

Remarque. Soit  $K$  un corps cubique de discriminant  $q^2$ , où  $q$  est premier, et de nombre de classes égal à  $p$ , avec  $p$  premier ( $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ). L'extension abélienne non ramifiée maximale  $N$  de  $K$  est cyclique de degré  $p$  sur  $K$ , et galoisienne non abélienne sur  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; soit alors  $L$  une extension intermédiaire de  $N/\mathbb{Q}$  de degré  $p$ . On voit facilement que l'idéal premier  $\mathfrak{q}$  au-dessus de  $q$  dans  $K$  se décompose dans  $N/K$ ; la considération des groupes de décomposition de ses diviseurs premiers dans  $N$  montre que

$\Delta_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = q^{\frac{2(p-1)}{3}}$ . Il en résulte que pour  $q = 313$ ,  $p = 7$ , nous avons obtenu une extension de degré 7 dont le discriminant, égal à  $313^4$ , s'intercale entre les discriminants  $43^6$  et  $7^{12}$  des extensions cycliques de degré 7,  $A_1$  non ramifiée en dehors de 43 et  $A_2$  non ramifiée en dehors de 7. Pour  $q = 1489$ , l'extension de degré 19 et de discriminant  $1489^{12}$  est de discriminant inférieur à celui de toute extension cyclique de degré 19 puisque le plus petit d'entre eux est  $191^{18}$ .

#### Bibliographie

- [1] A. Chatelet, *Arithmétique des corps abéliens du troisième degré*, Ann. ENS 63 (1946), p. 109-160.
- [2] Delone et Fadeev, *The theory of irrationalities of the third degree*, (AMS 1964).
- [3] G. Gras, *Sur le groupe des classes des extensions cycliques de degré 1 de  $\mathbb{Q}$* , Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Univ. de Grenoble, 1971-72.
- [4] H. Hasse, *Arithmetische Bestimmung von Grundeinheit und Klassenzahl in zyklischen kubischen und biquadratischen Zahlkörpern*, Abhandlungen der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1948, n° 2.
- [5] M. N. Gras-Montouchet, *Sur le nombre de classes du sous-corps cubique cyclique de  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$   $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$* , Proc. Japan. Academy 47 (6) (1971).
- [6] J. J. Payan, *Sur les classes ambiges et les ordres monogènes d'une extension cyclique de degré premier impair sur  $\mathbb{Q}$ , ou sur un corps quadratique imaginaire*, (à paraître).

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES  
St. Martin d'Hères, France

Reçu le 1. 4. 1972

(280)

## On the transcendence of certain power series of algebraic numbers

by

P. L. CLJSOUW (Amsterdam) and R. TIJDEMAN (Leiden)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\sigma(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{e_k}$  be a power series with complex coefficients  $a_k$ , convergence radius  $R > 0$  and sufficiently rapidly increasing integers  $e_k$ . H. Cohn, [2], constructed certain transcendental numbers using such gap series. He proved that under certain conditions  $\sigma(\theta)$  is transcendental for every algebraic argument  $\theta$  with  $0 < |\theta| < R$ , if the coefficients  $a_k$  of  $\sigma$  be rationals. Or, equivalently,  $\sigma(\theta)$  is algebraic with  $0 < |\theta| < R$ , implies that  $\theta$  is transcendental. Baron and Braune, [1], used the same method with the assumption that the coefficients  $a_k$  of  $\sigma$  are algebraic integers of degree  $s_k$ . They proved that  $\sigma(\theta)$  is transcendental for every algebraic  $\theta$  with  $0 < |\theta| < R$  under the following conditions:

$$(i) D^{-k} < |a_k| < D^k \text{ for some } D \geq 1 \text{ and all } k,$$

$$(ii) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k T_k^2 / e_{k+1} = 0, \text{ where } T_k = \prod_{i=0}^k s_i.$$

It was noted that these conditions imply  $R = 1$ .

In this paper we will improve and generalise the result of Baron and Braune using a more suitable auxiliary inequality. As a special case of a more general result we will prove the following property for gap series  $\sigma(\theta)$  with algebraic integral coefficients  $a_k$  of degree  $s_k$ :  $\sigma(\theta)$  is transcendental for algebraic  $\theta$  with  $0 < |\theta| < R$  under the conditions:

$$(i) |a_k| \leq D^{e_k} \text{ for some } D \geq 1 \text{ and all } k,$$

$$(ii) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k S_k / e_{k+1} = 0, \text{ where } S_k \text{ is the degree of the field obtained}$$

by adjoining  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  to the field of the rationals.

**2. Formulation of results.** We denote the conjugates of an algebraic number  $a$  of degree  $s$  by  $a^{(1)} = a, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(s)}$ . Further,  $|a| = \max_{i=1, \dots, s} |a^{(i)}|$ . We mention the inequalities  $|\overline{\alpha + \beta}| \leq |\overline{\alpha}| + |\overline{\beta}|$  and  $|\overline{\alpha\beta}| \leq |\overline{\alpha}| \cdot |\overline{\beta}|$ , for arbitrary algebraic numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The *height* of an algebraic number  $\alpha$  is defined as the maximum absolute value of the coefficients of its minimal

defining polynomial. For general information, see Schneider, [6], and Pollard, [5].

In the subsequent text  $a_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) are non-zero algebraic numbers of degree  $s_k$  and height  $h_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). We put  $A_k = \max_{i=0, \dots, k} |a_i|$ ;  $S_k$  will be the degree of  $\mathcal{Q}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k)$  over  $\mathcal{Q}$ , hence,  $S_k \leq \prod_{i=0}^k s_i$ . Further,  $M_k$  will be a positive integer such that  $M_k a_i$  is an algebraic integer,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ .

The sequence  $(e_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  will be an increasing sequence of integers, with  $e_0 \geq 0$ . We assume that the radius of convergence  $R$  of the power series  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^{e_k}$  is positive.

**THEOREM.** Suppose  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (e_k + \log M_k + \log A_k) S_k / e_{k+1} = 0$ . Then  $\sigma(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k \theta^{e_k}$  is transcendental for every algebraic  $\theta$  with  $0 < |\theta| < R$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** If the  $a_k$  are rational fractions  $p_k/q_k$ , we have  $S_k = 1$ ,  $A_k = \max_{i=0, \dots, k} |a_i|$  and we can choose  $M_k$  as the least common multiple of  $q_0, \dots, q_k$ . With this choice the theorem gives the result of Cohn, mentioned in the introduction.

**COROLLARY 2.** If all numbers  $a_k$  belong to a fixed algebraic field of degree  $S$ , we have  $S_k \leq S$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Now the condition in the theorem can be weakened to  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (e_k + \log M_k + \log A_k) / e_{k+1} = 0$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** In case of algebraic integers  $a_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) we can use  $M_k = 1$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ). If, moreover,  $|a_k| \leq D^{e_k}$  for some  $D \geq 1$  and all  $k$ , the estimate  $\log A_k \leq e_k \log D$  holds and the theorem reduces to:

$\sigma(\theta)$  is transcendental for all algebraic  $\theta$  with  $0 < |\theta| < R$  under the condition  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k S_k / e_{k+1} = 0$ .

3. We need the following lemmas:

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $\alpha$  be algebraic of degree  $s$  and height  $h$ . Suppose  $m$  is a positive integer such that  $m\alpha$  is an algebraic integer. Then

$$h \leq (2m \max(1, |\alpha|))^s.$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(z) = q_s z^s + \dots + q_1 z + q_0$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . From

$$Q(z) = q_s (z - \alpha^{(1)}) \dots (z - \alpha^{(s)})$$

we deduce that  $q_i/q_s$  ( $i = 0, \dots, s-1$ ) are apart from factors  $\pm 1$  the elementary symmetric polynomials in  $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(s)}$ , and thus

$$|q_i| \leq |q_s| \binom{s}{i} |\alpha|^i \leq |q_s| 2^s (\max(1, |\alpha|))^s.$$

Since  $m\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, there exists a polynomial

$$R(z) = (mz)^s + \dots + r_1(mz) + r_0$$

for which  $R(\alpha) = 0$ .

Hence,  $R$  is a multiple of  $Q$  and  $|q^s| \leq m^s$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $P(z) = p_N z^N + \dots + p_1 z + p_0$  be a polynomial with integral coefficients, of degree  $N \geq 1$  and height  $H$ ; let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number of degree  $s$  and height  $h$ . Then  $P(\alpha) = 0$  or

$$|P(\alpha)| \geq \{H^{s-1} h^N (N+1)^{s-1} (s+1)^N\}^{-1}.$$

**Proof.** See [3], Theorem 5.

**4. Proof of the theorem.** Suppose  $\theta$  is algebraic,  $0 < |\theta| < R$ . Let  $\theta$  be of degree  $n$  and suppose  $m$  is a positive integer such that  $m\theta$  is an algebraic integer. We put

$$\sigma_k(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \theta^{e_i}$$

and

$$r_k(\theta) = \sigma(\theta) - \sigma_k(\theta).$$

Now  $\sigma_k(\theta)$  is an algebraic number of degree  $s \leq n S_k$  and of height  $h$ , which can be estimated according to Lemma 1, since  $m^{e_k} M_k \sigma_k(\theta)$  is an algebraic integer. We then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} h &\leq \{2 m^{e_k} M_k \max(1, |\sigma_k(\theta)|)\}^s \\ &\leq \{2 m^{e_k} M_k (k+1) A_k (\max(1, |\theta|))^{e_k}\}^{n S_k} \\ &\leq \{2 M_k A_k\}^{n S_k} \{2 m \max(1, |\theta|)\}^{n e_k S_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $P$  be a fixed polynomial with integer coefficients, of degree  $N \geq 1$  and of height  $H$ . By the convergence of  $\sigma_k(\theta)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , the difference  $|\sigma_k(\theta) - \sigma_{k+1}(\theta)|$  will be smaller than the minimal distance of the zeros of  $P$  for  $k$  sufficiently large. Hence  $P(\sigma_k(\theta)) = 0$  implies  $P(\sigma_{k+1}(\theta)) \neq 0$  if  $k > K_1$ . Consequently there exists an infinite subsequence  $k_j$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ) with  $P(\sigma_{k_j}(\theta)) \neq 0$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Now from Lemma 2

$$|P(\sigma_{k_j}(\theta))| \geq \{(8 M_{k_j} A_{k_j})^{n S_{k_j} (N + \log H)} (2 m \max(1, |\theta|))^{N n e_{k_j} S_{k_j}}\}^{-1}$$

since  $N+1 \leq 2^N$  and  $n S_k + 1 \leq 2^{n S_k}$ . For fixed  $\sigma, \theta$  and  $P$  we thus obtain

$$|P(\sigma_{k_j}(\theta))| \geq e^{-c_1 S_{k_j} \log(8 M_{k_j} A_{k_j}) - c_2 e_{k_j} S_{k_j}},$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive numbers independent of  $j$ . We now estimate  $r_k(\theta)$  as follows. Choose  $\varrho$  with  $|\theta| < \varrho < R$ . Since  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/e_k} = R^{-1}$  one has for  $k > K_2$  the inequality  $|a_k| < \varrho^{-e_k}$ , and hence,

$$|r_k(\theta)| \leq \sum_{i=e_{k+1}}^\infty (|\theta| \varrho^{-1})^i \leq (|\theta| \varrho^{-1})^{e_{k+1}} (1 - |\theta| \varrho^{-1})^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$|P(\sigma(\theta)) - P(\sigma_{k_j}(\theta))| \leq c_3 \varrho (\varrho - |\theta|)^{-1} (|\theta| \varrho^{-1})^{c_4 k_j + 1} \leq e^{-c_4 e_{k_j} + 1}$$

if  $k > K_3$ , in which  $e_3$  is an upper bound for  $|P'(z)|$  on a bounded neighbourhood of  $\sigma(\theta)$ , and  $c_4 = \frac{1}{2} \log(\varrho |\theta|^{-1}) > 0$ . Combining this estimate with that of  $|P(\sigma_{k_j}(\theta))|$  we obtain for  $k_j > K_3$

$$|P(\sigma(\theta))| \geq e^{-c_1 S_{k_j} \log(8M_{k_j} A_{k_j}) - c_2 e_{k_j} S_{k_j}} - e^{-c_4 e_{k_j} + 1}.$$

From the condition in the theorem we know  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} e_{k_j} S_{k_j} / e_{k_j+1} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{k_j} \log M_{k_j} / e_{k_j+1} = 0$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{k_j} \log A_{k_j} / e_{k_j+1} = 0$ . Hence, we can choose  $j$  such that  $k_j > K_3$  and that

$$c_1 S_{k_j} \log(8M_{k_j} A_{k_j}) + c_2 e_{k_j} S_{k_j} < c_4 e_{k_j+1}.$$

It has now been shown that  $P(\sigma(\theta)) \neq 0$ . Since  $P$  is chosen arbitrarily, the theorem is proved.

**5. Remarks.** (i) Concerning the  $\overline{|a_k|}$  the conditions in the theorem and its corollaries can often be checked by the use of the well-known inequality  $\overline{|a_k|} \leq h_k + 1$  (see Schneider, [6], p. 5). Further, suppose  $m_k$  is the first coefficient of the minimal polynomial of  $a_k$ . Then  $m_k a_k$  is an algebraic integer; hence,  $M_k$  can be chosen as the least common multiple of  $m_0, \dots, m_k$ . From the inequality  $m_k \leq h_k$ ,  $M_k$  will now be at most  $h_0 \dots h_k$ .

(ii) Within the condition of the theorem  $R = 0$  and  $R = \infty$  are possible; e.g. for  $e_k = 2^{2^k}$ ,  $a_k = 2^{2^{2^k+1}}$  and  $2^{-2^{2^k+1}}$  respectively.

(iii) In the case of Corollary 3 we have  $R \geq D^{-1}$ , for the condition  $\overline{|a_k|} \leq D^{e_k}$  implies  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/e_k} \leq D$ .

The possibility exists that  $R = D^{-1}$  (take  $e_k = k!$ ,  $a_k = 2^{k!}$ ). An upper bound for  $R$  cannot be given, since arbitrary high values of  $R$  can be constructed.

(iv) When  $R$  is finite,  $\sigma(\theta)$  need not be transcendental for  $\theta$  algebraic with  $|\theta| = R$ . For instance, take  $e_k = k!$ ,  $a_k = 2^{k! - k}$ ; then  $R = \frac{1}{2}$  and, using  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain  $\sigma(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2$ .

(v) The main condition in the theorem can be relaxed to an analogon of a condition introduced by Mahler in [4].

(vi) It is easy to derive a transcendence measure for the number  $\sigma(\theta)$  if  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  are explicitly given and satisfy the conditions of the theorem. The principal estimates in this paper can be used for establishing transcendence measures.

## References

- [1] G. Baron and E. Braune, *Zur Transzendenz von Lückenreihen mit ganzalgebraischen Koeffizienten und algebraischem Argument*, Comp. Math. 22 (1970), pp. 1-6.
- [2] H. Cohn, *Note on almost-algebraic numbers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), pp. 1042-1045.
- [3] R. Gütting, *Approximation of algebraic numbers by algebraic numbers*, Mich. Math. J. 8 (1961), pp. 149-159.
- [4] K. Mahler, *Arithmetic properties of lacunary power series with integral coefficients*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 5 (1965), pp. 55-64.
- [5] H. Pollard, *The theory of algebraic numbers*, 1950.
- [6] Th. Schneider, *Einführung in die Transzendenten Zahlen*, 1957.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM  
UNIVERSITY OF LEIDEN  
Netherlands

Received on 8. 4. 1972

(273)