

ON THE VOLUME GROWTH OF KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH NONNEGATIVE BISECTIONAL CURVATURE

GANG LIU

Abstract

Let M be a complete Kähler manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Suppose the universal cover does not split and M admits a nonconstant holomorphic function with polynomial growth; we prove M must be of maximal volume growth. This confirms a conjecture of Ni in [17]. There are two essential ingredients in the proof: the Cheeger–Colding theory [2]–[5] on Gromov–Hausdorff convergence of manifolds and the three circle theorem for holomorphic functions in [14].

1. Introduction

In [22], Yau proposed the study of the uniformization of complete Kähler manifolds with nonnegative bisectional curvature. In particular, one wishes to determine whether or not a complete Kähler manifold with positive bisectional curvature is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n . Motivated by this, Yau further asked whether or not the ring of holomorphic functions with polynomial growth is finitely generated, and whether or not the dimension of the spaces of holomorphic functions of polynomial growth is bounded from above by the dimension of the corresponding spaces of polynomials on \mathbb{C}^n .

In [17], Ni confirmed Yau’s conjecture on the sharp dimension estimate of holomorphic functions with polynomial growth when the manifold has maximal volume growth. Here maximal volume growth means

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B(p, r))}{r^{2n}} > c > 0$$

for all $r > 0$, $p \in M$.

Definition. Let M be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold. Let $\mathcal{O}(M)$ be the ring of holomorphic functions on M . For any $d \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{O}_d(M) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(M) \mid \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_f(r)}{r^d} < \infty\}.$$

Received 3/29/2014.

Here r is the distance from a fixed point p on M ; $M_f(r)$ is the maximal modulus of f on $B(p, r)$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_d(M)$, we say f is of polynomial growth with order d . Let

$$\mathcal{O}_P(M) = \cup_{d \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_d(M).$$

If M is only Riemannian, let $\mathcal{H}_d(M)$ be the linear space of harmonic functions on M of polynomial growth with order d .

Theorem 1 (Ni). *Let M^n be a complete Kähler manifold with non-negative holomorphic bisectional curvature. Assume that M is of maximal volume growth; then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M)) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}_d(\mathbb{C}^n))$$

for any positive integer d . If the equality holds for some d , M is isometric and biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n .

Later, Chen, Fu, Le, and Zhu [6] removed the maximal volume growth condition by using the same technique in [17]. See also [14] for a different proof. Based on some results in [19] and [17], Ni raised the following conjecture (Conjecture 3.1 in [17]):

Conjecture. *Let M^n be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Assume that M admits a non-constant holomorphic function with polynomial growth and the bisectional curvature is positive at least at one point; then M is of maximal volume growth. Namely, $\mathcal{O}_P(M) \neq \mathbb{C}$, average quadratic curvature decay, and M being of maximal volume growth are all equivalent if M has quasi-positive bisectional curvature. Average quadratic curvature decay means for all $r > 0$,*

$$(1) \quad \int_{B(p,r)} S \leq \frac{C}{r^2},$$

where p is a point on M , C is a positive constant independent of r , S is the scalar curvature. \int means the average.

In the complex one-dimensional case, the conjecture is well known, e.g., [15]. In general dimensions, under the assumption of the conjecture, Ni proved that $Vol(B(p, r)) \geq cr^{n+1}$ in [17]. Under an extra pointwise curvature decay condition, Ni and Tam [19] were able to confirm the conjecture. Proofs of the partial results in [17] and [19] are based on the Poincare–Lelong equation, heat flow methods including the sharp monotonicity formula discovered in [17]. Very recently, in a personal conversation with Ni, the author was informed that the conjecture is known to be true if one assumes an upper bound of curvature. The proof involves the Kähler–Ricci flow. In this note, we confirm the first part of Ni’s conjecture:

Theorem 2. *Let M^n be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Suppose the universal cover \tilde{M} does not split as a product of two Kähler manifolds. If M admits a nonconstant holomorphic function with polynomial growth, then M has maximal volume growth.*

Remark. Obviously, it is necessary to assume that \tilde{M} does not split. This theorem essentially reduces Yau’s conjecture on the finite generation of holomorphic functions with polynomial growth to the case when the manifold has maximal volume growth.

Corollary 1. *Let M^n be a complete Kähler manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Suppose there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ so that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M)) \geq cd^n$ for some sufficiently large d ; then M is of maximal volume growth.*

Remark. Corollary 1 holds under the weaker assumption that M has nonnegative Ricci curvature and nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature. At this moment, it is unclear to the author whether we still have Corollary 1 if we only assume the Ricci curvature to be nonnegative.

It is interesting to compare the corollary with a theorem of Colding and Minicozzi [8], (Corollary 6.51):

Theorem 3 (Colding and Minicozzi). *Let M^m be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Suppose there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ so that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_d(M)) \geq cd^{m-1}$ for some sufficiently large d ; then M is of maximal volume growth.*

Corollary 2. *Let M^n be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Let $p \in M$. Suppose the Ricci curvature is positive at one point and the scalar curvature has average quadratic decay, i.e., (1) holds. Then M is of maximal volume growth.*

Remark. One cannot remove the condition that M has Ricci positive at one point. For instance, M could have a flat torus factor.

The proof of Theorem 2 is different from the arguments of Ni [17] and Ni-Tam [19]. In our proof, the theory of the Gromov–Hausdorff convergence [2]–[5] and the three circle theorem [14] are crucial. We argue by contradiction. First, blow down the manifold; then, blow up at some regular point to get a real Euclidean space whose dimension is strictly smaller than the dimension of M . Then by the three circle theorem, we can pass all holomorphic functions with polynomial growth to that Euclidean space. Finally, the contradiction comes from the dimension estimate: the dimension of the Euclidean space is too low, while the dimension of functions is too high.

Remark. The statement of Theorem 2 is purely on smooth manifolds. However, in our proof, we have to turn to some possibly singular collapsed limit. The final contradiction comes from the tangent cone of the singular collapsed space. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 might be of some independent interest.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Professors John Lott, Lei Ni, and Jiaping Wang for many valuable discussions during the work. He also thanks Professor Luen-Fai Tam for the interest in this work.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we collect some results required in the proof of Theorem 2.

First, recall some convergence results for manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bound. Let (M_i^n, y_i, ρ_i) be a sequence of pointed complete Riemannian manifolds, where $y_i \in M_i^n$ and ρ_i is the metric on M_i^n . By Gromov’s compactness theorem, if (M_i^n, y_i, ρ_i) have a uniform lower bound of the Ricci curvature, then a subsequence converges to some $(M_\infty, y_\infty, \rho_\infty)$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology. See [12] for the definition and basic properties of Gromov–Hausdorff convergence.

Definition. Let $K_i \subset M_i^n \rightarrow K_\infty \subset M_\infty$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology. Assume, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are functions on M_i^n , f_∞ is a function on M_∞ . Φ_i are ϵ_i -Gromov–Hausdorff approximations, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0$. If $f_i \circ \Phi_i$ converges to f_∞ uniformly, we say $f_i \rightarrow f_\infty$ uniformly over $K_i \rightarrow K_\infty$.

In many applications, f_i are equicontinuous. The Arzela–Ascoli theorem applies to the case when the spaces are different. When $(M_i^n, y_i, \rho_i) \rightarrow (M_\infty, y_\infty, \rho_\infty)$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology, any bounded, equicontinuous sequence of functions f_i has a subsequence converging uniformly to some f_∞ on M_∞ .

As in Section 9 of [7], we have the following definition.

Definition. If ν_i, ν_∞ are Borel regular measures on M_i^n, M_∞ , we say that $(M_i^n, y_i, \rho_i, \nu_i)$ converges to $(M_\infty, y_\infty, \rho_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense, if $(M_i^n, y_i, \rho_i, \nu_i) \rightarrow (M_\infty, y_\infty, \rho_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology and for any $x_i \rightarrow x_\infty$ ($x_i \in M_i, x_\infty \in M_\infty$), $r > 0$, $\nu_i(B(x_i, r)) \rightarrow \nu_\infty(B(x_\infty, r))$.

For any sequence of manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bound, after suitable renormalization of the volume, there is a subsequence converging in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense. If the volume is noncollapsed, ν_∞ is just the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure of M_∞ . See [3].

Let the complete pointed metric space (M_∞^m, y) be the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of a sequence of connected pointed Riemannian manifolds, $\{(M_i^n, p_i)\}$, with $Ric(M_i) \geq 0$. Here M_∞^m has Hausdorff dimension

m with $m \leq n$. A tangent cone at $y \in M_\infty^m$ is a complete pointed Gromov–Hausdorff limit $((M_\infty)_y, d_\infty, y_\infty)$ of $\{(M_\infty, r_i^{-1}d, y)\}$, where d, d_∞ are the metrics of $M_\infty, (M_\infty)_y$, respectively, and $\{r_i\}$ is a positive sequence converging to 0.

Definition. A point $y \in M_\infty$ is called regular if there exists some k so that every tangent cone at y is isometric to \mathbb{R}^k . A point is called singular if it is not regular.

Now we introduce a theorem of Cheeger and Colding [3, Theorem 2.1], which is crucial in the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4 (Cheeger and Colding). *For any renormalized limit measure, the singular set has measure 0. In particular, the regular points are dense.*

Remark. There is a typo on page 420 in [3]: Y^m should be the limit of M_i^n , not M_i^m . Since this is the arbitrary Gromov–Hausdorff convergence, the dimension might decrease. See also paragraph 4 on page 409 in [3].

For a Lipschitz function f on M_∞ , define a norm $\|f\|_{1,2}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{M_\infty} |Lip f|^2$, where

$$Lip(f, x) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(x, y)}.$$

In [7], a Sobolev space $H_{1,2}$ is defined by taking the closure of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ for Lipschitz functions.

Condition (1): M_∞ satisfies the volume-doubling property if for any $r > 0, x \in M_\infty, \nu_\infty(B(x, 2r)) \leq 2^n \nu_\infty(B(x, r))$.

Condition (2): M_∞ satisfies the weak Poincare inequality if

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |f - \bar{f}|^2 \leq C(n)r^2 \int_{B(x,2r)} |Lip f|^2$$

for all Lipschitz functions. Here \bar{f} is the average of f on $B(x, r)$.

In Theorem 6.7 of [5], it was proved that if M_∞ satisfies the ν -rectifiability condition, Condition (1), and Condition (2), then there is a unique differential df for $f \in H_{1,2}$. If f is Lipschitz, $\int |Lip f|^2 = \int |df|^2$. Moreover, the $H_{1,2}$ norm becomes an inner product. Therefore, $H_{1,2}$ is a Hilbert space. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator Δ on M_∞ such that

$$\int_{M_\infty} \langle df, dg \rangle = \int_{M_\infty} \langle \Delta f, g \rangle$$

for all Lipschitz functions on M_∞ with compact support (of course we can extend the functions to Sobolev spaces). See Theorem 6.25 of [5].

If $M_i \rightarrow M_\infty$ in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense and the Ricci curvature is nonnegative for all M_i , then the ν -rectifiability of M_∞ was

proved in Theorem 5.5 in [5]. By the volume comparison, Condition (1) obviously holds for M_∞ . Condition (2) also holds. See [21] for a proof.

In [10, 21], the following lemma was proved.

Lemma 1. *Suppose M_i has nonnegative Ricci curvature and $M_i \rightarrow M_\infty$ in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense. Let f_i be Lipschitz functions on $B(x_i, 2r) \subset M_i$ satisfying $\Delta f_i = 0$; $|f_i| \leq L$, $|\nabla f_i| \leq L$ for some constant L . Assume, $x_i \rightarrow x_\infty$, $f_i \rightarrow f_\infty$ on M_∞ . Then $\Delta f_\infty = 0$ on $B(x_\infty, r)$.*

Next we introduce the following theorem, which is Corollary 1 in [14]. This will be another key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a complete Kähler manifold with nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature, $p \in M$. For a holomorphic function f on M , let $M(r) = \max |f(x)|$ for $x \in B(p, r)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{O}_d(M)$ if and only if $\frac{M(r)}{r^d}$ is nonincreasing.*

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 2: Assume that M^n is not of maximal volume growth. Fix a point $p \in M$, and consider the rescaled sequence of manifolds $(M'_i, p_i, g'_i) = (M, p, r_i^{-2}g)$, where r_i is a sequence tending to ∞ . Then by Gromov’s compactness theorem, we may assume that $(M'_i, p_i, g'_i) \rightarrow (N, p_\infty, g_\infty)$ in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense where N is a metric measured space. By our assumption and Theorem 3.1 in [3], N has Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $2n - 1$. Now Theorem 2.1 in [3] implies that the regular points for N are dense. Therefore, we can find a point $q \in N$ where the tangent cone is isometric to \mathbb{R}^k . Here $k \leq 2n - 1$. This means that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $R > 0$, we can find a fixed $r > 0$ so that the metric ball $(B_{g_\infty}(q, rR), \frac{1}{r^2}g_\infty)$ is ϵ -Gromov–Hausdorff close to $B(0, R)$ in \mathbb{R}^k . Let $R_1 = \text{dist}_{g_\infty}(q, p_\infty)$. As $(M'_i, p_i, g'_i) \rightarrow (N, p_\infty, g_\infty)$, for all large i , we can find points $q'_i \in B_{g'_i}(p_i, R_1 + 1)$ so that $(B_{g'_i}(q'_i, rR), \frac{1}{r^2}g'_i)$ is ϵ -Gromov–Hausdorff close to $(B_{g_\infty}(q, rR), \frac{1}{r^2}g_\infty)$. Therefore, we can find $q_i \in M, d_i > 0$ such that $(M_i, q_i, g_i) = (M, q_i, d_i^{-2}g)$ pointed converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0, \nu)$ in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense. Moreover, ν is proportional to the standard measure on \mathbb{R}^k . For the last statement, one can refer to Proposition 1.35 in [3] or Remark 1.35 in [4].

Lemma 2. *Let (N_i^n, p_i, ν_i) be a sequence of pointed complete non-compact Kähler manifolds with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Here ν_i is the standard volume form on N_i . After certain renormalization*

of ν_i , assume that (N_i, p_i, ν_i) converges to $(N_\infty, p_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ in the measured Gromov–Hausdorff sense, where $(N_\infty, p_\infty, \nu_\infty)$ is a metric measured space that is not necessarily smooth. Let d be a fixed positive number. We further assume that for each i , there exist k linearly independent holomorphic functions $g_i^j \in \mathcal{O}_d(N_i)$, where j is the index from 1 to k . Set $A_i = \text{span}\{g_i^j\}$. Then A_i converges to a k -dimensional space of complex harmonic functions A_∞ on N_∞ with respect to the measure ν_∞ . Moreover, for any $f \in A_\infty$, f is of polynomial growth of order d on N_∞ .

Proof. For each i , we choose a unitary frame g_i^j for A_i with respect to the average of the L^2 norm of $B(p_i, 1) \subset N_i$. That is,

$$\int_{B(p_i, 1)} g_i^j \overline{g_i^s} = \delta_{js}.$$

Let $x \in B(p_i, \frac{1}{2})$. As $|g_i^j|$ is subharmonic, by the mean value inequality of Li and Schoen [16] and the volume comparison,

$$|g_i^j(x)|^2 \leq C(n) \int_{B(x, \frac{1}{2})} |g_i^j|^2 \leq (C_1(n))^2 \int_{B(p_i, 1)} |g_i^j|^2 = (C_1(n))^2.$$

Here $C(n), C_1(n)$ are positive constants depending only on n . Therefore, Theorem 5 implies that for $x \in B(p_i, r)$,

$$|g_i^j(x)| \leq C_1(n)(2r)^d.$$

Here $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Cheng and Yau’s gradient estimate [9] implies that

$$|\nabla g_i^j| \leq C_2(n)r^{d-1}$$

in $B(p_i, r)$ for any i and $r > 0$. By the Arzela–Ascoli theorem and Lemma 1, we may assume that g_i^j converges to complex harmonic functions $f_j(j = 1, \dots, k)$ on N_∞ with respect to ν_∞ . Let $M_j(r)$ be the maximum of $|f_j(y)|$ for $y \in B(p_\infty, r)$. Uniform convergence and Theorem 5 imply that $\frac{M_j(r)}{r^d}$ is monotonic nonincreasing. Therefore, f_j is of polynomial growth of order d . Moreover, since g_i^j is a unitary frame and the convergence is uniform on $B(p_i, 1)$, $f_j(j = 1, \dots, k)$ satisfies

$$\int_{B(p_\infty, 1)} f_j \overline{f_s} = \delta_{js}.$$

Thus they are linearly independent. Define $A_\infty = \text{span}\{f_j\}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. q.e.d.

Lemma 3 (Ni–Tam). *Let M^n be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with nonnegative bisectional curvature. Suppose the universal cover \tilde{M} does not split as a product of two Kähler manifolds and there exists a nonconstant holomorphic function with polynomial growth; then*

$\dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M)) \geq cd^n$ for all sufficiently large d . Here c is a positive constant depending only on M .

Proof. The proof is an application of the standard L^2 estimate [13] and the Ni–Tam theory on plurisubharmonic functions [19]. For the reader’s convenience, we include the details. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{O}_d(M)$ for some $d > 0$ and f is not constant. Let $H(x, y, t)$ be the heat kernel on M . Define

$$g_t(x) = \int H(x, y, t) \log(|f(y)|^2 + 1) dy$$

where $H(x, y, t)$ is the heat kernel on M . Then $g_t(x)$ satisfies the heat equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)g_t(x) = 0$$

with initial condition $g_0(x) = \log(|f(x)|^2 + 1)$. It is easy to see that $g_0(x)$ is a plurisubharmonic function. Define $g(x) = g_1(x)$. Since the universal cover of M does not split, by results of Ni and Tam [19] (Theorem 3.1, theorem 2.1, Corollary 1.4 in [19]), $g_t(x)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on M for $t > 0$; $(\partial\bar{\partial}g(x))^n > 0$; $g(x)$ is of logarithmic growth: $0 \leq g(x) \leq C_1 \log(r + 1)$ for some constant $C_1 > 0$. Let $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ be the local coordinate near a point $p \in M$. Let $h_i = \varphi(x)z_i$, where $\varphi(x)$ is a cut-off function that has support inside the local coordinate neighborhood. Let $\theta_i = \bar{\partial}h_i$. Now apply Theorem 3.2 in [18], with E being the anti-canonical line bundle. We have functions η_i such that $\bar{\partial}\eta_i = \theta_i$ and $\eta_i(p) = 0$. Moreover, η_i satisfies

$$(2) \quad \int_M |\eta_i|^2 \exp(-Cg(x)) < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that $f_i = \theta_i - \eta_i$ are holomorphic and form a coordinate system near p . Moreover, f_i satisfies (2). Applying the mean value inequality of [16], we conclude that f_i are of polynomial growth. The lower bound of $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M))$ follows from simple dimension counting.

q.e.d.

We go back to the proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$h_d = \dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M_i, q_i, g_i)) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M)).$$

By Lemma 3, there exists a positive constant c independent of d so that

$$(3) \quad h_d \geq cd^n$$

for all large d . Recall that (M_i, q_i, g_i) pointed converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0, \nu)$ where ν is proportional to the standard measure of \mathbb{R}^k . Lemma 2 says a sequence of unitary frames of $\mathcal{O}_d(M_i, q_i, g_i)$ converges to linearly independent complex harmonic functions $f_s (s = 1, \dots, h_d)$ on $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0, \nu)$. Note that f_s are harmonic with respect to the standard volume form of \mathbb{R}^k .

Remark. In this case, we cannot say f_s are “holomorphic,” as \mathbb{R}^k does not necessarily inherit a complex structure from M_i . For example, k might be odd.

There are only two cases:

Case 1: $k \leq n$. In this case, just observe that

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{R}^k)) \leq C(k)d^{k-1} \leq C(k)d^{n-1}.$$

We have a contradiction, as

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{R}^k)) \geq \dim(\text{span}\{f_s\}) = h_d \geq cd^n$$

for sufficiently large d .

Case 2: $2n - 1 \geq k \geq n + 1$. The argument in Case 1 no longer works. However, we shall prove that f_s are “more” than harmonic on \mathbb{R}^k . In what follows, we will denote by $\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_k | \dots)$ any nonnegative functions depending on u_1, \dots, u_k and some additional parameters such that when these parameters are fixed,

$$\lim_{u_1, \dots, u_k \rightarrow 0} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_k | \dots) = 0.$$

We also let $C(n)$ be positive constants depending only on n . The value of $C(n)$ might change from line to line.

Recall the Cheeger–Colding theory [2]. Since (M_i, q_i, g_i) converges to $(\mathbb{R}^k, 0)$ in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense, given any $R > 1$, there exist harmonic functions $b_j (j = 1, \dots, k)$ on $B(q_i, 3R)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} \sum_j |\nabla(\nabla b_j)|^2 + \sum_{j,l} |\langle \nabla b_j, \nabla b_l \rangle - \delta_{jl}|^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i} | R, n\right)$$

and

$$(5) \quad |\nabla b_j| \leq C(n)$$

in $B(q_i, 2R)$. Moreover, when taking a diagonal sequence with $R \rightarrow \infty$, these b_j converge to the standard coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^k .

Since M_i is Kähler, $J\nabla b_j$ satisfies (4) and (5). That is, we replace ∇b_j by $J\nabla b_j$. The key observation is that if $k \geq n + 1$, in the average sense, $\text{span}\{\nabla b_j\}$ will have nonzero intersection with $\text{span}\{J\nabla b_j\}$ due to dimension reasons. This will give a linear complex structure for some directions of \mathbb{R}^k . Then we can reduce the upper bound of the dimension of $\text{span}\{f_s\}$.

Definition. We say a sequence of vector fields $s_l^i (l = 1, \dots, N)$ are almost orthonormal in $B(q_i, 2R)$ if $\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} \sum_l |\nabla s_l^i|^2 + \sum_{m,l} |\langle s_l^i, s_m^i \rangle - \delta_{ml}|^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i} | n, R\right)$.

Claim 1. Let $s_l^i (l = 1, \dots, N)$ be a sequence of almost orthonormal vector fields in $B(q_i, 2R)$. Then $N \leq 2n$.

Proof. There exists a point $x_i \in B(q_i, 2R)$ such that $\sum_{m,l} |\langle s_l^i(x_i), s_m^i(x_i) \rangle - \delta_{ml}|^2 \leq \Phi(\frac{1}{i}|R, n)$. Suppose $N > 2n$. If i is large, there is a contradiction from linear algebra. q.e.d.

The following is just a Schmidt orthogonalization. The argument is rather standard. However, for completeness, we include the details.

Note that ∇b_j are almost orthogonal. Define

$$(6) \quad \lambda_{j,1} = \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} \langle \nabla b_j, J\nabla b_1 \rangle.$$

Obviously, $|\lambda_{j,1}| \leq C(n)$. Let

$$(7) \quad e_1 = J\nabla b_1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{j,1} \nabla b_j.$$

Claim 2. $\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |\langle e_1, \nabla b_j \rangle|^2 = \Phi(\frac{1}{i}|n, R)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Define a function

$$(8) \quad s_j(x) = \langle J\nabla b_1, \nabla b_j \rangle.$$

By Buser [1], on M_i , we have the Neumann–Poincare inequality

$$(9) \quad \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |s_j(x) - \bar{s}_j|^2 \leq C(n)R^2 \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |\nabla s_j|^2.$$

Note

$$(10) \quad \bar{s}_j = \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} s_j(x) = \lambda_{j,1}.$$

Also

$$(11) \quad |\nabla s_j| \leq |\nabla^2 b_1| |\nabla b_j| + |\nabla b_1| |\nabla^2 b_j|.$$

From (4), (5), and (11),

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} C(n)R^2 \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |\nabla s_j|^2 &\leq C(n)R^2 \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} (|\nabla^2 b_1| |\nabla b_j| + |\nabla b_1| |\nabla^2 b_j|)^2 \\ &\leq 2C(n)R^2 \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} (|\nabla^2 b_1|^2 |\nabla b_j|^2 + |\nabla b_1|^2 |\nabla^2 b_j|^2) \\ &\leq \Phi(\frac{1}{i}|R, n). \end{aligned}$$

By (4)–(12),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |\langle e_1, \nabla b_j \rangle|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |s_j - \lambda_{j,1} \langle \nabla b_j, \nabla b_j \rangle \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{s \neq j} \lambda_{s,1} \langle \nabla b_s, \nabla b_j \rangle|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 (13) \qquad &\leq \left(\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |s_j - \bar{s}_j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + |\lambda_{j,1}| \left(\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} (|\nabla b_j|^2 - 1)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{l \neq j} |\lambda_{l,1}| \left(\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |\langle \nabla b_j, \nabla b_l \rangle|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i} |R, n\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

If no subsequence of $\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |e_1|^2$ is converging to 0, we can rescale e_1 , which we still call e_1 so that $\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |e_1|^2 = 1$. Since the rescale factor is bounded from above (independent of i), we still have Claim 2. Thus $\{\nabla b_j, e_1\}$ become almost orthonormal. Define

$$(14) \qquad e_2 = J\nabla b_2 - \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{j,2} \nabla b_j - \mu_2 e_1.$$

Here

$$(15) \qquad \lambda_{j,2} = \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} \langle \nabla b_j, J\nabla b_2 \rangle; \mu_2 = \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} \langle e_1, J\nabla b_2 \rangle.$$

It is easy to check that e_2 satisfies claim 2. If no subsequence of $\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |e_2|^2$ is converging to 0, we can rescale it again. Then we continue to define e_3, e_4 and so on. Note that in general, e_s is a linear combination of $\nabla b_1, \dots, \nabla b_k, J\nabla b_1, \dots, J\nabla b_s$. By the assumption of Case 2, $2k \geq 2(n + 1) > \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$. According to claim 1, this process must stop at some e_λ for $1 \leq \lambda \leq 2n - k + 1 < k$ for dimension reasons. That is, $\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |e_\lambda|^2$ is converging to zero for some subsequence. Passing to that subsequence, we may assume that

$$\int_{B(q_i, 2R)} \left| J\nabla b_\lambda - \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \nabla b_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} s_j J\nabla b_j \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

for some constants c_j and s_j . Define $b'_1 = \frac{b_{\lambda} - \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} s_j b_j}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} s_j^2}}, b'_2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k c_j b_j}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda-1} s_j^2}}$.

By a linear transformation of b_1, \dots, b_k , we can easily extend b'_1, b'_2 to b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_k , which satisfy (4) and (5). Observe that

$$(16) \quad \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |J\nabla b'_1 - \nabla b'_2|^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i}|n, R\right).$$

Then we define e'_3, e'_4 , and so on, similar to as before (e'_2 is skipped for an obvious reason). Assume that the process stops at $e'_{\lambda'}$. Then $3 \leq \lambda' \leq 2n - k + 3$ due to dimension reasons. Suppose $2n - k + 3 < k$, that is, $k > n + 1$. Then, as before, we have

$$(17) \quad \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |J\nabla b'_{\lambda'} - \sum_{j=1}^k c'_j \nabla b'_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda'-1} s'_j J\nabla b'_j|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

for some constants c'_j and s'_j . By (16) and the almost orthogonality of $(\nabla b'_1, \dots, \nabla b'_k), \nabla b'_1, \nabla b'_2$ are almost orthonormal to $\nabla b'_j, J\nabla b'_j$ for $j \geq 3$. Then we have

$$(18) \quad \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |J\nabla b'_{\lambda'} - \sum_{j=3}^k c'_j \nabla b'_j - \sum_{j=3}^{\lambda'-1} s'_j J\nabla b'_j|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Define $b''_1 = b'_1, b''_2 = b'_2, b''_3 = \frac{b'_{\lambda'} - \sum_{j=3}^{\lambda'-1} s'_j b'_j}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{j=3}^{\lambda'-1} (s'_j)^2}}, b''_4 = \frac{\sum_{j=3}^k c'_j b'_j}{\sqrt{1 + \sum_{j=3}^{\lambda'-1} (s'_j)^2}}$. Note

$b''_1, b''_2, b''_3, b''_4$ are almost orthogonal. By a linear transformation of b'_1, \dots, b'_k , we can easily extend the functions to $b''_1, b''_2, \dots, b''_k$, which satisfy (4) and (5). (18) and (16) imply

$$(19) \quad \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |J\nabla b''_1 - \nabla b''_2|^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i}|n, R\right); \int_{B(q_i, 2R)} |J\nabla b''_3 - \nabla b''_4|^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i}|n, R\right).$$

Continuing the process as above, after certain linear transformations, we may assume that $\nabla b_j (j = 1, \dots, k)$ satisfy (4), (5), and

$$(20) \quad \int_{B(q_i, R)} |J\nabla b_{2s-1} - \nabla b_{2s}|^2 \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i}|n, R\right)$$

for $1 \leq s \leq k - n$. By taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ and a diagonal subsequence argument, we can define a “partial” complex structure on the limit space \mathbb{R}^k :

$$(21) \quad J\nabla b_{2s-1} = \nabla b_{2s}, J\nabla b_{2s} = -\nabla b_{2s-1}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq k-n$. Therefore, we can write $\mathbb{R}^k = \mathbb{C}^{k-n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-k}$. Note that there is no ambiguity on the complex structure J in different spaces: just check the space first.

Lemma 4. *The functions f_s are holomorphic on the \mathbb{C}^{k-n} factor of \mathbb{R}^k .*

Proof. Let $f_s = u + \sqrt{-1}v$, where u and v are real harmonic functions on \mathbb{R}^k . By (21), we just need to verify the Cauchy–Riemann equation for f_s along ∇b_1 and ∇b_2 . Given any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, consider a smooth function $\lambda = \lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ supported in $B(x, 1)$. Take $R = |x| + 3$. We may assume that $g_i \in \mathcal{O}_d(M_i, q_i, g_i)$ converges uniformly in any compact set to f_s . Let $g_i = u_i + \sqrt{-1}v_i$, where u_i and v_i are real pluriharmonic functions on M_i . Moreover,

$$(22) \quad |u_i|, |v_i|, |\nabla u_i|, |\nabla v_i| \leq C(R, d, n)$$

in $B(q_i, R)$. By the Cauchy–Riemann equation, $\langle \nabla u_i, \nabla b_1 \rangle = \langle \nabla v_i, J\nabla b_1 \rangle$. Note that for sufficiently large i , $\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ is supported in $B(q_i, R) \subset M_i$ (here, for $x \in B(q_i, R)$, $\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ is defined by $\lambda(b_1(x), \dots, b_k(x))$). (20) and (22) imply

$$(23) \quad \left| \int_{B(q_i, R)} \lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k) \langle \nabla v_i, J\nabla b_1 \rangle - \int_{B(q_i, R)} \lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k) \langle \nabla v_i, \nabla b_2 \rangle \right| \leq \Phi\left(\frac{1}{i} \mid n\right)$$

Here R and λ are already fixed. As b_i are harmonic, (4), (5), and (22) imply

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B(q_i, R)} \lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k) \langle \nabla u_i, \nabla b_1 \rangle &= - \int_{B(q_i, R)} u_i \langle \nabla(\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k)), \nabla b_1 \rangle \\ &= - \int_{B(q_i, R)} u_i \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial b_j} \langle \nabla b_j, \nabla b_1 \rangle \\ &\rightarrow - \int_{B(0, R)} u \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial b_1} \\ &= \int_{B(0, R)} \lambda \langle \nabla u, \nabla b_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(25) \quad \int_{B(q_i, R)} \lambda(b_1, \dots, b_k) \langle \nabla v_i, \nabla b_2 \rangle \rightarrow \int_{B(0, R)} \lambda \langle \nabla v, \nabla b_2 \rangle.$$

By (23)–(25) and the fact that λ is supported in $B(x, 1)$, we find

$$\int_{B(x, 1)} \lambda \langle \nabla u, \nabla b_1 \rangle = \int_{B(x, 1)} \lambda \langle \nabla v, \nabla b_2 \rangle.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{B(x,1)} \lambda \langle \nabla v, \nabla b_1 \rangle = \int_{B(x,1)} -\lambda \langle \nabla u, \nabla b_2 \rangle.$$

Since λ is arbitrary,

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla b_1 \rangle = \langle \nabla v, \nabla b_2 \rangle, \langle \nabla v, \nabla b_1 \rangle = -\langle \nabla u, \nabla b_2 \rangle$$

at x . This concludes the proof of the lemma.

q.e.d.

Let $H(d, k)$ be the space of complex harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^k with polynomial growth rate d . We identify $\mathbb{R}^k = \mathbb{C}^{k-n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-k}$. Let $E(d, n, k)$ be the subspace of $H(d, k)$ so that the restriction to the \mathbb{C}^{k-n} factor is holomorphic. Then

$$E(d, n, k) \subset \text{span}\{fg\},$$

where $f \in \mathcal{O}_d(\mathbb{C}^{k-n})$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{R}^{2n-k})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(E(d, k, n)) &\leq \dim(\mathcal{O}_d(\mathbb{C}^{k-n})) \dim(\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{R}^{2n-k})) \\ (26) \qquad \qquad &\leq C(n, k) d^{k-n} d^{2n-k-1} \\ &= C(n, k) d^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $f_s (s = 1, \dots, h_d)$ are linearly independent. Moreover, $f_s \in E(d, n, k)$ by Lemma 4. Therefore,

$$h_d \leq C(n, k) d^{n-1}.$$

This contradicts (3). The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

4. Proof of the corollaries

Proof of Corollary 1: This directly follows from the proof of Theorem 2. Note that in Theorem 2, the condition \tilde{M} does not split is used only to show Lemma 3. Note that throughout the proof of Corollary 1, we only assume that the Ricci curvature and the holomorphic sectional curvature are nonnegative. This is slightly weaker than the nonnegativity of the bisectional curvature.

Proof of Corollary 2: By the assumption of Corollary 2 and Theorem 1.2 in [20], we can solve the Poincaré–Lelong equation $\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u = Ric$, where Ric is the Ricci form of M . Theorem 1.2 in [20] also implies u is of logarithmic growth. As the Ricci curvature is positive at one point $p \in M$, u is plurisubharmonic and strictly plurisubharmonic at one point. Now we can replace the function $g(x)$ by $u(x)$ in Lemma 3 to deduce that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_d(M)) \geq cd^n$ for all sufficiently large d .

5. Sharp dimension estimates revisited

In this section, we discuss Ni’s sharp dimension estimates (Theorem 1) from the point of view of Theorem 2. We will not include the rigidity part here. Under the assumption of Theorem 1 (without the maximal

volume growth), let $(M_i, g_i, p_i) = (M, \frac{1}{r_i}g, p)$, where r_i is a positive sequence converging to 0. Then it is easy to see that (M_i, g_i, p_i) converges to \mathbb{C}^n . If Theorem 1 is not true for some d , there is a contradiction with Lemma 2.

References

- [1] P. Buser, *A note on the isoperimetric constant*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. **15** (1982), 213–230, MR 0683635, Zbl 0501.53030.
- [2] J. Cheeger & T. Colding, *Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products*, Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 1, 189–237, MR 1405949, Zbl 0865.53037.
- [3] J. Cheeger & T. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, I*, J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), no. 3, 406–480, MR 1484888, Zbl 0902.53034.
- [4] J. Cheeger & T. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, II*, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), no. 1, 13–35, MR 1815410, Zbl 0952.53019.
- [5] J. Cheeger & T. Colding, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, III*, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), no. 1, 37–74, MR 1815411, Zbl 1027.53043.
- [6] B.-L. Chen, X.-Y. Fu, Y. Le and X.-P. Zhu, *Sharp dimension estimates of holomorphic functions and rigidity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 4, 1435–1454, MR 2186981, Zbl 1159.32303.
- [7] J. Cheeger, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 3, 428–517, MR 1708448, Zbl 0942. 58018.
- [8] T. Colding & W. Minicozzi II, *Weyl type bounds for harmonic functions*. Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 257–298, MR 1608571, Zbl 0909.31004.
- [9] S.Y. Cheng & S.T. Yau, *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 333–354, MR 0385749, Zbl 0312.53031.
- [10] Yu. Ding, *Heat kernels and Green's functions on limit spaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **10** (2002), no. 3, 475–514, MR 1912256, Zbl 1018.58013.
- [11] Yu. Ding, *An existence theorem of harmonic functions with polynomial growth*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2003), 543–551, MR 2022380, Zbl 1046.53023.
- [12] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for riemannian and non-riemannian spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 152, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999, MR 1699320, Zbl 0953. 53302.
- [13] L. Hormander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, 3rd edition, North Holland, 1990, MR 1045639, Zbl 0685.32201.
- [14] G. Liu, *Three circle theorems on Kähler manifolds and applications*, arxiv: 1308.0710.
- [15] P. Li & L.-F. Tam, *Complete surfaces with finite total curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991), 139–168, MR 1085138, Zbl 0749.53025.
- [16] P. Li & R. Schoen, *L^p and mean value inequalities for subharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Acta. Math. **153** (1984), 279–303, MR 0766266, Zbl 0556.31105.

- [17] L. Ni, *A monotonicity formula on complete Kähler manifolds with nonnegative bisectional curvature*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), 909–946, MR 2083471, Zbl 1071.58020.
- [18] L. Ni, *Vanishing theorems on complete Kähler manifolds and their applications*, J. Diff. Geom. **50** (1998), 89–122, MR 1678481, Zbl 0963.32010.
- [19] L. Ni & L.-F. Tam, *Plurisubharmonic functions and the structure of complete Kähler manifolds with nonnegative curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **64** (2003), 457–624, MR 2032112, Zbl 1088.32013.
- [20] L. Ni & L.-F. Tam, *Poincaré–Lelong equation via the Hodge–Laplace heat equation*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 11, 1856–1870, MR 3133296, Zbl 1286.53048.
- [21] G.Y. Xu, *Large time behavior of the heat kernel*, J. Diff. Geom. **98** (2014), no. 3, 467–528, MR 3263524, Zbl 1296.53080.
- [22] S.T. Yau, *Open problems in geometry*, Lectures on Differential Geometry, by Schoen and Yau **1** (1994), 365–404, MR 1333601, Zbl 0830.53001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
BERKELEY, CA 94720
E-mail address: gangliu@math.berkeley.edu