} < \infty$$
, for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

are satisfied, then (a) holds.

Since (1.2) implies each of (1.4a) and (1.6a), Theorem B generalizes Theorem a.

### 2. Proofs.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Note that, for  $2^k \le n < 2^{k+1}$ ,

(2.1) 
$$W^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) < W^{\frac{1}{2}}(2^{k+1} - 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} W^{\frac{1}{2}}(2^{j})$$
.

Thus  $W^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) \le kw^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) = 0(w^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) \log n)$ , which gives (i). Now, for  $j \le k$ ,

$$\exp\sqrt{j} = \exp\sqrt{k} \exp\left[\frac{j-k}{\sqrt{j}+\sqrt{k}}\right]$$

$$\leq \exp\sqrt{k} \exp\left[\frac{j-k}{2\sqrt{k}}\right]$$

$$= \exp^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k}} \left[\exp\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus by (2.1) we obtain, for the case  $w(n) = \exp(2\sqrt{\log n})$ , that

$$W^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) \leq (\exp \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k}) \frac{\exp \sqrt{k}}{\exp \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}\right) - 1} \leq 2\sqrt{k} \exp \sqrt{k},$$

i.e.,  $W^2(n) = Q(\sqrt{\log n} w^2(n))$ , so that (ii) is proved. Finally, for w(n) satisfying (1.3c), the use of (2.1) yields

$$W^{\frac{1}{2}}(n) \le w^{\frac{1}{2}}(2^k) \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(k-j)}$$
,

from which (iii) follows.

In proving Theorems B and  $\beta$ , the following maximal inequality will be used.

LEMMA 2.1. For  $m \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\max_{1\leq k\leq n} \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} a_i X_i\right]^2\right\} \leq 2W(n) \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} a_i^2.$$

This was proved by Móricz (1976), extending an earlier result of Serfling (1970a). For Theorem  $\beta$ , we will also need the following easily proved parallel result [5], [8].

LEMMA 2.2. For  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} a_i X_i\right]^2\right\} \leq 2w(n) \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} a_i^2.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM B. In order to show (A), it is equivalent to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

(2.2) 
$$P\{|\lambda_n S_n| > \epsilon \text{ infinitely often}\} = 0.$$

Now observe that the nonincreasingness of  $\lambda_n^2$  W(n), combined with the non-decreasingness of W(n), implies that  $\lambda_n$  is nondecreasing. Thus, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, (2.2) holds if

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\{\lambda_{2^{k}} \max_{2^{k} \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |S_{n}| > \epsilon\} < \infty.$$

Now

$$\begin{split} & P\{\lambda_{2^{k}} \max_{2^{k} \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |s_{n}| > \epsilon\} \\ & \leq \epsilon^{-2} \lambda_{2^{k}}^{2} E\{\max_{2^{k} \leq n < 2^{k+1}} s_{n}^{2}\} \\ & \leq 2\epsilon^{-2} \lambda_{2^{k}}^{2} E\{s_{2^{k}}^{2} + \max_{2^{k} \leq n < 2^{k+1}} (s_{n} - s_{2^{k}})^{2}\} . \end{split}$$

A two-fold application of Lemma 2.1 gives

$$P\{\lambda_{2^k} \max_{2^k \le n < 2^{k+1}} |S_n| > \epsilon\} \le 4\lambda_{2^k}^2 W(2^k) 2^k$$
.

Thus the sum in (2.3) is bounded by  $4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \lambda_{2k}^2 W(2^k)$ , which in turn in view of (1.3b) is clearly bounded by  $4\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^2 W(n)$ . By (1.3a), the required (2.3) thus holds.  $\square$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM  $\beta$ . Following the approach of [1], and using a standard elementary argument, we first establish that  $T_{2k}$  converges w.p.l to a limit  $T_{\infty}$ , by showing that

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ||\Delta_k|| < \infty,$$

where  $\Delta_k = S_{2^{k+1}} - S_{2^k}$  and  $||\Delta_k||$  denotes  $(E \Delta_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum ||\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}|| \le \left(\sum d_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}||\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}||^{2}\right)\left(\sum d_{\mathbf{k}}^{-2}\right)$$

for positive constants  $d_k$ . Choose  $d_k = k^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log k)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, applying Lemma 2.2 and (1.6a), we obtain for an appropriate constant C,

Next we establish that  $T_n$  converges w.p.1 to  $T_{\infty}$  , by showing that

$$\max_{2^{k} \leq n < 2^{k+1}} |T_n - T_{2^k}| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ w.p.1.}$$

This follows, by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem B, if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{\max_{2^{k} \leq n < 2^{k+1}} (T_{n} - T_{2^{k}})^{2}\right\} < \infty ,$$

which in turn is established by Lemma 2.2 and (1.4a), via

$$2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W(2^k) \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \lambda_n^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W(n) \lambda_n^2 < \infty . \quad \Box$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gapoškin, V. F. (1975). Convergence of series which correspond to stationary sequences. (In Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Mat. 39 1366-1392.
- [2] Kac, M., Salem, R. and Zygmund, A. (1948). A gap theorem. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 235-248.
- [3] Mensov, D. (1923). Sur les séries de fonctions orthogonales, I. Fund. Math. 4 82-105.
- [4] Móricz, F. (1976). Moment inequalities and the strong laws of large numbers. Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verw. Gebiete 35 299-314.
- [5] Móricz, F. (1977). The strong laws of large numbers for quasi-stationary sequences. Ibid. 38 223-236.
- [6] Rademacher, H. (1922). Einige Sätze über Reihen von allgemeinen Orthogonol-functionen. Math. Ann. 87 112-138.
- [7] Serfling, R. J. (1970a). Moment inequalities for the maximum cumulative sum. Ann. Math. Statist. 41 1227-1234.
- [8] Serfling, R. J. (1970b). Convergence properties of S under moment restrictions. Ann. Math. Statist. 41 1235-1248.

(14) FSU-STATISTICS-M430)

	UNCLASSIFIED	-123-0101		
SECU	RITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	The same of the sa		
1.	REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.			
1.	FSU No. M430 ONR No. 123	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
4.	TITLE	5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
6	ON THE STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS AND RELATED RESULTS FOR	9 Technical Report		
1	QUASI-STATIONARY SEQUENCES .	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER FSU Statistics Report M430		
1.	AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)		
(10)	R. J./Serfling	ONR NO. NOO014-76-C-0608		
9.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
	The Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, Florida 32306	13/13p./		
11.	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS	12 REPORT DATE		
	Office of Naval Research	August 77		
	Statistics & Probability Program Arlington, Virginia 22217	13. NUMBER OF PAGES		
	Allington, Vilginia 22217	9		
14.	MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (1f	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report)		
	different from Controlling Office)	Unclassified		
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDUL		
16.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this report)			
	Approved for public release; distribution	unlimited.		
17.				
18.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19.	KEY WORDS			
	Quasi-stationary random variables; Strong law of large numbers;			
	Almost sure convergence of infinite series.			
20.	ABSTRACT < X Sub 1>			
	Under second moment assumptions and w	eak dependence conditions on a sequence of		
	random variables (X,), Gaposkin (1975) has established almost sure convergence of the			
	series $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} X_{k}$ under certain restrictions on the rate of convergence to 0 of the			
	constants (ck). Similarly, Moricz (1977)			
	sure convergence to 0 of the sequence $\lambda_n$	1 X In the present paper, some extension		
	of these results are obtained.	da sub n) ( Sum from I to n of & subk)		
	( coll			

(< sub k)

sun from 1 to infinity of lambda sub k & sub k \$ 5 ub k \$ 277