

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.04.06.438536

Online single-cell data integration through projecting heterogeneous datasets into a common cell-embedding space — Source link \square

Lei Xiong, Kang Tian, Yuzhe Li, Yuzhe Li ...+1 more authors Institutions: Tsinghua University, Peking University Published on: 11 Oct 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) Topics: Data integration

Related papers:

- scGCN: a Graph Convolutional Networks Algorithm for Knowledge Transfer in Single Cell Omics
- Deep learning tackles single-cell analysis A survey of deep learning for scRNA-seq analysis.
- Online Single-cell RNA-seq Data Denoising with Transfer Learning
- Mapping single-cell data to reference atlases by transfer learning.
- A human ensemble cell atlas (hECA) enables in data cell sorting



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.06.438536; this version posted April 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1 Construction of continuously expandable single-cell

2 atlases through integration of heterogeneous datasets

3 in a generalized cell-embedding space

4

5 Lei Xiong^{1,2,4}, Kang Tian^{1,2,4}, Yuzhe Li^{1,3}, Qiangfeng Cliff Zhang^{1,2,*}

¹ MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinformatics, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Structural
 Biology & Frontier Research Center for Biological Structure, Center for Synthetic and
 Systems Biology, School of Life Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China 100084

⁹ ² Tsinghua-Peking Center for Life Sciences, Beijing, China 100084

³ Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies, Peking University, Beijing, China 100871

11 ⁴ Co-first authorship

^{*} Correspondence: qczhang@tsinghua.edu.cn (Q.C.Z.)

13

14 ABSTRACT

15 Single-cell RNA-seq and ATAC-seq analyses have been widely applied to decipher 16 cell-type and regulation complexities. However, experimental conditions often confound 17 biological variations when comparing data from different samples. For integrative 18 single-cell data analysis, we have developed SCALEX, a deep generative framework that 19 maps cells into a generalized, batch-invariant cell-embedding space. We demonstrate 20 that SCALEX accurately and efficiently integrates heterogenous single-cell data using 21 multiple benchmarks. It outperforms competing methods, especially for datasets with 22 partial overlaps, accurately aligning similar cell populations while retaining true 23 biological differences. We demonstrate the advantages of SCALEX by constructing 24 continuously expandable single-cell atlases for human, mouse, and COVID-19, which 25 were assembled from multiple data sources and can keep growing through the inclusion 26 of new incoming data. Analyses based on these atlases revealed the complex cellular

27 landscapes of human and mouse tissues and identified multiple peripheral immune

- 28 subtypes associated with COVID-19 disease severity.
- 29

30 INTRODUCTION

31 Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) and assay for transposase-accessible 32 chromatin using sequencing (scATAC-seq) technologies enable decomposition of 33 diverse cell-types and states to elucidate their function and regulation in tissues and heterogeneous systems¹⁻⁴. Efforts like the Human Cell Atlas project⁵ and Tabula Muris 34 35 Consortium⁶ are constructing a single-cell reference landscape for a new era of highly 36 resolved cell research. With the explosive accumulation of single-cell studies, 37 integrative analysis of data from experiments of different contexts is essential for characterizing heterogenous cell populations⁷. However, potentially informative 38 39 biological insights are often confounded by batch effects that reflect different donors, 40 conditions, and/or analytical platforms^{8,9}.

41 Integration methods have been developed to remove batch effects in single-cell datasets¹⁰⁻¹⁶. One common strategy is to identify similar cells or cell populations across 42 batches. This includes the mutual nearest neighborhood (MNN) method¹⁰ which 43 44 identifies correspondent pairs of cells between two batches by searching for mutual nearest neighbors in gene expression. Scanorama¹¹ generalizes the process of neighbor 45 searching from within two batches to a multiple-batch manner. Seurat v2¹³ applies 46 47 canonical correlation analysis (CCA) to identify common cell populations in low-dimensional embeddings across data batches, while Seurat v3¹⁴ introduces "cell 48 49 anchors" to mitigate the problem of mixing non-overlapping populations, an issue experienced in Seurat v2. Harmony¹⁶ also applies population matching across batches, 50 51 specifically through a fuzzy clustering algorithm.

52 It is notable that all of these cell similarity-based methods are local-based, 53 wherein cell-correspondence across batches are identified through the similarity of 54 individual cells or cell anchors/clusters. Accordingly, these methods all suffer from 55 two common limitations. First, they are prone to mixing cell populations that only exist 56 in some batches. This becomes a severe problem for the integration of datasets that 57 contain non-overlapping cell populations in each batch (*i.e.*, partially-overlapping data). 58 Second, these methods can only remove batch effects from the current batches being 59 assessed but cannot manage batch effects from additional, subsequently obtained 60 batches. So each time a new batch is added, it requires an entirely new integration 61 process that again examines the previous batches. This severely limits the capacity to 62 integrate new single-cell sequencing datasets.

As an alternative to the cell similarity-based local methods, scVI¹⁷ applies a 63 conditional variational autoencoder (VAE)¹⁸ framework to model the inherent 64 65 distribution/structure of the input single-cell data. VAE is a deep generative method that comprises an encoder and a decoder, wherein the encoder projects all 66 67 high-dimensional input data into a low-dimensional embedding, and the decoder 68 recovers them back to the original data space. The VAE framework can maintain the same global internal data structure between the high- and low-dimensional spaces¹⁹. 69 70 However, scVI includes a set of batch-conditioned parameters into its encoder that 71 restrains the encoder from learning a batch-invariant embedding space, limiting its 72 generalizability with new batches.

We previously applied VAE and designed SCALE (Single-Cell ATAC-seq Analysis via Latent feature Extraction) to model and analyze single-cell ATAC-seq data²⁰. We found that the VAE framework in SCALE can disentangle cell-type-related and batch-related features in a low-dimensional embedding space. Here, having redesigned the VAE framework, we introduce SCALEX as a method for integration of heterogeneous single-cell data. We demonstrate that SCALEX integration is accurate, 79 scalable, and computationally efficient for multiple benchmark datasets from 80 scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq studies. As a specific advantage, SCALEX accomplishes 81 data integration through projecting all single-cell data into a generalized 82 cell-embedding space using a batch-free encoder and a batch-specific decoder. Since 83 the encoder is trained to only preserve batch-invariant biological variations, the 84 resulting cell-embedding space is a generalized one, *i.e.*, common to all projected data. 85 SCALEX is therefore able to accurately integrate partially-overlapping datasets 86 without mixing of non-overlapping cell populations. By design, SCALEX runs very 87 efficiently on huge datasets. These two advantages make SCALEX especially useful 88 for the construction and research utilization of large-scale single-cell atlas studies, 89 based on integrating data from heterogeneous sources. New data can be projected to 90 augment an existing atlas, enabling continuous expansion and improvement of an atlas. 91 We demonstrated these functionalities of SCALEX in the construction and analyses of 92 atlases for human, mouse, and COVID-19 PBMCs.

93

94 **RESULTS**

95 Projecting single-cell data into a generalized cell-embedding space

96 The central goal of single-cell data integration is to identify and align similar cells 97 across different batches, while retaining true biological variations within and across 98 cell-types. The fundamental concept underlying SCALEX is disentangling 99 batch-related components away from batch-invariant components of single-cell data 100 and projecting the batch-invariant components into a generalized, batch-invariant 101 cell-embedding space. To accomplish this, SCALEX implements a batch-free encoder and a batch-specific decoder in an asymmetric VAE framework¹⁸ (Fig. 1a. Methods). 102 103 While the batch-free encoder extracts only biological-related latent features (z) from 104 input single-cell data (x), the batch-specific decoder is responsible for reconstructing 105 the original data from z by incorporating batch information back during data 106 reconstruction.

107 Supplying batch information to the decoder in data reconstruction allows the 108 encoder to learn a batch-invariant data representation for each individual cell during 109 model training, which, as a whole, defines a generalized low-dimensional 110 cell-embedding space. This learning is also facilitated by random slicing of all input 111 single cells from different batches into mini-batches. Each mini-batch is forced into 112 alignment with the same data distribution under the restriction of KL-divergence in the same cell-embedding space²¹. SCALEX also implements Domain-Specific Batch 113 Normalization (DSBN)²² (Methods), a multi-branch Batch Normalization²³, in its 114 115 decoder to support incorporation of batch-specific variations to reconstruct single-cell 116 data.

The design underlying SCALEX renders the encoder to function as a data projector that projects single cells of different batches into a generalized, batch-invariant cell-embedding space. SCALEX thus removes batch-related variations present in single-cell data while preserving batch-invariant biological signals in cell-embedding, making it an enabling tool for integration analyses of diverse single cell datasets, without relying on searching for cell similarities.

123 SCALEX integration is accurate, scalable, and accommodates diverse data types

We first evaluated the data integration performance of SCALEX on multiple well-curated scRNA-seq datasets, including human *pancreas* (eight batches of five studies)²⁴⁻²⁸, *heart* (two batches of one study)²⁹ and *liver* (two studies)^{30,31}; as well as human non-small-cell lung cancer (*NSCLC*, four studies)³²⁻³⁵ and peripheral blood mononuclear cell (*PBMC*; two batches assayed by two different protocols)¹³. For comparison, we included several other methods in the analyses, including Seurat v3, Harmony, Conos, BBKNN, MNN, Scanorama, and scVI (Methods).

We used Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP)³⁶ embeddings 131 132 to visualize the integration performance of all methods (Methods). Note that all of the 133 raw datasets displayed strong batch effects: cell-types that were common in different 134 batches were separately distributed. Overall, SCALEX, Seurat v3, and Harmony 135 achieved the best integration performance for most of the datasets by merging common 136 cell-types across batches while keeping disparate cell-types apart (Fig. S1). MNN and 137 Conos integrated many datasets but left some common cell populations not well 138 aligned. BBKNN, Scanorama, and scVI often had unmerged common cell-types, and 139 sometimes incorrectly mixed distinct cell-types together. For example, in the *PMBC* 140 dataset (Fig. 1b), considering the T cell populations between the two batches, while 141 SCALEX, Seurat v3, Harmony, and MMN integrations were effective, Scanorama 142 showed both a larger misalignment and mixed all cell-types together without 143 maintaining clear boundaries.

We quantified single-cell data integration performance using a silhouette score³⁷ 144 and a batch entropy mixing score¹⁰ (Methods). Briefly, the silhouette score assesses the 145 146 separation of biological distinctions, and the batch entropy mixing score evaluates the 147 extent of mixing of cells across batches. Overall, SCALEX outperformed all of the 148 other methods as assessed by the silhouette score, and tied with Seurat and Harmony as 149 the best-performing methods based on the batch entropy mixing score (Fig. 1c). We 150 note that SCALEX obtained a slightly lower batch entropy mixing score, compared to 151 Seurat v3 and Harmony on the *liver* dataset, which contains batch-specific cell-types 152 and thus is a partially-overlapping dataset. However, Seurat v3 and Harmony may 153 have obtained a high batch entropy mixing score because of misaligning different 154 cell-types together. Indeed, by only considering the degree of batch mixing but 155 ignoring cell-type differences, the batch entropy mixing score is not ideally suited for 156 assessing batch mixing for partially-overlapping datasets.

157 We also tested the scalability and computation efficiency of SCALEX on 158 large-scale datasets by applying it to 1,369,619 cells from the *human fetal atlas* dataset (two data batches, Methods)^{38,39}. SCALEX accurately integrated these two batches, 159 160 showing good alignment of the same cell-types (Fig. S2, Fig. 1d). We then compared 161 the computational efficiency of different methods using down-sampled datasets (of 10 162 K, 50 K, 250 K, 1 M) from the human fetal atlas dataset. SCALEX consumed almost 163 constant runtime and memory that increased only linearly with data size, whereas MNN, 164 Seurat v3, and Conos consumed runtime and memory that increased exponentially, thus 165 did not scale well beyond 250 K cells. Harmony consumed over 400 gigabytes (GB) of 166 memory in analyzing the 1 M dataset, rendering it unsuitable for integration of datasets 167 at this scale (Fig. 1e). Notably, the deep learning framework of SCALEX enables it to 168 run very efficiently on GPU devices, requiring much reduced runtime (took about 10 169 minutes and 16 GB of memory on the 1 M dataset).

170 Finally, SCALEX can be used to integrate scATAC-seq data as well as 171 cross-modality data (e.g. scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq) (Methods). For example, 172 SCALEX integrated the mouse brain scATAC-seq dataset (two batches assayed by 173 snATAC and 10X)⁴⁰ very well, aligning common cell subpopulations and separate 174 distinct ones (Fig. 1f). We also integrated the cross-modality PBMC data between scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq^{41,42}, and found that SCALEX could correctly integrate 175 176 the two types of data, and could distinguish rare cells that are specific to scRNA-seq 177 data, including pDC and platelet cells (Fig. 1g). Thus, SCALEX has broad integration 178 capacity across various types of single-cell data.

179 SCALEX integrates partially-overlapping datasets

Partially-overlapping datasets present a major challenge for single-cell data integration for local cell similarity-based methods^{13,14}, often leading to over-correction (*i.e.*, mixing of distinct cell-types). As a global integration method that project cells into a generalized cell-embedding space, SCALEX is expected to be immune to this problem. For example, the *liver* dataset is a partially-overlapping dataset where the hepatocyte population contains multiple subtypes specific to different batches: three subtypes are specific to LIVER_GSE124395, and two other subtypes only appear in LIVER_GSE115469 (Fig. S3). We noticed that SCALEX maintained the five hepatocyte subtypes apart, whereas Seurat v3 mixed all five and Harmony mixed the hepatocyte-SCD and hepatocyte-TAT-AS1 cells (Fig. 2a).

190 To characterize the performance of SCALEX on partially-overlapping datasets, we 191 constructed test datasets with a range of common cell-types, down-sampled from the 192 six major cell-types in the pancreas dataset (Methods). SCALEX integration was 193 accurate for all cases, aligning the same cell-types without over-correction, whereas 194 both Seurat v3 and Harmony frequently mixed the cell-types, particularly for the 195 low-overlapping cases (Fig. 2b, Fig. S4). When there was none common cell-type, both 196 Seurat v3 and Harmony collapsed the six cell-types to three, mixing alpha with gamma 197 cells, beta with delta cells, and acinar with ductal cells in various extent. We repeated 198 the cell-type down-sampling analysis from the 12 cell-types in the *PBMC* dataset as a 199 more complex partial-overlapping example and observed similar results (Fig. S5), 200 demonstrating that SCALEX is robust in retaining informative biological variations for 201 partially-overlapping datasets.

202 **Projection of unseen data into an existing cell-embedding space**

The accurate, scalable, and efficient integration performance of SCALEX depends on its encoder's capacity to project cells from various sources into a generalized, batch-invariant cell-embedding space. We speculate that once a cell-embedding space has been constructed after integration of existing data, SCALEX should be able to use the same encoder to project additional (*i.e.*, previously unseen) data onto the same embedding space. To test this hypothesis, we used the *pancreas* dataset. SCALEX bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.06.438536; this version posted April 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

integration removed the strong batch effect in the raw data and aligned the same
cell-types together and kept different cell-types were clearly distinguished (Fig. 3a, Fig.
S6a). Cell-types were validated by the expression of their canonical markers, including
rare cells such as Schwann cells, epsilon cells (Fig. S6b).

We projected three new batches⁴³⁻⁴⁵ for pancreas tissues (Fig. 3b) into this 213 214 "pancreas cell space" using the same encoder trained on the *pancreas* dataset. After 215 projection, most of the cells in the new batches were accurately aligned to the correct 216 cell-types in the pancreas cell space, enabling their accurate annotation by cell-type 217 label transfer (Fig. 3c, Method). We benchmarked annotation accuracy by calculating the adjusted Rand Index (ARI)⁴⁶, the Normalized Mutual Information (NMI)⁴⁷, and the 218 219 F1 score using the cell-type information in the original studies as a gold standard 220 (Methods). The SCALEX annotations achieved the highest accuracy in comparisons 221 with annotations using three other methods (Seurat v3, Conos, and scmap).

222 Expanding an existing cell space by including new data

223 The ability to project new single-cell data into a generalized cell-embedding space 224 allows SCALEX to readily extend this cell space. To verify this, we projected two additional melanoma data batches (SKCM GSE72056, SKCM GSE123139)^{48,49} onto 225 226 the previously constructed PBMC space. The common cell-types were correctly 227 projected onto the same locations in the PBMC cell space (Fig. 3d). For the tumor and 228 plasma cells only present in the melanoma data batches, SCALEX did not project these 229 cells onto any existing cell populations in the PBMC space; rather, it projected them 230 onto new locations close to similar cells, with the plasma cells projected to a location 231 near B cells, and the tumor cells projected to a location near HSC cells (Fig. 3e).

SCALEX projection enables *post hoc* annotation of unknown cell-types in the existing cell space using new data. We noted a group of cells previously uncharacterized in the *pancreas* dataset (Fig. 3a). We found that these cells displayed 235 high expression levels for known epithelial genes (Methods). We therefore assembled a 236 collection of epithelial cells from the *bronchial epithelium* dataset⁵⁰. We then projected these epithelial cells onto the pancreas cell space and found that a group of 237 238 antigen-presenting airway epithelial (SLC16A7+ epithelial) cells were projected onto 239 the same location of the uncharacterized cells (Fig. 3f). This, together with the 240 observation that both cell populations showed similar marker gene expression (Fig. 3g), 241 indicates that these uncharacterized cells are also SLC16A7+ epithelial cells. SCALEX 242 thus enables discovery science in cell biology by supporting exploratory analysis with 243 large numbers of diverse datasets.

244 SCALEX supports construction of expandable single-cell atlases

The ability to combine partially-overlapping data onto a generalized cell-embedding space makes SCALEX a powerful tool to construct a single-cell atlas from a collection of diverse and large datasets. We applied SCALEX integration to two large and complex datasets—the *mouse atlas* dataset (comprising multiple organs from two studies assayed by 10X, Smart-seq2, and Microwell-seq^{6,51}) (Fig. 4a) and the *human atlas* dataset (comprising multiple organs from two studies assayed by 10X and Microwell-seq^{39,52}).

252 Despite the strong batch effects in the raw data, SCALEX integrated the three 253 batches of the *mouse atlas* dataset into a unified cell-embedding space (Fig. 4b,c, Fig. 254 S7a). Common cell-types (including both B, T, and endothelial cells in all tissues and 255 proximal tubule, urothelial, and hepatocytic cells in certain tissues) were well-aligned 256 together at the same position in the cell space. Non-overlapping cell-types (such as 257 sperm, Leydig, and small intestine cells from the Microwell-seq data, keratinocyte stem 258 cells and large intestine cells in the Smart-seq2 data, and oligodendrocytes in the 259 Smart-seq2 and Microwell-seq data) were located separately in the space, indicating 260 that biological variations were preserved well (Fig S7b).

261 Importantly, atlases generated with SCALEX can be used and further expanded by 262 projecting new single-cell data to support comparative studies of cells both in the 263 original atlas and in the new data. Illustrating this, we projected two additional data batches of aged mouse tissues from Tabula Muris Senis (Smart-seq2 and 10X)⁵³ and 264 two single tissue datasets (lung and kidney)⁵⁴ onto the SCALEX mouse atlas space. We 265 266 found that the same cell-types in the new data batches were correctly projected onto the 267 same locations on the cell-embedding space of the initial mouse atlas (Fig. 4d), which 268 was also confirmed by the accurate cell-type annotations for the new data by label 269 transfer from the corresponding cell-types in the initial atlas (Fig. 4e. Methods). On one 270 way, this mouse atlas then can be used to accurately identify/characterize the cells in 271 the new data based on their projected locations in the cell space; and on the other way, 272 projection of new data enables ongoing (and informative) expansion of an existing 273 atlas.

274 Following the same strategy, we also constructed a human atlas by SCALEX 275 integration of multiple tissues from two studies (GSE134255, GSE159929) (Fig. S8a,b). 276 SCALEX, effectively eliminated the batch effects in the original data and integrated the 277 two datasets in a unified cell-embedding space (Fig. S8c,d). Again, we were able to correctly project two additional human skin datasets (GSE130973, GSE147424)^{55,56} 278 279 onto the human atlas cell-embedding space (Fig. S8e), and again accurately annotated 280 these projected skin cells (Fig. S8f. Methods). These results illustrate that: i) SCALEX 281 enables researchers to evaluate their project-specific single cell datasets by leveraging 282 existing information in large-scale (and ostensibly well annotated) cell atlases; and ii) it 283 also enables atlas creators to informatively integrate new datasets and attendant 284 biological insights from many research programs.

285 An integrative SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas

286 Many single-cell studies have been conducted to analyze COVID-19 patient immune 287 responses⁵⁷⁻⁶⁴. However, these studies often suffer from small sample size and/or limited sampling of various disease states^{58,64}. For a comprehensive study, we collected 288 289 data from multiple COVID-19 PBMC studies, involving 860,746 single cells, and 10 batches from 9 studies⁵⁷⁻⁶³ (Fig. 5a, Fig. S9a), and used SCALEX to generate a 290 291 COVID-19 PBMC atlas, identifying 22 cell-types, each of which were supported by 292 canonical marker gene expression (Fig. 5b,c, Fig. S9b,c. Methods). Cells across 293 different studies were integrated accurately with the same cell-types aligned together, 294 confirming integration performance of SCALEX (Fig. 5c, Fig. S9d).

295 We observed that some cell subpopulations were differentially associated with 296 patient status (Fig 5d). A subpopulation of CD14 monocytes (CD14-ISG15-Mono), 297 specifically associated with COIVD-19 patients, was characterized by its high 298 expression of Type I interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) and genes associated with 299 immune-response-related GO terms (Fig 5e,f). The frequency of CD14-ISG15-Mono 300 cells increased significantly from healthy donors to mild/moderate and severe patients 301 (Fig. 6g, Fig. S9e. Methods). Within the COVID-19 patients, we observed a significant 302 decrease in ISG gene expression in CD14-ISG15-Mono cells between the 303 mild/moderate and severe cases, indicating apparently dysfunctional anti-viral immune 304 response in severe COVID-19 patients (Fig. 5e). Specifically enriched in severe verse 305 mild/moderate patients, a neutrophil subpopulation (NCF1-Immature Neutrophil) 306 lacked expression of the genes responsible for neutrophil activation but showed 307 elevated expression of genes associated with viral-process-related GO terms (Fig. 308 S10a,b). Also enriched in severe patients, a plasma cell subpopulation (MZB1-Plasma) 309 cells displayed decreased expression for antibody production and were enriched for GO 310 terms of immune and inflammatory responses (Fig. S10c,d). Thus, the SCALEX 311 COVID-19 PBMC atlas, generated by integrating a highly diverse collection of 312 single-cell data from individual studies, identified multiple immune cells-types 313 showing dysregulations during COVID-19 disease progression. Note that these trends

- 314 could not have been detected in the small-scale, individual studies that served as the
- 315 basis for our SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas.

316 Comparative analysis of the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas and the SC4 317 consortium study

Recently, a large-scale effort of the Single Cell Consortium for COVID-19 in China (SC4) has generated a single-cell atlas that contains over 1 million cells (including PBMCs and other tissues) from 171 COVID-19 patients and 25 healthy controls⁶⁵ (Fig. S11a). We projected the consortium dataset into the cell-embedding space of the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas, and found that the cell-types of two atlases were well-aligned in the embedding space (Fig. 5h,i, Fig. S11b,c).

324 Our analysis, based on the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas, yielded findings consistent with two conclusions from the SC4 study⁶⁵. First, in both analyses diverse 325 326 immune subpopulations displayed differential associations with COVID-19 severity. 327 The proportions of CD14 monocytes, megakaryocytes, plasma cells, and pro T cells 328 were elevated with increasing disease severity, while the proportion of pDC and mDC 329 cells decreased (Fig. 5g). Second, we confirmed that the megakaryocytes and monocyte 330 populations are associated with cytokine storms triggered by SARS-Cov2 infection and are further elevated in severe patients⁶⁶, based on calculating the same cytokine score 331 332 and inflammatory score (defined in the SC4 study) for the cells of our SCALEX 333 COVID-19 PBMC atlas (Fig. 5j. Methods).

Integration of the SC4 data further substantially improved both the scope and resolution of the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas. First, this data added macrophages and epithelial cells to the cell space, enabling investigation of their potential involvement in COVID-19. The integration also supported more precise characterization of specific cell subpopulations. For example, the megakaryocyte population, not distinguished in either single atlas, could be divided into two

340 subpopulations in the combined atlas (Fig. 5h). An exploratory functional analysis of 341 the differentially expressed genes in these two newly delineated megakaryocyte 342 subpopulations (TUBA8-Mega and IGKC-Mega, Fig. S11d,e) revealed enrichment for 343 the GO terms "humoral immune response" for IGKC-Mega cells yet enrichment for 344 "negative regulation of platelet activation" for TUBA8-Mega cells (Fig. 5k). These 345 results illustrate how the continuously expandable single-cell atlases generated using 346 SCALEX capitalize on existing large-scale data resources and also facilitate discovery 347 of biological and biomedical insights.

348 **DISCUSSION**

349 SCALEX provides a VAE framework for integration of heterogeneous single-cell data 350 by disentangling batch-invariant components from batch-related variations and 351 projecting the batch-invariant components into a generalized, low-dimensional 352 cell-embedding space. By design, SCALEX models the inherent batch-invariant 353 patterns of single-cell data, distinguishing it from previously reported integration 354 methods based on cell similarities. SCALEX does not rely on the identification of 355 common cell-types across batches, and therefore avoids the problem of cell-type 356 over-correction, a severe problem for partially-overlapping datasets. SCALEX thus 357 also overcomes issues of computational complexity in cell similarity-based methods; 358 that is, the computational time required to identify similar cells may increase 359 exponentially as the cell number increases.

These two features make SCALEX particularly useful for construction and integrative analysis of large-scale single-cell atlases based on very heterogenous data *(i.e., datasets acquired by different labs and using different single-cell analysis* platforms). Our construction of human, mouse, and COVID-19 patient single-cell atlases—which aligned well with previously reported atlases generated from coordinated large-scale consortium efforts—demonstrates the particular ability of

366 SCALEX to producing large-scale atlases from extant small-scale datasets. SCALEX 367 achieves data integration by projecting all single cells into a generalized 368 cell-embedding space using a universal data projector (*i.e.*, the encoder). This data 369 projector only needs to be trained once, and then can be used without retraining to 370 continuously integrate new incoming data into an existing single-cell atlas. This 371 continuous growth ability makes a SCALEX atlas an elastic resource, allowing the 372 integration of many single-cell studies to support ongoing, very large-scale research 373 programs throughout the life sciences and biomedicine.

374 While the number of single-cell studies is increasing enormously each year, best 375 practices for experimental design and sample processing are not established, and there 376 is no obviously dominant data-acquisition platform. SCALEX's ability to 377 informatively combine data from heterogenous studies and platforms makes it 378 particularly suitable for the current era of single-cell biological research. Finally, the 379 ability to conduct exploratory analysis within a generalized cell space supports that 380 SCALEX should be particularly useful for large-scale integrative (e.g., pan-cancer) 381 studies. We speculate that use of SCALEX to project single-cell datasets (including 382 for example scATAC-seq and scRNA-seq) from highly diverse cancer types to 383 construct a pan-cancer single-cell atlas may lead to the discovery of previously 384 unknown cell types that are common to divergent carcinomas and that function in 385 pathogenesis, malignant progression, and/or metastasis.

386

387 **REFERENCES**

388 1 Kolodziejczyk, A. A., Kim, J. K., Svensson, V., Marioni, J. C. & Teichmann, 389 S. A. The technology and biology of single-cell RNA sequencing. *Mol Cell* 58, 390 610-620, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.04.005 (2015). 391 2 Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Single-cell RNA sequencing to explore immune cell 392 heterogeneity. Nat Rev Immunol 18, 35-45, doi:10.1038/nri.2017.76 (2018). 393 3 Tanay, A. & Regev, A. Scaling single-cell genomics from phenomenology to 394 mechanism. Nature 541, 331-338, doi:10.1038/nature21350 (2017).

395	4	Satpathy, A. T. et al. Massively parallel single-cell chromatin landscapes of		
396		human immune cell development and intratumoral T cell exhaustion. <i>Nature</i>		
397		Biotechnology 37, 925-936, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0206-z (2019).		
398	5	Regev, A. et al. The Human Cell Atlas. Elife 6, doi:10.7554/eLife.27041		
399		(2017).		
400	6	Tabula Muris, C. et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of 20 mouse organs creates		
401		a Tabula Muris. Nature 562, 367-372, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0590-4 (2018).		
402	7	Lahnemann, D. et al. Eleven grand challenges in single-cell data science.		
403		Genome Biol 21, 31, doi:10.1186/s13059-020-1926-6 (2020).		
404	8	Leek, J. T. et al. Tackling the widespread and critical impact of batch effects		
405		in high-throughput data. Nat Rev Genet 11, 733-739, doi:10.1038/nrg2825		
406		(2010).		
407	9	Hicks, S. C., Townes, F. W., Teng, M. & Irizarry, R. A. Missing data and		
408		technical variability in single-cell RNA-sequencing experiments. <i>Biostatistics</i>		
409		19 , 562-578, doi:10.1093/biostatistics/kxx053 (2018).		
410	10	Haghverdi, L., Lun, A. T. L., Morgan, M. D. & Marioni, J. C. Batch effects in		
411		single-cell RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest		
412		neighbors. Nat Biotechnol 36, 421-427, doi:10.1038/nbt.4091 (2018).		
413	11	Hie, B., Bryson, B. & Berger, B. Efficient integration of heterogeneous		
414		single-cell transcriptomes using Scanorama. Nat Biotechnol,		
415		doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0113-3 (2019).		
416	12	Polanski, K. <i>et al.</i> BBKNN: fast batch alignment of single cell transcriptomes.		
417		Bioinformatics 36, 964-965, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz625 (2020).		
418	13	Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating		
419		single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and		
420		species. Nat Biotechnol 36, 411-420, doi:10.1038/nbt.4096 (2018).		
421	14	Stuart, T. et al. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell 177,		
422		1888-1902 e1821, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031 (2019).		
423	15	Barkas, N. et al. Joint analysis of heterogeneous single-cell RNA-seq dataset		
424		collections. Nat Methods 16, 695-698, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0466-z		
425		(2019).		
426	16	Korsunsky, I. et al. Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data		
427		with Harmony. Nat Methods, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0619-0 (2019).		
428	17	Lopez, R., Regier, J., Cole, M. B., Jordan, M. I. & Yosef, N. Deep generative		
429		modeling for single-cell transcriptomics. Nat Methods 15, 1053-1058,		
430		doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0229-2 (2018).		
431	18	Kingma, D. P. & Welling, M. Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes.		
432		<i>arXiv:1312.6114</i> (2013).		
433	19	Rezende, D. J., Mohamed, S. & Wierstra, D. Stochastic Backpropagation and		
434		Approximate Inference in Deep Generative Models. doi:abs/ (2014).		

435	20	Xiong, L. et al. SCALE method for single-cell ATAC-seq analysis via latent
436		feature extraction. Nat Commun 10, 4576, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12630-7
437		(2019).
438	21	Kullback, S. & Leibler, R. A. On Information and Sufficiency. Ann. Math.
439		Statist. 22, 79-86, doi:10.1214/aoms/1177729694 (1951).
440	22	Chang, WG., You, T., Seo, S., Kwak, S. & Han, B. Domain-Specific Batch
441		Normalization for Unsupervised Domain Adaptation. arXiv:1906.03950
442		(2019).
443	23	Ioffe, S. & Szegedy, C. Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network
444		Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift. arXiv:1502.03167 (2015).
445	24	Lawlor, N. et al. Single-cell transcriptomes identify human islet cell signatures
446		and reveal cell-type-specific expression changes in type 2 diabetes. Genome
447		Res 27, 208-222, doi:10.1101/gr.212720.116 (2017).
448	25	Segerstolpe, A. et al. Single-Cell Transcriptome Profiling of Human
449		Pancreatic Islets in Health and Type 2 Diabetes. Cell Metab 24, 593-607,
450		doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.020 (2016).
451	26	Muraro, M. J. et al. A Single-Cell Transcriptome Atlas of the Human Pancreas.
452		Cell Syst 3, 385-394 e383, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2016.09.002 (2016).
453	27	Grun, D. et al. De Novo Prediction of Stem Cell Identity using Single-Cell
454		Transcriptome Data. Cell Stem Cell 19, 266-277,
455		doi:10.1016/j.stem.2016.05.010 (2016).
456	28	Baron, M. et al. A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Map of the Human and Mouse
457		Pancreas Reveals Inter- and Intra-cell Population Structure. Cell Syst 3,
458		346-360 e344, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2016.08.011 (2016).
459	29	Litvinukova, M. et al. Cells of the adult human heart. Nature 588, 466-472,
460		doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2797-4 (2020).
461	30	Aizarani, N. et al. A human liver cell atlas reveals heterogeneity and epithelial
462		progenitors. Nature 572, 199-204, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1373-2 (2019).
463	31	MacParland, S. A. et al. Single cell RNA sequencing of human liver reveals
464		distinct intrahepatic macrophage populations. Nat Commun 9, 4383,
465		doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06318-7 (2018).
466	32	Lambrechts, D. et al. Phenotype molding of stromal cells in the lung tumor
467		microenvironment. Nat Med 24, 1277-1289, doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0096-5
468		(2018).
469	33	Song, Q. et al. Dissecting intratumoral myeloid cell plasticity by single cell
470		RNA-seq. Cancer Med 8, 3072-3085, doi:10.1002/cam4.2113 (2019).
471	34	Zilionis, R. et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomics of Human and Mouse Lung
472		Cancers Reveals Conserved Myeloid Populations across Individuals and
473		Species. Immunity 50, 1317-1334 e1310, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.009
474		(2019).

475	35	Kim, N. et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing demonstrates the molecular and			
476		cellular reprogramming of metastatic lung adenocarcinoma. Nat Commun 11,			
477		2285, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16164-1 (2020).			
478	36	McInnes, L., Healy, J. & Melville, J. UMAP: Uniform Manifold			
479		Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. arXiv:1802.03426			
480		(2018).			
481	37	Rousseeuw, P. J. Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and			
482		validation of cluster analysis. Journal of Computational and Applied			
483		Mathematics 20, 53-65, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(87)90125-7			
484		(1987).			
485	38	Cao, J. <i>et al.</i> A human cell atlas of fetal gene expression. <i>Science</i> 370 ,			
486		doi:10.1126/science.aba7721 (2020).			
487	39	Han, X. <i>et al.</i> Construction of a human cell landscape at single-cell level.			
488		Nature 581, 303-309, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2157-4 (2020).			
489	40	Fang, R. <i>et al.</i> Comprehensive analysis of single cell ATAC-seq data with			
490		SnapATAC. <i>Nat Commun</i> 12 , 1337, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21583-9 (2021).			
491	41	Genomics, X. 10k Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from a			
492		healthy donor, Single Cell ATAC Dataset by Cell Ranger 1.0.1. (2018).			
493	42	Genomics, X. 10k PBMCs from a Healthy Donor (v3 chemistry), Single Cell			
494		Gene Expression Dataset by Cell Ranger 3.0.0. (2018).			
495	43	Wang, Y. J. <i>et al.</i> Single-Cell Transcriptomics of the Human Endocrine			
496		Pancreas. <i>Diabetes</i> 65 , 3028-3038, doi:10.2337/db16-0405 (2016).			
497	44	Enge, M. et al. Single-Cell Analysis of Human Pancreas Reveals			
498		Transcriptional Signatures of Aging and Somatic Mutation Patterns. Cell 171,			
499		321-330 e314, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.004 (2017).			
500	45	Xin, Y. et al. Pseudotime Ordering of Single Human beta-Cells Reveals States			
501		of Insulin Production and Unfolded Protein Response. Diabetes 67, 1783-1794,			
502		doi:10.2337/db18-0365 (2018).			
503	46	Hubert, L. & Arabie, P. Comparing partitions. Journal of Classification 2,			
504		193-218, doi:10.1007/BF01908075 (1985).			
505	47	Amelio, A. & Pizzuti, C. in Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE/ACM International			
506		Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining 2015			
507		1584–1585 (Association for Computing Machinery, Paris, France, 2015).			
508	48	Tirosh, I. et al. Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma			
509		by single-cell RNA-seq. Science 352, 189-196, doi:10.1126/science.aad0501			
510		(2016).			
511	49	Li, H. et al. Dysfunctional CD8 T Cells Form a Proliferative, Dynamically			
512		Regulated Compartment within Human Melanoma. Cell 176, 775-789.e718,			
513		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.043</u> (2019).			
514	50	Plasschaert, L. W. et al. A single-cell atlas of the airway epithelium reveals			
515		the CFTR-rich pulmonary ionocyte. Nature 560, 377-381,			
516		doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0394-6 (2018).			

517 518	51	Han, X. <i>et al.</i> Mapping the Mouse Cell Atlas by Microwell-Seq. <i>Cell</i> 172 , 1091-1107 e1017, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.001 (2018).		
519	52	He, S. <i>et al.</i> Single-cell transcriptome profiling of an adult human cell atlas of		
520		15 major organs. Genome Biol 21 , 294, doi:10.1186/s13059-020-02210-0		
521		(2020).		
522	53	Tabula Muris, C. A single-cell transcriptomic atlas characterizes ageing		
523		tissues in the mouse. <i>Nature</i> 583 , 590-595, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2496-1		
524		(2020).		
525	54	Kimmel, J. C. et al. Murine single-cell RNA-seq reveals cell-identity- and		
526		tissue-specific trajectories of aging. Genome Res 29, 2088-2103,		
527		doi:10.1101/gr.253880.119 (2019).		
528	55	Sole-Boldo, L. et al. Single-cell transcriptomes of the human skin reveal		
529		age-related loss of fibroblast priming. Commun Biol 3, 188,		
530		doi:10.1038/s42003-020-0922-4 (2020).		
531	56	He, H. et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis of human skin identifies novel		
532		fibroblast subpopulation and enrichment of immune subsets in atopic		
533		dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 145, 1615-1628,		
534		doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2020.01.042 (2020).		
535	57	Schulte-Schrepping, J. et al. Severe COVID-19 Is Marked by a Dysregulated		
536		Myeloid Cell Compartment. Cell 182, 1419-1440.e1423,		
537		doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.001 (2020).		
538	58	Lee, J. S. et al. Immunophenotyping of COVID-19 and influenza highlights		
539		the role of type I interferons in development of severe COVID-19. Sci		
540		Immunol 5, doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abd1554 (2020).		
541	59	Wilk, A. J. et al. A single-cell atlas of the peripheral immune response in		
542		patients with severe COVID-19. Nat Med 26, 1070-1076,		
543		doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0944-y (2020).		
544	60	Guo, C. et al. Single-cell analysis of two severe COVID-19 patients reveals a		
545		monocyte-associated and tocilizumab-responding cytokine storm. Nat		
546		Commun 11, 3924, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17834-w (2020).		
547	61	Yao, C. et al. Cell-Type-Specific Immune Dysregulation in Severely Ill		
548		COVID-19 Patients. Cell Rep 34, 108590, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108590		
549		(2021).		
550	62	Zhang, J. Y. et al. Single-cell landscape of immunological responses in		
551		patients with COVID-19. Nat Immunol 21, 1107-1118,		
552		doi:10.1038/s41590-020-0762-x (2020).		
553	63	Ballestar, E. <i>et al.</i> Single cell profiling of COVID-19 patients: an international		
554		data resource from multiple tissues. <i>medRxiv</i> , 2020.2011.2020.20227355,		
555		doi:10.1101/2020.11.20.20227355 (2020).		
556	64	Bernardes, J. P. et al. Longitudinal Multi-omics Analyses Identify Responses		
557		of Megakaryocytes, Erythroid Cells, and Plasmablasts as Hallmarks of Severe		

558		COVID-19. Immunity 53, 1296-1314 e1299,
559		doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.017 (2020).
560	65	Ren, X. et al. COVID-19 immune features revealed by a large-scale single-cell
561		transcriptome atlas. Cell, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.053 (2021).
562	66	Chen, G. et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate
563		coronavirus disease 2019. J Clin Invest 130, 2620-2629,
564		doi:10.1172/JCI137244 (2020).
565	67	Kingma, D. P. & Ba, J. Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization.
566		arXiv:1412.6980 (2014).
567	68	Danese, A., Richter, M. L., Fischer, D. S., Theis, F. J. & Colomé-Tatché, M.
568		EpiScanpy: integrated single-cell epigenomic analysis. bioRxiv,
569		doi:10.1101/648097 (2019).
570	69	Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene
571		expression data analysis. Genome Biol 19, 15,
572		doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0 (2018).
573	70	Stuart, T., Srivastava, A., Lareau, C. & Satija, R. Multimodal single-cell
574		chromatin analysis with Signac. bioRxiv, doi:10.1101/2020.11.09.373613
575		(2020).
576	71	Abdi, H. & Williams, L. J. Principal component analysis. WIREs Comput. Stat.
577		2 , 433-459, doi:10.1002/wics.101 (2010).
578	72	Traag, V. A., Waltman, L. & van Eck, N. J. From Louvain to Leiden:
579		guaranteeing well-connected communities. Scientific Reports 9, 5233,
580		doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41695-z (2019).
581		
582		

843 Figures

844 Fig. 1 | The design and performance of SCALEX for single-cell data integration. 845 a, SCALEX models the global structure of single-cell data using a variational 846 autoencoder (VAE) framework. b, UMAP embeddings of the PBMC dataset before 847 and after integration using SCALEX, Seurat v3, Harmony, Conos, or Scanorama 848 integration, colored by batch and cell-type. c, Scatter plot showing a quantitative 849 comparison of the silhouette score (y-axis) and the batch entropy mixing score (x-axis) 850 on the benchmark datasets. d, UMAP embeddings of the SCALEX integration of the 851 human fetal atlas dataset, colored by batch and cell-type. e, Comparison of 852 computation efficiency on datasets of different sizes sampled from the whole human 853 fetal atlas dataset) including runtime (left) and memory usage (right). f, UMAP 854 embeddings of the mouse brain scATAC-seq dataset before (left) and after integration 855 (middle, right); colored by data batch or Leiden clustering. g, UMAP embeddings of 856 the PBMC cross-modality dataset before (left) and after integration (middle, right); 857 colored by batch or cell-type.

Fig. 2 | Comparison of integration performance over partially-overlapping
datasets by different methods. a, Comparison over the *liver* dataset. b, Comparison
over simulated datasets with different numbers of common cell-types (obtained by
down-sampling the *pancreas* dataset). Misalignments are highlighted with red circles.

Fig. 3 | **Projecting heterogenous data into a generalized cell-embedding space. a**, UMAP embeddings of the *pancreas* dataset after integration by SCALEX, colored by cell-type. **b**, UMAP embeddings of three projected pancreas data batches projected onto the pancreas space, colored by cell-types; the light gray shadows represent the original *pancreas* dataset. **c**, Confusion matrix between ground truth cell-types and those annotated by different methods. ARI, NMI and F1 scores (top) measure the annotation accuracy. **d**, UMAP embeddings of the *PBMC* dataset after integration and 869 the two projected melanoma data batches onto the PBMC space, colored by cell-types 870 with light gray shadows represent the original *PBMC* dataset. e, The PBMC space that 871 includes the original PBMC dataset and the two projected melanoma data batches. f, 872 Annotating an uncharacterized small cell population in the pancreas dataset by 873 projection of the bronchial epithelium data batches into the pancreas cell space. Only 874 the uncharacterized cells in the *pancreas* dataset (left) and the SLC16A7+ epithelial 875 cells in the bronchial epithelium data batches (right) are colored. g, Heatmap showing 876 the normalized expression of the top-10 ranking specific genes for the uncharacterized 877 cell population in different cell-types.

878 Fig. 4 | Construction of an expandable mouse single-cell atlas. a, Datasets acquired 879 using different technologies (Smart-seq2, 10X, and Microwell-seq) covering various 880 tissues used for construction of the mouse atlas. **b**, UMAP embeddings of the *mouse* 881 atlas dataset colored by batch and tissue. c, UMAP embeddings of the mouse atlas 882 after SCLAEX integration, labeld with and colored by cell-type. d, Two Tabula Muris 883 Senis data batches and two mouse tissues (lung and kidney) data are projected onto 884 the cell space of the mouse atlas, with the same cell-type color as in c. e, Confusion 885 matrix of the cell-type annotations by SCALEX and those in the original studies. 886 Color bar represents the percentage of cells in confusion matrix C_{ij} known to be 887 cell-type *i* and predicted to be cell-type *j*.

888 Fig. 5 | Construction and expansion of a COVID-19 single-cell atlas. a, COVID-19 889 dataset composition, including healthy controls and influenza patients, as well as 890 mild/moderate, severe, and convalescent COVID-19 patients. b,c UMAP embeddings 891 of COVID-19 PBMC atlas after SCLAEX integration colored by batch (b), and by 892 cell-types (c). d, UMAP embeddings of the COVID-19 PBMC atlas separated by 893 disease state. e, Stacked violinplot of differentially-expressed ISGs among CD14 894 monocytes across disease states. f, GO terms enriched in the differentially-expressed 895 genes for CD14-IL1B-Mono and CD14-ISG15-Mono cells. g, Cell-type frequency 896 across healthy and influenza controls, and among mild/moderate, severe, and 897 convalescent COVID-19 patients. Dirichlet-multinomial regression was used for 898 pairwise comparisons, ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05. h, United UMAP 899 embeddings of the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas and the SS4 atlas (from the 900 Single Cell Consortium for COVID-19 in China, projected onto the cell space of the 901 SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas). Left: the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas, 902 middle: SC4 colored by cell clusters in the original study, right: Expanded atlas 903 combining the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas and the SC4 atlas. i, Similarity 904 matrix of meta-cell representations for cell-types between the SCALEX COVID-19 905 PBMC atlas and SC4 in the generalized cell-embedding space after SCALEX 906 integration. Color bar represents the Pearson correlation coefficient between the 907 average meta-cell representation of two cell-types from a respective data batch. j. 908 UMAP embeddings of the SCALEX COVID-19 PBMC atlas colored by the cytokine 909 and the inflammatory score. k, GO terms enriched in the score 910 differentially-expressed genes for TUBA8-Mega and IGKC-Mega cells.

911 Supplementary figures

Fig. S1 | Comparison of integration performance on benchmark datasets. UMAP
embeddings for benchmark datasets grouped by batches and cell-types, before and
after integration by different methods. Misalignments are highlighted with red circles.

915 Fig. S2 | The human fetal atlas. a, UMAP embeddings of the *human fetal atlas* 916 dataset colored by batch before integration. b, Similarity matrix of meta-cell 917 representations for different cell-types in the two data batches in the generalized 918 cell-embedding space. Color bar represents the Pearson correlation coefficient 919 between the average meta-cell representation of two cell-types from a respective data 920 batch. c, Comparison of computation efficiency on datasets of different sizes 921 (sampled from the whole *human fetal atlas* dataset), including runtime (left) and922 memory usage (right), in log scale.

Fig. S3 | Canonical marker genes of different cell-types and UMAP embeddings of the *liver* dataset. a, Dotplot of canonical marker genes for each cell-type. Dot color represents average expression level, while dot size represents the proportion of cells in the group expressing the marker. b, UMAP embeddings of the *liver* dataset, colored by batch (left) and cell-type (right) after SCALEX integration. c, Normalized marker gene expression on the UMAP embeddings of the five hepatocyte subtypes. Color bar represents the expression level.

930 Fig. S4 | Integration over partially-overlapping datasets down-sampled from the

931 *pancreas* dataset. Partially-overlapping datasets were generated by down-sampling 932 the *pancreas* dataset, consisted of common cell-types with a decreased overlapping 933 number (ranging from 0 to 6). Integration results for SCALEX, Seurat, and Harmony 934 are shown in the UMAP embeddings colored by batches (left) and cell-types (right) 935 respectively (overlapping number decreases from 6 to 0). Misalignments are 936 highlighted with red circles.

937 Fig. S5 | Integration over partially-overlapping datasets down-sampled from the

938 PBMC dataset. Partially-overlapping datasets were generated by down-sampling the 939 PBMC dataset, consisted of common cell-types with a decreased overlapping number 940 (ranging from 0 to 6). Integration results for SCALEX, Seurat and Harmony are 941 shown in the UMAP embeddings colored by batches (left) and cell-types (right) 942 respectively (overlapping number decreases from 6 to 0). Misalignments are 943 highlighted with red circles.

Fig. S6 | The *pancreas* dataset and the additional data batches. a, UMAP
embeddings of the *pancreas* dataset, the three additional pancreas data batches and
the bronchial epithelium data batches (data from three donors), grouped by batch. b,

947 Dot plot of canonical markers of cell-types of reference *pancreas* dataset; dot color
948 represents average expression level, while dot size represents the proportion of cells
949 in the group expressing the marker.

Fig. S7 | The SCALEX mouse atlas. a, UMAP embeddings of the mouse atlas data before integration, colored by batch. b, UMAP embeddings of three mouse atlas data batches (Smart-seq2, 10X, and Microwell-seq) after integration, colored by cell-type; the light gray shadows represent the original *mouse atlas* dataset. c, Dotplot of the top 5 cell-type-specific genes for each cell-type in the *mouse atlas* dataset. Dot color represents average expression level, while dot size represents the proportion of cells in the group expressing the marker.

957 Fig. S8 | The SCALEX human atlas. a, The human atlas dataset acquired using 958 different technologies (Smart-seq2, 10X, and Microwell-seq) covering various tissues 959 used for construction of the human atlas. **b-c**, UMAP embeddings of the human atlas 960 dataset colored by batch and cell-type, before (b) and after integration (c). d, 961 Similarity matrix of meta-cell representations for cell-types in the two data batches in 962 the generalized cell-embedding space after SCALEX integration between two batches. 963 Color bar represents the Pearson correlation coefficient between the average meta-cell 964 representation of two cell-types from a respective data batch. e, UMAP embeddings 965 of the human atlas and two additional projected data batches colored by cell-type. f, 966 Confusion matrix of the cell-type annotations by SCALEX and those in the original 967 study. Color bar represents the percentage of cells in confusion matrix C_{ii} known to be 968 in cell-type *i* and predicted to be in cell-type j.

Fig. S9 | COVID-19 immune landscape. a, UMAP embeddings of the raw
COVID-19 PBMC dataset before integration. b, UMAP embeddings of the
COVID-19 PBMC atlas colored by condition and Leiden clustering after SCALEX
integration. c, Dotplot of canonical marker genes for each cell-type. Dot color

973 represents average expression level, while dot size represents the proportion of cells 974 in the group expressing the marker. **d**, UMAP embeddings of the COVID-19 PBMC 975 atlas in individual batches after SCALEX integration, colored by cell-type; the light 976 gray shadows represent the other batches of COVID-19 PBMC atlas. **e**, Frequency of 977 cell distributions across healthy people and influenza patient controls, and among 978 mild/moderate, severe, and convalescent COVID-19 patients. Dirichlet-multinomial 979 regression was used for pairwise comparisons, ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05.

980 Fig. S10 | COVID-19 heterogeneous dysfunctional immune response. a, Stacked 981 violin plot of differentially-expressed genes between PNPLA2-Immature_Neutrophil 982 NCF1-Immature Neutrophil cells. GO the and b, terms enriched in 983 differentially-expressed genes for PNPLA2-Immature Neutrophil and 984 NCF1-Immature Neutrophil cells. c, Stacked violinplot of differentially-expressed 985 genes between PRDM1-Plasma and MZB1-Plasma. d, GO terms enriched in the 986 differentially-expressed genes for PRDM1-Plasma and MZB1-Plasma cells.

987 Fig S11 | Projection of the SC4 dataset onto the SCLAEX COVID-19 PBMC 988 atlas. a-b, UMAP embeddings of the SC4 dataset before integration (a) and after 989 projection onto the SCLAEX COVID-19 PBMC space (b). c, Separate UMAP 990 embeddings of each SC4 data batch, after being projected onto the SCALEX 991 COVID-19 PBMC space, colored by cell-type. d, UMAP embeddings of the 992 TUBA8-Mega and IGKC-Mega cells. e, UMAP embeddings of the 993 differentially-expressed genes of TUBA8-Mega and IGKC-Mega cells.

994

38

Fig 1



UMAP

Fig 2



Fig 3





Cell-type

71 -



UMAP1

	<i>pan</i> bioRxiv p	<i>creas</i> reprint doi: http	<i>heart</i> s://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.06	<i>liver</i> 6.438536; this version posted A	pril 8, 2021. The copyright ho	PBMC Ider for this preprint (which
raw	14 14 14 17 8 25	was not	certified by peer review) is the a	author/funder. All rights reserve	d. No reuse allowed without p	
SCALEX						2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Seurat						
Harmony						
MNN						
Conos						
BBKNN						
Scanorama						
UMAP2 SCVI						
	UMAP1 • pancreas_indrop1 • pancreas_indrop2 • pancreas_indrop3 • pancreas_indrop4 • pancreas_celseq1 • pancreas_fuidigmc1 • pancreas_fuidigmc1	 acinar activated_stellate alpha alpha_er beta beta ductal ductal epithetial epithetial gamma macrophage mast quiescent_stellate schwann 	Harvard-Nuclei Sanger-Nuclei Sanger-Nuclei Adijocytes Atrial_Cardiomyocyte Endothelial Fibroblast Uymphoid Mesothelial Myeloid Neuronal Pericytes Smooth_muscle_cells Ventricular_Cardiomyocyte	LIVER_GSE115469 • B LIVER_GSE115469 • B LIVER_GSE124395 • NK-GNLY_high	 NSCLC_EMTAB6149 NSCLC_GSE117570 OD8T CD4T CD8T Endothelial Fibroblasts M1 M2 Mast Monocyte NK Neutrophils Oligodendrocytes Plasma pDC tumor clone 1 tumor clone 4 tumor clone 5 tumor clone 6 tumor clone 7 	 • pbmc_3p • pbmc_5p • CD4 T • CD4 naive T • CD8 T • CD8 T • CD8 naive T • DC • HSC • Msgakaryocyte • NK • monocyte-FCGR3A • pDC

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.06.438536; this version posted April 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



а





- beta
- delta
- ductal
- gamma



- monocyte-CD14monocyte-FCGR3A
- pDC







Cell-type



С





а

UMAP1

bio Brior praparation i: https://doi.org/10.110ft/c?024g024/06.438536; this version posted April 8, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not pertified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission. TUNDEF. All Fign Beijing_10X 5' Chongqing_10X 5' Guangzhou_10X 3' Harbin_10X 5' Helei_10X 3' Huanggang_10X 5' Shandong_10X 5' Shandong_10X 5' Shandong_10X 5' Shandan_10X 5' Shandan_10X 3' Wuhan_10X 3' Wuhan_10X 5' Shankan_10X 5' Suhuan_10X 5' MAP2 JMAP2 Zhuhai_10X 5' UMAP UMAP1 Harbin_10X 5' Hefei_10X 3' Beijing_10X 5' Chongqing_10X 5' Guangzhou_10X 3' С Huanggang_10X 5' Shandong_10X 5' Shanghai_10X 5' Shenzhen_10X 5' Suihua_10X 5' Wuhan_10X 3' Wuhan_10X 5' Zhuhai_10X 5' • T_CD4_c08-GZMK-FOS_h • T_CD4_c09-GZMK-FOS_I • T_CD4_c10-IFNG • T_CD4_c11-GNLY • T_CD4_c12-FOXP3 c01-TCL1A c1-CD14-CCL3 Mono Mono_c1-CD14-CCL3
 Mono_c2-CD14-HLA-DI
 Mono_c3-CD14-VCAN
 Mono_c4-CD14-CD16
 Mono_c5-CD16 02-MS4A1-CD2 03-CD27-AIM2 CD c12-POXP3 c13-MKI67-CCL5_I c14-MKI67-CCL5_h c01-LEF1 06-TNF 07-TYROBP Neu_c6-FGF23
 T_CD4_c01-LEF1
 T_CD4_c02-AQP3
 T_CD4_c03-ITGA4
 T_CD4_c03-ITGA4
 T_CD4_c04-ANXA2
 T_CD4_c05-FOS
 T_CD4_c06-NR4A2
 T_CD4_c07-AHNAK 08-IL2RB 09-SLC4A10 CD8 CD8 CD8_c09-SLC4A CD8_c10-MKI67-CD8_c11-MKI67-CD8_c12-MKI67-CD8_c12-HKI67-CD8_c13-HAVCF _gdT_c14-TRDV2 c10-MKI67-GZ c11-MKI67-FC c12-MKI67-TY • T • T • T • T UMAP2 UMAP1 TUBA8 CDKN1A IGKC CTSD d cell-type е 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 -0.3 0.2 0.2 - 0.2 0.2 TUBA8-Mega UMAP2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 IGKC-Mega 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0