Tôhoku Math. Journ. 21(1969), 406-418

ON TRIGONOMETRIC FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

Shigeru Takahashi

(Received September 29, 1968)

1. Intrducition. In [1] we have proved the

THEOREM A. Let $\{n_k\}$ be a sequence of positive integers and $\{a_k\}$ a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying

$$n_{k+1} \ge n_k (1+ck^{-lpha}), \quad (c>0 \ and \ 0 \le lpha \le 1/2),$$

 $A_N^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \to +\infty \ and \ a_N = O(A_N N^{-lpha}), \quad as \ N \to +\infty$

Then for any sequence of real numbers $\{\alpha_k\}$ the trigonometric series $\sum a_k \cos(n_k x + \alpha_k)$ diverges a.e. and also is not a Fourier series.

This theorem was first proved by A. Zygmund for the case $\alpha = 0$, where $\{n_k\}$ has the *Hadamard* gap and the condition $a_N = O(A_N)$, as $N \to +\infty$, holds (c f. [2] p. 203).

The purpose of the present note is to prove the following

THEOREM B. Let r, $1 \leq r < 2$, be any given constants and (c, α) any pair of constants such that

(1.1)
$$(c>0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \text{ or } (c \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha = 1).$$

If a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}$ and a sequence of non-negative real numbers $\{a_k\}$ satisfy the conditions

(1.2)
$$n_{k+1} \ge n_k (1+ck^{-\alpha}),$$

(1.3)
$$A_N^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \to +\infty \quad and \quad a_N = O(A_N^{2/(2-r)} N^{-\alpha}), as \quad N \to +\infty,$$

1) If r=1, a=1 and $\lim_{N\to\infty} a_N=0$, the condition $a_N=O(A_N^2N^{-1})$, as $N\to+\infty$, is impossible.

then for any $\{\alpha_k\}$ the series $\sum a_k \cos(n_k x + \alpha_k)$ is not a Fourier series of a function of $L_r(0, 2\pi)$.

REMARK. Putting $n_k = k$, then $n_{k+1} \ge n_k(1+k^{-1})$, for all k.

If 1 < r < 2 and $0 \le \alpha < 1$, there exists $\{a_k\}$ for which the conditions of Theorem B are satisfied and $\Sigma |a_k|^{r/(r-1)} < +\infty$. But if 1 < r < 2 and $\alpha = 1$, there exists $\{a_k\}$ which does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem B and $\Sigma |a_k|^{r/(r-1)} = +\infty$. (c f. Lemma 3).

On the conditions of Theorem B we can show the following

PROPOSITION. Let $1 \le r < 2$, c > 0 and $0 < \alpha \le 1/2$ and let $\{\varphi(n)\}$ be any given sequence of positive numbers with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(n) = +\infty$. Then there exist $\{n_k\}$ and $\{a_k\}$ for which the conditions

$$n_{k+1} \geq n_k (1+ck^{-\alpha}),$$

$$A_N^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \to +\infty \text{ and } a_N = O(A_N^{2/(2-r)} \varphi(N) N^{-\alpha}), \text{ as } N \to +\infty,$$

are satisfied and the series $\sum a_k \cos n_k x$ is the Fourier series of a function of $L_r(0, 2\pi)$.

By the theorem of W. H. Young it is seen that the above proposition holds for r = 1 and $n_k = k$, that is, c = 1 and $\alpha = 1$ (c f. [2] p. 183 (1.5)).

2. Lemmas of Theorem B. The next lemma is well known.

LEMMA 1. If $f(x) \in L_r(0, 2\pi)$, $r \ge 1$, and $\sigma_n(x; f)$ denotes the n-th (C, 1)mean of the Fourier series of f(x), then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n(x; f) = f(x)$ holds in the sense of L_r -norm.

LEMMA 2. For any trigonometric series $\sum c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k)$ put

$$D_0(x) = \sum_{k \leq 2} c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k) \text{ and } D_m(x) = \sum_{k=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}} c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k), \ (m \geq 1).$$

Then there exists a constant C_0 such that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{\sum_{m=0}^{N} \left| D_{m}(x) \right\}^{4} dx \leq C_{0} \int^{2\pi} \left\{\sum_{m=0}^{N} D_{m}^{2}(x) \right\}^{2} dx, \ (N \geq 0),$$

and also the constant C_0 does not depend on the series.

Lemma 2 is a special case of Theorem (2.1) on p. 224 in [3].

LEMMA 3. If $f(x) \in L_r(0, 2\pi)$, 1 < r < 2, and $f(x) \sim \sum c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k)$, then there exists a constant C_0 such that

$$\left(\sum |c_k|^{r/(r-1)}\right)^{(r-1)/r} \leq C_0 \left\{\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^r dx\right\}^{1/r}.$$

Lemma 3 is a part of the well known theorem of Hausdorff and Young. A sequence of functions $\{f_n(x)\}$ defined over the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ is said to be *uniformly integrable* on the interval if the sequence $\int_0^{2\pi} |f_n(x)| dx$ is bounded and if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{E_n} |f_n(x)| dx = 0$ for every sequence of measurable set $\{E_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} |E_n| = 0^{2}$ and $E_n \subset (0, 2\pi)$.

LEMMA 4. If a uniformly integrable sequence of functions $\{f_n(x)\}$ defined on the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ converges in measure to 0, then we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f_n(x) dx$ = 0.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{E} > 0$, and set $E_n = \{x ; x \in (0, 2\pi), |f_n(x)| > \mathcal{E}\}$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = 0$, in measure, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} |E_n| = 0$: hence, according to our hypothesis, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E_n} |f_n(x)| dx = 0$. Now we have $\int_{E_n^c} |f_n(x)| dx \leq 2\pi \mathcal{E}$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} |f_n(x)| dx = \int_{E_n} |f_n(x)| dx + \int_{E_n^c} |f_n(x)| dx$, and this finishes the proof.

3. Preparations for the Proof of Theorem B. In this paragraph we assume that sequences $\{n_k\}$ and $\{a_k\}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem B. First we put

²⁾ For any measurable set E, |E| denotes its Lebesgue measure.

ON TRIGONOMETRIC FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

(3.1)
$$p(0)=0 \text{ and } p(k)=\max\{m ; n_m \leq 2^k\}, k \geq 1.$$

If p(k)+1 < p(k+1), then from the definition of p(k) we have

$$2 > n_{p(k+1)}/n_{p(k)+1} \ge \prod_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)-1} (1+cm^{-\alpha}),$$

and this implies that

$$\begin{cases} 2 \ge 1 + c \{ p(k+1) - p(k) - 1 \} p^{-\alpha}(k+1), \text{ for } \alpha < 1, \\ 5/2 \ge p(k+1) / \{ p(k) + 1 \}, \text{ for } \alpha = 1 \text{ and } k \ge k_0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

(3.2)
$$p(k+1)-p(k)=O(p^{\alpha}(k)), \text{ as } k \to +\infty$$

and

(3.3)
$$p(k+1) < 3p(k)$$
, for $k \ge k_0$.

LEMMA 5. For any given integers k, j, q and h satisfying

$$\begin{cases} k \ge j+3, & p(j)+1 < h \le p(j+1) \\ p(k)+1 < q \le p(k+1), \end{cases}$$

the total number of solutions (n_r, n_i) of the following equations

$$n_q - n_r = n_h \pm n_i$$
, where $p(j) < i < h$ and $p(k) < r < q$,

is at most $C_0 2^{j-k} p^{\alpha}(k)$, where C_0 does not depend on k, j, q and h.

PROOF. For any solutions (n_r, n_i) of the equations, we have

$$n_r = n_q - (n_h \pm n_i) > n_q - 2^{j+2} > n_q (1 - 2^{j-k+2}) \ge n_q (1 + 2^{j-k+3})^{-1}.$$

Thus, if m_1 (or m_2) denotes the smallest (or the largest) index of n_r 's satisfying either of the equations of the lemma, it is seen that

3) For some k, p(k) may be equal to p(k+1).

$$1+2^{j-k+3} > n_q/n_{m_1} \ge n_{m_2+1}/n_{m_1}$$

$$\ge \prod_{k=m_1}^{m_2} (1+ck^{-\alpha}) \ge 1+c(m_2-m_1+1)p^{-\alpha}(k+1).$$

Hence, by (3.3) we can prove the lemma 3.

Next, we put

(3.4)
$$\begin{cases} T_N(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{p(N+1)} \{1 - n_m (n_{p(N+1)} + 1)^{-1}\} a_m \cos(n_m x + \alpha_m), \\ C_N^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p(N+1)} a_m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad D_N^2 = C_N^2 - C_{N-1}^2, \end{cases}$$

that is, $T_N(x)$ is the $n_{p(N+1)}$ -th (C 1)-mean of $\sum a_m \cos(n_m x + \alpha_m)$.

LEMMA 6. We have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \{T_N^4(x)\} dx = O(C_N^{(8-2r)/(2-r)}), \quad as \ N \to +\infty.$$

PROOF. If we put, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, and $N = 1, 2, \dots,$

(3.5)
$$\Delta_{k,N}(x) = \sum_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} \{1 - n_m (n_{p(N+1)} + 1)^{-1}\} a_m \cos(n_m x + \alpha_m),$$

then by Lemma 2, it is sufficient to show that

(3.6)
$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_{k,N}^2(x) \right\}^2 dx = O(C_N^{(8-2r)/(2-r)}), \text{ as } N \to +\infty.$$

On the other hand we have, by (1.3) and (3.2),

$$\max_{k \le N} \max_{x} |\Delta_{k,N}(x)| \le \max_{k \le N} \sum_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} |a_{m}|$$
$$= O(\max_{k \le N} C_{k}^{2/(2-r)} p^{-\alpha}(k) \{ p(k+1) - p(k) \}) = O(C_{N}^{2/(2-r)}), \text{ as } N \to +\infty,$$

and hence

ON TRIGONOMETRIC FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

(3.7)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{j=k-2}^{k} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_{k,N}^{2}(x) \Delta_{j,N}^{2}(x) dx = O\left(C_{N}^{4/(2-r)} \sum_{k=2}^{N} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_{k,N}^{2}(x) dx\right)$$
$$= O\left(C_{N}^{4/(2-r)} \sum_{k=2}^{N} D_{k}^{2}\right) = O(C_{N}^{(8-2r)/(2-r)}), \text{ as } N \to +\infty.$$

Further, from the definitions of $\Delta_{k,N}(x)$ and D_k , we get

(3.8)
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta_{k,N}^{2}(x) \Delta_{j,N}^{2}(x) \ dx \leq 8\pi D_{k}^{2} D_{j}^{2} + 4 \ \int_{0}^{2\pi} V_{k,N}(x) V_{j,N}(x) dx,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} V_{k,N}(x) = \sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} \sum_{r=p(k)+1}^{q-1} b_{q,N} b_{r,N} \cos(n_q x + \alpha_q) \cos(n_r x + \alpha_r), \\ b_{m,N} = \{1 - n_m (n_{p(N+1)} + 1)^{-1}\} a_m. \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 5 to $V_{k,N}(x)V_{j,N}(x)$, $k \ge j+3$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{0}^{2\pi} V_{k,N}(x) \mathcal{V}_{j,N}(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq C_{0} 2^{j-k} p^{\alpha}(k) \sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} |a_{q}| (\max_{p(k) < r < q} |a_{r}|) \sum_{h=p(j)+2}^{p(j+1)} |a_{h}| (\max_{p(j) < i < h} |a_{i}|). \end{split}$$

Since (1.3) and (3.2) imply that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} |a_q| (\max_{p(k) < r < q} |a_r|) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} |a_q|^2\right)^{1/2} \{p(k+1) - p(k)\}^{1/2} C_k^{2/(2-r)} p^{-\alpha}(k) \\ &= O(D_k C_k^{2/(2-r)} p^{-\alpha/2}(k)), \quad \text{as } k \to +\infty, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=3}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} \left| \int_{0}^{2\pi} V_{k,N}(x) V_{j,N}(x) dx \right| \\ &= O\left(C_{N}^{4/(2-r)} \sum_{k=3}^{N} D_{k} p^{\alpha/2}(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} p^{-\alpha/2}(j) D_{j} \right), \quad \text{as} \quad N \to +\infty. \end{split}$$

On the other hand from (3.3) it is seen that $p(k) < 3^{j-k} p(j)$, for $k_0 < j < k$, and consequently

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} p^{-\alpha/2}(j) D_j = O(p^{-\alpha/2}(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} (2/3^{\alpha/2})^{j-k} D_j)$$
$$= O\left(p^{-\alpha/2}(k) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} (2/3^{\alpha/2})^{j-k} D_j^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\}, \text{ as } k \to +\infty$$

Therefore, we have

Combining (3.7), (3.8) and the above relation we can obtain (3.6).

LEMMA 7. There exists a positive constant C such that

$$C_N^{-2}\int_E T_N^2(x)dx \leq C\left\{\int_E |T_N(x)|^{\tau}dx\right\}^{\frac{2}{4-\tau}}.$$

holds for any measurable set E and $N = 1, 2, \cdots$.

PROOF. We have, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{E} T_{N}^{2}(x) dx \leq \left\{ \int_{E} |T_{N}(x)|^{r} dx \right\}^{\frac{2}{4-r}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} T_{N}^{4}(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{2-r}{4-r}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6 we can complete the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem B. Suppose, on the contrary, that the given series

 $\sum a_k \cos(n_k x + \alpha_k)$, for some $\{\alpha_k\}$, is the Fourier series of a function $f(x) \in L_r(0, 2\pi)$. Then by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, we have

$$(4.1) a_N \to 0, \text{as } N \to +\infty.$$

If r = 1, (1.3), (3.2) and (4.1) imply that

$$D_N^2 = o(\max_{p(N) < m \le p(N+1)} |a_m|) \{ p(N+1) - p(N) \} = o(C_N^2), \text{ as } N \to +\infty,$$

and if 1 < r < 2, (1.3), (3.2) and Lemma 3 imply that

$$D_N^2 \leq (\max_{p(N) < m \leq p(N+1)} |a_m|^{2-r}) \left(\sum_{m=p(N)+1}^{p(N+1)} |a_m|^r \right)$$

= $O(C_N^2 p^{-\alpha(2-r)}(N) \left(\sum_{m=p(N)+1}^{p(N+1)} |a_m|^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \right)^{r-1} \{ p(N+1) - p(N) \}^{2-r}$
= $o(C_N^2)$, as $N \to +\infty$.

Therefore, it is seen that

(4.2)
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} C_N / C_{N-1} = 1.$$

Putting

(4.3)
$$B_N^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{p(N+1)} \{1 - n_m (n_{p(N+1)} + 1)^{-1}\}^2 a_m^2,$$

we have

(4.4)
$$B_N^2 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} T_N^2(x) \ dx$$

and

(4.5)
$$B_N^2 > C_{N-1}^2/4$$
, if $p(N+1) > p(N)$.

Therefore, we have, by (4.2) and (4.5),

(4.6)
$$C_N \ge B_N \ge C_N/3$$
, for $N \ge N_0$,

and consequently, by Lemma 7, for any set $E \subset (0, 2\pi)$ and $N = 1, 2, \cdots$,

(4.7)
$$\int_{E} \{T_{N}(x)/B_{N}\}^{2} dx \leq C' \left\{\int_{E} |T_{N}(x)|^{r} dx\right\}^{2/(4-r)}$$

for some constant C' which does not depend on E and N. Since $T_N(x)$ is the $n_{p(N+1)}$ -th (C, 1)-mean of the Fourier series of f(x), we have, from Lemma 1 and the Minkowski inequality,

(4.8)
$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_E |T_N(x)|^r dx = \int_E |f(x)|^r dx, \text{ uniformly in } E\subset(0.2\pi).$$

From (4.7) and (4.8) it is seen that $\{T_N(x)/B_N\}^2$ is uniformly integrable over the interval $(0, 2\pi)$. Further $T_N^2(x)/B_N^2 \to 0$, in measure, as $N \to +\infty$. Therefore by Lemma 4, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \{T_N(x)/B_N\}^2 dx = 0,$$

and this contradicts with (4.4).

5. Lemmas of the Proposition. First we prove the

LEMMA 8. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \cos kx \ (b_1 \neq 0)$ converges in L_1 -norm, then the series $\sum b_k B_k^{-1} \cos kx$ is the Fourier series of a function of $L_r(0, 2\pi)$, for any r, $1 \leq r < 2$, where $B_N = \left(2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k^{-2}\right)^{1/2}$.

PROOF. It is sufficient to consider the case $B_N \to +\infty$, as $N \to +\infty$, and 1 < r < 2. Putting $S_N(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N b_k \cos kx$, we have, by the Hölder inequality,

(5.1)
$$||S_N||_r \leq ||S_N||_1^{\frac{2-r}{r}} ||S_N||_2^{\frac{2r-2}{r}} = O(B_N^{2-\frac{2}{r}}), \quad \text{as } N \to +\infty.$$

By the partial summation, it is seen that

$$\sum_{k=M}^{N} b_k B_k^{-1} \cos kx = S_N(x) B_N^{-1} - S_{M-1}(x) B_M^{-1} + \sum_{k=M}^{N-1} S_k(x) (B_k^{-1} - B_{k+1}^{-1}),$$

and hence, by the Minkowski inequality and (5.1),

$$\|\sum_{k=M}^{N} b_{k} B_{k}^{-1} \cos kx\|_{r} \leq \|S_{N}\|_{r} B_{N}^{-1} + \|S_{M-1}\|_{r} B_{M}^{-1} + \sum_{k=M}^{N-1} \|S\|_{kr} (B_{k}^{-1} - B_{k+1}^{-1})$$
$$= o(1) + O\left(\sum_{k=M}^{N-1} b_{k}^{2} B_{k}^{-1-\frac{2}{r}}\right) = o(1), \text{ as } M \text{ and } N \to +\infty.$$

Therefore, the series $\sum b_k B_k^{-1} \cos kx$ converges in L_r -norm.

LEMMA 9. Let $\{\rho_j\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\{\rho_j^{-1}\}$ is convex, $\rho_j \leq \log j$ for $j \geq 1$, and $\rho_j \uparrow +\infty$, as $j \to +\infty$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$, $\varepsilon_j = 0$ or 1, satisfying

$$\sum
ho_j{}^2 j^{-1} \mathcal{E}_j < +\infty \ and \qquad \sum
ho_j{}^3 j^{-1} \mathcal{E}_j = +\infty.$$

PROOF. Since $\{\rho_j^{-1}\}$ is positive, convex and non-increasing, $j(\rho_j^{-1} - \rho_{j+1}^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$, as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists a positive number c_0 such that

$$0 < p_j = c_0 j(\rho_j^{-1} - \rho_{j+1}^{-1})\rho_j^{-2} < 1$$
, for $j \ge 1$.

Therefore, we can take a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and asequence of independent random variables $\{X_j(\boldsymbol{\omega})\}$ on it with the following probability distributions;

$$X_{j}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } p_{j}, \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1-p_{j}. \end{cases}$$

Since $\Sigma [E\{(\rho_j^r j^{-1} X_j)^2\} - [E(\rho_j^r j^{-1} X_j)\}^2] \leq \Sigma \rho_j^{2r} j^{-2} < +\infty$, for r = 2 and 3, we have, by the well known theorem of Khintchine and Kolmogorov,

(5.2)
$$P\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\rho_j^r j^{-1} X_j - E(\rho_j^r j^{-1} X_j)\} \text{ converges}\right] = 1, \ (r = 2, 3).$$

On the other hand it is easily seen that

(5.3)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E(\rho_j^r j^{-1} X_j) \begin{cases} < +\infty, & \text{if } r=2, \\ = +\infty, & \text{if } r=3. \end{cases}$$

By (5.2) and (5.3), we can take a point $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$\sum
ho_j{}^2j{}^{-1}X_j(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) < +\infty \text{ and } \sum
ho_j{}^3j{}^{-1}X_j(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0) = +\infty.$$

Putting $\mathcal{E}_j = X_j(\omega_0)$, we can prove the lemma.

6. Proof of the Proposition. I. First let us put

(6.1)
$$\begin{cases} q(j) = [j^{1/\alpha}], \\ l(j) = \min\{[q^{\alpha}(j)/c], q(j+1) - q(j)\}, \\ j_0 = \min\{j; l(j) \ge 1\}.^{4} \end{cases}$$

Since $q(j+1)-q(j) \sim \alpha^{-1} j^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha}$ and $q^{\alpha}(j) \sim j$, as $j \to +\infty, 5$ we have

(6.2)
$$l(j) \sim \begin{cases} j/c, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1/2, \\ j \min(2, 1/c), & \text{if } \alpha = 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Next we put

$$n_1 = 1$$
 and $n_{k+1} = [n_k(1 + ck^{-\alpha}) + 1]$, for $k+1 \leq q(j_0)$,

and if $n_{q(j)}$, $j \ge j_0$, is defined, then we put

$$n_{q(j)+l} = \begin{cases} n_{q(j)}(1+l), & \text{if } 1 \leq l \leq l(j), \\ \\ [n_{q(j)+l-1}\{1+cq^{-\alpha}(j)\}+1], & \text{if } l(j) < l \leq q(j+1)-q(j). \end{cases}$$

Then (6.2) and $q^{\alpha}(j) \sim j$, as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, imply that $n_{k+1} \ge n_k (1+ck^{-\alpha})$.

II. It is well known that we can take a sequence $\{\rho(j)\}$ such that $0 < \rho(j) < \min \{\varphi^{1/2}(j), \log j\}, \{1/\rho(j)\}$ is convex and $\rho(j)\uparrow +\infty$, as $j\to +\infty$. On the other hand there exists an integrable function f(x) such that $f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \cos kx$ and

(6.3)
$$c_n \ge \{\rho([n^{1/2}])\}^{-1/2}, \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

Further, by Lemma 9 we can take a sequence $\mathcal{E}_j(\mathcal{E}_j=0 \text{ or } 1)$ for which

(6.4)
$$\sum \rho^2(j)j^{-1}\mathcal{E}_j < +\infty \text{ and } \sum \rho^3(j)j^{-1}\mathcal{E}_j = +\infty.$$

⁴⁾ For real number x, [x] denotes the integral part of x.

⁵⁾ For two sequences $\{d_k\}$ and $\{e_k\}$, $d_k \sim e_k$, as $k \to +\infty$, means that $\lim_{k \to \infty} d_k/e_k = 1$.

Using the above defined quantities, we put b_k as follows: If k = q(j) + l, for $j \ge j_0$, $0 \le l \le l(j)$ and $\varepsilon_j = 1$, then

(6.5)
$$b_k = \rho^2(j)j^{-1}[1-(l+1)\{l(j)+1\}^{-1}]c_{l+1},$$

and if otherwise, then

(6.5')
$$b_k = k^{-2}$$
.

Then it is seen that if $j \ge j_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_j = 1$,

$$\sum_{l=0}^{l(j)} b_{q(j+1)} \cos n_{q(j)+l} x = \rho^2(j) j^{-1} \varepsilon_j \sigma_{l(j)}(n_{q(j)} x; f),$$

where $\sigma_n(x;f)$ denotes the *n*-th (C,1)-mean of the Fourier series of f(x). Therefore, putting $S_n(x) = \sum_{l=1}^n c_l \cos lx$ we have

$$\begin{split} &\max_{m \leq l(j)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{m} b_{q(j)+l} \cos n_{q(j)+l} x \right| dx \\ &\leq j^{-1} \rho^{2}(j) \max_{m \leq l(j)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} [1 - l\{l(j)+1\}^{-1}] c_{l} \cos lx \right| dx \\ &\leq j^{-1} \rho^{2}(j) \max_{m \leq l(j)} \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |S_{m+1}(x)| dx + \{l(j)+1\}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |S_{l}(x)| dx \\ &= O(j^{-1} \rho^{2}(j) \log l(j)) = o(1), \quad \text{as } j \to +\infty, \end{split}$$

and, if $\varepsilon_j = 1$, we have, by Lemma 1,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{l(j)} b_{q(j)+l} \cos n_{q(j)+l} x \right| dx < \rho^{2}(j) j^{-1} C_{0}, \text{ for some } C_{0} > 0.$$

Hence, by (6.4) and (6.5'),

(6.6)
$$\sum b_k \cos n_k x$$
 converges in L_1 -norm.

Further, we have, by (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4),

(6.7)
$$2B_{q(m)+l(m)}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{q(m)+l(m)} b_{k}^{2} \ge \sum_{j=j_{0}}^{m} \sum_{l=0}^{l(i)} b_{q(j)+l}^{2}$$
$$\ge \sum_{j=j_{0}}^{m} \rho^{4}(j) j^{-2} \mathcal{E}_{j} \sum_{l=0}^{l(j)} [1 - (l+1) \{l(j)+1\}^{-1}]^{2} c_{l+1}^{2}$$
$$\ge \beta \sum_{j=j_{0}}^{m} \rho^{3}(j) j^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{j} \to +\infty, \quad \text{as } m \to +\infty,$$

and since $q^{\alpha}(j) \sim j$, as $j \rightarrow +\infty$,

(6.8)
$$b_k = O(\rho^2(k)k^{-\alpha}) = O(\varphi(k)k^{-\alpha}), \quad \text{as } k \to +\infty.$$

III. Putting $a_k = b_k B_k^{-1}$, then Lemma 8 and (6.6) imply that $\Sigma a_k \cos n_k x$ is the Fourier series of a function of $L_r(0, 2\pi)$, $1 \leq r < 2$, and by (6.7) and (6.8),

$$\begin{cases} A_N^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N b_k^2 B_k^{-2} \to +\infty, \\ a_N = o(b_N) = O(\varphi(N) N^{-a}) = O(A_N^{2/(2-r)} \varphi(N) N^{-a}), \quad \text{as } N \to +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can complete the proof of the proposition.

References

[1] S. TAKAHASHI, On the lacunary Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 19(1967), 79-85.

[2] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric Series, Vol. I., Cambridge University Press, 1959.

[3] A. ZYGMUND, Ibid., Vol. II.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS Kanazawa University Kanazawa, Japan