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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a ring with identity. The unitary Cayley graph of a ring $R$, denoted by $G_{R}$, is the graph, whose vertex set is $R$, and in which $\{x, y\}$ is an edge if and only if $x-y$ is a unit of $R$. In this paper we find chromatic, clique and independence number of $G_{R}$, where $R$ is a finite ring. Also, we prove that if $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $G_{R / J_{R}} \simeq G_{S / J_{S}}$, where $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are Jacobson radicals of $R$ and $S$, respectively. Moreover, we prove if $G_{R} \simeq G_{M_{n}(F)}$ then $R \simeq M_{n}(F)$, where $R$ is a ring and $F$ is a finite field. Finally, let $R$ and $S$ be finite commutative rings, we show that if $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}} \simeq \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}$.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of algebraic structures using the properties of graphs has become an exciting research topic in the last twenty years, leading to many fascinating results and questions. There are many papers on assigning a graph to a ring, see [1], [2] and [15] .

Throughout this paper, $R$ is a finite ring with identity. We denote the set of unit elements by $R^{\times}$. The unitary Cayley graph of a ring $R$, denoted by $G_{R}$, is the graph whose vertex set is $R$, and in which $\{x, y\}$ is an edge if and only if $x$ and $y$ are distinct elements of $R$ such that $x-y \in R^{\times}$. Let $A_{G}$ be the adjacency matrix of a simple graph $G$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{G}$. The energy of $G$ is defined as the sum of absolute values of its eigenvalues, $E(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|$. This concept was introduced first by Gutman in [6] and afterwards has been studied intensively in the literature [7],

[^0][8], [10] and [11]. If the distinct eigenvalues of $A_{G}$ are $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\cdots<\lambda_{r}$, and their multiplicities are $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}$, respectively, then we shall write
\[

\operatorname{Spec}(G)=\left($$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{r} \\
m_{1} & m_{2} & \cdots & m_{r}
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

that is, the multiset of eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of G.
The motivation of this paper is the study of interplay between graph theoretic properties of $G_{R}$ and the ring properties of $R$. For some other recent papers on unitary Cayley graphs, see [9], [13], [15] and [17]. In this paper we find chromatic, clique and independence number of $G_{R}$, where $R$ is a finite ring. Also, we prove that if $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $G_{R / J_{R}} \simeq G_{S / J_{S}}$, where $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are Jacobson radicals of $R$ and $S$, respectively. Moreover, we prove if $G_{R} \simeq G_{M_{n}(F)}$ then $R \simeq M_{n}(F)$, where $R$ is a ring and $F$ is a finite field. Finally, let $R$ and $S$ be finite commutative rings, we show that if $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $R / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}} \simeq \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}$.

## 2 Basic Notations and Properties

Throughout this paper, we use $N(v)$ for the neighborhood of a vertex (that is, the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ ). For a graph $G$, let $V(G)$ denote the set of vertices. The category product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}, G_{1} \otimes G_{2}$, is the graph with vertex set $V\left(G_{1} \otimes G_{2}\right):=V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$, specified by putting $(u, v)$ adjacent to $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $u$ is adjacent to $u^{\prime}$ in $G_{1}$ and $v$ is adjacent to $v^{\prime}$ in $G_{2}$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of $G_{1}$, and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}$ be the eigenvalues of $G_{2}$. Then the eigenvalues of $G_{1} \otimes G_{2}$ are $\lambda_{i} \mu_{j}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, by Theorem 2.3.4 of [4]. For a graph G, we denote by $\bar{G}$ its complement, $\omega(G)$ its clique number, $\chi(G)$ its chromatic number and $\alpha(G)$ its independence number. The Jacobson radical of a ring $R$, denoted by $J_{R}$, is defined to be the intersection of all the maximal left ideals of $R$. Let $R$ be commutative ring. We say that $R$ is local if $R$ has exactly one maximal ideal. If $R$ is a finite commutative ring, then $R \simeq R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{t}$ where each $R_{i}$ is a finite commutative local ring with maximal ideal $M_{i}$, by Theorem 8.7 of [3]. It is obvious that $R / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}} \simeq \mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}}$, and this decomposition is unique up to permutation of factors, where $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is the Jacobson radical of $R$. We know that $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right)$ is a unit of $R$ if and only if each $u_{i}$ is a unit element in $R_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. So, we immediately see that $G_{R}$ is the category product of the graphs $G_{R_{1}}, \ldots, G_{R_{t}}$.

Proposition 2.1. [2] Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring.
(i) $G_{R}$ is a regular graph of degree $\left|R^{\times}\right|$.
(ii) If $R \cong R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{s}$ is a product of local rings, then $G_{R}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{s} G_{R_{i}}$.
(iii) If $R$ is a commutative local ring with maximal ideal $M$, then $G_{R}$ is a complete multipartite graph whose partite sets are the cosets of $M$.

The ring $R$ is said to be $D U$-ring (determined by unitary Cayley graph) if $S$ is a ring and $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$. Then we have $R \simeq S$.
The commutative ring $R$ is said to be $C D U$-ring (determined by unitary Cayley graph on commutative ring) if $S$ is a commutative ring and $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$. Then we have $R \simeq S$.

A ring $R$ is said to be reduced if $R$ has no nonzero nilpotent element. So, a finite commutative reduced ring $R$ is a finite product of finite fields. We show that a finite commutative reduced ring is CDU-ring.

Lemma 2.2. [14] Let $D$ be a finite division ring. Then $D$ is a finite field.
Lemma 2.3. [14] Wedderburn-Artin Theorem
Let $R$ be a semisimple ring. Then $R \simeq M_{n_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times M_{n_{k}}\left(D_{k}\right)$ where $n_{i}$ are integers and $D_{i}$ are division ring, for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Lemma 2.4. [14] Let $R$ be a finite ring. Then $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is semisimple ring and $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}} \simeq$ $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ where $n_{i}$ are integers and $F_{i}$ are finite fields, for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

## 3 Chromatic and Clique number

Lemma 3.1. Let $F$ be a finite field such that $|F|=q$. If $N_{n}$ is the number of monic irreducible polynomial over $F$ of degree $n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) q^{d} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $F$ be a finite field. Then there exists at least a monic irreducible polynomial over $F$ of degree $n$.

Lemma 3.3. [16, 14.2.] Let $F$ be a finite field. If $P(x)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial over $F$ of degree $n$, then there exists a matrix $A$ in $M_{n}(F)$ such that characteristic and minimal polynomial of $A$ is $P(x)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $F$ be a finite field and $R=M_{n}(F)$, where $n$ is a positive integer. Then $\omega\left(G_{R}\right)=\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=|F|^{n}$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an irreducible $P(x) \in F[x]$ such that $\operatorname{deg} P(x)=n$. By using Lemma 3.3, there is a matrix $A \in M_{n}(F)$ such that the minimal polynomial of $A$ is $P(x)$. So $[F[A]: F]=\operatorname{diam}_{F}^{F[A]}=n$ and hence $|F[A]|=|F|^{n}$. We can see that $F[A] \simeq \frac{F[x]}{(p(x))}$. Thus $F[A]$ is a field. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(G_{R}\right) \geqslant \omega\left(G_{R}\right) \geqslant|F|^{n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{|F|^{n}}\right\}$ be the set of all vectors in $F^{n}$. Let $S_{i}$ be the set of matrices in $M_{n}(F)$ such that the first row is $A_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots,|F|^{n}$. It is obvious that if $A, B \in S_{i}$, then $\operatorname{det}(A-B)=0$, so $A$ is not adjacent to $B$. Therefore $\omega\left(G_{R}\right) \leqslant \chi\left(G_{R}\right) \leqslant|F|^{n}$, thus by formula (2), $\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=\omega\left(G_{R}\right)=|F|^{n}$.

Remark 1. Let $G$ be a graph. Given an $n$-coloring $c$ of the graph $G$, it is straightforward to verify that the mapping $c^{\prime}((g, h))=c(g)$ is an $n$-coloring of the product $G \otimes H$. Therefore, $\chi(G \otimes H) \leqslant \chi(G)$. Similarity, we have $\chi(G \otimes H) \leqslant \chi(H)$, and hence $\chi(G \otimes H) \leqslant$ $\min \{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $R \simeq M_{n_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times M_{n_{k}}\left(F_{k}\right)$ be a finite semisimple ring, where $n_{i}$ are integers and $F_{i}$ are finite fields, for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then $\omega\left(G_{R}\right)=\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=\min \left\{\left|F_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}\right\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, we see that $\omega\left(G_{M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)}\right)=\chi\left(G_{M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)}\right)=\left|F_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Let $\omega_{i}=\left\{A_{i 1}, A_{i 2}, \ldots, A_{\left.i\left|F_{i}\right|\right|_{i}}\right\}$ be a maximal clique set of $G_{M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)}$. It is clear that $\left\{\left(A_{11}, A_{21}, \ldots, A_{k 1}\right),\left(A_{12}, A_{22}, \ldots, A_{k 2}\right), \ldots,\left(A_{1\left|F_{1}\right|^{n_{1}}}, A_{2\left|F_{1}\right|^{n_{1}}}, \ldots, A_{k\left|F_{1}\right|^{n_{1}}}\right)\right\}$ is clique set of $G_{R}$. Thus $\chi\left(G_{R}\right) \geqslant \omega\left(G_{R}\right) \geqslant \min \left\{\left|F_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}\right\}$. This shall complete the proof.

Theorem 3.6. Let $F$ be a finite field and $R=M_{n}(F)$, where $n$ is a positive integer. Thus $\alpha\left(G_{R}\right)=|F|^{n^{2}-n}$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3.4 we obtain that there exists a finite field $K$ such that $K \subset M_{n}(F)$ and $|K|=|F|^{n}$. It is clear that $K$ is a subgroup of $M_{n}(F)$, so $M_{n}(F)=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{|F|^{n^{2}-n}}\left(K+A_{i}\right)$, where $K+A_{i}$ are distinct cosets of $K$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots,|F|^{n^{2}-n}$. Since $K+A_{i}$ are clique in $G_{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(G_{R}\right) \leqslant|F|^{n^{2}-n} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S$ is the set of matrices in $M_{n}(F)$ such that the first row is zero vector, then $S$ is an independent set of $G_{R}$. Therefore $\alpha\left(G_{R}\right) \geqslant|F|^{n^{2}-n}$. Thus by formula (3),

$$
\alpha\left(G_{R}\right)=|F|^{n^{2}-n}
$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $R \simeq M_{n_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times M_{n_{k}}\left(F_{k}\right)$ be a finite semisimple ring, where $n_{i}$ are integers and $F_{i}$ are finite fields, for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then $\alpha\left(G_{R}\right)=\frac{|R|}{\min \left\{\left|F_{i}\right|^{\left.n_{i}\right\}}\right.}$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\min \left\{\left|F_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}\right\}=\left|F_{1}\right|^{n_{1}}$. Let $S=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{\left|F_{1}\right|_{1}^{n_{1}^{2}-n_{1}}}\right\}$ be the set of matrices in $M_{n_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)$ such that the first row is zero vector. Then $I=S \times M_{n_{2}}\left(F_{2}\right) \times \ldots \times M_{n_{k}}\left(F_{k}\right)$ is an independent set of $G_{R}$. Thus $\alpha\left(G_{R}\right) \geqslant \frac{|R|}{\min \left\{F_{i} n^{n}\right\}}$. It is clear that $I$ is a right ideal of $R$. We now construct a coloring of $\overline{G_{R}}$ by elements of $I$ as follows: given $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \in R$, fix an arbitrary clique $C$ in $G_{R}$ such that $|C|=\left|F_{1}\right|^{n_{1}}$, for example a clique which is constructed in Theorem 3.5. We show that there is a unique element of $C$ such as $c_{b}$ in such a way that $b-c_{b} \in I$.
Existence: If $c, c^{\prime}$ are distinct elements of $C$, then $c-c^{\prime}$ is unit element of $R$, so $c-c^{\prime} \notin I$, thus $I+c \neq I+c^{\prime}$, so $I+c$ and $I+c^{\prime}$ are distinct cosets of $I$ in $R$. Since $|C|=\frac{|R|}{|I|}$, it follows that $R=\bigcup_{c \in C}(I+c)$. Then there is a unique element of $C$ such as $c_{b}$, in such a way that $b \in I+c_{b}$, so $b-c_{b} \in I$.
Uniqueness: Let $c_{b}$ and $c_{b}^{\prime}$ be elements of $C$ such that $b-c_{b}, b-c_{b}^{\prime} \in I$. Since $I$ is a right ideal, it follows that $b-c_{b}-\left(b-c_{b}^{\prime}\right) \in I$. Then $c_{b}^{\prime}-c_{b} \in I$. If $c_{b}^{\prime} \neq c_{b}$, then $c_{b}^{\prime}-c_{b} \in I$ is a unit element of $R$. So $I=R$, which is a contradiction.
Define a vertex coloring $f: R \longrightarrow I$ by $f(b)=b-c_{b}$. Then $f(b)=f(d)$ implies that $b-d=c_{d}-c_{b}$. If $c_{d}=c_{b}$, then $b=d$; so assume $c_{d} \neq c_{b}$. Then by construction, $c_{d}-c_{b} \in R^{\times}$, so $b-d \in R^{\times}$, and hence $b$ is not adjacent to $d$ in $\overline{G_{R}}$. Thus $f$ is a proper coloring for $\overline{G_{R}}$, showing that $\alpha\left(G_{R}\right) \leqslant \frac{|R|}{\min \left\{\left.F_{i}\right|^{n}\right\}}$, as desired.

Theorem 3.8. Let $R$ be a ring. If $J_{R}$ is Jacobson radical of $R$, then $\omega\left(G_{R}\right)=\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=$ $\chi\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right)=\omega\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right)$.
Proof. By [14, Proposition 4.8], $u+J_{R}$ is unit in $R / J_{R}$ if and only if $u$ is unit in $R$ and $\left(R / J_{R}\right)^{\times}=R^{\times}+J_{R}$. So, $u_{1}+J_{R}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}+J_{R}$ in $G_{R / J_{R}}$ if and only if $u_{1}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}$ in $G_{R}$. Therefore, if $j_{1}, j_{2} \in J_{R}$, then $u_{1}+j_{1}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}+j_{2}$, where $u_{1}-u_{2} \in R^{\times}$. Hence the induced graph of $G_{R}$ on vertices $\left(a_{1}+J_{R}\right) \cup\left(a_{2}+J_{R}\right)$ is a complete bipartite graph, where $a_{1}+J_{R}$ and $a_{2}+J_{R}$ are distinct. Therefore, $\omega\left(G_{R}\right)=$ $\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=\chi\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right)=\omega\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right)$.
Remark 2. Let $R$ be a finite ring. By Lemma 2.4, $R / J_{R} \simeq M_{n_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times M_{n_{k}}\left(F_{k}\right)$. Therefore by Theorems 3.4, 3.5 and 3.8 we see that $\omega\left(G_{R}\right)=\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=\min \left\{\left|F_{i}^{n_{i}}\right|\right\}$.

## 4 The unitary Cayley graphs of semisimple rings

In what follows, we study the interplay between $G_{R}$ and the structure of $R$, when $R$ is a finite ring.

Lemma 4.1. Let $R$ be a finite ring. For $j \in R$, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $j \in J_{R}$;
(ii) $j+u \in R^{\times}$for any $u \in R^{\times}$.

Proof. (i) $\longrightarrow$ (ii) is trivial.
(ii) $\longrightarrow$ (i) Assume $R$ is a finite semisimple ring, then $R \cong M_{n_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right) \times M_{n_{2}}\left(F_{2}\right) \times$ $\ldots \times M_{n_{t}}\left(F_{t}\right)$, where each $F_{i}$ is a field. Let $j=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{t}\right)$, where $A_{i} \in M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $j+R^{\times}=R^{\times}$. Thus $A_{i}+\left(M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)\right)^{\times}=\left(M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)\right)^{\times}$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Assume to the contrary that $A_{i} \notin J\left(M_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)\right)=\{0\}$. Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n_{i}}$ be rows of $A_{i}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $B_{1} \neq 0$. Thus, $-B_{1}$ can be extended to a basis $\left\{-B_{1}, B_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{n_{i}}^{\prime}\right\}$ for $F_{i}^{n_{i}}$. Let $B$ be a matrix such that the first row is $-B_{1}$ and the $j$-th row is $B_{j}^{\prime}$. Hence, $B \in G L_{n_{i}}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{i}+B\right)=0$, contradicting our assumption that $A_{i} \neq 0$.

Now assume that $R$ is not semisimple, $\left(R / J_{R}\right)^{\times}=R^{\times}+J_{R}$ by [14, Proposition 4.8] if $j+R^{\times}=R^{\times}$, then $\bar{j}+\left(R / J_{R}\right)^{\times}=\left(R / J_{R}\right)^{\times}$. Thus $\bar{j}=0$, and so $j \in J_{R}$, since $\bar{R}=R / J_{R}$ is a semisimple ring.
Lemma 4.2. Let $R$ be a finite ring and $x, y \in G_{R}$. Then $N(x)=N(y)$ if and only if $x-y \in J_{R}$.
Proof. It is clear that $N(x)=x+R^{\times}$and $N(y)=y+R^{\times}$. Then $N(x)=N(y)$ if and only if $x+R^{\times}=y+R^{\times}$, hence it is equivalent to $x-y+R^{\times}=R^{\times}$. Therefore by Lemma 4.1, $x-y \in J_{R}$.

Remark 3. Consider two vertices $x, y$ of graph $G$ to be equivalent when $N(x)=N(y)$. Then, following [5], we define the reduction of $G$ to be the graph $G_{\text {red }}$ whose vertex set is the set of equivalence classes of vertices, and whose edges consist of pairs $\{A, B\}$ of equivalence classes with the property that $A \cup B$ induces a complete bipartite subgraph of G.

Theorem 4.3. Let $R$ and $S$ be finite rings such that $G_{R} \cong G_{S}$. Then $G_{R / J_{R}} \cong G_{S / J_{S}}$.
Proof. It is clear that $\left(G_{R}\right)_{r e d} \cong G_{R / J_{R}}$. Since $G_{R} \cong G_{S}$, then $\left(G_{R}\right)_{\text {red }} \cong\left(G_{S}\right)_{\text {red }}$. Thus $G_{R / J_{R}} \cong G_{S / J_{S}}$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $R$ and $S$ be finite rings such that $G_{R} \cong G_{S}$. Then $\left|J_{R}\right|=\left|J_{S}\right|$.
Corollary 4.5. Let $R$ and $S$ be finite rings such that $G_{R} \cong G_{S}$. If $R$ is semisimple, then $S$ is semisimple.

Proof. It is clear that $|R|=|S|$. By Theorem 4.3, we see that $|R|=\frac{|S|}{\left|J_{S}\right|}$. Thus $\left|J_{S}\right|=1$. This shall complete the proof.

Theorem 4.6. Let $F$ and $E$ be two finite fields and $m, n$ be two natural numbers. If $G_{M_{n}(F)} \simeq G_{M_{m}(E)}$, then $m=n$ and $F \simeq E$.

Proof. We know that $|F|$ and $|E|$ are prime power numbers, say $|F|=p^{r}$ and $|E|=p_{1}^{r_{1}}$. Since $|F|^{n^{2}}=|E|^{m^{2}}$, then $p=p_{1}$ and $p^{r n^{2}}=p^{r_{1} m^{2}}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
r n^{2}=r_{1} m^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 3.4, $|F|^{n}=|E|^{m}$, so $p^{r n}=p^{r_{1} m}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r n=r_{1} m . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (4) and (5), $n=m$ and $r=r_{1}$. Therefore, $F \simeq E$ and hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 4.7. Let $R=M_{n}(F)$, where $F$ is a finite field and $S$ be a semisimple ring. If $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $S \simeq M_{n}(F)$.

Proof. Let $S \simeq M_{n_{1}}\left(E_{1}\right) \times M_{n_{2}}\left(E_{2}\right) \times \ldots \times M_{n_{k}}\left(E_{k}\right)$. We know that $|F|$ is prime power, $|F|=p^{r}$. It is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F|^{n^{2}}=\prod_{i=1}^{i=k}\left|E_{i}\right|^{n_{i}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\left|E_{i}\right|=p^{r_{i}}$, so by above formula,

$$
p^{r n^{2}}=p^{\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} r_{i} n_{i}^{2}} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r n^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} r_{i} n_{i}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 3.5, $\chi\left(G_{S}\right)=\min \left\{\left|E_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}\right\}$ and $\chi\left(G_{R}\right)=|F|^{n}$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F|^{n}=\min \left\{\left|E_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\min \left\{\left|E_{i}\right|^{n_{i}}\right\}=\left|E_{1}\right|^{n_{1}}=p^{r_{1} n_{1}}$. Thus, $p^{r n}=p^{r_{1} n_{1}}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r n=r_{1} n_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Degrees of all vertices of $G_{R}$ and $G_{S}$ are $\left|G L_{n}(F)\right|$ and $\left|\prod_{i=1}^{i=k} G L_{n_{i}}\left(E_{i}\right)\right|$, respectively. So,

$$
\left|G L_{n}(F)\right|=\left|\prod_{i=1}^{i=k} G L_{n_{i}}\left(E_{i}\right)\right| .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(|F|^{n}-|F|^{i}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{j=k} \prod_{i=0}^{n_{j}-1}\left(\left|E_{j}\right|^{n_{j}}-\left|E_{j}\right|^{i}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F|^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(|F|^{i}-1\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{j=k}\left|E_{j}\right|^{\frac{n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{j=k} \prod_{i=1}^{n_{j}}\left(\left|E_{j}\right|^{i}-1\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that if $i>0$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(|F|^{i}-1, p\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|E_{j}\right|^{i}-1, p\right)=1$. Thus,

$$
|F|^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}=\prod_{j=1}^{j=k}\left|E_{j}\right|^{\frac{n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right)}{2}} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
p^{\frac{r n(n-1)}{2}}=p^{\sum_{j=1}^{j=k} \frac{r_{j} n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right)}{2}} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r n(n-1)=\sum_{j=1}^{j=k} r_{j} n_{j}\left(n_{j}-1\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By formula (7) and (12), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r n=\sum_{j=1}^{j=k} r_{j} n_{j} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by formula (9) and (13), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{j=k} r_{j} n_{j}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $S=M_{n_{1}}\left(E_{1}\right)$. Thus by Theorem 4.6, the proof is complete.
Theorem 4.8. Let $R=M_{n}(F)$, where $F$ is a finite field and $S$ be a ring. If $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $S \simeq M_{n}(F)$.
Proof. It is clear that $S$ is finite and $J_{R}=\{0\}$, so by corollary 4.4, $J_{S}=\{0\}$. Thus $S$ is a semisimple ring. Thus by Theorem 4.7, the proof is complete.
Corollary 4.9. Let $F$ be a finite field and $n$ be a natural number. Then $M_{n}(F)$ is a DU-ring.

## 5 The unitary Cayley graphs of commutative rings

We recall the results obtained in [12] regarding the spectrum and the energy of unitary Cayley graphs of commutative rings.

Lemma 5.1. [12, Lemma 2.3] Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring, where $R=R_{1} \times R_{2} \times$ $\cdots \times R_{s}$ and $R_{i}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $M_{i}$ of size $m_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. Then the eigenvalues of $G_{R}$ are
(i) $(-1)^{|C|} \frac{\left|R^{\times}\right|}{\prod_{j \in C}\left|R_{j}^{\times}\right| / m_{j}}$ with multiplicity $\prod_{j \in C} \frac{\left|R_{j}^{\times}\right|}{m_{j}}$ for all subsets $C$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$, and
(ii) 0 with multiplicity $|R|-\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(1+\frac{\left|R_{i}^{\times}\right|}{m_{i}}\right)$.

Lemma 5.2. [12, Theorem 2.4] Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring, where $R=R_{1} \times R_{2} \times$ $\cdots \times R_{s}$ and $R_{i}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $M_{i}$ of size $m_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. Then

$$
E\left(G_{R}\right)=2^{s}\left|R^{\times}\right| .
$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ be two finite commutative rings. If $G_{R} \simeq G_{R^{\prime}}$, then $R / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}} \simeq \mathrm{R}^{\prime} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}$.

Proof. By our assumption, there exist local rings $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}^{\prime}$ with maximal ideals $M_{i}$ and $M_{j}^{\prime}$, where $R_{i} / M_{i}=F_{i}$ and $R_{j}^{\prime} / M_{j}^{\prime}=F_{j}^{\prime}$ are finite fields for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $j=1, \ldots, r^{\prime}$ such that $R \simeq R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{r}$ and $R^{\prime} \simeq R_{1}^{\prime} \times \cdots \times R_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Let $\left|F_{i}\right|=q_{i}$ and $\left|F_{j}^{\prime}\right|=q_{j}^{\prime}$. We know that $\left|R^{\times}\right|=\left|R^{\prime \times}\right|$. Since $G_{R}$ is isomorphic to $G_{R^{\prime}}$, we have $E\left(G_{R}\right)=E\left(G_{R^{\prime}}\right)$ and so by Lemma 5.2, $2^{r}\left|R^{\times}\right|=2^{r^{\prime}}\left|R^{\prime \times}\right|$, hence $2^{r}=2^{r^{\prime}}$ and so $r=r^{\prime}$. By Theorem 4.3, $G_{R / J_{R}} \cong G_{R^{\prime} / J_{R^{\prime}}}$. So, $G_{F_{1} \times F_{2} \times \ldots \times F_{r}} \cong G_{F_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{2}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times F_{r}^{\prime}}$.

It is clear that $\left|\left(F_{1} \times F_{2} \times \ldots \times F_{r}\right)^{\times}\right|=\left|\left(F_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{2}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times F_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}\right|$. So, $\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}-1\right)=$ $\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)$. By Lemma 5.1, we conclude that the eigenvalues of $G_{F_{1} \times F_{2} \times \ldots \times F_{r}}$ and $G_{F_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{2}^{\prime} \times \ldots \times F_{r}^{\prime}}$ are, respectively, $(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}-1\right)$ with multiplicity $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}-1\right)}$ and $(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)$ with multiplicity $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)}{\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)}$, for all subsets $C$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $q_{1} \leqslant q_{2} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant q_{r}$ and $q_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant q_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant$ $q_{r}^{\prime}$. We want to prove that $q_{i}=q_{i}^{\prime}$. We know that $G_{R / J_{R}}$ has an eigenvalue $(-1)^{(r-1)}\left(q_{1}-1\right)$. From $\operatorname{Spec}\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right)=\operatorname{Spec}\left(G_{R^{\prime} / J_{R^{\prime}}}\right)$, we deduce that $q_{1}-1=q_{1}^{\prime}-1$. Assume the contrary and let $i$ be the smallest number such that $q_{i} \neq q_{i}^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $q_{i}<q_{i}^{\prime}$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}<q_{j}^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in\{i, i+1, i+2, \ldots, r\}$. It is clear that if $C$ is a subset of $\{1,2, \ldots, i-1\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}-1\right)}=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)}{\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)} \quad, \quad(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}-1\right)=(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let, $A$ and $B$ be multisets of $(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}-1\right)$ with multiplicities of $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}-1\right)}{\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}-1\right)}$ and $(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)$ with multiplicities of $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(q_{i}^{\prime}-1\right)}{\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)}$, for all $C \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, i-1\}$, respectively.

By formula (16), $A=B$. So, $\operatorname{Spec}\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right) \backslash A=\operatorname{Spec}\left(G_{R^{\prime} / J_{R^{\prime}}}\right) \backslash B$ (as multiset). We can see that $(-1)^{r-1}\left(q_{i}-1\right) \in \operatorname{Spec}\left(G_{R / J_{R}}\right)-A$. Then we deduce that there is a subset $C$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$, such that $C \nsubseteq\{1,2, \ldots, i-1\}$ and $\left((-1)^{r-1}\right)\left(q_{i}-1\right)=(-1)^{r-|C|} \prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)$. So, Thus $q_{i}-1=\prod_{j \in C}\left(q_{j}^{\prime}-1\right)$, which contradicts our assumption (15).

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 5.3.
Corollary 5.4. Let $R$ be a commutative reduced ring. Then $R$ is a CDU-ring.
Proof. Let $S$ be a commutative ring. If $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, then $|S|=|R|$. Since $R$ is reduced, we have $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{R}}=0$. So by Theorem 5.3, $\mathrm{R} \simeq \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and hence $\left|\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}\right|=1$. Therefore $R \simeq S$.

Remark 4. The ring of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ be denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $S$ be the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{2}\right)$. Obviously, $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$, but $R$ is not isomorphic to $S$, which means that $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ is not a CDU-ring. Therefore Corollary 5.4 does not hold for an arbitrary commutative ring.

Conjecture 1. Let $R$ and $S$ be finite rings such that $G_{R} \simeq G_{S}$. Then $R / J_{R} \simeq S / J_{S}$.
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