CONFERENCE REPORT

Opportunities and Challenges in the Genetics of COPD 2010: An International COPD Genetics Conference Report

Edwin K. Silverman,¹ Jørgen Vestbo,² Alvar Agusti,³ Wayne Anderson,⁴ Per S. Bakke,⁵ Kathleen C. Barnes,⁶ R. Graham Barr,⁷ Eugene R. Bleecker,⁸ H. Marike Boezen,⁹ Kristin M. Burkart,⁷ Bartolome R. Celli,¹ Michael H. Cho,¹ William O.C. Cookson,¹⁰ Thomas Croxton,¹¹ Denise Daley,¹² Dawn L. DeMeo,¹ Weiniu Gan,¹¹ Judith Garcia-Aymerich,¹³ Ian P. Hall,¹⁴ Nadia N. Hansel,⁶ Craig P. Hersh,¹ Noor Kalsheker,¹⁴ James P. Kiley,¹¹ Woo Jin Kim,¹⁵ Diether Lambrechts,¹⁶ Sang-Do Lee,¹⁷ Augusto A. Litonjua,¹ David A. Lomas,¹⁸ Stephanie J. London,¹⁹ Masaharu Nishimura,²⁰ Borge G. Nørdestgaard,²¹ Christopher J. O'Donnell,²² Dirkje S. Postma,⁹ Milo A. Puhan,⁶ Yohannes Tesfaigzi,²³ Martin D. Tobin,²⁴ Claus Vogelmeier,²⁵ Jemma B. Wilk,²⁶ Emiel Wouters,²⁷ Robert P. Young,²⁸ Loems Ziegler-Heitbrock,²⁹ William MacNee,³⁰ and James D. Crapo³¹

Channing Laboratory (EKS, DLD, CPH, AAL) and Pulmonary and Critical Care Division (EKS, BRC, DLD, CPH, AAL), Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA,¹ University of Copenhagen / Hvidovre Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark,² Institut del Tòrax Hospital Clínic, Universitat de Barcelona, and Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Barcelona, Spain,³ GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC,⁴ Institute of Internal Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway,⁵ Johns Hopkins Asthma & Allergy Center (KCB and NNH) and Department of Epidemiology (MAP), Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA,⁶ Department of Medicine (RGB and KMB) and Department of Epidemiology (RGB), Columbia University, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York, USA,⁷ Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine Research, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA,⁸ Department of Epidemiology (HMB) and Department of Pulmonology (DSP), University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands,⁹ Division of Respiratory Sciences, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom, ¹⁰ Division of Lung Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA,¹¹ University of British Columbia, James Hogg iCAPTURE Center for Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Research, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada,¹² Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), Barcelona, Spain; Municipal Institute of Medical Research (IMIM-Hospital del Mar), Barcelona, Spain; Department of Experimental and Health Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain; CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain,¹³ Division of Therapeutics and Molecular Medicine (IPH) and Molecular Medical Sciences (NK), Nottingham Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, University Hospital of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom,¹⁴ Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, South Korea,¹⁵ Vesalius Research Center, VIB and University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium,¹⁶ Center for Chronic Obstructive Airway Disease, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea,¹⁷ Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, Cambridge, United Kingdom,¹⁸ Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA,¹⁹ Hokkaido University School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan,²⁰ University of Copenhagen and Dept. of Clinical Biochemistry, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark,²¹ Division of Intramural Research, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, and NHLBI's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, Massachusetts, USA,²² Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA,²³ Departments of Health Sciences & Genetics, University of Leicester, United Kingdom,²⁴ Division for Pulmonary Diseases, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany,²⁵ Pulmonary Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA,²⁶ Department of Respiratory Diseases, University Hospital Maastricht, Az Maastricht, the Netherlands,²⁷ University of Auckland and Synergenz Bioscience Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand,²⁸ Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen, Germany,²⁹ University of Edinburgh, MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, The Queen's Medical Research Institute, Edinburgh, United Kingdom,³⁰ National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado, USA³¹

Keywords: COPD, Genetics, Association analysis, Consortium

Conference sponsored by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute and the COPD Foundation.

Correspondence to: Edwin K. Silverman, Channing Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, phone: 617–525-0856, fax: 617–525-0958. email: ed.silverman@channing.harvard.edu

CONFERENCE PARTICIPANTS

Alvar Agusti, MD, PhD Wayne Anderson, PhD Per S. Bakke, PhD, MD Kathleen C. Barnes, PhD R. Graham Barr, MD, DrPH Eugene R. Bleecker, MD Kristin M. Burkart, MD, MSc Bartolome R. Celli, MD Michael H. Cho, MD William O.C. Cookson, MD, DPhil James D. Crapo, MD Thomas Croxton, MD, PhD Denise Daley, PhD Dawn L. DeMeo, MD, MPH Weiniu Gan, PhD Judith Garcia-Aymerich, MD Nadia N. Hansel, MD, MDPH Craig Hersh, MD, MPH Noor Kalsheker, MD James P. Kiley, PhD Woo Jin Kim, MD, PhD Diether Lambrechts, MD Sang-Do Lee, MD Stephanie J. London, M.D., Dr.P.H. William MacNee, MB, ChB, MD Masaharu Nishimura, MD Borge G. Nørdestgaard, MD, DMSc Christopher O'Donnell, M.D. Edwin K. Silverman, MD, PhD Yohannes Tesfaigzi, PhD Martin D. Tobin, MD Jørgen Vestbo, MD, DMSc Claus Vogelmeier, MD John W. Walsh Jemma B. Wilk, DSc Emiel Wouters, MD, PhD Robert P. Young, MD, PhD Loems Ziegler-Heitbrock, MD

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM CONFERENCE HELD JULY 13–14, 2010, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is defined by the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) as a disease state characterized by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible (1). Cigarette smoking is the most important risk factor for the development of COPD. Although the dose-response relationship between cigarette smoking and pulmonary function is well-established, there is considerable variability in the reduction in FEV₁ among smokers with similar smoking exposures (2, 3). The low percentage of variance in pulmonary function explained by smoking suggests that there could be genetic differences in susceptibility to the effects of cigarette smoking (4, 5). In addition to genetic factors, other environmental determinants such as indoor biomass smoke exposure can be important risk factors for COPD (6). A small percentage of COPD patients (estimated at 1-2%) inherit severe alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency, which proves that genetic factors can influence COPD susceptibility. The discovery of AAT deficiency was a major factor in the development of the Protease-Antiprotease Hypothesis for COPD, which has been one of the prevailing models of disease pathogenesis for more than 40 years.

With the substantial impact of AAT deficiency on our understanding of COPD pathogenesis, it was natural to hope that the identification of other COPD susceptibility genes would lead to similar novel insights into COPD. Until recently, however, progress in the identification of additional genetic risk factors for COPD has been slow.

To facilitate the development of such research, a meeting of COPD genetics investigators was held on July 13–14, 2010 in Boston. The goals of the meeting were:

- (1) To review the current state of COPD genetics research;
- To discuss existing study populations for COPD genetics research throughout the world;
- To consider opportunities for collaborations between different COPD research groups through an International COPD Genetics Consortium;
- (4) To recognize challenges in building COPD genetics collaborations and to discuss them openly; and,
- (5) To develop a framework for future collaborative studies.

Current status of COPD genetics research

Many candidate gene association studies have been performed over the past 40 years, but the results have been largely inconsistent. These inconsistencies likely relate to a variety of methodological issues, including small sample sizes, variable definitions of case and control groups, failure to adjust for multiple statistical testing, and inadequate adjustments for population stratification and smoking exposure. Most of the studies describing COPD-associated polymorphisms were performed in White populations (7). A meta-analysis of 20 polymorphisms in 12 candidate genes involved in the protease–antiprotease balance and several antioxidant pathways showed that, after combining independent studies, many of these candidate genes had no association with COPD (8).

Another factor likely impeding the progress of identifying COPD susceptibility genes is the lack of accurate phenotypic characterization of this complex and heterogeneous disease. Airflow limitation determined by spirometry has been the most common approach to classify and monitor the disease. Structural changes of the lung including emphysema and small airway obstruction are the primary processes that affect lung function (9), but they are not easily discernable with the simple spirometric measures commonly used for phenotyping COPD. Recent advances in characterizing pathologic changes such as emphysema and remodeling of the small and large airways by quantitative analyses of image data from multidetector computed tomography (CT), together with physiological testing, have been helpful to differentiate COPD phenotypes (emphysema-predominant, airwaypredominant, or mixed)(10). Study populations that have chest CT data may help to better identify COPD-associated genetic variations (11). Other potentially relevant COPD phenotypes, such as cachexia and low exercise capacity, have not been widely analyzed in COPD genetic studies.

Perhaps the greatest problem in the candidate gene era of COPD genetic studies was improper candidate gene selection, which reflects our limited understanding of COPD pathogenesis. However, the application of genome-wide association studies (GWAS), which provide an unbiased and comprehensive search throughout the genome for common susceptibility loci, has changed the landscape of COPD genetics. Based on GWAS, three genetic loci have been unequivocally associated with COPD susceptibility, located on chromosome 4 near the *HHIP* gene, on chromosome 4 in the *FAM13A* gene, and on chromosome 15 in a block of genes which contains several components of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor as well as the *IREB2* gene.

In 2009, a series of studies provided convincing support for these three genetic loci in COPD susceptibility. Pillai and colleagues found genome-wide significant associations of the *CHRNA3/CHRNA5/IREB2* region to COPD (12). DeMeo and colleagues performed gene expression studies of normal vs. COPD lung tissues followed by genetic association analysis of COPD (13), suggesting that at least one of the key COPD genetic determinants in the chromosome 15 GWAS region was *IREB2*.

In the Framingham Heart Study (14), the *HHIP* region was associated with FEV_1/FVC at genome-wide significance with replication of the effect on FEV_1/FVC demonstrated in an independent sample drawn from the Family Heart Study, and this same region nearly reached genome-wide significance with COPD susceptibility in the Pillai paper (12). Recently, two papers published in Nature Genetics from large general population samples have provided strong support for the association of *HHIP* SNPs with FEV_1/FVC (15, 16). One of these articles, from the CHARGE Consortium, also found evidence for association of FEV_1/FVC with the *FAM13A* locus (15), which has been strongly associated with COPD susceptibility (17).

Moreover, several case-control studies from other European populations have replicated these findings by confirming significant associations to the chromosome 15q25 locus (*CHRNA3/CHRNA5/IREB2*) (18, 19), chromosome 4q31 locus (*HHIP*) (20, 21), and chromosome 4q22 locus (*FAM13A*) (22). Thus, the frustration of inconsistent genetic association results in COPD from the beginning of the last decade has been replaced by optimism regarding the likely importance of the *IREB2/CHRNA3/CHRNA5*, *HHIP*, and *FAM13A* loci in COPD susceptibility.

Advantages of creating large networks for genetic analysis

There are likely multiple additional COPD susceptibility genetic determinants that have not yet been identified. In many other complex diseases, the creation of large collaborative consortia has enabled highly powered genomewide association studies that have led to the identification of multiple novel genetic susceptibility loci. For example, a Type 2 Diabetes mellitus consortium performed GWAS in 8,130 Cases and 38,987 Controls and identified multiple novel susceptibility loci (23). The International Lung Cancer Consortium found new SNPs that were associated with disease in Asian populations (24, 25). The ENGAGE consortium discovered sequence variants associated with smoking behavior within regions harboring nAChR genes (*CHRNB3–CHRNA6*, 8p11) and a nicotine-metabolizing enzyme (26). We anticipate that a similar collaborative consortium approach in COPD could lead to the identification of additional novel COPD genetic determinants.

Gaps in current genetic knowledge

The most fundamental gap in current COPD genetics knowledge is that there are probably many genetic determinants of COPD, but only three genomic regions likely to contain such susceptibility loci have been conclusively identified. Moreover, the functional genetic variants within the three existing COPD GWAS regions remain to be found. To adequately analyze the various subtypes of COPD, studies that include multiple ethnic groups as well as multiple environmental factors that influence inflammation will be required in large sample sizes. More recently, some studies have combined results from several populations to increase the numbers of cases and controls. In more than 8300 subjects in seven study populations, the minor allele of a SNP in MMP12 was associated with a positive effect on lung function and a reduced risk of COPD (27). The genome-wide association study that identified FAM13A included three sets of COPD cases and smoking controls (17). However, these studies are still underpowered to identify genetic determinants of small effect, and establishing a consortium of groups studying cigarette smokers may facilitate pooling large samples to identify genetic variants associated with COPD susceptibility.

GENETIC TECHNOLOGIES AVAILABLE FOR AN INTERNATIONAL COPD GENETICS CONSORTIUM

It is desirable that the full power of modern genetic and genomic technology and techniques be brought to bear on COPD. Statistical genetic approaches should begin with meta-analyses of currently completed GWA studies, including imputation of polymorphisms from the 1000 Genomes Project. Analyses should routinely include epidemiologically important covariates such as sex, age at onset, and smoking history. Ancestry needs to be matched carefully between cases and controls, using, for example, principal component analyses. Multi-marker techniques to identify polygenic effects below the GWAS threshold may be useful in identifying genes and pathways impacting on the disease.

Genome-wide SNP genotyping of several thousand or more cases is necessary, particularly using existing European panels of subjects that have not yet been genotyped and cases and controls of non-European ancestry. It is noted that there exists a wide range of previously genotyped European controls that could be used wherever possible.

Further meta-analysis of the full dataset should be completed after the additional genotyping. Ideally these results would be integrated with large-scale studies of other smoking-related diseases (particularly lung cancer and cardiovascular disease), with studies of smoking behavior and addiction, and studies of diseases characterized by compromised lung function (in particular, asthma).

Fine mapping of selected loci to identify functional variants will be necessary. This will include statistical approaches such as multiple regression as well as additional genotyping. The particular importance of including ancestral groups of non-European origin in these analyses is noted, in order to use their differences in linkage disequilibrium patterns to break up linkage disequilibrium blocks, and to demonstrate generalizability of variants associated with COPD to the population at large.

Next-generation DNA sequencing approaches have the capacity to discover highly penetrant rare variants in common diseases such as COPD. Limiting sequencing to the exome greatly reduces costs compared to whole genome sequencing approaches, while retaining much of the information that is likely to lead to the identification of disease-related rare variants. Although the value of exome sequencing has not yet been established in complex genetic diseases, it is desirable to explore the use of exome sequencing to search for rare mutations in patients with severe spectrum disease, including non-smokers with COPD as a separate group.

Genomic studies allow systematic investigation of pathways and networks of gene functions underlying disease (28). Investigations for COPD should include mapping of expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) and network identification from measurements of global gene expression in airway biopsies and peripheral blood DNA samples. It would also be important to carry out eQTL mapping and network identification with global gene expression in current cigarette smokers and non-smokers. The investigation of methylQTL (using genome-wide methylation arrays) should similarly be implemented in order to explore epigenetic effects on COPD and related phenotypes.

Lastly, it is now possible to quantify bacterial colonization of airways using DNA and RNA sequencing techniques that address the hyper-variable bacterial 16S gene as well as metagenomic approaches that examine the global gene content and gene expression of human bacteria (29). It is therefore recommended that systematic studies of the microbiome be carried out in patients with COPD. These studies should include 16S sequencing for bacterial identification; metagenomic sequencing and measures of bacterial gene expression; and investigation of relationships of these measures to host gene expression and genotype.

COPD PHENOTYPING AND KNOWLEDGE GAPS

Clinical phenotypes

Precise definition and validation of clinical phenotypes are key prerequisites to identify the genetic basis of complex diseases, since a principal goal of genetic research is to identify specific genotypes that link to specific phenotypes (30, 31). From the genetics point of view, if current approaches in defining phenotypes are inadequate, the huge amount of currently available genotypic data cannot be optimally used (32). A recent consensus definition (11) proposes that a "COPD clinical phenotype" is "a single or combination of disease attributes that describe differences between individuals with COPD as they relate to clinically meaningful outcomes (symptoms, exacerbations, response to therapy, rate of disease progression or death)." Thus, for a COPD phenotype to be of use in a COPD genetics study, it has to be associated with clinically meaningful outcomes. Some inconsistent results published so far on the genetic basis of COPD may be due to the lack of an appropriate characterization of different clinical COPD phenotypes (intra-study variation), as well as to ethnic differences among studies (inter-study variation) (33).

The degree of airflow limitation remains the defining characteristic of COPD and thus its most important phenotypic expression. However, there is sufficient evidence to support the need to consider additional phenotypic expressions in the characterization of patients with COPD. These include: 1) the degree, type and distribution of emphysema (discussed below); 2) the extent of airway wall thickening caused by inflammation; 3) the degree of hyperinflation expressed by the IC and the IC/TLC; 4) the presence of abnormal gas exchange (hypoxia and hypercapnia); 5) the presence of systemic involvement as measured by the BMI; 6) the exercise capacity whether measured in the laboratory (peak oxygen uptake) or in the field (6-minute walk test); and 7) the degree of functional dyspnea. These characteristics can practically be integrated into multidimensional tools such as the BODE index capable of providing a more comprehensive evaluation of COPD subjects (34). The determination of these phenotypic characteristics is not only scientifically interesting, but also clinically important because they confer prognostic value and more importantly, they determine response to therapy. Although COPD genetic studies have focused primarily on the presence/absence of COPD, analysis of these additional phenotypes could provide useful insights into COPD pathophysiology.

The study of COPD phenotypes is relevant to disease etiology, pathophysiology, and treatment. The identification of clinically relevant phenotypes would change the present view of COPD as a unique multicomponent disease (35, 36) to a syndrome with multiple phenotypic expressions, thus changing (and challenging) current taxonomy of chronic airway diseases (37). Regarding disease etiology, the identification of non-genetic determinants of diseases will also benefit from an appropriate definition of phenotypes. It is also likely that the traditional approach to address heterogeneity (i.e., stratification by socio-demographic, clinical, or environmental factors) is likely to lead to a reduction in statistical power (30). On the other hand, the identification of clinically relevant phenotypes should also lead to increased understanding of the underlying pathobiology that contributes to a particular phenotype (31). Despite the huge advances in our understanding of the pathology of COPD in recent decades, there have been few attempts to link COPD pathologies to clinical COPD phenotypes (38). Finally, it has been hypothesized that failure to identify COPD phenotypes may limit the power of therapeutic trials (39) as effective and safe therapy is likely to differ across phenotypes (31, 40). Several

COPD Downloaded from informahealthcare.com by 84.88.71.249 on 04/28/11 For personal use only. already existing examples illustrate this point, including the use of long-term oxygen therapy for COPD with chronic respiratory failure (but not for those with PaO2 values above 60 mmHg) (41, 42), the use of lung volume reduction surgery for patients with upper-lobe predominant emphysema and poor exercise capacity after rehabilitation (43), and, more recently, the development of roflumilast (a novel orally available anti-inflammatory drug) for only a subgroup of patients with COPD (those with chronic bronchitis) (44). Large, ongoing COPD studies may provide insight into phenotyping based on larger populations with more detailed descriptors.

Chest CT phenotypes

The use of chest CT scans for determination of lung density was first described in the 1980s as a measure of the degree of emphysema in COPD (45). An important step was the introduction of digital image analysis software, such that the density of the entire lung can now be reported as the lower 15th percentile in Hounsfield units or the percentage of lung below a specific density mask threshold (e.g., < -950 HU) to define emphysema.

A more recent approach is the assessment of the thickness of the airway walls in order to determine the degree of airway remodelling. This was initially applied to asthma and more recently also to COPD (46). This approach appears to be robust for larger airways, and percent airway wall area can be used as a read-out (47). Although large airway dimensions correlate with small airway dimensions (48), direct assessment of the latter (airways <2 mm in diameter) is beyond the resolution of current CT scanning techniques. Quantitative assessment of chest CT scans for emphysema and airway disease provides an opportunity to define these two key phenotypes of COPD by objective criteria. There may also be further relevant CT-defined phenotypes that need more detailed study as to their clinical relevance and this includes emphysema distribution, emphysema pathological subtype (centrilobular vs. panlobular vs. paraseptal), the degree of mucusmediated obstruction (plugging of airways), and bronchiectasis.

An important issue for multicenter trials is standardization of CT measurements across different clinical centers. Here the different brands and models of CT scanners, which use different scanning technologies, scanning protocols, and different algorithms for data processing, can affect the lung density and the airway wall results. Careful standardization including the use of phantoms for all scanners is required in order to be able to compare results. In spite of these problems, it may be possible to obtain data on CT-assessed emphysema which could be compared in a multicenter study.

EXISTING COPD STUDY POPULATIONS

At the Boston meeting, 38 study populations which included spirometric assessment of COPD and DNA sample collection were reviewed (Table 1). These studies included 20 casecontrol studies (or studies of cases only or controls only, which will all be included as "case-control" for this discussion), 16 population-based cohort studies (some of which had family components), and two family-based studies. Despite the smaller number of studies, a much larger number of total subjects (>130,000) were available in the populationbased cohort studies than in the case-control studies (approximately 38,000). The majority of studies have been performed in White populations. Most of the case-control studies include post-bronchodilator spirometry and a minimum number of pack-years of smoking criterion for inclusion, while most of the population-based cohort studies do not (Table 2). A surprisingly large fraction of case-control studies as well as some of the population-based studies included chest CT scan assessment. COPD exacerbations were also assessed in many studies.

Using the reported definitions of COPD and non-COPD from each study, there are approximately 39,600 COPD cases and 131,600 control subjects in the combined set of case-control and population cohort studies (Table 3). In these case-control and population cohort studies, there are approximately 14,700 cases and 37,600 controls reported to have genome-wide SNP genotyping currently available.

WORKSHOP RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CREATION OF AN INTERNATIONAL COPD GENETICS CONSORTIUM

Rationale and vision

At the Boston meeting, the participants identified multiple advantages of creating a COPD genetics research consortium, and strongly endorsed this approach. Larger sample sizes of cases and controls will definitely increase power to detect COPD susceptibility loci. The potential to assemble large numbers of severe COPD subjects that are clearly affected is a major advantage, since relatively small numbers of severely affected subjects have been included in most individual studies. Similarly, the opportunity to perform pooled analyses of chest CT phenotypes was seen as a major strength, as long as the technical challenges of different CT scanning and analytical protocols can be overcome. Opportunities to study other COPD-related phenotypes, including COPD exacerbation frequency, lung function decline, and lung cancer, were also recognized. Although many of the participating studies do not yet have genome-wide SNP genotyping, these studies provide opportunities to replicate initial GWAS findings in large numbers of additional subjects. In addition to studies of main genetic effects, a large COPD genetics consortium would improve the statistical power to study geneby-environment interactions.

Although the studies listed above could be performed in a fairly short time-frame, potential future advantages of a COPD genetics consortium were also appreciated. Such a consortium could provide a framework for future genetic collaborations in exome sequencing and whole genome sequencing, as well as in other genetic/genomic areas (e.g., epigenetics, gene expression). There would likely be increased standardization of study protocols and procedures for future studies (e.g., imaging, questionnaires) and the potential for collaborative studies of non-genetic issues (e.g., phenotypes, biomarkers). Limiting duplication of research effort

	Race/ethnicity Ref	All Asian	All White (56) 2/3 non- (57) Hispanic (57) Whites (MHW) and	(ATTY) and 1/3 African Americans (AA)	All White (58)			All White (59)	All White (59) All White	All White (59) All White (12) All White (12)	All White (59) All White (12) All White (12) All Asian (60)	All White (59) All White (12) All White (12) All White (60) All White (61)	All White (59) All White (59) All White (12) All Asian (60) All Asian (61)	All White (59) All White (59) All White (12) All White (12) All White (60) All Asian (62) All White (19)	All White (59) All White (59) All White (12) All Asian (60) All White (61) All Asian (62) All White (19) 4126 Whites, 65 (63)	All White (59) All White (52) All White (12) All Asian (60) All White (61) All Asian (62) All White (19) AA AA	All White (59) All White (59) All White (12) All White (12) All White (60) All White (61) All White (19) AA AA AI White (18) AA	All White (59) All White (59) All White (12) All White (12) All White (61) All White (62) All White (19) AA AI White (18) AA AI White (18) All White (18)	All White (59) All White (59) All White (12) All Asian (60) All White (1) All Asian (62) All White (19) All White (19) AA All White (18) All White (18) All White (43) All White (64)	All White (59) All White (52) All White (12) All White (12) All Asian (60) All White (61) All Whites, 65 (63) AA AI White (18) All White (43) All White (64) NOI Determined -	All White (59) All White (52) All White (12) All White (12) All Asian (60) All Asian (62) All White (19) All White (19) All White (13) All White (43) All White (64) All White (64) All White (64) All Asian -	All White (59) All White (52) All White (12) All White (60) All Asian (61) All Asian (62) All White (63) AA (19) AA (19) All White (64) All W	All White(59)All White(51)All White(60)All White(61)All White(61)All White(61)All White(63)All White(63)All White(63)All White(63)All White(63)All White(63)All White(64)All White(64)All White(64)All White(64)Not Determined-All White(64)All White64)All White(65)AA(65)	All White (59) All White (50) All White (12) All White (60) All White (61) All White (19) (60) All White (19) All White (19) All White (13) All White (43) All White (43) All White (43) All White (43) All White (64) Not Determined - All White 8% (65) AA White (15)
	Country	Nine asian	countries Europe USA		Germany Furone N		America, Australia	America, Australia Europe	America, Australia Europe Europe	America, Australia Europe Europe Norway	America, Australia Europe Burope Norway Japan	America, Australia Europe Burope Norway Japan Switzerland/	America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea	America, America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Beloium	America, Australia Europe Burope Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada	America, Australia Europe Burope Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada	America, Australia Europe Burope Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada	America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada	America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada USA	America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada USA New Zealand	America, America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada USA New Zealand USA Korea Kore	America, Australia Europe Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea USA and Canada USA and Canada USA New Zealand USA New Zealand VSA Spain USA	America, Australia Europe Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea Belgium USA and Canada USA New Zealand USA New Zealand USA VISA New Zealand USA VISA VISA VISA	America, Australia Europe Norway Japan Switzerland/ Netherlands Korea USA and Canada USA New Zealand USA New Zealand USA Poland USA VISA VISA VISA VISA
	Genome-wide SNP genotyping platform	None	Illumina 610 Illumina omni-express		Not determined Illiumina 550			Not determined	Not determined Illumina	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None	Not determined Illumina None None None	Not determined Illumina S50 None None None Not amilicable	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None None Not applicable Illumina 660W	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None None Not applicable Illumina 660W	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 660W Not applicable	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 660W Not applicable Illumina 610	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 660W Not applicable Illumina 610 0	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 660W Not applicable Illumina 610 0	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 60W Ot applicable Illumina 610 0 Not Determined None	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 600W O Not applicable Illumina 610 0 Not Determined None None	Not determined Illumina Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 600W 0 Not Determined None None None Illumina	Not determined Illumina 550 None None Not applicable Illumina 660W Not applicable Illumina 610 0 Not Determined None None None None None None None None
	Estimated final sample size for genetic studies	1,200	15,000 10,000		>6000 2043			2528	2528 1,200	2528 1,200 1853	2528 1,200 1853 500	2528 1,200 1853 500 411	2528 2528 1,200 1853 500 411 700 COPD Cases	2528 2528 1,200 1853 500 411 700 COPD Cases	2528 2,528 1,200 1853 500 411 700 COPD Cases 1,250 4191	2528 2,528 1,200 1,853 500 411 700 COPD Cases 1,250 4191	2528 2,528 1,200 1,853 500 411 411 700 COPD Cases 1,250 4191 946	2528 2,528 1,200 1,853 500 411 411 4191 946 946 366	2528 2,528 1,200 1,853 500 411 411 4191 4191 946 346 342	2528 2528 1,200 1853 500 411 4191 4191 946 342 342 3200	2528 2528 1,200 1853 500 411 411 1,250 4191 4191 4191 342 342 342 342 3200 600	2528 2528 1,200 1,853 500 411 1,250 4191 1,250 4191 1,250 4191 366 342 342 3200 600 635	2528 1,200 1,200 1853 500 411 1,250 4191 1,250 4191 1,250 600 600 635 635 1,000	2528 2528 1,200 1853 500 411 1,250 4191 1,250 416 342 342 342 3200 600 600 635 1,000
: SNP lability	Control	0	1958 3014		0 196			0	0 0	0 0 919	0 0 919 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 <mark>6</mark> 00 00	0 0 <u>6</u> 00 000	0 0 <u>6</u> 00 000	0 0 <u>6</u> 00 000 0	0 0 <u>6</u> 00 000 00	0 0 <u>6</u> 00 000 000	0 0 <mark>6</mark> 00 000 0000	0 0 <mark>6</mark> 00 000 00000	0 0 <mark>6</mark> 00 000 00000 0	0 919 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 919 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
ome-wide : ping avail	Severe COPD	0	46 1189		0 1003			0	0 0	386 0	0 386 0	0 0 0 386 0	386 0 0 0 0	386 0 0 0 0 0 0				386 386 386 386 386 386 386 386 386 386	0 386 0 386 0 0 386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	366 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 366 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 386 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 192 (in 2011) 481
Gene genoty	All COPD	0	1029 2481		0 1839			0	0 0	0 033 033	0 0 933 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 4126	0 933 0 0 0 4126	0 0 0 0 0 4126 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 4126 0 366	0 0 933 0 0 4126 0 366 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 366 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 366 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 933 0 0 0 366 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 933 0 0 0 4126 0 3666 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2011)	0 0 933 0 0 0 0 0 0 490 (in 2011) 943
ize*	Control	0	1958 4131		>5000 196			912	912 230	912 230 919	912 230 919 150	912 230 919 150 0	912 230 919 0 305	912 919 919 150 0 305	912 919 919 0 305 0 0	912 919 919 0 305 305 0	912 919 919 0 305 305 488	912 919 919 0 305 305 488 488 0 0	912 919 919 0 305 305 305 0 0 0 0	912 919 919 0 305 305 488 488 0 0 0	912 230 919 150 0 305 305 305 0 0 0 179	912 919 919 150 0 305 305 305 0 0 0 179 333	912 919 919 150 0 179 0 179 509 509	912 919 150 150 150 0 179 0 179 8333 8337 8337
ent sample si	Severe COPD	431	46 1791		1500 1003	0		1050	1050 78	1050 78 386	1050 78 386 45	1050 78 386 45 142	1050 78 386 142 134	1050 78 386 142 134 400	1050 78 386 45 142 134 400 0	1050 78 45 142 134 400 0	1050 78 45 142 134 400 0 0	1050 78 45 142 134 400 0 277 366	1050 78 45 142 134 400 0 277 277 159	1050 78 45 142 134 400 0 277 277 277 0 0	1050 78 78 386 45 142 400 400 0 0 159 159 0 80	1050 78 45 142 142 400 0 134 400 0 1356 159 0 80 80 80	1050 78 45 142 134 400 0 366 159 0 80 80 192	1050 78 45 142 142 400 0 0 80 80 80 80 80 586 586
Curr	All COPD	1011	1029 3590		3000 1839			1616	1616 290	1616 290 933	1616 290 333 274	1616 290 333 274 411	1616 290 333 274 411 321	1616 290 274 411 321 800	1616 290 933 274 411 800 5887	1616 290 933 274 411 811 800 5887	1616 290 933 274 411 801 5887 5887	1616 290 933 274 411 800 5887 5887 5887 366	1616 290 933 274 411 800 5887 5887 342 342	1616 290 274 411 321 800 800 5887 342 342 0	1616 290 274 411 321 800 5887 5887 5887 5887 5887 5887 5887	1616 290 274 411 411 800 5887 5887 5887 5887 5887 5887 800 80 80 80 80	1616 290 274 411 321 800 800 800 800 80 80 80 80 80	1616 290 274 411 321 800 5887 5887 5887 5887 5887 60 80 80 80 80 80 1194
	Study type	Case-Only	Case-Control Case-Control		Case-Control Case-Control			Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Control Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Control Case-Only	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Only Case-Only	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Only Case-Control C	Case-Control Case-	Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Control Case-Only Case-Only Case-Only Case-Control	Case-Control Case-Case-Control Case-Case-Case-Case-Case-C
	Study name	ANOLD**	COPACETIC COPDGene**		COSYCONET**			EU COPD/East midlands consortium	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA**	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD KOLD**	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD KOLD** Leuven	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD KOLD** Leuven Luug Health Study	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD Leuven Lung Health Study	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD Leuven Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD KOLD** Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NETT	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NETT PAC COPD	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD ** Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NETT PAC COPD	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD KOLD** Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NET PAC COPD SPIROMICS** Transcontinental: Korea**	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD KOLD** Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NETT PAC COPD SPIROMICS** Transcontinental: Korea** Transcontinental: Forea**	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD ICE COLD ICE COLD Even Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NETT PAC COPD SPIROMICS** Transcontinental: Korea** Transcontinental: Korea**	EU COPD/East midlands consortium EvA** GenKOLS Hokkaido ICE COLD KOLD** Leuven Lung Health Study New Zealand NETT Pand NerT Pand NerT Pand NerT Pand Nert Pand Nert Pand Nert Pand Nert Pand Nert Pand Nert Rotea ** Transcontinental: Korea ** Transcontinental: Rotea ** Transcontinental: Rotea Nake Forest Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) ⁺ , #

COPD Downloaded from informahealthcare.com by 84.88.71.249 on 04/28/11 For personal use only.

Table 1. International COPD Genetics Consortium study populations-Genetics

(15)								(66)	(14)		(67)	(68)	(69)	xt page)
White ⁺	African "	American* White [#]	African	American" n/a	n/a	White*	African	70% White, 10% AA, 10% Hispanic, 10%	All White [#]	African	Mostly White	20% Hisp 80% NHW	35% NHW**# 25% AA# 25% Hisp#	30% AA# 30% AA# 30% Hisp# 10% Asian [#] (Continued on ne
USA		USA		Denmark	Denmark	USA		USA	USA	USA	Netherlands	USA	USA	USA
Illumina 370CNV beadchip + IBC chip (Both Whites and African	Americans)	IBC chip	IBC chip +	Anymetrix 6.0 None	None	IBC chip	IBC chip + Affirmatriv 6.0	None	Affymetrix 500 + 50K gene-centric	Affymetrix 6.0 + IBC chin	Illumina Human Cvto SNP-12 V2	None	Affymetrix 6.0 + IBC chip	Affymetrix 6.0
2585	400	220	188	$\sim \! 10,000$	$\sim 60,000$	1179	994	657	6437	2164	20,000	2900	3965	4200
2183	277	0	159	0	0	0	969	0	5866	1982	2506	0	\sim 2500	++
292	31	0	4	0	0	0	7	0	274	36	10	0	~ 50	+++
402	123	0	29	0	0	0	25	0	571	182	592	0	\sim 350	++
2183	277	203	159	~ 8000	${\sim}54,000$	1148	696	450	5866	1982	2506	1700	2651	++
292	31	4	4	n/a	n/a	7	7	n/a	274	36	10	100	53	++
402	123	17	29	~ 2000	~ 6000	31	25	200	571	182	592	500	384	+-+
Pop-Based		Family/Pop-Based		Pop-Based	Pop-Based	Pop-Based		Pop-Based	Family/Pop-Based	Pop-Based	Family/Pop-Based	Pop-Based	Pop-Based	Family/Pop-Based
Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) ^{+.#}		Cleveland Family	Study"	Copenhagen City	пеан Copenhagen General	Poputation Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA)#		EMCAP	Framingham Heart Study ^{+#}	Jackson Heart Study [#]	Lifelines	Lovelace Smokers Cohort	Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) lung	MESA Lung SHARe

			-									
		C	-	*	Genc	ome-wide	SNP					
		Cur	rent sample s	1ze*	genoty	ping avail:	ability					
Study name	Study type	All COPD	Severe COPD	Control	All COPD	Severe COPD	Control	Estimated final sample size for genetic studies	Genome-Wide SNP genotyping platform	Country	Race/ ethnicity	Ref
Normative Aging Study	Pop-Based	191	n/a	1024	0	0	472	1215	Illumina 610	USA	All White	(17, 27)
Rotterdam Study (RS1, RS2 and RS3 combined) ⁺	Pop-Based	209	136	2672	209	136	2672	2881	Illumina Infinium II 550K	Netherlands	All White	(15)
SpiroMeta ⁺⁺	Pop-Based	3278	n/a	17,523	356	n/a	3,730	63,079	Multiple GWAS platforms (n = 24, 756); multiple non-GWAS platforms (n = 38, 314)	Europe and Australia	All White	(16)
Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen	Pop-Based	351	27	166	0	0	0	1342	None Volume	Netherlands	All White	(02)
Boston Farly-Onset	Family	Probands 200	Relatives ~ 1000		Probands 0	Relatives 0		1200	None	1 ISA	98% White	4
COPD	from t		0001		b	>						
International COPD Genetics Network	Family	983	1876		0	0		2859	None	North America and Europe	Mostly White	(71)
*Note that "All COPD" and For the CHARGE consortiu **Indicates that study popul was defined as FBV 1/FVC < #Participating in the <i>Colori</i> (from the same participating +5piroMeta-Cases; FBV 1. the number of known COPI *Characterized with CT scat	"Control" were defined diff m studies, severe COPD we ation is still being recruited s <i>jor Heart</i> and <i>Aging Resea</i> : LLN and FEV ₁ < 65% Pr is LC and FEV ₁ < 65% Pr late Gene Association Reso D ratio > 0.70 and FEV ₁ % cohort. Additionally for th cohort. Additionally for th = 280% predicted and FEV ₁ > cases and documented co ronly.	erently within er as defined as not rl. earle in Genomic earleted. Control earleted. S0%. Note e ARIC cohort, JFVC <0.7; Cont JFVC <0.7; Cont ntrols will increa	ach study and/oi ted below. Epidemiology ((ls were defined t nasortium (72) : t that for cohorts, case and control trols FEV1>80%	consortium. ", CHARGE) cons by FEV1, FEV1. "or African Am participating in l counts for the 6 predicted and formation is ret	Severe COPD sortium which /FVC and FV ereitcans in C/ uboth CHAR total ("currer total ("currer t FEV1/FVC r creved).	" was define. h includes or C all > LLN ARe, "COPD 3E and CAR, 3E ample o at") sample o :atio of >0.7.	d as GOLD 3. hy Whites frc was defined e, definitions, of African-An Numbers of	4 using post-bronch om these cohorts. In C as pre-BD ratio <0.7 of COPD, severe COP rericans are based on individuals known to	dillator spirometry if availal. HARGE, COPD was defined 0 and FEV1%pred<80%; "s D, and control status used in the CHARGE definitions ra be cases and known to be cc	ole, and pre-bronchodi d as FEV 1 <lln and="" f<br="">severe COPD" as pre-1 this table differ for Cau ther than the CARe de ontrols are shown (furt</lln>	lator spirometry if not a EV ₁ /FVC <lln. sever<br="">BD ratio <0.70 and FEV icasians and African-An finitions. her data collection is un</lln.>	ailable. COPD 1%pred lericans herway;

Table 1. International COPD Genetics Consortium study populations-Genetics (Continued)

COPD Downloaded from informahealthcare.com by 84.88.71.249 on 04/28/11 For personal use only.

Table 2. International COPD Genetics Consortium study populations—Phenotypes

Vitability Vitability Vitability Vitability Vitability Colspan="6" Vitability Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" Colspan="6" <th colsp<="" th=""><th>Statistic Gender Minimation Peri- Neuron Month Part Minimation Peri- Minimation Month Part Minimation Peri- Month Month Part Minimation <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Spirom</th><th>etry</th><th></th><th>Chest CT</th><th></th><th></th><th>Other Pł</th><th>lenotypes</th></th<></th></th>	<th>Statistic Gender Minimation Peri- Neuron Month Part Minimation Peri- Minimation Month Part Minimation Peri- Month Month Part Minimation <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Spirom</th><th>etry</th><th></th><th>Chest CT</th><th></th><th></th><th>Other Pł</th><th>lenotypes</th></th<></th>	Statistic Gender Minimation Peri- Neuron Month Part Minimation Peri- Minimation Month Part Minimation Peri- Month Month Part Minimation Part Minimation <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Spirom</th><th>etry</th><th></th><th>Chest CT</th><th></th><th></th><th>Other Pł</th><th>lenotypes</th></th<>					Spirom	etry		Chest CT			Other Pł	lenotypes
SuppleSupple $q_{\rm S}$ Mage $q_{\rm S}$ Mag $q_{\rm S}$ Mage <th< th=""><th>Story Age Range (s) Maio Part No Dimension Intern Assessment Exact Model CONDETIC 9-30 78 78 78 78 78 78 CONDETIC 9-30 78 78 78 78 78 78 CONDETIC 9-30 78 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Gender</th><th>Minimum</th><th></th><th>Post-</th><th></th><th>Visual Assess-</th><th>Quantitative</th><th></th><th>Co-</th><th>Lung Function</th></td<></th></th<>	Story Age Range (s) Maio Part No Dimension Intern Assessment Exact Model CONDETIC 9-30 78 78 78 78 78 78 CONDETIC 9-30 78 78 78 78 78 78 CONDETIC 9-30 78 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Gender</th><th>Minimum</th><th></th><th>Post-</th><th></th><th>Visual Assess-</th><th>Quantitative</th><th></th><th>Co-</th><th>Lung Function</th></td<>			Gender	Minimum		Post-		Visual Assess-	Quantitative		Co-	Lung Function	
MXOLD 0.91 91 10 Ves No. Ves No. Ves No. Ves No. No. <th>CONCID: 69-91 94 10 Yes Yes</th> <th>Study</th> <th>Age Range</th> <th>(% Male)</th> <th>Pack-Years</th> <th>Pre-BD</th> <th>BD</th> <th>Obtained?</th> <th>ment</th> <th>Assessment</th> <th>Exac**</th> <th>" Mor**</th> <th>Decline</th>	CONCID: 69-91 94 10 Yes	Study	Age Range	(% Male)	Pack-Years	Pre-BD	BD	Obtained?	ment	Assessment	Exac**	" Mor**	Decline	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ANOLD	40-91	94	10	Yes	Yes	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	No	
COPD Gate COPD Gate 	$ \begin{array}{cccccc} COPPCate & -5-80 & 52 & 10 & 76 & 76 & 76 & 76 & 76 & 76 & 76 & 7$	COPACETIC	50 - 80	98	20	Yes	Some	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
CONCONT >-40 0/1 0 Yes Yes<	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	COPDGene	45 - 80	52	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
EV COPDEras Midlands Consortium for a first of the first of th	$ ECLIPE: \\ ECLIPE: \\ EC.IPE: \\ EV. COPD/East Midlands Consortium Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. East Midlands Consortium Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. East Midlands Consortium Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. East Midlands Consortium Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. MON 0 \\ EV. COPD. Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. MON 0 \\ EV. COPD. Mean 66 \\ EV. COPD. MON 0 \\ EV. CV \\ EV. CV \\ EV. CV \\ EV. CV \\ $	COSYCONET	>40	n/a	0	Yes	Yes	Some	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Eff COP/Plast Midlands Consortiun Man 66 70 10 Yes Yos Yes Yos Yos <thyos< th=""> Yos Yos Y</thyos<>	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ECLIPSE	40-75	66	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
		EU COPD/East Midlands Consortium	Mean 66	70	10	Yes	Yes	No	I	I	No	Yes	Some	
		EvA	45-75	65	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	GenKOLS	40-81	66	2.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Some	
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hokkaido	41-87	94	12	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		ICE COLD	Mean 66	57	0	No	Yes	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	Letter in the second of the second of the second of the second s	KOLD	45-82	92	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
		Leuven	43-90	75	15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	
		Lung Health Study	35-60	63	10	Yes	Yes	No	I	I	Yes	Some	Yes	
		New Zealand	40-85	60	15	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	No	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	NETT	Mean 67	73	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	PAC COPD	Mean 68; Range	93	0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
			44-86											
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	SPIROMICS	TBD	TBD	TBD	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		Transcontinental: Korea	40 - 80	97	10	Yes	Yes	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	No	
Wake ForestWake Forest $42-88$ 96 20 YesNoNoYesYesArd/Cardination $43-64$ 43 0 YesNoNo $ -$ NoYesYesArd/Cardination $45-64$ 43 0 YesNoNo $ -$ NoYesYesCorenhagen City Heart n/a n/a n/a n/a 0 YesNo $ -$ NoYesYesCopenhagen City Heart n/a n/a 0 Yes No $ No$ YesYesYesCopenhagen City Heart n/a n/a 0 Yes No $ No$ YesYesCopenhagen City Heart n/a n/a 0 Yes No $ -$	Wake Forest 42-88 96 20 Yes No $-$ No Yes ARIC 43-64 45 0 Yes No No - - No Yes Cardioxacular Health Study 65-95 45 0 Yes No - - No Yes Yes Cardioxacular Health Study 65-95 48 0 Yes No - - No Yes Yes Copenhagen City Heart n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a No - - No Yes	Transcontinental: Poland	40 - 80	69	10	Yes	Yes	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	No	
		Wake Forest	42-88	96	20	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ARIC	45-64	45	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Cardiovascular Health Study	65-95	43	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	Yes	
		Cleveland Family Study	23-88	48	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	No	
		Copenhagen City Heart	n/a	n/a	0	Yes	n/a	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	n/a	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Copenhagen General Population	n/a	n/a	0	Yes	n/a	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	۰.	
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	CARDIA	27-44	45	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	Yes	
Framingham19-92460YesSomeIn SubsetPendingPendingNoYesYesJackson Heart Study21-93380YesNoNoYesNoLifelines21-93380YesNoNoYesNoLifelines21-93380YesNoNoYesNoLovelace Smokers Cohort21-88410YesNoNoYesNoMESA Lung47-752215YesNoNoNoYesYesYesMESA Lung45-84500NoNoYesPendingYesYesYesYesMESA Lung45-84500NoNoYesYesYesYesYesMESA Lung21-5801000YesNoNoYesYesYesYesNormative Aging Study21-5801000YesNoYesYesYesYesNormative Aging Study21-5801000YesNoYesYesYesYesNormative Aging Study21-5801000YesNoYesYesYesYesSpiroMeta8-93*42*0YesNoNoNoNoNoSpiroMeta3-79510YesNo	Framingham19-92460YesSomeIn SubsetPendingPendingNoYesJackson Heart Study21-93380YesNoNoNoYesLifelines21-93380YesNoNoNoYesLifelines21-93380YesNoNoNoYesLovelace Smokers Cohort21-93380YesNoNoYesMESA Lung47-752215YesYesNoNoYesMESA Lung47-84490YesYesNoYesYesYesMESA Lung45-84500YesNoNoNoYesMESA Lung21->801000YesNoNoYesYesNormative Aging Study21->801000YesNoNoNoSpiroMeta21->801000YesNoNoNoYesYesSpiroMeta3-93*42*0YesNoNoNoYesYesSpiroMeta3-79510YesNoNoNoNoNoSpiroMeta35-79510YesYesNo<	EMCAP	>60	50	10	Yes	Some	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
		Framingham	19–92	46	0	Yes	Some	In Subset	Pending	Pending	No	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Jackson Heart Study	21–93	38	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	No	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Lifelines	21-88	41	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	No	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lovelace Smokers Cohort	40-75	22	15	Yes	Yes	No	I	I	Yes	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MESA Lung	45-84	49	0	Yes	Some	Yes	Pending	Yes	Yes	Yes	Pending	
		MESA Lung SHARe	45-84	50	0	No	No	Yes (cardiac)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Rotterdam Study >45 45 0 Yes No - No Yes Pending (RS1) SpiroMeta 8–93* 42* 0 Yes In a No - - No Yes Pending (RS1) SpiroMeta 8–93* 42* 0 Yes In a No - - No Yo No Some Yes Yes Yes No No International COPD Genetics Network 45-65 46 5 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	Rotterdam Study >45 45 0 Yes No - - No Yes P SpiroMeta 8-93* 42* 0 Yes In a No - - No Yes P SpiroMeta 8-93* 42* 0 Yes In a No - - No Yes P SpiroMeta 3-93* 42* 0 Yes No - - No No Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35-79 51 0 Yes No - - No Some Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No - - Yes	Normative Aging Study	21 - 80	100	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	Yes	
SpiroMeta 8-93* 42* 0 Yes In a No - No	SpiroMeta 8-93* 42* 0 Yes In No - No Some No No Some No	Rotterdam Study	>45	45	0	Yes	No	No	I	I	No	Yes	Pending (RS1)	
sub- set Nlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35–79 51 0 Yes No No – – No Some Yes Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No – – Yes Yes No International COPD Genetics Network 45–65 46 5 Yes Yes In Subset Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	Sub- sub- Set set Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35-79 51 0 Yes No - - No Some Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No - - Yes	SpiroMeta	8–93*	42^*	0	Yes	In a	No	I	I	No	No	No	
set set Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35-79 51 0 Yes No - - No Some Yes Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No - - Yes Yes No International COPD Genetics Network 45-65 46 5 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	Set set Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35–79 51 0 Yes No - - No Some Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No - - Yes						sub-							
Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35-79 51 0 Yes No No No Some Yes Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No Yes Yes No International COPD Genetics Network 45-65 46 5 Yes Yes In Subset Yes Yes Yes No	Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen 35–79 51 0 Yes No No – – – No Some Boston Early-Onset COPD >10 42 0 Yes Yes No – – – Yes Yes Yes International COPD Genetics Network 45–65 46 5 Yes Yes In Subset Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	:	:			;	set	:			:		;	
Boston Early-Onset COPD - Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No International COPD Genetics Network 45–65 46 5 Yes Yes In Subset Yes Yes Yes No	Boston Early-Onset COPD > 10 + 42 0 Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes In Subset Yes	Vlagtwedde/ Vlaardingen	35-79	51	0	Yes	°z;	No S	I	I	°Z;	Some	Yes	
International COPD Genetics Network 45–65 46 5 Yes Yes In Subset Yes Yes Yes No	International COPD Genetics Network 45–65 46 5 Yes Yes In Subset Yes Yes Yes es In Subset Yes Yes Yes Yes	Boston Early-Onset COPD	>10	42	0	Yes	Yes	No No	';	۰;	Yes	Yes	No	
		International COPD Genetics Network	45-65	46	S	Yes	Yes	In Subset	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

Table 3. International COPD Genetics Consortium: Approximate current study population sample sizes

	Cases	Controls
Case-Control Studies (or Case-Only Studies)	23,039	15,610
Cohort Studies	16,526	115,956
Combined Set	39,565	131,566
GWAS in Combined Set Currently Available	14,741	37,612

Notes: For this table, approximate sample sizes from Table 1 were used as actual values. Only subjects with spirometry from Table 1 were included in these calculations (MESA Lung SHARe was excluded). Population-based studies with a family component (e.g., Framingham) are listed under "Cohort Studies," while other pedigree-based studies (e.g. International COPD Genetics Network) have been excluded. For the "GWAS in Combined Set Currently Available," only subjects with available genotyping by December 2010 were included.

could likely be accomplished as well. Overall, there was general consensus that a COPD genetics consortium would have a high likelihood of significantly advancing knowledge in the field.

In addition to these advantages of forming a collaborative consortium, a variety of challenges were identified. It was recognized that there are academic realities including the need for individual research groups to demonstrate academic productivity to renew funding and promote research personnel. Some COPD genetics collaborations already exist, and a goal was not to interfere with those existing relationships. Although studies that include reasonable numbers of COPD cases and control subjects could be analyzed individually and combined using meta-analytical approaches, the optimal approach for utilizing studies of COPD cases only or controls only was not as clear.

A variety of challenges related to phenotypic characterization were also identified. Substantial variation exists in the definitions of cases and controls between studies (e.g., physiologic measurements of lung function using GOLD criteria or use of lower limit of normal [LLN]), as well as in spirometry protocol (e.g., pre- vs. post-bronchodilator). Some phenotypes (e.g., imaging) may be difficult to combine across studies due to technical issues. There are important variations in study populations (e.g., race/ethnicity, smoking history, exclusion of subjects with other illnesses, other criteria used for selection, study design, and informed consent restrictions) and genetic analysis approaches (e.g., variation in genotyping platform, data cleaning, analytical approaches, and data sharing).

Despite these challenges, there was general agreement that the advantages of collaboration far outweighed the limitations, and that a transparent and open collaboration could overcome most of the challenges. To be successful, the needs and rights of each contributing study will need to be respected. Based on the enthusiastic support for an international COPD genetics consortium from the Boston meeting participants, the research projects amenable to this consortium approach and an organizational structure for the consortium were discussed.

Feasibility of collaborative COPD genetics studies

Although the development of large consortia of thousands of subjects may obviate some of the issues that have contributed

to non-replication of previous COPD genetic studies (such as power limitations germane to smaller studies), the inclusion of data from a large number of studies presents unique challenges and opportunities.

Smoking exposure and penetrance

Despite the challenges of disease gene discovery in complex disease, there are some striking advantages to studying the genetics of COPD (COPD strictly being a syndrome not a specific disease). First, one of the most important features of studying the genetics of COPD is that the key environmental exposure of cigarette smoking is known and quantifiable in the setting of a gene-by-environment interaction. In contrast to most other complex diseases, the majority of COPD can be attributed to a single exposure (cigarette smoking) which can be crudely quantified, by intensity (cigarettes/day) and/or total exposure (pack-years), across both cases and controls in geographically diverse populations. The central role of smoking exposure in genetic susceptibility is illustrated by the divergent outcomes in people with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency based on their smoking history (49).

Second, although COPD is a syndrome encompassing both emphysema and small airway disease that are present in varying degrees, both are characterized by irreversible airflow limitation (reduced FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC ratio), which can be measured by simple spirometry in population studies. From an epidemiological perspective, FEV₁ (after age, gender, race, and height adjustment) provides a good starting place from which to define COPD, as it is a highly heritable trait (50) regardless of the heterogeneity of COPD subjects. Moreover, with increasing smoking exposure, FEV1 defines susceptible and resistant smokers with an increasingly bimodal distribution supportive of a genetic basis (2, 51–53) and possibly a threshold effect. Comparing smokers at either end of the FEV₁ spectrum but with comparable smoking exposure, so called "extreme phenotypes" (54), may help to overcome minor differences in spirometric criteria defining the COPD phenotype.

Despite these characteristics of COPD as a complex genetic disease, there remain significant challenges in combining population-based and case-control samples. Many studies that can be included in a collaborative COPD metaanalysis have not taken detailed smoking histories or validated intensity of current smoking via measurements of cotinine levels. Although reporting bias is a concern, self-report of cigarette smoking has been demonstrated as a reliable assessment.

Some of the studies proposed for inclusion in this consortium have focused on a minimum amount of smoking exposure for enrollment, while others have not. Similarly, some studies have focused on the heavy smoker, and some have included a range of exposures. Including all studies allows for a reasonable attempt to achieve the necessary power to assess genetic main effects, but also gene-by-smoking interactions through stratification and/or adjustment. In addition, genetic insights into COPD will be gleaned by not only studying those genes that associate with COPD susceptibility, but also genes that may portend protective resistance to COPD in subjects with an extremely high number of pack-years but normal lung function.

Heterogeneity of COPD

First and foremost in the planning and organization of large consortia with the goal of meta-analysis, the heterogeneity of phenotypes across studies needs to be addressed. This is an issue by no means limited to respiratory genetic studies. A paramount challenge in studies of COPD has been the inherent heterogeneity of the disease, variable effects of smoking exposure on penetrance (described previously), and the importance of defining disease subtypes. In addition, not all studies have performed pre- and post-bronchodilator spirometry, and many studies have not been sufficiently resourced to undertake chest CT scanning to phenotype COPD pathologically. Even in the presence of post-bronchodilator spirometry, issues of spirometric diagnosis of COPD based on using GOLD criteria versus lower limit of normal may contribute to phenotypic heterogeneity. This is likely to be minimized in those case-control studies comparing more extreme (susceptible vs resistant) phenotypes where misclassification of cases or controls based on variation in spirometrybased definition is likely to be minor. However, severity of COPD is an issue that needs careful consideration given the strong association between aging and loss of lung function. Moreover, it is noted that a spirometric diagnosis of a resistant smoker does not obviate some misclassification as smoking-related emphysema may be present despite normal spirometric measures.

A major strength of this consortium will be the availability of data from both spirometry and CT scans of the lungs for parsing subjects by emphysema status (and also within COPD cases for emphysema versus airway predominant disease). Although CT scanning presents challenges (lack of uniformity of scanner technical characteristics, scanning protocols, radiation dosing, scoring of emphysema severity/distribution, etc.), computerized approaches to process and analyze chest CT scans may be useful in harmonizing CT scan data, and will likely assist in refinement of COPD subtypes.

Ethnic heterogeneity/population substructure

Although the inclusion of data from Caucasian, African, Native American, and Asian subjects may lead to false positive and/or false negative association findings due to population substructure, contribution of all subjects' data to the power of the overall analysis, and to the race-specific genetic association analyses of COPD, are major strengths of performing a multi-ethnic consortium. There are many existing examples of disease associations confined to specific ethnic groups. Primary analyses would be conducted within each ethnic group, followed by comparison of association results between groups.

Study-specific issues

Although the inclusion of many studies of COPD may increase the power due to an increase in total subject number, presently a minority of COPD studies have GWA data. Given the large burden of disease accounted for by COPD, this void of GWA data in and of itself supports the importance of efforts to accrue more GWA data. The consortium will include GWA data on both population-based cohorts and case-control studies. In the population-based cohorts, the contribution of genetic variants on lung function can be explored in settings other than chronic smoking.

There is a need for more GWA data in case-control studies, where smoking has been accounted for and other important exposures have been examined. Case-control studies can also allow investigation of the role in disease of variants shown to be associated with lung function in population-based studies. The case-only studies can be added to the case-control studies in a mega-analysis of individual genotype and phenotype data, or in circumstances where data can be combined or compared with the controls derived from other studies. The consortium is also fortunate enough to have large prospective studies in which the genetic determinants of rate of decline in lung function (or other aspects of disease progression) can be studied; this could be insightful, for example, for those variants shown to be associated with COPD or cross-sectional lung function measures.

Other study-specific issues include variations in enrollment criteria, age ranges of subjects, and rates of co-morbid conditions including obesity which may affect lung function. Of these, variations in smoking history (described above) and current smoking status are potentially the most essential, as some of the COPD studies had minimum amounts of smoking exposure required for eligibility, and include a mix of current and former smokers. Given that gene-by-smoking interactions are crucial to include in genetic analyses (2, 51–53) this variable inclusion of subjects may seem to be a limitation.

For genetic studies there is likely enrichment in genetic effects in those subjects who develop COPD at a very young age as well as those smokers who remain resistant to both COPD and emphysema at very old ages. Associations will need to be re-examined with stratification by age of disease onset, total pack-year exposure and current smoking status where the data are known.

Variable rates of comorbidities in the different COPD studies may impact genetic associations with lung function (such as the association of diabetes with lower lung function) but the inclusion in genetic analysis of the most diverse group with COPD may increase the likelihood that positive associations are true positive findings.

GWAS platforms and data cleaning

As has been the challenge in other complex diseases such as diabetes, the platforms used for genome-wide genotyping have varied. There is variable inclusion of SNPs leading to differential coverage of genes on a given platform. However, this reality has led to the availability of imputation methods to overcome the differences between GWA arrays; these novel *in silico* tools allow for the development of a larger study population less constrained by choice of genotyping technology. In addition to the genotyping platform, approaches to data cleaning may vary between bioinformatics groups. However, a harmonized approach to data cleaning is mandatory.

Sharing of individual subject data

The protection of human data and subject privacy is paramount and the ability to share individual level genotype results may be limited. Thus, the performance of "megaanalysis" in which individual-level genotype and phenotype data would be shared, though remaining a worthwhile eventual goal, was judged not to be essential to progress at this time. However, meta-analytic approaches that use study-wide association data (p-values) weighted by study size or by inverse variance have been shown to be as powerful as megaanalysis approaches that utilize subject level data (55). Thus for identification of common variants for COPD (at least 5% minor allele frequency) meta-analytic approaches will provide important insights into COPD.

Plan for initial meta-analysis

A preliminary design for the initial collaborative genetic association meta-analysis for the consortium was created at the Boston meeting. Two key genome-wide association analyses were proposed: 1) All COPD vs. Controls, and 2) Severe COPD vs. Controls. The precise definitions of All COPD and Severe COPD remain to be determined. Within each study population that has existing genome-wide SNP genotyping data, standard quality control approaches will be used to clean the data, including criteria for exclusion of SNPs with low call rates, low minor allele frequency, departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and differential rates of missing data between cases and controls, and exclusion of individuals with low call rates or exhibiting cryptic relatedness among unrelated samples.

Standard approaches to genotype imputation will be applied in each study, followed by a similar approach to population stratification adjustment within each study using adjustment with principal components for genetic ancestry. Genome-wide association analysis for the two COPD affection status phenotypes (all COPD and severe COPD) will be performed within each study, with separate analyses in subjects of Caucasian, Asian, and African ancestry. Metaanalysis of GWAS will be performed within each major racial group using inverse variance weighted meta-analysis methods to account for differences in sample size and imputation quality across genotyping platforms, followed by comparison of association evidence between major racial groups. Finally, replication genotyping and association analysis of the most interesting SNPs will be performed in the remaining study populations without genome-wide SNP data.

Structure of the Consortium

The mandate of the International COPD Genetics Consortium is to find common and rare genetic determinants of COPD; to identify COPD subtypes and their genetic basis; and to use this information to develop new disease classifications and therapeutic interventions. Based on the discussions at the Boston meeting, it was recommended that research studies including COPD and control subjects would be invited to participate if they collected high quality spirometry data and DNA samples, and if the study met a minimum sample size. The expectation is that the studies will include at least 200 COPD cases and 200 controls, but review of specific studies is possible if those criteria are not met. For studies that include case-only collections, they would be encouraged to find appropriate sets of control subjects for genetic association analysis; if not available, those COPD study populations could be included in studies of COPD progression or CT subtypes. Study populations meeting these criteria that were not represented at the Boston meeting will be welcome to join this international collaborative effort.

Several committees will be created to perform the consortium research and administration, including a Steering Committee (in charge of major decisions); Planning/Executive Committee (routine operations); Phenotype Harmonization; Imaging Committee; Genotyping and Genomics Core; and Analysis Core.

The International COPD Genetics Consortium has the potential to provide short-term results by providing highly powered genome-wide association studies of COPD susceptibility, and long-term results by facilitating the study of other COPD-related phenotypes and other genomic outcomes. Organization, resources, and communication will be essential to realize this potential.

SUMMARY RECOMMENDATIONS

- Create the International COPD Genetics Consortium (ICGC) – to be open worldwide to include all study populations meeting minimum criteria for size, spirometric data, and DNA availability.
- (2) Mandate of the ICGC is to:
 - (a) Use pooled resources to define rare and common genetic determinants of COPD
 - (b) Identify COPD subtypes and their genetic basis
 - (c) Develop new disease classifications for COPD
 - (d) Foster development of new therapeutic interventions that are subtype or disease classification specific
- (3) Recommended committee structure:
 - (a) Steering Committee (with oversight of major decisions)
 - (b) Planning/Executive Committee
 - (c) Imaging Committee
 - (d) Phenotype Harmonization Committee
 - (e) Genotyping and Genomics Core
 - (f) Analysis Core
- (4) Generating new GWAS/genotyping/sequencing/gene expression data
 - (a) Expand and extend existing and ongoing genetic analysis projects
- (5) Plans for genetic analysis
 - (a) Initial meta-analysis focused on common definitions of case status and on extreme phenotypes
 - (b) Common standardized quality control approaches to clean data
 - (c) Standard approach for data analysis

- (d) Separate meta-analysis for each racial and ethnic group
- (e) Replication in study populations not having genomewide SNP data
- (6) Data sharing
 - (a) Optimize data sharing while protecting privacy and personal health information

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Dr. Edwin K. Silverman received grant support and consulting fees from GlaxoSmithKline for studies of COPD genetics, and he has received honoraria and consulting fees from AstraZeneca. Dr. Ian P. Hall is in receipt of research funding from Pfizer for functional genetics studies on COPD (2010-1012). Dr. David A. Lomas has received grants, speaker fees and acts as a Consultant for GlaxoSmithKline. Dr. Jørgen Vestbo is involved with COPD Genetics in the ECLIPSE Study funded by GlaxoSmithKline and the EU-Funded CO-PACETIC Study. Dr. Wayne Anderson is employed full-time by GlaxoSmithKline.

REFERENCES

- Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Buist SA, Calverley P, Fukuchi Y, Jenkins C, Rodriguez-Roisin R, van Weel C, Zielinski J. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007; 176:532–555.
- Burrows B, Knudson RJ, Cline MG, Lebowitz MD. Quantitative relationships between cigarette smoking and ventilatory function. Am Rev Respir Dis 1977; 115:195–205.
- Fletcher C, Peto R, Tinker C, Speizer FE. Factors related to the development of airflow obstruction. In: The natural history of chronic bronchitis and emphysema. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1976:70–105.
- Silverman EK, Chapman HA, Drazen JM, Weiss ST, Rosner B, Campbell EJ, O'Donnell WJ, Reilly JJ, Ginns L, Mentzer S, Wain J, Speizer FE. Genetic epidemiology of severe, early-onset chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Risk to relatives for airflow obstruction and chronic bronchitis. Amer J Respir Crit Care Med 1998; 157:1770–1778.
- McCloskey SC, Patel BD, Hinchliffe SJ, Reid ED, Wareham NJ, Lomas DA. Siblings of patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have a significant risk of airflow obstruction. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001; 164:1419–1424.
- Regalado J, Perez-Padilla R, Sansores R, Paramo Ramirez JI, Brauer M, Pare P, Vedal S. The effect of biomass burning on respiratory symptoms and lung function in rural Mexican women. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 174:901–905.
- Castaldi PJ, Cho MH, Cohn M, Langerman F, Moran S, Tarragona N, Moukhachen H, Venugopal R, Hasimja D, Kao E, Wallace B, Hersh CP, Bagade S, Bertram L, Silverman EK, Trikalinos TA. The COPD genetic association compendium: a comprehensive online database of COPD genetic associations. Hum Mol Genet 2010; 19:526–534.
- Smolonska J, Wijmenga C, Postma DS, Boezen HM. Metaanalyses on suspected chronic obstructive pulmonary disease genes: a summary of 20 years' research. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 180:618–631.
- 9. Hogg JC, Timens W. The pathology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Annu Rev Pathol 2009; 4:435–459.
- 10. Kim WJ, Hoffman E, Reilly J, Hersh C, Demeo D, Washko G, Silverman EK. Association of COPD candidate genes with CT em-

physema and airway phenotypes in severe COPD. European Respiratory Journal 2011; 37:39–43.

- 11. Han MK, Agusti A, Calverley PM, Celli BR, Criner G, Curtis JL, Fabbri LM, Goldin JG, Jones PW, Macnee W, Make BJ, Rabe KF, Rennard SI, Sciurba FC, Silverman EK, Vestbo J, Washko GR, Wouters EF, Martinez FJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease phenotypes: The future of COPD. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 182:598–604.
- 12. Pillai SG, Ge D, Zhu G, Kong X, Shianna KV, Need AC, Feng S, Hersh CP, Bakke P, Gulsvik A, Ruppert A, Lodrup Carlsen KC, Roses A, Anderson W, Rennard SI, Lomas DA, Silverman EK, Goldstein DB. A genome-wide association study in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): Identification of two major susceptibility loci. PLoS Genet 2009; 5:e1000421.
- 13. DeMeo DL, Mariani T, Bhattacharya S, Srisuma S, Lange C, Litonjua A, Bueno R, Pillai SG, Lomas DA, Sparrow D, Shapiro SD, Criner GJ, Kim HP, Chen Z, Choi AM, Reilly J, Silverman EK. Integration of genomic and genetic approaches implicates IREB2 as a COPD susceptibility gene. Am J Hum Genet 2009; 85:493–502.
- Wilk JB, Chen TH, Gottlieb DJ, Walter RE, Nagle MW, Brandler BJ, Myers RH, Borecki IB, Silverman EK, Weiss ST, O'Connor GT. A genome-wide association study of pulmonary function measures in the Framingham Heart Study. PLoS Genet 2009; 5:e1000429.
- 15. Hancock DB, Eijgelsheim M, Wilk JB, Gharib SA, Loehr LR, Marciante KD, Franceschini N, van Durme YM, Chen TH, Barr RG, Schabath MB, Couper DJ, Brusselle GG, Psaty BM, van Duijn CM, Rotter JI, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Punjabi NM, Rivadeneira F, Morrison AC, Enright PL, North KE, Heckbert SR, Lumley T, Stricker BH, O'Connor GT, London SJ. Meta-analyses of genomewide association studies identify multiple loci associated with pulmonary function. Nat Genet 2010; 42:45–52.
- 16. Repapi E, Sayers I, Wain LV, Burton PR, Johnson T, Obeidat M, Zhao JH, Ramasamy A, Zhai G, Vitart V, Huffman JE, Igl W, Albrecht E, Deloukas P, Henderson J, Granell R, McArdle WL, Rudnicka AR, Barroso I, Loos RJ, Wareham NJ, Mustelin L, Rantanen T, Surakka I, Imboden M, Wichmann HE, Grkovic I, Jankovic S, Zgaga L, Hartikainen AL, Peltonen L, Gyllensten U, Johansson A, Zaboli G, Campbell H, Wild SH, Wilson JF, Glaser S, Homuth G, Volzke H, Mangino M, Soranzo N, Spector TD, Polasek O, Rudan I, Wright AF, Heliovaara M, Ripatti S, Pouta A, Naluai AT, Olin AC, Toren K, Cooper MN, James AL, Palmer LJ, Hingorani AD, Wannamethee SG, Whincup PH, Smith GD, Ebrahim S, McKeever TM, Pavord ID, MacLeod AK, Morris AD, Porteous DJ, Cooper C, Dennison E, Shaheen S, Karrasch S, Schnabel E, Schulz H, Grallert H, Bouatia-Naji N, Delplanque J, Froguel P, Blakey JD, Britton JR, Morris RW, Holloway JW, Lawlor DA, Hui J, Nyberg F, Jarvelin MR, Jackson C, Kahonen M, Kaprio J, Probst-Hensch NM, Koch B, Hayward C, Evans DM, Elliott P, Strachan DP, Hall IP, Tobin MD. Genome-wide association study identifies five loci associated with lung function. Nat Genet 2010; 42:36-44.
- 17. Cho MH, Boutaoui N, Klanderman BJ, Sylvia JS, Ziniti JP, Hersh CP, DeMeo DL, Hunninghake GM, Litonjua A, Sparrow D, Lange C, Won S, Murphy J, Beaty T, Regan EA, Make B, Hokanson JE, Crapo JD, Kong XQ, Anderson WH, Tal-Singer R, Lomas DA, Bakke P, Gulsvik A, Pillai SG, Silverman EK. Variants in FAM13A are associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Nature Genetics 2010; 42:200–202.
- Young RP, Hopkins RJ, Hay BA, Epton MJ, Black PN, Gamble GD. Lung cancer gene associated with COPD: triple whammy or possible confounding effect? Eur Respir J 2008; 32:1158– 1164.
- Lambrechts D, Buysschaert I, Zanen P, Coolen J, Lays N, Cuppens H, Groen HJ, Dewever W, van Klaveren RJ, Verschakelen J, Wijmenga C, Postma DS, Decramer M, Janssens W. The 15q24/25 susceptibility variant for lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is associated with emphysema. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010; 181:486–493.

- 20. Young RP, Whittington CF, Hopkins RJ, Hay BA, Epton MJ, Black PN, Gamble GD. Chromosome 4q31 locus in COPD is also associated with lung cancer. Eur Respir J 2010; 36:1375–1382.
- Van Durme YM, Eijgelsheim M, Joos GF, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Brusselle GG, Stricker BH. Hedgehog-interacting protein is a COPD susceptibility gene: the Rotterdam Study. Eur Respir J 2010; 36:89–95.
- 22. Young RP, Hopkins RJ, Hay BA, Whittington CF, Epton MJ, Gamble GD. FAM13A locus in COPD is independently associated with lung cancer-evidence of a molecular genetic link between COPD and lung cancer. The Application of Clinical Genetics 2011; 4:1–10.
- 23. Voight BF, Scott LJ, Steinthorsdottir V, Morris AP, Dina C, Welch RP, Zeggini E, Huth C, Aulchenko YS, Thorleifsson G, McCulloch LJ, Ferreira T, Grallert H, Amin N, Wu G, Willer CJ, Raychaudhuri S, McCarroll SA, Langenberg C, Hofmann OM, Dupuis J, Qi L, Segre AV, van Hoek M, Navarro P, Ardlie K, Balkau B, Benediktsson R, Bennett AJ, Blagieva R, Boerwinkle E, Bonnycastle LL, Bengtsson Bostrom K, Bravenboer B, Bumpstead S, Burtt NP, Charpentier G, Chines PS, Cornelis M, Couper DJ, Crawford G, Doney AS, Elliott KS, Elliott AL, Erdos MR, Fox CS, Franklin CS, Ganser M, Gieger C, Grarup N, Green T, Griffin S, Groves CJ, Guiducci C, Hadjadj S, Hassanali N, Herder C, Isomaa B, Jackson AU, Johnson PR, Jorgensen T, Kao WH, Klopp N, Kong A, Kraft P, Kuusisto J, Lauritzen T, Li M, Lieverse A, Lindgren CM, Lyssenko V, Marre M, Meitinger T, Midthjell K, Morken MA, Narisu N, Nilsson P, Owen KR, Payne F, Perry JR, Petersen AK, Platou C, Proenca C, Prokopenko I, Rathmann W, Rayner NW, Robertson NR, Rocheleau G, Roden M, Sampson MJ, Saxena R, Shields BM, Shrader P, Sigurdsson G, Sparso T, Strassburger K, Stringham HM, Sun Q, Swift AJ, Thorand B, Tichet J, Tuomi T, van Dam RM, van Haeften TW, van Herpt T, van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, Walters GB, Weedon MN, Wijmenga C, Witteman J, Bergman RN, Cauchi S, Collins FS, Gloyn AL, Gyllensten U, Hansen T, Hide WA, Hitman GA, Hofman A, Hunter DJ, Hveem K, Laakso M, Mohlke KL, Morris AD, Palmer CN, Pramstaller PP, Rudan I, Sijbrands E, Stein LD, Tuomilehto J, Uitterlinden A, Walker M, Wareham NJ, Watanabe RM, Abecasis GR, Boehm BO, Campbell H, Daly MJ, Hattersley AT, Hu FB, Meigs JB, Pankow JS, Pedersen O, Wichmann HE, Barroso I, Florez JC, Frayling TM, Groop L, Sladek R, Thorsteinsdottir U, Wilson JF, Illig T, Froguel P, van Duijn CM, Stefansson K, Altshuler D, Boehnke M, McCarthy MI. Twelve type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci identified through largescale association analysis. Nat Genet 2010; 42:579-589.
- 24. Truong T, Hung RJ, Amos CI, Wu X, Bickeboller H, Rosenberger A, Sauter W, Illig T, Wichmann HE, Risch A, Dienemann H, Kaaks R, Yang P, Jiang R, Wiencke JK, Wrensch M, Hansen H, Kelsey KT, Matsuo K, Tajima K, Schwartz AG, Wenzlaff A, Seow A, Ying C, Staratschek-Jox A, Nurnberg P, Stoelben E, Wolf J, Lazarus P, Muscat JE, Gallagher CJ, Zienolddiny S, Haugen A, Van Der Heijden HF, Kiemeney LA, Isla D, Mayordomo JI, Rafnar T, Stefansson K, Zhang ZF, Chang SC, Kim JH, Hong YC, Duell EJ, Andrew AS, Lejbkowicz F, Rennert G, Muller H, Brenner H, Le Marchand L, Benhamou S, Bouchardy C, Teare MD, Xue X, McLaughlin J, Liu G, McKay JD, Brennan P, Spitz MR. Replication of lung cancer susceptibility loci at chromosomes 15q25, 5p15, and 6p21: a pooled analysis from the International Lung Cancer Consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst 2010; 102:959–971.
- 25. Truong T, Sauter W, McKay JD, Hosgood HD, 3rd, Gallagher C, Amos CI, Spitz M, Muscat J, Lazarus P, Illig T, Wichmann HE, Bickeboller H, Risch A, Dienemann H, Zhang ZF, Naeim BP, Yang P, Zienolddiny S, Haugen A, Le Marchand L, Hong YC, Kim JH, Duell EJ, Andrew AS, Kiyohara C, Shen H, Matsuo K, Suzuki T, Seow A, Ng DP, Lan Q, Zaridze D, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Lissowska J, Rudnai P, Fabianova E, Constantinescu V, Bencko V, Foretova L, Janout V, Caporaso NE, Albanes D, Thun M, Landi MT, Trubicka J, Lener M, Lubinski J, Wang Y, Chabrier A, Boffetta P, Brennan P, Hung RJ. International Lung Cancer Consortium: coordinated association study of 10 potential lung cancer susceptibility variants. Carcinogenesis 2010; 31:625–633.

- 26. Thorgeirsson TE, Gudbjartsson DF, Surakka I, Vink JM, Amin N, Geller F, Sulem P, Rafnar T, Esko T, Walter S, Gieger C, Rawal R, Mangino M, Prokopenko I, Magi R, Keskitalo K, Gudjonsdottir IH, Gretarsdottir S, Stefansson H, Thompson JR, Aulchenko YS, Nelis M, Aben KK, den Heijer M, Dirksen A, Ashraf H, Soranzo N, Valdes AM, Steves C, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Tonjes A, Kovacs P, Hottenga JJ, Willemsen G, Vogelzangs N, Doring A, Dahmen N, Nitz B, Pergadia ML, Saez B, De Diego V, Lezcano V, Garcia-Prats MD, Ripatti S, Perola M, Kettunen J, Hartikainen AL, Pouta A, Laitinen J, Isohanni M, Huei-Yi S, Allen M, Krestvaninova M, Hall AS, Jones GT, van Rij AM, Mueller T, Dieplinger B, Haltmayer M, Jonsson S, Matthiasson SE, Oskarsson H, Tyrfingsson T, Kiemeney LA, Mayordomo JI, Lindholt JS, Pedersen JH, Franklin WA, Wolf H, Montgomery GW, Heath AC, Martin NG, Madden PA, Giegling I, Rujescu D, Jarvelin MR, Salomaa V, Stumvoll M, Spector TD, Wichmann HE, Metspalu A, Samani NJ, Penninx BW, Oostra BA, Boomsma DI, Tiemeier H, van Duijn CM, Kaprio J, Gulcher JR, McCarthy MI, Peltonen L, Thorsteinsdottir U, Stefansson K. Sequence variants at CHRNB3-CHRNA6 and CYP2A6 affect smoking behavior. Nat Genet 2010; 42:448-453
- 27. Hunninghake GM, Cho MH, Tesfaigzi Y, Soto-Quiros ME, Avila L, Lasky-Su J, Stidley C, Melen E, Soderhall C, Hallberg J, Kull I, Kere J, Svartengren M, Pershagen G, Wickman M, Lange C, Demeo DL, Hersh CP, Klanderman BJ, Raby BA, Sparrow D, Shapiro SD, Silverman EK, Litonjua AA, Weiss ST, Celedon JC. MMP12, lung function, and COPD in high-risk populations. N Engl J Med 2009; 361:2599–2608.
- 28. Schadt EE. Molecular networks as sensors and drivers of common human diseases. Nature 2009; 461:218–223.
- 29. Hilty M, Burke C, Pedro H, Cardenas P, Bush A, Bossley C, Davies J, Ervine A, Poulter L, Pachter L, Moffatt MF, Cookson WO. Disordered microbial communities in asthmatic airways. PLoS One 2010; 5:e8578.
- Thornton-Wells TA, Moore JH, Haines JL. Genetics, statistics and human disease: analytical retooling for complexity. Trends Genet 2004; 20:640–647.
- Wenzel SE. Asthma: Defining of the persistent adult phenotypes. Lancet 2006; 368:804–813.
- 32. Freimer N, Sabatti C. The human phenome project. Nat Genet 2003; 34:15–21.
- 33. Molfino NA. Genetics of COPD. Chest 2004; 125:1929-1940.
- 34. Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, Casanova C, Montes de Oca M, Mendez RA, Pinto Plata V, Cabral HJ. The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 2004; 350:1005–1012.
- 35. Agusti AG. COPD, a multicomponent disease: implications for management. Respir Med 2005; 99:670-682.
- Hargreave FE, Parameswaran K. Asthma, COPD and bronchitis are just components of airway disease. Eur Respir J 2006; 28:264–267.
- Wardlaw AJ, Silverman M, Siva R, Pavord ID, Green R. Multidimensional phenotyping: towards a new taxonomy for airway disease. Clin Exp Allergy 2005; 35:1254–1262.
- Vestbo J, Hogg JC. Convergence of the epidemiology and pathology of COPD. Thorax 2006; 61:86–88.
- Friedlander AL, Lynch D, Dyar LA, Bowler RP. Phenotypes of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. COPD 2007; 4:355– 384.
- Sevenoaks MJ, Stockley RA. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, inflammation and co-morbidity-a common inflammatory phenotype? Respir Res 2006; 7:70.
- Medical Research Council Working Party. Long term domiciliary oxygen therapy in chronic hypoxic cor pulmonale complicating chronic bronchitis and emphysema. Report of the Medical Research Council Working Party. Lancet 1981; 1:681–686.
- 42. Nocturnal Oxygen Therapy Trial Group. Continuous or nocturnal oxygen therapy in hypoxemic chronic obstructive lung disease: a clinical trial. Ann Intern Med 1980; 93:391–398.

COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

- 43. Fishman A, Martinez F, Naunheim K, Piantadosi S, Wise R, Ries A, Weinmann G, Wood DE. A randomized trial comparing lungvolume-reduction surgery with medical therapy for severe emphysema. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:2059–2073.
- 44. Calverley PM, Rabe KF, Goehring UM, Kristiansen S, Fabbri LM, Martinez FJ. Roflumilast in symptomatic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: two randomised clinical trials. Lancet 2009; 374:685–694.
- 45. Hayhurst MD, MacNee W, Flenley DC, Wright D, McLean A, Lamb D, Wightman AJ, Best J. Diagnosis of pulmonary emphysema by computerised tomography. Lancet 1984; 2:320–322.
- 46. Nakano Y, Muro S, Sakai H, Hirai T, Chin K, Tsukino M, Nishimura K, Itoh H, Pare PD, Hogg JC, Mishima M. Computed tomographic measurements of airway dimensions and emphysema in smokers. Correlation with lung function. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000; 162:1102–1108.
- 47. Siddiqui S, Gupta S, Cruse G, Haldar P, Entwisle J, McDonald S, Whithers PJ, Hainsworth SV, Coxson HO, Brightling C. Airway wall geometry in asthma and nonasthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis. Allergy 2009; 64:951–958.
- Nakano Y, Wong JC, de Jong PA, Buzatu L, Nagao T, Coxson HO, Elliott WM, Hogg JC, Pare PD. The prediction of small airway dimensions using computed tomography. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 171:142–146.
- Piitulainen E, Eriksson S. Decline in FEV1 related to smoking status in individuals with severe alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency (PiZZ). Eur Respir J 1999; 13:247–251.
- Hubert HB, Fabsitz RR, Feinleib M, Gwinn C. Genetic and environmental influences on pulmonary function in adult twins. Am Rev Respir Dis 1982; 125:409–415.
- Dockery DW, Speizer FE, Ferris BG, Jr., Ware JH, Louis TA, Spiro A, III. Cumulative and reversible effects of lifetime smoking on simple tests of lung function in adults. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988; 137:286–292.
- 52. Givelber RJ, Couropmitree NN, Gottlieb DJ, Evans JC, Levy D, Myers RH, O'Connor GT. Segregation analysis of pulmonary function among families in the Framingham Study. Amer J Respir Crit Care Med 1998; 157:1445–1451.
- 53. Young RP, Hopkins R, Eaton TE. Forced expiratory volume in one second: not just a lung function test but a marker of premature death from all causes. Eur Respir J 2007; 30:616–622.
- McCarthy MI, Abecasis GR, Cardon LR, Goldstein DB, Little J, Ioannidis JP, Hirschhorn JN. Genome-wide association studies for complex traits: consensus, uncertainty and challenges. Nat Rev Genet 2008; 9:356–369.
- Lin DY, Zeng D. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies: no efficiency gain in using individual participant data. Genet Epidemiol 2010; 34:60–66.
- 56. Smolonska J, Wijmenga C, van Ginneken B, de Hoop B, Dijkstra A, Platteel M, Postma DS, Ouderk M, Mali W, Lammers JW, Groen HJ, Zanen P, Boezen HM. COPACETIC, a genomewide association study on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). European Respiratory Society Congress Abstract Book (Barcelona) 2010: 1567.
- 57. Regan EA, Hokanson JE, Murphy JR, Make B, Lynch DA, Beaty TH, Curran-Everett D, Silverman EK, Crapo JD. Genetic epidemiology of COPD (COPDGene) study design. COPD 2010; 7:32–43.
- Vestbo J, Anderson W, Coxson HO, Crim C, Dawber F, Edwards L, Hagan G, Knobil K, Lomas DA, MacNee W, Silverman EK, Tal-Singer R. Evaluation of COPD Longitudinally to Identify Predictive Surrogate End-points (ECLIPSE). Eur Respir J 2008; 31:869–873.
- 59. Chappell S, Daly L, Morgan K, Guetta Baranes T, Roca J, Rabinovich R, Millar A, Donnelly SC, Keatings V, MacNee W, Stolk J, Hiemstra P, Miniati M, Monti S, O'Connor CM, Kalsheker N. Cryptic haplotypes of SERPINA1 confer susceptibility to

chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Hum Mutat 2006; 27:103–109.

- 60. Makita H, Nasuhara Y, Nagai K, Ito Y, Hasegawa M, Betsuyaku T, Onodera Y, Hizawa N, Nishimura M. Characterisation of phenotypes based on severity of emphysema in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax 2007; 62:932–937.
- 61. Siebeling L, ter Riet G, Van Der Wal WM, Geskus RB, Zoller M, Muggensturm P, Joleska I, Puhan MA. ICE COLD ERIC—International collaborative effort on chronic obstructive lung disease: exacerbation risk index cohorts-study protocol for an international COPD cohort study. BMC Pulm Med 2009; 9:15.
- 62. Kim WJ, Oh YM, Sung J, Lee YK, Seo JB, Kim N, Kim TH, Huh JW, Lee JH, Kim EK, Lee SM, Lee S, Lim SY, Shin TR, Yoon HI, Kwon SY, Lee SD. CT scanning-based phenotypes vary with ADRB2 polymorphisms in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Med 2009; 103:98–103.
- 63. Connett JE, Kusek JW, Bailey WC, O'Hara P, Wu M. Design of the Lung Health Study: a randomized clinical trial of early intervention for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Controlled Clinical Trials 1993; 14:3S–19S.
- 64. Garcia-Aymerich J, Gomez FP, Anto JM. [Phenotypic characterization and course of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the PAC-COPD Study: design and methods]. Arch Bronconeumol 2009; 45:4–11.
- 65. Sadeghnejad A, Meyers DA, Bottai M, Sterling DA, Bleecker ER, Ohar JA. IL13 promoter polymorphism 1112C/T modulates the adverse effect of tobacco smoking on lung function. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007; 176:748–752.
- 66. Mesia-Vela S, Yeh CC, Austin JH, Dounel M, Powell CA, Reeves A, Santella RM, Stevenson L, Yankelevitz D, Barr RG. Plasma carbonyls do not correlate with lung function or computed tomography measures of lung density in older smokers. Biomarkers 2008; 13:422–434.
- 67. Stolk RP, Rosmalen JG, Postma DS, de Boer RA, Navis G, Slaets JP, Ormel J, Wolffenbuttel BH. Universal risk factors for multifactorial diseases: LifeLines: a three-generation population-based study. Eur J Epidemiol 2008; 23:67–74.
- Sood A, Stidley CA, Picchi MA, Celedon JC, Gilliland F, Crowell RE, Belinsky SA, Tesfaigzi Y. Difference in airflow obstruction between Hispanic and non-Hispanic White female smokers. COPD 2008; 5:274–281.
- 69. Barr RG, Bluemke DA, Ahmed FS, Carr JJ, Enright PL, Hoffman EA, Jiang R, Kawut SM, Kronmal RA, Lima JA, Shahar E, Smith LJ, Watson KE. Percent emphysema, airflow obstruction, and impaired left ventricular filling. N Engl J Med 2010; 362:217–227.
- van Diemen CC, Postma DS, Vonk JM, Bruinenberg M, Schouten JP, Boezen HM. A disintegrin and metalloprotease 33 polymorphisms and lung function decline in the general population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 172:329–333.
- 71. Patel BD, Coxson HO, Pillai SG, Agusti AG, Calverley PM, Donner CF, Make BJ, Muller NL, Rennard SI, Vestbo J, Wouters EF, Hiorns MP, Nakano Y, Camp PG, Nasute Fauerbach PV, Screaton NJ, Campbell EJ, Anderson WH, Pare PD, Levy RD, Lake SL, Silverman EK, Lomas DA. Airway wall thickening and emphysema show independent familial aggregation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008; 178:500–505.
- 72. Musunuru K, Lettre G, Young T, Farlow DN, Pirruccello JP, Ejebe KG, Keating BJ, Yang Q, Chen MH, Lapchyk N, Crenshaw A, Ziaugra L, Rachupka A, Benjamin EJ, Cupples LA, Fornage M, Fox ER, Heckbert SR, Hirschhorn JN, Newton-Cheh C, Nizzari MM, Paltoo DN, Papanicolaou GJ, Patel SR, Psaty BM, Rader DJ, Redline S, Rich SS, Rotter JI, Taylor HA, Jr., Tracy RP, Vasan RS, Wilson JG, Kathiresan S, Fabsitz RR, Boerwinkle E, Gabriel SB. Candidate gene association resource (CARe): design, methods, and proof of concept. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2010; 3:267–275.