

Optimal regulation of nonlinear dynamical systems on a finite interval

Citation for published version (APA):

Willemstein, A. P. (1976). *Optimal regulation of nonlinear dynamical systems on a finite interval*. (Memorandum COSOR; Vol. 7611). Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1976

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Mathematics

PROBABILITY THEORY, STATISTICS AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH GROUP

Memorandum COSOR 76-11

Optimal regulation of nonlinear
dynamical systems on a finite interval

by

A.P. Willemstein

Eindhoven, August 1976

The Netherlands

Abstract

In this paper the optimal control of nonlinear dynamical systems on a finite time interval is considered. The free end-point problem as well as the fixed end-point problem is studied. The existence of a solution is proved and a power series solution of both the problems is constructed.

1. Introduction

We consider control processes in \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{x} = F(x, u, t)$$

and investigate the problem of finding a bounded r dimensional feedback control $u(x, t)$ which minimizes the integral

$$(1.2) \quad J(\tau, b, u) = L(x(T)) + \int_{\tau}^T G(x, u, t) dt$$

for all initial states $x(\tau) = b$ in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n . In section 2 we treat the free end-point problem and in section 3 the fixed end-point problem. More specifically, in section 3 we require the final value $x(T)$ of the state to be zero.

For the situation where F is linear and L and G are quadratic the solution of the optimal control problem is well known (e.g. see [2] section 3.21, [3] section 2.3, [4] section 9.7 for the free end-point problem and [2] section 3.22 for the fixed end-point problem).

Here we consider the situation where the states and controls remain in a neighborhood of a fixed point (for which we without loss of generality take the origin) where the functions F , G and L can be expanded in power series. An analogous problem has been considered by D.L. Lukes [1] (see also [5] section 4.3) for the infinite horizon case and our treatment will follow this paper to some extent, in particular as far as the free end-point case is concerned. The theory is more complete than the related Hamilton-Jacobi theory since existence and uniqueness proofs of optimal controls are given. For the solution of the fixed end-point problem we introduce a dual problem of (1.1) and (1.2) which we use to reduce the fixed end-point problem to a free end-point problem. Some examples are added to illustrate the theory.

Notation

The inner product of two vectors x and y we shall denote by $x^T y$. The length of a vector x by $|x| = \sqrt{x^T x}$ and the transposed of a matrix M by M^T . The notation $M > 0$ and $M \geq 0$ means that M represents a (symmetric) positive definite and a

non-negative definite matrix respectively. If $f(x)$ denotes a vector function from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^m , the following notation and definition of the functional matrix will be used:

$$f_x := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Free end-point problem

2.1. Assumptions

- (i) $F(x,u,t) = A(t)x + B(t)u + f(x,u,t)$. Here $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are continuous real matrix functions of dimension $n \times n$ and $n \times r$ respectively. The function $f(x,u,t)$ contains the higher order terms in x and u , and is continuous with respect to t . Furthermore $f(x,u,t)$ is given as a power series in (x,u) which starts with second order terms and converges about the origin, uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$.
- (ii) $G(x,u,t) = x^T Q(t)x + u^T R(t)u + g(x,u,t)$. Here $Q(t)$ and $R(t)$ are continuous real matrix functions of dimension $n \times n$ and $r \times r$ respectively. The function $g(x,u,t)$ contains the higher order terms in x and u , and is continuous with respect to t . Furthermore $g(x,u,t)$ is given as a power series in (x,u) which starts with third order terms and converges about the origin, uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$.
- (iii) $L(x) = x^T Mx + \ell(x)$. Here M is a real matrix of dimension $n \times n$. The function $\ell(x)$ is given as a power series which starts with third order

terms and converges about the origin.

(iv) $Q(t) \geq 0$ and $R(t) > 0$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$; $M \geq 0$.

We consider the class of feedback controls which are of the form

$$(2.1) \quad u(x, t) = D(t)x + h(x, t)$$

Here $D(t)$ is a continuous matrix function of dimension $r \times n$. The function $h(x, t)$ contains the higher order terms in x and is continuous with respect to t . Furthermore $h(x, t)$ is given as a power series in x which starts with second order terms and converges about the origin, uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. We shall denote the class of admissible feedback controls by Ω .

Definition of an optimal feedback control

A feedback control $u_* \in \Omega$ is called optimal if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood N_* of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n such that for each $b \in N_*$ the response $x_*(t)$ satisfies $|x_*(t)| \leq \epsilon$ and $|u_*(x_*(t), t)| \leq \epsilon$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$, and furthermore $J(\tau, b, u_*) \leq J(\tau, b, u)$ among all feedback controls $u \in \Omega$ generating responses $x(t)$ with $|x(t)| \leq \epsilon$ and $|u(x(t), t)| \leq \epsilon$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$.

2.2. Statement of the main results

Theorem 2.1. (Main Theorem)

For the control process in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\dot{x} = F(x, u, t), \quad x(\tau) = b$$

with performance index

$$J(\tau, b, u) = L(x(T)) + \int_{\tau}^T G(x, u, t) dt$$

there exists a unique optimal feedback control $u_*(x, t)$. This feedback control is the unique solution of the functional equation

$$(*) \quad F_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

for small $\|x\|$ and $t \in [\tau, T]$. Furthermore

$$u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x + h_*(x, t)$$

and

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b + j_*(\tau, b),$$

where the matrix functions $D_*(t)$ and $K_*(t) \geq 0$ depend only on the truncated problem.

Theorem 2.2. (Truncated problem)

For the special case in which $f(x, u, t) = 0$, $g(x, u, t) = 0$ and $l(x) = 0$ the optimal control is given by

$$u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x$$

where

$$D_*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t).$$

Here $K_*(t) \geq 0$ is a solution of the Riccati equation on $[\tau, T]$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{K}(t) + Q(t) + K(t)A(t) + A^T(t)K(t) - K(t)B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K(t) = 0 \\ K(T) = M \end{cases}$$

Furthermore $D_*(t)x$ is a global optimal control in the sense that we can take $N_* = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon = \infty$ in the definition of optimal feedback control. Finally

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b.$$

Remark. Note that for $u \in \Omega$ the property $J(T, b, u) = L(b)$ holds.

2.3. Construction of the optimal feedback control

Lemma 2.1.

For each feedback control $u \in \Omega$, $u(x, t) = D(t)x + h(x, t)$, there exists a neighborhood N_u of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n in which

$$J(\tau, b, u) = b^T \hat{K}(\tau) b + j(\tau, b).$$

Here $j(\tau, b)$ contains the higher order terms in b . The matrix function $\hat{K}(\tau) \geq 0$ depends only on the truncated problem. Furthermore, the functional equation

$$F(x, u(x, t), t)^T J_x(t, x, u) + J_t(t, x, u) + G(x, u(x, t), t) = 0$$

holds for each $x \in N_u$, $t \in [\tau, T]$.

Proof. The following differential equation holds:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = (A(t) + B(t)D(t))x + B(t)h(x, t) + f(x, u(x, t), t) \\ x(\tau) = b \end{cases}$$

If we define $A_*(t) := A(t) + B(t)D(t)$ and $v(x, t) := B(t)h(x, t) + f(x, u(x, t), t)$ then this equation becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = A_*(t)x + v(x, t) \\ x(\tau) = b \end{cases}$$

From the theory of ordinary differential equations it is known that there exists a neighborhood N_1 of the origin such that the solution exists for each $b \in N_1$, and furthermore

$$x(t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(\tau)b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^2),$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Here $\Phi(t)$ is a fundamental matrix of the linear equation $\dot{x} = A_*(t)x$ (i.e. a nonsingular matrix function of dimension $n \times n$ which satisfies $\dot{\Phi}(t) = A_*(t)\Phi(t)$). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G(x(t), u(x(t), t), t) &= x(t)^T Q(t)x(t) + x(t)^T D(t)^T R(t)D(t)x(t) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^3) = \\ &= b^T \Phi^{-T}(\tau) \Phi^T(t) \{Q(t) + D(t)^T R(t)D(t)\} \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(\tau) b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} L(x(T)) &= x(T)^T M x(T) + \mathcal{O}(|x(T)|^3) = \\ &= b^T \Phi^{-T}(\tau) \Phi^T(T) M \Phi(T) \Phi^{-1}(\tau) b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$J(\tau, b, u) = b^T \hat{K}(\tau) b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3),$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{K}(\tau) &:= \Phi^{-T}(\tau) \Phi^T(T) M \Phi(T) \Phi^{-1}(\tau) + \\ &+ \int_{\tau}^T [\Phi^{-T}(\tau) \Phi^T(t) \{Q(t) + D(t)^T R(t) D(t)\} \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(\tau)] dt \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\hat{K}(\tau) \geq 0$ and $\hat{K}(T) = M$. It is known that there exists a neighborhood N_2 of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n such that for each $s \in [\tau, T]$ and for each $b \in N_2$, the solution of $\dot{x} = F(x, u(x, t), t)$ with $x(s) = b$, exists on $[s, T]$. Now let $N_u := N_1 \cap N_2$, $s \in [\tau, T]$ and $b \in N_u$. If $x(t, s, b)$ denotes the solution of $\dot{x} = F(x, u(x, t), t)$ with $x(s) = b$ then we can write

$$J(t, x(t, s, b), u) = L(x(T, s, b)) + \int_{\tau}^T G(x(\xi, s, b), u(x(\xi, s, b), \xi), \xi) d\xi$$

for $t \in [s, T]$. One can verify that it is allowed to differentiate this equation with respect to t . Setting $t = s$ afterwards we get the equation

$$F(b, u(b, s), s)^T J_x(s, b, u) + J_t(s, b, u) + G(b, u(b, s), s) = 0.$$

If we finally replace b and s by x and t we get the desired result. □

Remark. From the proof it follows that we even have

$$J(t, x, u) = x^T \hat{K}(t) x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^3)$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$ and for small $|x|$.

Lemma 2.2. *The equation*

$$F_u(x, u_*, t)p + G_u(x, u_*, t) = 0$$

has a solution $u_*(x, p, t)$ near the origin in \mathbb{R}^{2n} for which $u_*(0, 0, t) = 0$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Furthermore

$$u_*(x, p, t) = -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)p + h_*(x, p, t),$$

where $h_*(x, p, t)$ contains the higher order terms in (x, p) .

Proof. For each $t \in [\tau, T]$ we can use the result in [1], lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 2.3. There exists a unique solution $K_*(t)$ on $[\tau, T]$ to the matrix differential equation (Riccati equation)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{K}(t) + Q(t) + K(t)A(t) + A^T(t)K(t) - K(t)B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K(t) = 0 \\ K(T) = M \end{cases}$$

The property $K_*(t) \geq 0$ holds on $[\tau, T]$.

Proof. See [3] section 2.3. □

Lemma 2.4. Suppose there exists a feedback control $u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x + h_*(x, t)$, which satisfies the nonlinear functional equation

$$(*) \quad F_u(x, u_*(x, t), t)J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

for small $|x|$ and $t \in [\tau, T]$. Then u_* is the unique optimal feedback control. Furthermore

$$D_*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)$$

and

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^TK_*(\tau)b + j_*(\tau, b),$$

where $K_*(t)$ is defined in lemma 2.3. The function $j_*(\tau, b)$ contains the higher terms in b .

Proof. Consider the following real valued function defined for $t \in [\tau, T]$ and for (x, u) near the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n + \mathbb{R}^r$:

$$(2.3) \quad Q(t, x, u) := F(x, u, t)^T J_x(t, x, u_*) + J_t(t, x, u_*) + G(x, u, t)$$

By lemma 2.1.

$$Q(t, x, u_*(x, t)) = 0 \text{ near } x = 0 \text{ and for } t \in [\tau, T].$$

We have assumed that

$$Q_u(t, x, u_*(x, t)) = 0 \text{ near } x = 0 \text{ and for } t \in [\tau, T].$$

Furthermore the Hessian

$$Q_{uu}(t, 0, 0) = 2R(t) \text{ is positive definite for } t \in [\tau, T].$$

It follows that

$$Q_{uu}(t, x, u) > 0 \text{ for } |x| \text{ small, } |u| \text{ small and } t \in [\tau, T]$$

because $Q(t, x, u)$ is a continuous function. Hence we conclude that there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 = Q(t, x, u_*(x, t)) \leq Q(t, x, u_1)$$

for $t \in [\tau, T]$, $|x| \leq \epsilon$ and $|u_1| \leq \epsilon$, while strict inequality holds for $u_1 \neq u_*(x, t)$. So

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq F(x, u_1, t)^T J_x(t, x, u_*) + J_t(t, x, u_*) + G(x, u_1, t)$$

Now let N_* be a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n such that for each $b \in N_*$ the solution $x_*(t)$ of $\dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x, t), t)$, $x(\tau) = b$, exists for $t \in [\tau, T]$, $|x_*(t)| \leq \epsilon$ and $|u_*(x_*(t), t)| \leq \epsilon$.

Furthermore let $u_1 \in \Omega$ be an arbitrary feedback control such that the solution $x_1(t)$ of $\dot{x} = F(x, u_1(x, t), t)$, $x(\tau) = b$ is defined on $[\tau, T]$, and satisfies $|x_1(t)| \leq \epsilon$ and $|u_1(x_1(t), t)| \leq \epsilon$, if $b \in N_*$. Then we can write:

$$0 < \int_{\tau}^T \{F(x_1(t), u_1(x_1(t), t), t)^T J_x(t, x_1(t), u_*) + J_t(t, x_1(t), u_*) + G(x_1(t), u_1(x_1(t), t), t))\} dt,$$

and so

$$0 < \int_{\tau}^T \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} J(t, x_1(t), u_*) \right\} dt + \int_{\tau}^T G(x_1(t), u_1(x_1(t), t), t) dt$$

This yields the result

$$0 < J(T, x_1(T), u_*) - J(\tau, b, u_*) + \int_{\tau}^T G(x_1(t), u_1(x_1(t), t), t) dt$$

and thus

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) < J(\tau, b, u_1).$$

So $u_*(x, t)$ is the unique optimal feedback control.

By lemma 2.2. we have

$$u_*(x, t) = - \frac{1}{2} R^{-1}(t) B^T(t) J_x(t, x, u_*) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2),$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$ and in lemma 2.1. we have

$$J_x(t, x, u_*) = 2\hat{K}(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$$

So

$$(2.5) \quad u_*(x, t) = - R^{-1}(t) B^T(t) \hat{K}(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2),$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. By lemma 2.1. we have

$$(2.6) \quad F(x, u_*(x, t), t)^T J_x(t, x, u_*) + J_t(t, x, u_*) + G(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

for $|x|$ small and $t \in [\tau, T]$. Using (2.5) collecting the quadratic terms in x we find that $\hat{K}(t)$ is a solution of the Riccati equation. We also know that $\hat{K}(T) = M$ and by the uniqueness of the solution we have $\hat{K}(t) = K_*(t)$ on $[\tau, T]$.

This yields the result

$$u_*(x, t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$$

and

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3) \quad \square$$

Proof of theorem 2.2. Let $u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x$, where $D_*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)$ and the matrix $K_*(t)$ satisfies the Riccati equation, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & x^T \{ \dot{K}_*(t) + Q(t) + K_*(t)A(t) + A^T(t)K_*(t) - \\ & + K_*(t)B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t) \} x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & [(A(t) - B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t))x]^T 2K_*(t)x + x^T \dot{K}_*(t)x + \\ & + x^T Q(t)x + x^T K_*(t)B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(A(t) + B(t)D_*(t))x]^T 2K_*(t)x + x^T \dot{K}_*(t)x + \\ & x^T Q(t)x + [D_*(t)x]^T R(t)D_*(t)x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$F(x, u_*(x, t), t)^T 2K_*(t)x + x^T \dot{K}_*(t)x + G(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

By integrating this equation along the trajectory $\dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x, t), t)$, $x(\tau) = b$, where b is arbitrary in \mathbb{R}^n , we obtain the equation

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b \quad (b \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

It is now easy to verify that $u_*(x, t)$ satisfies the functional equation (*) in lemma 2.4. The global character of $u_*(x, t)$ follows by examining the proof of lemma 2.4. □

Before giving the proof of the main theorem, we consider the Hamiltonian system in \mathbb{R}^{2n} :

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x, p, t), t) \\ \dot{p} = - \{F_x(x, u_*(x, p, t), t)p + G_x(x, u_*(x, p, t), t)\} \end{cases}$$

with the boundary values

$$\begin{cases} x(\tau) = b \\ p(T) = L_x(x(T)) \end{cases}$$

Here $u_*(x, p, t)$ is defined in lemma 1.2.

Lemma 2.5. For small $|b|$ system (2.7) has a solution $(x_*(t), p_*(t))$ on $[\tau, T]$ with the property

$$p_*(t) = 2K_*(t)x_*(t) + \mathcal{O}(|x_*(t)|^2),$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$.

Proof. The Hamiltonian system has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & - \frac{1}{2}B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t) \\ - 2Q(t) & - A^T(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix} + h(x, p, t),$$

where the function $h(x, p, t)$ contains the higher order terms. First of all we shall prove that the lemma holds for the case that $h(x, p, t) = 0$. The solvability of the linear system together with the implicit function theorem will be used to obtain a proof for the general case. So we shall first consider the linear Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & - \frac{1}{2}B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t) \\ - 2Q(t) & - A^T(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix},$$

with $x(\tau) = b$ and $p(T) = 2Mx(T)$. This system has a solution $(x_*(t), p_*(t))$

with the property $p_*(t) = 2K_*(t)x_*(t)$, which can easily be verified. Note that this solution exists for each $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If we now consider this linear system as a final value problem: $x(T) = x_T, p(T) = p_T$, then the solution is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix} (t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(T) \begin{pmatrix} x_T \\ p_T \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $\Phi(t)$ is a fundamental matrix of the problem. If we partition

$$\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11}(t,T) & \theta_{12}(t,T) \\ \theta_{21}(t,T) & \theta_{22}(t,T) \end{pmatrix},$$

then (2.8) can be written as

$$x(t, x_T, p_T) = \theta_{11}(t,T)x_T + \theta_{12}(t,T)p_T$$

$$p(t, x_T, p_T) = \theta_{21}(t,T)x_T + \theta_{22}(t,T)p_T$$

So

$$x(t, x_T, 2Mx_T) = (\theta_{11}(t,T) + 2\theta_{12}(t,T)M)x_T$$

We saw that for each $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a solution on $[\tau, T]$ with $p(T) = 2Mx(T)$. So

$$\forall b \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists x_T \in \mathbb{R}^n : (\theta_{11}(\tau, T) + 2\theta_{12}(\tau, T)M)x_T = b$$

Hence the matrix

$$(2.9) \quad \theta_{11}(\tau, T) + 2\theta_{12}(\tau, T)M$$

is regular. We shall need this result later. Now consider the nonlinear Hamiltonian system as a final value problem : $x(T) = x_T, p(T) = p_T$. The solution has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix} (t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(T) \begin{pmatrix} x_T \\ p_T \end{pmatrix} + v(t, x_T, p_T),$$

where $v(t, x_T, p_T)$ contains the second and higher order terms in x_T and p_T . It follows that

$$x(t, x_T, p_T) = \theta_{11}(t, T)x_T + \theta_{12}(t, T)p_T + \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} x_T \\ p_T \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right)$$

$$p(t, x_T, p_T) = \theta_{21}(t, T)x_T + \theta_{22}(t, T)p_T + \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} x_T \\ p_T \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right),$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. The question is: does there exist for arbitrary $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|b|$ small, a vector $x_T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x(\tau, x_T, L_x(x_T)) = b$? Here the implicit function theorem can help us. Define

$$F(b, x_T) := x(\tau, x_T, L_x(x_T)) - b$$

Then $F(0, 0) = 0$ and $F_{x_T}(0, 0) = \theta_{11}(\tau, T) + 2\theta_{12}(\tau, T)M$. By (2.9) we have that $F_{x_T}(0, 0)$ is regular. Thus there exists a neighborhood Ω of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n and a function $\tilde{x}_T: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- (i) $\tilde{x}_T(0) = 0$
- (II) $F(b, \tilde{x}_T(b)) = 0$ for $b \in \Omega$

So $x(\tau, \tilde{x}_T(b), L_x(\tilde{x}_T(b))) = b$. Hence the Hamiltonian system (2.7) has a solution on $[\tau, T]$ for small $|b|$. From the considerations of the linear system we have

$$p_*(t) = 2K_*(t)x_*(t) + \mathcal{O}(|x_*(t)|^2),$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$ □

Proof of the main theorem. It is sufficient to establish the existence of a feedback control $u_* \in \Omega$ which satisfies the functional equation (*). Define

$$(2.10) \quad u_*(x, t) := u_*(x, p_*(x, t), t),$$

where $p_*(x, t)$ represents the solution of (2.7) and $u_*(x, p, t)$ is defined as in lemma 2.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_*(x, t) &= -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)p_*(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2) = \\ &= -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Thus we can conclude that $u_* \in \Omega$. Now let $s \in [\tau, T]$ fixed and choose $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so small that the solution of $\dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x, t), t)$, with $x(s) = y$, exists on $[\tau, T]$, and $x(\tau) = : b$ is so small that the solution of (2.7) exists. By the continuity and analyticity of $G(x, u_*(x, t), t)$ the following differentiation of the integral is allowed:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J(s, y, u_*)}{\partial y} &= \int_s^T \frac{\partial}{\partial y} G(x, u_*(x, t), t) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x(T)) = \\ &= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \frac{\partial G(x, u_*(x, t), t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} \frac{\partial G(x, u_*(x, t), t)}{\partial u_*} \right\} dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x(T)) = \\ &= \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \left[-\dot{p}_*(x, t) - \frac{\partial F(x, u_*(x, t), t)}{\partial x} p_*(x, t) \right] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} \frac{\partial G(x, u_*(x, t), t)}{\partial u_*} \right\} dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x(T)) = \\ &= - \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \dot{p}_*(x, t) \right\} dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x(T)) + \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} \left[- \frac{\partial F(x, u_*(x, t), t)}{\partial u_*} p_*(x, t) \right] - \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \frac{\partial F(x, u_*(x, t), t)}{\partial x} p_*(x, t) \right\} dt = \\ &= - \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} p_*(x, t) \Big|_s^T + \int_s^T \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} p_*(x, t) \right\} dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x(T)) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_s^T \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(x, u_*(x, t), t) \right] p_*(x, t) dt = \\
 & = p_*(y, s) - \frac{\partial x(T)}{\partial y} L_x(x(T)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x(T)) = p_*(y, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

So $J_y(s, y, u_*) = p_*(y, s)$ for small $|y|$ and $s \in [\tau, T]$. If we now replace s by t and y by x , and if we use lemma 2.2., we obtain

$$F_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

for $|x|$ small and $t \in [\tau, T]$. So $u_*(x, t)$ satisfies (*). □

2.4 A method for calculating $u_*(x, t)$ and $J(t, x, u_*)$

In this section we shall use the following notation: if $t(x)$ is a power series in x then the k^{th} order term will be denoted by $t^{(k)}(x)$ or $[t(x)]^{(k)}$.

$u_*(x, t)$ and $J_*(x, t) := J(t, x, u_*)$ can be expanded in power series:

$$u_*(x, t) = u_*^{(1)}(x, t) + u_*^{(2)}(x, t) + \dots$$

$$J_*(x, t) = J_*^{(2)}(x, t) + J_*^{(3)}(x, t) + \dots$$

We have seen that the lowest order terms are given by

$$u_*^{(1)}(x, t) = D_*(t)x$$

and

$$J_*^{(2)}(x, t) = x^T K_*(t)x,$$

where

$$D_*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)$$

and $K_*(t)$ is the solution of the Riccati equation. We indicate a method for computing the higher order terms analogous to the method followed in [1].

This method is based on the fact that $u_*(x,t)$ is a solution of the following two functional equations

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u_*(x,t), t)^T J_x(t, x, u_*) + J_t(t, x, u_*) + G(x, u_*(x,t), t) = 0 \\ F_u(x, u_*(x,t), t) J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x,t), t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

In contrast to [1] where one has to solve linear equations, the problem defined here reduces to solving successively a set linear differential equations. We shall now give the result in the form of two equations :

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_*(t)x)^T [J_*^{(m)}(x,t)]_x + [J_*^{(m)}(x,t)]_x = \\ & = - \sum_{k=3}^{m-1} [B(t)u_*^{(m-k+1)}(x,t)]^T [J_*^{(k)}(x,t)]_x + \\ & - \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} f^{(m-k+1)}(x, u_*(x,t), t)^T [J_*^{(k)}(x,t)]_x + \\ & - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} u_*^{(k)}(x,t)^T R(t) u_*^{(m-k)}(x,t) + \\ & - u_*^{(\frac{1}{2}m)}(x,t)^T R(t) u_*^{(\frac{1}{2}m)}(x,t) - g^{(m)}(x, u_*(x,t), t) \end{aligned} \quad (A)$$

(m = 3, 4, ...)

$$\begin{aligned} u_*^{(k)}(x,t) = & - \frac{1}{2} R^{-1}(t) \{ B^T(t) [J_*^{(k+1)}(x,t)]_x + \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} [f_u(x, u_*(x,t), t)]^{(j)} [J_*^{(k-j+1)}(x,t)]_x + \\ & + [g_u(x, u_*(x,t), t)]^{(k)} \} \end{aligned} \quad (B)$$

(k = 2, 3, ...)

Here $A_*(t) := A(t) + B(t)D_*(t)$; $[k]$ denotes the integer part of k . Furthermore the term with $u_*^{(\frac{1}{2}m)}$ is to be omitted for odd values of m .

With the values $J_*^{(2)}(x,t)$ and $u_*^{(1)}(x,t)$ to start with, the higher order terms can be calculated from (A) and (B) in the sequence

$$J_*^{(3)}(x,t), u_*^{(2)}(x,t), J_*^{(4)}(x,t), u_*^{(3)}(x,t), \dots$$

The sequence of terms $\{u_*^{(1)}, \dots, u_*^{(m-2)}; J_*^{(2)}, \dots, J_*^{(m-1)}\}$ determines $J_*^{(m)}$ in equation (A) by solving a partial differential equation with boundary value $J_*^{(m)}(x,T) = L^{(m)}(x)$. The sequence of terms $\{u_*^{(1)}, \dots, u_*^{(k-1)}; J_*^{(2)}, \dots, J_*^{(k+1)}\}$ determines $u_*^{(k)}$ in equation (B).

Example.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x^3 + u, x(0) = x_0 \\ \min \int_0^T (x^2 + u^2) dt \end{cases}$$

Here $A(t) = 0, B(t) = 1, Q(t) = 1$ and $R(t) = 1$. Furthermore $f(x,u,t) = x^3$, $g(x,u,t) = 0$ and $L(x) = 0$. We have the Riccati equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{K} + 1 - K^2 = 0 \\ K(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the solution is given by $K_*(t) = \tanh(T - t)$. Hence

$$J_*^{(2)}(x,t) = x^T K_*(t) x = x^2 \tanh(T-t)$$

and

$$u_*^{(1)}(x,t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)x = -x \tanh(T-t)$$

Furthermore

$$A_*(t) = A(t) - B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t) = -\tanh(T-t)$$

For $m = 3$ equation (A) reads as follows:

$$(-x \tanh(T-t)) [J_*^{(3)}(x,t)]_x + [J_*^{(3)}(x,t)]_t = 0$$

If we set

$$J_{*}^{(3)}(x,t) = \alpha(t)x^3$$

then this equation becomes

$$-3x^3\alpha(t)\tanh(T-t) + \dot{\alpha}(t)x^3 = 0$$

or

$$\dot{\alpha}(t) - 3\alpha(t)\tanh(T-t) = 0$$

with the boundary value $\alpha(T) = 0$. This yields the solution $\alpha(t) = 0$ on $[\tau, T]$. So $J_{*}^{(3)}(x,t) = 0$ and equation (B) gives for $k = 2$: $u_{*}^{(2)}(x,t) = 0$

For $m = 4$ equation (A) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (-x \tanh(T-t)) [J_{*}^{(4)}(x,t)]_x + [J_{*}^{(4)}(x,t)]_t = \\ & = -f^{(3)}(x, u_{*}, t) [J_{*}^{(2)}(x,t)]_x \end{aligned}$$

Setting $J_{*}^{(4)}(x,t) = \alpha(t)x^4$ we have

$$\{-4\alpha(t)\tanh(T-t) + \dot{\alpha}(t)\}x^4 = -2 \tanh(T-t)x^4$$

or

$$\dot{\alpha}(t) - 4\alpha(t)\tanh(T-t) + 2 \tanh(T-t) = 0$$

with the boundary value $\alpha(T) = 0$. The solution of this differential equation is

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(T-t))^{-4}$$

Thus

$$J_{*}^{(4)}(x,t) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(T-t))^{-4} \right\} x^4$$

Formula (B) gives for $k = 3$:

$$u_*^{(3)}(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)[J_*^{(4)}(x,t)]_x ,$$

so

$$u_*^{(3)}(x,t) = \{-1 + (\cosh(T-t))^{-4}\}x^3.$$

The higher order terms can be computed in a similar manner.

3. Fixed end-point problem

3.1. Assumptions

In this section we consider a problem similar to the problem discussed in section 2. The difference being that now we require the final value of the state to be zero : $x(T) = 0$. As a matter of course we can take now $L(x) = 0$. The basic assumptions made in section 2, remain. A new assumption is the controllability to the zero state of the linear system $\dot{x} = A(t)x + B(t)u$. Furthermore we restrict ourselves to feedback controls $u(x,t)$ with the following properties:

1. $u(x,t) = D(t)x + h(x,t)$. Here $D(t)$ is a continuous matrix function for $t \in [\tau, T]$. The function $h(x,t)$ contains the higher order terms in x and is continuous with respect to $t \in [\tau, T]$. Furthermore $h(x,t)$ is given as a power series in x which starts with second order terms and converges about the origin.
2. There exists a neighborhood N_u of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n such that for $b \in N_u$ the solution $x(t, \tau, b)$ of (1.1) is defined on $[\tau, T]$ and in addition $x(T, \tau, b) = 0$.
3. $u(x(t, \tau, b), t)$ is a bounded function on $[\tau, T]$.

We shall denote again the class of admissible feedback controls by Ω .

If $u \in \Omega$ then it is clear that $u(x,t)$ has a singularity in $t = T$. Furthermore there exists for given $u \in \Omega$, $s \in [\tau, T)$, a neighborhood $N_{u,s}$ of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n with the property that, if $c \in N_{u,s}$, the solution of $\dot{x} = F(x, u(x,t), t)$, $x(s) = c$, is defined on $[s, T]$ and $x(T) = 0$. It is evident that

$$(3.1) \quad N_{u,s} := \{x(s, \tau, b) \mid b \in N_u\}$$

represents such a neighborhood !

3.2. Statement of the main results

Theorem 3.1. (Main Theorem)

For the control process in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\dot{x} = F(x, u, t), x(\tau) = b, x(T) = 0$$

there exists a unique optimal feedback control $u_* \in \Omega$ which minimizes the integral

$$J(\tau, b, u) = \int_{\tau}^T G(x, u, t) dt$$

for all initial states b in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n . This feedback control is the unique solution of the functional equation

$$(*) \quad F_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

for $t \in [\tau, T)$ and small $|x|$. Furthermore

$$u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x + h_*(x, t)$$

and

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b + j_*(\tau, b),$$

where the matrix functions $D_*(t)$ and $K_*(t)$ are defined on $[\tau, T)$ and depend only on the truncated problem.

The truncated problem is the case that $f(x, u, t) = 0$ and $g(x, u, t) = 0$.

R.W. Brockett has proved in [2] that under our hypothesis an optimal control exists. One can easily show that his results can be written in the following form:

$$(3.2) \quad u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad D_*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t).$$

Here $K_*(t)$ satisfies the Riccati equation on $[\tau, T]$:

$$\dot{K}(t) + Q(t) + K(t)A(t) + A^T(t)K(t) - K(t)B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K(t) = 0$$

If $W_*(t)$ satisfies the dual Riccati equation

$$\begin{cases} W(t) + B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t) - W(t)A^T(t) - A(t)W(t) - W(t)Q(t)W(t) = 0 \\ W(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

on $[\tau, T]$, then we have $K_*^{-1}(t) = W_*(t)$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Finally

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b$$

3.3. Construction of the optimal feedback control

Lemma 3.1. For each feedback control $u \in \Omega$, $u(x, t) = D(t)x + h(x, t)$, we have the property

$$J(\tau, b, u) = b^T \hat{K}(\tau) b + j(\tau, b)$$

for $b \in N_u$. The matrix function $\hat{K}(\tau)$ depends only on the truncated problem. Furthermore the functional equation

$$F(x, u(x, t), t)^T J_x(t, x, u) + J_t(t, x, u) + G(x, u(x, t), t) = 0$$

holds for $t \in [\tau, T]$ and $x \in N_{u, t}$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of lemma 2.1. Here we have $\Phi(T) = 0$. One can show that the solution of the differential equation $\dot{x} = F(x, u(x, t), t)$ is of the form $x(t) = \Phi(t)\hat{\Phi}^{-1}(\tau)b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^2)$, again uniformly for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Note that $\hat{K}(t)$ may have a singularity in $t = T$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a unique solution $W_*(t)$ on $[\tau, T]$ to the matrix differential equation (dual Riccati equation)*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{W}(t) + B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t) - W(t)A^T(t) - A(t)W(t) - W(t)Q(t)W(t) = 0 \\ W(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The property $W_*(t) > 0$ holds on $[\tau, T]$. If $K_*(t) := W_*^{-1}(t)$ on $[\tau, T]$ then $K_*(t)$ satisfies the Riccati equation

$$\dot{K}(t) + Q(t) + K(t)A(t) + A^T(t)K(t) - K(t)B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K(t) = 0$$

on $[\tau, T]$.

Proof. This lemma is a consequence of the analysis of R.W. Brockett in [2] section 3.22. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose there exists a feedback control $u_* \in \Omega$, $u_*(x, t) = D_*(t)x + h_*(x, t)$, which satisfies the functional equation*

$$(*) \quad F_u(x, u_*(x, t), t)J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0$$

for $t \in [\tau, T]$ and $x \in N_{u_*, t}$. Then u_* is the unique optimal feedback control. Furthermore

$$D_*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)$$

and

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^TK_*(\tau)b + j_*(\tau, b),$$

where $K_*(t)$ is defined in lemma 3.2. The function $j_*(\tau, b)$ contains the higher order terms in b .

Proof. The method to proof that u_* represents the unique optimal feedback control is analogous to the method followed in lemma 2.4. Now we can choose

$|u_*(x_*(t), t)| \leq \epsilon$ and $|u_1(x_1(t), t)| \leq \epsilon$ because we have assumed that $u_*(x_*(t), t)$ and $u_1(x_1(t), t)$ are bounded functions on $[\tau, T]$. By lemma 2.2. we have

$$u_*(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)J_x(t, x, u_*) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$$

and in lemma 3.1. we have

$$J_x(t, x, u_*) = 2\hat{K}(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$$

for $t \in [\tau, T]$ and $x \in N_{u_*, t}$. So

$$(3.4) \quad u_*(x, t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)\hat{K}(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$$

In the truncated case the corresponding formula is:

$$u_*(x, t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)\hat{K}(t)x.$$

Comparing this result with (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that $\hat{K}(t) = K_*(t)$ on $[\tau, T]$, where $K_*(t)$ is defined in lemma 3.2.

Conclusion:

$$u_*(x, t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$$

and

$$J(\tau, b, u_*) = b^T K_*(\tau) b + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3) \quad \square$$

Before proving the main theorem we consider again the Hamiltonian system in \mathbb{R}^{2n} :

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x, p, t), t) \\ \dot{p} = -\{F_x(x, u_*(x, p, t), t)p + G_x(x, u_*(x, p, t), t)\} \end{cases}$$

with the boundary values

$$\begin{cases} x(\tau) = b \\ x(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Here $u_*(x,p,t)$ is defined in lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.4. For small $|b|$ system (3.5) has a solution $(x_*(t), p_*(t))$ with the property

$$x_*(t) = \frac{1}{2}W_*(t)p_*(t) + \mathcal{O}(|p_*(t)|^2)$$

for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Furthermore $p_*(t)$ is a bounded function on $[\tau, T]$.

Proof. The Hamiltonian system has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & -\frac{1}{2}B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t) \\ -2Q(t) & -A^T(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix} + h(x,p,t)$$

It can easily be verified that the linear system (i.e. the case that $h(x,p,t) = 0$) has for each $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a solution of the form $x_*(t) = \frac{1}{2}W_*(t)p_*(t)$. Analogous to the proof of lemma 2.5. we shall use the implicit function theorem to prove that the nonlinear system has a solution of the desired form. We need again a property which we shall derive from the solvability of the linear system. So consider again the linear Hamiltonian system as a final value problem. The solution can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, x_T, p_T) &= \Theta_{11}(t, T)x_T + \Theta_{12}(t, T)p_T \\ p(t, x_T, p_T) &= \Theta_{21}(t, T)x_T + \Theta_{22}(t, T)p_T \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that for each $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a solution on $[\tau, T]$ with $x(\tau) = b$ and $x(T) = 0$. So

$$\forall b \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists p_T \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Theta_{12}(\tau, T)p_T = b$$

Hence the matrix $\Theta_{12}(\tau, T)$ is regular. Now consider the nonlinear system as a final value problem. The solution has the form

$$x(t, x_T, p_T) = \theta_{11}(t, T)x_T + \theta_{12}(t, T)p_T + \mathcal{O}(|\begin{pmatrix} x_T \\ p_T \end{pmatrix}|^2)$$

$$p(t, x_T, p_T) = \theta_{21}(t, T)x_T + \theta_{22}(t, T)p_T + \mathcal{O}(|\begin{pmatrix} x_T \\ p_T \end{pmatrix}|^2)$$

The question is : does there exist for arbitrary $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|b|$ small, a vector $p_T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x(\tau, 0, p_T) = b$? Again, the implicit function theorem can help us. Define

$$F(b, p_T) := x(\tau, 0, p_T) - b$$

Then $F(0, 0) = 0$ and $F_{p_T}(0, 0) = \theta_{12}(\tau, T)$. So $F_{p_T}(0, 0)$ is regular, and there exists a neighborhood Ω of the origin in \mathbb{R}^n and a function $p_T: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- (i) $\tilde{p}_T(0) = 0$
- (ii) $F(b, \tilde{p}_T(b)) = 0$ for $b \in \Omega$.

Hence $x(\tau, 0, \tilde{p}_T(b)) = b$ for $b \in \Omega$. Thus the Hamiltonian system (3.5) has a solution on $[\tau, T]$ for small $|b|$. From the considerations of the linear system we have

$$x_*(t) = \frac{1}{2}W_*(t)p_*(t) + \mathcal{O}(|p_*(t)|^2)$$

for $t \in [\tau, T]$. The boundedness of $p_*(t)$ on $[\tau, T]$ is a consequence of the continuity of the right hand side of (3.5) on $[\tau, T]$. □

Proof of the main theorem. It is sufficient to establish the existence of a feedback control $u_* \in \Omega$ which satisfies the functional equation (*) for $t \in [\tau, T)$ and small $|x|$. Define

$$u_*(x, t) := u_*(x, p_*(x, t), t)$$

where $p_*(x, t)$ represents the solution of (3.5) and $u_*(x, p, t)$ such as defined in lemma 2.2. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_*(x, t) &= -\frac{1}{2}R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)p_*(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2) = \\ &= -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)K_*(t)x + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [\tau, T]$. In lemma 3.4. we have seen that the solution of $\dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x, t), t), x(\tau) = b$ exists on $[\tau, T]$ for small $|b|$ and furthermore $x(T) = 0$. Because $p_*(t)$ is bounded on $[\tau, T]$ it follows that $u_*(x_*(t), t)$ is bounded on $[\tau, T]$. Hence we can conclude that $u_* \in \Omega$. An analogous argument as in the previous section shows us that u_* satisfies the functional equation (*). □

3.4. A method for calculating $u_*(x, t)$.

In chapter 1 we used the fact that the optimal feedback control $u_*(x, t)$ is a solution of the following two equations:

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u_*(x, t), t)^T J_x(t, x, u_*) + J_t(t, x, u_*) + G(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0 \\ F_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) J_x(t, x, u_*) + G_u(x, u_*(x, t), t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

It turned out to be possible to calculate $u_*(x, t)$ from these equations using the boundary value $J(T, x, u_*) = L(x)$ to solve the partial differential equation. This method fails here. It is true that the optimal feedback control is again a solution of the two functional equations but we cannot solve the partial differential equation because the only information we have about J is that $J(T, 0, u_*) = 0$ and this is not sufficient. This is a reason for us to follow a different method here. Consider the following free end-point problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p} = \tilde{F}(p, y, t), p(\tau) = c \\ \min \int_{\tau}^T \tilde{G}(p, y, t) dt \end{cases}$$

Note that p plays the role of state vector and y plays the role of control vector. The functions \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(p, y, t) &:= - \{ F_x(y, u_*(y, p, t), t)p + G_x(y, u_*(y, p, t), t) \} \\ \tilde{G}(p, y, t) &:= [F_x(y, u_*(y, p, t), t)p + G_x(y, u_*(y, p, t), t)]^T x + \\ &\quad - \{ F(y, u_*(y, p, t), t)^T p + G(y, u_*(y, p, t), t) \} \end{aligned}$$

Here $u_*(x,p,t)$ is defined in lemma 2.2. We shall call this control system the dual system. It is easy to verify that

$$\tilde{F}(p,y,t) = -A^T(t)p - 2Q(t)y + \tilde{f}(p,y,t)$$

and

$$\tilde{G}(p,y,t) = \frac{1}{4}p^T B(t)R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)p + y^T Q(t)y + \tilde{g}(p,y,t).$$

Here the functions \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} contain the higher order terms in y and p . It is clear that the dual system can be solved by the method described in section 2, provided that $Q(t) > 0$ on $[\tau, T]$. However, what is the connection with the original system? The two systems have one important common property; namely they both generate the same Hamiltonian system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = F(x, u_*(x,p,t), t) \\ \dot{p} = -\{F_x(x, u_*(x,p,t), t)p + G_x(x, u_*(x,p,t), t)\} \end{cases}.$$

The boundary values however are different. In the original case we have $x(\tau) = b$, $x(T) = 0$ and in the dual case $p(\tau) = c$, $x(T) = 0$. Namely, if $y_*(p,x,t)$ here plays the role of $u_*(x,p,t)$ in lemma 2.2. then it is easy to verify that $y_*(p,x,t) = x$ and furthermore $-\{\tilde{F}_p(p, y_*(p,x,t), t)x + \tilde{G}_p(p, y_*(p,x,t), t)\} = F(x, u_*(x,p,t), t)$. This argument enables us to construct the solution of the original system from the solution of the dual system. If $y_*(p,t)$ denotes the optimal feedback control with respect to the dual problem then it follows that $x_*(p,t) = y_*(p,t)$ is the solution of the Hamiltonian system. From this we can calculate $p_*(x,t)$ by the regular transformation $p_*(x,t) = 2K_*(t)x_*(t) + \mathcal{O}(|x_*(t)|^2)$ (see lemma 3.4.) Finally we can calculate the optimal feedback control with respect to the original system by $u_*(x,t) = u_*(x, p_*(x,t), t)$. In the case that $Q(t)$ is not positive definite but only positive semi definite, it does not seem to be possible to introduce a dual system with the properties sketched above.

Example

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x^3 + u, x(0) = x_0, x(T) = 0 \\ \min \int_0^T (x^2 + u^2) dt \end{cases}$$

Here $A(t) = 0, B(t) = 1, Q(t) = 1$ and $R(t) = 1$. Furthermore $f(x,u,t) = x^3$ and $g(x,u,t) = 0$. The linear system $\dot{x} = u$ is controllable and the condition $Q > 0$ holds. Hence we can use the method described above.

The equation $F_u(x,u,t)p + G_u(x,u,t) = 0$ gives $u_*(x,p,t) = \frac{1}{2}p$, so the dual system has the following form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{p} = -2y - 3y^2 p, p(0) = p. \\ \min \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{4}p^2 + y^2 + 2y^3 p \right) dt \end{array} \right.$$

The method of chapter 1 gives the result

$$y_*(p,t) = \frac{1}{2}p \tanh(T-t) - \frac{1}{8}p^3 \tanh^4(T-t) + \dots$$

Hence

$$x_*(p,t) = \frac{1}{2}p \tanh(T-t) - \frac{1}{8}p^3 \tanh^4(T-t) + \dots$$

and it follows that

$$p_*(x,t) = 2x \operatorname{cotanh}(T-t) + 2x^3 + \dots$$

Finally we find

$$u_*(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}p_*(x,t) = -x \operatorname{cotanh}(T-t) - x^3 + \dots$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D.L. Lukes, "Optimal regulation of nonlinear dynamical systems",
Siam J. Control, Vol. 7, No. 1, February 1969.
- [2] R.W. Brockett, "Finite dimensional linear systems",
Wiley, New York, 1970.
- [3] B.D.O. Anderson, J.B. Moore, "Linear optimal control",
Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1971.

- [4] M. Athans, P.L. Falb, "Optimal control",
McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [5] E.B. Lee, L. Markus, "Foundations of optimal control theory",
Wiley, New York, 1967.