

Newcastle University e-prints

Date deposited: 5th January 2011

Version of file: Submitted author version

Peer Review Status: Pre-peer review

Citation for published item:

Kolenbrander PE, Palmer RJ, Periasamy S, Jakubovics NS. [Oral multispecies biofilm development and the key role of cell-cell distance](#). *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2010, **8**(7), pp. 471-480.

Further information on publisher website:

<http://www.nature.com/>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is a pre-peer review version of this article. The final definitive version of the article, published by Nature Publishing Group, 2010, is available at:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2381>

Always use the definitive version when citing.

Use Policy:

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not for profit purposes provided that:

- A full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- A link is made to the metadata record in Newcastle E-prints
- The full text is not changed in any way.

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

**Robinson Library, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne.
NE1 7RU. Tel. 0191 222 6000**

1 **Cell-to-Cell Distance: Essential Currency**
2 **of Oral Multispecies Biofilm Communities**

3
4 Paul E. Kolenbrander¹

5 Robert J. Palmer, Jr. ¹

6 Saravanan Periasamy¹

7 Nicholas S. Jakubovics²

8
9 ¹National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health,
10 Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA; ²School of Dental Sciences, Newcastle University,
11 Newcastle upon Tyne, England, UK

12
13 Corresponding author:

14 Paul E. Kolenbrander, Ph.D.

15 National Institutes of Health/NIDCR

16 Building 30, Room 310

17 30 Convent Drive, MSC 4350

18 Bethesda, MD 20892-4350

19 USA

20 Phone: 301-496-1497

21 Fax: 301-402-0396

22 Email: pkolenbrander@dir.nidcr.nih.gov

23

1 **Abstract:**

2 Growth of human oral bacteria *in situ* requires adhesion to a surface: the constant flow of
3 host secretions thwarts planktonic cells' ability to double before they are swallowed. Oral
4 bacteria coevolved with their host to form biofilms on hard tooth surfaces and on soft
5 epithelial tissues. They exist in paradigmatic multispecies model communities that are
6 easy to study: they are readily accessible as are their host's secretions. Single species
7 growth solely on saliva is rare, but multispecies communities thrive on it as a sole
8 nutritional source. Distance between cells of different species is the primary currency for
9 oral biofilm growth.

10

11

12 **Introduction:**

13 In the early stages of microbial evolution on Earth, communities of genetically identical
14 microorganisms may have been the norm. Nowadays, these monospecies populations
15 remain only as ancient relics hidden in the depths of the Earth. Indeed, the first truly
16 monospecies microbial community was not discovered until 2008, when extensive
17 sequence analysis of samples from a South African mine 2.8 km below the surface
18 yielded a single microbial genome of *Candidatus Desulforudis audaxviator*¹. The vast
19 majority of microbial ecosystems contain large numbers of genetically distinct
20 microorganisms. The extent of microbial diversity depends partially on the adopted
21 definition of a microbial species or phylotype and on the method of analysis applied²⁻⁴.
22 Recent surveys using pyrosequencing technologies indicate that natural populations such
23 as microbial communities at deep-sea hydrothermal vents consist of tens of thousands of

1 phylogenetically distinct microorganisms ⁵. When applied to supragingival (above the
2 gumline) dental plaque, similar methods indicate that between 19,000 and 26,000
3 different microbial phylotypes inhabit this environment ⁶. Therefore, in terms of
4 phylogenetic diversity, microbial communities in the oral cavity are typical of those
5 found currently in other microbial ecosystems.

6

7 Accessibility coupled with a half-century of traditional bacteriological investigation has
8 resulted in oral microbial communities becoming one of the best-described human
9 microbial systems. Molecular methods confirm their high percentage of cultured
10 members, and the as-yet-uncultured members are becoming amenable to the cultivation
11 necessary for metabolic characterization. Besides high diversity, the oral ecosystem is
12 characterized by succession, natural disturbances (oral hygiene), biogeographical nuances
13 (e.g., teeth vs. tongue), and interaction with host tissue and secretions. Integration of
14 these factors will enable definition and, eventually, prediction of the transitions from
15 health to disease in the oral cavity, as well as serving as a paradigm for other biofilm
16 systems in general and mammalian microbiomes in particular.

17

18 This review discusses multispecies biofilms with only limited mention of single-species
19 investigations. The spatial distribution of the species in biofilms has profound
20 consequences for the activity and stability of the community as a whole. Here, we
21 describe how dental plaque biofilms develop and how oral microbial communities are
22 sustained in health and disease. We emphasize the significance of the small molecule
23 signal autoinducer-2 (AI-2), although signaling molecules such as arginine deiminase

1 (ArcA) have been reported⁷ and other communication signals will undoubtedly also be
2 discovered. Within biofilms, cell-to-cell distance is fundamental to inter-microbial
3 communication processes.

4

5

6 **I. Oral communities are multispecies**

7 Biofilm biology began with the near-exclusive study of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in *in*
8 *vitro* systems using standard bacteriological media but now encompasses a broad palette
9 of organisms, culture conditions and model systems. A re-orientation has occurred. A
10 dramatic increase in multispecies biofilm studies has accompanied the realization that
11 intraspecies and interspecies relationships are integral to bacterial communities “in the
12 wild”. Relationships between bacterial cells in biofilms are by default spatiotemporal,
13 and they are the genesis as well as the culmination of the biofilm community. The oral
14 cavity provides paradigm biofilms for investigation of the driving forces and natural
15 consequences of multispecies community organization and development.

16

17 *Importance of adherence to biofilm communities.* Oral microbes unable to adhere to a
18 surface are transported by salivary flow out of the mouth and down the digestive tract.
19 Not surprisingly, all oral bacteria possess mechanisms of adherence to salivary pellicle-
20 coated solid surfaces such as teeth, to desquamating surfaces such as epithelial tissue, or
21 to bacteria that are already surface-attached.

22

1 Adherence of microbial cells to immobilized bacteria is called coadhesion⁸. If the
2 bacterial cells are in suspension, it is called coaggregation: both coadhesion and
3 coaggregation are defined as specific cell-cell interactions between genetically distinct
4 cells, for example between *Capnocytophaga gingivalis* and *Actinomyces israelii* or
5 between *Prevotella loescheii* and *Streptococcus sanguinis*. In fact, all of the roughly
6 1000 oral bacterial strains we have examined have at least one coaggregation partner, and
7 highly specific partnerships exist. This specificity in partners [**Box 1**], together with
8 inhibition of coaggregations by certain sugars or by protease treatment of partners, as
9 well as the temporal order of appearance of species on a professionally cleaned tooth
10 surface led to the proposal⁹ that the ability to coaggregate plays a role in succession of
11 genera that colonize enamel (**Fig. 1**).

12
13 Streptococci, especially, recognize receptors in the salivary pellicle (**Fig. 1**), which coats
14 enamel immediately after the surface is cleaned. The host is the origin for most of the
15 receptors, such as statherin, proline-rich proteins, salivary alpha-amylase, sialylated
16 mucins, and salivary agglutinin. Actinomyces bind to proline-rich proteins and statherin,
17 a phosphate-containing protein. Fusobacteria bind to statherin but not proline-rich
18 proteins. The mechanisms mediating coaggregation and coadhesion appear to be
19 identical, and we will use coaggregation to describe this phenomenon here. The genus
20 *Fusobacterium* exhibits more partnerships than any other genus. Because strains of *F.*
21 *nucleatum* coaggregate with initial, early, and late colonizers, we have suggested that
22 they are important 'bridge' organisms in the succession of genera in naturally developing
23 dental plaque⁹. The ability of *F. nucleatum* to coaggregate is essential for this role in

1 multispecies biofilm formation. Mutants lacking the 350 kDa cell surface protein *radD*
2 that mediates coaggregation with *S. sanguinis* were unable to form structured dual
3 species biofilms with this partner organism¹⁰. Coaggregation has been demonstrated
4 between isolated microorganisms from communities outside the oral cavity, including the
5 human urogenital tract and intestine, and freshwater environments¹¹⁻¹³. Thus,
6 coaggregation and coadhesion may be important mechanisms for the growth and stability
7 of multispecies biofilms in environments outside the oral cavity as well.

8

9 The predominant initial colonizers streptococci and actinomyces¹⁴⁻¹⁷ and their
10 coaggregation partners have been our primary focus¹⁸⁻²⁷. We propose that these initial
11 colonizers exploit a set of characteristics perfected for community formation and
12 multispecies growth and that these initial communities are the cornerstones of biofilm
13 regrowth after each oral hygiene procedure. Thus, the natural succession of species in
14 oral biofilms provides a paradigm for biofilm investigations in all natural settings.

15

16 *Multispecies microbial communication.* By definition, non-random, repeatable microbial
17 succession requires organization and communication. Communication is used here to
18 represent the exchanges of signals, metabolites and other molecules that occur when
19 genetically distinct cells develop into communities. Oral communities re-form after their
20 disruption by tooth brushing and flossing. Inter-species communication is however an
21 important and emerging discussion point that has been addressed in several excellent
22 opinion pieces²⁸⁻³². Microbe-to-microbe communication has been presented in the
23 context of definitions used to characterize communication between eukaryotes and the

1 consequences on evolution of eukaryotic species³¹ and in the context of a proposed
2 universal signaling molecule AI-2³³ and its potential role in biofilm development³⁰.
3 Communication between the human host and the oral microbial community³⁴⁻³⁶ as well as
4 communication among the highly diverse microbial species contribute to the
5 spatiotemporal reproducibility in dental plaque development. In this review, we discuss
6 communication in the context of spatial relationships: the proximity of the
7 communicating organisms to one another. Cell-cell distance is a key factor that both
8 determines and is determined by signaling and communication processes. For signals
9 that do not easily move through biofilms [**Box 2**], juxtaposition of cells is critical for
10 effective interbacterial communication.

11

12

13 **II. Transitions of oral biofilms**

14 *Biogeography of the oral cavity.* The mouth can be viewed as an island: a unique
15 environment within the human body characterized by near-constant presence of liquid
16 water (in saliva), by short-term yet extreme temperature fluctuation, by an externally
17 exposed hard surface (teeth), and by wide variation in carbon/nitrogen input including a
18 basal component (saliva) that is complex yet possessive of only limited bacterial energy
19 sources. Its microbial inhabitants are rarely found outside the oropharynx. However,
20 upon close examination (at the scale of mm or μm), it becomes apparent that the oral
21 cavity presents a broad palette of environmental conditions and is therefore more akin to
22 a temperate continent than to an island. Distinct microenvironments occur at secretion
23 sites of saliva or of gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), and can be defined by the degree and

1 nature of contact between epithelial tissues and hard tissues. Biofilm community
2 composition reflects position on this oral continent ³⁷ as well as changes in the local
3 environment induced by intrinsic metabolism of the community itself. Tooth-associated
4 oral biofilms can be roughly divided into supragingival biofilms (on exposed enamel
5 surfaces) and subgingival biofilms (within the periodontal pocket or sulcus).

6

7 *Transitions in supragingival biofilms - feast and famine.* Streptococci, the most-
8 numerous organisms in oral biofilms, ferment low-molecular-weight (LMW)
9 carbohydrates to acids such as acetate, formate and lactate. Salivary fermentable
10 carbohydrate occurs primarily in the form of complex glycoprotein that is of limited
11 value as a primary carbon source; the scarce low-molecular-weight carbohydrate (*e.g.*,
12 glucose) is rapidly removed by bacterial metabolism. “Resting” activity in these biofilms
13 depends on syntrophic metabolism of salivary glycoprotein ^{24, 38, 39}. The innate buffering
14 capacity and high turnover of saliva maintain the pH above 6.0 at the tooth-surface.
15 LMW carbohydrate becomes abundant while eating and drinking; bacterial metabolism
16 peaks, and the local pH can drop to near 5: the so-called critical pH at which enamel
17 dissolution (leading to caries) occurs. The critical pH of each individual is modulated by
18 multiple variables ⁴⁰: salivary $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{PO}_4^{3-}/\text{OH}^-$ concentration, salivary production rate,
19 dietary acid intake, host immune response, and by plaque community composition. Once
20 dietary carbohydrate is exhausted, pH rises and enamel dissolution ceases. Communities
21 that contain aciduric (acid-generating acid-tolerant) bacteria, such as *Streptococcus*
22 *mutans* and lactobacilli, are particularly cariogenic because they continue to grow at a pH
23 lower than that at which other bacteria thrive ⁴¹ and, as pH drops, acid-tolerant bacteria

1 out-compete others to become a higher proportion of the community. Interestingly, some
2 streptococci metabolize arginine present in salivary oligopeptides: a metabolic pathway
3 results in ammonium production and thereby elevates plaque pH. These streptococci can
4 succeed despite absence of LMW carbohydrate⁴². The role of a balanced microbial
5 community (microbial homeostasis) is described in the “ecological plaque hypothesis”⁴³,
6 which relates disease (caries) to shifts in the overall community metabolism, subsequent
7 modification of local environment, host factors, and bidirectional transitions between
8 floras of varying cariogenicity. Implicit in this hypothesis is that the community is
9 critical – organisms not typically considered cariogenic can be shown to be associated
10 with progression of the disease⁴⁴ and clinical evidence indicates that progression of even
11 grossly cavitated caries lesions can be halted if oral hygiene is improved⁴⁵.

12

13 *Transitions in subgingival biofilms - periodontal diseases.* Below the gum line, gingival
14 crevicular fluid (exudate of host tissue into the sulcus) is the fluid phase; it bears little
15 similarity to saliva, instead it is more akin to serum. The microflora likewise differ from
16 that found supragingivally. Anaerobic bacteria occupy a niche characterized by
17 catabolism of amino acids from exogenous protein via secreted proteases, and the overall
18 species diversity is higher than for supragingival biofilms⁴⁶. Some of these bacteria are
19 considered to be periodontopathogens (e.g., *Porphyromonas gingivalis*) which are
20 hypothesized to misdirect host defense and increase tissue-destructive inflammation⁴⁷.

21 As with caries, the subgingival community undergoes a disease-related succession, and
22 the high diversity in this community means that microbial succession and periodontal
23 disease progression are even more complex than for caries. In healthy individuals,

1 streptococci, actinomyces, and veillonellae dominate; periodontopathogens are present in
2 relatively low numbers. Poor oral hygiene changes the environment to support increased
3 periodontopathogen biomass⁴⁸; gingival detachment and bone and tooth loss follow.
4 Treatment of the disease causes shifts in proportions of organisms towards those
5 characteristic of healthy sites⁴⁹.

6

7

8 **III. Initial colonization and the human enamel chip model**

9 Clearly, transitions of species within populations that constitute supra- and sub-gingival
10 plaque can pose problems for the development of tractable model systems to study oral
11 communities. The complexity of population structure in older supra- and sub-gingival
12 plaque makes study of initial colonization a requirement for understanding the
13 developmental process. A major advance occurred with the use of retrievable enamel
14 chips in the human oral cavity¹⁶. Sterilized retrievable enamel has been used for
15 molecular phylogenic characterization of early plaque species¹⁴ and for in situ
16 characterization of spatiotemporal structure of mixed-species communities^{15, 22, 23, 50, 51}.

17 The small steps already taken by researchers towards understanding oral biofilms include
18 determining the variety of species by culturing, the variety of phylotypes by molecular
19 cloning techniques, and the succession of species with respect to host's age, host's
20 gingival and periodontal health status, and time following the host's oral hygiene
21 procedure.

22

1 Enamel is known to be sparsely colonized by multispecies communities in the first eight
2 hours after cleaning ^{16,17}, and actinomyces, receptor polysaccharide (RPS)-bearing
3 streptococci, and veillonellae are known to be in close contact in these initial
4 communities ^{22,23}. An understanding of physiological interactions within the community
5 as well as the manner by which the communities are established is difficult to develop
6 without having in culture precisely those organisms that comprise the community. To
7 obtain these organisms, a spatially resolved isolation approach such as
8 micromanipulation is required. However, micromanipulation requires time for the
9 investigator to locate a prospective community and time for removal of the intact
10 community. Photobleaching of fluorophores is a problem during long viewing periods, a
11 constraint that can be overcome by using highly photostable quantum dots as the
12 fluorophore in immunofluorescence identification of members within the multispecies
13 community ¹⁹. Quantum-dot-labeled primary antibodies against streptococcal receptor
14 polysaccharide and against veillonellae were used to locate communities on enamel ¹⁸.
15 The labeled community might also contain members that are not antibody-labeled, i.e.,
16 are not seen (**Fig.2**). Indeed, in addition to the antibody-labeled veillonella and RPS-
17 bearing streptococcus, an antibody-unreactive streptococcus was isolated. The
18 streptococci (*S. gordonii*, non-targeted; *S. oralis* targeted by anti-RPS antibody) were
19 coaggregation partners, consistent with a role for this cell-cell recognition in plaque
20 formation. Because only these three species were present in this community, it is likely
21 that small interactive communities such as these are established by cell-cell recognition
22 and are the basic building blocks for oral biofilms in vivo. Once the organisms were
23 cultured, it was possible to reassemble the community in vitro. Thus, a set of organisms

1 known to occur together in initial plaque was shown to interact to form a functional
2 community, indicating the significance of a critical absence of distance between cells.
3 Access to actual communities and the ability to reassemble community participants as
4 flourishing entities are important features that establish oral biofilms as paradigm
5 systems.

6

7

8 **IV. Coaggregation vs. coculture**

9 *Coaggregation: distance is critical.* Coaggregation is an excellent model for the study of
10 gene regulation in response to intimate interbacterial interactions. Coaggregation
11 between compatible partners is easily induced by vortex mixing dense cell suspensions of
12 the partners. With respect to gene regulation in the coaggregating pair of *S. gordonii*
13 DL1 and *A. oris* ATCC 43146, two-species cocultured is vastly different from two-
14 species coaggregated²⁰. Distance is critical. Microarray analysis of *S. gordonii* DL1
15 genes regulated in coaggregates with *A. oris* ATCC 43146 revealed that the expression of
16 only 23 genes changed >3-fold in coaggregates, suggesting a high degree of specificity of
17 gene regulation in response to coaggregation²⁰. Seven of the genes are the
18 *bfbCDARBGF* operon associated with beta-glucoside utilization and identified by others
19 to be involved in biofilm formation⁵², which supports the idea that cell-cell surface
20 interactions within coaggregates are useful models for studies of biofilms. Nine of the 23
21 genes were involved in arginine biosynthesis and transport, indicating the importance of
22 this biosynthetic pathway to this intergeneric pair. In pure culture, *S. gordonii* DL1
23 arginine biosynthesis is inefficient; this streptococcus cannot grow aerobically at arginine

1 concentrations below 0.1mM. In coaggregates with *A. oris*, streptococci grew to high
2 cell density, but, importantly, they failed to grow in coculture with actinomyces until
3 coaggregates slowly formed during a 9-hr incubation period of coculturing. These data
4 suggest that actinomyces stabilize streptococcal growth in low arginine but only when
5 cell-to-cell distances are very short, as they are in coaggregates. The close proximity
6 provided by cell-cell contact confers the ability to grow aerobically even in conditions of
7 arginine limitation²⁰. Clearly, the signal appears not to be diffusible; coaggregation is
8 required; coculture in a closed system is insufficient. Interspecies juxtaposition, even in
9 this closed system, is essential for communication.

10

11 Competition, cooperation and survival must be balanced in multispecies communities.
12 Hydrogen peroxide is produced by many streptococci, and within oral bacterial
13 communities, can be used as a competitive edge. Hydrogen peroxide can cross bacterial
14 cell membranes and effectuate the oxidation of macromolecules including DNA and
15 proteins. Detrimental carbonyl groups can be introduced into the side chains of arginine,
16 proline, lysine, and threonine: this oxidation is irreversible, and carbonylated proteins are
17 targeted for degradation⁵³. Hydrogen peroxide is produced by pyruvate oxidase, *SpxB*,
18 and in the microarray analysis of gene regulation by cell-cell contact in coaggregates of
19 *S. gordonii* and *A. oris*, *spxB*, one of the 23 genes, was upregulated²⁰. A connection
20 between *spxB* and arginine biosynthesis was considered through possible carbonylation
21 of amino acids²¹. Whereas monocultures of *S. gordonii* exhibited extensive protein
22 oxidation and were rapidly killed following growth (>99% of cells died within 24 h after
23 entry into stationary phase), in coaggregates minimal protein oxidation and no loss of

1 viability was observed. Therefore, *A. oris* protects *S. gordonii* from self-inflicted
2 oxidative damage. This protective effect is presumably accomplished by the catalase of
3 *A. oris* that also protects the actinomyces when cell densities of the species are low.
4 Curiously, when allowed to grow together overnight, the streptococci kill actinomyces; *A.*
5 *oris* cell numbers in coaggregates are 90 % lower than as monocultures²¹. In vivo
6 interactions between streptococci and actinomyces are influenced by external factors
7 including enzymes from saliva and from other oral bacteria. Perhaps, in vivo salivary
8 and bacterial peroxidases reduce the hydrogen peroxide to levels that are tolerated by *A.*
9 *oris*. Thus, while benefits to streptococci are evident, advantages for actinomyces remain
10 unknown. These results suggest that hydrogen peroxide is a potent molecule that may
11 dominate competitive and cooperative interactions⁵⁴ that lead to multispecies natural
12 communities in dental plaque. A second microarray analysis with a streptococcal *spxB*
13 mutant and *A. oris* might give illumination to the potency of hydrogen peroxide in
14 altering oral microbial community development [Box 3].

15

16 *F. nucleatum* coaggregates with *P. gingivalis* and both species coaggregate with *S.*
17 *gordonii*: a proteomics analysis of *P. gingivalis* in this three-member community versus
18 *P. gingivalis* alone revealed 403 downregulated and 89 upregulated proteins and an
19 overall increase in proteins involved in protein synthesis⁵⁵. One of the community-
20 regulated *P. gingivalis* proteins, HmuR, a major heme uptake protein, was targeted, and
21 a $\Delta hmuR$ mutant was less able than the parent to partner with *S. gordonii* and *F.*
22 *nucleatum* in three-member communities. An earlier study of *P. gingivalis* interactions
23 with *S. gordonii* revealed over 30 porphyromonad genes were differentially regulated⁵⁶.

1 Collectively, these studies represent just the beginning of an exciting foray into the
2 potential role of small molecule signals such as AI-2 in the development of multispecies
3 communities.

4

5 *Coculture*. Coculture in a closed system can be sufficient for interspecies signal
6 transduction, as has been shown in the coculturing of *Veillonella* sp. and *S. gordonii*
7 V288⁵⁷. In this system, *S. gordonii* α -amylase was up-regulated in response to
8 *Veillonella* sp. In closed batch culture, gene regulation was not dependent on
9 coaggregation but occurred even when a dialysis membrane separated the organisms.
10 However, intimate cell-cell contact was required to induce a streptococcal α -amylase
11 (*amyB*) promoter-directed GFP expression in an open flowcell system, indicating that the
12 putative signal was diffusible and accumulated in a closed space⁵⁷. The *amyB* promoter
13 region contains a 7-bp inverted repeat that matches 11 of 14 positions in the consensus of
14 the catabolite-response element (CRE), and this site is recognized by the CcpA family of
15 transcription regulators. The streptococcal CcpA homolog (previously called RegG) was
16 shown to be required for the veillonellae-induced amylase expression in this interspecies
17 interaction⁵⁸. Wild type *S. gordonii* exhibited 3-fold higher amylase activity in
18 cocultures with veillonellae than as monocultures, whereas no regulation of α -amylase
19 was observed in the *ccpA* mutant during coculture⁵⁸. Thus, interspecies cell-cell contact
20 was not necessary for interaction between this pair of oral bacteria.

21

22 Cell-cell contact was also shown to be unnecessary for interkingdom signaling between
23 oral organisms, specifically between *S. gordonii* and *Candida albicans*⁵⁹. *Candida* spp.,

1 and particularly *C. albicans*, cause uncomfortable infections of the oral soft tissues
2 primarily in very young, elderly or immunocompromised individuals ⁶⁰. It has long been
3 known that treatment with antibacterial agents predisposes to overgrowth of *C. albicans*
4 in the mouth ⁶¹, yet very little is understood about how the oral bacteria modulate
5 colonisation by *Candida* spp. However, many species of oral bacteria coaggregate with
6 *C. albicans* ⁶², and a recent study ⁵⁹ provides evidence that interactions between oral
7 bacteria and *Candida* spp. involve cross-talk between bacterial and fungal cell-cell
8 communication systems. Two-species *S. gordonii*/*C. albicans* biofilms contained more
9 biomass than the sum of the equivalent monospecies biofilms, indicating that interactions
10 between these microorganisms are synergistic. Interactions with *S. gordonii* led to a
11 number of changes in *C. albicans*, including increased production of hyphae and the
12 activation or repression of three MAP kinases involved in morphogenetic switching. *S.*
13 *gordonii* also interfered with the ability of the *C. albicans* intercellular signalling
14 molecule farnesol to inhibit hyphal formation. *S. gordonii* adhered to *C. albicans* hyphae
15 via interactions between streptococcal antigen I/II proteins and an unidentified *C.*
16 *albicans* receptor. However, cell-cell contact was not essential for the *S. gordonii*-
17 induced modulation of fungal hyphae formation, as shown by the production of hyphae in
18 response to *S. gordonii* spent culture medium ⁵⁹. Therefore, it appears that *S. gordonii*
19 produces a diffusible interkingdom signalling molecule that induces responses in *C.*
20 *albicans* and interferes with *C. albicans* cell-cell communication.

21

22

23 **V. DNA transfer in biofilms**

1 A prime example of a microbial process where distance is critical and cell-cell contact is
2 required is the transfer of DNA between cells by mating (conjugation). Genes encoded by
3 conjugative transposons have been found in many genera of oral bacteria, including (but
4 not limited to) *Actinomyces*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Fusobacterium*, *Haemophilus*,
5 *Peptostreptococcus*, *Streptococcus* and *Veillonella*⁶³. Analysis of sequenced oral
6 bacterial genomes suggests that past horizontal gene transfer events account for between
7 5% and 45% of genes in different species⁶⁴. For example, analysis of *P. gingivalis* strain
8 ATCC 33277 revealed 13 regions of atypical nucleotide composition that are likely to
9 have been acquired from foreign organisms⁶⁵. A large degree of variation exists between
10 strains of *P. gingivalis* suggesting that this organism has undergone frequent genetic
11 recombination events resulting in a panmictic population structure, i.e. a multispecies
12 population that has arisen through random exchange of DNA between individuals⁶⁶.
13 Extensive strain variation is characteristic of pathogens that are also present in the
14 indigenous microflora, such as *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and
15 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. However, unlike these organisms, *P. gingivalis* does not
16 undergo natural genetic transformation. It therefore seems likely that *P. gingivalis* has
17 acquired foreign DNA through conjugation in oral biofilms. Conjugative transfer of DNA
18 between different strains of *P. gingivalis* has been demonstrated in the laboratory⁶⁷, but
19 has yet to be shown in biofilms.
20
21 Biofilms would appear to provide an excellent environment for DNA exchange since
22 cells are in close proximity to one another, and DNA can be trapped within the
23 extracellular matrix. There are several reports of horizontal gene transfer between oral

1 streptococci in biofilm communities. For example, conjugative elements harboring
2 antibiotic resistance genes were transferred between streptococci in microcosm dental
3 plaque biofilms⁶⁸ and in the mouths of volunteers⁶⁹. Oral streptococci are naturally
4 transformable, and it is possible that in these experiments extracellular-matrix DNA was
5 transmitted without direct cell-cell contact. Indeed, a conjugation-defective plasmid could
6 be transferred from *T. denticola* to *S. gordonii* in biofilms⁷⁰. Although competence-
7 dependent transformation does not require a physical bridge between the partner cells, the
8 spatial arrangement of cells within biofilms may play a key role in this process by
9 providing a local source of DNA to competent cells. The development of competence in
10 streptococci occurs in response to sensing a secreted signal molecule, competence
11 stimulating peptide (CSP), which is encoded by the *comC* gene. The sequence of *comC*,
12 and of its product CSP, varies between streptococci. Streptococci respond only to the
13 variant of CSP that they produce. Consequently, CSP-mediated communication is
14 essentially species- or even strain-dependent. Competence development in *S. mutans* is
15 apparently far more efficient in monospecies biofilms than in planktonic cells, and it has
16 been postulated that CSP acts as a quorum-sensing regulator in this organism⁷¹.

17

18 In addition to their role in DNA uptake and incorporation, *S. mutans* competence genes
19 also play key roles in releasing DNA, which stabilizes the architecture of biofilms⁷². In
20 multispecies biofilms, CSP signalling by *S. mutans* is a target for competition by other
21 oral streptococci. *S. salivarius* produces an extracellular product that interferes with *S.*
22 *mutans* CSP and inhibits biofilm formation⁷³. *S. sanguinis*, *S. mitis*, *S. oralis* and *S.*
23 *gordonii* also interfere with *S. mutans* CSP. In the case of *S. gordonii*, the CSP-degrading

1 activity was identified as the extracellular protease challisin⁷⁴. Degradation of CSP by
2 oral streptococci may be a mechanism for self-protection, since high concentrations of *S.*
3 *mutans* CSP trigger the production and secretion of bacteriocins that kill neighbouring
4 cells and release their DNA⁷⁵. *S. mutans* is immune to its own extracellular bacteriocins.
5 However, the accumulation of CSP triggers the synthesis of an intracellular bacteriocin,
6 and subsequent autolysis, in approximately 1% of the *S. mutans* population⁷⁶. Therefore
7 in the absence of other species, CSP-mediated quorum sensing enables *S. mutans* to
8 obtain extracellular DNA from a subpopulation of *S. mutans* cells. Extracellular DNA is
9 an important component of monospecies and mixed-species biofilms formed by many
10 Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria^{77,78} and has been reported in natural
11 microbial populations such as soil ecosystems⁷⁹. Thus, the release of DNA from
12 microbial cells in oral biofilms can be beneficial for neighboring bacteria in at least two
13 regards: by stabilizing the structural integrity of the biofilm and, under conditions of
14 stress, by disseminating resistance traits throughout the dental plaque population. The
15 latter is of particular relevance for antibiotic treatment of periodontal disease, since there
16 is concern that antibiotic interventions may select for widespread resistance among the
17 dental plaque microflora.

18

19

20 **VI. Mutualism and commensalism**

21 *Saliva as the sole nutritional source for multispecies growth.* Flowcells viewed with
22 confocal microscopy have been used to explore mutualistic growth of oral communities
23 on saliva²⁴. *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Veillonella* sp., and *F. nucleatum*

1 are unable to grow on saliva as monospecies biofilms, but pairwise and all together, they
2 grow very well⁸⁰, indicating multispecies cooperation and mutualism (**Fig. 3**). Biofilm
3 growth on a transferable solid-phase polystyrene peg (TSP)^{81,82} submerged in saliva can
4 be measured without imaging by, instead, using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR).
5 Multispecies biofilms can be quantified using species/strain specific primers^{18,25}. The
6 polystyrene peg fits into a well in a 96-well microtiter plate and is a closed system,
7 marginally 'open' when the TSP is transferred from one well to fresh saliva in another
8 well. *Veillonella* sp. PK1910 grows in TSP biofilms only when streptococci are present
9¹⁸, and *F. nucleatum* ATCC 10953 requires *A. oris* ATCC 43146 for growth in
10 communities that include *S. oralis* 34²⁵. Viewing the veillonellae-streptococci biofilms
11 by CSLM on the TSP surface revealed interdigitation of species and close cell-cell
12 contact, indicating that minimal distance between cells is critical for community growth
13 on saliva¹⁸. Thus, although saliva is a complex nutritional source, cooperation among
14 oral bacterial species permits it to be a beneficial growth substrate.

15

16 *Role of autoinducer-2 (AI-2) in a flowing environment.* AI-2 is produced by the enzyme
17 encoded by *luxS*, and it has been proposed as a universal intergeneric signal molecule³³.
18 *S. oralis* 34 and *A. oris* T14V are unable to grow as monoculture biofilms in flowing
19 saliva, but together they grow luxuriantly²⁴. These bacteria coaggregate, and their
20 mutualism suggests that signals might be exchanged intergenerically in coaggregates. If
21 *S. oralis* 34 is replaced by its isogenic *luxS* mutant, then the dual-species biofilm with *A.*
22 *oris* T14V fails to grow; this observation supports the idea that AI-2 might be a signal
23 required for mutualistic growth²⁷. AI-2 is an umbrella designation given to a collection

1 of molecules formed from spontaneous rearrangement of DPD^{83,84}, and, in solution, the
2 various forms of AI-2 are in equilibrium⁸⁴. Although *S. oralis* 34 and *A. oris* T14V
3 produced AI-2 when grown planktonically in commercial media, AI-2 levels were low,
4 below the detection limit of the *Vibrio harveyi* bioluminescence-based assay⁸⁵ (<50nM),
5 in saliva-grown cells²⁷. These data indicate that AI-2 is active at extremely low
6 concentrations in dual species *S. oralis*-*A. oris* biofilms.

7

8 Luxuriant interdigitated growth of two-species biofilms was restored when synthetic
9 DPD was added to the *S. oralis* 34 *luxS* mutant-*A. oris* T14V pair: restoration also
10 occurred with a genetically complemented *S. oralis* 34 *luxS* mutant-*A. oris* T14V pair²⁷.
11 Maximal biofilm growth of the *S. oralis* 34 *luxS* mutant-*A. oris* T14V pair was achieved
12 at 0.8nM DPD; at lower or higher concentrations, biofilm biomass was reduced. At
13 800nM DPD, co-culture biofilm biomass was equivalent to that seen without added DPD,
14 indicating that the optimal concentration for chemical complementation in the oral
15 system is 100-fold below the level detectable by the bioluminescence assay.

16

17 Recent improvements in saliva-grown biofilms and in AI-2 detection may facilitate rapid
18 advances in understanding the potential role of AI-2 as a universal signal in natural
19 biofilms, such as dental plaque. It is possible that the failure of the *S. oralis*-*A. oris*
20 biofilm to produce a detectable level of AI-2 arises from the low overall biomass (source
21 of production) coupled with the dilution by flow. High cell densities of 1×10^9 cells/ml
22 are attainable using a sorbarod device⁸⁶: a cellulose-fiber cartridge in which the
23 colonizable surface area is high when compared with the liquid volume. Such cell

1 densities compare favorably with those in planktonic broth cultures typically assayed for
2 AI-2 by the bioluminescence assay. In the sorbarod biofilm model *S. oralis* 34 and *A.*
3 *oris* T14V were in intimate contact on the fibers²⁶. At these high cell densities, AI-2 was
4 detected in nanomolar amounts by the bioluminescence assay. Recent advances in AI-2
5 detection methods now make it possible to detect AI-2 in biological samples containing
6 concentrations as low as 5 nM⁸⁷ or even 230 pM⁸⁸, thereby greatly increasing the scope
7 of AI-2 investigation in biofilm systems. For example, sensitive chemical-based
8 detection methods would clarify the AI-2 contribution in cell-free conditioned medium
9 from *A. actinomycetemcomitans* that complements a *luxS* mutation in *P. gingivalis*⁸⁹.
10 This communication between two periodontopathogens is a model system for exploring
11 the role of AI-2 as a universal interspecies signaling molecule.

12

13 *Local vs. global concentration of AI-2 concentration, equilibrium form, and proposal for*
14 *relationship with spatiotemporal development of multispecies communities.* Because the
15 effective signal concentration of AI-2 differs across several orders of magnitude between
16 the oral system and the *V. harveyi* bioassay, it is likely that different concentrations of
17 AI-2 are optimal for communication between different species. A diagrammatic
18 representation of this hypothesis relevant to biofilm development in the human oral
19 cavity is presented in **Figure 4**. Dental plaque development is diagrammed with respect
20 to time. The relative amount of AI-2 produced by commensal bacteria and pathogens is
21 shown in the box at the bottom right of the figure. A survey of many pure culture
22 supernatant fluids of oral strains has shown that, generally speaking, commensal bacteria
23 produce less AI-2 compared to oral pathogens⁹⁰. We propose that commensal bacteria

1 such as *S. oralis* and *A. oris* communicate optimally at AI-2 levels below those optimal
2 for species such as *F. nucleatum* that might be associated with the transition from a
3 commensal community to a pathogenic community. Although present in significantly
4 high numbers in saliva, fusobacteria are infrequent in dental plaque during the first 8 h of
5 development ⁹¹, suggesting that the microenvironment and possibly the AI-2
6 concentration are not optimal. Fusobacteria produce high amounts of AI-2 ⁹⁰,
7 coaggregate with a wide variety of early and late colonizers ⁹², and become the dominant
8 gram-negative species in healthy and gingivitis plaque ⁹³. As biomass increases on the
9 tooth surface, pathogenic and transition species flourish in the high AI-2 concentration.
10 However, commensals are inhibited because the AI-2 level is too high, as was the case
11 for the *S. oralis*-*A. oris* pair discussed earlier. Oral hygiene procedures such as brushing
12 and flossing return the enamel surface to stage 1 where commensals again dominate. We
13 propose that stages 1 and 2 are states of health, which can be maintained by routine and
14 frequent oral hygiene procedures. Failure to conduct regular oral hygiene procedures
15 might lead to stages 3 and 4 and towards gingivitis and periodontal disease. This model
16 offers a simple way to conceptualize distance-critical communication within each
17 multispecies community producing AI-2 and each community responding optimally to a
18 particular local concentration of the signal.

19

20 In the flowing environment of the oral cavity, coaggregation among the community
21 members is a way in which local signal concentration can be maintained. Coaggregation
22 reduces the distance between cells of participating partners and facilitates signal
23 exchange within each community. In the model presented in **Fig. 4**, the local AI-2

1 concentration increases within communities and encourages incorporation of species that
2 function at higher signal concentration while discouraging growth of the pioneer species
3 that function at lower signal concentration.

4

5 In this model, retention of community-generated AI-2 and internalization of AI-2 by the
6 members of the community is essential for biofilm development. The internalization
7 transport apparatus described in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium includes the
8 AI-2 binding protein LsrB, which is encoded in an operon *lsr* (for LuxS Regulated)⁹⁴.

9 Whereas numerous oral species produce AI-2, only *A. actinomycetemcomitans* is known
10 to possess an LsrB homologue^{95,96}, and the LsrB protein was required for biofilm
11 formation of *A. actinomycetemcomitans*^{95,96}. *A. actinomycetemcomitans* forms
12 mutualistic communities with *Veillonella* sp. and *F. nucleatum*⁸⁰, and, like the *S. oralis*-
13 *A. oris* pair described earlier, the *A. actinomycetemcomitans*, *Veillonella* sp. and *F.*
14 *nucleatum* three-membered community could be an AI-2-responsive community.

15

16 Although most oral species might produce AI-2, the equilibrium form of DPD to which a
17 given species responds might be distinct from forms that are favored by other species in
18 the community. The particular form of DPD stabilized within a multispecies community
19 in the biofilm might be dependent on the respective concentrations of acid/base, oxygen
20 level, redox level, type of nutrient or endproduct in this micro-environment. Within the
21 dental plaque biofilm numerous micro-environments exist, each with its own acid/base
22 concentration, redox potential, and nutrient level and type⁹⁷. Some sites in a biofilm
23 might support development of a certain cluster of species, whereas a nearby site could

1 support a completely distinct multispecies community because the site has an altered
2 acid/base concentration, redox potential, and nutrient level and type. The development of
3 these communities can be dependent upon the same universal inter-species signal, AI-2,
4 which is produced by the initial occupants of the site. Not only could the AI-2 local
5 concentration differ, but the equilibrium forms of AI-2 might constantly re-stabilize in
6 coordination with multispecies community changes through growth and coaggregation.
7 A multispecies community could alter its microenvironment in response to the AI-2
8 signaled changes in gene expression by the community members. Altered acid/base
9 concentration, redox potential, and nutrient level and type at the site could be outcomes
10 of gene expression, which in turn cause changes in the composition and proportion of
11 community members as they rearrange and develop into mature biofilm communities.
12 Thus, the optimal concentration and equilibrium forms of AI-2 for sustained community
13 growth are hypothesized to direct distance-critical multispecies community development
14 of oral dental plaque biofilms (**Figs. 1 and 4**).

15

16

17 **VII. Communities, not species**

18 Traditional bacteriological approaches have described culturable microflora of accessible
19 human body sites. Data from 16S-rRNA gene cloning and sequencing studies indicate
20 that the oral cavity has roughly 700 phlotypes of which slightly less than half are
21 cultivated⁴⁶. In comparison the skin of the forearm bears 182 phlotypes of which 80%
22 are cultivated⁹⁸. The power of molecular methods in identifying new phlotypes is
23 demonstrated by a study in which 28 of 56 fresh subgingival bacterial isolates (*i.e.*,

1 cultivated bacteria) were new phylotypes⁹⁹. The impact on oral microbial ecology of
2 this phylotype diversity is difficult to assess, especially in the context of multispecies
3 interactions. Paramount to microbial community structure is the niche (the metabolism)
4 of the set of phylotypes comprising the community and that a similar niche might be
5 composed of a different set of phylotypes. This perspective requires advances in bacterial
6 isolation¹⁰⁰ and domestication¹⁰¹ such that organisms, in addition to sequences, can be
7 studied. It is possible that physiological aspects associated with a particular long-
8 cultivated organism will be found to be misleading in comparison to those of identical or
9 closely related “wild” isolates. In particular, clear cut discrepancies in biofilm formation
10 exist between clinical isolates and the common laboratory strain in *Bacillus subtilis*¹⁰²,
11¹⁰³, *Staphylococcus aureus*¹⁰⁴, *A. actinomycetemcomitans*¹⁰⁵, and *F. nucleatum*¹⁰⁶; in all
12 but the last case, the molecular basis of the phenotypic difference has been defined.
13 Polyphasic taxonomic approaches that combine molecular information with physiological
14 data will yield profiles useful for tracking and predicting rapid successions of community
15 members in natural populations²². Communities composed of ‘wild’ isolates can be
16 obtained easily from oral biofilms, another aspect of the paradigmatic nature of these
17 systems.
18
19 Oral diseases are influenced by microbial communities, not by single pathogens.
20 Commensal-pathogen transitions are driven by a host of variables, many of which are
21 controllable. The current non-specific approaches to oral hygiene do much to prevent
22 such transitions; this suggests that community-level changes are the key changes, which
23 are driven by niche alterations^{43,107}. A revision of Koch’s postulates, whereby the

1 community is the etiological agent [Box 4], may be useful. In this hypothesis, the
2 downstream consequences of community metabolism (e.g., acid production) are the
3 important factors in genesis and progression of the disease. Therefore, metabolic
4 relationships within a community, created and maintained by different suites of
5 phylotypes, could result in similar consequences. Spatial relationships between
6 phylotypes, and between community and host, may dramatically effect niche transitions
7 ^{14, 23}. Little-studied culturable, or soon-to-be cultured, organisms may drive transition or
8 support stability.

9

10

11 **VIII. Summary and Future Direction**

12 Human oral biofilms exist as multispecies communities, which can be isolated and
13 reconstructed in vitro in model saliva-based systems. Several species are mutualistic
14 during growth on saliva, suggesting that communication occurs among them. Signals
15 such as AI-2 affect community growth, and the mechanisms of community responses are
16 prime targets for future discovery. One likely discovery will be regulation of
17 ‘community’ genome expression (the collective expression of all community members)
18 in oral niches through the uptake of ‘community-generated’ AI-2, especially by species
19 that do not produce AI-2, such as that known for the relationship between two soil
20 species, *Sinorhizobium meliloti* internalizes AI-2 produced by *Erwinia carotovora* ¹⁰⁸.
21 The advance of microfluidics research will benefit studies using saliva to investigate
22 communities and processes associated with oral diseases. Ultimately, it may be possible
23 to intervene in these processes and modify the overall structure and activity of associated

1 microbial multispecies communities. How do these bacteria communicate with one
2 another to create functional communities? Is the host role one of action, reaction, or
3 both? What are the specific niches important to the transition from health to disease, and
4 can healthcare providers intercede in that progression in a more efficient manner than is
5 currently in use? How are the microbes changing in an evolutionary sense and what does
6 this mean for individual species as well as for the community? These questions can be
7 best answered by investigation of gene expression in a spatiotemporally resolved manner,
8 by examination of interbacterial interactions known to occur in the biofilm. The oral
9 biofilm has been, and will remain, the paradigm system for these studies.

10

11

1 **Boxes 1, 2, 3, and 4:**

2

3 **Box 1. Specificity of coaggregations**

4 Many coaggregations are inhibited by simple sugars such as lactose, and these
5 coaggregation partnerships are mediated by a lectin-like protein adhesin on one cell type
6 and a complementary carbohydrate receptor on the partner cell type. Coaggregation is
7 different from aggregation that occurs between genetically identical cells, and it is
8 different from agglutination of cells through interaction of cells with soluble molecules,
9 for example, antibodies. Most coaggregations are between cells of different genera;
10 *Fusobacterium nucleatum* strains, for example, coaggregate intergenerically with
11 representatives of all oral bacterial species commonly in culture. However, intrageneric
12 coaggregation among fusobacterial strains is only rarely observed. In sharp contrast,
13 streptococci exhibit broad intrageneric coaggregation partnerships (for example, *S.*
14 *gordonii* and *S. oralis*) as well as intraspecies partnerships (for example, *S. gordonii* DL1
15 and *S. gordonii* 38). Each bacterial strain exhibits specificity in partners. For example,
16 some streptococci are capable of coaggregating with certain *Veillonella* spp., whereas
17 other streptococci are unable to coaggregate with those veillonellae but do coaggregate
18 with a separate group of veillonellae²².

19

20

21 **Box 2. Movement of molecules through oral biofilms**

22 The mouth is an open environment, bathed in saliva that is constantly replenished. For
23 bacteria to communicate effectively with one another in oral biofilms, they must produce

1 molecules that accumulate in the local microenvironment to concentrations sufficient for
2 signalling. The local concentration of a microbial product is determined by the rate of
3 production and the rate of removal via reaction, uptake into neighbouring bacterial cells
4 or diffusion out of the biofilm. Diffusion of molecules through model three-species oral
5 biofilms has been determined using a variety of fluorescently labelled macromolecules
6 ¹⁰⁹. Molecules up to 200 μm in diameter reached the centre of cell clusters within 3 min;
7 smaller molecules penetrated the centre of the biofilms within 10 s. For the largest
8 molecule tested, immunoglobulin G (MW 150 kDa), the diffusion constant through
9 biofilms was 22% of that through pure water. Therefore, oral biofilms apparently provide
10 relatively little resistance to diffusion of extracellular molecules. Nevertheless, movement
11 of molecules through biofilms may be impaired if the molecules interact with bacterial
12 cell surfaces, as do cations for example. Local concentrations of signalling molecules
13 sufficient to elicit responses can be attained if the molecules are produced at a high rate
14 and if they trigger responses at low concentrations. The latter certainly applies to
15 signaling molecule AI-2: picomolar concentrations of AI-2 promote mutualistic biofilm
16 growth of *S. oralis*/*A. oris* cocultures ²⁷. In some cases, communication might occur in
17 the absence of signaling molecules, through direct cell-to-cell contact between
18 genetically distinct cells.

19

20

21 **Box 3. Probing distance-critical communication using microarrays**

22 In the post-genomic era, a powerful approach for analysing interspecies communication
23 is provided by DNA microarrays. All species of oral bacteria are capable of

1 coaggregation, and coaggregation provides an excellent model for investigating distance-
2 critical interactions between different bacteria. Gene expression in mixed-species
3 communities (coaggregates) can be compared with that in equivalent monocultures.
4 Potentially, genes identified in this way may reveal processes that are important during
5 multispecies biofilm formation, and may also give clues regarding the nature of
6 molecules that mediate communication. Microarrays have been employed, for example,
7 to identify responses of *S. gordonii* to coaggregation with *A. oris*²⁰. *S. gordonii* is known
8 to coaggregate with *P. gingivalis*^{57,92,110}, as well as many other oral species. Which
9 genes would be identified if the partner organism were changed to *P. gingivalis*,
10 *Veillonella* sp. or *F. nucleatum*? Are there universal responses to coaggregation or is the
11 pattern of gene regulation entirely dependent on the interacting partner? Similarly, it is
12 not clear whether a single ‘snapshot’ is sufficient to reveal the extent of coaggregation-
13 dependent gene regulation. In the case of the *S. gordonii*-*A. oris* microarray study, would
14 the same genes have been identified if the samples for microarray had been collected
15 immediately after inducing coaggregation, or after 2 h rather than 3 h? Currently, five
16 microarrays for oral bacteria are available, upon application, to research scientists from
17 the NIDCR Oral Microbial Microarray Initiative
18 (<http://www.nidcr.nih.gov/Research/DER/IntegrativeBiologyAndInfectiousDiseases/NO>
19 [MMI.htm](#)). Applying these, along with custom-designed microarrays, to study gene
20 expression in mixed-species cultures will reveal a great deal about how bacteria sense
21 interactions with neighbouring cells.
22

1 **Box 4. Communities as etioloical agents**

2 The relevance of “Koch’s postulates” of microbial disease has been questioned of late,
3 primarily resulting from our modern perspective on the difficulties associated with
4 culture of organisms and on the genetics of bacterial pathogenicity. Some
5 microbiologists might take issue with Koch’s (paraphrased) 1st and 3rd postulates that a
6 given disease is caused by one biological agent and that the agent must be isolated,
7 cultured and used to cause disease in a healthy host. For example, most oral
8 microbiologists would agree that the mere presence of the cariogenic *Streptococcus*
9 *mutans*, or of the periodontopathogenic *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, is insufficient to
10 cause either caries or periodontitis in most individuals. Conversely, the absence of *S.*
11 *mutans* does not insure caries-free dentition. The suite of organisms carried by healthy
12 individuals is not necessarily greatly different to that of diseased individuals. Single
13 organisms can be reduced in number with little change in outcome for the host because
14 the vacated niche is filled by another bacterium (or group of bacteria) with similar
15 functionality in pathogenesis. Thus, the relationship of various bacterial physiologies to
16 one another, and the overall functionality (caries-inducing, periodontitis-inducing)
17 created by the community, are key. Substitution of “the community” for “the agent”
18 would make Koch’s first postulate applicable to oral polymicrobial diseases. Perhaps we
19 will one day be able to isolate, grow and manipulate complex oral bacterial communities
20 in the laboratory to the degree necessary to test fulfillment of the third postulate. As for
21 Koch’s postulate that requires the agent not be found in a non-pathogenic situation, the
22 recent recognition of some diseases as polymicrobial in origin does seem to allow for an
23 exception to his otherwise historically proven ideas.

1

2

3

4 Glossary

5

6 Salivary pellicle

7 A layer of proteins and glycoproteins of salivary origin that permanently coats the
8 surfaces of oral tissues.

9

10 Coadhesion

11 The adherence of a planktonic microorganism to a genetically distinct microbial cell that
12 is immobilized on a surface.

13

14 Coaggregation

15 The binding of two genetically distinct microorganisms suspended in the fluid phase that
16 occurs by means of highly specific interactions between components on the respective
17 cell surfaces.

18

19 Supragingival dental plaque

20 Dental plaque that occurs on areas of the teeth that are not covered by gum tissue.

21

22 Subgingival dental plaque

23 Dental plaque on tooth surfaces below the level of the gums.

1

2 **Gingivitis**

3 Minor and reversible inflammation of the gum tissue.

4

5 **Periodontitis**

6 Inflammatory gum disease involving destruction of the tissues surrounding the teeth, loss
7 of attachment of the gums, and the creation of a 'pocket' between the teeth and gums.

8

9 **Mutualism**

10 An interaction between two or more microorganisms that has beneficial consequences for
11 the partners involved.

12

1

2

3

4 **Figure legends:**

5

6 **Fig. 1.** Spatiotemporal model of oral bacterial colonization, showing recognition of
7 salivary pellicle receptors by initial colonizing bacteria and coaggregations between
8 initial colonizers, fusobacteria and late colonizers of the tooth surface. Each
9 coaggregation depicted is known to occur in a pairwise test. Collectively, these
10 interactions are proposed to represent development of dental plaque. Starting at the
11 bottom, initial colonizers bind via adhesins (round-tipped black line symbols) to
12 complementary salivary receptors (blue-green vertical round-topped columns) in the
13 acquired pellicle coating the tooth surface. Late colonizers bind to previously bound
14 bacteria. Sequential binding results in the appearance of nascent surfaces that bridge with
15 the next coaggregating partner cell. Several kinds of coaggregations are shown as
16 complementary sets of symbols of different shapes. One set is depicted in the box at the
17 top. Proposed adhesins (symbols with a stem) represent cell-surface components that are
18 heat inactivated (cell suspension heated to 85°C for 30 min) and protease sensitive; their
19 complementary receptors (symbols without a stem) are unaffected by heat or protease.
20 Identical symbols represent components that are functionally similar but may not be
21 structurally identical. Rectangular symbols represent lactose-inhibitable coaggregations.
22 Other symbols represent components that have no known inhibitor. Communication
23 among cells is favored by their close cell-cell contact. The bacterial species shown are

1 *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (formerly *Actinobacillus*
 2 *actinomycetemcomitans*), *Actinomyces israelii*, *Actinomyces naeslundii*, *Actinomyces*
 3 *oris*, *Capnocytophaga gingivalis*, *Capnocytophaga ochracea*, *Capnocytophaga*
 4 *sputigena*, *Eikenella corrodens*, *Eubacterium* spp., *Fusobacterium nucleatum*,
 5 *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella denticola*,
 6 *Prevotella intermedia*, *Prevotella loescheii*, *Propionibacterium acnes*, *Selenomonas*
 7 *flueggei*, *Streptococcus gordonii*, *Streptococcus mitis*, *Streptococcus oralis*,
 8 *Streptococcus sanguinis*, *Tannerella forsythia*, *Treponema denticola*, and *Veillonella* spp.
 9 (figure redrawn from Kolenbrander, et al. ¹¹¹)

10

11 **Fig. 2.** Confocal micrograph of 8 h-dental plaque from the study of Chalmers, et al. ¹⁸.

12 (A) QD-based primary immunofluorescence reveals RPS-bearing streptococci reactive
 13 with QD655-conjugated-anti-RPS (red) juxtaposed with veillonellae reactive with
 14 QD525-conjugated-anti-R1 (green). A community representative of those selected for
 15 micromanipulation is circled. (B) Same field of view as in panel A but with DAPI-stained
 16 cells (blue) also shown. The general nucleic acid stain DAPI reveals antibody-unreactive
 17 cells, one of which is located in the representative community. DAPI was not used on
 18 micromanipulated samples. Bar, 10 μ m.

19

20 **Fig. 3.** Confocal micrograph of mutualistic biofilm communities of *Fusobacterium*
 21 *nucleatum* (red), *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (green), and *Veillonella* sp.
 22 (blue) formed as multispecies networks grown in a flowcell for 18 h on saliva as the sole
 23 nutritional source. Intimate interspecies-cell contact is evident, and corn-cob

1 arrangements of the slender fusobacterial cells with coccoid aggregatibacter and spherical
2 veillonella cells are visible. Bacterial cells are stained with species-specific fluorophore-
3 conjugated immunoglobulin G.

4

5 **Fig. 4.** Illustration of proposed relationship between succession of oral communities and
6 the AI-2 concentrations to which they respond. Commensals (depicted in stages 1 and 2)
7 respond to the lowest AI-2 concentrations (below 100 pM), which results in mutualism
8 and bacterial growth. Initial colonizers such as streptococci (blue circles) and
9 actinomyces (dark blue oblong shapes) bind to the salivary pellicle (stage 1), which coats
10 the enamel, and subsequently grow together with veillonellae (yellow circles) as mixed-
11 species biofilm communities (stage 2). As the commensal bacterial biomass increases by
12 cell division and by accretion, the AI-2 concentration increases, which improves the
13 communication among transition bacterial species such as fusobacteria (orange slender
14 tapered rods; stage 3). Finally, when the AI-2 concentration is the highest (stage 4), the
15 pathogens are favored for growth and join the developing biofilm communities.

16

17

18 **Acknowledgement:**

19 This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National
20 Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health.

21

22

23 **References:**

1

- 2 1. Chivian, D. et al. Environmental genomics reveals a single-species ecosystem
3 deep within Earth. *Science* **322**, 275-278 (2008).
- 4 2. Achtman, M. & Wagner, M. Microbial diversity and the genetic nature of
5 microbial species. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 431-440 (2008).
- 6 3. Huber, J.A. et al. Effect of PCR amplicon size on assessments of clone library
7 microbial diversity and community structure. *Environ Microbiol* **11**, 1292-1302
8 (2009).
- 9 4. Lozupone, C.A. & Knight, R. Species divergence and the measurement of
10 microbial diversity. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **32**, 557-578 (2008).
- 11 5. Huber, J.A. et al. Microbial population structures in the deep marine biosphere.
12 *Science* **318**, 97-100 (2007).
- 13 6. Keijsers, B.J. et al. Pyrosequencing analysis of the oral microflora of healthy
14 adults. *J Dent Res* **87**, 1016-1020 (2008).
- 15 7. Xie, H., Lin, X., Wang, B.Y., Wu, J. & Lamont, R.J. Identification of a signalling
16 molecule involved in bacterial intergeneric communication. *Microbiology* **153**,
17 3228-3234 (2007).
- 18 8. Bos, R., van der Mei, H.C. & Busscher, H.J. Co-adhesion of oral microbial pairs
19 under flow in the presence of saliva and lactose. *J Dent Res* **75**, 809-815 (1996).
- 20 9. Kolenbrander, P.E. & London, J. Adhere today, here tomorrow: oral bacterial
21 adherence. *J Bacteriol* **175**, 3247-3252 (1993).
- 22 10. Kaplan, C.W., Lux, R., Haake, S.K. & Shi, W. The *Fusobacterium nucleatum*
23 outer membrane protein RadD is an arginine-inhibitable adhesin required for
24 inter-species adherence and the structured architecture of multispecies biofilm.
25 *Mol Microbiol* **71**, 35-47 (2009).
- 26 11. Ledder, R.G., Timperley, A.S., Friswell, M.K., Macfarlane, S. & McBain, A.J.
27 Coaggregation between and among human intestinal and oral bacteria. *FEMS*
28 *Microbiol Ecol* **66**, 630-636 (2008).
- 29 12. Min, K.R. & Rickard, A.H. Coaggregation by the freshwater bacterium
30 *Sphingomonas natatoria* alters dual-species biofilm formation. *Appl Environ*
31 *Microbiol* **75**, 3987-3997 (2009).
- 32 13. Rickard, A.H., Gilbert, P., High, N.J., Kolenbrander, P.E. & Handley, P.S.
33 Bacterial coaggregation: an integral process in the development of multi-species
34 biofilms. *Trends Microbiol* **11**, 94-100 (2003).
- 35 14. Diaz, P.I. et al. Molecular characterization of subject-specific oral microflora
36 during initial colonization of enamel. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 2837-2848
37 (2006).
- 38 15. Dige, I., Raarup, M.K., Nyengaard, J.R., Kilian, M. & Nyvad, B. *Actinomyces*
39 *naeslundii* in initial dental biofilm formation. *Microbiology (in press)* (2009).
- 40 16. Nyvad, B. & Kilian, M. Microbiology of the early colonization of human enamel
41 and root surfaces in vivo. *Scand J Dent Res* **95**, 369-380 (1987).
- 42 17. Nyvad, B. & Kilian, M. Comparison of the initial streptococcal microflora on
43 dental enamel in caries-active and in caries-inactive individuals. *Caries Res* **24**,
44 267-272 (1990).

- 1 18. Chalmers, N.I., Palmer, R.J., Jr., Cisar, J.O. & Kolenbrander, P.E.
2 Characterization of a *Streptococcus* sp.-*Veillonella* sp. community
3 micromanipulated from dental plaque. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 8145-8154 (2008).
- 4 19. Chalmers, N.I. et al. Use of quantum dot luminescent probes to achieve single-cell
5 resolution of human oral bacteria in biofilms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**, 630-
6 636 (2007).
- 7 20. Jakubovics, N.S., Gill, S.R., Iobst, S.E., Vickerman, M.M. & Kolenbrander, P.E.
8 Regulation of gene expression in a mixed-genus community: stabilized arginine
9 biosynthesis in *Streptococcus gordonii* by coaggregation with *Actinomyces*
10 *naeslundii*. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 3646-3657 (2008).
- 11 21. Jakubovics, N.S., Gill, S.R., Vickerman, M.M. & Kolenbrander, P.E. Role of
12 hydrogen peroxide in competition and cooperation between *Streptococcus*
13 *gordonii* and *Actinomyces naeslundii*. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **66**, 637-644 (2008).
- 14 22. Palmer, R.J., Jr., Diaz, P.I. & Kolenbrander, P.E. Rapid succession within the
15 *Veillonella* population of a developing human oral biofilm *in situ*. *J. Bacteriol.*
16 **188**, 4117-4124 (2006).
- 17 23. Palmer, R.J., Jr., Gordon, S.M., Cisar, J.O. & Kolenbrander, P.E. Coaggregation-
18 mediated interactions of streptococci and actinomyces detected in initial human
19 dental plaque. *J Bacteriol* **185**, 3400-3409 (2003).
- 20 24. Palmer, R.J., Jr., Kazmerzak, K., Hansen, M.C. & Kolenbrander, P.E. Mutualism
21 versus independence: strategies of mixed-species oral biofilms *in vitro* using
22 saliva as the sole nutrient source. *Infect Immun* **69**, 5794-5804 (2001).
- 23 25. Periasamy, S., Chalmers, N.I., Du-Thumm, L. & Kolenbrander, P.E.
24 *Fusobacterium nucleatum* ATCC 10953 requires *Actinomyces naeslundii* ATCC
25 43146 for growth on saliva in a three-species community that includes
26 *Streptococcus oralis* 34. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**, 3250-3257 (2009).
- 27 26. Rickard, A.H., Campagna, S.R. & Kolenbrander, P.E. Autoinducer-2 is produced
28 in saliva-fed flow conditions relevant to natural oral biofilms. *J Appl Microbiol*
29 **105**, 2096-2103 (2008).
- 30 27. Rickard, A.H. et al. Autoinducer 2: a concentration-dependent signal for
31 mutualistic bacterial biofilm growth. *Mol Microbiol* **60**, 1446-1456 (2006).
- 32 28. Buckley, M.R. Microbial communities: from life apart to life together. *American*
33 *Academy of Microbiology* www.asm.org/colloquia (2003).
- 34 29. Diggle, S.P., Gardner, A., West, S.A. & Griffin, A.S. Evolutionary theory of
35 bacterial quorum sensing: when is a signal not a signal? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond*
36 *B Biol Sci* **362**, 1241-9 (2007).
- 37 30. Hardie, K.R. & Heurlier, K. Establishing bacterial communities by 'word of
38 mouth': LuxS and autoinducer 2 in biofilm development. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**,
39 635-643 (2008).
- 40 31. Keller, L. & Surette, M.G. Communication in bacteria: an ecological and
41 evolutionary perspective. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 249-258 (2006).
- 42 32. Redfield, R.J. Is quorum sensing a side effect of diffusion sensing? *Trends*
43 *Microbiol* **10**, 365-370 (2002).
- 44 33. Bassler, B.L., Greenberg, E.P. & Stevens, A.M. Cross-species induction of
45 luminescence in the quorum-sensing bacterium *Vibrio harveyi*. *J Bacteriol* **179**,
46 4043-4045 (1997).

- 1 34. Cosseau, C. et al. The commensal *Streptococcus salivarius* K12 downregulates
2 the innate immune responses of human epithelial cells and promotes host-microbe
3 homeostasis. *Infect Immun* **76**, 4163-4175 (2008).
- 4 35. Handfield, M. et al. Distinct transcriptional profiles characterize oral epithelium-
5 microbiota interactions. *Cell Microbiol* **7**, 811-823 (2005).
- 6 36. Hasegawa, Y. et al. Gingival epithelial cell transcriptional responses to
7 commensal and opportunistic oral microbial species. *Infect Immun* **75**, 2540-2547
8 (2007).
- 9 37. Mager, D.L., Ximenez-Fyvie, L.A., Haffajee, A.D. & Socransky, S.S.
10 Distribution of selected bacterial species on intraoral surfaces. *J Clin Periodontol*
11 **30**, 644-654 (2003).
- 12 38. Paddick, J.S., Brailsford, S.R., Kidd, E.A.M. & Beighton, D. Phenotypic and
13 genotypic selection of microbiota surviving under dental restorations. *Appl*
14 *Environ Microbiol* **71**, 2467-2472 (2005).
- 15 39. Wickstrom, C. & Svensater, G. Salivary gel-forming mucin MUC5B - a nutrient
16 for dental plaque bacteria. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **23**, 177-182 (2008).
- 17 40. Dawes, C. What is the critical pH and why does a tooth dissolve in acid? *J Can*
18 *Dent Assoc* **69**, 722-724 (2003).
- 19 41. de Soet, J.J., Nyvad, B. & Kilian, M. Strain-related acid production by oral
20 streptococci. *Caries Res* **34**, 486-490 (2000).
- 21 42. Burne, R.A. & Marquis, R.E. Alkali production by oral bacteria and protection
22 against dental caries. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **193**, 1-6 (2000).
- 23 43. Marsh, P.D. Are dental diseases examples of ecological catastrophes?
24 *Microbiology* **149**, 279-294 (2003).
- 25 44. Becker, M.R. et al. Molecular analysis of bacterial species associated with
26 childhood caries. *J Clin Microbiol* **40**, 1001-1009. (2002).
- 27 45. Lo, E.C., Schwarz, E. & Wong, M.C. Arresting dentine caries in Chinese
28 preschool children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* **8**, 253-260 (1998).
- 29 46. Paster, B.J., Olsen, I., Aas, J.A. & Dewhirst, F.E. The breadth of bacterial
30 diversity in the human periodontal pocket and other oral sites. *Periodontology*
31 *2000* **42**, 80-87 (2006).
- 32 47. Dixon, D.R., Reife, R.A., Cebra, J.J. & Darveau, R.P. Commensal bacteria
33 influence innate status within gingival tissues: a pilot study. *J Periodontol* **75**,
34 1486-1492 (2004).
- 35 48. Socransky, S.S., Smith, C. & Haffajee, A.D. Subgingival microbial profiles in
36 refractory periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* **29**, 260-268 (2002).
- 37 49. Haffajee, A.D., Teles, R.P. & Socransky, S.S. The effect of periodontal therapy
38 on the composition of the subgingival microbiota. *Periodontology 2000* **42**, 219-
39 258 (2006).
- 40 50. Dige, I., Nilsson, H., Kilian, M. & Nyvad, B. In situ identification of streptococci
41 and other bacteria in initial dental biofilm by confocal laser scanning microscopy
42 and fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Eur J Oral Sci* **115**, 459-467 (2007).
- 43 51. Dige, I., Nyengaard, J.R., Kilian, M. & Nyvad, B. Application of stereological
44 principles for quantification of bacteria in intact dental biofilms. *Oral Microbiol*
45 *Immunol* **24**, 69-75 (2009).

- 1 52. Kilic, A.O. et al. Involvement of *Streptococcus gordonii* beta-glucoside
2 metabolism systems in adhesion, biofilm formation, and in vivo gene expression.
3 *J Bacteriol* **186**, 4246-4253 (2004).
- 4 53. Stadtman, E.R. & Levine, R.L. Free radical-mediated oxidation of free amino
5 acids and amino acid residues in proteins. *Amino Acids* **25**, 207-218 (2003).
- 6 54. Kreth, J., Zhang, Y. & Herzberg, M.C. Streptococcal antagonism in oral biofilms:
7 *Streptococcus sanguinis* and *Streptococcus gordonii* interference with
8 *Streptococcus mutans*. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 4632-4640 (2008).
- 9 55. Kuboniwa, M. et al. Proteomics of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* within a model oral
10 microbial community. *BMC Microbiol* **9**, 98 (2009).
- 11 56. Simionato, M.R. et al. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* genes involved in community
12 development with *Streptococcus gordonii*. *Infect Immun* **74**, 6419-6428 (2006).
- 13 57. Eglund, P.G., Palmer, R.J., Jr. & Kolenbrander, P.E. Interspecies communication
14 in *Streptococcus gordonii*-*Veillonella atypica* biofilms: signaling in flow
15 conditions requires juxtaposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**, 16917-16922
16 (2004).
- 17 58. Johnson, B.P. et al. Interspecies signaling between *Veillonella atypica* and
18 *Streptococcus gordonii* requires the transcription factor CcpA. *J Bacteriol* **191**, (in
19 press) (2009).
- 20 59. Bamford, C.V. et al. *Streptococcus gordonii* modulates *Candida albicans* biofilm
21 formation through intergeneric communication. *Infect Immun* **77**, July Issue
22 (2009).
- 23 60. Samaranayake, L.P., Keung Leung, W. & Jin, L. Oral mucosal fungal infections.
24 *Periodontol 2000* **49**, 39-59 (2009).
- 25 61. Soysa, N.S., Samaranayake, L.P. & Ellepola, A.N. Antimicrobials as a
26 contributory factor in oral candidosis--a brief overview. *Oral Dis* **14**, 138-143
27 (2008).
- 28 62. Holmes, A.R., Gopal, P.K. & Jenkinson, H.F. Adherence of *Candida albicans* to a
29 cell surface polysaccharide receptor on *Streptococcus gordonii*. *Infect Immun* **63**,
30 1827-1834 (1995).
- 31 63. Rice, L.B. Tn916 family conjugative transposons and dissemination of
32 antimicrobial resistance determinants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **42**, 1871-
33 1877 (1998).
- 34 64. Mira, A. Horizontal gene transfer in oral bacteria, pp. 65-85. In book entitled
35 *Molecular Oral Microbiology*, Rogers, A.H. (Ed.), Caister Academic Press,
36 Norfolk, UK, 292pp. (2008).
- 37 65. Naito, M. et al. Determination of the genome sequence of *Porphyromonas*
38 *gingivalis* strain ATCC 33277 and genomic comparison with strain W83 revealed
39 extensive genome rearrangements in *P. gingivalis*. *DNA Res* **15**, 215-225 (2008).
- 40 66. Koehler, A. et al. Multilocus sequence analysis of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*
41 indicates frequent recombination. *Microbiology* **149**, 2407-2415 (2003).
- 42 67. Tribble, G.D., Lamont, G.J., Progulsk-Fox, A. & Lamont, R.J. Conjugal transfer
43 of chromosomal DNA contributes to genetic variation in the oral pathogen
44 *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 6382-6388 (2007).
- 45 68. Roberts, A.P. et al. Transfer of TN916-like elements in microcosm dental plaques.
46 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **45**, 2943-2946 (2001).

- 1 69. Warburton, P.J., Palmer, R.M., Munson, M.A. & Wade, W.G. Demonstration of
2 in vivo transfer of doxycycline resistance mediated by a novel transposon. *J*
3 *Antimicrob Chemother* **60**, 973-980 (2007).
- 4 70. Wang, B.Y., Chi, B. & Kuramitsu, H.K. Genetic exchange between *Treponema*
5 *denticola* and *Streptococcus gordonii* in biofilms. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **17**,
6 108-112 (2002).
- 7 71. Li, Y.H., Lau, P.C., Lee, J.H., Ellen, R.P. & Cvitkovitch, D.G. Natural genetic
8 transformation of *Streptococcus mutans* growing in biofilms. *J Bacteriol* **183**,
9 897-908 (2001).
- 10 72. Petersen, F.C., Tao, L. & Scheie, A.A. DNA binding-uptake system: a link
11 between cell-to-cell communication and biofilm formation. *J Bacteriol* **187**, 4392-
12 4400 (2005).
- 13 73. Tamura, S. et al. Inhibiting effects of *Streptococcus salivarius* on competence-
14 stimulating peptide-dependent biofilm formation by *Streptococcus mutans*. *Oral*
15 *Microbiol Immunol* **24**, 152-161 (2009).
- 16 74. Wang, B.Y. & Kuramitsu, H.K. Interactions between oral bacteria: inhibition of
17 *Streptococcus mutans* bacteriocin production by *Streptococcus gordonii*. *Appl*
18 *Environ Microbiol* **71**, 354-362 (2005).
- 19 75. Kreth, J., Merritt, J., Shi, W. & Qi, F. Co-ordinated bacteriocin production and
20 competence development: a possible mechanism for taking up DNA from
21 neighbouring species. *Mol Microbiol* **57**, 392-404 (2005).
- 22 76. Perry, J.A., Jones, M.B., Peterson, S.N., Cvitkovitch, D.G. & Levesque, C.M.
23 Peptide alarmone signalling triggers an auto-active bacteriocin necessary for
24 genetic competence. *Mol Microbiol* **72**, 905-917 (2009).
- 25 77. Steinberger, R.E. & Holden, P.A. Extracellular DNA in single- and multiple-
26 species unsaturated biofilms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**, 5404-5410 (2005).
- 27 78. Tetz, G.V., Artemenko, N.K. & Tetz, V.V. Effect of DNase and antibiotics on
28 biofilm characteristics. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **53**, 1204-1209 (2009).
- 29 79. Agnelli, A. et al. Distribution of microbial communities in a forest soil profile
30 investigated by microbial biomass, soil respiration and DGGE of total and
31 extracellular DNA. *Soil Biol Biochem* **36**, 859-868 (2004).
- 32 80. Periasamy, S. & Kolenbrander, P.E. *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*
33 builds mutualistic biofilm communities in saliva with *Fusobacterium nucleatum*
34 and *Veillonella* sp. *Infect Immun* **77**, (in press) (2009).
- 35 81. Ceri, H. et al. The Calgary Biofilm Device: new technology for rapid
36 determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms. *J. Clin.*
37 *Microbiol.* **37**, 1771-1776 (1999).
- 38 82. Mampel, J. et al. Planktonic replication is essential for biofilm formation by
39 *Legionella pneumophila* in a complex medium under static and dynamic flow
40 conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 2885-2895 (2006).
- 41 83. Duerre, J.A., Baker, D.J. & Salisbury, L. Structure elucidation of a carbohydrate
42 derived from S-ribosylhomocysteine by enzymatic cleavage. *Fed Proc* **30**, 1067
43 (1971).
- 44 84. Semmelhack, M.F., Campagna, S.R., Federle, M.J. & Bassler, B.L. An
45 expeditious synthesis of DPD and boron binding studies. *Org Lett* **7**, 569-572
46 (2005).

- 1 85. Surette, M.G. & Bassler, B.L. Quorum sensing in *Escherichia coli* and
2 *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 7046-7050 (1998).
- 3 86. Hodgson, A.E., Nelson, S.M., Brown, M.R. & Gilbert, P. A simple in vitro model
4 for growth control of bacterial biofilms. *J Appl Bacteriol* **79**, 87-93 (1995).
- 5 87. Thiel, V., Vilchez, R., Sztajer, H., Wagner-Dobler, I. & Schulz, S. Identification,
6 quantification, and determination of the absolute configuration of the bacterial
7 quorum-sensing signal autoinducer-2 by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.
8 *Chembiochem* **10**, 479-485 (2009).
- 9 88. Campagna, S.R., Gooding, J.R. & May, A.L. Direct quantitation of the quorum
10 sensing signal, autoinducer-2, in clinically relevant samples by liquid
11 chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry. *Analyt. Chem.* **(in press)**, (in press)
12 (2009).
- 13 89. Fong, K.P., Chung, W.O., Lamont, R.J. & Demuth, D.R. Intra- and interspecies
14 regulation of gene expression by *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* LuxS.
15 *Infect Immun* **69**, 7625-7634 (2001).
- 16 90. Frias, J., Olle, E. & Alsina, M. Periodontal pathogens produce quorum sensing
17 signal molecules. *Infect Immun* **69**, 3431-3434 (2001).
- 18 91. Li, J. et al. Identification of early microbial colonizers in human dental biofilm. *J*
19 *Appl Microbiol* **97**, 1311-1318 (2004).
- 20 92. Kolenbrander, P.E., Andersen, R.N. & Moore, L.V. Coaggregation of
21 *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Selenomonas flueggei*, *Selenomonas infelix*,
22 *Selenomonas noxia*, and *Selenomonas sputigena* with strains from 11 genera of
23 oral bacteria. *Infect. Immun.* **57**, 3194-203 (1989).
- 24 93. Moore, W.E. & Moore, L.V. The bacteria of periodontal diseases. *Periodontol.*
25 *2000* **5**, 66-77 (1994).
- 26 94. Taga, M.E., Miller, S.T. & Bassler, B.L. Lsr-mediated transport and processing of
27 AI-2 in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Mol Microbiol* **50**, 1411-1427 (2003).
- 28 95. Shao, H., James, D., Lamont, R.J. & Demuth, D.R. Differential interaction of
29 *Aggregatibacter (Actinobacillus) actinomycetemcomitans* LsrB and RbsB proteins
30 with autoinducer 2. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 5559-5565 (2007).
- 31 96. Shao, H., Lamont, R.J. & Demuth, D.R. Autoinducer 2 is required for biofilm
32 growth of *Aggregatibacter (Actinobacillus) actinomycetemcomitans*. *Infect*
33 *Immun* **75**, 4211-4218 (2007).
- 34 97. Vroom, J.M. et al. Depth penetration and detection of pH gradients in biofilms by
35 two-photon excitation microscopy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **65**, 3502-3511
36 (1999).
- 37 98. Gao, Z., Tseng, C.-H., Pei, Z. & Blaser, M.J. Molecular analysis of human
38 forearm superficial skin bacterial biota. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 2927-
39 2932 (2007).
- 40 99. Kroes, I., Lepp, P.W. & Relman, D.A. Bacterial diversity within the human
41 subgingival crevice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 14547-14552 (1999).
- 42 100. Marcy, Y. et al. Dissecting biological "dark matter" with single-cell genetic
43 analysis of rare and uncultivated TM7 microbes from the human mouth. *Proc.*
44 *Nat. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 11889-11894 (2007).

- 1 101. Kaeberlein, T., Lewis, K. & Epstein, S.S. Isolating "uncultivable"
2 microorganisms in pure culture in a simulated natural environment. *Science* **296**,
3 1127-1129 (2002).
- 4 102. Branda, S.S. et al. Genes involved in formation of structured multicellular
5 communities by *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 3970-3979 (2004).
- 6 103. Kearns, D.B. & Losick, R. Cell population heterogeneity during growth of
7 *Bacillus subtilis*. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 3083-3094 (2005).
- 8 104. Blevins, J.S., Beenken, K.E., Elasri, M.O., Hurlburt, B.K. & Smeltzer, M.S.
9 Strain-dependent differences in the regulatory roles of *sarA* and *agr* in
10 *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infect Immun* **70**, 470-480 (2002).
- 11 105. Fine, D.H. et al. Phenotypic variation in *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*
12 during laboratory growth: implications for virulence. *Microbiology* **145**, 1335-
13 1347 (1999).
- 14 106. Saito, A. et al. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* enhances invasion of human gingival
15 epithelial and aortic endothelial cells by *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *FEMS*
16 *Immunol Med Microbiol* **54**, 349-355 (2008).
- 17 107. Takahashi, N. & Nyvad, B. Caries ecology revisited: microbial dynamics and the
18 caries process. *Caries Res* **42**, 409-418 (2008).
- 19 108. Pereira, C.S., McAuley, J.R., Taga, M.E., Xavier, K.B. & Miller, S.T.
20 *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, a bacterium lacking the autoinducer-2 (AI-2) synthase,
21 responds to AI-2 supplied by other bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **70**, 1223-1235 (2008).
- 22 109. Takenaka, S., Pitts, B., Trivedi, H.M. & Stewart, P.S. Diffusion of
23 macromolecules in model oral biofilms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**, 1750-1753
24 (2009).
- 25 110. Kuboniwa, M. et al. *Streptococcus gordonii* utilizes several distinct gene
26 functions to recruit *Porphyromonas gingivalis* into a mixed community. *Mol*
27 *Microbiol* **60**, 121-139 (2006).
- 28 111. Kolenbrander, P.E. et al. Communication among oral bacteria. *Microbiol Mol*
29 *Biol Rev* **66**, 486-505 (2002).
- 30
31







