

### 3. On $wM$ -Spaces. I

By Tadashi ISHII  
Utsunomiya University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1970)

**1. Introduction.** The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the notion of  $wM$ -spaces, which is a generalization of  $M$ -spaces introduced by K. Morita [6], and to show some properties of these spaces. For a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$  of open (or closed) coverings of a topological space  $X$ , we shall consider the following two conditions:

- (M<sub>1</sub>)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{K_n\} \text{ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such} \\ \text{that } K_n \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n) \text{ for each } n \text{ and for some point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then} \\ \bigcap \bar{K}_n \neq \emptyset. \end{array} \right.$
- (M<sub>2</sub>)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{K_n\} \text{ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets of } X \text{ such} \\ \text{that } K_n \subset \text{St}^2(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n) \text{ for each } n \text{ and for some point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then} \\ \bigcap \bar{K}_n \neq \emptyset. \end{array} \right.$ <sup>1)</sup>

A space  $X$  is an  $M$ -space if there exists a normal sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying (M<sub>1</sub>). A space  $X$  is an  $M^*$ -space ( $M^\sharp$ -space) if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$  of locally finite (closure preserving) closed coverings of  $X$  satisfying (M<sub>1</sub>) (T. Ishii [2], F. Siwiec and J. Nagata [8]). A space  $X$  is a  $wA$ -space if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying (M<sub>1</sub>) (C. Borges [1]). As is shown by K. Morita [7], there exists an  $M^*$ -space which is locally compact Hausdorff but not an  $M$ -space. Further, in our previous paper [3], we proved that a normal space  $X$  is an  $M$ -space if and only if it is an  $M^*$ -space.

Now we shall define  $wM$ -spaces including all  $M$ -spaces,  $M^*$ -spaces and  $M^\sharp$ -spaces.

**Definition.** A space  $X$  is a  $wM$ -space if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying (M<sub>2</sub>).

In the above definition, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathfrak{U}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathfrak{U}_n$  for each  $n$ .

As a remarkable property of a  $wM$ -space, we can prove that every normal  $wM$ -space is strongly normal, that is, collectionwise normal and countably paracompact (Theorem 2.4). This result plays an important role in metrizability of  $wM$ -spaces in the next paper. Throughout this paper we assume at least  $T_1$  for every topological spaces unless otherwise specified.

---

1) For each positive integer  $k$ ,  $\text{St}^k(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_n)$  denotes the iterated star of a point  $x_0$  in each covering  $\mathfrak{U}_n$ .

We express our hearty thanks to Prof. K. Morita for his kind advices.

## 2. Some properties of $wM$ -spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For a space  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1)  $X$  is a  $wM$ -space with a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_2)$ .

(2) There exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  such that, for any locally finite sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ .

(3) There exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  such that, for any discrete sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$ ,  $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\rightarrow$ (2). Let  $X$  be a  $wM$ -space with a decreasing sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_2)$ . Then we can prove that, for any locally finite sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ . Indeed, if not, then for some locally finite sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$  is not locally finite in  $X$ . Hence there exists a point  $x_0$  such that any neighborhood of  $x_0$  intersects infinitely many elements of  $\{\text{St}(A_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$ . Therefore, for each  $n$ , we can select some positive integer  $i(n)$  such that  $\text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(A_{i(n)}, \mathcal{U}_{i(n)}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $n < i(n)$ . Let  $y_{i(n)} \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(A_{i(n)}, \mathcal{U}_{i(n)})$ . Then the sequence  $\{y_{i(n)}\}$  has an accumulation point  $y_0$  in  $X$ , and hence we can select a subsequence  $\{y_{j(n)}\}$  of  $\{y_{i(n)}\}$  such that  $y_{j(n)} \in \text{St}(y_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$ ,  $i(n) < j(n)$ . Since  $y_{j(n)} \in \text{St}(A_{j(n)}, \mathcal{U}_{j(n)}) \subset \text{St}(A_{j(n)}, \mathcal{U}_n)$ , we have  $A_{j(n)} \cap \text{St}^2(y_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_{j(n)} \in A_{j(n)} \cap \text{St}^2(y_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_{j(n)}\}$  has an accumulation point in  $X$  by  $(M_2)$ , while it has no accumulation point in  $X$  by local finiteness of  $\{A_{j(n)}\}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence (2) holds.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3). This implication is obvious.

(3) $\rightarrow$ (1). Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  be a sequence of open coverings of  $X$  such that, for any discrete sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$ ,  $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ . First, we prove that  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  satisfies  $(M_1)$ . To prove this, assume to be contrary. Then there exists a discrete sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$  such that  $x_n \in \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $n$  and for some point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . Since  $x_0 \in \text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $n$ ,  $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n)\}$  is not locally finite in  $X$ , while it is locally finite in  $X$  by our assumption. This is a contradiction. Hence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  satisfies  $(M_1)$ . Next, we prove that  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  satisfies  $(M_2)$ . To prove this, assume to be contrary. Then there exists a discrete sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$  such that  $x_n \in \text{St}^2(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $n$  and for some point  $x_0$  of  $X$ . Since  $\text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n) \neq \emptyset$ , we can select a point  $y_n \in \text{St}(x_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \cap \text{St}(x_0, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $n$ . Then the sequence

$\{y_n\}$  has an accumulation point in  $X$  by  $(M_1)$ , while it has no accumulation point in  $X$ , because  $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathfrak{A}_n)\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ . This is a contradiction. Hence (1) holds. Thus we complete the proof.

As the other characterizations of  $wM$ -spaces, we can prove the following

**Theorem 2.2.** *For a space  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X$  is a  $wM$ -space.  
 (2) Each point  $x$  of  $X$  has a sequence  $\{U_n(x)\}$  of symmetric neighborhoods (i.e.,  $y \in U_n(x)$  implies  $x \in U_n(y)$ ) satisfying the condition  $(*)$  below:  
 $(*)$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence of points of } X \text{ such that } x_n \in U_n^2(x_0) \text{ for each} \\ n \text{ and for some point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then the sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ has an ac-} \\ \text{cumulation point in } X, \text{ where } U_n^2(x_0) = \cup \{U_n(y) \mid y \in U_n(x_0)\}. \end{array} \right.$   
 (3) Each point  $x$  of  $X$  has a sequence  $\{U_n(x)\}$  of symmetric neighborhoods such that, for any locally finite sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $X$ ,  $\{U_n(A_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ , where  $U_n(A_n) = \cup \{U_n(y) \mid y \in A_n\}$ .  
 (4) Each point  $x$  of  $X$  has a sequence  $\{U_n(x)\}$  of symmetric neighborhoods such that, for any discrete sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$ ,  $\{U_n(x_n) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\rightarrow$ (2). Let  $X$  be a  $wM$ -space with a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_2)$ , and put  $U_n(x) = \text{St}(x, \mathfrak{A}_n)$  for each point  $x$  of  $X$  and for each  $n$ . Then  $\{U_n(x) \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a sequence of symmetric neighborhoods of  $x$  and satisfies  $(*)$ , because  $U_n^2(x) = \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ .

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3). This implication can be proved by the similar way as in the proof of the implication (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) in Theorem 2.1.

(3) $\rightarrow$ (4). This implication is obvious.

(4) $\rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that each point  $x$  of  $X$  has a sequence  $\{U_n(x)\}$  of symmetric neighborhoods such that, for any discrete sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$ ,  $\{U_n(x_n)\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ . Then it is easily verified that any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$  such that  $x_n \in U_n(x_0)$  for some point  $x_0$  of  $X$  and for each  $n$  has an accumulation point in  $X$ . Further, it is proved by induction for  $k$  that any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of points of  $X$  such that  $x_n \in U_n^k(x_0)$  for some point  $x_0$  of  $X$  and for each  $n$  has an accumulation point in  $X$ .<sup>2)</sup> Now let us put  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\text{Int } U_n(x) \mid x \in X\}$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  satisfies  $(M_2)$ , because  $\text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \subset U_n^4(x)$ . Hence (1) holds. Thus we complete the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Every  $M^{\#}$ -space is a  $wM$ -space.*

---

2) For a point  $x_0$  of  $X$  and for each  $n$ , the sets  $U_n^k(x_0)$ ,  $k=2, 3, \dots$ , are defined inductively, i.e.,  $U_n^k(x_0) = \cup \{U_n(y) \mid y \in U_n^{k-1}(x_0)\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be an  $M^\#$ -space with a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$  of closure preserving closed coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_1)$ , where we may assume without loss of generality that  $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$  is decreasing. Then for each  $k \geq 2$  it is easily proved that, if  $\{K_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of non-empty subsets of  $X$  such that  $K_n \subset \text{St}^k(x_0, \mathfrak{F}_n)$  for each  $n$  and for some point  $x_0$  of  $X$ , then  $\bigcap \bar{K}_n \neq \emptyset$ . Let us put  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\text{Int}(\text{St}(x, \mathfrak{F}_n)) \mid x \in X\}$  for each  $n$ . Then  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  is a sequence of open coverings of  $X$  and satisfies  $(M_2)$ , because  $\text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \subset \text{St}^4(x, \mathfrak{F}_n)$ . Hence  $X$  is a  $wM$ -space. Thus we complete the proof.

In view of Theorem 2.3, all  $M$ - and  $M^*$ -spaces are also  $wM$ -spaces.

Now we shall show by an example that a  $w\Delta$ -space is not a  $wM$ -space in general, that is, the condition  $(M_1)$  does not imply the condition  $(M_2)$ .

**Example.** (A  $w\Delta$ -space which is not a  $wM$ -space). Let  $R$  be the set of ordinals not greater than the first infinite ordinal  $\omega$ , and let  $S$  be the set of ordinals not greater than the first uncountable ordinal  $\Omega$ , each with the order topology. If we put  $X = R \times S - \{(\omega, \Omega)\}$ , then the space  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff  $w\Delta$ -space but is not a  $wM$ -space. Indeed, if we put

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \{\{i\} \times S, \bigcup_{n \leq j < \omega} (\{j\} \times (S - \{\Omega\})) \mid 1 \leq i < \omega\}$$

for each  $n$ , then  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  satisfies  $(M_1)$ . But, if we put  $x_n = (n, \Omega)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then there is no sequence  $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  such that  $\{\text{St}(x_n, \mathfrak{B}_n)\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ , and hence  $X$  is not a  $wM$ -space. Finally, it is obvious that  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Every normal  $wM$ -space is strongly normal, that is, collectionwise normal and countably paracompact.*

To prove Theorem 2.4, we use the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $wM$ -space with a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_2)$ , and let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $k \geq 3$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of points of  $X$  such that  $x_n \in \text{St}^k(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n)$  for each  $n$  and for some point  $x_0$  of  $X$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has an accumulation point in  $X$ .*

This lemma immediately follows from (3) in Theorem 2.1 by induction for  $k$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Every  $wM$ -space is countably paracompact.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a  $wM$ -space with a decreasing sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_2)$ , and let  $\{G_n\}$  be any countable open covering of  $X$  such that  $G_n \subset G_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let us put

$$F_n = X - \text{St}^2(X - G_n, \mathfrak{A}_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then  $X = \bigcup F_n$ . Indeed, if not, then there exists a point  $x_0$  of  $X$  such that  $x_0 \in X - \bigcup F_n = \bigcap \text{St}^2(X - G_n, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ , and hence  $\text{St}^2(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap (X - G_n)$

$\neq \emptyset$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . This shows that  $\cap(X - G_n) \neq \emptyset$  by  $(M_2)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $X = \cup F_n$ . Now let us put

$$H_n = X - \overline{\text{St}(X - G_n, \mathfrak{A}_n)}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

Then clearly  $F_n \subset H_n$  for each  $n$ , and hence  $X = \cup H_n$ . Further it holds that  $\bar{H}_n \subset G_n$  for each  $n$ . Consequently, by a theorem of F. Ishikawa [4],  $X$  is countably paracompact. Thus we complete the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a normal  $wM$ -space with a decreasing sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying  $(M_2)$ . As is proved by M. Katetov [5], a normal space is strongly normal if and only if for every locally finite collection  $\{F_\lambda\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  there exists a locally finite collection  $\{H_\lambda\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $F_\lambda \subset H_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda$ . To apply this theorem to our case, let  $\{F_\lambda\}$  be a locally finite collection of closed subsets of  $X$ . Then it is easily proved by  $(M_2)$  that for each point  $x$  of  $X$  there exists some  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set. For each  $n$ , let us denote by  $A_n$  the subset of  $X$  consisting of points  $x$  of  $X$  such that  $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set, and put  $B_n = \text{Int } A_n$ . Then clearly  $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ , and further it is proved that  $\{B_n\}$  is an open covering of  $X$ . Indeed, let  $x_0 \in X$ . Then, in view of Lemma 2.5, there exists some  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  such that  $\{\lambda | \text{St}^3(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set. Therefore, for each point  $x$  of  $\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n)$ ,  $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set. This shows that  $\text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_n) \subset A_n$ , i.e.,  $x_0 \in B_n = \text{Int } A_n$ , and hence  $X = \cup B_n$ . Now, since  $X$  is countably paracompact by Lemma 2.6, there exists a locally finite open refinement  $\{G_n\}$  of  $\{B_n\}$  such that  $\bar{G}_n \subset B_n$  for each  $n$ . Let us put  $G_{\lambda n} = \text{St}(F_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}_n) \cap G_n$  and  $H_\lambda = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{\lambda n}$ . Then clearly  $F_\lambda \subset H_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda$ , and further  $\{H_\lambda\}$  is a locally finite collection of open subsets of  $X$ . Indeed, let  $x_0 \in X$ , and  $U(x_0) = X - \cup\{\bar{G}_n | x_0 \notin \bar{G}_n\}$ . Since  $\{\bar{G}_n | n=1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ ,  $U(x_0)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Let  $\{G_{n(i)} | i=1, \dots, k\}$  be all of the elements of  $\{G_n\}$  each closure of which contains  $x_0$ . Then from  $x_0 \in \bar{G}_{n(i)} \subset B_{n(i)}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, k$ , it follows that  $\{\lambda | \text{St}^2(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \cap F_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set for  $i=1, \dots, k$ . This implies that  $\{\lambda | \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \cap \text{St}(F_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set for  $i=1, \dots, k$ . Hence  $\{\lambda | \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_{n(i)}) \cap G_{\lambda n(i)} \neq \emptyset\}$  is also a finite set for each  $i \leq k$ . Let us put  $m = \text{Max}\{n(1), \dots, n(k)\}$ ,  $V(x_0) = \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{A}_m) \cap U(x_0)$ ,  $A_i = \{\lambda | V(x_0) \cap G_{\lambda n(i)} \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ . Then  $A_i$  is a finite set for each  $i \leq k$ , and hence so is  $\Gamma$ . Further  $V(x_0)$  intersects only elements  $H_\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . Consequently  $\{H_\lambda\}$  is locally finite in  $X$ . Thus we complete the proof.

In spite of validity of Theorem 2.4, we don't know whether every normal  $wM$ -space is an  $M$ -space or not.

## References

- [1] Carlos J. R. Borges: On metrizable of topological spaces. *Canadian J. Math.*, **20**, 795-804 (1968).
- [2] T. Ishii: On closed mappings and  $M$ -spaces. I. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **43**, 752-756 (1967).
- [3] —: On  $M$ - and  $M^*$ -spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **44**, 1028-1030 (1968).
- [4] F. Ishikawa: On countably paracompact spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **31**, 686-689 (1955).
- [5] M. Katetov: On expansion of locally finite coverings. *Colloq. Math.*, **6**, 145-151 (1958).
- [6] K. Morita: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. *Math. Ann.*, **154**, 365-382 (1964).
- [7] —: Some properties of  $M$ -spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **43**, 869-872 (1967).
- [8] F. Siwiec and J. Nagata: A note on nets and metrization. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **44**, 623-627 (1968).