

## ON TWO PROBLEMS OF ELECTRICAL HEATING OF CONDUCTORS

By

GIOVANNI CIMATTI

*Universita di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*

**Abstract.** The thermal effects of the currents induced in a massive conductor by an external slowly varying magnetic field are studied with regard to existence and uniqueness of solutions. In the first part a theorem of existence of solution is also given for the thermistor problem with a current limiting device.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we study various problems related to the Joule heating of a conductor. In Sec. 2 a theorem of existence is given for a version of the "thermistor problem" first proposed in [3] and then studied for special boundary conditions in [1]. The boundary conditions here considered are quite general and the same methods apply even to more general situations. In Sec. 3 a model is proposed for describing the Joule heating due to the eddy currents in a very long cylinder when the external variable magnetic field is parallel to the axis of the cylinder. A theorem of existence is given for this problem.

**2. The thermistor problem with a current limiting device.** Let us consider a solid body conductor of heat and electricity represented by an open, bounded, and connected subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The boundary  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$  consists of three parts,  $S_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , such that  $S_0 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  when  $i \neq j$ , and  $\partial\Omega = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$ .  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  represent the metallic electrodes to which a difference of potential is applied.  $S_2$  is insulated both thermally and electrically. The conductor is connected in series with a current limiting device of total resistance  $R$ . Steady conditions are supposed.  $\mathbf{J}$  is the current density,  $\mathbf{E}$  the electric field,  $\mathbf{q}$  the heat flow, and  $u$  the temperature. The electric and thermal conductivities are given functions of the temperature denoted by  $\sigma(u)$  and  $\kappa(u)$  respectively. From the constitutive relations

$$\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u, \quad (2.1)$$

and the usual conservation laws

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad (2.2)$$

we obtain, since  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi$ ,

$$\nabla \bullet (\sigma(u)\nabla\varphi) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$-\nabla \bullet (\kappa(u)\nabla u) = \sigma(u)|\nabla\varphi|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Denoting by  $\mathbf{n}$  the exterior pointing unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ , we have

$$i = - \int_{S_0} \mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{n} ds = \int_{S_0} \sigma(u) \frac{d\varphi}{dn} ds \quad (2.5)$$

for the total current  $i$  entering through  $S_0$ . The surfaces  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are almost exactly equipotential, hence we have

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } S_0, \quad \varphi = \varphi_1 \quad \text{on } S_1, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\varphi_1$  is an unknown constant satisfying the condition

$$V - R \int_{S_1} \sigma(u) \frac{d\varphi}{dn} ds - \varphi_1 = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

which follows from  $V = \varphi_1 + Ri$ .  $V$  is the total difference of applied potential, a given positive constant. Since  $S_2$  is insulated, we have

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{du}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2. \quad (2.9)$$

On the remaining part of the boundary we assume for the temperature a Dirichlet boundary condition, i.e.,

$$u = u_0 \quad \text{on } S_0 \cup S_1, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $u_0 \geq 0$  is the restriction to  $S_0 \cup S_1$  of a  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ -function. We arrive to the following problem  $\text{Pb}_1$ :

Find  $\varphi(x)$  and  $u(x)$  such that (2.3) and (2.4) hold in  $\Omega$ . Moreover (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) must be satisfied on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Assume

$$\sigma(\zeta) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^1), \quad \kappa(\zeta) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^1), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma(\zeta) \geq \sigma_0 > 0 \quad \forall \zeta \geq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\kappa(\zeta) > 0 \quad \forall \zeta \geq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\kappa(\zeta)}{\sigma(\zeta)} d\zeta = \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

In the sequel we need the following

**LEMMA 2.1.** Given  $a(x) \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $b(x) \in C^0(S_1)$ , and a constant  $V > 0$  such that

$$a(x) \geq a_0 > 0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$b(x) \geq b_0 > 0, \quad x \in S_1, \quad (2.16)$$

the problem

$$\nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla\varphi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } S_0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\varphi = V - \int_{S_1} b \frac{d\varphi}{dn} ds \quad \text{on } S_1, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2 \quad (2.20)$$

has one and only one regular solution.

*Proof. Uniqueness.* Let  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  be two solutions. Define  $\psi = \varphi - \varphi'$ . The function  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla\psi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } S_0, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\psi = - \int_{S_1} b \frac{d\psi}{dn} ds \quad \text{on } S_1, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\frac{d\psi}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2. \quad (2.24)$$

Let  $\psi_1$  be the constant value of  $\psi$  on  $S_1$ . We claim that  $\psi_1 \geq 0$ . Assume by contradiction  $\psi_1 < 0$ . By the maximum principle in Hopf's form [7] we have  $\frac{d\psi}{dn} < 0$  on  $S_1$ , hence by (2.23) we obtain  $\psi_1 > 0$ . Similarly we have  $\psi_1 \leq 0$ . Therefore  $\psi_1 = 0$ . This implies  $\psi = 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

*Existence.* Let  $\Gamma \in [0, V]$  and  $\varphi(x; \Gamma)$  be the unique solution of the problem

$$\nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla\varphi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } S_0, \quad \varphi = \Gamma \quad \text{on } S_1, \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2.$$

By standard results,  $\varphi(x; \Gamma)$  is regular and depends continuously on  $\Gamma$ . Define

$$g(\Gamma) = V - \Gamma - \int_{S_1} b \frac{d\varphi}{dn} ds.$$

We have  $g(\Gamma) \in C^0[0, V]$ ,  $g(0) = V$ , and  $g(V) = - \int_{S_1} b \frac{d\varphi}{dn} ds < 0$ , since  $\frac{d\varphi}{dn} > 0$  on  $S_1$ . Hence there exists  $\phi_0 \in (0, V)$  such that  $g(\phi_0) = 0$ . The solution of problem (2.17)–(2.20) is given by  $\varphi(x; \phi_0)$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.2.** Let  $(\varphi, u)$  be any solution of problem  $\text{Pb}_1$ . We have

$$0 < \varphi < V \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dn} > 0 \quad \text{on } S_1. \quad (2.26)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_1$  be the constant value of  $\varphi$  on  $S_1$ . We claim that  $\varphi_1 > 0$ . By contradiction, let  $\varphi_1 = 0$ . This implies  $\varphi = 0$  in  $\Omega$  against (2.7) since  $V > 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\varphi_1 < 0$  we have, by the maximum principle,  $\frac{d\varphi}{dn} < 0$  on  $S_1$

which contradicts (2.7). Hence  $\varphi_1 > 0$ . Therefore  $\frac{d\varphi}{dn} > 0$  on  $S_1$  and by (2.7) we have  $\varphi_1 < V$ . Again by the maximum principle we conclude that (2.25) and (2.26) hold.  $\square$

Define  $u_m = \min_{S_0 \cup S_1} u_0$  and  $u_M = \max_{S_0 \cup S_1} u_0$ . We introduce now the basic transformation of the problem. Define:

$$F(u) = \int_{u_m}^u \frac{\kappa(t)}{\sigma(t)} dt \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$\theta = \varphi^2/2 + F(u). \quad (2.28)$$

If  $(\varphi(x), u(x))$  is a solution of problem  $\text{Pb}_1$ , it is easy to verify that  $\theta(x)$  given by (2.28) satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma(u)\nabla\theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (2.29)$$

Moreover, evaluating  $\theta(x)$  on  $\partial\Omega$  we have  $\theta = \theta_0(x)$  on  $S_0$  with  $\theta_0(x) = F(u_0(x)) \geq 0$ ,  $\theta = \theta_1(x)$  on  $S_1$ , where  $\theta_1(x) = F(u_0(x)) + \varphi_1^2/2$ ,  $x \in S_1$ , and  $\frac{d\theta}{dn} = 0$  on  $S_2$  by (2.8), (2.9). Define

$$\theta_M = V^2/2 + F(u_M). \quad (2.30)$$

We have

$$0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_M \quad \text{on } S_0 \cup S_1 \quad (2.31)$$

by (2.25) and, by the maximum principle,

$$0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_M \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}. \quad (2.32)$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) = \infty$  and  $F'(t) > 0$ , we can consider

$$u = F^{-1}(\theta - \varphi^2/2). \quad (2.33)$$

Let us define

$$\hat{u} = \max_{(\varphi, \theta) \in [0, \theta_M] \times [0, V]} F^{-1}(\theta - \varphi^2/2).$$

By (2.25) and (2.32) we have

$$0 \leq u(x) \leq \hat{u} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}. \quad (2.34)$$

We are now in a position to state the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 2.1.** There exists at least a regular solution of problem  $\text{Pb}_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{w(x) \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}), 0 \leq w(x) \leq \hat{u}\}$ . Fix  $w(x) \in A$  and consider the linear problem

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma(w)\nabla\varphi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.35)$$

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } S_0, \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\varphi + R \int_{S_1} \sigma(w) \frac{d\varphi}{dn} ds = V \quad \text{on } S_1. \quad (2.37)$$

By Lemma 2.1 there exists one and only one solution  $\varphi \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , of problem (2.35)–(2.37). Besides, we have  $0 \leq \varphi \leq V$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  and, by standard results of regularity,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_1, \tag{2.38}$$

where the constant  $C_1$  depends only on  $\sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $V$ , and  $\Omega$ . Next we solve the problem

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma(w)\nabla\theta) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{2.39}$$

$$\theta = F(u_0) \quad \text{on } S_0, \quad \theta = F(u_0) + \frac{\varphi_1^2}{2} \quad \text{on } S_1, \quad \frac{d\theta}{dn} = 0 \quad \text{on } S_2, \tag{2.40}$$

where  $\varphi_1$  is the constant value on  $S_1$  of the solution  $\varphi(x)$  of (2.35)–(2.37). As in (2.32) we have  $0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_M$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\theta \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, by elliptic estimates, we obtain

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_2, \quad \beta \in (0, 1), \tag{2.41}$$

where the constant  $C_2$  depends only on the data and not on  $w$ . Define

$$u(x) = F^{-1}(\varphi^2(x)/2 - \theta(x)).$$

We get  $0 \leq u \leq \hat{u}$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  and

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_3, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1). \tag{2.42}$$

It follows that the mapping  $u = Tw$  maps  $A$  into a compact subset of  $A$ . It is also easy to check that  $T$  is continuous. Hence by the fixed point theorem of J. Schauder,  $T$  has a fixed point. By the usual bootstrap argument, we conclude that the regularity of the solution of problem  $\text{Pb}_1$  depends only on the assumptions of regularity made on  $\sigma$ ,  $K$ , and  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

REMARK 2.1. Uniqueness is not to be expected for problem  $\text{Pb}_1$  without further hypotheses on  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$ . An example of nonuniqueness is given in [1].

**3. Heating in massive conductor caused by the eddy currents.** In certain industrial processes small pieces of metal are brought to the melting point with the intense Joule-heating generated by the Foucault currents induced in the specimen by an external variable magnetic field. Now the Wiedemann-Franz law relates the electrical and thermal conductivities with the temperature in metals and reads  $\sigma = Ck/u$ , where  $C$  is a universal constant. This implies a substantial variation for  $\sigma$  in the range of temperature practically encountered. In this section we propose a model, valid for a geometrically simple situation, for describing the heating due to the eddy currents. A theorem of existence of solution is also given.

Let us consider a long, conducting, and homogeneous cylinder of magnetic permeability  $\mu$  and orthogonal cross-section  $\Omega$ , an open and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with a regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . An insulating medium of permeability  $\mu_0$  fills the space outside the cylinder. Moreover, a time-periodic magnetic field is given at infinity by

$$\mathbf{H}_\infty = \overline{H}(\tau)\mathbf{i}_3, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\bar{H}(\tau)$  is a  $T$ -periodic regular function and  $\mathbf{i}_3$  is the unit vector parallel to the axis of the cylinder. We want to find the magnetic field  $\mathbf{H}$  everywhere, the current density  $\mathbf{J}$  and the temperature  $U$  in the cylinder corresponding to the Joule heating due to the eddy currents. Given the geometry of the situation, we assume

$$\mathbf{H} = H(X, \tau)\mathbf{i}_3, \quad X = (X_1, X_2). \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.2) the equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

is satisfied.

We assume we operate with quasi-stationary fields, thus we neglect the displacement's current in the Maxwell equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}. \quad (3.4)$$

Outside the cylinder, where  $\mathbf{J} = 0$ , we have

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

i.e., by (3.2),  $\nabla H = 0$ . This implies the constancy in space of  $\mathbf{H}$  in the insulating medium. Inside the cylinder we get, by Ohm's law and (3.4),

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{E} = \rho \nabla H \times \mathbf{i}_3, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\rho = 1/\sigma$ . Recalling that

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \mathbf{H}_\tau \quad (3.8)$$

we obtain from (3.7)

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla H) = \mu H_\tau. \quad (3.9)$$

The energy equation reads in the present case

$$\varepsilon c U_\tau - \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla U) = \rho |\nabla H|^2, \quad (3.10)$$

since the expression for the Joule heating is  $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J} = \rho |\nabla H|^2$ . In (3.10)  $\varepsilon$  is the mass density,  $c$  the specific heat, and  $\kappa$  the thermal conductivity. By the continuity of  $H$  across the surface of the cylinder we obtain

$$H = \bar{H}(\tau) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty). \quad (3.11)$$

In addition, we assume

$$U(X, 0) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

$$U = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty). \quad (3.13)$$

The thermal and electrical conductivities are supposed to be given functions of the temperature.

If  $L$ ,  $H_0$ ,  $U_0$ ,  $\rho_0$ , and  $\kappa_0$  are respectively characteristic constants for length, magnetic field, temperature, resistivity, and thermal conductivity, we can write the basic equations in nondimensional form defining

$$x = X/L, \quad t = \tau/T, \quad h(x, t) = H(Lx, Tt)/H_0, \\ \theta(x, t) = U(Lx, Tt)/U_0, \quad r = \rho/\rho_0, \quad k = \kappa/\kappa_0.$$

Equations (3.9) and (3.10) become

$$a_1 h_t - \nabla \cdot (r(\theta) \nabla h) = 0, \tag{3.14}$$

$$a_2 \theta_t - \nabla \cdot (k(\theta) \nabla \theta) = a_3 r(\theta) |\nabla h|^2, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$  are nondimensional parameters given by

$$a_1 = \frac{\mu L^2}{\rho_0 T}, \quad a_2 = \frac{\varepsilon c L^2}{\kappa_0 T}, \quad a_3 = \frac{\rho_0 H_0^2}{U_0 \kappa_0}. \tag{3.16}$$

We want to treat the case in which the ratio  $a_2/a_1$  is much smaller than 1. This is not an unrealistic assumption for certain highly ferromagnetic substances like Si-Fe crystals for which  $a_2/a_1$ , as computed from the data for  $\mu$  given in [4, p. 374], is of order  $10^{-3}$ . For this reason we neglect, in a rather heuristic way, the time derivative in (3.15). This implies of course the impossibility of satisfying the initial condition  $\theta(x, 0) = 0$ . In this way we study the quasi-stationary situation which occurs after the body is fully heated up. Let us assume

$$r_1 \geq r(\theta) \geq r_0 > 0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$k_1 \geq k(\theta) \geq k_0 > 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Putting

$$F(\theta) = \int_0^\theta k(\zeta) d\zeta, \tag{3.19}$$

we can define a new scale of temperature  $u = F(\theta)$ . By (3.18),  $F$  maps  $[0, \infty)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  one-to-one. Let  $d(u) = r[F^{-1}(u)]$ . We obtain the following problem:

$$h_t - \nabla \cdot (d(u) \nabla h) = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

$$h = h_0(t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \tag{3.21}$$

$$h(x, t) = h(x, t + 1), \tag{3.22}$$

$$-\Delta u = d(u) |\nabla h|^2, \tag{3.23}$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \tag{3.24}$$

where we assume  $h_0(t) = h_0(t + 1)$ . We discuss first of all the nonphysical, but elementary case in which

$$h_0(t) = e^{-i2\pi t}. \tag{3.25}$$

We look for solutions of problem (3.20)–(3.25) of the form  $h(x, t) = e^{-i2\pi t}[v(x) + iw(x)]$ . Substituting in (3.20)–(3.23) we arrive at the following non-linear elliptic system:

$$\nabla \bullet (d(u)\nabla v) - w = 0, \quad v = 1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\nabla \bullet (d(u)\nabla w) + v = 0, \quad w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.27)$$

$$-\Delta u = d(u)[|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla w|^2], \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.28)$$

In view of (3.26), (3.27), and (3.28) we obtain, with a simple calculation,

$$\nabla \bullet [d(u)\nabla \gamma] = 0, \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{w^2}{2} + G(u), \quad G(u) = \int_0^u \frac{dt}{d(t)}.$$

Since  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $d_1 \geq d(u) \geq d_0 > 0$ , we conclude

$$\frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{w^2}{2} + G(u) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.30)$$

On the other hand, by the maximum principle we have  $u \geq 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Hence  $|v|$ ,  $|w|$ , and  $u$  are pointwise bounded by an absolute constant. Moreover, since  $G$  maps  $[0, \infty)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  one-to-one, we can write

$$u = G^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{w^2}{2} \right],$$

and problem (3.26)–(3.28) can be restated as follows:

$$\nabla \bullet [A(v, w)\nabla v] - w = 0, \quad v = 1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\nabla \bullet [A(v, w)\nabla w] + v = 0, \quad w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.32)$$

where  $A(v, w) = d[G^{-1}(\frac{1}{2} - v^2/2 - w^2/2)]$ .

The Schauder's fixed point theorem can be applied quite easily to problem (3.31)–(3.32) and the existence of at least one classical solution can in this way be proved.

**4. Existence for the eddy currents problem.** In this section we study the following problem  $Pb_2$ :

$$h_t - \nabla \bullet (d(u)\nabla h) = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

$$h = h_0(t) \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (3.21)$$

$$h(x, t) = h(x, t + 1), \quad (3.22)$$

where  $h_0(t)$  is now an arbitrary 1-periodic, regular function. Integrating equation (3.23) over one time period we obtain

$$-\Delta u = d(u) \int_0^1 |\nabla h|^2 dt, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (4.1)$$

We want to prove that problem (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), (4.1) has at least one weak solution. Let  $Q = \Omega \times (0, 1)$ ,  $N = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^1$ , and  $S = \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^1$ . Let  $C_0^\infty(Q)$  be



the set of all functions  $\eta(x, t)$  of class  $C^\infty(\bar{N})$ , periodic in  $t$  with period 1 and vanishing near  $S$ . Define  $\mathring{W}_2^{1,0}(Q)$  as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(Q)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|\eta\|_{\mathring{W}_2^{1,0}(Q)} = \left[ \int_Q v^2 dx dt + \int_Q |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2},$$

where  $\nabla\eta = (\eta_{x_1}, \eta_{x_2})$ . Let  $\mathring{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$  be the closure of  $C_0^\infty(Q)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|\eta\|_{\mathring{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)} = \left[ \int_Q v^2 dx dt + \int_Q |\nabla v|^2 dx dt + \int_Q v_t^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2}.$$

As a weak formulation of problem Pb<sub>2</sub> we take

$$h - h_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^{1,0}(Q), \quad \int_Q h \eta_t dx dt - \int_Q d(u) \nabla h \bullet \nabla \eta dx dt = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $\eta \in \mathring{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$ , and

$$u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \int_\Omega \nabla u \bullet \nabla v dx = \int_Q d(u) |\nabla h|^2 v dx dt \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $v \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , where  $\mathring{H}^1(\Omega)$  is the usual Sobolev space obtained as completion of the function  $v(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  vanishing near  $\partial\Omega$  with respect to the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathring{H}^1(\Omega)} = \left[ \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

The main difficulty in treating problem Pb<sub>2</sub> lies in the fact that the left-hand side of Eq. (4.1) belongs "a priori" only to  $L^1(\Omega)$ . For this reason we consider the following sequence of approximating problems Pb<sub>n</sub>:

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial t} - \nabla \bullet (d(u_n) \nabla h_n) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$h_n = h_0 \quad \text{on } S, \quad h_n(x, t) = h_n(x, t + 1), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \Delta \Delta u_n - \Delta u_n = d(u_n) \int_0^1 |\nabla h_n|^2 dt, \quad (4.6)$$

$$u_n = 0, \quad \Delta u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (4.7)$$

and the corresponding weak formulation:  $h_n - h_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^{1,0}(Q)$ ,

$$\int_Q h_n \eta_t dx dt - \int_Q d(u_n) \nabla h_n \bullet \nabla \eta dx dt = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

for all  $\eta \in \mathring{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$ ,

$$u_n \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_\Omega \Delta u_n \Delta v dx + \int_\Omega \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_Q d(u_n) |\nabla h_n|^2 v dx dt,$$

for all  $v \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ .

First of all we derive various “a priori” estimates for the solutions of problem  $Pb_n$ . By the parabolic maximum principle we have in  $Q$ :

$$\min_{[0,1]} h_0(t) \leq h_n(x, t) \leq \max_{[0,1]} h_0(t). \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover if we proceed as in Lemma 3.1 of [2], where a similar situation occurs, we can show that

$$u_n \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since  $u_n \in H^2(\Omega)$ , we have  $h_n - h_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$ . Choosing  $\eta = h_n - h$  in (4.8) we obtain

$$\int_Q d(u_n) |\nabla h_n|^2 dx dt \leq C_1$$

and, by (3.17),

$$\int_Q |\nabla h_n|^2 dx dt \leq C_2, \tag{4.12}$$

where the constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  do not depend on  $n$ . Let us multiply (4.4) by  $h_n u_n$ . Since  $h_n u_n = 0$  on  $S$ , integrating by part over  $\Omega$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} h_n^2 u_n dx + \int_{\Omega} u_n d(u_n) |\nabla h_n|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} h_n d(u_n) \nabla h_n \cdot \nabla u_n dx = 0.$$

By (4.10) and (4.12) we infer

$$\int_Q u_n d(u_n) |\nabla h_n|^2 dx dt \leq C_3 \left[ \int_Q |\nabla u_n|^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2}. \tag{4.13}$$

Selecting  $v = u_n$  in (4.9), we have by (4.13)

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \leq C_3 \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \leq C, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 dx \leq C, \tag{4.15}$$

where again  $C$  is a constant not depending on  $n$ .

The following lemma is a straightforward application of the Schauder fixed point theorem.

**LEMMA 4.1.** For every fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists at least one solution of problem  $Pb_n$ .

*Sketch of Proof.* We omit the index  $n$ . Let  $w \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega)$  and solve, using standard results of linear theory, the problem

$$h - h_0 \in \mathring{W}_2^{1,0}(Q), \quad \int_Q h \eta_t dx dt - \int_Q d(w) \nabla h \cdot \nabla \eta dx dt = 0$$

for all  $\eta \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$ . Then we solve

$$u \in \overset{\circ}{H}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_Q d(u) |\nabla h|^2 v \, dx \, dt$$

for all  $v \in \overset{\circ}{H}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ .

By the estimates (4.12), (4.14), and (4.15) there exists  $R > 0$  such that the map  $u = Tw$  maps the ball  $B_R$  of center zero and radius  $R$  of  $\overset{\circ}{H}^1(\Omega)$  into itself. By (4.15) the set  $T(B_R)$  is compact in  $\overset{\circ}{H}^1(\Omega)$ . Besides,  $T$  is continuous, hence  $T$  has a fixed point and problem  $Pb_n$  a solution.  $\square$

By (4.10), (4.12), and (4.14) we can extract from  $\{h_n, u_n\}$  a subsequence  $\{h_m, u_m\}$  such that

$$h_m \rightarrow h \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(Q), \tag{4.16}$$

$$\nabla h_m \rightarrow \nabla h \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q), \tag{4.17}$$

$$u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(Q), \tag{4.18}$$

$$\nabla u_m \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q), \tag{4.19}$$

$$d(u_m) \rightarrow d(u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(Q), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \tag{4.20}$$

$$d(u_m) \rightarrow d(u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{4.21}$$

By (4.16), (4.17), and (4.20) we can pass to the limit in (4.8). We get

$$h - h_0 \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^{1,0}(Q), \quad \int_Q h \eta_t \, dx \, dt - \int_Q d(u) \nabla h \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx \, dt = 0 \tag{4.22}$$

for all  $\eta \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$ .

From (4.8) and (4.22) we obtain

$$\int_Q d(u_m) \nabla(h_m - h) \bullet \nabla \eta \, dx \, dt - \int_Q (h_m - h) \eta_t \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \int_Q (d(u) - d(u_m)) \nabla h \bullet \nabla \eta \, dx \, dt, \tag{4.23}$$

for all  $\eta \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^{1,1}(Q)$ .

By (4.20) and (4.23) we conclude that

$$\int_Q d(u_m) |\nabla(h_m - h)|^2 \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.24}$$

$$\nabla h_m \rightarrow \nabla h \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(Q). \tag{4.25}$$

On the other hand,

$$d(u_m) |\nabla h_m|^2 = d(u_m) |\nabla(h_m - h)|^2$$

$$+ 2d(u_m) \nabla h \bullet \nabla h_m - d(u_m) |\nabla h|^2,$$

hence we obtain, by (4.24), (4.25), and (4.20),

$$\int_Q d(u_m)|\nabla h_m|^2 dx dt \rightarrow \int_Q d(u)|\nabla h|^2 dx dt. \quad (4.26)$$

From (4.20), (4.25), and (4.26) we have, using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$d(u_m)|\nabla h_m|^2 \rightarrow d(u)|\nabla h|^2 \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(Q). \quad (4.27)$$

We are now in a position to pass to the limit in (4.9) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By (4.15) we infer

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_m \Delta v dx \rightarrow 0,$$

and by (4.19)

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla v dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx.$$

Recalling (4.27) we obtain

$$\int_Q d(u_m)|\nabla h_m|^2 v dx dt \rightarrow \int_Q d(u)|\nabla h|^2 v dx dt.$$

Whence we have, for all  $v \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$u \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_Q d(u)|\nabla h|^2 v dx dt. \quad (4.28)$$

By density, (4.28) holds true also for all  $v \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Hence (4.3) follows. This proves that problem  $\text{Pb}_2$  has at least one weak solution.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Cimatti, *The stationary thermistor problem with a current limiting device*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. **116A**, 79–84 (1990)
- [2] G. Cimatti and G. Prodi, *Existence results for a nonlinear elliptic system modelling a temperature dependent electrical resistor*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **62**, 227–236 (1988)
- [3] A. C. Fowler, S. D. Howison, and E. J. Hinch, *Temperature surges in current-limiting circuit devices*, to appear
- [4] H. A. Haus and J. R. Melcher, *Electromagnetic Fields and Energy*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989
- [5] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968
- [6] J. L. Lions, *Equations Différentielles Operationelles et Problèmes aux Limites*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961
- [7] M. H. Protter and H. F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principle in Partial Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1967