## **ON WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES**

## SENG-KEE CHUA

ABSTRACT. We study density and extension problems for weighted Sobolev spaces on bounded  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domains  $\mathcal{D}$  when a doubling weight *w* satisfies the weighted Poincaré inequality on cubes near the boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$  and when it is in the Muckenhoupt  $A_p$  class locally in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, when the weights  $w_i(x)$  are of the form  $\operatorname{dist}(x, M_i)^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M_i \subset \mathcal{D}$  that are doubling, we are able to obtain some extension theorems on  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$ domains.

1. Introduction. Recently there has been quite a number of works related to weighted Sobolev spaces. For example, Kufner [23] studied various properties of weighted Sobolev spaces on certain domains  $\mathcal{D}$  for weights arising from dist( $\cdot, M$ ) with  $M \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$ . Also, Brown and Hinton [2], [3], [4] and Gutierrez and Wheeden [20] obtained weighted Sobolev interpolation inequalities. Meanwhile, the author [9], [11], [13] has studied the extension and restriction problems on weighted Sobolev spaces. In this paper, we would like to improve some results in [9]. Namely, we will study density problems and extension problems on weighted Sobolev spaces. Note that some of our results overlap some of those in [23] and [17].

By a weight w, we mean a non-negative locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By abusing notation, we will also write w for the measure induced by w. Sometimes we write dw to denote w dx. We always assume w is doubling, by which we mean  $w(2Q) \leq Cw(Q)$  for every cube Q, where 2Q denotes the cube with the same center as Q and twice its edgelength. All cubes in this paper are assumed to be closed and with edges parallel to the axes. By  $w \in A_p$ , we mean w satisfies the Muckenhoupt  $A_p$  condition, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{Q}|} \left( \int_{\mathcal{Q}} w \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\mathcal{Q}} w^{-1/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} \le C \quad \text{when } 1 
$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{Q}|} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} w(x) \, dx \le C \operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} w(x) \quad \text{when } p = 1,$$$$

for all cubes Q in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that w is doubling when it is in  $A_p$ . Moreover, when  $\mathcal{D}$  is an open set, we will write  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$  if for any cube  $Q_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$ , there exists  $C_{Q_0} > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{Q}|} w(\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Q}_0)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Q}_0} w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p'} \leq C_{\mathcal{Q}_0} \quad \text{when } 1$$

Received by the editors March 14, 1993; revised May 1995.

AMS subject classification: 46E35.

Key words and phrases: Poincaré inequalities,  $A_p$  weights, power weights, doubling, locally  $A_p$  weights,  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  and  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domains.

<sup>©</sup> Canadian Mathematical Society, 1996.

SENG-KEE CHUA

$$\frac{w(Q \cap Q_0)}{|Q|} \le C_{Q_0} \operatorname{essinf}_{x \in Q \cap Q_0} w(x) \quad \text{when } p = 1,$$

for all cubes Q in  $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\alpha$  is a multi-index,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ , we will denote  $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$  by  $|\alpha|$  and  $D^{\alpha} = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^{\alpha_n}$ . By  $\alpha \ge \beta$ , we mean  $\alpha_j \ge \beta_j$  for all  $1 \le j \le n$ . Moreover we write  $\alpha > \beta$  if  $\alpha \ge \beta$  and  $\alpha \ne \beta$ . We denote by  $\nabla$  the vector  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})$  and by  $\nabla^m$  the vector of all possible  $m^{th}$  order derivatives for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . A locally integrable function f on  $\mathcal{D}$  (we will write  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathcal{D})$ ) has a weak derivative of order  $\alpha$  if there is a locally integrable function (denoted by  $D^{\alpha}f$ ) such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} f(D^{\alpha}\varphi) \, dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} (D^{\alpha}f)\varphi \, dx$$

for all  $C^{\infty}$  functions  $\varphi$  with compact support in  $\mathcal{D}$  (we will write  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ ).

If 1 , p' is always equal to <math>p/(p-1) and  $p' = \infty$  when p = 1. Q will always be a cube and l(Q) will be its edgelength. Following [22], we say that two cubes touch if a face of one cube is contained in a face of the other. For  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and any weight  $w, L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  are the spaces of functions having weak derivatives of all orders  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \le k$ , and satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})} = \sum_{0 \le |\alpha| \le k} \|D^a f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})} = \sum_{0 \le |\alpha| \le k} \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} |D^\alpha f|^p \, dw\right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})} < \infty$$

respectively. Moreover, in the case when  $w \equiv 1$ , we will denote  $L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  and  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ by  $L^p_k(\mathcal{D})$  and  $E^p_k(\mathcal{D})$  respectively. Also, let  $\hat{E}^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  be the factor space  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ where  $\mathcal{P}_l$  is the subspace of polynomials of degree not greater than l. By  $f \in L^p_{w,1,\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ , we mean  $f \in L^p_{w,1}(K^o)$  for all compact sets K in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an open connected set. It is easy to see that  $L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  is a Banach space when  $w^{-1/p} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathcal{D})$  [17]. Moreover, the author [9] prove that  $\hat{E}^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  is a Banach space when  $w \in A_p$ . Note that it is just a weighted version of Theorem 1.1.13.1 in [26]. We will show that indeed the following is true.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$  and let w be a doubling weight. If  $w^{-1/p} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathcal{D})$  then  $\hat{E}^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  is a Banach space for any connected open set  $\mathcal{D}$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. An open set  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain if for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}, |x - y| < \delta$ , there exists a rectifiable curve  $\gamma$  connecting x, y such that  $\gamma$  lies in  $\mathcal{D}$  and

(1.1) 
$$l(\gamma) < \frac{|x-y|}{\varepsilon}$$

<sup>1</sup> Note that  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D}) \Rightarrow w \in A_p^K$  for all compact sets  $K \subset \mathcal{D}$  in the notation of Wolff [35].

(1.2) 
$$d(z, \partial \mathcal{D}) > \frac{\varepsilon |x - z| |y - z|}{|x - y|} \quad \forall z \in \gamma.$$

Here  $l(\gamma)$  is the length of  $\gamma$  and  $d(z, \partial D)$  is the distance between z and the boundary of D. Moreover, we will write  $d(Q, S) = \inf_{x \in Q, y \in S} |x - y|, d(Q) = d(Q, \partial D)$  and  $d(z) = d(\{z\}, \partial D)$ .

In 1981, P. Jones [22] extended a famous extension theorem on Lipschitz domains to  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domains.

THEOREM 1.3. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a connected  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_k^p(\mathcal{D})$  is dense in  $L_k^p(\mathcal{D})$  and  $L_k^p(\mathcal{D})$  has a bounded extension operator. Moreover the norm of the extension operator depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$ , k, p, rad $(\mathcal{D})$ , and the dimension n.

Furthermore he proved that

THEOREM 1.4. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $E_1^n(\mathcal{D})$  has a bounded extension operator, i.e., there exists  $\Lambda: E_1^n(\mathcal{D}) \to E_1^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\Lambda f|_{\mathcal{D}} = f$  a.e. and  $\|\Lambda\|$  is bounded.

Recently, the author extended Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 to weighted Sobolev spaces when the weight is in  $A_p$  [9]. In this paper, we will extend these results further by relaxing the  $A_p$  assumption on the weight w to the following conditions on a bounded ( $\varepsilon, \delta$ ) domain  $\mathcal{D}$ :

w is doubling on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ w satisfies a local Poincaré inequality on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Indeed, we prove that

THEOREM 1.5. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a bounded  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let w be a doubling weight such that  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ . Suppose further that

(1.3) 
$$\|f - f_{Q,w}\|_{L^p_w(Q)} \le C(A)l(Q)\|\nabla f\|_{L^p_w(Q)} \quad \forall f \in L^p_{w,1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$$

for all cubes  $Q \subset \mathcal{D}$  near  $\partial \mathcal{D}$  such that  $Ad(Q) \leq l(Q) \leq d(Q)/A$ , A > 0 where  $f_{Q,w} = \int_Q f dw/w(Q)$ . Then given any  $f \in L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  (resp.  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ ) and  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $f_\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f-f_{\eta}\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D})} < \eta \quad (resp. \|\nabla^{k}(f-f_{\eta})\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D})} < \eta).$$

Moreover, with the help of [11, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2] and the previous theorem, we show that:

THEOREM 1.6. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a bounded  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$  and w a doubling weight. If  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $w^{-1/p} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and (3.3) holds, then there exists an extension operator  $\Lambda$  on  $L_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D})$  such that

$$\|\Lambda f\|_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})}.$$

Moreover, if in addition that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a bounded  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain, then there exists an extension operator  $\Lambda'$  on  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  such that

$$\|\nabla^k \Lambda' f\|_{L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})}.$$

REMARK 1.7. (a) Let  $M \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . It is easy to see that if  $w(x) = \text{dist}(x, M)^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then it follows from the non-weighted Poincaré inequality that

(1.4) 
$$\|f - f_Q\|_{L^p_w(Q)} \le \operatorname{Cl}(Q) \|\nabla f\|_{L^p_w(Q)} \quad \forall f \in L^p_{w,1,\operatorname{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$$

for all cubes Q with l(Q) comparable to d(Q). Moreover, it is clear that  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 1.5 that  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D})$  is dense in  $L_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D})$  when  $w(x) = \text{dist}(x, M)^{\alpha}$  is doubling (note that (1.4) implies (1.3)). Thus when w is doubling and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a bounded  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain, we obtain those density theorems in [23].

(b) Furthermore, if w(x) = s(dist(x, M)) where s is a positive and continuous function on positive real numbers that satisfies certain properties described in Kufner [23] or [17], similar conclusion can be obtained by Theorem 1.5 if we know that w is doubling.

(c) We do not know exactly when will the weights w defined as above will be doubling. However, in the case that M is just a finite subset of  $\partial D$ , it is easy to see that dist $(x, M)^{\alpha}$  is doubling if and only if  $\alpha > -n$ . For more details, refer to [15].

REMARK 1.8. (a) Let w be as in Remark 1.7. If in addition that  $w^{-1/p} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then we can apply Theorem 1.6 to get extension operator for  $L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  or  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ . This overlaps some results in [17].

(b) The assumption that  $w^{-1/p} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in Theorem 1.6 is somewhat too strong. Indeed, we need only to assume that  $w^{-1/p} \in L^{p'}(\mathcal{D})$ . For the details, see [10]. Note that when  $\mathcal{D}$  is a bounded  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain,  $w \in A^{loc}_p(\mathcal{D})$  and (3.3) holds, it follows from [14, Corollary 1.5] that  $f \in E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  if and only if  $f \in L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ .

Finally, when the weights are of the form as in Remark 1.7(a), we are able to obtain extension theorems similar to Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in [9]; see Remark 4.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is grateful to the reviewer for his suggestions to improve the presentation of the paper and the proof of Lemma 2.5.

2. **Preliminaries.** In what follows, C denotes various positive constants, they may differ even in a same string of estimates. Moreover, sometimes, we will use  $C(\alpha, \beta, ...)$  instead of C to emphasize that the constant is depending on  $\alpha, \beta, ...$  Following [22], we say that two cubes touch if a face of one cube is contained in a face of the other. In particular, the union of two touching cubes of equal size is a rectangle.

First, let us state a theorem on polynomials.

THEOREM 2.1 ([9, LEMMA 2.3]). Let F, Q be cubes such that  $F \subset Q$  and  $|F| > \gamma |Q|$ . If w is a doubling weight,  $1 \le q < \infty$ , and p is a polynomial of degree m, then

$$\|p\|_{L^q_w(E)} \le C(\gamma, m, n, w) \left(\frac{w(E)}{w(F)}\right)^{1/q} \|p\|_{L^q_w(F)}$$

for all measurable sets  $E \subset Q$ .

Next, the following lemma is indeed a special case of a result in [12].

LEMMA 2.2 ([12, THEOREM 2.1]). Let f be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let w be a doubling weight. Also, let  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and L > 0. For each cube Q in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let a(f, Q) be a polynomial of degree k associated to f on Q for each cube Q. Suppose that  $\{Q_i\}_{i=0}^l$  is a sequence of cubes such that  $Q_i \cap Q_{i+1}$  contains a cube  $Q^i$  with  $|Q^i| \ge L \max\{|Q_i|, |Q_{i+1}|\}$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, l-1$ . Then

(2.1) 
$$\|f - a(f, Q_0)\|_{L^p_w(Q_i)} \le C \sum_i \|f - a(f, Q_i)\|_{L^p_w(Q_i)}$$

where C depends only on L, l, w, k, p and the dimension n.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. We will modify the proof of [26, Theorem 1.1.13.1] and [9, Theorem 4.9].

Let  $Q_0$  be a Whitney cube in  $\mathcal{D}$  and let  $\{\Omega_i\}$  be a sequence of open connected sets which are the interiors of finite unions of touching Whitney cubes of  $\mathcal{D}$  (when  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}^n$ , just take  $\{\Omega_i\}$  be a sequence of nested cubes) such that  $Q_0 \subset \Omega_i, \overline{\Omega}_i \subset \Omega_{i+1}, \bigcup_i \Omega_i = \mathcal{D}$ .

Given any Cauchy sequence  $\{u_j\} \subset E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ , and any cube Q in  $\mathcal{D}$ , let  $P(Q, u_j)$  be the unique polynomial of degree  $\langle k$  such that  $\int_Q D^\beta (u_j - P(Q, u_j)) dx = 0$  for all  $|\beta| \langle k$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D^{\beta} (u_{j} - u_{l} - P(Q, u_{j} - u_{l})) \right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} &= \left\| D^{\beta} (u_{j} - u_{l} - (P(Q, u_{j}) - P(Q, u_{l}))) \right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \\ &\leq \operatorname{Cl}(Q)^{k - |\beta|} \left\| \nabla^{k} (u_{j} - u_{l}) \right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \end{aligned}$$

for all cubes Q in  $\mathcal{D}$  by the unweighted Poincaré inequality, we have if  $P_i = P(Q_0, u_i)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left\| D^{\beta} \big( u_{j} - u_{l} - (P_{j} - P_{l}) \big) \right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega_{i})} &\leq C(\Omega_{i}) \| \nabla^{k}(u_{j} - u_{l}) \|_{L^{1}(\Omega_{i})} \\ &\leq C(\Omega_{i}) \| \nabla^{k}(u_{j} - u_{l}) \|_{L^{p}_{w}(\Omega_{i})} \| w^{-1/p} \|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{i})} \\ &\leq C(\Omega_{i}) \| \nabla^{k}(u_{j} - u_{l}) \|_{L^{p}_{w}(\Omega_{i})}, \end{split}$$

by the previous lemma, the Hölder inequality and the assumption on w. Hence if  $v_j = u_j - P_j$ , then  $\{D^{\beta}v_j\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(\Omega_i)$  for any *i* and  $|\beta| \leq k$ . Thus it follows that for each *i* and  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < k$ , there exists  $h_{i,\beta} \in L^1(\Omega_i)$  such that  $\|D^{\beta}v_j - h_{i,\beta}\|_{L^1(\Omega_i)} \to 0$  as  $j \to \infty$ . (When  $|\beta| = k$ , clearly there exists  $h_{\beta} \in L^p_w(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $\|D^{\beta}v_j - h_{\beta}\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})} \to 0$  as  $L^p_w(\mathcal{D})$  is complete.) Using subsequences, it is clear that  $h_{i+1,\beta} = h_{i,\beta}$  a.e. on  $\Omega_i$ . If we define  $h_{\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  by setting  $h_{\beta} = h_{i,\beta}$  on  $\Omega_i$ , it follows that for each compact set  $K \subset \mathcal{D}$  we have  $h_{\beta} \in L^1(K)$  and  $D^{\beta}v_j \to h_{\beta}$  in  $L^1(K)$  for all  $|\beta| \leq k$  (for  $|\beta| = k$ , just use the Hölder inequality and the fact that  $w^{-1/p} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathcal{D})$ ). Thus if  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ , then (let us write  $h_{\beta}$  as *h* when  $\beta = 0$ )

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} h D^{\beta} \varphi \, dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{D}} v_j D^{\beta} \varphi \, dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} (D^{\beta} v_j) \varphi \, dx = (-1)^{|\beta|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} h_{\beta} \varphi \, dx.$$

Hence  $D^{\beta}h = h_{\beta}$  exists. Moreover  $D^{\alpha}h = \lim D^{\alpha}u_j$  when  $|\alpha| = k$  since  $D^{\alpha}u_j = D^{\alpha}v_j$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an open connected set, let  $\{u_j\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  and let u be a function in  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$  such that

$$\|\nabla^k(u_j-u)\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})}\to 0.$$

Then there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{P_j\}$  of degree  $\langle k with u_j - P_j \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1(K)$  for all compact sets K in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

PROOF. By the previous proof, we know  $v_j = u_j - P_j \rightarrow h$  in  $L^1(K)$  for each compact set K in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $\nabla^k u_j \rightarrow \nabla^k h$  in  $L^p_w(\mathcal{D})$ . Since also  $\nabla^k u_j \rightarrow \nabla^k u$  in  $L^p_w(\mathcal{D})$ , we see that  $\nabla^k (u - h) = 0$ , so u - h = P for some polynomial P of degree  $\langle k$ . Thus  $u_j - P_j + P \rightarrow h + P = u$  in  $L^1(K)$ .

Now we will state a well-known lemma; see for example, Theorem III.2 in [31].

LEMMA 2.4. Let k(x) be nonnegative and integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose k(x) depends only on |x| and decreases as |x| increases. Then for all non-negative measurable functions f,

$$\sup_{t>0} |f * k_t(x)| \leq C ||k||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} Mf(x)$$

with C independent of x, f and k. Here  $k_t(y) = t^{-n}k(y/t)$  and Mf is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f.

Similar to  $A_p$  weights [27], [18], we have the following results.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $1 , and <math>w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ . Then

(2.2)  $\|M(f\chi_K)\|_{L^p_w(K)} \le C_K \|f\|_{L^p_w(K)}$ 

for all compact sets K in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

PROOF. We will only prove it for the case when w is doubling.<sup>2</sup> It suffices to show that (2.2) holds for  $K = Q_0$  for all cubes  $Q_0$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $3Q_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $\mu = \chi_{3Q_0}$ ,  $v = \chi_{3Q_0} w$  and  $\tilde{w} = \chi_{Q_0} w$ . Note that  $(\frac{d\mu}{dv})^{p'-1} = \chi_{3Q_0} w^{1-p'}$ . Let  $M_{\mu}h(x) = \sup \int_F h(y) d\mu/\mu(F)$  where the supremum is taken over all cubes F containing x. Let Q be any cube. We will now show that  $v, \tilde{w}$  and  $M_{\mu}$  satisfies the  $S_p$  condition [29]. Let  $x \in Q_0 \cap Q$ , we now consider two cases:

CASE (i)  $Q \subset 3Q_0$ . Then there exists a cube  $F \subset Q$  and  $x \in F$  such that  $M_{\mu}\chi_{Q\cap 3Q_0}w^{1-p'}(x) \leq C \int_F w^{1-p'} dy/|F|$ . Thus

$$M_{\mu}(\chi_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}}w^{1-p'})(x) \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|F|}\int_{F}w\,dy\right)^{1-p'} \text{ since } w \in A_{p}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$$
  
(2.3) 
$$= C\left(\frac{1}{w(F)}\int_{F}w^{-1}w\,dy\right)^{p'-1} \leq C\left(M_{w}(\chi_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}}w^{-1})(x)\right)^{p'-1}.$$

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The idea of this proof was provided by the referee.

Hence

(2.4)  

$$\int_{Q} [M_{\mu}(\chi_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}}w^{1-p'})(x)]^{p} d\tilde{w}(x) = \int_{Q\cap Q_{0}} [M_{\mu}(\chi_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}}w^{1-p'})(x)]^{p} w(x) dx \\
\leq C \int_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}} [M_{w}(\chi_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}}w^{-1})(x)]^{p'} w(x) dx \\
\leq \int_{Q\cap 3Q_{0}} (w^{-1})^{p'} w(x) dx \\
= \int \chi_{Q} \left(\frac{d\mu}{dv}\right)^{p'-1} v(x) dx$$

since w is doubling<sup>3</sup> on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; see for example [21].

CASE (ii). Q is not contained in  $3Q_0$ . Since there is nothing to prove when  $Q \cap Q_0 = \emptyset$ , we may assume  $3^n |Q \cap 3Q_0| \ge |3Q_0|$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} [M_{\mu}(\chi_{Q \cap 3Q_{0}} w^{1-p'})(x)]^{p} d\tilde{w}(x) &\leq \int_{Q_{0}} [M_{\mu}(\chi_{3Q_{0}} w^{1-p'})(x)]^{p} w(x) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{3Q_{0}} w^{1-p'}(x) dx \leq \int_{Q \cap 3Q_{0}} w^{1-p'}(x) dx \end{split}$$

since  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ . Hence by Theorem A of [29], we have

$$\begin{split} \|M(\chi_{Q_0}f)\|_{L^p_w(Q_0)} &= \|M_\mu(\chi_{Q_0}f)\|_{L^p_w(Q_0)} = \|M_\mu(\chi_{Q_0}f)\|_{L^p_w(\mathbf{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\chi_{Q_0}f\|_{L^p_w(\mathbf{R}^n)} = C\|f\|_{L^p_w(Q_0)} \end{split}$$

and hence (2.2) holds for  $K = Q_0$ .

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty, w \in A_p^{loc}(\mathcal{D})$  and let  $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}$  be a non-negative decreasing radial function with support in  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$  and  $\int \xi(x) dx = 1$ . Then for  $f \in L_w^p(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $f * \xi_t \to f$  in  $L_w^p(K)$  as  $t \to 0$  for all compact sets K in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, if  $f \in L_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D})$  then  $f * \xi_t \to f$  in  $L_{w,k}^p(K)$  for all compact sets K in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

PROOF. When 1 , it follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Now if <math>p = 1, given any compact set  $K \subset \mathcal{D}$ , let us first choose a continuous function g such that

(2.5) 
$$\|f - g\|_{L^1_w(K^s)} \le \eta$$

where  $K^s = \{x + y : |y| \le s, x \in K\}$ , and s is chosen so that  $K^s \subset \mathcal{D}$ . Next since g is continuous, there exists L > 0 such that  $|g(x) - g(y)| < \eta$  for  $x, y \in K^s$  and  $|x - y| \le L$ . Next if  $sB = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le s\}$  and 0 < t < s,

$$\|f * \xi_{t} - f\|_{L^{1}_{w}(K)} \leq \int_{K} \int_{SB} |f(x - y) - f(x)|\xi_{t}(y) \, dyw(x) \, dx$$
  
$$\leq \int_{K} \int_{SB} |f(x - y) - g(x - y)|\xi_{t}(y) \, dyw(x) \, dx$$
  
$$+ \int_{K} \int_{SB} |g(x - y) - g(x)|\xi_{t}(y) \, dyw(x) \, dx$$
  
$$+ \int_{K} \int_{SB} |g(x) - f(x)|\xi_{t}(y) \, dyw(x) \, dx$$
  
$$= I + II + III.$$

<sup>3</sup> However, the theorem can be proved without assuming w is doubling *i.e.*, assuming only  $w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ .

However,  $II \le w(K)\eta$  when  $0 < t < s \le L$  and

$$III = \int_{K} |g(x) - f(x)| w(x) \, dx \le \eta$$

by (2.5). Finally, note that

$$I \leq \int_{K} \int_{K^{s}} |f(y) - g(y)| \xi_{t}(x - y) \, dyw(x) \, dx$$
  
$$\leq \int_{K^{s}} \int_{K} \xi_{t}(x - y)w(x) \, dx |f(y) - g(y)| \, dy$$
  
$$\leq C \int_{K^{s}} M(w\chi_{K})(y) |f(y) - g(y)| \, dy$$
  
$$\leq C ||f - g||_{L^{1}_{w}(K^{s})} \leq C(K)\eta.$$

Lemma 2.6 now follows from the fact that  $D^{\alpha}(f * \xi_t) = (D^{\alpha}f) * \xi_t$ .

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$  and  $w \in A_p^{loc}(\mathcal{D})$ . Then for all compact sets K in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,

(2.6) 
$$\|f - a(f,Q)\|_{L^p_w(Q)} \le C(K)l(Q)\|\nabla f\|_{L^p_w(Q)}$$

for all  $f \in L^p_{w,1,\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$  and cube  $Q \subset K$  where  $a(f,Q) = \int_Q f \, dx / |Q|$  or  $\int_Q f \, dw / w(Q)$ .

PROOF. Let K be any compact set in  $\mathcal{D}$ . First, note that it suffices to show that (2.6) holds with  $a(f, Q) = f_Q = \int_Q f dx/|Q|$ . However,

$$|f(x) - f_Q| \le \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f(y)| \, dy \le C \int_Q \frac{|\nabla f(y)|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \, dy$$

for  $x \in Q, f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [33, Proposition 4.2]). Hence if  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  it suffices to show that

(2.7) 
$$\left\| \int_{Q} \frac{g(y)}{|\cdot - y|^{n-1}} \, dy \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(Q)} \leq C(K) l(Q) \|g\|_{L^{p}_{w}(Q)}$$

for all cubes  $Q \subset K$ . However, in the case 1 , (2.7) is just a consequence of Lemma 2.5. Moreover, the case <math>p = 1 follows immediately from the fact that  $w \in A_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ . Finally, with the help of Lemma 2.6, by similar argument as the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [9], our assertion follows.

Next we will state a theorem which is similar to [26, Theorem 1.1.2.1] and [9, Theorem 4.2]. Since it can be proved by very similar method as the proof of [9, Theorem 4.2] with the help of Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, we will omit the proof.

THEOREM 2.8. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be any open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $1 \leq p < \infty, w \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D})$ . If  $f \in E_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D})$ , then

$$\int_{K} |D^{\gamma} f|^{p} \, dw < \infty \quad \text{for all compact sets } K \subset \mathcal{D}, \; \forall 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq k.$$

<sup>4</sup> For the case p = 1, indeed we just modify the proof of Lemma 8 in [28].

3. Density theorems. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain, we will decompose  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  into connected components and define

$$r = \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{D}) = \inf_{\alpha} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}} |x - y|.$$

We will assume r > 0 in most cases. Then for any  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , there is a point y in the same component with  $|x - y| \ge \frac{3r}{4}$ . Note that we always have r > 0 when  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain since  $\mathcal{D}$  is then connected.

Let us recall that two cubes touch if a face of one cube is contained in a face of the other. In particular, the union of two touching cubes of equal size is a rectangle. A collection of cubes  $\{S_i\}_{i=0}^m$  is called a *chain* if  $S_i$  touches  $S_{i+1}$  for all *i*.

Next let us recall some properties of the cubes in the Whitney decomposition of an open set  $\mathcal{D}$  [31]. Since these properties are well-known, we will often make use of them without explicitly mentioning them.

$$l(Q) = 2^{-k} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$
  

$$Q_1^o \cap Q_2^o = \emptyset \quad \text{if } Q_1 \neq Q_2,$$
  

$$1/4 \leq \frac{l(Q_1)}{l(Q_2)} \leq 4 \quad \text{if } Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset,$$
  

$$1 \leq \frac{d(Q)}{l(Q)} \leq 4\sqrt{n}.$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the density theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5. Our proof is similar to that of [22] and [9]. Let  $\rho = 2^{-m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Let  $W_1$  be the Whitney decomposition of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Define

 $\Re' = \{ \text{dyadic cubes } R \text{ with edgelength } \varrho, R \subset \mathcal{D} \}$ and

 $\Re = \{ R \in \Re' : R \subset S \text{ for some } S \in W_1, l(S) \ge 32n^3 \varrho/\varepsilon \}.$ 

Moreover, for each  $R \in \Re$  let  $\tilde{R}$ ,  $\tilde{\tilde{R}}$  be cubes concentric with R with sides parallel to the axes and  $l(\tilde{R}) = 1281n^4 \varrho/\varepsilon^2$  and  $l(\tilde{\tilde{R}}) = 2562n^4 \varrho/\varepsilon^2$ . For s > 0, let  $\mathcal{D}_s = \{x \in \mathcal{D} : d(x) \ge s\}$ . First, let us make the following two observations.

(I)  $\mathcal{D} \subset \bigcup_{R \in \Re} \tilde{R}$  provided  $rad(\mathcal{D}) > 0$  and  $\rho$  is small enough.

(II) Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain with  $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{D}) > 0$  and let  $s = 3203n^5 \varrho/\varepsilon^3 < \delta$ . Then for all  $R_0, R_j \in \Re$  with  $\tilde{\tilde{R}}_0 \cap \tilde{\tilde{R}}_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{R}_0 \cap (\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2s}) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a chain  $G_{0,j} = \{R_0 = S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m = R_j\}$  in  $\Re'$  connecting  $R_0, R_j$  with  $m \leq C$  that depends only on  $\varepsilon, \delta$  and n, and  $\cup G_{0,j} \subset \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{3s}, d(\cup G_{0,j}) \geq 20n^2\rho$ .

(I) is first stated in [22] without proof. Nevertheless, the reader can refer to the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [9]. A similar conclusion as (II) can indeed be found in [22, Lemma 4.1] or [9]. However, since (II) is slightly stronger than the conclusion in [22] or [9], we will prove it.

First note that since  $d(R_0, R_j) \leq \sqrt{n}(2561n^4\rho/\varepsilon^2) < \delta$ , there exists  $\gamma$  connecting  $R_0, R_j$  which satisfies (1.1) and (1.2). Next if  $z \in \gamma$ , we will show that  $d(z, \mathcal{D}_{3s}) > \sqrt{n\rho}$ .

First, we have

$$d(z, R_0) \le l(\gamma) < d(R_0, R_j)/\varepsilon \le 2561n^5 \rho/\varepsilon^3,$$
  
$$d(R_0, (\mathcal{D}_{2s})^c) \le \sqrt{n}(640n^4 \rho/\varepsilon^2) \le 640n^5 \rho/\varepsilon^2$$

as  $\tilde{R}_0 \cap (\mathcal{D}_{2s})^c \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover,

$$d(R_0, \mathcal{D}_{3s}) \geq d((\mathcal{D}_{2s})^c, \mathcal{D}_{3s}) - d(R_0, (\mathcal{D}_{2s})^c) - \sqrt{n}l(R_0)$$
  
 
$$\geq 3203n^5\rho/\varepsilon^3 - 640n^5\rho/\varepsilon^2 - \sqrt{n}\rho$$
  
 
$$\geq 2562n^5\rho/\varepsilon^3.$$

Next, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $d(z, R_0) \le d(z, R_j)$ . We now consider two cases:

CASE (i).  $d(z, R_0) \le 42n^2 \varrho/\varepsilon$ . Then  $d(z) \ge 32n^3 \varrho/\varepsilon - 42n^2 \varrho/\varepsilon \ge 22n^2 \varrho/\varepsilon$ . (Note that we may restrict ourself to the case  $n \ge 2$ .)

CASE (ii).  $d(z, R_0) > 42n^2 \rho / \epsilon$ . Then by (1.2),

$$d(z) \geq \frac{\varepsilon d(z, R_0) d(z, R_j)}{d(R_0, R_j)} \geq 21n^2 \varrho.$$

Finally let us note that an appropriate subcollection of  $\{R \in \Re' : R \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset\}$  will provide us the required chain. Moreover,  $m \leq C$  as  $l(\gamma) \leq d(R_0, R_j)/\varepsilon$ .

Now, given  $f \in L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ , we will let  $P_j = P(R_j)$  be the unique polynomial of degree k-1 such that

$$\int_{R_j} D^{\alpha} (f - P(R_j)) dw = 0, \quad 0 \le |\alpha| \le k - 1.$$

Next let  $R_0, R_j \in \Re, R_0, R_j$  be as in (II). Suppose that  $G_{0,j}$  is the chain connecting  $R_0, R_j$  guaranteed by (II). If  $P_0 = P(R_0)$  and  $P_j = P(R_j)$ , similar to the proof of [9, Lemma 6.3], by the triangle inequality, (1.3), Lemma 2.2 and the fact that  $\varepsilon^3 d(R)/10000n^5 \le l(R) \le 20n^2 d(R)$  for all  $R \in \bigcup G_{0,j}$ , we can show that

(3.1) 
$$\|D^{\alpha}(P_0 - P_j)\|_{L^p_w(R_0)} \le C \varrho^{k - |\alpha|} \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p_w(\cup G_{0,j})} \quad \forall 0 \le |\alpha| \le k$$

where C is independent of  $f, R_0, R_j$  and  $\rho$ .

Next given  $\eta > 0$ , let us choose s > 0 such that  $||f||_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{3s})} \leq \eta$ . We then choose  $\psi \in C^{\infty}$  such that  $\chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2s}} \leq \psi \leq \chi_{\mathcal{D}_s}$  and  $|D^{\alpha}\psi| \leq C(\alpha)s^{-|\alpha|}$ .

Recall that by Lemma 2.6, there exists  $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}$  such that  $\int \xi dx = 1$  and

$$\|f - f * \xi_t\|_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D}_s)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to 0 \text{ for } f \in L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D}), \text{ where } \xi_t(x) = t^{-n} \xi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right).$$

Thus we can choose 0 < t < s/2 such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|D^{\alpha}(f - f * \xi_{t})\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}_{s})} = \|D^{\alpha}f - (D^{\alpha}f) * \xi_{t}\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}_{s})} \le \eta s^{k-|\alpha|}, \quad 0 \le |\alpha| \le k.$$

For each  $R_j \in \Re$ , let us choose  $\varphi_j \in C^{\infty}$  with  $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq \chi_{\tilde{R}_j}$  such that  $\sum_{R_j \in \Re} \varphi_j \equiv 1$ on  $\bigcup_{R_i \in \Re} \tilde{R}_j$ ,  $0 \leq \sum_{R_i \in \Re} \varphi_j \leq 1$  and  $|D^{\alpha} \varphi_j| \leq C \varrho^{-|\alpha|}$ .

Fixing t and s, let  $g_0 = \sum_{R_j \in \Re} P_j \varphi_j$ ,  $g_1 = g_0(1 - \psi)$  and  $g_2 = (f * \xi_t)\psi$ . Then clearly  $g_0, g_1, g_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We now show that  $||f - (g_1 + g_2)||_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})} \leq C\eta$ . First, we will show that  $||f - (g_1 + g_2)||_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D}_{2s})} \leq C\eta$ . Let us note that since  $g_1 \equiv 0$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{2s}$  and  $g_2 = f * \xi_t$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{2s}$ , for  $|\alpha| \leq k$  we have

$$\left\|D^{\alpha}(f-(g_{1}+g_{2}))\right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}_{2s})}=\left\|D^{\alpha}(f-f*\xi_{t})\right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}_{2s})}\leq C\eta \quad \text{by (3.2)}.$$

Next write

$$D^{\alpha}(f - (g_1 + g_2)) = D^{\alpha}(\psi(f - f * \xi_l)) + D^{\alpha}((1 - \psi)(f - g_0))$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{\beta \le \alpha} C_{\alpha,\beta} D^{\alpha - \beta} \psi D^{\beta}(f - f * \xi_l) + \sum_{\beta \le \alpha} C_{\alpha,\beta} D^{\alpha - \beta}(1 - \psi) D^{\beta}(f - g_0)$$
  
= 
$$A + B.$$

Since  $|D^{\alpha-\beta}\psi| \leq Cs^{-|\alpha-\beta|}, 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$  and  $\psi \equiv 0$  on  $(\mathcal{D}_s)^c$ , we have  $||A||_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})} \leq C\eta$  by (3.2).

To complete the proof, we need only to prove that  $||B||_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})} \leq C||\nabla^k f||_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{3s})}$ . To this end, first note that if  $\tilde{R}_0 \cap (\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\tilde{\tilde{R}}_0 \cap \tilde{\tilde{R}}_j \neq \emptyset$  then by the triangle inequality and (3.1),

(3.3)  

$$\sum_{R_{j}\in\Re} \left\| D^{\beta} \left( (P_{0} - P_{j})\varphi_{j} \right) \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(R_{0})} \leq C \sum_{\tilde{R}_{0}\cap\tilde{R}_{j}\neq\emptyset} \sum_{\gamma\leq\beta} l(R_{0})^{-|\gamma|} \left\| D^{\beta-\gamma}(P_{0} - P_{j}) \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(R_{0})} \leq C \sum_{\tilde{R}_{0}\cap\tilde{R}_{j}\neq\emptyset} \varrho^{k-|\beta|} \left\| \nabla^{k} f \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\cup G_{0,j})}.$$

Also, note that

$$(3.4) \quad |D^{\beta}(f-g_0)| = \left|D^{\beta}\left(f-\sum P_j\varphi_j\right)\right| \le |D^{\beta}(f-P_0)| + \left|D^{\beta}\sum_{R_j\in\Re}(P_0-P_j)\varphi_j\right|.$$

We now consider two cases:

CASE (i).  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then  $D^{\alpha-\beta}(1-\psi) = 0$  on  $\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_s$  and hence

$$\begin{split} \|D^{\alpha-\beta}(1-\psi)D^{\beta}(f-g_{0})\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})}^{p} \\ &\leq Cs^{-|\alpha-\beta|p}\sum_{\substack{R_{0}\in\Re,R_{0}\cap(\mathcal{D}_{s}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})\neq\emptyset}} [\varrho^{k-|\beta|}\|\nabla^{k}f\|_{L^{p}_{w}(R_{0})}]^{p} \\ &+ Cs^{-|\alpha-\beta|p}\sum_{\substack{R_{0}\in\Re,R_{0}\cap(\mathcal{D}_{s}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})\neq\emptyset}\sum_{\tilde{R}_{0}\cap\tilde{R}_{j}\neq\emptyset} [\varrho^{k-|\beta|}\|\nabla^{k}f\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\cup G_{0,j})}]^{p} \end{split}$$

by (3.4) and (3.3) since  $\mathcal{D}_s \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2s} \subset \bigcup_{R_0 \in \Re} R_0$ . Next note that  $\|\sum_{R_0 \in \Re} \sum_{\tilde{R}_j \cap \tilde{R}_0 \neq \emptyset} \chi_{\cup G_{0j}}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$  where C is independent of  $\varrho$ . Moreover by (II), if  $R_0 \cap (\mathcal{D}_s \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2s}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\tilde{\tilde{R}}_j \cap \tilde{\tilde{R}}_0 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\cup G_{0,j} \subset \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{3s}$ , and in particular  $R_0 \subset \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{3s}$ . Hence if  $\alpha > \beta$  (then  $|\beta| < k$ ),

$$\|D^{\alpha-\beta}(1-\psi)D^{\beta}(f-g_0)\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})} \leq Cs^{-|\alpha-\beta|}\varrho^{k-|\beta|}\|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{3s})} \leq C\eta.$$

CASE (ii).  $\beta = \alpha$ . First observe that for each  $R_0 \in \Re$ ,  $\tilde{R}_0 \cap (\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2s}) \neq \emptyset$ , similar to (3.3) we have

$$\sum_{R_j \in \Re} \left\| D^{\alpha} \left( (P_0 - P_j) \varphi_j \right) \right\|_{L^p_{w}(\tilde{R}_0)} \le C \sum_{R_j \in \Re, \tilde{\tilde{R}}_0 \cap \tilde{\tilde{R}}_j \neq \emptyset} \varrho^{k - |\alpha|} \left\| \nabla^k f \right\|_{L^p_{w}(\cup G_{0,j})}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Thus

$$\begin{split} \left\| D^{\alpha} \sum P_{j} \varphi_{j} \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\tilde{R}_{0})} &\leq \left\| D^{\alpha} P_{0} \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\tilde{R}_{0})} + \left\| D^{\alpha} \sum (P_{j} - P_{0}) \varphi_{j} \right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\tilde{R}_{0})} \\ &\leq C \| D^{\alpha} P_{0} \|_{L^{p}_{w}(R_{0})} + C \sum_{R_{j} \in \Re, \tilde{R}_{0} \cap \tilde{R}_{j} \neq \emptyset} \varrho^{k - |\alpha|} \| \nabla^{k} f \|_{L^{p}_{w}(\cup G_{0,j})} \\ &\leq C \| D^{\alpha} f \|_{L^{p}_{w}(R_{0})} + C \varrho^{k - |\alpha|} \| \nabla^{k} f \|_{L^{p}_{w}(U_{0})} \\ &+ C \varrho^{k - |\alpha|} \sum_{R_{j} \in \Re, \tilde{R}_{0} \cap \tilde{R}_{j} \neq \emptyset} \| \nabla^{k} f \|_{L^{p}_{w}(\cup G_{0,j})}. \end{split}$$

Note that again by (II), if  $\tilde{R}_0 \cap (\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2s}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{R}}_0 \cap \tilde{\tilde{R}}_j \neq \emptyset$  then  $\cup G_{0,j} \subset \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{3s}$ , and in particular  $R_0 \subset \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{3s}$ . Hence by the previous estimate,

$$\begin{split} \|D^{\alpha}(f-g_{0})\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})}^{p} &\leq C\|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})}^{p} \\ &+ \sum_{R_{0}\in\Re,\tilde{R}_{0}\cap(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})\neq\emptyset} C\left\|D^{\alpha}\sum_{R_{j}\in\Re}P_{j}\varphi_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\tilde{R}_{0})}^{p} \\ &\leq C\|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2s})}^{p} + C\|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{3s})}^{p} \\ &+ C\varrho^{(k-|\alpha|)p}\|\nabla^{k}f\|_{L^{p}_{w}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\mathcal{D}_{3s})}^{p} \leq C\eta^{p} \end{split}$$

since  $\|\sum_{R_0 \in \Re} \sum_{\tilde{R}_j \cap \tilde{R}_0 \neq \emptyset} \chi_{\cup G_{0,j}} \|_{L^{\infty}} < C$ . Thus  $\|D^{\alpha} (f - (g_1 + g_2))\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2s})} \leq C\eta$ .

Finally, if  $f \in E_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D})$ , let us note that by Theorem 2.8, we have  $f \in L_{w,k}^p(\mathcal{D}_s)$ . We can then construct  $g_1 + g_2$  as before since (3.2) still hold. One can just check through the proof and see that  $g_1 + g_2$  satisfies our assertion.

4. Extension theorems. First, let us state an extension theorem from [11].

THEOREM 4.1 ([11, THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2]). Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  domain. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let w be a doubling weight such that

$$(4.1) ||f - f_{\mathcal{Q},w}||_{L^p_w(\mathcal{Q})} \le C_0 l(\mathcal{Q}) ||\nabla f||_{L^p_w(\mathcal{Q})} \quad \forall f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all cubes Q in  $\mathcal{D}$  where  $f_{Q,w} = \int_Q f dw / w(Q)$ . Then there exists an extension operator  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  (i.e.,  $\Lambda f = f$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  a.e.) such that

$$\|\Lambda f\|_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|f\|_{L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})}$$

for all  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mathrel{(=} \{f : D^{\alpha}f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } |\alpha| < k\})$  where C depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{D})$ , p, w, k,  $C_0$  and n. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain, then there exists another extension operator  $\Lambda'$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\|\nabla^k \Lambda' f\|_{L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})}$$

for all  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where C depends only on  $\varepsilon$ , p, w, k, C<sub>0</sub> and n.

REMARK 4.2. Checking through the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [11], let us note that indeed we need only to assume (4.1) holds for all cubes Q near  $\partial \mathcal{D}$  such that l(Q) is comparable to d(Q) for the first part. However, for the second part, we need to assume in addition that  $\mathcal{D}$  is bounded.

With the help of the preceding theorem and the density theorem in the previous section, we can now prove our extension theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6. First given  $f \in L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ , by Theorem 1.5, there exists a sequence  $\{f_j\} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f_j \to f$  in  $L^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ . Next since  $L^p_{w,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space, the first part of the theorem now follows from the preceding theorem (see Remark 4.2). Now let  $f \in E^p_{w,k}(\mathcal{D})$ . By Theorem 1.5 there exists  $\{f_j\} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\|\nabla^k f_j - \nabla^k f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})} \to 0$ . Then  $\{\Lambda' f_j\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the preceding theorem. Since  $E^p_{w,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is complete by Theorem 1.1, there exists  $g \in E^p_{w,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\nabla^k \Lambda' f_j \to \nabla^k g$  in  $L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $\Lambda' f_j = f_j$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ , we obtain  $\|\nabla^k g - \nabla^k f\|_{L^p_w(\mathcal{D})} = 0$ . Hence there exists a polynomial P of degree < k such that g = f + P a.e. on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Define  $\Lambda' f = g - P$ . Then  $\Lambda' f = f$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Also,  $\nabla^k \Lambda' f = \nabla^k g$  and consequently  $\nabla^k \Lambda' f_j \to \nabla^k \Lambda' f$  in  $L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The proof of the theorem is now complete by passing to the limit.

REMARK 4.3. (a) Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a bounded  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain with  $r = \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{D})$  and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set containing  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $W_2$  be the collection of cubes in the Whitney decomposition of  $(\mathcal{D}^c)^o$  and define

$$W_3 = \Big\{ Q \in W_2 : l(Q) \le \frac{\varepsilon r}{16nL} \Big\}, \quad L = 2^{-m}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where L is chosen so that  $\Omega \subset (\bigcup_{Q \in W_3} Q) \cup \mathcal{D}$ . Finally, when the weights are of the form as in Remark 1.7(a), we have better extension theorems.

THEOREM 4.4. Let  $1 \le p_i < \infty$ ,  $w_i = \operatorname{dist}(x, M_i)^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M_i \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$  such that  $w_i$  is doubling for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set containing an  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain  $\mathcal{D}$  and let L and r be defined as above. Suppose that  $k_i = 0$  for  $0 \le i \le N_1$ ,  $k_i = k > 0$ for  $N_2 < i \le N$  and  $0 < k_i < k$  otherwise. Then there exist extension operators  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\begin{split} \|\Lambda f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &\leq C_{i} \|f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\mathcal{D})} \quad for \ 0 \leq i \leq N_{1} \\ \|\nabla^{k_{i}} \Lambda f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\Omega)} &\leq C_{i} \|\nabla^{k_{i}} f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\mathcal{D})} \quad for \ N_{1} < i \leq N \\ \|\nabla^{k_{i}} \Lambda' f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\Omega)} &\leq C_{i} \|\nabla^{k_{i}} f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\mathcal{D})} \quad for \ 0 \leq i \leq N_{2} \\ \|\nabla^{k} \Lambda' f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C_{i} \|\nabla^{k} f\|_{L^{p_{i}}_{w_{i}}(\mathcal{D})} \quad for \ N_{2} < i \leq N \end{split}$$

for all  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Here  $C_i$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $p_i$ ,  $w_i$ ,  $k_i$ , n, L and  $\max_i k_i$ . (Unfortunately L usually depends on r, but there are cases where L is independent of r and consequently  $C_i$  is independent of r.)

## SENG-KEE CHUA

THEOREM 4.5. Let  $1 \le p_i < \infty$ ,  $w_i = \text{dist}(x, M_i)^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M_i \subset \partial \mathcal{D}$  such that  $w_i$  is doubling for i = 0, 1, ..., N. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is an unbounded  $(\varepsilon, \infty)$  domain, then there exists an extension operator on  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\|\nabla^{k_i} \Lambda f\|_{L^{p_i}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_i \|\nabla^{k_i} f\|_{L^{p_i}_{w}(\mathcal{D})}$$

for all *i* and  $f \in \text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Here  $C_i$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $w_i$ ,  $p_i$ ,  $k_i$ n and  $\max_i k_i$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREMS 4.4 AND 4.5.** If  $w(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, M)^{\alpha}$  for  $M \subset \mathcal{D}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us make the following two observations:

(4.2) 
$$||f - f_Q||_{L^p_w(Q)} \le C(A)l(Q)||\nabla f||_{L^p_w(Q)}$$

(4.3) 
$$\frac{1}{|Q|} ||f||_{L^1(Q)} \le C(A) w(Q)^{-1/p} ||f||_{L^p_w(Q)}$$

for all cubes Q in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $Al(Q) \leq d(Q) \leq l(Q)/A$  for A > 0. We can now check through the proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in [9] using (4.2) and (4.3) as the substitute of the condition that  $w \in A_p$  to obtain Theorems 4.4 and 4.5.

(b) In Theorem 4.4, if we assume in addition that  $w^{-1/p} \in L^{p'}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can indeed replace  $\operatorname{Lip}_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $\cap E_{w_i,k_i}^{p_i}(\mathcal{D})$  as  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \left( \cap E_{w_i,k_i}^{p_i}(\mathcal{D}) \right)$  is dense in  $\cap E_{w_i,k_i}^{p_i}(\mathcal{D})$ . For the details, check through the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [9].

## REFERENCES

- 1. Richard Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- 2. R. C. Brown and D. B. Hinton, Sufficient conditions for weighted inequalities of sum form, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 112(1985), 563-578.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, Weighted interpolation inequalities of sum and product form in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 56(1988), 261-280.
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_, Weighted interpolation inequalities and embeddings in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Canad. J. Math. (6) 62(1990), 959-980.
- 5. Alberto P. Calderón, Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. IV(1961), 33-49.
- 6. Sagun Chanillo and Richard L. Wheeden, Poincaré inequalities for a class of non-Ap weights, Indiana Math. J. (3) 41(1992), 605-623.
- 7. Filippo Chiarenza and Michele Frasca, A note on a weighted Sobolev inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (4) 93(1985), 703-704.
- 8. Michael Christ, The extension problem for certain function spaces involving fractional orders of differentiability, Ark. Mat. (1) 22(1984), 63-81.
- 9. Seng-Kee Chua, Extension theorems on weighted Sobolev spaces, Indiana Math. J. 41(1992), 1027-1076.
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_, Extension and restriction theorems on weighted Sobolev spaces, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1991.
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_ Some Remarks on extension theorems for weighted Sobolev spaces, Illinois J. Math., 38(1994), 95-126.
- 12. \_\_\_\_\_, Weighted Sobolev's inequalities on domains satisfying the chain condition, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117(1993), 449-457.
- 13. \_\_\_\_\_, Restriction theorems on weighted Sobolev spaces of mixed norm, Real Anal. Exchange (2) 17(1991/92), 633-651.
- On weighted Sobolev interpolation inequalities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121(1994), 441–449.
   Weighted Sobolev interpolation inequalities on certain domains, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 51(1995), 532-544.

- 16. Ronald R. Coifman and Charles Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, Studia Math. 51(1974), 241-250.
- D. E. Edmunds, Alois Kufner and Jiong Sun, Extension of functions in weighted Sobolev spaces, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. (5), 108° (17) 16(1990), 327–339.
- 18. Eugene B. Fabes, Carlos E. Kenig and Raul P. Serapioni, *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 7(1982), 77–116.
- 19. V. M. Gol'dshtein and Yu. G. Reshetnyak, *Quasiconformal Mappings and Sobolev Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1990.
- Cristian E. Gutierrez and Richard L. Wheeden, Sobolev interpolation inequalities with weights, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 323(1991), 263–281.
- R. A. Hunt, D. S. Kurtz and C. I. Neugebauer, A note on the equivalence of A<sub>p</sub> and Sawyer's condition for equal weights, Conf. on Harm. Anal. in Honor of A. Zymund, Wadsworth, 1983, 156–158.
- 22. Peter Jones, Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces, Acta Math. (1-2) 147(1981), 71-88.
- 23. Alois Kufner, Weighted Sobolev Spaces, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 1985.
- 24. \_\_\_\_\_, How to define reasonable Sobolev spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. (3) 25(1984), 537-554.
- Olli Martio and J. Sarvas, Injectivity theorems in plane and space, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Series A I Math. (2) 4(1979), 383–401.
- 26. Vladimir G. Maz'ja, Sobolev Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- Benjamin Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165(1972), 207–226.
- Benjamin Muckenhoupt and Richard Wheeden, On the dual of weighted H<sup>1</sup> of the half-space, Studia Math. (1) 63(1978), 57–79.
- 29. Eric Sawyer, A characterization of a two-weighted norm inequality for maximal operators, Studia Math. 75(1982), 1–11.
- 30. Eric Sawyer and Richard L. Wheeden, Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on Euclidean and homogeneous spaces, Amer. J. Math. (4) 114(1992), 813–874.
- 31. Elias M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- Jan-Olov Stromberg and Alberto Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy Spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1381, Springer, New York, 1989.
- Alberto Torchinsky, Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1986.
- 34. Richard L. Wheeden and Antoni Zygmund, Measure and Integral, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1977.
- **35.** Thomas H. Wolff, Restriction of  $A_p$  weights, preprint.

National University of Singapore Department of Mathematics 10, Kent Ridge Crescent Singapore 0511 e-mail: matcsk@math.nus.sg