

# On unitary representations and factor sets of covering groups of the real symplectic groups

Dedicated to Professor Hisaaki Yoshizawa on his 60th birthday

By

Tôru UMEMA

(Received October 21, 1982)

## Introduction.

In an attempt to get the metaplectic groups of "higher degree", Kubota presented a Weil type representation for  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  in the papers [7]-[10]. A similar construction of the covering groups of  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  was obtained by Yamazaki [16]. Briefly speaking, they replaced the role of the Fourier transformation in the construction of so-called Weil representation [14] by that of the Fourier-Bessel transformation. In the present paper we treat the case of the real symplectic group  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ , using the Bessel functions of matrix argument defined by Herz [5]. We start from a certain family of unitary operators defined on an open dense subset of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Then this family determines a projective unitary representation of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ . For a closer investigation of matters, we introduce a factor set for the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ , which can be computed explicitly. The purpose of the present paper is to study such a family of unitary operators in connection with the factor set.

Let us explain our results in more detail. Let  $S_m(\mathbf{R})$  be the space of all  $m \times m$  real symmetric matrices and  $P_m$  the space of all  $m \times m$  positive definite real symmetric matrices. For  $\delta > -1$ , we denote by  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on  $P_m$  with respect to the measure  $(\det x)^\delta dx$ , where  $dx$  is the restriction of usual Lebesgue measure on  $S_m(\mathbf{R})$ . We denote three types of elements in  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  by  $d(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $t(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d'(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t c^{-1} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $a, c \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$  and  $b \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ . Corresponding to these elements, we define three types of unitary operators on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  as follows. For  $\varphi \in L^2_\delta(P_m)$ ,

$$d_\delta(a)\varphi(x) = \varphi({}^t a x a) |\det a|^{\delta+p} \quad (a \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})),$$

$$t_\delta(b)\varphi(x) = \varphi(x) \operatorname{etr}(\sqrt{-1} b x) \quad (b \in S_m(\mathbf{R})),$$

$$d'_\delta(c)\varphi(x) = \varphi^*(c^{-1} x {}^t c^{-1}) |\det c|^{-\delta-p} \quad (c \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})).$$

Here  $p = (m+1)/2$ ,  $\operatorname{etr}(a) = \exp(\operatorname{tr}(a))$ , and  $\varphi^*$  is the Hankel transform of  $\varphi$  defined by

$$\varphi^*(x) = \int_{P_m} \varphi(y) A_\delta(x y) (\det y)^\delta dy$$

with the Bessel function  $A_\delta$  of Herz [5]. On the other hand, put

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R}); \det c \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then any element  $\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  is uniquely decomposed in the form  $\sigma = t(b_1)d'(c)t(b_2)$ . Using this decomposition for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}$ , we define a unitary operator  $r_\delta(\sigma)$  on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  by  $r_\delta(\sigma) = t_\delta(b_1)d'_\delta(c)t_\delta(b_2)$ . Let us now state our first theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma'' = \begin{pmatrix} a'' & b'' \\ c'' & d'' \end{pmatrix}$  be three elements in  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\sigma'' = \sigma\sigma'$ . Then it holds that*

$$r_\delta(\sigma)r_\delta(\sigma') = r_\delta(\sigma'')e_\delta(\text{sgn}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1})),$$

where  $e_\delta(\zeta) = \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\pi}{2}(\delta + p)\zeta\right)$  and  $\text{sgn } b$  ( $b \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ ) is the index of inertia of  $b$ .

From this theorem, we see that  $r_\delta$  determines a projective unitary representation of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ , so that we obtain a unitary representation of the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ . To investigate this representation, we describe the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  using an explicit factor set, which we denote by  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$ , ( $\sigma, \sigma' \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ ). For example, we have an expression

$$(5.1) \quad A(\sigma, \sigma') = \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{Sgn}(c) - \text{Sgn}(c'') + \text{Sgn}(c') - \text{Sgn}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1}) \}$$

for  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''$  in Theorem 3.2. (For the definition of  $\text{Sgn}$ , see §5). Now, for a positive integer  $q$ , we consider the central extension  $G_q$  of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  by  $\mathbf{Z}$  with the factor set  $qA(\sigma, \sigma')$ . Here  $G_q$  is a group with the underlying set  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{Z}$  and the group operation  $(\sigma, n)(\sigma', n') = (\sigma\sigma', n+n'+qA(\sigma, \sigma'))$ . Then  $G_1$  for  $q=1$  is by definition the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ . For the structure of  $G_q$ , we see in Proposition 6.1 that  $G_q$  is a semidirect product of  $G_1$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$ . Further in Proposition 6.2, we determine the normal subgroups of  $G_q$ . For the representation of  $G_q$ , from Theorem 3.2 and (5.1), we obtain the following

**Theorem 6.3.** *For  $\delta > -1$ , there exists an irreducible unitary representation  $U_{q,\delta}$  of  $G_q$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  such that for  $(\sigma, n) \in G_q$  with  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,*

$$U_{q,\delta}((\sigma, n)) = r_\delta(\sigma)e_\delta\left(-\frac{4}{q}n - \text{Sgn}(c)\right).$$

By virtue of the explicit factor set, we can specify the group of operators on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  generated by the set  $\{r_\delta(\sigma); \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  as  $U_{4,\delta}(G_4)$  for  $m$  odd, and  $U_{2,\delta}(G_2)$  for  $m$  even (Proposition 6.6).

The equivalence of the representation  $U_{1,\delta}$  to relative holomorphic discrete series representation of the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  is given by the Laplace transformation. Therefore  $U_{1,\delta}$  is found to be essentially the same as that obtained in Yamada [15, Th. 3.5].

The contents of each section are as follows. §1 is a preliminary and §2 is a summary of the necessary facts about the Bessel functions of Herz. In §3, we compute the factor associated with the family of operators  $\{\rho_\sigma(\sigma); \sigma \in \Omega\}$ . In §4, we define and compute an explicit factor set  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$ , and describe the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  by it. Gathering these results in §§3-4, we obtain unitary representations of the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  in §5. §6 is devoted to study of the group  $G_q$ . §7 is a remark on the relation to relative holomorphic discrete series representations. In Appendix, we give a sufficient condition that the commutant of a certain set of operators on  $L^2(X)$  is the algebra of multiplication operators.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor T. Hirai for his constant encouragement and various advices.

**§1. Notations and preliminaries.**

**1.1.** We denote by  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$ , respectively, the ring of integers, the real number field, and the complex number field. Also we use the notation  $M_m(F)$  and  $GL(m, F)$  for the total matrix algebra and the general linear group of degree  $m$  with entries in  $F$ , where  $F = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ . For a matrix  $a$ ,  ${}^t a$  is the transposed of  $a$ . We denote by  $1_m$  or  $0_m$  the unit matrix or the zero matrix of degree  $m$ . For  $z \in M_m(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\text{Re } z$  or  $\text{Im } z$  denotes the real or the imaginary part of  $z$ :  $\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z \in M_m(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $z = \text{Re } z + \sqrt{-1} \text{Im } z$ . The group of real or complex orthogonal matrices and the group of unitary matrices of degree  $m$  are denoted by  $O(m, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $O(m, \mathbf{C})$ , and  $U(m)$  respectively. Moreover we use the following spaces of matrices:

- $S_m(\mathbf{R})$ : the space of all  $m \times m$  real symmetric matrices,
- $S_m(\mathbf{C})$ : the space of all  $m \times m$  complex symmetric matrices,
- $P_m$ : the space of all  $m \times m$  positive definite real symmetric matrices,
- $\mathfrak{H}_m$ : the Siegel upper half space of degree  $m$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}_m = \{z \in S_m(\mathbf{C}); \text{Im } z \in P_m\}$ .

For  $a \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ , we write  $a > 0$  if  $a$  is positive definite. As usual  $\det a$  or  $\text{tr } a$  means the determinant or the trace of  $a$ . Following Herz [5], we write  $\text{etr}(a) = \exp(\text{tr } a)$ .

**1.2.** Throughout this paper, we fix an integer  $m > 0$  and use the letter  $p$  for  $(m+1)/2$  consistently,  $p = (m+1)/2$ .

On the vector space  $S_m(\mathbf{R})$ , we define the measure  $dx$  as  $\prod_{i \leq j} dx_{ij}$ . Here the coordinate  $x_{ij}$  is taken from the components of  $x = (x_{ij})$ , and  $dx_{ij}$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $GL(m, \mathbf{R})$  act on  $S_m(\mathbf{R})$  by  $x \mapsto {}^t a x a = x^a$  ( $x \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $a \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ ). Then  $P_m$  is an open orbit. It is easy to see that the module of the linear transformation  $x \mapsto x^a$  with respect to the measure  $dx$  is  $|\det a|^{2p}$ , i. e.,  $dx^a = |\det a|^{2p} dx$ . So we have a  $GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ -invariant measure  $(\det x)^{-p} dx$  on  $P_m$ .

On the other hand, every element  $x \in P_m$  is diagonalized by an element in  $O(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Using the eigenvalues of  $x$ , we can write the measure  $dx$  in the form

$$dx = \prod_{i < j} |t_i - t_j| dt_1 \cdots dt_m du.$$

Here  $x = {}^t u t u$ ,  $t = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ ,  $u \in O(m, \mathbf{R})$ , and  $du$  is a Haar measure on  $O(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Since  $O(m, \mathbf{R})$  is compact, the absolute convergence of an integral with respect to  $dx$  depends only on the part  $\prod_{i < j} |t_i - t_j| dt_1 \cdots dt_m$ . For example, the integral

$$\int_{P_m} (\det(x_0 + \sqrt{-1} y))^{-\alpha} dy$$

is absolutely convergent if  $\text{Re } \alpha > m$  for a fixed  $x_0 \in P_m$ .

**1.3.** As in Herz [5], we make the following convention.

A complex analytic function  $f$  on  $S_m(\mathbf{C})$  is called *symmetric* if it satisfies  $f({}^t u z u) = f(z)$  for all  $u \in O(m, \mathbf{C})$ . A symmetric function  $f(z)$  is actually an analytic function of  $m$  elementary symmetric functions of  $z$ ,  $s_1 = \text{tr } z$ ,  $s_2, \dots, s_m = \det z$ . Using this fact, for a symmetric function  $f$ , we extend its domain of definition from  $S_m(\mathbf{C})$  to  $M_m(\mathbf{C})$  naturally. Then we see  $f({}^t z) = f(z)$  and  $f(z w) = f(w z)$ . Moreover, it is useful to note the following. Let  $x \in P_m$  and  $x^{1/2}$  be the positive definite square root of  $x$ . Then  $x^{1/2} z x^{1/2} \in S_m(\mathbf{C})$  for  $z \in S_m(\mathbf{C})$ , and we have  $f(x z) = f(z x) = f(x^{1/2} z x^{1/2})$ .

**§ 2. Bessel functions of matrix argument.**

In this section we summarize some results of Herz [5].

**2.1. Definition of the Bessel functions.**

Let  $\delta$  be a complex number with  $\text{Re } \delta > p - 1$ . The Bessel function  $A_\delta(x)$  ( $x \in S_m(\mathbf{C})$ ) is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad A_\delta(x) = (2\pi \sqrt{-1})^{-m p} \int_{\substack{\text{Re } z = x_0 > 0 \\ z \in S_m(\mathbf{C})}} \text{etr}(z - x z^{-1}) (\det z)^{-\delta - p} dz.$$

Here the integral should be understood as

$$(2\pi)^{-m p} \int_{S_m(\mathbf{R})} \text{etr}(z - x z^{-1}) (\det z)^{-\delta - p} dy,$$

with the variable  $z = x_0 + \sqrt{-1} y$  for a fixed  $x_0 \in P_m$ , and we take the branch of the function  $(\det z)^{-\delta - p}$  for  $\text{Re } z > 0$  determined by  $(\det 1_m)^{-\delta - p} = 1$ . Since  $\text{etr}(z - x z^{-1})$  is bounded in  $z = x_0 + \sqrt{-1} y$ , the integral (2.1) converges absolutely for  $\text{Re } \delta > p - 1$ . And by the Cauchy's theorem, (2.1) is independent of  $x_0 \in P_m$ . Moreover we can see that for any fixed  $x_0 \in P_m$ ,  $\text{etr}(z - x z^{-1})$  is uniformly bounded in  $z = x_0 + \sqrt{-1} y$  whenever  $x$  varies in a compact subset of  $S_m(\mathbf{C})$ . Therefore  $A_\delta(x)$  is an entire function in  $x$  and analytic in  $\delta$  for  $\text{Re } \delta > p - 1$ . In addition, for  $\text{Re } \delta > p - 1$ ,  $A_\delta(x)$  is bounded in  $x \in P_m$  and vanishes at infinity.

The analytic continuation in  $\delta$  of  $A_\delta(x)$  is carried out by the differential recurrence formula :

$$(2.2) \quad D((\det x)^\delta A_\delta(x)) = (\det x)^{\delta - 1} A_{\delta - 1}(x),$$

where  $D = \det\left(\eta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}\right)$ ,  $\eta_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$ , and  $= \frac{1}{2}$  if  $i \neq j$ . It can be shown that  $A_\delta$  is analytically continued to all  $\delta \in \mathbf{C}$ , so that  $A_\delta(x)$  is entire in  $\delta$  and  $x$  simultaneously.

For  $\text{Re } \delta > p - 1$ , (2.1) shows that  $A_\delta(x) = O(\text{etr}(|x|))$ , and the same estimate holds also for all derivatives of  $A_\delta(x)$ . Here  $|x|$  is the positive definite hermitian matrix which satisfies  $|x|^2 = {}^t \bar{x} x$ .

From the definition (2.1), we see that  $A_\delta(x)$  is symmetric. So we can extend the function  $A_\delta(z)$  for all  $z \in M_m(\mathbf{C})$ .

The very important formula  $A_\delta$  is the Laplace transform of (2.1):

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{P_m} \text{etr}(-xz) A_\delta(xy) (\det x)^\delta dx = \text{etr}(-yz^{-1}) (\det z)^{-\delta-p}.$$

This converges absolutely for all  $y \in P_m$ ,  $\text{Re } z > 0$ , and  $\text{Re } \delta > -1$ . Formulae in the following subsections 2.2 and 2.3 are essentially based on (2.3).

**Remark.** For  $m = 1$ , the relation of  $A_\delta(x)$  to the ordinary Bessel function  $J_\delta(x)$  is given by  $J_\delta(x) = A_\delta\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\delta$ . (c.f. Watson [13, 6.2])

**2.2. The Hankel transform.** Let  $\delta$  be a real number greater than  $-1$ . We denote by  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  the Hilbert space of all square integrable functions on  $P_m$  with respect to the measure  $(\det x)^\delta dx$ . Let us consider the linear transformation with integral kernel  $A_\delta(xy)$ :

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi^*(x) = \int_{P_m} \varphi(y) A_\delta(xy) (\det y)^\delta dy.$$

**Proposition 2.1** (C.f. Herz [5, Theorem 3.1]). *The transform  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  on the space of continuous functions with compact supports can be extended on the whole  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  as a unitary operator, and  $\varphi^{**} = \varphi$ . The integral expression (2.4) is valid for  $\varphi \in L^2_\delta(P_m)$  whenever it is absolutely convergent.*

**2.3. Weber's second exponential integral.** For  $\text{Re } \delta > -1$ ,  $a, b \in P_m$ , and  $\text{Re } z > 0$ , we have an integral formula which converges absolutely (Herz [5, (5.8)]).

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{P_m} \text{etr}(-xz) A_\delta(ax) A_\delta(bx) (\det x)^\delta dx \\ = \text{etr}(-(a+b)z^{-1}) A_\delta(-az^{-1}bz^{-1}) (\det z)^{-\delta-p}.$$

Here the branch of  $(\det z)^{-\delta-p}$  for  $\text{Re } z > 0$  is determined by  $(\det 1_m)^{-\delta-p} = 1$ .

**§ 3. Weil type factor of a family of unitary operators.**

**3.1.** Let  $\delta$  be a real number greater than  $-1$ . On the analogy of Weil [14], Kubota [9], and Yamazaki [16], we define the following three types of unitary operators on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$ . For  $\varphi \in L^2_\delta(P_m)$ ,

$$\mathbf{d}_\delta(a)\varphi(x)=\varphi({}^t a x a)|\det a|^{\delta+p} \quad (a \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})),$$

$$\mathbf{t}_\delta(b)\varphi(x)=\varphi(x) \operatorname{etr}(\sqrt{-1} b x) \quad (b \in S_m(\mathbf{R})),$$

$$\mathbf{d}'_\delta(c)\varphi(x)=\varphi^*(c^{-1}x{}^t c^{-1})|\det c|^{-\delta-p} \quad (c \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})).$$

Here  $\varphi^*$  is defined in 2.2.

In the following we often denote these operators as  $\mathbf{d}(a)$ ,  $\mathbf{t}(b)$ , and  $\mathbf{d}'(c)$  without the parameter  $\delta$  in case there is no fear of confusion.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $b \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$  be symmetric. Then we have*

$$(\mathbf{d}'_\delta(-b^{-1})\mathbf{t}_\delta(b))^2 = \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\pi}{2}(\delta+p) \operatorname{sgn} b\right).$$

Here  $\operatorname{sgn} b$  is the index of inertia of  $b$ , i.e., the dimension of positive eigenspace of  $b$  minus that of negative one.

*Proof.* We show the equality

$$(\mathbf{d}'(-b^{-1})\mathbf{t}(b))^2 = \mathbf{t}(-b)\mathbf{d}'(b^{-1}) \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\pi}{2}(\delta+p) \operatorname{sgn} b\right).$$

Let us compute  $I = (\mathbf{d}'(-b^{-1})\mathbf{t}(b))^2 \varphi(x)$  for a continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $P_m$  with compact support. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_1, x_2) &= \varphi(x_1) \operatorname{etr}(\sqrt{-1} b x) A_\delta(x_1 b x_2 b) (\det x_1)^\delta \\ &\quad \times \operatorname{etr}(\sqrt{-1} b x_2) A_\delta(x_2 b x b) (\det x_2)^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see formally

$$I = |\det b|^{2p+2\delta} \int_{P_m} \left( \int_{P_m} \Phi(x_1, x_2) d x_1 \right) d x_2.$$

To be precise, we consider the integral  $I_\varepsilon$  with convergence factor  $\operatorname{etr}(-\varepsilon x_2)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$I_\varepsilon = |\det b|^{2p+2\delta} \int_{P_m} \left( \int_{P_m} \operatorname{etr}(-\varepsilon x_2) \Phi(x_1, x_2) d x_1 \right) d x_2.$$

Then by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon &= |\det b|^{2p+2\delta} \int d x_1 \int \operatorname{etr}(-\varepsilon x_2) \Phi(x_1, x_2) d x_2 \\ &= |\det b|^{2p+2\delta} \int \varphi(x_1) \operatorname{etr}(\sqrt{-1} b x_1) (\det x_1)^\delta d x_1 \\ &\quad \times \int \operatorname{etr}((-\varepsilon + \sqrt{-1} b) x_2) A_\delta(x_2 b x b) A_\delta(x_1 b x_2 b) (\det x_2)^\delta d x_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Weber's second exponential integral (2.5), integral  $\int \cdot d x_2$  is equal to

$$\operatorname{etr}(-b(x+x_1)bz^{-1}) A_\delta(-bxbz^{-1}bx_1bz^{-1})(\det z_i)^{-\delta-p},$$

where  $z_i = \varepsilon - \sqrt{-1} b$ . Therefore,

$$I_\varepsilon = |\det b|^{2p+2\delta} \operatorname{etr}(-bx bz_\varepsilon^{-1})(\det z_\varepsilon)^{-\delta-p} \\ \times \int \varphi(x_1) \operatorname{etr}(b(\sqrt{-1} - z_\varepsilon^{-1}b)x_1) A_\delta(-bz_\varepsilon^{-1}bx bz_\varepsilon^{-1}bx_1)(\det x_1)^\delta dx_1.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero, we have

$$I = |\det b|^{2p+2\delta} \varphi^*(bxb) \operatorname{etr}(-\sqrt{-1}bx) \times \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\det z_\varepsilon)^{-\delta-p} \\ = t(-b) d'(b^{-1}) \varphi(x) \times |\det b|^{\delta+p} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\det z_\varepsilon)^{-\delta-p}.$$

Recalling the choice of the branch for  $(\det z)^{-\delta-p}$ , we can easily compute the factor :

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\det z_\varepsilon|^{\delta+p} (\det z_\varepsilon)^{-\delta-p} = \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\pi}{2} (\delta+p) \operatorname{sgn} b\right).$$

Thus we obtain the assertion.

Q.E.D.

**3.2.** Let us consider the real symplectic group of degree  $m$  in the usual form,

$$Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) = \{\sigma \in GL(2m, \mathbf{R}); {}^t \sigma J \sigma = J\}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & -1_m \\ 1_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2m}(\mathbf{R}).$$

We write  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  using the  $m \times m$  block components  $a, b, c, d \in M_m(\mathbf{R})$ , and denote  $c = c(\sigma)$ . We put

$$\Omega = \{\sigma \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R}); \det c(\sigma) \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover we define three types of elements in  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  as follows :

$$d(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0_m \\ 0_m & {}^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & b \\ 0_m & 1_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad d'(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & -{}^t c^{-1} \\ c & 0_m \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $a, c \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$  and  $b \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ .

It is easy to see that every  $\sigma \in \Omega$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma = t(b_1) d'(c) t(b_2), \quad b_1, b_2 \in S_m(\mathbf{R}), \quad c \in GL(m, \mathbf{R}).$$

In fact, for  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $b_1 = ac^{-1}$  and  $b_2 = c^{-1}d$ . Using the decomposition

(3.1) for  $\sigma \in \Omega$ , we define a unitary operator  $r(\sigma) = r_\delta(\sigma)$  on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  by

$$(3.2) \quad r_\delta(\sigma) = t_\delta(b_1) d'_\delta(c) t_\delta(b_2).$$

Let us put  $e_\delta(\zeta) = \exp\left(\sqrt{-1} \frac{\pi}{2} (\delta+p) \zeta\right)$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''$  be three elements in  $\Omega$  such that  $\sigma'' = \sigma \sigma'$ . Then it holds that*

$$r_\delta(\sigma) r_\delta(\sigma') = r_\delta(\sigma'') e_\delta(\operatorname{sgn}(c^{-1} c'' c'^{-1})),$$

where  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma'' = \begin{pmatrix} a'' & b'' \\ c'' & d'' \end{pmatrix}$ .

For the proof of Theorem, we prepare a computational lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  ${}^t f = f$ ,  $c, c' \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad d'(c)t(f)d'(c') = t(f_1)d'(cfc')t(f'_2),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{d}'(c)t(f)\mathbf{d}'(c') = t(f_1)\mathbf{d}'(cfc')t(f'_2)e_\delta(\operatorname{sgn} f),$$

where  $f_1 = -{}^t c^{-1} f^{-1} c^{-1}$  and  $f'_2 = -c'^{-1} f^{-1} {}^t c'^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy check the following equalities.

$$(1) \quad d(a_1)d(a_2) = d(a_1 a_2), \quad d(a)^{-1} = d(a^{-1}),$$

$$(2) \quad t(b_1)t(b_2) = t(b_1 + b_2), \quad t(b)^{-1} = t(-b),$$

$$(3) \quad d'(c_1)d'(c_2) = d(-{}^t c_1^{-1} c_2), \quad d'(c)^{-1} = d'(-{}^t c),$$

$$(4) \quad d(a)t(b)d(a)^{-1} = t(ab{}^t a),$$

$$(5) \quad d(a)d'(c) = d'({}^t a^{-1}c), \quad d'(c)d(a) = d'(ca).$$

By a simple computation we see that there hold the equalities in which  $d$ ,  $t$ ,  $d'$  are substituted by  $\mathbf{d}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}'$  respectively.

$$(1') \quad \mathbf{d}(a_1)\mathbf{d}(a_2) = \mathbf{d}(a_1 a_2), \quad \mathbf{d}(a)^{-1} = \mathbf{d}(a^{-1}),$$

$$(2') \quad \mathbf{t}(b_1)\mathbf{t}(b_2) = \mathbf{t}(b_1 + b_2), \quad \mathbf{t}(b)^{-1} = \mathbf{t}(-b),$$

$$(3') \quad \mathbf{d}'(c_1)\mathbf{d}'(c_2) = \mathbf{d}(-{}^t c_1^{-1} c_2), \quad \mathbf{d}'(c)^{-1} = \mathbf{d}'(-{}^t c),$$

$$(4') \quad \mathbf{d}(a)\mathbf{t}(b)\mathbf{d}(a)^{-1} = \mathbf{t}(ab{}^t a),$$

$$(5') \quad \mathbf{d}(a)\mathbf{d}'(c) = \mathbf{d}'({}^t a^{-1}c), \quad \mathbf{d}'(c)\mathbf{d}(a) = \mathbf{d}'(ca).$$

Moreover, recalling the equality  $(d'(-f^{-1})t(f))^3 = 1$ , or

$$t(f) = d'(f^{-1})t(-f)d'(f^{-1})t(-f)d'(f^{-1}),$$

we get by (1)~(5)

$$\begin{aligned} d'(c)t(f)d'(c') &= d'(c)d'(f^{-1})t(-f)d'(f^{-1})t(-f)d'(f^{-1})d'(c') \\ &= d(-{}^t c^{-1} f^{-1})t(-f)d'(f^{-1})t(-f)d(-fc') \\ &= t(-{}^t c^{-1} f^{-1} c^{-1})d(-{}^t c^{-1} f^{-1})d'(f^{-1})d(-fc')t(-c'^{-1} f^{-1} {}^t c'^{-1}) \\ &= t(f_1)d'(cfc')t(f'_2). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the equality (3.3). The second equality (3.4) can be obtained in a parallel way. In fact, instead of  $(d'(-f^{-1})t(f))^3 = 1$ , we have only to use the equality  $(\mathbf{d}'(-f^{-1})\mathbf{t}(f))^3 = e_\delta(\operatorname{sgn} f)$ , which was shown in Proposition 3.1.

Q.E.D. for Lemma 3.3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Let the decomposition of  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma'$ ,  $\sigma''$  be  $\sigma = t(b_1)d'(c)t(b_2)$ ,  $\sigma' = t(b'_1)d'(c')t(b'_2)$ ,  $\sigma'' = t(b''_1)d'(c'')t(b''_2)$ . We have  $b_2 + b'_1 = c^{-1}c''c'^{-1}$ , because  $c'' = ca' + dc'$ ,  $b_2 = c^{-1}d$ ,  $b'_1 = a'c'^{-1}$ . Put  $f = b_2 + b'_1 = c^{-1}c''c'^{-1}$ . Then  $f$  is symmetric and



$$\begin{aligned}\sigma'' &= \sigma\sigma' = t(b_1)d'(c)t(b_2)t(b'_1)d'(c')t(b'_2) \\ &= t(b_1)d'(c)t(f)d'(c')t(b'_2).\end{aligned}$$

So by (3.3), we get

$$\sigma'' = t(b_1 + f_1)d'(cfc')t(f'_2 + b'_2),$$

where  $f_1 = -{}^t c^{-1} f^{-1} c^{-1}$  and  $f'_2 = -c'^{-1} f^{-1} {}^t c'^{-1}$ . The uniqueness of the decomposition shows that  $b'_1 = b_1 + f_1$ ,  $b'_2 = f'_2 + b'_2$ , and  $c'' = cfc'$ . So by definition,

$$r(\sigma'') = t(b_1 + f_1)d'(bfc')t(f'_2 + b'_2).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}r(\sigma)r(\sigma') &= t(b_1)d'(c)t(b_2)t(b'_1)d'(c')t(b'_2) \\ &= t(b_1)d'(c)t(f)d'(c')t(b'_2).\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}r(\sigma)r(\sigma') &= t(b_1)t(f_1)d'(cfc')t(f'_2)t(b'_2)e_\delta(\operatorname{sgn} f) \\ &= t(b_1 + f_1)d'(cfc')t(f'_2 + b'_2)e_\delta(\operatorname{sgn} f) \\ &= r(\sigma'')e_\delta(\operatorname{sgn} f).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem.

Q.E.D.

#### § 4. A factor set for the universal covering group of $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ .

In this section we describe the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  using a factor set, which is convenient for our purpose. We give some explicit computations for the factor set, too.

4.1. We introduce the following notations.

- (1) For  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\zeta \neq 0$ , we choose the principal value of its argument as  $-\pi \leq \operatorname{Arg} \zeta < \pi$ .
- (2) For  $a \in M_m(\mathbf{C})$ , we put

$$\operatorname{Arg}(a) = \sum_{\mu} \operatorname{Arg} \mu,$$

where the summation is taken over all non-zero eigenvalues  $\mu$  of  $a$  with multiplicities.

**Remark 1.** For  $a \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Arg}(a) = \frac{\pi}{2}(\operatorname{sgn}(a) - \operatorname{rank}(a)).$$

2. If  $a \in M_m(\mathbf{R})$ , then  $\operatorname{Arg}(a) \in \pi\mathbf{Z}$ .

4.2. A decomposition for elements in  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ .

Following Weil [14, Ch. V, nos 46-47, Prop. 6, Cor's 1 & 2], we explain a "normal" form for  $\sigma \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ , which generalizes the expression (3.1) for elements of  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a, b, c, d \in M_m(\mathbf{R})$ , and  $\text{rank}(c) = r$ . Let  $V$  be the space of row vectors of dimension  $m$ . Let  $V_1 \subset V$  be the range under right multiplication by  $c$ , and  $V_2$  the orthogonal complement of  $V_1$  in  $V$ . We choose orthonormal basis  $u_1, \dots, u_r$  of  $V_1$  and  $u_{r+1}, \dots, u_m$  of  $V_2$ . And we make a matrix  $u$  by arranging  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  in  $m$  columns in this order. Then  $u \in O(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Moreover we put

$$(4.1) \quad e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_r & \\ & 0_{m-r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = 1_m - e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_r & \\ & 1_{m-r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_r = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 & -e_1 \\ e_1 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this situation,  $\sigma$  can be written in the form

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma = d(u^{-1})t(g)d({}^t\lambda^{-1})E_r t(h)d(u),$$

where  $g, h \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $e_1 h e_1 = h$ ,  $\lambda \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Moreover for a fixed  $u$ , the decomposition is unique.

Next let us look over how  $g, h, \lambda$  change in case  $u$  is replaced. If we choose another orthonormal basis of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and make the matrix  $u'$  as above, then  $v = u' u^{-1}$  is of the form

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \\ & v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_1 \in O(r, \mathbf{R}), \quad v_2 \in O(m-r, \mathbf{R}).$$

So we see  $v^{-1} e_1 v = e_1$ ,  $v^{-1} e_2 v = e_2$ ,  $d(v^{-1}) E_r d(v) = E_r$ . Using this, we get easily

$$(4.3) \quad g = v^{-1} g' v, \quad h = v^{-1} h' v, \quad \lambda = v^{-1} \lambda' v,$$

where  $\sigma = d(u'^{-1})t(g')d({}^t\lambda'^{-1})E_r t(h')d(u')$  is the decomposition corresponding to  $u'$ .

**4.3.** For  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ , we put  $J(\sigma, z) = cz + d$ . It is well known that  $J(\sigma, z)$  is invertible. It can be written in terms of the decomposition (4.2) as

$$J(\sigma, z) = u^{-1} \lambda (e_1 (uz {}^t u + h) + e_2) u,$$

because  $c = u^{-1} \lambda e_1 u$ ,  $d = u^{-1} \lambda (h + e_2) u$ .

Now we define, using (4.2),

$$(4.4) \quad AJ(\sigma, z) = \text{Arg}(\lambda) + \text{Arg}(e_1 (uz {}^t u + h) + e_2)$$

Recalling (4.3), we see easily that this does not depend on the choice of  $u$ , so that  $AJ(\sigma, z)$  is defined as a function of  $\sigma$  and  $z$ .

Let  $\sigma \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $\text{rank}(c) = m$ . Then, from the decomposition (3.1), we can choose  $u = 1_m$ , and get

$$(4.5) \quad AJ(\sigma, z) = \text{Arg}(c) + \text{Arg}(z + c^{-1}d).$$

Let  $c = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & {}^t a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we can also choose  $u = 1_m$  and get  $AJ(\sigma, z) = \text{Arg}({}^t a^{-1}) = \text{Arg}(a^{-1})$ .

The important property of  $AJ(\sigma, z)$  is the following.

**Proposition 4.1.** For a fixed  $\sigma \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ , the function  $AJ(\sigma, z)$  of  $z$  is continuous on  $\mathfrak{H}_m$ .

*Proof.* Let us write  $uz^t u = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $z_1 \in S_r(\mathbf{C})$ . It is easy to see  $z_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_r$ . Since  $e_1 h e_1 = h$ , the matrix  $h$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \\ & 0_{m-r} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $h_1 \in S_r(\mathbf{R})$ . Then we have

$$e_1(uz^t u + h) + e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 + h_1 & * \\ 0 & 1_{m-r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have only to consider the eigenvalues of  $z_1 + h_1$ . Since  $z_1 + h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_r$ , its eigenvalues are in the complex upper half plane  $\mathfrak{H}_1$ . By definition, whenever  $\mu$  is in  $\mathfrak{H}_1$ , the map  $\mu \rightarrow \text{Arg } \mu$  is continuous. Thus the continuity of the map  $z \rightarrow \text{Arg}(z_1 + h_1)$  is verified, because the roots of a polynomial depend continuously on its coefficients. Q. E. D.

4.4. Let us put  $j(\sigma, z) = \det J(\sigma, z)$ . Then we see

$$(4.6) \quad j(\sigma, z) = |j(\sigma, z)| \exp(\sqrt{-1} AJ(\sigma, z)).$$

On the other hand, for  $\sigma, \sigma' \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $z \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad J(\sigma\sigma', z) = J(\sigma, \sigma'z)J(\sigma', z) \quad \text{and} \quad j(\sigma\sigma', z) = j(\sigma, \sigma'z)j(\sigma', z).$$

Here the action of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_m$  is given by

$$\tau z = (az + b)(cz + d)^{-1}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R}).$$

Now we consider

$$(4.8) \quad A(\sigma, \sigma'; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (AJ(\sigma, \sigma'z) - AJ(\sigma\sigma', z) + AJ(\sigma', z)).$$

From (4.6) and (4.7), we see that  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}A(\sigma, \sigma'; z)) = 1$ , so that  $A(\sigma, \sigma'; z) \in \mathbf{Z}$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1,  $A(\sigma, \sigma'; z)$  is continuous in  $z$ . Therefore we find that  $A(\sigma, \sigma'; z)$  does not depend on  $z \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ . So we write it by  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$  instead.

By a simple computation, the following cocycle condition for  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$  is verified:

$$A(\sigma\sigma', \sigma'') + A(\sigma, \sigma') = A(\sigma, \sigma'\sigma'') + A(\sigma', \sigma'').$$

Now using this factor set  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$ , we construct a central extension  $G_1$  of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  as follows. As an underlying set, we take  $G_1 = Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{Z}$ . The group operation in  $G_1$  is given by

$$(\sigma, n)(\sigma', n') = (\sigma\sigma', n + n' + A(\sigma, \sigma')).$$

**Proposition 4.2.** The group  $G_1$  is the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ .

*Proof.* This can be seen by restricting the factor set on the maximal compact subgroup  $K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2m, \mathbf{R}); a + \sqrt{-1}b \in U(m) \right\}$ . But we give here

another proof in order to mention the topology on the covering group.

Let us realize the universal covering group  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$  of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  as in Kashiwara-Vergne [6, (3.5)]:  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim = \{(\sigma, L_\sigma); \sigma \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R}), L_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma\}$  endowed with the group operation  $(\sigma, L_\sigma)(\sigma', L_{\sigma'}) = (\sigma\sigma', L_{\sigma\sigma'})$  with  $\sigma'' = \sigma\sigma'$  and  $L_{\sigma\sigma'}(z) = L_\sigma(\sigma'z) + L_{\sigma'}(z)$ . Here  $L_\sigma$  is an element of a family  $\mathcal{L}_\sigma$  of functions on  $\mathfrak{H}_m$  given as follows. For any fixed  $\sigma \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $L_\sigma(z) = \log j(\sigma, z)$ , where the values of logarithm are taken in such a way that we get a univalent continuous function on the simply connected domain  $\mathfrak{H}_m$ . Note that  $L_\sigma$  is determined by its value at  $z = \sqrt{-1}$ , and that the topology on  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$  is given as the induced topology from  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{C}$  to  $\{(\sigma, L_\sigma(\sqrt{-1}))\}$ . Now, we put for  $\sigma \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $s(\sigma) = (\sigma, \log |j(\sigma, z)| + \sqrt{-1} AJ(\sigma, z))$ . Then Proposition 4.1 shows that  $s(\sigma) \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$ , so that  $s$  gives a cross section from  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  to  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$ . It is easy to see that  $s(\sigma\sigma')^{-1}s(\sigma)s(\sigma') = (1, 2\pi\sqrt{-1}A(\sigma, \sigma'))$ . Thus the factor set  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$  determines the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ .

Let  $\Omega'$  be the set of  $\sigma \in \Omega$  such that  $c(\sigma)$  has no negative eigenvalues. Then we see from (4.5) that the cross section  $s$  is continuous on  $\Omega'$ , so that the topology on the subset  $\{(\sigma, n) \in G_1; \sigma \in \Omega', n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  is the direct product topology of  $\Omega'$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$ .  
Q. E. D.

#### 4.5. A computation of $A(\sigma, \sigma')$ for a generic case.

Here we compute  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$  for the case that  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' = \sigma\sigma' \in \Omega$ . The idea is simple. In the definition (4.8), we specialize  $z$  as  $\sqrt{-1}\infty$ .

As before, we write  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma'' = \begin{pmatrix} a'' & b'' \\ c'' & d'' \end{pmatrix}$ . In the formula (4.5) for  $\sigma \in \Omega$ , put  $z = \sqrt{-1}t$  ( $t$ : positive real number) and let  $t$  tend to infinity. Then we get  $AJ(\sigma, \sqrt{-1}\infty) = \text{Arg}(c) + \frac{\pi}{2}m$ , whence

$$(4.9) \quad -AJ(\sigma'', \sqrt{-1}\infty) + AJ(\sigma', \sqrt{-1}\infty) = -\text{Arg}(c'') + \text{Arg}(c').$$

There remains to compute  $AJ(\sigma, \sigma'(\sqrt{-1}t))$ . We see that  $\sigma'(\sqrt{-1}t) = a'c'^{-1} + \varepsilon(t)$  with  $\varepsilon(t) \in \mathfrak{H}_m$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$ . Therefore by (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} AJ(\sigma, \sigma'(\sqrt{-1}t)) &= \text{Arg}(c) + \text{Arg}(a'c'^{-1} + \varepsilon(t) + c^{-1}d) \\ &= \text{Arg}(c) + \text{Arg}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1} + \varepsilon(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma leads us to the conclusion.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  ${}^t h = h \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ . For  $\varepsilon(t) \in \mathfrak{H}_m$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$ , we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Arg}(h + \varepsilon(t)) = -\text{Arg}(h).$$

*Proof.* Since  $h + \varepsilon(t) \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ , its eigenvalues are in the complex upper half plane. On the other hand, the eigenvalues of  $h$  are non-zero real numbers, to which the eigenvalues of  $h + \varepsilon(t)$  tend as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . According as the eigenvalue of  $h + \varepsilon(t)$  tends to a positive or negative real number, its Arg tends to 0 or  $\pi$  respectively. By definition, Arg of a positive real number is 0 and that of a

negative one is  $-\pi$ . Therefore we see that  $\text{Arg}(h+\varepsilon(t))$  tends to  $-\text{Arg}(h)$ .

Q. E. D.

From this lemma, putting  $h=c^{-1}c''c'^{-1}$ , we see that  $AJ(\sigma, \sigma'(\sqrt{-1}t))$  tends to  $\text{Arg}(c)-\text{Arg}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1})$  as  $t$  tends to infinity. Gathering this and (4.9), we get the following.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''=\sigma\sigma'\in\Omega$ . Then*

$$A(\sigma, \sigma')=\frac{1}{2\pi}\{\text{Arg}(c)-\text{Arg}(c'')+\text{Arg}(c')-\text{Arg}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1})\}.$$

**4.6.** In the remainder of this section, we make preparations for §§5-6. First we give a computation of  $AJ(\sigma, z)$  by reduction to lower dimensional case, when  $\sigma$  and  $z$  are written in the form of a direct sums of lower dimensional ones. Next we compute  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$  for  $\sigma, \sigma'$  in the maximal compact subgroup of  $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ .

Let  $\sigma^{(i)}=\begin{pmatrix} a^{(i)} & b^{(i)} \\ c^{(i)} & d^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}\in Sp(m^{(i)}, \mathbf{R})$ , and  $z^{(i)}\in\mathfrak{S}_m^{(i)}$  ( $i=1, 2$ ). We put  $\sigma^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma^{(2)}=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a=a^{(1)}\oplus a^{(2)}$ ,  $b=b^{(1)}\oplus b^{(2)}$ ,  $c=c^{(1)}\oplus c^{(2)}$ ,  $d=d^{(1)}\oplus d^{(2)}$ . Here  $a^{(1)}\oplus a^{(2)}$  means the direct sum of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & a^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *It holds that*

$$AJ(\sigma^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma^{(2)}, z^{(1)}\oplus z^{(2)})=AJ(\sigma^{(1)}, z^{(1)})+AJ(\sigma^{(2)}, z^{(2)}).$$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\sigma_j^{(i)}\in Sp(m^{(i)}, \mathbf{R})$  ( $i, j=1, 2$ ). Then*

$$A(\sigma_1^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma_1^{(2)}, \sigma_2^{(2)}\hat{+}\sigma_2^{(2)})=A(\sigma_1^{(1)}, \sigma_2^{(2)})+A(\sigma_1^{(2)}, \sigma_2^{(2)}).$$

*Proof of Proposition 4.5.* Let  $r^{(i)}=\text{rank}(c(\sigma^{(i)}))$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), and put  $m=m^{(1)}+m^{(2)}$ ,  $r=r^{(1)}+r^{(2)}$ . We denote the matrices appearing in the decomposition (4.2) for  $\sigma^{(i)}$  by the suffixed letters such as  $u^{(i)}, g^{(i)}, h^{(i)}, \lambda^{(i)}$ . Similarly we use the notations  $e_j^{(i)}$  ( $i, j=1, 2$ ) for the matrices that define  $E_{r^{(i)}}$  in (4.1). Then we have

$$\sigma^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma^{(2)}=d(u_0^{-1})t(g_0)d({}^t\lambda_0^{-1})Ft(h_0)d(u_0),$$

where  $u_0=u^{(1)}\oplus u^{(2)}$ ,  $g_0=g^{(1)}\oplus g^{(2)}$ ,  $h_0=h^{(1)}\oplus h^{(2)}$ ,  $\lambda_0=\lambda^{(1)}\oplus\lambda^{(2)}$ , and  $F=E_{r^{(1)}}\hat{+}E_{r^{(2)}}$ . Let  $v\in O(m, \mathbf{R})$  be a permutation matrix such that  $v(e_1^{(1)}+e_1^{(2)})v^{-1}=e_1$ , then we have  $v(e_2^{(1)}+e_2^{(2)})v^{-1}=e_2$  and  $d(v)Fd(v^{-1})=E_r$ . Here  $e_1, e_2$  are as in (4.1). Hence

$$\sigma^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma^{(2)}=d(u^{-1})t(g)d({}^t\lambda^{-1})E_r t(h)d(u)$$

with  $u=vu_0$ ,  $g=vg_0v^{-1}$ ,  $h=vh_0v^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda=v\lambda_0v^{-1}$ . It is easy to verify that this gives the decomposition (4.2) for  $\sigma^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma^{(2)}$ . So by definition (4.4), we get

$$AJ(\sigma^{(1)}\hat{+}\sigma^{(2)}, z^{(1)}\oplus z^{(2)})=\text{Arg}(\lambda)+\text{Arg}(e_1(u(z^{(1)}\oplus z^{(2)}){}^t u+e_2)),$$

and for the first term in the right-hand side

$$\text{Arg}(\lambda)=\text{Arg}(v(\lambda^{(1)}\oplus\lambda^{(2)})v^{-1})=\text{Arg}(\lambda^{(1)})+\text{Arg}(\lambda^{(2)}),$$

and similarly for the second term. Therefore

$$AJ(\sigma^{(1)} \hat{+} \sigma^{(2)}, z^{(1)} \oplus z^{(2)}) = AJ(\sigma^{(1)}, z^{(1)}) + AJ(\sigma^{(2)}, z^{(2)}). \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

Put  $k(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$B(\theta, \theta') = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\text{Arg } e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} + \text{Arg } e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta'} - \text{Arg } e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta+\theta')}).$$

Then we see for  $-\pi \leq \theta, \theta' < \pi$ ,

$$B(\theta, \theta') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi \leq \theta + \theta' \\ 0 & \text{if } -\pi \leq \theta + \theta' < \pi \\ -1 & \text{if } \theta + \theta' < -\pi. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 4.7.**  $A(k(\theta), k(\theta')) = B(\theta, \theta')$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $AJ(k(\theta), \sqrt{-1}) = \theta$  for  $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$ . For  $\theta = 0$  or  $\theta = -\pi$ , this equality is obvious. For the case  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ ,  $\theta \neq 0$ , we have the decomposition (4.2) for  $k(\theta)$  with  $r=1$ ,  $u=1$ ,  $g=\cot \theta$ ,  $h=\cot \theta$ , and  $\lambda=\sin \theta$ . Then by definition (4.4), we get  $AJ(k(\theta), \sqrt{-1}) = \text{Arg}(\sin \theta) + \text{Arg}(\sqrt{-1} + \cot \theta) = \theta$ . Hence the proposition. Q. E. D.

Put  $k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) = k(\theta_1) \hat{+} \dots \hat{+} k(\theta_m)$ . Then from Corollary 4.6 and Proposition 4.7, we see

**Proposition 4.8.** *It holds that*

$$A(k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m), k(\theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m B(\theta_i, \theta'_i).$$

**Corollary 4.9.** *We have  $A(E_r, E_r) = r$ , especially for  $r=m$ ,  $A(d'(1), d'(1)) = m$ . Moreover we have  $A(-1_{2m}, -1_{2m}) = -m$ .*

## §5. Representations of the universal covering group of $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ .

First we recall a general proposition about generators of a group and their relations, which is found in Weil [14, Lemme 6].

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $U$  a subset of  $G$  such that the condition  $U^{-1} \cap Ua \cap Ub \cap Uc \neq \emptyset$  holds for arbitrary elements  $a, b, c$  in  $G$ . Let  $G'$  be a group and  $\eta$  a map from  $U$  to  $G'$  satisfying the relation  $\eta(uu') = \eta(u)\eta(u')$  for  $u, u', uu' \in U$ . Then  $\eta$  is uniquely extended as a group homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G'$ .*

Note that the condition for  $U$  is satisfied when  $U$  is an open dense subset of a topological group  $G$ .

Let us introduce a notation. For  $a \in M_m(\mathbf{C})$ , we put

$$\text{Sgn}(a) = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{Arg}(a) + \text{rank}(a).$$

If  $a$  is real symmetric,  $\text{Sgn}(a)$  coincides with  $\text{sgn}(a)$  as in Remark 1 in § 4. Note that  $\text{Sgn}(a) \in \mathbf{Z}$  for  $a \in M_m(\mathbf{R})$ .

Using this notation  $\text{Sgn}$ , we can rewrite the formula in Proposition 4.4 as follows.

$$(5.1) \quad A(\sigma, \sigma') = \frac{1}{4} \{ \text{Sgn}(c) - \text{Sgn}(c'') + \text{Sgn}(c') - \text{Sgn}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1}) \}.$$

We describe the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  as the group  $G_1$  defined by the factor set  $A(\sigma, \sigma')$  as in Proposition 4.2.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For  $\delta > -1$ , there exists a unitary representation  $U_\delta$  of  $G_1$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  such that for  $(\sigma, n) \in G_1$  with  $\sigma \in \Omega$ ,*

$$U_\delta(\sigma, n) = r_\delta(\sigma) e_\delta(-4n - \text{Sgn}(c(\sigma))).$$

Here  $r_\delta(\sigma)$  is defined in (3.2).

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.1, it is enough to see that  $U_\delta(\sigma, n)U_\delta(\sigma', n') = U_\delta(\sigma'', n'')$  for  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' = \sigma\sigma' \in \Omega$ , and  $n'' = n + n' + A(\sigma, \sigma')$ . We write  $c = c(\sigma)$ ,  $c' = c(\sigma')$ , and  $c'' = c(\sigma'')$ . Now by definition,

$$U_\delta(\sigma, n)U_\delta(\sigma', n') = r(\sigma)r(\sigma')e_\delta(-4n - 4n' - \text{Sgn}(c) - \text{Sgn}(c')).$$

We have  $r(\sigma)r(\sigma') = r(\sigma'')e_\delta(\text{Sgn}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1}))$  by Theorem 3.2, and  $4A(\sigma, \sigma') = \text{Sgn}(c) - \text{Sgn}(c'') + \text{Sgn}(c') - \text{Sgn}(c^{-1}c''c'^{-1})$  by (5.1). So we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_\delta(\sigma, n)U_\delta(\sigma', n') &= r(\sigma'')e_\delta(-4n - 4n' - 4A(\sigma, \sigma') - \text{Sgn}(c'')) \\ &= r(\sigma'')e_\delta(-4n'' - \text{Sgn}(c'')) = U_\delta(\sigma'', n''). \end{aligned}$$

This representation is strongly continuous, because it is continuous on the subset  $\{(\sigma, n); \sigma \in \Omega', n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . Q. E. D.

**Proposition 5.3.** *The representation  $U_\delta$  ( $\delta > -1$ ) of  $G_1$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* We show that a bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  commuting with every  $U_\delta(\sigma, n)$  is a scalar operator. Since  $T$  commutes with  $t(b)$  for all  $b \in S_m(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $T$  is written in the form  $T\varphi(x) = f(x)\varphi(x)$  ( $\varphi \in L^2_\delta(P_m)$ ) for some essentially bounded function  $f(x)$ . (For its proof, see Appendix.) On the other hand,  $T$  commutes with  $d(a)$  for all  $a \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ . So the function  $f(x)$  satisfies the condition that  $f(x) = f({}^t a x a)$  for all  $a \in GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ . Since  $GL(m, \mathbf{R})$  acts transitively on  $P_m$  by  $x \mapsto {}^t a x a$ , the function  $f(x)$  must be a constant.

Q. E. D.

Let us determine the kernel  $\text{Ker } U_\delta$  of this representation  $U_\delta$ . Note that a normal subgroup of  $G_1 \cong Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$  is either equal to  $G_1$  itself or contained in the centre, and that the centre of  $G_1$  is  $\{(\pm 1, n); n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . Since  $\text{Ker } U_\delta$  is normal, we have only to compute  $U_\delta(1, n)$  and  $U_\delta(-1, n)$ . From Corollary 4.9, we see  $(-1, n) = (d'(1), n - m)(d'(1), 0)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} U_\delta(-1, n) &= U_\delta(d'(1), n-m)U_\delta(d'(1), 0) \\ &= d'(1)d'(1)e_\delta(-4n+4m-m)e_\delta(-m) = e_\delta(-4n+2m). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we see also from Corollary 4.9,  $(1, n) = (-1, n+m)(-1, 0)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} U_\delta(1, n) &= U_\delta(-1, n+m)U_\delta(-1, 0) \\ &= e_\delta(-4n-4m+2m)e_\delta(2m) = e_\delta(-4n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following.

**Proposition 5.4.** *The kernel of the representation  $U_\delta$  of  $G_1$  is given as*

$$\text{Ker } U_\delta = \{(1, n); (\delta+p)n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \left\{(-1, n); (\delta+p)\left(n - \frac{m}{2}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}.$$

Let  $G_\delta$  be the image of  $G_1$  under  $U_\delta$ . Since the representation  $U_\delta$  is irreducible, the image of the centre of  $G_1$  under  $U_\delta$  coincides with the set of all scalar operators in  $G_\delta$ . We see that  $G_\delta$  is generated by the set of operators  $\{r_\delta(\sigma)e_\delta(-\text{Sgn}(c(\sigma))) ; \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ , because  $G_1$  is generated by the set  $\{(\sigma, 0) ; \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ . In the next section we determine the group generated by the set of operators  $\{r_\delta(\sigma) ; \sigma \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ .

## § 6. Certain central extensions of $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ and their representations.

**6.1.** Let  $q$  be a positive integer. In this section we study the central extension  $G_q$  of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  by  $\mathbf{Z}$  with the factor set  $qA(\sigma, \sigma')$  ( $\sigma, \sigma' \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ ). Here  $G_q$  is by definition a group with the underlying set  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{Z}$  and the group operation  $(\sigma, n)(\sigma', n') = (\sigma\sigma', n+n'+qA(\sigma, \sigma'))$ . As we see in Proposition 4.2,  $G_1$  is equal to the universal covering group of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ . To avoid any confusion, we denote an element in  $G_q$  by  $(\sigma, n)_q$  throughout this section.

In case  $q$  divides  $q'$ , consider the natural injection  $j_{q,q'}$  from  $G_q$  to  $G_{q'}$  defined by  $j_{q,q'}(\sigma, n)_q = \left(\sigma, \frac{q'}{q}n\right)_{q'}$ . Then through  $j_{q,q'}$ , we can (and do) identify  $G_q$  with a normal subgroup of  $G_{q'}$  of index  $q'/q$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *The group  $G_q$  is isomorphic to a semidirect product of  $G_1$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to find a subgroup  $H_q$  of  $G_q$  such that  $H_q \cong \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$  and  $G_1 \cap H_q = \{(1, 0)_q\}$ . Put  $k_q = k\left(\frac{2\pi}{q}, 0, \dots, 0\right)$ . (See 4.6 for notation.) Then from Proposition 4.8 we see

- (i)  $A(k_q, k_q) = -1$ ,
- (ii) for  $q \geq 3$  and  $1 \leq l \leq q-1$ ,

$$A(k_q, k_q^l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = q_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } l \neq q_0. \end{cases}$$



Here we put  $q_0 = \frac{q}{2} - 1$  for  $q$  even, and  $q_0 = \frac{q-1}{2}$  for  $q$  odd. Now, let  $H_q$  be the subgroup of  $G_q$  generated by  $\kappa_q$  with  $\kappa_q = (k_q, 1)_q$  for  $q=2$  and  $\kappa_q = (k_q, -1)_q$  for  $q \geq 3$ . Then from (i) and (ii) we see that  $H_q$  is of order  $q$ , and that  $\kappa_q^l$  is of the form  $(k_q^l, l')_q$  with  $l' \not\equiv 0 \pmod q$  for  $1 \leq l \leq q-1$ . Therefore  $H_q$  satisfies the required conditions, and we have proved the proposition. Q. E. D.

We give here some remarks. If  $m$  is odd, then  $G_2$  is isomorphic to the direct product group  $G_1 \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ . In fact, put  $H = \{(1, 0)_2, (-1, m)_2\}$ . Then by Corollary 4.9,  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G_2$  of order 2. Clearly  $H$  is contained in the centre of  $G_2$ . In case  $m$  is odd, we have  $G_1 \cap H = \{(1, 0)_2\}$ , whence  $G_2 = G_1 H \cong G_1 \times H$ .

In general, the centralizer of  $G_1$  in  $G_q$  is the centre of  $G_q$ , which is given as  $\{(\pm 1, n)_q; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . It is easy to see that the centre of  $G_q$  contains a non-trivial element of finite order if and only if  $qm$  is even. And when  $qm$  is even,  $(-1, qm/2)_q$  is the only non-trivial element of finite order contained in it. Therefore  $G_q$  is expressed as a direct product of  $G_1$  and a subgroup of  $G_q$  if and only if  $m$  is odd and  $q=2$ .

**6.2. Normal subgroups of  $G_q$ .** Here we determine the normal subgroups of  $G_q$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G_q$ . Then we have the following two cases: (i)  $N = G_l$  for some divisor  $l$  of  $q$ , or (ii)  $N$  is contained in the centre of  $G_q$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $N_1 = N \cap G_1$ . Then  $N/N_1$  is canonically isomorphic to the image of  $N$  under the projection of  $G_q$  to  $G_q/G_1 = \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore  $N/N_1$  is a cyclic group. Let  $l$  be the order of  $N/N_1$ . Take a  $\xi \in G_q$  such that  $\xi N_1$  is a generator of  $N/N_1$ . Then we have  $N = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l-1} \xi^i N_1$ . On the other hand, since  $N_1$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_1 \cong Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ , we have two cases: (i)  $N_1 = G_1$ , or (ii)  $N_1$  is contained in the centre of  $G_1$ . In case (i), we have  $N = G_l$ . In fact, since  $N/N_1$  and  $G_l/G_1$  have the same order in the cyclic group  $G_q/G_1$ , they coincide with each other. It follows from this that  $N = G_l$ , because  $N$  and  $G_l$  contain  $N_1 = G_1$ .

Let us consider the case (ii). It suffices to show that  $\xi$  is in the centre of  $G_q$ . As  $N$  is normal, we see  $\alpha \xi \alpha^{-1} \in N = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l-1} \xi^i N_1$  for  $\alpha \in G_1$ . So we can write it as  $\alpha \xi \alpha^{-1} = \xi^{i(\alpha)} \nu(\alpha)$  with  $i(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq i(\alpha) < l$  and  $\nu(\alpha) \in N_1$ . Consider  $\beta \alpha \xi \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}$  for  $\beta \in G_1$ . Then we obtain  $\xi^{i(\beta\alpha) - i(\beta)i(\alpha)} = \nu(\beta)^{i(\alpha)} \nu(\alpha) \nu(\beta\alpha)^{-1} \in N_1$ , so that  $i(\beta\alpha) = i(\beta)i(\alpha) \pmod l$ . Therefore the map  $\alpha \mapsto i(\alpha) \pmod l$  is a group homomorphism of  $G_1$  to  $(\mathbf{Z}/l\mathbf{Z})^\times$ . On the other hand  $G_1$  is equal to its commutator group. So we see  $i(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod l$  for all  $\alpha \in G_1$ . From this and  $0 \leq i(\alpha) < l$ , we find that  $i(\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in G_1$ . At the same time we have proved that  $\nu(\beta\alpha) = \nu(\beta)\nu(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in G_1$ . Now, let us write  $\nu(\alpha) = (\nu_0(\alpha), n(\alpha))_q$ . Since  $\nu(\alpha)$  is in the centre of  $G_q$ ,  $\nu_0(\alpha) = \pm 1$ . Then we have  $\nu_0(\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in G_1$  by the same reason above. Gathering these, we see  $\alpha \xi \alpha^{-1} = \xi \nu(\alpha)$  with  $\nu(\alpha) = (1, n(\alpha))_q$ . Consider the  $l$ -th power of this equality. Then noting that  $\xi^l$  is in the centre of  $G_q$ , we get

$(1, 0)_q = \nu(\alpha)^t = (1, \ln(\alpha))_q$ , whence  $n(\alpha) = 0$ . Consequently  $\nu(\alpha) = (1, 0)_q$  for all  $\alpha \in G_1$ . Thus we see that  $\xi$  commutes with all elements in  $G_1$ , so that  $\xi$  is in the centre of  $G_q$ . Q. E. D.

**6.3. Representations  $U_{q,\delta}$ .** Now, we consider representations of  $G_q$  similarly as in Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 6.3.** *For  $\delta > -1$ , there exists an irreducible unitary representation  $U_{q,\delta}$  of  $G_q$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  such that for  $(\sigma, n)_q \in G_q$  with  $\sigma \in \Omega$ ,*

$$U_{q,\delta}((\sigma, n)_q) = r_\delta(\sigma) e_\delta\left(-\frac{4}{q}n - \text{Sgn}(c(\sigma))\right).$$

This is proved quite similarly as Theorem 5.2. Moreover similarly as computations for Proposition 5.4, we can show  $U_{q,\delta}((-1, n)_q) = e_\delta\left(-\frac{4}{q}n + 2m\right)$  and  $U_{q,\delta}((1, n)_q) = e_\delta\left(-\frac{4}{q}n\right)$ , because  $(-1, n)_q = (d'(1), n - qm)_q (d'(1), 0)_q$  and  $(1, n)_q = (-1, n + qm)_q (-1, 0)_q$ . So we have

**Proposition 6.4.** *The kernel of the representation  $U_{q,\delta}$  of  $G_q$  is given as*

$$\text{Ker } U_{q,\delta} = \left\{ (1, n)_q; (\delta + p) \frac{n}{q} \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ (-1, n)_q; (\delta + p) \left( \frac{n}{q} - \frac{m}{2} \right) \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

**Remark.** The representations  $U_{q,\delta}$  are compatible with the inclusion  $j_{q,q'}: G_q \rightarrow G_{q'}$ , namely  $U_{q,\delta} = U_{q',\delta} \circ j_{q,q'}$ .

**6.4.** In the following, we determine the group generated by the operators  $r_\delta(\sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Note that  $r_\delta(\sigma) = U_{4,\delta}((\sigma, -\text{Sgn}(c))_4)$  with  $c = c(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . So we determine the subgroup of  $G_4$  generated by the set  $\{(\sigma, -\text{Sgn}(c(\sigma)))_4; \sigma \in \Omega\}$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** *The subgroup of  $G_4$  generated by the set  $\{(\sigma, -\text{Sgn}(c(\sigma)))_4; \sigma \in \Omega\}$  is equal to  $G_4$  if  $m$  is odd, and equal to  $G_2$  if  $m$  is even.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $G_4$  generated by the set  $\{(\sigma, -\text{Sgn}(c(\sigma)))_4; \sigma \in \Omega\}$ . Put  $u_r = k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$  (see 4.6) with  $\theta_1 = \dots = \theta_r = 2\pi/3$ ,  $\theta_{r+1} = \dots = \theta_m = -2\pi/3$ . Then  $u_r \in \Omega$  and  $\text{Sgn}(c(u_r)) = 2r - m$ , whence  $(u_r, m - 2r)_4 \in G$ . On the other hand, using Proposition 4.8, we get  $(u_r, m - 2r)_4^3 = (1, 2r - m)_4$ . Therefore  $G$  contains  $(1, 2r - m)_4$ . In case  $m$  is odd, taking  $r$  with  $2r - m = 1$ , we have  $(1, 1)_4 \in G$ . This shows that  $G \ni (1, n)_4$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , so that  $G$  contains all elements of the form  $(\sigma, n)_4$  with  $\sigma \in \Omega$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore  $G = G_4$  for  $m$  odd.

In case  $m$  is even, since  $\text{Sgn}(c(\sigma))$  for  $\sigma \in \Omega$  is even, we have  $G \subset G_2$ . On the other hand, putting  $r = \frac{m}{2} + 1$ , we see  $(1, 2)_4 \in G$ . So  $G$  contains all elements of the form  $(\sigma, 2n)_4$  with  $\sigma \in \Omega$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore  $G = G_2$  for  $m$  even.

Q. E. D.

Let  $G_{q,\delta}$  be the image of  $G_q$  under the representation  $U_{q,\delta}$ . Then we have

$G_{q,\delta} \cong G_q / \text{Ker } U_{q,\delta}$ . From Proposition 6.5, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 6.6.** *The group generated by the set of operators  $\{r_\delta(\sigma); \sigma \in \Omega\}$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  is equal to  $G_{3,\delta}$  if  $m$  is odd, and equal to  $G_{2,\delta}$  if  $m$  is even.*

**§ 7. Relation to relative holomorphic discrete series representations.**

For  $\varphi \in L^2_\delta(P_m)$ , we define the Laplace transform  $\check{\varphi}$  of  $\varphi$  as

$$\check{\varphi}(z) = \int_{P_m} \varphi(x) \text{etr}(\sqrt{-1}xz)(\det x)^\delta dx.$$

This integral converges absolutely for every  $z \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ , so that  $\check{\varphi}$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathfrak{H}_m$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$  the image of  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  under the Laplace transformation. By the isomorphism  $\check{\cdot} : L^2_\delta(P_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\delta$ , we transfer the operators  $d_\delta(a)$ ,  $t_\delta(b)$ ,  $d'_\delta(c)$ , and  $r_\delta(\sigma)$  from  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$  to  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$ , which we denote by  $\check{d}_\delta(a)$ ,  $\check{t}_\delta(b)$ ,  $\check{d}'_\delta(c)$ , and  $\check{r}_\delta(\sigma)$  respectively.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \check{d}_\delta(a)\check{\varphi}(z) &= |\det a|^{-\delta-p} \check{\varphi}(a^{-1}z {}^t a^{-1}), \\ \check{t}_\delta(b)\check{\varphi}(z) &= \check{\varphi}(z+b). \end{aligned}$$

From the formula (2.3), we see

$$\check{d}'_\delta(1)\varphi(z) = \left(\det \frac{z}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{-\delta-p} \check{\varphi}(-z^{-1}).$$

So we have

$$\check{d}'_\delta(c)\check{\varphi}(z) = |\det c|^{-\delta-p} \left(\det \frac{z}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{-\delta-p} \check{\varphi}(-c^{-1}z {}^t c^{-1}),$$

because  $\check{d}'_\delta(c) = d'_\delta({}^t c^{-1})\check{d}'_\delta(1)$ .

Let us consider an anti-automorphism of  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto {}^\circ\sigma = I {}^t \sigma I$ , where  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & 1_m \\ 1_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix}$ . We see  ${}^\circ\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t d & {}^t b \\ {}^t c & {}^t a \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Note also that  ${}^\circ\sigma = J_1 \sigma^{-1} J_1$ , where  $J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & 0_m \\ 0_m & -1_m \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we have for  $\sigma \in \Omega$ ,

$$\check{r}_\delta(\sigma)\check{\varphi}(z) e_\delta(-\text{Sgn}(c(\sigma))) = j({}^\circ\sigma, z)^{-\delta-p} \check{\varphi}({}^\circ\sigma, z).$$

Here  $j({}^\circ\sigma, z)^{-\delta-p} = |j({}^\circ\sigma, z)|^{-\delta-p} \exp(-\sqrt{-1}(\delta+p)A J({}^\circ\sigma, z))$ . It turns out from this that our representation  $U_\delta$  of  $G_1 = Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$  in Theorem 5.2 is essentially identical with that constructed in the paper of Yamada [15, Th. 3.5], in which  $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})^\sim$  is treated in more abstract manner than the present paper (see the proof of Proposition 4.2).

We note here that the formula (2.3) is a key in deducing the properties of the Bessel function  $A_\delta$ . So we implicitly used the realization of the representation on the space  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$ . If we work on  $\mathcal{A}_\delta$  not on  $L^2_\delta(P_m)$ , the proof of Theorem 3.2 is reduced to a computation on  $j({}^\circ\sigma, z)^{-\delta-p}$ , which follows from Proposition 4.4.

**Appendix. On commutant of a certain set of operators on  $L^2(X)$ .**

Let  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and put  $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \{B \in \mathfrak{B}; \mu(B) < \infty\}$ . In this Appendix, we assume that the measure space is localizable. Here  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  is said to be localizable if it satisfies the following. Let  $\{\varphi_A; A \in \mathfrak{B}_0\}$  be a family of functions such that  $\varphi_A$  is a measurable function on  $A$  and that  $\varphi_A(x) = \varphi_B(x)$  holds for almost all  $x \in A \cap B$ , then there exists a locally measurable function  $\varphi$  on  $X$  such that for every  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_A(x)$  holds for almost all  $x \in A$ . Note that any  $\sigma$ -finite measure space is localizable.

We denote by  $L^\infty(X)$  the set of all locally measurable functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $\text{ess. sup}|f(x)|$  is bounded for  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ . For  $f \in L^\infty(X)$ , we denote by  $M_f$  the multiplication operator on  $L^2(X)$  defined by  $M_f\varphi = f\varphi$  ( $\varphi \in L^2(X)$ ).

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear subspace of  $L^\infty(X)$  satisfying the following condition:*

(C) *every  $f \in L^\infty(X)$  can be approximated on any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  by elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  in the sense of convergence in measure.*

*If a bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $L^2(X)$  commutes with  $M_\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $T$  is a multiplication operator.*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into the following two steps.

(1°) If  $T$  commutes with  $M_\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $T$  commutes with  $M_f$  for all  $f \in L^\infty(X)$ .

(2°) If  $T$  commutes with  $M_f$  for all  $f \in L^\infty(X)$ , then  $T$  is of the form  $M_h$  for some  $h \in L^\infty(X)$ .

The step (2°) is a well-known fact that  $\{M_f; f \in L^\infty(X)\}$  is a maximal abelian subalgebra in the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $L^2(X)$ . So we prove here the step (1°) only.

Let us prove (1°) by contradiction. Suppose there exist an  $f \in L^\infty(X)$  and a  $\psi \in L^2(X)$  such that  $\alpha = \|(TM_f - M_fT)\psi\|_{L^2(X)}$  is positive. Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. Then there exists a  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  such that

$$\|(TM_f - M_fT)\psi\|_{L^2(B)} \geq \alpha - \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2(B^c)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\psi\|_{L^2(B^c)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Here  $B^c$  denotes the complement of  $B$  in  $X$ . On the other hand, by the absolute continuity of indefinite integral, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|(TM_f - M_fT)\psi\|_{L^2(e)} \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\|\psi\|_{L^2(e)} \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $\|T\psi\|_{L^2(e)} \leq \varepsilon$  hold for arbitrary  $e \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  with  $\mu(e) \leq \delta$ . We fix these  $B$  and  $\delta$ . By the condition (C) on  $\mathcal{A}$ , there exist  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $e \subset B$  such that  $\sup_{x \in B \setminus e} |f(x) - \varphi(x)| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\mu(e) \leq \delta$ . Put  $B_1 = B \setminus e$ . Let  $\chi_1$  be the characteristic function of  $B_1$ . We put  $\psi_1 = \psi\chi_1$  and  $\psi_2 = \psi - \psi_1$ . Then we have  $\|\psi_2\|_{L^2(X)} \leq 2\varepsilon$ . Hence on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(TM_f - M_fT)\psi\|_{L^2(B_1)} &\geq \|(TM_f - M_fT)\psi\|_{L^2(B)} - \|(TM_f - M_fT)\psi\|_{L^2(e)} \\ &\geq \alpha - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $TM_\varphi = M_\varphi T$ , we see  $TM_f - M_f T = TM_{f-\varphi} - M_{f-\varphi} T$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(TM_f - M_f T)\phi\|_{L^2(B_1)} &\leq \|(TM_f - M_f T)\phi_1\|_{L^2(B_1)} + \|(TM_f - M_f T)\phi_2\|_{L^2(B_1)} \\ &\leq \|(TM_{f-\varphi} - M_{f-\varphi} T)\phi_1\|_{L^2(B_1)} + 2\epsilon \|TM_f - M_f T\| \\ &\leq \|TM_{f-\varphi}\phi_1\|_{L^2(B_1)} + \|M_{f-\varphi} T\phi_1\|_{L^2(B_1)} \\ &\quad + 2\epsilon \|TM_f - M_f T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\|M_{f-\varphi}\phi_1\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \epsilon \|\phi_1\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \epsilon \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$ , because  $\phi_1$  is zero outside  $B_1$ . Note also  $\|M_{f-\varphi} T\phi_1\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq \epsilon \|T\phi_1\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq \epsilon \|T\| \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$ . Consequently we get

$$\|(TM_f - M_f T)\phi\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq 2\epsilon (\|T\| \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)} + \|TM_f - M_f T\|).$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  can be chosen small enough, this gives a contradiction. Q.E.D.

**Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a linear subspace of  $L^\infty(X)$  satisfying the condition (C). Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and the complex conjugate of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is dense in  $L^\infty(X)$  with respect to the weak\* topology.*

*Proof.* Recall the theorem of Fuglede: if  $N$  and  $T$  are bounded operators on a Hilbert space and  $N$  is normal, then  $TN = NT$  implies  $TN^* = N^*T$  (see e.g. Strătilă-Zsidó [11, 2.31]). From this and  $M_\varphi^* = M_\varphi$ , we see that a bounded operator  $T$  on  $L^2(X)$  commutes with  $M_\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{A}$  if  $T$  so does with  $M_\varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then Corollary follows from von Neumann's double commutant theorem.

Q. E. D.

**Remark.** In the condition (C), the family  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  can be replaced by a subfamily  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  satisfying the following (\*).

(\*) For any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ , there exist a countably many  $K_n \in \mathcal{K}$  and a locally null set  $N$  such that  $B \subset N \cup (\bigcup_n K_n)$ .

For example, in the case where  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on a topological space  $X$ , the family  $\mathcal{K}$  of all compact subsets of  $X$  satisfies (\*).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] T. Asai, The reciprocity of Dedekind sums and the factor set for the universal covering group of  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ , Nagoya Math. J., **37** (1970), 67-80.
- [2] S.S. Gelbart, Weil's representation and the spectrum of the metaplectic group, Lecture Notes in Math., **530**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.
- [3] K.I. Gross and R.A. Kunze, Bessel functions and representation theory I, J. Functional Analysis, **22** (1976), 73-195, II, *ibid.*, **25** (1977), 1-49.
- [4] M. Hashizume, Local zeta functions attached to certain holomorphic discrete series representations of the real symplectic group, preprint.

- [ 5 ] C.S. Herz, Bessel functions of matrix argument, *Ann. of Math.*, **61** (1955), 474-523.
- [ 6 ] M. Kashiwara and M. Vergne, On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials, *Inventiones Math.*, **44** (1978), 1-47.
- [ 7 ] T. Kubota, A generalized Weil type representation and a function analogous to  $e^{-x^2}$ , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81** (1975), 902-903.
- [ 8 ] T. Kubota, On an analogy to the Poisson summation formula for generalized Fourier transformation, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **268/269** (1976), 180-189.
- [ 9 ] T. Kubota, On a generalized Weil type representation, in "Algebraic Number Theory," *Int. Symp., Kyoto, 1976*, pp. 117-128.
- [10] T. Kubota, On a generalized Fourier transformation, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **24** (1977), 1-10.
- [11] S. Strătilă and L. Zsidó, *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1979.
- [12] T. Suzuki, Weil type representations and automorphic forms, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **77** (1980), 145-166.
- [13] G.N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge, 1922.
- [14] A. Weil, Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, *Acta Math.*, **111** (1964), 143-211.
- [15] H. Yamada, Relative invariants of prehomogeneous vector spaces and a realization of certain unitary representations I, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **11** (1981), 97-109.
- [16] T. Yamazaki, On a generalization of the Fourier transformation, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **25** (1978), 237-252.