Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Demeter Krupka; Věra Mikolášová On the uniqueness of some differential invariants: d, [,], ∇

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 4, 588-597

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101984

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

ON THE UNIQUENESS OF SOME DIFFERENTIAL INVARIANTS: d, [,], ∇

Demeter Krupka, Věra Mikolášová, Brno (Received December 5, 1982)

1. Introduction. It is known that Nijenhuis's theory of natural bundles [8], [10], [12] allows us to characterize differential-geometrical (= invariant) operations and mappings as natural transformations of some liftings, or equivalently, as certain differential invariants in the sense of [6]. Moreover, this theory, combined with the prolongation theory of liftings [4], [5], is an important tool for computation of differential-geometrical operations — either by "infinitesimal", or "direct" methods (see e.g. [7], [9]).

The purpose of this paper is to apply Nijenhuis's theory to the problem of uniqueness of the exterior derivative of forms, the Lie bracket of vector fields, and the Levi-Civita connection of metric fields.

As a motivation, consider the exterior derivative $\omega \to d\omega$ of forms on a differential manifold X. It is known that the exterior derivative may be defined by various properties. For example (see [1]), one may require (1) if $\omega = f$ is a function, then df is the differential of f, (2) the domain of definition of $d\omega$ coincides with the domain of definition of ω , (3) the mapping $\omega \to d\omega$ is R-linear, (4) for each p-form ω and each q-form η , $d(\omega \wedge \eta) = d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^p \omega \wedge d\eta$, and (5) $d(d\omega) = 0$ for each ω . There is also another definition of d (see [11]), based on its behaviour under mappings: d is the unique R-linear mapping such that for each local diffeomorphism of X,

$$(1.1) d\alpha^*\omega = \alpha^* d\omega.$$

Let Λ^pTX be the bundle of p-forms over X, $j^r\Lambda^pTX$ its r-jet prolongation, \mathcal{D}_n the category of smooth n-dimensional manifolds and their embeddings, \mathcal{D}_X its subcategory of morphisms $\alpha\colon U\to V$, where $U,V\subset X$, and $\mathcal{FB}(\Lambda^pTX)$ the category of local bundle homomorphisms of Λ^pTX whose projections are morphisms of \mathcal{D}_X . If $\tau_p\colon \mathcal{D}_X\to \mathcal{FB}(\Lambda^pTX)$ denotes the natural lifting and $j^1\tau_p\colon \mathcal{D}_X\to \mathcal{FB}(j^1\Lambda^pTX)$ its 1-jet prolongation, then d may be regarded as a natural transformation of $j^1\tau_p$ to τ_{p+1} or, which is equivalent, a differential invariant $d_0\colon T_n^1\Lambda^pR^n\to \Lambda^{p+1}R^n$, where

 $T_n^1 \Lambda^p R^n \left(\Lambda^{p+1} R^n \right)$ is the type fiber of $j^1 \Lambda^p TX \left(\Lambda^{p+1} TX \right)$, respectively; the differential invariant d_0 obtained in this way is a linear mapping. Thus the problem of uniqueness consists in the uniqueness of the differential invariant d_0 or, if we allow higher order liftings, in the uniqueness of d_0 : $T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n \to \Lambda^{p+1} R^n$, where $r \ge 1$ is arbitrary.

The problem of uniqueness of the Lie bracket and the Levi-Civita connection may analogously be formulated in terms of appropriate liftings, their natural transformations, and the corresponding differential invariants. The methods we use are, however, more general, and should be compared with [11] and, in the case of the Levi-Civita connection, with [3], [13].

2. Basic geometrical structures. Throughout this paper, R denotes the field of real numbers, R^n the real, n-dimensional Euclidean space. If R^n is considered with its natural vector-space structure, its dual vector space is denoted by R^{n*} . X denotes a smooth n-dimensional differential manifold. Our notations given below follow [5], [7].

Recall that the *rth* differential group L_n of R^n is the group of invertible r-jets with source and target at the origin $0 \in R^n$. Let K_n^r denote the kernel of the canonical projection $L_n \to L_n^1$, and let $\iota_r \colon L_n^1 \to L_n$ be the canonical homomorphism of the Lie groups. It is known that K_n^r is a nilpotent normal subgroup of L_n , diffeomorphic with some Euclidean space, and that $L_n^r = L_n^1 \times_s K_n^r$ (= semi-direct product).

The canonical (global) coordinates $b_{j_1}^i, b_{j_2}^i, ..., b_{j_1...j_r}^i, 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j_1 \le ...$ $... \le j_r \le n$, on L_n are defined as follows. Let $j_0^r \alpha \in L_n$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ is a local diffeomorphism of R^n , let $\alpha^{-1} = (\alpha_1^{-1}, \alpha_2^{-1}, ..., \alpha_n^{-1})$ be the inverse diffeomorphism. We set

$$(2.1) b_{j_1}^i(j_0^r\alpha) = D_{j_1}\alpha_i^{-1}(0), \ldots, b_{j_1\ldots j_r}^i(j_0^r\alpha) = D_{j_1}\ldots D_{j_r}\alpha_i^{-1}(0),$$

where D_j is the partial derivative operator with respect to the *j*-th variable in R^n . We define $a_i^i(j_0^r\alpha) = b_i^i(j_0^r\alpha^{-1})$; then $a_p^ib_p^p = \delta_i^i$ (= the Kronecker symbol).

We denote by TX the tangent bundle of X, by Λ^pTX ($j^r\Lambda^pTX$) the bundle of p-forms (the r-jet prolongation of the bundle of p-forms, respectively) over X. The type fiber of Λ^pTX ($j^r\Lambda^pTX$) is denoted by Λ^pR^n ($T_n^r\Lambda^pR^n$, respectively), and the canonical coordinates on Λ^pR^n ($T_n^r\Lambda^pR^n$) are denoted by $\omega_{i_1i_2...i_p}$, $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < < i_p \le n$ ($\omega_{i_1i_2...i_p}$, $\omega_{i_1i_2...i_p,k_1}$, ..., $\omega_{i_1i_2...i_p,k_1k_2...k_r}$, $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_p \le n$, $1 \le k_1 \le k_2 \le ... \le k_r \le n$, respectively). Λ^pR^n ($T_n^r\Lambda^pR^n$) has a natural structure of an L_n^1 -module (L_n^{r+1} -module, respectively).

Put

$$(2.2) Q = R^n \otimes (R^{n*} \odot R^{n*}),$$

where \odot denotes the symmetric tensor product, and denote by Γ^i_{jk} , $1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le k \le n$, the canonical coordinates on Q. Q may be endowed with the structure of an L^2_n -module as follows. For each $(j^0_0\alpha, q) \in L^2_n \times Q$ we set

(2.3)
$$\Gamma_{ik}^{i}(j_{0}^{2}\alpha \cdot q) = a_{p}^{i}(j_{0}^{2}\alpha) \left(b_{i}^{q}(j_{0}^{2}\alpha) b_{k}^{r}(j_{0}^{2}\alpha) \Gamma_{ar}^{p}(q) + b_{ik}^{p}(j_{0}^{2}\alpha) \right)$$

or, with the obvious meaning of the symbols,

(2.4)
$$\Gamma^i_{jk} = a^i_p (b^q_j b^r_k \Gamma^p_{qr} + b^p_{jk}).$$

The mapping $(j_0^2\alpha, q) \rightarrow j_0^2\alpha$. q introduced by either of these formulas, defines a left action of L_n^2 on Q.

Consider the r-jet prolongation T_n^rQ of Q, i.e. the set of r-jets with source $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and target in Q, with its natural manifold structure. Let Γ^i_{jk} , Γ^i_{jk,m_1} , ..., $\Gamma^i_{jk,m_1...m_r}$, $1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le k \le n$, $1 \le m_1 \le m_2 \le ... \le m_r \le n$, be the canonical coordinates on T_n^rQ . Recall that for each p = 1, 2, ..., r,

(2.5)
$$\Gamma^{i}_{jk,m_1...m_p}(j_0^rq) = D_{m_1} \dots D_{m_p}(\Gamma^{i}_{jk}q) (0).$$

The L_n^{+1} -module structure of T_n^rQ may be easily described by formal differentiation of (2.4). We obtain

(2.6)
$$\Gamma^{i}_{jk,m} = a^{i}_{s}a^{r}_{p}b^{s}_{tm}(b^{q}_{j}b^{r}_{k}\Gamma^{p}_{qr} + b^{p}_{jk}) +$$

$$+ a^{i}_{p}((b^{q}_{jm}b^{r}_{k} + b^{q}_{j}b^{r}_{km})\Gamma^{p}_{qr} + b^{q}_{j}b^{r}_{k}b^{r}_{qr,t} + b^{p}_{jkm}),$$

etc.

3. The uniqueness of exterior derivative. The fact that the exterior derivative as defined above (see (1.1)) is unique was proved by Palais [11]. Our proof is based on a generalization of the following lemma proved in [7]: The left L_n^{+2} -manifold T_n^rQ has the structure of a left principal K_n^{r+2} -bundle. This left principal K_n^{r+2} -bundle is trivial, and its base is diffeomorphic with some Euclidean space. The canonical projection $\varrho_r\colon T_n^rQ\to T_n^rQ/K_n^{r+2}$ is equivariant in the sense that for each $j_0^{r+2}\alpha\in L_n^{r+2}$, $j_0^rQ\in T_n^rQ$,

(3.1)
$$\varrho_r(j_0^{r+2}\alpha \cdot j_0^r q) = j_0^1\alpha \cdot \varrho_r(j_0^r q).$$

Let us reformulate this lemma for spaces arising by prolongations of tensor bundles. Let E be the space of tensors of type (p, q) on R^n , let $t_{j_1...j_q}^{i_1...i_p}$ be the canonical coordinates on E. Consider the product $T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r E$ $(T_n^r E = the space of r-jets with source <math>0 \in R^n$ and target in E), endowed with the natural structure of an L_n^{r+1} -manifold.

Lemma. The left L_n^{r+1} -manifold $T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r E$ has the structure of a left principal K_n^{r+1} -bundle. This left principal K_n^{r+2} -bundle is trivial, and its base is diffeomorphic with some Euclidean space. The canonical projection $\pi_i\colon T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^r E\to (T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^r E)/K_n^{r+1}$ is equivariant in the sense that for each $j_0^{r+1}\alpha\in L_n^{r+1}$, $(j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\xi)\in T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^r E$,

(3.2)
$$\pi_r(j_0^{r+1}\alpha \cdot (j_0^{r-1}q, j_0^r\xi)) = j_0^1\alpha \cdot \pi_r(j_0^{r-1}q, j_0^r\xi).$$

Proof. Following [7] denote by R_{jkm}^i the components of the formal curvature tensor on $T_n^r Q$, by $R_{jkm;s_1}^i$ ($R_{jkm;s_1;s_2}^i$) the comonents of its first (second, respectively)

formal covariant derivative, etc. Put

$$\Gamma^{i}_{jkm_1...m_s} = \Gamma^{i}_{(jk,m_1...m_s)},$$

where $(jk, m_1 \dots m_s)$ means the symmetrization of (2.5), and denote by $t_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p}$, $t_{j_1 \dots j_q; m_1}^{i_1 \dots i_p}, \dots, t_{j_1 \dots j_q; m_1, \dots, m_r}^{i_1 \dots i_p}$ the formal covariant derivatives of $t_{j_1 \dots j_q}^{i_1 \dots i_p}$. For example,

(3.4)
$$t_{j_{1}...j_{q}:m}^{i_{1}...i_{p}} = t_{j_{1}...j_{q},m}^{i_{1}...i_{p}} + \Gamma_{sm}^{i_{1}} t_{j_{1}...j_{q}}^{si_{2}...i_{p}} + ... + \Gamma_{sm}^{i_{p}} t_{j_{1}...j_{q}}^{i_{1}...i_{p-1}s} - \Gamma_{mj_{1}}^{s} t_{sj_{2}...j_{q}}^{i_{1}...i_{p}} - \Gamma_{mj_{q}}^{s} t_{j_{1}...j_{q-1}s}^{s}.$$

Then the system of functions $\Gamma^i_{j_1j_2}, \ldots, \Gamma^i_{j_1\ldots j_{r+1}}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \ldots \leq j_{r+1} \leq n, R^i_{jkl}, R^i_{jkl;m_1}, \ldots, R^i_{jkl;m_1;\ldots;m_{r-2}}, t^{i_1\ldots i_p}_{j_1\ldots j_q}, t^{i_1\ldots i_p}_{j_1\ldots j_q;m_1}, \ldots, t^{i_1\ldots i_p}_{j_1\ldots j_q;m_1;\ldots;m_r}$ contains a subsystem defining a global chart on $T^{r-1}_nQ \times T^r_nE$. The coordinate functions belonging to this chart will be referred to as the adapted coordinates. In terms of this chart, the action of L^{r-1}_n on $T^{r-1}_nQ \times T^r_nE$ is expressed by the formulas

(3.5)
$$\overline{\Gamma}_{m_{1}...m_{s+2}}^{i} = \Gamma_{m_{1}...m_{s+2}}^{i} + s_{m_{1}...m_{s+2}}^{i} + b_{m_{1}...m_{s+2}}^{i},$$

$$\overline{R}_{jkl;m_{1};...;m_{s}}^{i} = R_{jkl;m_{1};...;m_{s}}^{i}, \quad \overline{t}_{j_{1}...j_{a};m_{1};...;m_{s}}^{i_{1}...i_{p}} = t_{j_{1}...j_{a};m_{1};...;m_{s}}^{i_{1}...i_{p}},$$

where $s_{m_1...m_{s+2}}^i$ is a polynomial in the canonical coordinates on K_n^{s+1} and in the adapted coordinates on $T_n^{s-1}Q$. Consequently, the K_n^{r+1} -orbits in $T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r E$ are defined by the equations

(3.6)
$$R_{jkl}^{i} = a_{jkl}^{i}, \dots, R_{jkl;m_{1};\dots;m_{r-2}}^{i} = a_{jklm_{1}\dots m_{r-2}}^{i},$$

$$t_{j_{1}\dots l_{g}}^{i_{1}\dots i_{p}} = b_{j_{1}\dots l_{g}}^{i_{1}\dots i_{p}}, \dots, t_{j_{1}\dots j_{g};m_{1};\dots;m_{r}}^{i_{1}\dots i_{p}} = b_{j_{1}\dots j_{g};m_{1},\dots;m_{r}}^{i_{1}\dots i_{p}},$$

with arbitrary constants on the right-hand sides.

To prove the lemma it is sufficient to show that the equivalence relation "there exists $j_0^{r+1}\alpha\in E_n^{r+1}$ such that $(j_0^{r-1}q_1,j_0^r\xi_1)=j_0^{r+1}\alpha\cdot(j_0^{r-1}q_2,j_0^{r-1}\xi_2)$ " is a closed submanifold of the product $(T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^rE)\times(T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^rE)$, and that the action of K_n^{r+1} on $T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^rE$ is free. In the adapted coordinates on the first and the second factor, this eequivalence has the equations $R_{jkl}^i=\overline{R}_{jkl,\dots,r}^i$, $R_{jkl,m_1,\dots,m_{r-2}}^i=\overline{R}_{jkl,m_1,\dots,m_{r-2}}^i$, $t_{j_1,\dots,j_q}^{i_1,\dots i_p}=\overline{t}_{j_1,\dots j_q}^{i_1,\dots i_p}$, $t_{j_1,\dots j_q}^{i_1,\dots i_p}=\overline{t}_{j_1,\dots j_q,m_1,\dots,m_r}^i$ and is therefore closed. Equations (3.5) imply that K_n^{r+1} acts freely on $R_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^rE$. The remaining assertions are verified in the same manner as in [7].

We are now in position to prove the uniqueness of the exterior derivative. To this purpose we put $E = \Lambda^p R^n$ in the lemma, and denote by $\omega_{j_1 \cdots j_r}$ the canonical coordinates on $\Lambda^p R^n$.

Theorem 1. There exists a unique, up to a multiplicative constant factor, linear differential invariant from $T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n$ to $\Lambda^{p+1} R^n$. In canonical coordinates, this differential invariant is expressed by the equations

(3.7)
$$\omega_{j_1\cdots j_p j_{p+1}} = \omega_{[j_1\cdots j_p, j_{p+1}]},$$

where $[j_1 \dots j_p, j_{p+1}]$ means antisymmetrization.

Proof. 1) Existence. Using the structure of an L_n^{+1} -manifold on $T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n$ one directly verifies that (3.7) defines an L_n^{+1} -equivariant mapping from $T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n$ to $\Lambda^{p+1} R^n$

2) Uniqueness. Let $d_r: T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n \to \Lambda^{p+1} R^n$ be a linear differential invariant. Consider the product $T_n^{r-1} Q \times T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n$ together with its structure of a principal K_n^{r+1} -bundle. We have the diagram

$$(3.8) T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n \xrightarrow{\operatorname{proj}} T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n \xrightarrow{d_r} \Lambda^{p+1} R^n$$

$$\downarrow \pi_r \qquad \qquad \downarrow d_{r,0}$$

$$\downarrow (T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r \Lambda^p R^n)/K_n^{r+1} - \dots$$

where proj denotes the projection onto the second factor. Since the mapping $d_r \circ \text{proj}$ is K_n^{r+1} -equivariant, it can be factored through the projection π_r . Denote by $\left[j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\omega\right]$ the equivalence class of a pair $\left(j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\omega\right) \in T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r\Lambda^pR^n$. Then by definition

(3.9)
$$d_{r,0}([j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\omega]) = d_r(j_0^r\omega).$$

Since d_r is linear, we have for each $j_0^{r-1}q$, $j_0^r\omega_1$, $j_0^r\omega_2$

$$(3.10) \ d_{r,0}(\left[j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\omega_1+j_0^r\omega_2\right])=d_{r,0}(\left[j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\omega_1\right])+d_{r,0}(\left[j_0^{r-1}q,j_0^r\omega_2\right]).$$

In coordinates, $d_{r,0}$ is a mapping $(R^i_{jkl}, \dots, R^i_{jkl;...;m_{r-2}}, \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p}, \dots, \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p;m_1;...;m_r}) \to (\omega_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}}(R^i_{jkl}, \dots, R^i_{jkl;m_1;...;m_{r-2}}, \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p}, \dots, \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p;m_1;...;m_r}))$, and (3.10) means that for each fixed $R^i_{jkl}, \dots, R^i_{jkl;m_1;...;m_{r-2}}, \omega_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}}$ depends linearly on $\omega_{i_1 \dots i_p}, \dots$..., $\omega_{i_1 \dots i_p;m_1;...;m_r}$. Hence

$$(3.11) \qquad \overline{\omega}_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}} = A^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p} + \dots + A^{i_1 \dots i_p; m_1; \dots; m_r}_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p; m_1; \dots; m_r}$$

where the coefficients depend on $R^i_{jkl}, \ldots, R^i_{jkl;m_1;\ldots;m_{r-2}}$. Since $\omega_{j_1\cdots j_{p+1}}$ is an L^1_n -equivariant mapping and $\omega_{i_1\cdots i_r}, \ldots, \omega_{i_1\cdots i_p;m_1;\cdots;m_r}$ are tensors, the coefficients in (3.11) must also be tensors: take for example $\omega_{i_1\cdots i_p;m_1} = 0, \ldots, \omega_{i_1\cdots i_p;m_1;\cdots;m_r} = 0$; then after a transformation $j^1_0\alpha \in L^1_n$,

$$(3.12) \quad \overline{\omega}_{j_{1}\dots j_{p+1}} = b_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}} \dots b_{j_{p+1}}^{k_{p+1}} \omega_{k_{1}\dots k_{p+1}} = b_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}} \dots b_{j_{p+1}}^{k_{p+1}} A_{k_{1}\dots k_{p+1}}^{i_{1}\dots i_{p}} \omega_{i_{1}\dots i_{p}} = \overline{A}_{j_{1}\dots j_{p+1}}^{i_{1}\dots i_{p}} b_{i_{1}}^{i_{1}} \dots b_{i_{p}}^{i_{p}} \omega_{i_{1}\dots i_{p}}$$

which is possible if and only if

$$\bar{A}_{j_1...j_{p+1}}^{s_1...s_p} = a_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots a_{i_p}^{s_p} b_{j_1}^{k_1} \dots b_{j_{p+1}}^{k_{p+1}} A_{\kappa_1...k_{p+1}}^{k_1...k_p}.$$

We now apply the condition that the composed mapping $d_r \circ \text{proj} = d_{r,0} \circ \pi_r$ should be independent of $j_0^{r-1}q$. In coordinates this means that the expression (3.11)

rewritten in the canonical coordinates on $T_u^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r A^r R^n$, does not depend on $\Gamma^i_{jk}, \ldots, \Gamma^i_{jk,m_1,\ldots m_{r-1}}$. Applying the formulas for the formal covariant derivatives analogous to (3.4) one easily obtains that $A^{i_1...i_p;m_1;...;m_r}_{j_1...j_{p+1}}$ (= the coefficient at $\omega_{i_1...i_p,m_1...m_r}$) is independent of $\Gamma^i_{jk}, \ldots, \Gamma^i_{jk,m_1,\ldots m_{r-1}}$ (unless r=1); the classical invariant theory then says, however, that $A^{i_1...i_p;m_1;...;m_r}_{j_1...j_{p+1}} = 0$ (see e.g. [2]). Repeating this argument we obtain

(3.14)
$$A_{j_1...j_{p+1}}^{i_1...i_p} = 0, ..., A_{j_1...j_{p+1}}^{i_1...i_p; m_1; m_2} = 0.$$

Hence

(3.15)
$$\omega_{j_{1}\dots j_{p+1}} = A^{i_{1}\dots i_{p};m}_{j_{1}\dots j_{p+1}} \omega_{i_{1}\dots i_{p};m} = A^{i_{1}\dots i_{p};m}_{j_{1}\dots j_{p+1}} (\omega_{i_{1}\dots i_{p},m} - \Gamma^{s}_{i_{1}m}\omega_{si_{1}\dots i_{p}} - \Gamma^{s}_{i_{n}m}\omega_{i_{1}\dots i_{p-1}s}).$$

Hence $A_{j_1...j_{p+1}}^{i_1...i_p;m}$ is a constant tensor. Since it is antisymmetric in the subscripts, it must be a multiple of the permutation tensor $\varepsilon_{j_1...j_{p+1}}^{i_1...i_p m}$, and we have

$$\omega_{j_1 \dots j_{p+1}} = c \cdot \omega_{[j_1 \dots j_p; j_{p+1}]}$$

This completes the proof.

4. The uniqueness of the Lie bracket. Using the notation of the fundamental lemma of Section 3, put $E=R^n\times R^n$, and examine the bilinear differential invariants from $T_n^r(R^n\times R^n)$ to R^n . The canonical coordinates on $T_n^r(R^n\times R^n)$ (on R^n) are denoted by $\xi^i, \zeta^i, \xi^i_{j_1}, \zeta^i_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi^i_{j_1,\ldots j_r}, \zeta^i_{j_1,\ldots j_r}$ (λ^i , respectively). As before, we shall also consider the left principal K_n^{r+1} -bundle $\pi_r\colon T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^r(R^n\times R^n)\to (T_n^{r-1}Q\times T_n^r(R^n\times R^n))/K_n^{r+1}$ and denote by $\xi^i, \zeta^i, \xi^i_{j_1}, \zeta^i_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi^i_{j_1,\ldots,j_r}, \zeta^i_{j_1,\ldots,j_r}$ the adapted coordinates on the total space of this bundle.

Theorem 2. There exists a unique, up to a multiplicative constant, bilinear differential invariant from $T_n^r(R^n \times R^n)$ to R^n . In canonical coordinates, this differential invariant is expressed by the equations

$$\lambda^i = \xi^k \zeta_k^i - \zeta^k \xi_k^i.$$

Proof. 1) Existence. The mapping $(j_0^r\xi, j_0^r\zeta) \to \lambda(j_0^r\xi, j_0^r\zeta)$ defined by (4.1) has all the properties required.

2) Uniqueness. Let $\lambda_r: T_n^r(R^n \times R^n) \to R^n$ be a bilinear differential invariant. In the diagram

$$(4.2) T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r(R^n \times R^n) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{proj}} T_n^r(R^n \times R^n) \xrightarrow{\lambda_r} R^n$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi_r} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\lambda_{r,0}}$$

$$(T_n^{r-1}Q \times T_n^r(R^n \times R^n))/K_n^{r+1} - \cdots - \cdots$$

the mapping $\lambda_r \circ$ proj can be factored through π_r . Hence λ_r is, in the sense of the classical invariant theory, a vector (= element of R^n endowed with the standard action of L^1_n) depending on the tensors $R^i_{jkl}, \ldots, R^i_{jkl;m_1;\ldots;m_{r-2}}, \xi^i, \zeta^i, \ldots, \xi^i_{j_1;\ldots;j_r}, \zeta^i_{j_1;\ldots;j_r}$; by the bilinearity assumption, the components λ^k of λ_r are linear combinations of tensors $\xi^i, \zeta^j, \xi^i \zeta^i_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi^i \zeta^j_{j_1;\ldots;l_r}, \ldots, \xi^i_{j_3;\ldots;s_r} \zeta^j_{j_1;\ldots;l_r}$, whose coefficients are constant tensors. Therefore the only non-vanishing coefficients are at the tensors $\xi^i \zeta^j_{j_1}, \xi^j_{j_1} \zeta^i$, and λ^k must have the form

$$(4.3) \qquad \lambda^{k} = A_{ij}^{kl} \xi^{i} \zeta^{j}_{:l} + B_{ij}^{kl} \zeta^{i} \xi^{j}_{:l} = A_{ij}^{kl} \xi^{i} (\zeta^{j}_{l} + \Gamma^{j}_{lm} \zeta^{m}) + B_{ij}^{kl} \zeta^{i} (\xi^{j}_{l} + \Gamma^{j}_{lm} \zeta^{m}).$$

Since λ^k does not depend on $\Gamma^i_{ik}, \ldots, \Gamma^i_{ik,l_1,\ldots l_{r-1}}$, we have

$$(4.4) A_{ij}^{kl} \delta_p^i \delta_q^m + B_{ij}^{kl} \delta_q^i \delta_p^m + A_{ij}^{km} \delta_p^i \delta_q^l + B_{ij}^{km} \delta_q^i \delta_p^l = 0.$$

But

$$(4.5) A_{ij}^{kl} = a\delta_i^k \delta_j^l + b\delta_j^k \delta_i^l, B_{ij}^{kl} = a'\delta_i^k \delta_j^l + b'\delta_j^k \delta_i^l$$

for some $a, b, a', b' \in R$ so that

$$(4.6) \qquad (a\delta_{i}^{k}\delta_{j}^{l} + b\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{i}^{l})\,\delta_{p}^{i}\delta_{q}^{m} + (a'\delta_{i}^{k}\delta_{j}^{l} + b'\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{i}^{l})\,\delta_{q}^{i}\delta_{p}^{m} + \\ + (a\delta_{i}^{k}\delta_{j}^{m} + b\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{i}^{m})\,\delta_{p}^{i}\delta_{q}^{l} + (a'\delta_{i}^{k}\delta_{j}^{m} + b'\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{i}^{m})\,\delta_{q}^{i}\delta_{p}^{l} = \\ = a(\delta_{j}^{l}\delta_{p}^{k}\delta_{q}^{m} + \delta_{p}^{k}\delta_{j}^{m}\delta_{q}^{l}) + b(\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{p}^{l}\delta_{p}^{m} + \delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{p}^{m}\delta_{q}^{l}) + \\ + a'(\delta_{q}^{k}\delta_{j}^{l}\delta_{p}^{m} + \delta_{q}^{k}\delta_{j}^{m}\delta_{p}^{l}) + b'(\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{q}^{l}\delta_{p}^{m} + \delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{q}^{m}\delta_{p}^{l}) = \\ = (b + b')(\delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{p}^{l}\delta_{q}^{m} + \delta_{j}^{k}\delta_{p}^{m}\delta_{q}^{l}) + a\delta_{p}^{k}(\delta_{j}^{l}\delta_{q}^{m} + \delta_{j}^{m}\delta_{q}^{l}) + a'\delta_{q}^{k}(\delta_{j}^{l}\delta_{p}^{m} + \delta_{j}^{m}\delta_{p}^{l}) = 0.$$

If n = 1, then (4.3) is rewritten in the form $\lambda = A\xi(\zeta' + \Gamma\zeta) + B\zeta(\xi' + \Gamma\zeta) = A\xi\zeta' + B\zeta\xi' + (A+B)\Gamma\xi\zeta$, and the independence of Γ means that A+B=0, i.e., λ_r is of the form (4.1). Let n > 1. Take for example j = k = 1, p = q = m = l = 2. Then (4.6) gives

$$(4.7) b + b' = 0.$$

Similarly take k = p = 1, l = j = m = q = 2. In this way we get

$$(4.8) a = 0, \quad a' = 0.$$

Returing back to (4.3) we get

$$(4.9) \qquad \lambda^k = A^{kl}_{ij} \zeta^i \zeta^j_l + B^{kl}_{ij} \zeta^i \zeta^j_l = b \delta^k_i \delta^l_i \zeta^i \zeta^j_l + b' \delta^k_i \delta^l_i \zeta^i \zeta^j_l = b (\zeta^l \zeta^k_l - \zeta^l \zeta^k_l).$$

This completes the proof.

5. The uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection. Let us consider the space $E = R^{n*} \odot R^{n*}$ of tensors of type (0, 2) on R^n and denote by g_{ij} , $1 \le i \le j \le n$, the

canonical coordinates on E. Let $E_0 = E \setminus \{g \in E \mid \det(g_{ij}(g)) = 0\}$ be the subset of regular tensors; E_0 is endowed with the natural structure of an L_n^1 -manifold. It is of great interest to know all differential invariants from L_n^{k+1} -invariant open subsets of $T_n^r E_0$ to Q which correspond in a well-known manner to linear connections which are geometric objects (= concominants) of the metric tensor and its derivatives of order $\leq r$.

An example of a connection of this type is the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , for which r = 1. For r > 1, however, some other examples may be given which differ from the Levi-Civita connection. For this reason we shall consider the problem of uniqueness only for r = 1. We note that the problem of uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection has been stated and solved in a different way in $\lceil 3 \rceil$ and $\lceil 13 \rceil$.

Let g_{ij} , $g_{ij,k}$ be the canonical coordinates on $T_n^r E_0$, and let (g^{ij}) denote the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) . As before, let Γ_{ik}^i be the canonical coordinates on Q.

Theorem 3. There exists a unique differential invariant from $T_n^1 E_0$ to Q. In canonical coordinates, this differential invariant is expressed by the equations

(5.1)
$$\Gamma_{jk}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}g^{im}(g_{mj,k} + g_{mk,j} - g_{jk,m}).$$

Proof. The action of the group L_n^2 on $T_n^1 E_0$ and Q is expressed by

(5.2)
$$\bar{g}_{ij} = b_i^p b_j^q g_{pq} ,$$

$$\bar{g}_{ij,m} = (b_{im}^p b_j^q + b_i^p b_{jm}^q) g_{pq} + b_i^p b_j^q b_m^l g_{pq,l}$$

and by (2.4). The fundamental vector fields on $T_n^1 E_0$ relative to this action, are

(5.3)
$$\xi_{p}^{q} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{ij}}{\partial b_{q}^{p}}\right)_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij}} + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{ij,m}}{\partial b_{q}^{p}}\right)_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij,m}} =$$

$$= \left(\delta_{i}^{q} g_{pj} + \delta_{j}^{q} g_{ip}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij}} + \left(\delta_{i}^{q} g_{pj,m} + \delta_{j}^{q} g_{ip,m} + \delta_{m}^{q} g_{ij,p}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij,m}},$$

$$\xi_{p}^{qr} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{ij,m}}{\partial b_{qr}^{p}}\right)_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ij,m}} = g_{ip} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{iq,r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{ir,q}}\right),$$

and the fundamental vector fields on Q relative to (2.4), are

(5.4)
$$\Xi_{p}^{q} = \left(\frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^{i}}{\partial b_{q}^{p}}\right)_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}} = \left(-\delta_{p}^{i} \Gamma_{jk}^{q} + \delta_{j}^{q} \Gamma_{pk}^{i} + \delta_{k}^{q} \Gamma_{jp}^{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}},$$
$$\Xi_{p}^{ar} = \left(\frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^{i}}{\partial b_{qr}^{p}}\right)_{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{qr}^{p}},$$

where e denotes j_0^2 id (= the identity of L_n^2). Hence each L_n^2 -equivariant mapping

 $(g_{ij}, g_{ij,k}) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{ar}^{p}(g_{ij}, g_{ij,k}))$ of $T_{n}^{1}E_{0}$ to Q must obey the system of equations

$$(5.5) \qquad \left(\delta_{a}^{q}g_{pb} + \delta_{b}^{q}g_{ap}\right) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}}{\partial g_{ab}} + \left(\delta_{a}^{q}g_{pb,m} + \delta_{b}^{q}g_{ap,m} + \delta_{m}^{q}g_{ab,p}\right) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}}{\partial g_{ab,m}} = \\ = -\delta_{p}^{i}\Gamma_{jk}^{q} + \delta_{j}^{q}\Gamma_{pk}^{i} + \delta_{k}^{q}\Gamma_{jp}^{i},$$

$$(5.6) \qquad g_{ap} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}}{\partial g} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^{i}}{\partial g} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{p}^{i}(\delta_{j}^{q}\delta_{k}^{r} + \delta_{k}^{q}\delta_{j}^{r}).$$

Consider the system (5.6). Multiplying both sides by g^{ps} and rewriting the system for cyclic permutations of the subscripts of the independent variable one gets

(5.7)
$$\frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{sq,r}} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{sr,q}} = \frac{1}{2} g^{is} (\delta^{q}_{j} \delta^{r}_{k} + \delta^{q}_{k} \delta^{r}_{j}),$$

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{rs,q}} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{rq,s}} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (\delta^{s}_{j} \delta^{q}_{k} + \delta^{s}_{k} \delta^{q}_{j}),$$

$$-\frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{qr,s}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{qs,r}} = \frac{1}{2} g^{iq} (\delta^{r}_{j} \delta^{s}_{k} + \delta^{r}_{k} \delta^{s}_{j}),$$

which implies

$$(5.8) \qquad \frac{\partial \Gamma^{i}_{jk}}{\partial g_{sr,q}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(g^{is} \left(\delta^{q}_{j} \delta^{r}_{k} + \delta^{q}_{k} \delta^{r}_{j} \right) + g^{ir} \left(\delta^{s}_{j} \delta^{q}_{k} + \delta^{s}_{k} \delta^{q}_{j} \right) - g^{iq} \left(\delta^{r}_{j} \delta^{s}_{k} + \delta^{r}_{k} \delta^{s}_{j} \right).$$

Hence

(5.9)
$$\Gamma_{jk}^{i} = \frac{1}{4} (g^{is}(g_{sk,j} + g_{sj,k}) + g^{ir}(g_{jr,k} + g_{kr,j}) - g^{iq}(g_{kj,q} + g_{jk,q})) + \gamma_{jk}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} g^{is}(g_{sj,k} + g_{sk,j} - g_{jk,s}) + \gamma_{jk}^{i},$$

where γ_{jk}^{i} does not depend on $g_{pq,r}$. Substituting this expression into (5.5) one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_{jk}^i}{\partial g_{aq}} = 0 ,$$

which means that $\gamma_{jk}^i \in R$. This shows that each differential invariant from $T_n^1 E_0$ to Q must belong to the family of mappings (5.9), where γ_{jk}^i are real numbers. Consider the transformation properties of these mappings. It is directly seen that among these mappings there is one and only one which is L_n^2 -equivariant; this is the mapping for which $\gamma_{jk}^i = 0$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] R. L. Bishop, R. J. Crittenden: Geometry of Manifolds, Academic Press, New York and London, 1964.
- [2] J. A. Dieudonné, J. B. Carrel: Invariant Theory, Old and New, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971.
- [3] D. B. Epstein: Natural tensors on Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 10 (1975), 631-645.
- [4] I. Kolář: On the prolongations of geometric object fields, An. Sti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi, 17 (1971), 437–446.
- [5] D. Krupka: Differential Invariants, Lecture Notes, Faculty of Science, Purkyně University, Brno, 1979 (preprint).
- [6] D. Krupka: Elementary theory of differential invariants, Arch. Math. XIV (1978), 207-214.
- [7] D. Krupka: Local invariants of a linear connection, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, 31. Differential Geometry, Budapest (Hungary), 1979, North-Holland, 1982, 349-369.
- [8] D. Krupka: Reducibility theorems for differentiable liftings in fiber bundles. Arch. Math. XV (1979), 93-106.
- [9] V. Mikolášová: On the functional independence of scalar invariants of curvature for dimensions n = 2, 3, 4, Math. Slovaca 32, 1982, 349–354.
- [10] A. Nijenhuis: Natural bundles and their general properties, Differential Geometry, in honour of K. Yano, Kinokunyia, Tokyo, 1972, 317—334.
- [11] R. S. Palais: Natural operations on differential forms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1959), 125--141.
- [12] R. S. Palais, C. L. Terng: Natural bundles have finite order, Topology 16 (1977), 271-277.
- [13] P. Stredder: Natural differential operators on Riemannian manifolds and representations of the orthogonal and special orthogonal groups, J. Differential Geom. 10 (1975), 647-660.
- [14] C. L. Terng. Natural vector bundles and natural differential operators, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 775—828.

Authors' address: 611 37 Brno, Kotlářská 2, ČSSR (Univerzita J. E. Purkyně).