

**Oscillatory properties of solutions of
superlinear-sublinear parabolic equations
via Picone-type inequalities**

Jaroslav JAROŠ, KUSANO Takaši and Norio YOSHIDA

Abstract. Oscillations of solutions of superlinear-sublinear parabolic equations are studied, and the unboundedness of solutions is also investigated as corollaries. The approach used here is to use the Picone-type inequalities for elliptic operators.

In 1962, McNabb [8] established criteria for unboundedness of solutions of linear parabolic equations on the basis of Picone-type identities. His results were extended by Dunninger [4], Kusano and Narita [7] to parabolic differential inequalities, and by Chan [1], Chan and Young [2, 3], Kuks [6] to time-dependent matrix differential inequalities. All of them also contain the results about zeros of solutions or singularities of matrix solutions.

Recently Jaroš, Kusano and Yoshida [5] established Picone-type inequalities which connect a linear elliptic operator with an associated superlinear-sublinear elliptic operator. By extending the Picone-type inequalities to parabolic equations with time-dependent coefficients, we obtain the oscillatory behavior or the unboundedness of solutions of superlinear-sublinear parabolic equations.

We are concerned with the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the non-

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B05.

Key words and phrases. Oscillatory properties, parabolic equations, Picone-type inequalities.

linear parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - L[v] = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \equiv G \times (0, \infty), \quad (1)$$

where G is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with piecewise smooth boundary ∂G and

$$L[v] \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(A_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + C(x, t)|v|^{\beta-1}v + D(x, t)|v|^{\gamma-1}v.$$

It is assumed that :

- (A₁) $A_{ij}(x, t) \in C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and the matrix $(A_{ij}(x, t))$ is symmetric and positive definite in Ω ;
- (A₂) $C(x, t) \in C(\overline{\Omega}; [0, \infty))$ and $D(x, t) \in C(\overline{\Omega}; [0, \infty))$;
- (A₃) β and γ are constants such that $\beta > 1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$.

Definition 1. The domain $\mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ of L is defined to be the set of all functions v of class $C^1(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$ with the property that $A_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2. By a *solution* of (1) we mean a function $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ which satisfies the equation (1).

Definition 3. A solution v of (1) is said to be *oscillatory* on $\overline{\Omega}$ if v has a zero on $\overline{G} \times [t, \infty)$ for any $t > 0$.

We consider the linear differential operator ℓ defined by

$$\ell[u] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + c(x)u, \quad (2)$$

where the coefficients $a_{ij}(x)$ and $c(x)$ satisfy the following assumptions :

- (A₄) $a_{ij}(x) \in C(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and the matrix $(a_{ij}(x))$ is symmetric and positive definite in G ;

(A₅) $c(x) \in C(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 4. The domain $\mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$ of ℓ is defined to be the set of all functions u of class $C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$ with the property that $a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$.

The following theorem is due to Jaroš, Kusano and Yoshida [5, Theorem 8].

Theorem 1. (Picone-type inequality) *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$, $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ and $v \neq 0$ in $G \times I$, where I is any interval in \mathbb{R} . Then we obtain the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(u a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ \geq & \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x, t)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \\ & + \left(\frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta-\gamma}} C(x, t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}} D(x, t)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\gamma}} - c(x) \right) u^2 \\ & + \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x, t) \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) \right) + \frac{u}{v} (v\ell[u] - uL[v]) \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, t) \in G \times I$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that (A₁) – (A₅) hold, and that there exists a non-trivial function $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell[u] &= 0 \quad \text{in } G, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t V[u](s) ds &= \infty \quad \text{for any } T > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V[u](t) \equiv & \int_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x, t)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta-\gamma}} C(x, t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}} D(x, t)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\gamma}} - c(x) \right) u^2 \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1) which is nonoscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then v satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_G u^2 \log |v| dx = \infty. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Since $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ is a nonoscillatory solution on $\bar{\Omega}$, we see that

$$v \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{G} \times [t_0, \infty)$$

for some $t_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $v > 0$ on $\bar{G} \times [t_0, \infty)$. Integrating the Picone-type inequality (3) over G , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq V[u](t) + \int_G \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x, t) \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_G \frac{u^2}{v} L[v] dx \\ &\geq V[u](t) - \int_G \frac{u^2}{v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} dx, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We see from (5) that

$$\int_G u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log v dx \geq V[u](t), \quad t \geq t_0$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_G u^2 \log v dx \geq V[u](t), \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (6)$$

Integration of (6) over $[t_0, T]$ yields

$$z(T) - z(t_0) \geq \int_{t_0}^T V[u](s) ds, \quad (7)$$

where

$$z(t) \equiv \int_G u^2 \log v dx.$$

Letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (7), we observe that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} z(T) = \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that (A₁) – (A₅) hold, and that there exists a non-trivial function $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell[u] &= 0 \quad \text{in } G, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t V[u](s) ds &= \infty \quad \text{for any } T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then every bounded solution $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ of (1) is oscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ be any bounded solution of (1). Then, we observe that $\log |v|$ is bounded from above, and hence $\int_G u^2 \log |v| dx$ is also bounded from above. Therefore (4) does not hold. Theorem 2 implies that the bounded solution v is oscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$. \square

Corollary 2. *Assume that the same hypotheses as those of Theorem 2 hold. If $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1) which is nonoscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$, then v is unbounded in Ω .*

Proof. Since v is nonoscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$, v satisfies the condition (4). Hence, $|v|$ cannot be bounded from above in Ω , that is, v is unbounded in Ω . \square

The following theorem was established by Jaroš, Kusano and Yoshida [5, Theorem 7].

Theorem 3. *Let $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ and let $v \neq 0$ in $G \times I$, where I is any interval in \mathbb{R} . Then the following inequality holds for any $u \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R})$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x,t) \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left(v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{u}{v} \right) \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta-\gamma}} C(x,t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}} D(x,t)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\gamma}} u^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{u^2}{v} L[v], \quad (x,t) \in G \times I. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Theorem 4. *Assume that (A₁) – (A₃) hold, and that there exists a non-trivial function $u \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u = 0$ on ∂G and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t M[u](s) ds = -\infty \quad \text{for any } T > 0,$$

where

$$M[u](t) \equiv \int_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta-\gamma}} C(x,t)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}} D(x,t)^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta-\gamma}} u^2 \right] dx.$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1) which is nonoscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then v satisfies the condition (4).

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $v > 0$ on $\bar{G} \times [t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 > 0$. We integrate the inequality (8) over G to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq M[u](t) + \int_G \frac{u^2}{v} L[v] dx \\ &= M[u](t) + \int_G \frac{u^2}{v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} dx, \quad t \geq t_0 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\int_G u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log v dx \geq -M[u](t), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2, we see that (4) holds. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3. Assume that (A₁) – (A₃) hold, and that there exists a non-trivial function $u \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u = 0$ on ∂G and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t M[u](s) ds = -\infty \quad \text{for any } T > 0.$$

Then every bounded solution $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ of (1) is oscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Proof. The proof follows by using the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 1. \square

Corollary 4. Assume that the same hypotheses as those of Theorem 4 hold. If $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1) which is nonoscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$, then v is unbounded in Ω .

The proof is quite similar to that of Corollary 2, and will be omitted.

Example 1. We consider the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(A_0 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + C_0 v^3 + C_0 v^{1/3} \right) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$(x, t) \in (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty),$$

where A_0 and C_0 are positive constants satisfying $A_0 < (8/5)3^{-(3/4)}C_0$. Here $n = 1$, $A_{11}(x, t) = A_0 > 0$, $C(x, t) = D(x, t) = C_0 > 0$, $\beta = 3$, $\gamma = 1/3$, $G = (-1, 1)$ and $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty)$. Letting $u = 1 - x^2$, we see that $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$. An easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} M[u](t) &= \int_{-1}^1 \left[A_0 (u')^2 - 4 \cdot 3^{-(3/4)} C_0 u^2 \right] dx \\ &= \frac{8}{3} \left(A_0 - \frac{8}{5} 3^{-(3/4)} C_0 \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is obvious that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t M[u](s) ds = -\infty$$

for any $T > 0$. It follows from Theorem 4 that every solution v of (9) which is nonoscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 (1 - x^2)^2 \log |v| dx = \infty.$$

Example 2. We consider the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(A_0 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4t} v^5 + \frac{1}{2} e^{(2/3)t} v^{1/3} \right) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$(x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times (0, \infty),$$

where A_0 is a positive constant satisfying $A_0 < (7/2)6^{-(6/7)}$. Here $n = 1$, $A_{11}(x, t) = A_0 > 0$, $C(x, t) = (1/2)e^{-4t}$, $D(x, t) = (1/2)e^{(2/3)t}$, $\beta = 5$, $\gamma = 1/3$, $G = (0, \pi)$ and $\Omega = (0, \pi) \times (0, \infty)$. Letting $u = \sin x$, we find that $u(0) = u(\pi) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M[u](t) &= \int_0^\pi \left[A_0 (u')^2 - \frac{7}{2} 6^{-(6/7)} u^2 \right] dx \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left(A_0 - \frac{7}{2} 6^{-(6/7)} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is clear that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t M[u](s) ds = -\infty$$

for any $T > 0$. Then, Theorem 4 implies that every solution v of (10) which is nonoscillatory on $[0, \pi] \times [0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi (\sin^2 x) \log |v| dx = \infty.$$

One such solution is $v = e^t$.

Example 3. We consider the parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + 5v^3 + 5v^{1/5} \right) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, \pi/2) \times (0, \infty). \quad (11)$$

Here $n = 1$, $A_{11}(x, t) = 1$, $C(x, t) = D(x, t) = 5$, $\beta = 3$, $\gamma = 1/5$, $G = (0, \pi/2)$ and $\Omega = (0, \pi/2) \times (0, \infty)$. We let $u = x \cos x$ and find that $u(0) = u(\pi/2) = 0$. A direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} M[u](t) &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \left[(u')^2 - 7 \left(\frac{5}{2} \right)^{(2/7)} u^2 \right] dx \\ &= \frac{\pi}{8} \left(\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} + 1 \right) - 7 \left(\frac{5}{2} \right)^{(2/7)} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 \right) \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t M[u](s) ds = -\infty$$

for any $T > 0$, we conclude from Corollary 3 that every bounded solution v of (11) is oscillatory on $\bar{\Omega}$.

References

- [1] C. Y. Chan, Singular and unbounded matrix solutions for both time-dependent matrix and vector differential systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **87** (1982), 147–157.
- [2] C. Y. Chan and E. C. Young, Unboundedness of solutions and comparison theorems for time-dependent quasilinear differential matrix inequalities, *J. Differential Equations*, **14** (1973), 195–201.

- [3] C. Y. Chan and E. C. Young, Singular matrix solutions for time-dependent fourth order quasilinear matrix differential inequalities, *J. Differential Equations*, **18** (1975), 386–392.
- [4] D. R. Dunninger, Sturmian theorems for parabolic inequalities, *Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli*, **36** (1969), 406–410.
- [5] J. Jaroš, T. Kusano and N. Yoshida, Picone-type inequalities for nonlinear elliptic equations and their applications, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **6** (2001), 387–404.
- [6] L. M. Kuks, Unboundedness of solutions of high-order parabolic systems in the plane and a Sturm-type comparison theorem, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, **14** (1978), 878–884; *Differential Equations*, **14** (1978), 623–627.
- [7] T. Kusano and M. Narita, Unboundedness of solutions of parabolic differential inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **57** (1977), 68–75.
- [8] A. McNabb, A note on the boundedness of solutions of linear parabolic equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **13** (1962), 262–265.

Jaroslav JAROŠ
Department of Mathematical Analysis
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
Comenius University
842 15 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

KUSANO Takaši
Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Fukuoka University
Fukuoka, 814-0180, Japan

Norio YOSHIDA
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Toyama University
Toyama, 930-8555, Japan

(Received November 1, 2001)