

Optogenetic activation of neocortical neurons *in vivo* with a sapphire-based micro-scale LED probe

Niall McAlinden¹, Erdan Gu¹, Martin D. Dawson¹, Shuzo Sakata^{2,3*} and Keith Mathieson^{1*}

¹ Institute of Photonics, Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK, ² Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK, ³ Centre for Neuroscience, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alexey Semyanov, University of Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

Reviewed by:

Hajime Hirase, RIKEN - Brain Science Institute, Japan Michael M. Halassa, New York University, USA

*Correspondence:

Shuzo Sakata, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, Centre for Neuroscience, University of Strathclyde, 161 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G4 0RE, UK shuzo.sakata@gmail.com; Keith Mathieson, Institute of Photonics, Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, 99 George Street, Glasgow G1 1RD, UK keith.mathieson@strath.ac.uk

> **Received:** 08 March 2015 **Accepted:** 09 May 2015 **Published:** 29 May 2015

Citation:

McAlinden N, Gu E, Dawson MD, Sakata S and Mathieson K (2015) Optogenetic activation of neocortical neurons in vivo with a sapphire-based micro-scale LED probe. Front. Neural Circuits 9:25. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2015.00025 Optogenetics has proven to be a revolutionary technology in neuroscience and has advanced continuously over the past decade. However, optical stimulation technologies for in vivo need to be developed to match the advances in genetics and biochemistry that have driven this field. In particular, conventional approaches for in vivo optical illumination have a limitation on the achievable spatio-temporal resolution. Here we utilize a sapphire-based microscale gallium nitride light-emitting diode (µLED) probe to activate neocortical neurons in vivo. The probes were designed to contain independently controllable multiple µLEDs, emitting at 450 nm wavelength with an irradiance of up to 2 W/mm². Monte-Carlo stimulations predicted that optical stimulation using a µLED can modulate neural activity within a localized region. To validate this prediction, we tested this probe in the mouse neocortex that expressed channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) and compared the results with optical stimulation through a fiber at the cortical surface. We confirmed that both approaches reliably induced action potentials in cortical neurons and that the µLED probe evoked strong responses in deep neurons. Due to the possibility to integrate many optical stimulation sites onto a single shank, the µLED probe is thus a promising approach to control neurons locally in vivo.

Keywords: optogenetics, neurotechnology, cortical layers, neural circuit, μLEDs

Introduction

Since the early 2000s (Boyden et al., 2005), optogenetics has become one of the standard experimental techniques in neuroscience (Yizhar et al., 2011; Häusser, 2014). Optogenetic approaches have been successfully applied to modulate neural activity in a cell-type-specific manner (Adamantidis et al., 2007; Huber et al., 2008; Cardin et al., 2009; Packer et al., 2013), allowing scientists to functionally dissect diverse types of neurons even in behaving animals. However, it is still difficult to control the neural activity of a particular cell-type at high spatial resolution *in vivo* (Rickgauer and Tank, 2009; Rickgauer et al., 2014). For example, neocortical circuits have anatomically prominent six-layered structures, where certain cell-types are distributed across these cortical layers. These neurons can have layer-specific functions, but it is challenging to target them for *in vivo*

stimulation using currently available optical stimulation technology and without spatially restricted gene expression.

Recently, novel approaches have been proposed to deliver light into the brain: such as monolithically integrated dielectric waveguide and recording electrodes (Wu et al., 2013), a coaxial optrode (Wang et al., 2012; Ozden et al., 2013), a silicon probe with multiple diode fibers (Stark et al., 2012), fluorescence microendoscopy (Hayashi et al., 2012), multipointemitting optic fibers (Pisanello et al., 2014) and a threedimensional multiwaveguide probe (Zorzos et al., 2012). With waveguide and fiber optic approaches, scaling up the number of optical stimulation sites without increasing the probe dimensions to an extent where substantial neural damage occurs during insertion will always be a problem. Twophoton excitation has also been shown to excite neurons (Rickgauer and Tank, 2009; Rickgauer et al., 2014). However, in each of these cases the light sources are located external to the neural tissue and require complex optical components to scale the number of illumination sites. This leads to an expensive and technically challenging system. An alternative approach is to integrate micron-scale light sources on a probe itself and micro light-emitting diodes (µLEDs) are a promising solution in this regard. Recently we (McAlinden et al., 2013) and other groups (Kim et al., 2013; Moser, 2015) have independently proposed µLED technology for in vivo optogenetic experiments.

The μ LED technology is based on gallium nitride (GaN) LEDs with novel micro-pixellated configurations, which can emit high intensity light to be delivered at high spatiotemporal resolution (Zhang et al., 2008). This technology has been successfully applied for optogenetic stimulations of brain slices *in vitro* (Grossman et al., 2010). Using a novel pick-and-place technique, Kim et al. (2013) recently integrated inorganic μ LEDs on a polymer substrate with a multifunction probe. This is the first realization of cellular-scale optoelectronic technology in behaving animals. However, progress needs to be made in developing μ LED probes that can be *individually* controlled to allow spatiotemporal patterned activation of optogenetic actuators at high spatial resolution.

In previous work we developed a custom GaN-based µLED probe and characterized the electrical, optical and thermal properties (McAlinden et al., 2013). This prototype has up to five µLEDs on each probe fabricated on a thinned sapphire substrate, which is strain matched to the GaN layers and allows high-brightness µLEDs. However, sapphire is a challenging material to process, resulting in a minimum thickness of 100 µm. Each µLED emits at a peak wavelength of 450 nm with an irradiance of up to 2 W/mm² (at the surface of µLED) and is independently addressable through electrical contacts attached to a current source. We adopted a broad range of operation regimes in stimulation mode, confirming that local increases in brain tissue temperature can be kept minimum (<0.5°C), while operating at intensities and pulse durations needed for in vivo optogenetic experiments. Here we report on the in vivo performance of this prototype device.

Materials and Methods

Probe Design, Production and Characterization

The µLED probe was fabricated as described in McAlinden et al. (2013) from a commercial GaN LED wafer (SuperNovaOpto) with a sapphire substrate thinned post-growth to 100 µm. This material was chosen as it allows for the production of efficient, high brightness µLEDs. The probe (Figure 1) is designed to have a length of 5 mm. The tip is 1 mm long and 150 µm wide and has a tapered design to minimize damage during insertion into tissue. Each tip contained four μ LEDs with a pitch of 190 μ m and a diameter of 30 μ m. The other end of the probe contains bond pads allowing each µLED to be individually addressed. Laser dicing was used to etch trenches through the sapphire and release individual probes. Following dicing each probe was glued to a printed circuit board (PCB) and the device was wirebonded. The wire bonds were protected using a UV curable polymer (Norland) and the device was electrically isolated by conformal deposition of a 3 µm thick transparent parylene layer. A full list of the materials used and their sources is shown in Table 1. The fabricated probes were characterized spectrally, electrically and optically (Figure 1B) where the power was measured with a calibrated photodiode placed directly above the sapphire surface of the µLED under test. The irradiance at the emitting surface of the µLED was calculated from this externally measured power by accurately measuring the geometry of the system and using simple ray tracing.

Monte Carlo Simulation

The µLED probe devices presented here have a complex emission profile. The µLED will emit light in a Lambertion profile, however the light must pass through 100 µm of sapphire before reaching the neural interface. Light can also become trapped in this sapphire layer due to total internal reflection. To estimate the intensity of light at various distances from a µLED, a Monte Carlo simulation was performed using custom-written software. The program stimulated $>10^6$ photons starting from random positions on the 30 μ m diameter LED surface, and moving in a random direction (weighted by a Lambertion distribution). Common to Monte Carlo particle transport, the photon is moved in discrete steps between interaction sites with the step size determined using the inverse distribution method and the Beer-Lambert law (Wang et al., 1995). At each interaction site a proportion of the photon weight is absorbed and the photon is scattered, changing its direction of travel. When the photon weight drops below a threshold value or the photon moves sufficiently far outside of the modeled area it is terminated and a new photon is launched. The custom software also accounts for total internal reflection in the 100 µm thick sapphire layer and refraction between the sapphire and brain tissue. A further modified simulation was used to study the light output from an optical fiber on the comparison optrode (see below). The optical fiber was 105 µm in diameter with a numerical aperture of 0.22. Each of the photons was initiated from a random



TABLE 1 | List of material sources.

Description	Supplier	Website
GaN wafers: 3" GaN-on-Sapphire, $\lambda = 450$ nm	SuperNova Optoelectronics Corp.	http://www.supernovaopto.com
PCB: bare PCB, model A16	Neuronexus Technologies, Inc.	http://neuronexus.com
Norland UV curable adhesive, NOA 68	Norland Products Inc.	https://www.norlandprod.com
Parylene C, conformal coating, 1 μ m thick	Para Tech Coating Ltd	http://www.paratechcoating.co.uk

position at the aperture of the fiber weighted by a Gaussian distribution. Particle trajectories were random within the bounds set by the numerical aperture. As in the experimental case, the simulated tip of the fiber was outside the brain and as such the light had to pass through 300 μ m of saline before reaching the brain tissue. The optical constants of brain tissue used were, scattering anisotropy g = 0.88, scattering coefficient $\mu_s = 11.7 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, absorption coefficient $\mu_a = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ and refractive index n = 1.36 (Yaroslavsky et al., 2002).

Animals

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986 Home Office regulations and approved by the Home Office and University's Ethical Committee (PPL 60/4217). Emx1-IRES-Cre (Jax#005628; Gorski et al., 2002) and Ai32 (Jax#012569; Madisen et al., 2012) were crossed to express ChR2 (H134R) in the neocortex. Four Emx1-IRES-Cre; Ai32 mice (male, 20–30 week old, 33–41 g) were used.

Surgery

After animals were anesthetized with 1.5 g/kg urethane, the animal was placed in a stereotaxic frame (Narishige) and body temperature was retained at 37°C using a feedback temperature controller (40-90-8C, FHC). After incision, the bone above the left sensorimotor cortices (0-1 mm posterior from the bregma, 0-1 mm lateral from the midline) was removed and the cavity was filled with warm saline during the entire recording session. The µLED probe was slowly inserted into the cortex with 10° angle to the normal and penetrated 1.5 mm. A 32 channel silicon-based optrode (A1 \times 32-10 mm-50-177-A32OA, NeuroNexus Technologies) was inserted slowly ($\sim 2 \mu m/sec$) and penetrated up to 1.25 mm with a motorized manipulator (DMA-1511, Narishige). The distance between the µLED probe and optrode was between 200-500 µm at the cortical surface. Several penetrations were made for each animal. For histological verification of tracks, the rear of the probe was painted with DiI (D-282, ~10% in ethanol, Molecular Probe; Sakata and Harris, 2009).

Electrophysiology and Optical Stimulation

For electrophysiological recording, broadband signals (0.07 Hz 8 kHz) were amplified (×1000) (HST/32V-G20 and PBX3, Plexon) relative to a cerebellar bone screw and were digitized at 20 kHz (PXI, National Instruments). Once spiking activity was detected, optical pulses (50 ms pulse width at 2 Hz repetition rate) were delivered from either the optical fiber or µLED to assess whether neurons could be activated by optical stimulation, after which recording sessions were initiated. Each recording session typically consisted of a non-stimulation period (up to 2 min), optrode and μ LED stimulation periods (up to 3 min) and another non-stimulation period (up to 2 min). The µLED was driven by a current source (Yokogawa, Source Measure Unit GS610) from 0.1 mA up to 6 mA (Corresponding to intensities at the probe/neural tissue interface from 0.5 mW/mm² up to 52 mW/mm²). In the experiment in Figure 3, the μ LED was supplied with 4 mA (40 mW/mm²) current pulses. The light source of the optrode was a commercial GaN LED (450 nm, PlexBright, Plexon) with 58.9 mW/mm² output at tip of the probe. This light level was used as standard for all cortical experiments as it allow for stimulation along the full length of the optrode.

Histology

After the experiments, animals were perfused transcardinally with physiological saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. After an overnight postfixation in the same fixative, brains were immersed into 30% sucrose/phosphate buffer saline and cut into 100 μ m coronal sections with a sliding microtome (SM2010R, Leica), and the sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides and coverslipped with a mounting medium (Vectashield, Vector Labs). Sections were observed in an epifluorescent upright microscope (Eclipse E600, Nikon) to verify probe tracks.

Data Analysis

All spike detection and sorting took place offline. For this process, freely available software (KlustaSuite)¹ was used. Spike train analysis was performed with Matlab (Mathworks). A two-sample *t*-test was performed to assess the statistical significance of the optically evoked responses. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Publicly Available Data Set

The raw data recorded for this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.15129/53ec9764-79b1-4746-b5bc-f45088b5a774.

Results

Characteristics of the μ LED Probe

The fabricated µLED probe demonstrated high light output, as shown in Figure 1B, with an irradiance at the μ LED surface of 2 W/mm² possible at 6 mA, the light will propagate through the sapphire substrate giving a maximum intensity of 52 mW/mm² at the tissue/probe interface. The µLED probe has an emission spectrum that peaks at a wavelength of 450 nm and a full width at half maximum of 20 nm. A typical spectrum for this device can be seen in McAlinden et al. (2013). The input current can be modulated down to 100 µA dictated by the turn on voltage of the diode and giving an irradiance of 100 mW/mm² at the μ LED surface, which corresponds to 0.5 mW/mm² at the tissue/probe interface. Since the device is driven by a current source, a certain voltage drives a fixed current through the diode structure. This voltage remains stable whether the device is operated in air, or saline demonstrating that the device is well electrically isolated by the PECVD oxide and parylene insulating layers, suggesting that no additional current paths arise from in vivo

¹https://github.com/klusta-team







The output power at the tip of the fiber was 1.1 mW. **Note**: The light propagation through the sapphire **(A)** and saline **(B)** is only representative. The true propagation involves back-reflections and strong interference patterns, which have been accounted for in the model, but detract from the clarity of the figure without contributing to the significance of the result.



operation. The device has a reflecting p-metal contact on each micro-pixel, meaning that the light is predominantly emitted through the optically transparent sapphire substrate (**Figure 1C**). Since light travels through the sapphire substrate (Figure 1C) and follows a Lambertian distribution (Griffin et al., 2005), the effective diameter of an illumination site at the sapphire surface is larger than the physical diameter of the μ LED (30 μ m). This corresponds to a reduction in the intensity of light emerging at the sapphire/tissue interface and we have quantified this effect using the Monte Carlo method. Figure 2 compares the light distribution from the µLED probe and the optrode (see Section Materials and Methods). The fabricated µLED probe can activate volumes very similar to the optrode device, but can do this in a depth independent manner. Figure 2A shows the light propagation from a 30 μ m μ LED through a sapphire layer and into the cortical tissue for 3 different µLED currents; 0.25 mA, 1 mA and 4 mA, respectively. Using a low µLED current allows for small-area local stimulation, while using a higher current can give broad area stimulation, up to 0.3 mm³ with an operating current of 4 mA. In each case only the deeper cortical layers can be illuminated whereas superficial layers remain below the threshold for ChR2 activation. For comparison, Figure 2B shows the stimulation area for the optical fiber. At the light output shown, there is sufficient light to illuminate to a depth of 1.0 mm. Neurons in the superficial cortical layers will be strongly activated. Local excitation is always difficult using this approach and illumination of only deep cortical layers would be impossible. Based on these simulations, it is expected that cortical neurons at different depths (up to ~1 mm deep) can be activated with the conventional illumination, whereas deep cortical neurons will be activated with the μ LED inserted to a target depth.

Optogenetic Neocortical Neural Activation In Vivo

To test our predictions, we performed *in vivo* experiments where both an optrode and the μ LED probe were inserted into the neocortex next to each other (**Figure 3A**). We used urethane anesthetized Emx-1-IRES-Cre; Ai32 mice that express ChR2(H134R) in the entire cortex. Four experiments were conducted in total, of which one experiment showed good recordings from two cortical neurons simultaneously with optically evoked responses using both optical fiber and μ LED stimulation (4 mA; **Figures 3B,C**). In this experiment, the



FIGURE 4 | Histology. Green signals indicate enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) expression in the sensorimotor cortex. Red signals indicate Dil signals, highlighting the position of the implanted optrode. The left optrode track shows the position of the optrode when robust, optically-driven responses were observed (see **Figure 3**). Arrows indicate the track of the μ LED probe which is not as visible since it was not coated in Dil. Although the insertion of this 100 μ m μ LED probe caused damage in superficial layers, deep layers appeared relatively intact.

distance between the two probes was 400 μ m at the cortical surface and the optrode and μ LED probe penetrated to a depth of 1.2 mm and 1.5 mm, respectively, with 10° angle between them. First we estimated the depth position of the neurons measuring the peak position of the spike waveforms recorded on the 32-channel electrode array (**Figure 3B**). This estimate showed that the neurons were separated by ~250 μ m in depth. We did not observe any distortion of spike waveforms during optical stimulation. Secondly, we assessed the optically evoked responses shown in **Figure 3C**. Although these two neurons spontaneously fired at 0.04 Hz and 0.1 Hz, respectively, as we expected, both deep cortical neurons were strongly activated by both types of optical stimulation.

Finally, to verify the location of probes, we performed a histological analysis (**Figure 4**). Enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) was expressed in the entire neocortical layers as expected (Gorski et al., 2002; Madisen et al., 2012). Although significant damage can be observed in superficial layers (due to the thickness of the μ LED probe, 100 μ m), the track of the μ LED probe and the multiple tracks of the optrode can be clearly identified. The cortical tissue in the deep layers, where neuronal signals were recorded, appeared to be undamaged.

Discussion

We have demonstrated the feasibility of sapphire-based μ LED probes for *in vivo* optogenetic experiments, successfully activating neocortical neurons *in vivo*. Monte Carlo simulations show that the μ LED probes are able to illuminate volumes

equivalent to conventional techniques and that this illumination can be decreased so that local regions are activated. Additionally, the depth of light penetration no longer depends on light scattering and absorption, but on how far the probe is inserted into the brain.

In our experiments, µLED stimulation led to strong responses in deep cortical neurons. To ensure that the recorded waveforms were not optically induced artifacts, we recorded spontaneous activity and show that the spiking waveform matches the optically induced cases (both for the µLED and fiber, see Figure 3). This is further reinforced by the fact that the waveforms are recorded at different depths and are asynchronous in time. Though the spontaneous firing rates were low for the recorded neurons, it is not uncommon for cortical neurons to fire sparsely (Sakata and Harris, 2009, 2012). In addition, the current experimental protocol induced damage in superficial layers (Figure 4), which likely contributed to low spontaneous firing rates as losing synaptic inputs and damaging dendrites in superficial layers may affect firing rates in deeper layers. However, optical stimulations could elicit action potentials due to the strong expression of ChR2 in the somas. The exact neural mechanisms of the optogenetic activation are likely complex (i.e., direct and indirect effects) due to ChR2 expression patterns in the transgenic mouse used. However, our results do show that µLED stimulation can robustly elicit optogenetic neural activation in the neocortex and may induce a different pattern of neural population activity in vivo from that with a conventional approach.

Comparisons with other Approaches and Future Perspective

Activation of cortical neurons with surface illumination is the most popular option to date (Huber et al., 2008; Cardin et al., 2009; Sachidhanandam et al., 2013; Zagha et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014; Schneider et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). However, given the fact that different cortical layers and celltypes contribute to different aspects of neural processing and coding (Sakata and Harris, 2009; Harris and Mrsic-Flogel, 2013; Huang, 2014; Kepecs and Fishell, 2014; Womelsdorf et al., 2014), such conventional approaches have a serious limitation in activating different cortical layers without specific gene expression strategies (Huang, 2014). This is especially true in the case of cell-types that are located across layers, such as GABAergic interneurons. The approach outlined here will offer a new option to activate a particular cell-type in a laminarspecific manner. Moreover, our approach is easily applicable to deeper brain structures, which have been targeted for deep brain stimulation.

Recently many new strategies for delivering light for optogenetic studies have been proposed (Hayashi et al., 2012; Stark et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Zorzos et al., 2012; Ozden et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Warden et al., 2014). These offer several advantages to the conventional approach including multiple excitation sites, activation at depth, incorporating electrodes onto the light delivery probe and *in vivo* imaging. Of particular interest are the proposed multipoint-emitting optic fibers (Pisanello et al., 2014) which have advantages in multipoint stimulations, multi-color illumination and fabrication costs and the multifunction probes made by Kim et al. (2013), which consist of four interconnected LEDs to give a large stimulation volume. The μ LED probe discussed here shows the advantages of both of these technologies, including relative ease of manufacture, individually addressability of excitation sites, scalability to many excitation sites and potential miniaturization. A clear technology development strategy can also be seen to allow these probes be used for *in vivo* optogenetics studies in awake behaving animals. New technologies of this type are seen as key to future research in optogenetics (Deisseroth and Schnitzer, 2013; Warden et al., 2014).

Our current approach using GaN on sapphire material allows us to produce high brightness low leakage current μ LEDs. However, there are 3 technical challenges that limit the use of this device. Firstly, sapphire is a challenging material to thin beyond 100 μ m, making the probe more invasive that desired. Secondly, the μ LEDs will generate heat at the surface placing upper limits on pulse duration and duty cycle (see McAlinden et al. (2013), where these parameters are explored). Thirdly, the spatial resolution is limited by the Lambertian emission profile of the μ LED and the fact that light has to propagate through the sapphire substrate before reaching the neural interface. This may result in unwanted optogenetic activation, such as dendritic

References

- Adamantidis, A. R., Zhang, F., Aravanis, A. M., Deisseroth, K., and de Lecea, L. (2007). Neural substrates of awakening probed with optogenetic control of hypocretin neurons. *Nature* 450, 420–424. doi: 10.1038/nature 06310
- Boyden, E. S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G., and Deisseroth, K. (2005). Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1263–1268. doi: 10.1038/nn1525
- Cardin, J. A., Carlén, M., Meletis, K., Knoblich, U., Zhang, F., Deisseroth, K., et al. (2009). Driving fast-spiking cells induces gamma rhythm and controls sensory responses. *Nature* 459, 663–667. doi: 10.1038/nature08002
- Deisseroth, K., and Schnitzer, M. J. (2013). Engineering approaches to illuminating brain structure and dynamics. *Neuron* 80, 568–577. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013. 10.032
- Fu, Y., Tucciarone, J. M., Espinosa, J. S., Sheng, N., Darcy, D. P., Nicoll, R. A., et al. (2014). A cortical circuit for gain control by behavioral state. *Cell* 156, 1139–1152. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.050
- Gorski, J. A., Talley, T., Qiu, M., Puelles, L., Rubenstein, J. L., and Jones, K. R. (2002). Cortical excitatory neurons and glia, but not GABAergic neurons, are produced in the Emx1-expressing lineage. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 6309–6314.
- Griffin, C., Gu, E., Choi, H. W., Jeon, C. W., Girkin, J. M., Dawson, M. D., et al. (2005). Beam divergence measurements of InGaN/GaN micro-array lightemitting diodes using confocal microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 86:041111. doi: 10. 1063/1.1850599
- Grossman, N., Poher, V., Grubb, M. S., Kennedy, G. T., Nikolic, K., McGovern, B., et al. (2010). Multi-site optical excitation using ChR2 and micro-LED array. *J. Neural Eng.* 7:16004. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/7/1/016004
- Harris, K. D., and Mrsic-Flogel, T. D. (2013). Cortical connectivity and sensory coding. *Nature* 503, 51–58. doi: 10.1038/nature12654
- Häusser, M. (2014). Optogenetics: the age of light. *Nat. Methods* 11, 1012–1014. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3111
- Hayashi, Y., Tagawa, Y., Yawata, S., Nakanishi, S., and Funabiki, K. (2012). Spatiotemporal control of neural activity *in vivo* using fluorescence microendoscopy. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 36, 2722–2732. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012. 08191.x

activation. To overcome these technical challenges we predict that moving to GaN grown on a silicon substrate (a material growth strategy being pursued commercially by the LED lighting community) will allow standard microfabrication techniques to be employed, resulting in thinner probes (below 50 μ m) with increased functionality (e.g., multiple optical stimulation sites) and a higher yield. A silicon substrate will also enhance the thermal properties of the device due to the increased thermal conductivity. Spatial resolution can be optimized by producing top emission µLEDs, where light has only to transverse the encapsulating layers (typically a few microns in thickness) before interfacing with the neural tissue. Hybrid µLED probes with integrated recording electrodes can also be envisaged in the near future. Due to these possibilities, μ LED probes are a promising approach to control neural activity locally at different depths in vivo.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by MRC (MR/J004448/1) and BBSRC (BB/K016830/1) to SS, EP/I029141/1 to MDD and a SU2P pilot project (EP/G042446/1). We also thank mLED ltd. for their technical support especially Dr Gareth Valentine, Dr Zheng Gong and Dr Jim Bonar.

- Huang, Z. J. (2014). Toward a genetic dissection of cortical circuits in the mouse. Neuron 83, 1284–1302. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.041
- Huber, D., Petreanu, L., Ghitani, N., Ranade, S., Hromadka, T., Mainen, Z., et al. (2008). Sparse optical microstimulation in barrel cortex drives learned behaviour in freely moving mice. *Nature* 451, 61–64. doi: 10.1038/nature 06445
- Kepecs, A., and Fishell, G. (2014). Interneuron cell types are fit to function. Nature 505, 318–326. doi: 10.1038/nature12983
- Kim, T. I., McCall, J. G., Jung, Y. H., Huang, X., Siuda, E. R., Li, Y., et al. (2013). Injectable, cellular-scale optoelectronics with applications for wireless optogenetics. *Science* 340, 211–216. doi: 10.1126/science.1232437
- Madisen, L., Mao, T., Koch, H., Zhuo, J. M., Berenyi, A., Fujisawa, S., et al. (2012). A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for light-induced activation and silencing. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 793–802. doi: 10.1038/nn.3078
- McAlinden, N., Massoubre, D., Richardson, E., Gu, E., Sakata, S., Dawson, M. D., et al. (2013). Thermal and optical characterization of micro-LED probes for *in vivo* optogenetic neural stimulation. *Opt. Lett.* 38, 992–994. doi: 10.1364/OL. 38.000992
- Moser, T. (2015). Optogenetic stimulation of the auditory pathway for research and future prosthetics. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 34C, 29–36. doi: 10.1016/j.conb. 2015.01.004
- Ozden, I., Wang, J., Lu, Y., May, T., Lee, J., Goo, W., et al. (2013). A coaxial optrode as multifunction write-read probe for optogenetic studies in nonhuman primates. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 219, 142–154. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth. 2013.06.011
- Packer, A. M., Roska, B., and Häusser, M. (2013). Targeting neurons and photons for optogenetics. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 805–815. doi: 10.1038/nn.3427
- Pisanello, F., Sileo, L., Oldenburg, I. A., Pisanello, M., Martiradonna, L., Assad, J. A., et al. (2014). Multipoint-emitting optical fibers for spatially addressable *in vivo* optogenetics. *Neuron* 82, 1245–1254. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014. 04.041
- Rickgauer, J. P., Deisseroth, K., and Tank, D. W. (2014). Simultaneous cellularresolution optical perturbation and imaging of place cell firing fields. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1816–1824. doi: 10.1038/nn.3866
- Rickgauer, J. P., and Tank, D. W. (2009). Two-photon excitation of channelrhodopsin-2 at saturation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 106, 15025–15030. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907084106

- Sachidhanandam, S., Sreenivasan, V., Kyriakatos, A., Kremer, Y., and Petersen, C. C. (2013). Membrane potential correlates of sensory perception in mouse barrel cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 1671–1677. doi: 10.1038/nn.3532
- Sakata, S., and Harris, K. D. (2009). Laminar structure of spontaneous and sensoryevoked population activity in auditory cortex. *Neuron* 64, 404–418. doi: 10. 1016/j.neuron.2009.09.020
- Sakata, S., and Harris, K. D. (2012). Laminar-dependent effects of cortical state on auditory cortical spontaneous activity. *Front. Neural Circuits* 6:109. doi: 10. 3389/fncir.2012.00109
- Schneider, D. M., Nelson, A., and Mooney, R. (2014). A synaptic and circuit basis for corollary discharge in the auditory cortex. *Nature* 513, 189–194. doi: 10. 1038/nature13724
- Stark, E., Koos, T., and Buzsaki, G. (2012). Diode probes for spatiotemporal optical control of multiple neurons in freely moving animals. J. Neurophysiol. 108, 349–363. doi: 10.1152/jn.00153.2012
- Wang, L., Jacques, S. L., and Zheng, L. (1995). MCML–Monte Carlo modeling of light transport in multi-layered tissues. *Comput. Methods Programs Biomed.* 47, 131–146. doi: 10.1016/0169-2607(95)01640-f
- Wang, J., Wagner, F., Borton, D. A., Zhang, J., Ozden, I., Burwell, R. D., et al. (2012). Integrated device for combined optical neuromodulation and electrical recording for chronic *in vivo* applications. *J. Neural Eng.* 9:016001. doi: 10. 1088/1741-2560/9/1/016001
- Warden, M. R., Cardin, J. A., and Deisseroth, K. (2014). Optical neural interfaces. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 16, 103–129. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071813-104733
- Womelsdorf, T., Valiante, T. A., Sahin, N. T., Miller, K. J., and Tiesinga, P. (2014). Dynamic circuit motifs underlying rhythmic gain control, gating and integration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1031–1039. doi: 10.1038/nn. 3764
- Wu, F., Stark, E., Im, M., Cho, I. J., Yoon, E. S., Buzsáki, G., et al. (2013). An implantable neural probe with monolithically integrated dielectric waveguide and recording electrodes for optogenetics applications. *J. Neural Eng.* 10:056012. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/10/5/056012

- Yaroslavsky, A. N., Schulze, P. C., Yaroslavsky, I. V., Schober, R., Ulrich, F., and Schwarzmaier, H. J. (2002). Optical properties of selected native and coagulated human brain tissues *in vitro* in the visible and near infrared spectral range. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 47, 2059–2073. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/47/12/305
- Yizhar, O., Fenno, L. E., Davidson, T. J., Mogri, M., and Deisseroth, K. (2011). Optogenetics in neural systems. *Neuron* 71, 9–34. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011. 06.004
- Zagha, E., Casale, A. E., Sachdev, R. N., McGinley, M. J., and McCormick, D. A. (2013). Motor cortex feedback influences sensory processing by modulating network state. *Neuron* 79, 567–578. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.008
- Zhang, H. X., Massoubre, D., McKendry, J., Gong, Z., Guilhabert, B., Griffin, C., et al. (2008). Individually-addressable flip-chip AlInGaN micropixelated light emitting diode arrays with high continuous and nanosecond output power. *Opt. Express* 16, 9918–9926. doi: 10.1364/oe.16.009918
- Zhang, S., Xu, M., Kamigaki, T., Hoang Do, J. P., Chang, W. C., Jenvay, S., et al. (2014). Selective attention. Long-range and local circuits for topdown modulation of visual cortex processing. *Science* 345, 660–665. doi: 10. 1126/science.1254126
- Zorzos, A. N., Scholvin, J., Boyden, E. S., and Fonstad, C. G. (2012). Threedimensional multiwaveguide probe array for light delivery to distributed brain circuits. *Opt. Lett.* 37, 4841–4843. doi: 10.1364/OL.37.004841

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 McAlinden, Gu, Dawson, Sakata and Mathieson. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.