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#### Abstract

An initial-boundary value problem for one-dimensional flow of a compressible viscous heat-conducting micropolar fluid is considered. It is assumed that the fluid is thermodinamicaly perfect and politropic. A global-in-time existence theorem is proved. The proof is based on a local existence theorem, obtained in the previous paper [4].


## 1. Statement of the problem and the main result

In this paper we consider an initial-boundary value problem for one-dimensional flow of a compressible viscous heat-conducting micropolar fluid, being in thermodinamical sense perfect and politropic (see [4] and references therein).

Let $\rho, v, \omega$ and $\theta$ denotes respectively the mass density, velocity, microrotation velocity and temperature in the Lagrangean description. Then the problem that we consider has the formulation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho^{2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)-K \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho \theta),  \tag{1.2}\\
& \rho \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t}=A\left[\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)-\omega\right]  \tag{1.3}\\
& \rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=-K \rho^{2} \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\rho^{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\rho^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\omega^{2}+D \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& \quad \text { in }] 0,1\left[\times \mathbf{R}_{+},\right. \\
& v(0, t)=v(1, t)=0  \tag{1.5}\\
& \omega(0, t)=\omega(1, t)=0  \tag{1.6}\\
& \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(0, t)=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(1, t)=0  \tag{1.7}\\
& \quad \text { for } t \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \\
& \rho(x, 0)=\rho_{0}(x)  \tag{1.8}\\
& v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega(x, 0)=\omega_{0}(x),  \tag{1.10}\\
& \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x \in] 0,1\left[\right.$. Here $K, A$ and $D$ are given positive constants; $\rho_{0}, v_{0}, \omega_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}$ are given functions, satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}, \theta_{0}>0 \text { in }[0,1] . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$; a generalised solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.11) in the domain $\left.Q_{T}=\right] 0,1[\times] 0, T[$ is a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, t) \rightarrow(\rho, v, \omega, \theta)(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in Q_{\tau}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right),  \tag{1.14}\\
& v, \omega, \theta \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(] 0,1[)\right), \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

that satisfies the equations (1.1)-(1.4) a.e. in $Q_{T}$, the conditions (1.5)-(1.11) in the sense of traces and the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{Q_{T}} \rho>0 . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From embedding and interpolation theorems ([3]) one can conclude that from (1.14) and (1.15) it follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \in C\left([0, T], L^{2}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T ; C([0,1])),  \tag{1.17}\\
& v, \omega, \theta \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; C^{(1)}([0,1])\right) \cap C\left([0, T], H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right),  \tag{1.18}\\
& v, \omega, \theta \in C\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Specially, the condition (1.16) has a sense.
Assuming the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}, \theta_{0} \in H^{1}(] 0,1[), v_{0}, \omega_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0,1[) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the inequalities (1.12), in the previous paper [4] we proved a uniqueness of a generalised solution and the following local existence theorem: there exists $T_{0} \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$, such that in the domain $\left.Q_{T_{0}}=\right] 0,1[\times] 0, T_{0}[$ there exists a generalised solution, having the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta>0 \text { in } \bar{Q}_{T_{0}} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the use of that theorem, in this paper we shall prove the following result.
Theorem 1.1. Let the conditions (1.20) and (1.12) be fulfiled. Then for each $T \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$, in the domain $Q_{T}$ there exists a generalised solution (1.13) of the problem (1.1)-(1.11), having the property

$$
\theta>0 \text { in } \bar{Q}_{T}
$$

In our proof we apply the method of the book [1], where the Theorem 1.1 was proved for the classical fluid $(\omega=0)$; for this case see also [2].

## 2. The proof of Theorem 1.1

Because of the local existence result, Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of the following statement.

Proposition 2.1. Let $T \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$and let a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, t) \rightarrow(\rho, v, \omega, \theta)(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in Q_{T} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the condition:
for each $\left.T^{\prime} \in\right] 0, T[,(2 . I)$ is a generalised solution of the problem (I.I)-(I.II) in the domain $\left.Q_{T^{\prime}}=\right] 0,1[\times] 0, T^{\prime}\left[\right.$ and the inequality $\theta>0$ in $\bar{Q}_{T^{\prime}}$ holds true.

Then (2.1) is a generalised solution of the same problem in the domain $Q_{r}$ and inequality $\theta>0$ in $\bar{Q}_{T}$ holds true.

The above statement is a consequence of results below. In that what follows we assume that the function (2.1) satisfies the condition of the Proposition 2.1. By $C \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$we denote a generic constant, having possibly different values at different places; we also use the notation $\|f\|=\|f\|_{L^{-}(j 0, i[)}$. Because of the fact that equations (1.2) and (1.3) don't contain the function $\omega$, some of our considerations are identical to that of classical fluid. In these cases we omit proofs or details of proofs, making reference to correspondent pages of the book [1].

Lemma 2.1. It holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& v, \omega \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(] 0,1[)\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
& \theta \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying the equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) respectively by $v, A^{-1} \rho^{-1} \omega$ and $\rho^{-1}$, integrating over $] 0,1[$ and making use of (1.5)-(1.7), after addition of the obtained equalities we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\frac{1}{2 A} \omega^{2}+\theta\right) d x=0 \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating over $] 0, t[, t \in] 0, T[$ and making use of (1.9)-(1.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\frac{1}{2 A} \omega^{2}+\theta\right) d x=\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 A}\left\|\omega_{0}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{\left.\left.L^{\prime}(] 0,1\right]\right)} \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|v\|^{2}+\|\omega\|^{2}+\|\theta\|_{\left.L^{1}(\mid 0,1]\right)} \leqslant C \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) there follow the statements (2.2) and (2.3).

Lema 2.2. ([1], pp. 47-48, 50-52). Let $t \in] 0, T[$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{\theta}(t) & =\max _{[0,1]} \theta(\cdot, t),  \tag{2.7}\\
m_{\rho}(t) & =\min _{[0,1]}^{1} \rho(\cdot, t),  \tag{2.8}\\
I_{1}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1} \rho(x, t)\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(x, t)\right)^{2} d x,  \tag{2.9}\\
I_{2}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1} I_{1}(\tau) d \tau . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exist $C \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$and (for each $\left.\varepsilon>0\right) C_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$, such that for each $\left.t \in\right] 0, T[$ the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{\theta}^{2}(t) \leqslant \varepsilon I_{1}(t)+C_{\varepsilon}\left(1+I_{2}(t)\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
& m_{\rho}(t) \geqslant C\left(I+\int_{0}^{t} M_{\theta}(\tau) d \tau\right)^{-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

hold true.
LEMA 2.3. It holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{Q_{T}}{\inf } \theta>0,  \tag{2.13}\\
& \rho \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $W=\theta^{-1}$ and $p>1$. Multiplying the equation (1.4) by $2 p \rho^{-1} W^{2 p+1}$ and integrating over $] 0,1[$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} W^{2 p} d x= & \int_{0}^{1}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 2 D p W^{2 p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}\right)-2 p\left(2 D \rho \theta\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\rho W^{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}-\frac{K \theta}{2}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\omega^{2}}{\rho} W^{2}+\rho W^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right) W^{2 p-1}+\frac{K^{2} p}{2} \rho W^{2 p-1}\right] d x \\
\leqslant & \left.\int_{0}^{1}\left[2 D p W^{2 p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{K^{2} p}{2} \rho W^{2 p-1}\right] d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the first term on right-hand side by parts and making use of (1.7), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} W^{2 p} d x \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left[-2 D p(2 p-1) W^{2 p-2}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\frac{K^{2} p}{2} \rho W^{2 p-1}\right] d x \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} W^{2 p} d x \leqslant \frac{p K^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \rho W^{2 p-1} d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusions (2.13) and (2.14) follow now from (2.17) as in the case of classical fluid ([1], pp. 48-50).

Lemma 2.4. It holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{\theta} \in L^{2}(] 0, T[)  \tag{2.18}\\
& \inf _{Q_{T}} \theta>0  \tag{2.19}\\
& \theta \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\frac{1}{2 A} \omega^{2}+\theta . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) respectively by $v \Phi, A^{-1} \rho^{-1} \omega \Phi$ and $\rho^{-1} \Phi$, integrating over $] 0,1[$ and making use of (1.5)-(1.7), after addition of the obtained equations, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x+(D-1) \int_{0}^{1} \rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} d x \\
& \left.\quad+\left(1-\frac{1}{A}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \rho \omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} d x-K \int_{0}^{1} \rho \theta v \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} d x=0 \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x+(D-1) \int_{0}^{1} \rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} d x \\
&  \tag{2.23}\\
& \left.\leqslant L \int_{0}^{1} \rho\left|\omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right| d x+K \int_{0}^{1} \rho \theta\left|v \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right| d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[
\end{align*}
$$

where $L=\left|1-A^{-1}\right|$. Applying on the right-hand side the Young inequality with a parameter $\delta>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1} \rho[(1 & \left.-2 \delta)\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+(D-1) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right] d x \\
& \left.\leqslant C \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \rho\left[\omega^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\theta^{2} v^{2}\right] d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

One can easily see that the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(1-2 \delta)\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+(D-1) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \geqslant(D-6 \delta)\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right)^{2}-\left(4 \delta+\frac{(1-4 \delta+D)^{2}}{8 \delta}\right) v^{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \\
-\frac{1}{4 \delta}\left((1-2 \delta)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1-4 \delta+D)^{2}\right) \frac{\omega^{2}}{A^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \cdot \tag{2.25}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\delta=24^{-1} \min \{1, D\}$. From (2.24) and (2.25) it follows the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi^{2} d x+ & \frac{3 D}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x \\
& \left.\leqslant C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \rho\left[v^{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\omega^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\theta^{2} v^{2}\right] d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=2 \max \left\{4 \delta+\frac{(1-4 \delta+D)^{2}}{8 \delta}, \frac{C}{\delta}+\frac{2(1-2 \delta)^{2}+(1-4 \delta+D)^{2}}{8 \delta}, \frac{C}{\delta}\right\}
$$

Multiplying (1.2) and (1.3) respectively by $v^{3}$ and $\rho^{-1} \omega^{3}$, integrating over $] 0,1[$ and making use of (1.5) and (1.6), after applying the Young inequality we obtain the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} v^{4} d x+\int_{0}^{1} \rho v^{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant 6 K^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \rho \theta^{2} v^{2} d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[  \tag{2.27}\\
& \left.\quad \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} \omega^{4} d x+A \int_{0}^{1} \rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant 0 \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (2.27) by $C_{1}$ and (2.28) by $C_{2}=A^{-1} C_{1}$, after addition of the obtained inequalities with (2.26), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{2}+C_{1} v^{4}+C_{2} \omega^{4}\right) d x+D \int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x \leqslant C \int_{0}^{1} \rho \theta^{2} v^{2} d x \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, taking into account (2.2), (2.14) and (2.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{2}+C_{1} v^{4}+C_{2} \omega^{4}\right) d x+D I_{2}\right) \leqslant C\left(1+D I_{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.30) it follows the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{1}\left(\Phi^{2}+C_{1} v^{4}+C_{2} \omega^{4}\right) d x+D I_{2} \leqslant C \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore it holds

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{2} \in L^{\infty}(10, T[), \\
\Phi \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(] 0,1[)\right) . \tag{2.33}
\end{array}
$$

From (2.32) and (2.11) we conclude that (2.18) holds true. The inequality (2.19) follows now from (2.18) and (2.12); the inclusion (2.20) follows from (2.33), (2.19) and (2.32).

Lemma 2.5. ([1], pp. 53-54) It holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. ([1], pp. 53-54) It holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(] 0,1[)\right) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

LEMMA 2.7. It holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(] 0,1[)\right) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (1.3) by $\rho^{-1} \omega$, integrating over $] 0,1[$ and making use of (1.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\omega\|^{2}+A \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{\rho}\right] d x=0 \quad \text { on } \quad\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2}\|\omega(., t)\|^{2}+A \int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{\rho}\right](x, \tau) d x \\
\left.=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{0}^{2}(x) d x \leqslant C, \quad t \in\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (2.19), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.3) by $A^{-1} \rho^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}$ and integrating over $] 0,1[$, after integration by parts on the left-hand side and making use of (1.6), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{2 A} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{2} d x=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right) d x \quad \text { on } \quad\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In that what follows we use the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|^{2} \leqslant 2\|f\|\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|, \quad\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right| \leqslant 2\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|\left\|\frac{\partial^{f}}{\partial x^{2}}\right\| \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for a function $f$ vanishing at $x=0$ and $x=1$ or having derivatives that vanish at the same points.

With the help of (2.19) and (2.41) and using the Young inequality with a parameter $\delta>0$, for the terms on the right-hand side of (2.40) we find estimates on $] 0, T[$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C\|\omega\|^{2},  \tag{2.42}\\
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant 2\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leqslant 2\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right\| \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|+C\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right\|^{4} . \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Using again (2.19), from (2.40), (2.42) and (2.43) we obtain (making use of (1.10))

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(., t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}(., \tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant\left\|\omega_{0}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|\omega\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right\|^{4}\right) d \tau \\
\left.\leqslant C\left(1+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|\omega\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right\|^{4}\right) d \tau\right), \quad t \in\right] 0, T[. \quad(2.44) \tag{2.44}
\end{array}
$$

With the help of (2.34) and (2.39), from (2.44) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(., t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}(., \tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant C, \quad t \in\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.14) and (2.19), from (1.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t}\right\|^{2} \leqslant C\left(\|\omega\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}\right) \quad \text { on }\right] 0, T[. \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, because of (2.39) and (2.45),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t}(., \tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant C, \quad t \in\right] 0, T[. \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion (2.36) follows from (2.45) and (2.47).

LEMMA 2.8. It holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(] 0,1[)\right) \cap H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(] 0,1[)\right) \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.4) by $\rho^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}$ and integrating over $] 0,1[$, after integration by parts on the left-hand side and making use of (1.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right\|^{2}+D \int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{2} d x=K \int_{0}^{1} \rho \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x-\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x \\
& \left.-\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega^{2}}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x-D \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x \quad \text { on }\right] 0, T[. \tag{2.49}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of (2.14), (2.35), (2.41) and (2.36) and using the Young inequality with a parametar $\delta>0$, for the terms on the right-hand side of (2.49) we find estimates on $] 0, T[$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \rho \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant C M_{\theta}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\| \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C M_{\theta}^{2}  \tag{2.50}\\
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant C\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\| \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C\left(1+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{2.51}\\
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant C\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\| \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\| \\
& \quad \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C\left(1+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{2.52}\\
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega^{2}}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant C\|\omega\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}\| \| \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\|\leqslant \delta\| \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} \|^{2}+C  \tag{2.53}\\
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}} d x\right| \leqslant 2\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right\| \leqslant \delta\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+C\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Using again (2.19), from (2.49)-(2.54) (making use of (1.11)) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(., t)\right\|^{2}+ & \int_{0}^{t}
\end{align*}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}(., \tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant\left\|\theta_{0}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+C\left(1+\int_{0}^{t}\left(M_{0}^{2}(\tau), ~\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}(., \tau)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}(., \tau)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(., \tau)\right\|^{2}\right) d \tau\right) .
$$

With the help of $(2.18),(2.35),(2.36)$ and (2.20), from (2.55) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(., t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}(., \tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant C, \quad t \in\right] 0, T[. \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.14), (2.19), (2.34), (2.35), (2.36), (2.41) and (2.53), from (1.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right\|^{2} \leqslant C\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial x^{2}}\right\|^{2}\right) \quad \text { on }\right] 0, T[ \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, because of (2.18), (2.35), (2.36) and (2.56),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(., \tau)\right\|^{2} d \tau \leqslant C, \quad t \in\right] 0, T[. \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion (2.48) follows from (2.56) and (2.58).
The Proposition 2.1 follows immediately from (2.13), (2.19), (2.34), (2.35), (2.36) and (2.48).
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