1 **Article Title:** Overexpression of Rubisco subunits with RAF1 increases Rubisco content in 2 maize

3

4 Authors and Affiliations:

Coralie Salesse¹, Robert Sharwood², Florian A. Busch², Johannes Kromdijk³, Viktoriya Bardal¹
 and David Stern^{1*}

Boyce Thompson Institute, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 14853, USA (C.S., D.S.)¹;
Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia
(R.S., F.B)² and Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, 1206 West
Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801, USA (J.K)³

11

12 Abstract

Rubisco catalyzes a rate-limiting step in photosynthesis and has long been a target for 13 improvement due to its slow turnover rate. An alternative to modifying catalytic properties of 14 15 Rubisco is to increase its abundance within C_4 plant chloroplasts, which might increase activity 16 and confer a higher carbon assimilation rate. Here, we overexpress the Rubisco large (LS) and small (SS) subunits with the Rubisco assembly chaperone RAF1. While overexpression of LS 17 and/or SS had no discernable impact on Rubisco content, addition of RAF1 overexpression 18 resulted in a >30% increase in Rubisco content. Gas exchange showed a 15% increase in CO_2 19 assimilation (A_{SAT}) in UBI-LSSS-RAF1 transgenic plants, which correlated with increased fresh 20 weight and *in vitro* V_{cmax} calculations. The divergence of Rubisco content and assimilation could 21 be accounted for by the Rubisco activation state, which decreased up to 23%, suggesting that 22 23 Rubisco activase may be limiting V_{cmax}, and impinging on the realization of photosynthetic 24 potential from increased Rubisco content.

25

Most life hinges on the ability of photosynthetic organisms to convert atmospheric CO₂ into 26 organic compounds. The enzyme that catalyzes the rate-limiting step in this reaction is 27 Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco), a major target for improvement 28 due to its slow turnover rate and poor substrate affinity (1). Form I Rubisco is found in plants, 29 30 algae and cyanobacteria, and is a 550 kD hexadecamer composed of 8 small subunits (SS) encoded by the nuclear RBCS gene family, and 8 large subunits (LS) encoded by the 31 chloroplast gene rbcL. These subunits are assembled through a pathway best described in 32 cyanobacteria (2), which is likely to be generally applicable to plants, as discussed below. 33

Rubisco is catalytically slow, and is subject to a competing oxygenation reaction that leads to 34 photorespiration, which is energetically wasteful under most conditions through the loss of 35 previously fixed CO₂. C₃ plants circumvent this problem by investing significant amounts of 36 nitrogen into Rubisco synthesis to attain appreciable rates of CO₂ assimilation. However, in C₄ 37 plants, the allocation of nitrogen to Rubisco is much less due to the operation of a CO₂ 38 concentrating mechanism (CCM) that relies on biochemical and anatomical adaptations (Kranz 39 40 anatomy) to differentially compartmentalize the two carboxylases PEPC (phosphoenolpyruvate 41 carboxylase) and Rubisco. In C_4 plants, Rubisco is localized within the bundle sheath (BS) chloroplasts, where its efficiency is improved by this CCM which instills a high CO₂ environment 42 relative to mesophyll (M) chloroplasts and the atmosphere. This CCM operates through initial 43 fixation of HCO_3^{-} by PEPC in M chloroplasts to convert three carbon PEP to a four-carbon 44 compound (C_4 cycle), that diffuses into the BS and is decarboxylated to produce pyruvate and 45 release high levels of CO₂ around the active sites of Rubisco (C₃ Cycle). PEP is regenerated 46 through pyruvate inorganic phosphate dikinase (PPDK) which catalyzes the ATP/Pi-dependent 47 48 conversion of pyruvate to PEP. PPDK, along with Rubisco, is believed to limit CO₂ assimilation 49 in C_4 plants (3).

The CCM within BS cells has led Rubisco to evolve a higher catalytic rate (4, 5) and conferred 50 the ability to operate at its maximum speed. This improves the tolerance of C_4 plants to heat 51 and drought through better water use efficiency, while reducing the N budget to 4-9% of total 52 53 leaf N, in contrast to the 20-30% of leaf N content allocated to Rubisco in C_3 plants (6). In order 54 to raise the CO_2 concentration in the BS, the C_4 cycle operates faster than the C_3 cycle. The 55 rate at which the fraction of concentrated CO₂ not fixed by Rubisco leaks back into the M cells relative to the rate of PEP carboxylation, is termed leakiness (Φ ; 7). It is important for C₄ plants 56 to maintain a balance between the C₃ and C₄ cycles to minimize BS leakiness. Due to the 57 energetic expense to concentrate CO_2 via the C_4 cycle, excessive Φ is considered a wasteful 58 process as a result of the additional ATP required to re-fix CO_2 that diffuses back to the M (8). 59

60 There have been numerous efforts to alter Rubisco properties or expression to improve photosynthesis, including mutagenesis and subunit swapping to alter catalytic properties 61 (reviewed in 9). Another strategy, used in rice, was to increase Rubisco content through 62 overexpression of RBCS. While this resulted in a maximal 30% increase in Rubisco content, no 63 corresponding improvement to photosynthetic rate was observed either at high or low CO₂ 64 concentrations, for reasons that remain unclear (10). We previously attempted a similar 65 approach in maize, but failed to observe increased Rubisco content in transgenic lines 66 67 overexpressing SS or a combination of SS and LS, with the latter ectopically expressed from the

68 nucleus with a chloroplast transit peptide (11). These results suggested that to increase Rubisco content, assembly and/or stability factors needed to be considered. In maize, mutant data have 69 identified four chaperones that are required for Rubisco assembly and seedling survival. These 70 are the Cpn60 α 1 (GroEL homologue) CPS2 (12), Bundle Sheath Defective2 (BSD2), a small 71 Zn-finger containing protein (13), and the novel proteins Rubisco Assembly Factor 1 (RAF1) and 72 73 Rubisco assembly factor 2 (RAF2) (14, 15). The roles of RAF1, CPS2 and BSD2 have been recently defined through bacterially-mediated assembly of Arabidopsis Rubisco (16), while the 74 precise role of RAF2 remains enigmatic. RbcX, which has been structurally studied in 75 Arabidopsis (17), is also required for *in vitro* assembly. 76

77 BSD2 participates in the final exchange of SS onto LS octamers in vitro (16). A cyanobacterial homologue of RAF1 has been shown to stabilize LS dimers in cyanobacterial Rubisco assembly 78 (18), and the co-expression of Arabidopsis RAF1 with Arabidopsis LS and native SS in tobacco 79 80 chloroplasts, greatly improved assembly (19). RAF2 function is less well understood, but it has been shown to promote Rubisco assembly in a heterologous bacterial system (20), as well as in 81 82 the Arabidopsis in vitro system (16). Rubisco performance also depends on the AAA+ ATPase Rubisco activase (RCA). RCA generates a conformational change leading to removal of 83 84 inhibitory sugar phosphates from the Rubisco catalytic site (21), and is also a target for 85 improvement due to its poor performance under certain abiotic stress conditions (22).

We hypothesized that in maize, a C_4 plant, nitrogen allocation to Rubisco is sufficiently low that a net gain in photosynthetic performance might be realized if Rubisco content could be increased. To test this hypothesis, we developed transgenic maize designed to overexpress Rubisco subunits in combination with RAF1. We report that both Rubisco protein content and activity could be improved, and could be correlated with increased plant growth.

91

92 Results

93 Transgenic maize with increased Rubisco abundance

The three transgenes shown in Figure 1a were used in the experiments reported here. Each 94 contains the maize ubiquitin promoter (constitutively expressed in M and BS), the maize coding 95 sequence of interest, and the Nos 3' terminator. Each transgene was stably introduced into Hi-II 96 97 maize, and multiple single-insertion, non-silencing events were propagated. Lines 98 overexpressing the Rubisco subunits (UBI-LSSS and UBI-SS) were reported previously (11), 99 and it was found that LS expressed from the nucleus, with a Flag epitope tag, accounted for 20-60% of the LS incorporated into Rubisco holoenzyme. No Rubisco, however, was found to 100 accumulate in mesophyll cells, where the transgenes are also expressed. Protein expression, 101 photosynthesis and plant growth of three independent transgenic events for the new UBI-RAF1 102 overexpression lines were initially characterized (Figure S1). No significant differences were 103 104 observed, and a representative event was chosen for genetic crosses and further analyses. Homozygous lines were crossed to Hi-II or each other (e.g. UBI-RAF1 x Hi-II, UBI-RAF1 x UBI-105 106 LSSS) to create hemizygotic F1 plants, which were used as experimental material.

As experimental controls, we compared Hi-II plants – the transformation recipient – as well as wild-type (WT) siblings segregating in crosses involving UBI-RAF1 or UBI-LSSS-RAF1, and Hi-II. Because the photosynthesis, protein expression and plant growth data obtained from these two types of controls were statistically indistinguishable (Figure S2), Hi-II was chosen as the non-transgenic control and is referred to as WT.

112 Co-overexpression of Rubisco assembly factor 1 and Rubisco subunits results in increased

113 Rubisco holoenzyme in BS chloroplasts

Analysis of Rubisco content in transgenic lines was performed using a ¹⁴C-CABP binding assay.

115 Statistically significant increases of 36% and 33% were observed in the UBI-SS-RAF1 and UBI-

116 LSSS-RAF1 lines, respectively (Figure 1b). We also confirmed that overexpression of LS and

- 117 SS alone did not lead to increased Rubisco content. Finally, a small increase in Rubisco content
- 118 was observed for UBI-RAF1.

119 We investigated whether all Rubisco was accumulating in BS cells, using cell type separation and immunoblotting with BS and M-specific markers (Figure 1c). As expected, no Rubisco was 120 detected above contaminant levels in M preparations, as judged by marker proteins. Then, 121 native protein preparations were analyzed by immunoblot to assess whether all accumulating 122 Rubisco subunits were present in the L_8S_8 holoenzyme. When probed for LS using either anti-123 LS or anti-Flag, only the 550 kD holoenzyme was observed (Figure 1d). Specifically, other parts 124 of the gels where either smaller LS-containing complexes, or larger intermediates not yet 125 containing SS would migrate, showed no observable signal. This was expected since Rubisco 126 127 assembly intermediates are thought to be highly unstable and/or of low abundance. The significant overexpression of RAF1 in UBI-RAF1 lines is also evident in Figure 1d. 128

Increased Rubisco abundance is correlated with increased activity and decreased activation state

Increased Rubisco content does not necessarily translate to increased activity, therefore we 131 132 assessed activity present in leaf extracts, and activation state. Total Rubisco activity (i.e. fully 133 activated enzyme) was increased in lines overexpressing RAF1 (Figure 2a), with UBI-RAF1 and UBI-LSSS-RAF1 showing significant increases. Regression analysis showed a correlation 134 between Rubisco content and activity (r²=0.81, Figure 2b). This suggests that there is not a 135 substrate limitation in the higher abundance lines. When Rubisco activation state (i.e. the 136 fraction of catalytically competent Rubisco active sites) was measured, an 80% level was 137 138 observed for the WT as well as lines expressing only subunits, consistent with the activation state typically observed for maize (Figure 3C; 23). In the three transgenic lines accumulating 139 140 more Rubisco enzyme, however, activation was reduced to 62-68%. However, a statistically 141 significant reduction in activation compared to WT was only observed in the UBI-SS-RAF1 line. Regression analysis demonstrated a strong negative correlation between Rubisco content and 142 activation state (r^2 =0.92, Figure 2d), indicating that not all of the additional Rubisco was being 143 activated. Yet, immunoblot analyses showed no major differences in Rubisco activase protein 144 abundance between the transgenic lines, or in comparison to WT (Figure S3). In order to 145 determine the amount of active Rubisco in each transgenic line we calculated in vitro V_{cmax} [% 146 active Rubisco (activation) x # of Rubisco active sites (content) x 5.5 (kcat; 5)]. These 147 148 calculations revealed that UBI-SS-RAF1 and UBI-LSSS-RAF1 may contain more active Rubisco than WT, UBI-RAF1, UBI-SS or UBI-LSSS (54-56 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ vs. 43-51 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹; Table 1), although this was not significant at P<0.05.

Plant height and fresh weight are increased in lines overexpressing RAF1 with Rubisco subunits 151 In order to evaluate the physiological effect of increased Rubisco in maize we conducted gas 152 exchange analysis, and used height and weight as proxies for any changes in plant growth rate. 153 In keeping with the modestly higher amounts of active Rubisco in UBI-SS-RAF1 and UBI-LSSS-154 RAF1, as represented by in vitro V_{cmax}, leaf CO₂ assimilation rates trended higher at saturating 155 light and various CO₂ concentrations, especially for UBI-LSSS-RAF1 (Figures 3a and b). At light 156 saturated photosynthetic capacity (A_{SAT} 400 µl/L CO₂), UBI-LSSS-RAF1 showed a significant 157 increase of approximately 15% relative to WT (A_{SAT}, Table 1). When in vitro V_{cmax} was compared 158 to the maximum light-saturated rate of photosynthesis (A_{SAT} 400 µl/L CO₂), a positive correlation 159 was observed (r^2 =0.78, Figure 3c), while Rubisco content and A_{SAT} did not show a significant 160 161 correlation (r^2 =0.54, Figure 3d). This difference is likely due to a large portion of excess Rubisco not being active and therefore not contributing to increases in photosynthesis. 162

Both UBI-SS-RAF1 and UBI-LSSS-RAF1 exhibited significantly increased height (Figures 4a and 4b) and fresh weight (Figure 4c), while UBI-SS-RAF1 also showed increased dry weight (Figure 4d). A comparison between A_{SAT} and above-ground fresh weight also revealed a strong correlation (r^2 =0.85, Figure 4e), suggesting the additional CO₂ being fixed is contributing to enhanced growth.

Plants grown under greenhouse conditions showed the same trends as seen in the growth chamber experiments (Figure S4). Both UBI-LSSS and UBI-LSSS-RAF1 showed significant increases in CO₂ assimilation rates pre- and post-pollination (Figure S4a). Both genotypes also showed significant increases in mature plant height (Figure S4b) and above ground dry weight at maturity (Figure S4c). In addition, time to pollen production and silk production was significantly reduced by two and 3-4 days respectively in the UBI-LSSS and UBI-LSSS-RAF1 genotypes relative to WT (Figures S4d-e).

175 Increased Rubisco activity does not shift the balance between C_3 and C_4 cycles

To assess whether increased Rubisco activity would disturb the balance between the C₃ and C₄ 176 cycles, through the C_3 cycle's failure to compensate for increased flux through Rubisco, we 177 measured in vitro enzyme activities and in vivo BS leakiness. To test this, we first measured 178 179 PEPC and NADP-ME enzyme activities in vitro (Table 1). No significant differences were observed in PEPC or NADP-ME activity, although NADP-ME activity correlated positively with 180 Rubisco activity (r²=0.82, Figure 5a), unlike PEPC activity (Figure 5b). Next, we calculated the 181 182 PEPC/Rubisco and NADP-ME/Rubisco activity ratios (Table 1). No change was observed for the NADP-ME/Rubisco activity ratio, whereas the PEPC/Rubisco activity ratio decreased 183 significantly in transgenic lines with the highest Rubisco activity, UBI-RAF1 and UBI-LSSS-184 RAF1. To examine the C₃ vs C₄ cycle balance in vivo we estimated Φ from carbon isotope 185 discrimination, defined as the relative difference between ¹³C:¹²C in the photosynthetic product 186 187 relative to the ratio in CO_2 surrounding the leaf (24). No significant differences in Φ were 188 observed; suggesting the balance between the C₃ and C₄ cycles was not significantly perturbed 189 (Figure 5c).

190 **Discussion**

This study demonstrates the ability to increase Rubisco content and activity in a C₄ plant 191 through altering the expression of a Rubisco assembly factor alone or along with Rubisco 192 subunits. The overexpression of RAF1 appears to be the most important contributor to 193 194 increasing Rubisco content in our plants. Rubisco content has previously been increased in rice, a C₃ plant, through the overexpression of *RBCS*, suggesting that SS availability limits Rubisco 195 assembly (10). Increased Rubisco content, however, was not correlated with increased CO₂ 196 197 assimilation, under a variety of test conditions. This could be ascribed in part to reduced activation, as well as other unidentified factors. In maize, overexpression of RBCS (or RBCS 198 and rbcL) did not lead to increased Rubisco content (11), a result that was overcome here by 199 simultaneously overexpressing the assembly chaperone RAF1, yielding up to 36% more 200 Rubisco content (Figure 1b). This observation, along with the fact that overexpressing RAF1 201 alone positively impacted Rubisco activity (Figure 2a), reinforces the key role of RAF1 in 202 Rubisco biogenesis, and suggests that Raf1, and likely BSD2, act as chaperone partners that 203 protect the LS, possibly also the SS, from rapid proteolysis, which has been previously 204 observed in mutants unable to express one or the other subunit (e.g. 25, 26, 27). 205

Though overexpression of LS and SS alone does not increase Rubisco content, there does appear to be a benefit with regards to plant growth and photosynthesis, which is most prominent under greenhouse conditions (Figure S4). One possibility is that overexpressing the subunits is increasing the rate of Rubisco synthesis and driving more rapid assembly of the enzyme. A second possibility is that there is less failure to complete assembly, resulting in a slower turnover rate. In either case this could reduce the metabolic load on the plant which could lead to increased vigor.

213 Structural analysis using cyanobacterial components revealed a Rubisco assembly intermediate consisting of eight large subunits and four RAF1 dimers, which were then displaced by SS (18). 214 The additional RAF1 in our transgenic lines is therefore likely to stabilize the holoenzyme, 215 and/or recruit and stabilize subunits earlier in the assembly process. It has been argued that C_4 216 plants cannot accommodate more Rubisco due to the constraints imposed by its 217 compartmentalization in BS cells (28). Our data, however, show that at least in maize, 218 219 significant Rubisco increases are possible (Figure 1b). We have additionally shown in maize that BS chloroplast coverage (i.e. the relative cellular area occupied by chloroplasts) can be 220 increased through overexpression of another Rubisco assembly chaperone, BSD2, without 221 obvious deleterious consequences (29). Whether either of these traits, conferred by transgene 222 expression, would offer advantages under field conditions, remains to be determined. 223

Our transgenes were driven by the non-cell type-specific ubiquitin promoter, which in principle could have led to ectopic accumulation of Rubisco in M cells, which is not a physiologically desirable outcome. However, all detectable Rubisco was in BS cells (Figure 1c), suggesting that M-localized Rubisco subunits are actively degraded, and probably fail to assemble. Of the factors known to be required for plant Rubisco assembly (16), M expression may still be lacking for RbcX, CPS2/CPN60 α , and/or RAF2, the latter two of which accumulates predominantly in BS cells (30). 231 Antisense RNA-mediated reduction of Rubisco content in the C₄ plant *Flaveria bidentis* indicated that Rubisco accounts for up to 70% of the light-saturated photosynthesis limitation at optimal 232 growth temperatures (28, 31). The >30% increase of Rubisco in BS cells allowed us to test 233 whether this trait would be beneficial in terms of CO₂ assimilation and plant growth. Gas 234 exchange showed 15% higher light-saturated CO₂ assimilation in UBI-LSSS-RAF1 plants, 235 correlating with an increase in active Rubisco, and significant increases in fresh weight and 236 237 plant height (Table 1, Figure 3c and 4e). Increases in photosynthesis were of lower magnitude 238 than the increase in protein content, due to an apparent limitation of Rubisco activase, as the activation state decreased up to 23% (Figure 2c). This was further confirmed through linear 239 regression analysis that showed Rubisco content did not correlate with ASAT, while active 240 241 Rubisco content does (Figures 3c and 3d).

Studies have been performed in both C_3 and C_4 species in which the activase level was 242 reduced. These have shown a decrease in photosynthesis only after at least a 60% reduction in 243 RCA amount (reviewed in 32). Consequently, RCA abundance has not been thought to limit 244 245 Rubisco activity under normal growth conditions. On the other hand, all studies where increased 246 Rubisco was reported, also reported decreased Rubisco activation, consistent with an RCA limitation when Rubisco content is increased (10, 33-35). However, RCA abundance did not 247 248 appear to change in the increased Rubisco lines, suggesting more RCA protein may be needed in order to activate the extra Rubisco (Figure S3). If RCA protein abundance is limiting, 249 combining overexpression of RCA with increased Rubisco could increase the photosynthetic 250 potential of these plants. However, if the RCA limitation results from a feedback response as 251 downstream reactions become overloaded, addition of RCA overexpression to high Rubisco 252 253 lines might not further enhance CO₂ assimilation and plant growth, and other steps in carbon assimilation would also need to be targeted. For example, coupling upregulation of PPDK to 254 existing and RCA traits might further increase net photosynthesis, as PPDK has been shown to 255 have the most control on photosynthetic flux after Rubisco under light-saturated conditions (3). 256 Activase itself is also prone to activity modulation in maize depending on environmental 257 258 conditions (36), and we cannot rule out the relevance of these mechanisms.

259 Increasing Rubisco content is a substantial nitrogen investment which could compete with other 260 nitrogen sinks, particularly in C_3 plants, and perhaps as a consequence did not generate a photosynthetic advantage in rice. This may be less of a problem in C_4 plants such as maize, 261 where the proportional nitrogen investment in Rubisco is significantly lower. Additionally, the C4 262 CCM provides a high CO₂ environment, which competitively inhibits oxygenase activity and 263 allows Rubisco in C₄ plants to operate close to maximal efficiency. We therefore speculate that 264 the significantly lower N investment in C₄ Rubisco, combined with the presence of a CCM, led to 265 the observed results in maize. While additional Rubisco may not provide an advantage under 266 267 some growth conditions, the Rubisco pool may also be thought of as N storage (37, 38) that can 268 be remobilized under stress conditions and/or during leaf senescence (6).

269 While we did not observe any growth penalty under optimal conditions, highly expressing 270 transgenes may negatively affect yield due to the increased metabolic load (39). On the other 271 hand, increased Rubisco content might be beneficial under stress conditions where low Rubisco 272 content in C_4 plants has been hypothesized to negatively affect plant performance. For 273 example, carbon assimilation in C_4 species typically responds very negatively to chilling conditions (40), which may be related to the reduced activity and abundance of Rubisco. In 274 maize, carbon assimilation decreases >60% at 14°C, while Rubisco abundance decreases 275 ≥40% (41-43), indicating that Rubisco content may limit photosynthetic capacity at low 276 277 temperatures. If so, the chilling sensitivity of C_4 species such as maize could be overcome by increasing Rubisco expression. Indeed, chilling-tolerant C₄ species like *Miscanthus* x giganteus 278 279 maintain Rubisco content under chilling conditions (43), which helps to sustain carbon 280 assimilation. However, any improvement in Rubisco activity needs to be matched by C₄ cycle activity in order to improve overall carbon assimilation. 281

In this study, carbon isotope discrimination was measured in parallel with photosynthetic gas 282 exchange to assess the balance between these cycles in vivo (Figure 5c). Since bundle sheath 283 leakiness (Φ) was found to be similar in the transgenic lines with increased net assimilation, 284 compared to the non-transgenic control, the rates of both cycles appear to have increased in 285 concert, suggesting that upregulation of Rubisco in the C_3 cycle may have a feedback control on 286 287 the rate of the C_4 cycle. Alternatively, the small increases in assimilation observed may not be 288 sufficient to measure an observable change in leakiness. Enzyme activity analysis showed no correlation between Rubisco (C_3) and PEPC (C_4) activities, and the PEPC: Rubisco activity ratio 289 decreased in transgenic lines with increased Rubisco activity (Table 1). These results are 290 consistent with previous work suggesting that PEPC activity does not affect leakiness (44). 291 Interestingly, we observed a positive correlation between Rubisco and NADP-ME activities 292 (Figure 5a), which is consistent with unchanged bundle sheath leakiness and suggests that 293 NADP-ME activity was able to adjust to the increased Rubisco activity. Increased NADP-ME 294 295 activity as a result of increasing Rubisco content may also be part of the feedback control mechanism on the C₄ cycle, since antisense reduction of NADP-ME in *Flaveria bidentis* directly 296 297 affected the flux through the C_4 cycle (45). Together, these results show that the ability of the M 298 to supply CO₂ to the BS did not prevent an increase in net photosynthesis, suggesting a coordination between mesophyll capacity and Rubisco activity. 299

300 <u>Conclusions</u>

301 We have demonstrated that increasing Rubisco content in maize can help improve CO2 302 assimilation and plant growth under laboratory conditions. Our approach could potentially be improved by tweaking Rubisco assembly or activity, but photosynthesis is a systems-level 303 process, meaning that the ultimate agronomic aim of optimizing photosynthesis in the context of 304 resilient and high-yielding crops, will also rely on modifications to light harvesting, plant 305 architecture, and other aspects of photosynthetic metabolism (31, 46-48). Combining this work 306 with the knowledge gained from other studies altering native protein expression that resulted in 307 308 similar improvements in plant performance could help accomplish these goals (49, 50).

309 Methods

310 **Construct Generation and Maize Transformation**

Each maize transgenic cassette was driven by the maize ubiquitin1 promoter and includes the

- 312 Nos terminator. These were assembled along with the ORF of interest into pGEM T-easy and
- introduced into Hi-II maize using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (51). Details of UBI-

SS and UBI-LSSS constructs and characterization of transgenic lines are described in 314 Wostrikoff et al. 2012 (11). The binary vector pPTN1063, referred to as UBI-RAF1, incorporates 315 the ubiquitin1 promoter from pUbiHCnos (11), the maize RAF1 open reading frame and the Nos 316 terminator from pHCnos (11). The RAF1 gene was PCR amplified from leaf genomic DNA with 317 318 primers Spel-c235f (5'-TTACTAGTATGCTCTCCCTCTCCCAC-3') and Clalc235r (5'-CAATCGATTCAGTCCCACTCCTCGTC-3'). The UBI-RAF1 plasmid contained the aadA 319 320 streptomycin resistance gene for bacterial selection and the *nptll* kanamycin resistance gene for 321 plant selection. Expression was determined for three independent RAF1 T1 single-insertion events received from the Plant Transformation Core Research Facility at the University of 322 Nebraska-Lincoln, using immunoblot analysis with the RAF1 antibody (Figure S1; 14). No 323 324 evidence for gene silencing was observed in subsequent generations or after genetic crosses. genotype 325 Primers used to for the **UBI-SS** transgene are ZmUbiSSF4 (5'-GCCCTGCCTTCATACGCTAT-3') and ZmUbiSSR4 (5'-TGGGAATTGGGATGGGATGG-3'). 326

327

328 Plant Growth

Seed was germinated in 6L pots of 1/3 metro mix and 2/3 turface calcined clay soil mix, and 329 fertilized three times per week until harvest. For growth chamber experiments, plants were 330 grown in control environment chambers (Conviron, BDW40) under 25°C/16 hour days and 331 20°C/8 hour nights at a light intensity of 500-600 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and relative humidity of 70%. 40 332 days after planting, plant height measurements were taken at the top of the whorl (red dots, 333 334 Figure 4A). Above-ground fresh and dry weights were measured after cutting the plant just above the soil. Leaves and stems were dried at 40°C for 2 weeks. For greenhouse experiments, 335 plants were grown in under natural illumination at 28°C/25°C day/night. Plant height was 336 measured at the leaf collar of the youngest fully expanded leaf. This was repeated weekly from 337 338 3-10 weeks after germination.

339 Mesophyll and Bundle Sheath Isolation and Protein analysis

M and BS isolations were performed on 1 - 2 g of leaf tissue isolated from at least 3 plants, as 340 previously described (52). The BS extraction was carried out entirely at 4°C to minimize 341 degradation. Protein was then isolated from the M and BS extracts as described below. Total 342 protein was extracted on an equal leaf area basis since there were no differences in leaf mass 343 per area between the lines (Table 1). Two to four hole punches of tissue were taken from the tip 344 of the third leaf as described (53). Protein was separated using 13% SDS-polyacrylamide gels 345 346 and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad). Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C in Tris-buffered saline plus 0.1% Tween-20. Antibodies used were 347 anti-LS (Agrisera), anti-ME (a kind gift of Dr. Timothy Nelson, formerly of Yale University), anti-348 PEPC (Agrisera), anti-Cpn60 (a gift from Spencer Whitney, ANU) and anti-RAF1 (14). 349 350 Incubation with goat anti-rabbit IR dye 800 CW (LI-COR) secondary antibody was performed at room temperature for 2 hr and blots were imaged using the LI-COR Odyssey Infrared Imaging 351 System. Gels that were not blotted were stained with 0.01% Coomassie Blue R-250 and also 352 imaged using the Odyssey. For Blue Native gel electrophoresis, total soluble proteins were 353 354 extracted as described below for Rubisco activation and content measurements. 80 µL of plant extract and 20 µL of native loading dye (80% glycerol, 0.01% Bromophenol Blue) were 355 combined. 15 µL of protein were loaded on 4-16% bis-Tris 1-mm gels (Invitrogen), run in a cold 356

room at low voltage overnight, and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes using the XCell IITM Blot Module (Invitrogen). Primary antibodies were incubated overnight and detected via chemiluminescence. Native gels that were not blotted were fixed with 50% methanol, 5% acetic acid and 40% water for 45 minutes and stained with GelCode Blue (Fisher).

362 Leaf gas exchange analysis

All gas exchange measurements were performed with a LI-6400XT gas exchange system 363 364 (LICOR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) on the youngest fully expanded leaves of 3 ¹/₂ week old plants. Responses of the net CO_2 assimilation rate (A) to intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i) 365 were measured at a leaf temperature of 25°C and a light intensity of 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. After the 366 plant was acclimated to these conditions inside the LI-6400XT leaf chamber for at least 10 367 minutes and reached steady state gas exchange, the A/C_i curves were measured with a 368 sequence of reference CO₂ concentrations of 400, 1000, 750, 550, 400, 350, 300, 250, 200, 369 150, 100, 75, 50, and 400 µmol mol⁻¹. Responses of the net CO₂ assimilation rate (A) to 370 photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) were also measured using the Li-6400XT gas 371 372 exchange system. Plants were acclimated to a leaf temperature of 25°C, a CO₂ concentration of 400 μ I/L and a light intensity of 1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ until reaching steady state. Subsequently, 373 PPFD was varied from 1800 to 1400, 1000, 800, 600, 400, 200, 150, 125, 100, 75, 50, and 25 374 umol m⁻² s⁻¹. Data points were taken in sequential order, with an equilibration time of between 375 180 and 300 seconds at each CO₂ concentration or light intensity. In the greenhouse 376 experiment net CO₂ assimilation rate was measured at a CO₂ concentration of 400 µmol mol⁻¹, a 377 leaf temperature of 25°C and a light intensity of 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. 378

379 Enzyme activation and content measurements

Following gas exchange measurements, replicate leaf samples (0.5 cm²) were frozen in liquid 380 nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Soluble protein from each leaf disc was extracted in 1 mL of ice-381 cold. N2-sparged extraction buffer [(50 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 1% 382 (v/v) plant protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% (w/v) PVPP)] with 5 mM MgCl₂ 383 using 2 mL Wheaton glass homogenizers kept on ice (23). The lysate was centrifuged for 30 384 sec (16,000 x g, 4 °C) and 10 µL of the soluble protein was assayed for initial and total Rubisco 385 activities using an NADH-coupled spectrophotometric enzyme assay at 25°C (54). Rubisco 386 content was determined using 100 µL of soluble extract by ¹⁴C-CABP binding, as previously 387 described (55). Soluble protein content was measured using a Coomassie dye assay (Pierce) 388 with BSA standards. Total NADP-ME activity was determined in a spectrophotometric coupled 389 NADP assay as described previously (56). Total PEPC activity was determined in a NADH-390 coupled spectrophotometric assay as described previously (56, 57). Protein extraction was 391 performed using the buffer described above for Rubisco activation and content measurements. 392

393 Carbon isotope discrimination (Δ^{13} C) and bundle sheath leakiness (φ)

Carbon isotope discrimination (Δ^{13} C) was measured simultaneously with gas exchange as described (58). The youngest fully expanded leaf was clamped in the cuvette of an open gas exchange system (LI-6800 with 6 cm² cuvette with integrated fluorometer), with light intensity set to 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, leaf temperature controlled at 25°C, cuvette CO₂ at 400 µmol mol⁻¹, and pre-mixed gas cylinder containing 2% O₂ and 98% N₂ connected to the air inlet, applying 399 manufacturer corrections for O_2 -sensitivity of the infra-red gas analyzers. The exhaust stream of the sample and reference IRGA were connected to two parallel cryogenic CO₂ trapping and 400 purification lines under partial vacuum. After steady state gas exchange was reached, CO₂ was 401 collected for 5 min while gas exchange parameters were recorded. Carbon isotope composition 402 403 of collected CO₂ was analyzed on an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (SIRA series II, VG Isotech, modified by Provac Ltd, Crewe, Cheshire, UK) and observed Δ^{13} C was derived 404 according to Evans et al. (59). Bundle sheath leakiness was subsequently calculated from gas 405 exchange parameters and Δ^{13} C according to equations in Von Caemmerer *et al.* (24), using a 406 mesophyll conductance value of 1.78 mol m^{-2} s⁻¹ bar⁻¹ [measured on maize by Barbour *et al.* 407 (60)], and assuming ternary corrections apply, bicarbonate and CO₂ in the mesophyll cytoplasm 408 409 are in isotopic equilibrium, and bundle sheath [CO₂] >> mesophyll [CO₂]. For a more detailed description of these assumptions see Kromdijk et al. (61). 410

411 Statistical analysis

Jmp pro version 13.1.0 software was used to determine the statistical significance of the differences observed between the WT and transgenic lines. One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD tests were performed, where different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). Correlations between data sets were evaluated using Pearson's correlation coefficient. n = number of individual biological replicates.

417 Data Availability

The data generated and analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author

on reasonable request. Raw data would include photosynthesis and enzyme activity analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We acknowledge Tom Clemente and Shirley Sato (University of 421 Nebraska-Lincoln) for assembling the final transformation constructs, performing maize 422 transformations, and providing seed from T0 lines. Dr. Tim Nelson is acknowledged for sharing 423 ME antibody. This research was supported by the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative from 424 the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under award 425 number 2016-67013-24464. Travel to the Australian National University was supported by the 426 Mario Einaudi Center for International Studies, International Research Travel Grant at Cornell 427 428 University. We thank Steve Long (Univ. Illinois) for helpful discussions and manuscript suggestions. RES is funded by the ARC Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis 429 (CE140100015) and ARC DECRA (DE13010760). 430

431 Author Contributions

432 CS participated in all experiments and drafted manuscript; RS participated in experiments 433 shown in Figures 1, 2, 3, 5, Table 1; FAB participated in experiments shown in Figure 3 and 434 Table 1; JK participated in some experiments presented in Figure 5 and Table 1; VB 435 participated in experiments shown in Figure S3-S4. DS was responsible for project 436 management and finalization of data analysis and manuscript preparation.

437

438 **Competing interests**

439	The	The authors declare no competing interests.							
440									
441	Corresponding author								
442	Cor	respondence to David B. Stern, ds28@cornell.edu							
443									
444									
445		References							
446									
447	1.	Sharwood RE (2017) Engineering chloroplasts to improve Rubisco catalysis: prospects for							
448		translating improvements into food and fiber crops. New Phytol. 213(2):494-510.							
449	2.	Hauser T, Popilka L, Hartl FU, & Hayer-Hartl M (2015) Role of auxiliary proteins in Rubisco							
450		biogenesis and function. <i>Nat. Plants</i> 1:15065.							
451	3.	Furbank RT, et al. (1997) Genetic manipulation of key photosynthetic enzymes in the C ₄							
452		plant Flaveria bidentis. Func. Plant Biol. 24(4):477-485.							
453	4.	Ghannoum O, et al. (2005) Faster Rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen-use efficiency in							
454		NADP-malic enzyme relative to NAD-malic enzyme C_4 grasses. <i>Plant Physiol.</i> 137(2):638-							
455	F	650. Sharward DE Charneym O. 8 Whitney SM (2016) Dreamasts for improving CO, fivetion in							
456	5.	Sharwood RE, Ghannoum O, & Whitney SM (2016) Prospects for improving CO_2 invalion in C_2 areas through understanding C4. Bubiase biogeneois and established diversity. Curr Opin							
457 150		Diant Rial 31:135 142							
438 159	6	Feller II Anders I & Mae T (2008) Rubiscolytics: fate of Rubisco after its enzymatic							
460	0.	function in a cell is terminated <i>J Exp. Bot</i> 59(7):1615-1624							
461	7.	Farguhar G (1983) On the nature of carbon isotope discrimination in C ₄ species. <i>Functional</i>							
462		Plant Biol. 10(2):205-226.							
463	8.	Furbank R, Jenkins C, & Hatch M (1990) C ₄ photosynthesis—quantum requirement, C ₄ acid							
464		overcycling and Q-cycle involvement. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 17:1–7.							
465	9.	Bracher A, Whitney SM, Hartl FU, & Hayer-Hartl M (2017) Biogenesis and Metabolic							
466		Maintenance of Rubisco. Annual review of plant biology 68(1):29-60.							
467	10.	Suzuki Y, et al. (2007) Increased Rubisco content in transgenic rice transformed with the							
468		'sense' rbcS gene. Plant Cell Physiol. 48(4):626-637.							
469	11.	Wostrikoff K, Clark A, Sato S, Clemente T, & Stern D (2012) Ectopic expression of rubisco							
470		subunits in maize mesophyll cells does not overcome barriers to cell type-specific							
4/1	10	accumulation. <i>Plant Physiol.</i> 160(1):419-432.							
472	12.	Barkan A (1993) Nuclear mutants of maize with defects in chloroplast polysome assembly							
473	12	Prutaell TD Sowers DI Mont A & Longdolo IA (1000) DUNDLE SHEATH DEFECTIVE2 of							
474 175	15.	novel protein required for post- translational regulation of the <i>rbcl</i> gene of maize <i>Plant Cell</i>							
475		11(5):849-864							
477	14	Feiz L et al. (2012) Ribulose-1 5-Bis-Phosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase Accumulation							
478		Factor1 is required for holoenzyme assembly in maize. <i>Plant Cell</i> 24:3435-3446.							
479	15.	Feiz L, et al. (2014) A protein with an inactive pterin-4a-carbinolamine dehvdratase domain							
480		is required for Rubisco biogenesis in plants. <i>Plant J.</i> 80(5):862-869.							
481	16.	Aigner H, et al. (2017) Plant RuBisCo assembly in E. coli with five chloroplast chaperones							
482		including BSD2. Science 358:1272-1278.							

- 483 17. Kolesinski P, *et al.* (2013) Insights into eukaryotic Rubisco assembly crystal structures of
 484 RbcX chaperones from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1830(4):2899-2906.
- Hauser T, *et al.* (2015) Structure and mechanism of the Rubisco-assembly chaperone Raf1.
 Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.
- Whitney SM, Birch R, Kelso C, Beck JL, & Kapralov MV (2015) Improving recombinant
 Rubisco biogenesis, plant photosynthesis and growth by coexpressing its ancillary RAF1
 chaperone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 112(11):3564-3569.
- Wheatley NM, Sundberg CD, Gidaniyan SD, Cascio D, & Yeates TO (2014) Structure and
 Identification of a pterin dehydratase-like protein as a Ribulose-bisphosphate
 Carboxylase/Oxygenase (RuBisCO) assembly factor in the alpha-carboxysome. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289(11):7973-7981.
- 494 21. Bhat JY, Thieulin-Pardo G, Hartl FU, & Hayer-Hartl M (2017) Rubisco Activases: AAA+ 495 Chaperones Adapted to Enzyme Repair. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* 4(20).
- 496 22. Mueller-Cajar O (2017) The Diverse AAA+ Machines that Repair Inhibited Rubisco Active 497 Sites. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences* 4(31).
- 498 23. Sharwood RE, Sonawane BV, Ghannoum O, & Whitney SM (2016) Improved analysis of
 499 C4 and C3 photosynthesis via refined *in vitro* assays of their carbon fixation biochemistry. *J.*500 *Exp. Bot.* 67(10):3137-3148.
- von Caemmerer S, Ghannoum O, Pengelly JJL, & Cousins AB (2014) Carbon isotope
 discrimination as a tool to explore C₄ photosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65(13):3459-3470.
- 25. Rodermel S, Haley J, Jiang CZ, Tsai CH, & Bogorad L (1996) A mechanism for
 intergenomic integration: abundance of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small-subunit
 protein influences the translation of the large-subunit mRNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*.
 93(9):3881-3885.
- 507 26. Johnson X, *et al.* (2010) MRL1, a conserved pentatricopeptide repeat protein, is required for 508 stabilization of *rbcL* mRNA in *Chlamydomonas* and *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*.
- 509 27. Kanevski I & Maliga P (1994) Relocation of the plastid *rbcL* gene to the nucleus yields
 510 functional ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase in tobacco chloroplasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad.* 511 *Sci. USA* 91:1969-1973.
- 512 28. Kubien DS, von Caemmerer S, Furbank RT, & Sage RF (2003) C₄ photosynthesis at low
 513 temperature. A study using transgenic plants with reduced amounts of Rubisco. *Plant* 514 *Physiol.* 132(3):1577-1585.
- Salesse-Smith C, Sharwood RE, Sakamoto W, & Stern DB (2017) The Rubisco chaperone
 BSD2 may regulate chloroplast coverage in maize bundle sheath cells. *Plant Physiol*175(4):1624-1633.
- 518 30. Friso G, Majeran W, Huang M, Sun Q, & van Wijk KJ (2010) Reconstruction of metabolic
 519 pathways, protein expression and homeostasis machineries across maize bundle sheath
 520 and mesophyll chloroplasts; large scale quantitative proteomics using the first maize
 521 genome assembly. *Plant Physiol.*
- 522 31. von Caemmerer S & Furbank RT (2016) Strategies for improving C₄ photosynthesis. *Curr.* 523 *Opin. Plant Biol.* 31(Supplement C):125-134.
- 524 32. Carmo-Silva E, Scales JC, Madgwick PJ, & Parry MA (2015) Optimizing Rubisco and its 525 regulation for greater resource use efficiency. *Plant, cell & environment* 38(9):1817-1832.
- Suzuki Y, Miyamoto T, Yoshizawa R, Mae T, & Makino A (2009) Rubisco content and
 photosynthesis of leaves at different positions in transgenic rice with an overexpression of
 RBCS. Plant Cell Environ. 32(4):417-427.
- 34. Ishikawa C, Hatanaka T, Misoo S, Miyake C, & Fukayama H (2011) Functional
 incorporation of sorghum small subunit increases the catalytic turnover rate of Rubisco in
 transgenic rice. *Plant Physiol.* 156(3):1603-1611.

- 35. Morita K, Hatanaka T, Misoo S, & Fukayama H (2014) Unusual small subunit that is not
 expressed in photosynthetic cells alters the catalytic properties of Rubisco in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 164(1):69-79.
- 535 36. Crafts-Brandner SJ & Salvucci ME (2002) Sensitivity of photosynthesis in a C₄ plant, maize,
 536 to heat stress. *Plant Physiol.* 129(4):1773-1780.
- 537 37. Millard P (1988) The accumulation and storage of nitrogen by herbaceous plants. *Plant,* 538 *Cell & Environ.* 11(1):1-8.
- 38. Millard P & Grelet G-a (2010) Nitrogen storage and remobilization by trees:
 ecophysiological relevance in a changing world. *Tree Physiol.* 30(9):1083-1095.
- 541 39. Sweetlove LJ, Nielsen J, & Fernie AR (2017) Engineering central metabolism a grand 542 challenge for plant biologists. *The Plant Journal* 90(4):749-763.
- 40. Farooq M, Aziz T, Wahid A, Lee D, & Siddique KHM (2009) Chilling tolerance in maize: agronomic and physiological approaches. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 60(6):501-516.
- 41. Long SP (1983) C₄ photosynthesis at low temperatures. *Plant Cell Environ*. 6(4):345-363.
- 42. Wang D, Portis AR, Jr., Moose SP, & Long SP (2008) Cool C₄ photosynthesis: pyruvate Pi dikinase expression and activity corresponds to the exceptional cold tolerance of carbon assimilation in *Miscanthus x giganteus*. *Plant Physiol.* 148(1):557-567.
- 43. Naidu SL, Moose SP, AK AL-S, Raines CA, & Long SP (2003) Cold tolerance of C₄
 photosynthesis in *Miscanthus* x *giganteus*: adaptation in amounts and sequence of C₄
 photosynthetic enzymes. *Plant Physiol.* 132(3):1688-1697.
- 44. Cousins AB, *et al.* (2007) The role of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase during C₄
 photosynthetic isotope exchange and stomatal conductance. *Plant Physiol.* 145(3):1006 1017.
- 45. Brown RH (1999) Agronomic implications of C₄ photosynthesis. C₄ plant Biol.:473-507.
- 46. Ort DR, *et al.* (2015) Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112(28):8529-8536.
- 47. Niyogi KK (2017) Editorial overview: Physiology and metabolism: Light responses from
 photoreceptors to photosynthesis and photoprotection. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*37(Supplement C):iv-vi.
- 48. Sarlikioti V, De Visser PH, Buck-Sorlin G, & Marcelis L (2011) How plant architecture
 affects light absorption and photosynthesis in tomato: towards an ideotype for plant
 architecture using a functional–structural plant model. *Ann.f Bot.* 108(6):1065-1073.
- 49. Glowacka K, *et al.* (2018) Photosystem II Subunit S overexpression increases the efficiency of water use in a field-grown crop. *Nature communications* 9(1):868.
- 566 50. Feng L, *et al.* (2007) Overexpression of SBPase enhances photosynthesis against high 567 temperature stress in transgenic rice plants. *Plant Cell Rep* 26(9):1635-1646.
- 568 51. Sattarzadeh A, *et al.* (2010) Transgenic maize lines with cell-type specific expression of 569 fluorescent proteins in plastids. *Plant biotechnology journal* 8:112-125.
- 570 52. Markelz NH, Costich DE, & Brutnell TP (2003) Photomorphogenic responses in maize 571 seedling development. *Plant Physiol.* 133(4):1578-1591.
- 572 53. Barkan A (1998) Approaches to investigating nuclear genes that function in chloroplast 573 biogenesis in land plants. *Meths. Enzymol.* 297:38-57.
- 574 54. Lilley RM & Walker DA (1974) An improved spectrophotometric assay for 575 ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 358(1):226-229.
- 576 55. Sharwood RE, von Caemmerer S, Maliga P, & Whitney SM (2008) The catalytic properties
 577 of hybrid Rubisco comprising tobacco small and sunflower large subunits mirror the
 578 kinetically equivalent source Rubiscos and can support tobacco growth. *Plant Physiol.*579 146(1):83-96.
- 580 56. Ashton AR, Burnell JN, Furbank RT, Jenkins CLD, & Hatch MD (1990) Enzymes of C₄
 581 Photosynthesis. *Methods in Plant Biochemistry*, ed Lea PJ (Academic Press Ltd, London),
 582 Vol 3, pp 39-71.

- 583 57. Sharwood RE, Sonawane BV, & Ghannoum O (2014) Photosynthetic flexibility in maize 584 exposed to salinity and shade. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65:3715-3724.
- 585 58. Kromdijk J, Griffiths H, & Schepers HE (2010) Can the progressive increase of C₄ bundle
 586 sheath leakiness at low PFD be explained by incomplete suppression of photorespiration?
 587 *Plant Cell Environ.* 33(11):1935-1948.
- 588 59. Evans J, Sharkey T, Berry J, & Farquhar G (1986) Carbon isotope discrimination measured
 589 concurrently with gas exchange to investigate CO₂ diffusion in leaves of higher plants.
 590 *Functional Plant Biol.* 13(2):281-292.
- 60. Barbour MM, Evans JR, Simonin KA, & Caemmerer S (2016) Online CO₂ and H₂O oxygen isotope fractionation allows estimation of mesophyll conductance in C₄ plants, and reveals that mesophyll conductance decreases as leaves age in both C₄ and C₃ plants. *New Phytol.* 210(3):875-889.
- Kromdijk J, Ubierna N, Cousins AB, & Griffiths H (2014) Bundle-sheath leakiness in C₄
 photosynthesis: a careful balancing act between CO₂ concentration and assimilation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65(13):3443-3457.
- 598

599 Figure Legends

600 Fig. 1. Maize transformation constructs and analysis of Rubisco content, cell type expression and assembly status. (a) Schematics of ubiquitin promoter-driven constructs introduced into 601 maize. RBCL_N denotes nucleus-encoded LS, a codon optimized rbcL gene preceded by a 602 chloroplast transit peptide. The grey box represents a Flag epitope tag present in the RBCL_N 603 transgene. (b) Average Rubisco content in 2 ½ week-old leaves ± SE quantified by ¹⁴C-CABP 604 binding (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=11, RAF1=9, SS=10, 605 LSSS=12, SS-RAF1=12, LSSS-RAF1=12). Different lowercase letters indicate significant 606 607 differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD. (c) Soluble protein was isolated from M and 608 BS cell preparations and analyzed by immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated at left (n=3 609 biologically independent experiments). α -PEPC and α -ME (malic enzyme) were used as 610 controls for M and BS cell purity, respectively. (d) Protein was isolated under native conditions from total leaf tissue and analyzed by immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated below (n=3 611 biologically independent experiments). a-LS recognizes endogenous and transgene encoded 612 Rubisco, while α -FLAG recognizes transgene-encoded Rubisco large subunit. α -RAF1 613 recognizes the endogenous and transgene-encoded chaperone. Rb marks the position of the 614 Rubisco holoenzyme. * marks a band that may contain the LS-chaperonin complex as seen in 615 Feiz et al. (2012). 616

Fig. 2. Rubisco activity and activation state, and relationship to Rubisco content. Measurements 617 were performed on soluble protein extracted from leaf tissue of 2¹/₂ week old plants. (a) Rubisco 618 activity was measured using NADH-linked spectrophotometric assays (n= the number of 619 individual plants used for measurements; WT=11, RAF1=9, SS=9, LSSS=11, SS-RAF1=11, 620 LSSS-RAF1=12). (b) Correlation between Rubisco activity and Rubisco content. (c) Rubisco 621 622 activation status was determined by dividing initial Rubisco activity by total Rubisco activity (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=4, RAF1=4, SS=3, LSSS=3, SS-623 RAF1=4, LSSS-RAF1=3). (d) Correlation between Rubisco activation and Rubisco content. The 624 625 solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's

626 coefficient of correlation. All values are shown as the mean \pm SE. Different lowercase letters 627 indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD.

Fig. 3. Photosynthetic performance of maize lines. CO₂ and light response curves were 628 measured on the youngest fully expanded leaves of 31/2 week old plants at 25°C, using leaf gas 629 exchange. (a) Photosynthetic light response (A-Q) curves at 400 µl/L CO₂, (n= the number of 630 individual plants used for measurements; WT=4, RAF1=5, SS=4, LSSS=4, SS-RAF1=3, LSSS-631 RAF1=4).PPFD, photosynthetic photon flux density. (b) CO₂ response (A-Ci) curves at 1800 632 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=5, RAF1=6, 633 SS=5, LSSS=6, SS-RAF1=6, LSSS-RAF1=6). Values are shown as the mean \pm SE. (c) 634 Correlation between in vitro V_{cmax} and the maximum light-saturated rate of photosynthesis 635 (A_{SAT}). (d) Correlation between Rubisco content and A_{SAT}. The solid lines represent linear 636 regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. Values 637 are shown as the mean ± SE. Plants were grown and analyzed as described in Methods. 638

Fig. 4. Growth analysis. (a) A representative plant for each genotype is pictured prior to harvest, 639 40 days after planting. The red dot indicates the top of the whorl, with the horizontal white line 640 as a reference. Note that the order of SS and RAF1 in panel (a) is different than the order in 641 panels b-d. (b) Plant height, (c) above-ground fresh weight and (d) dry weight were measured 642 for each genotype. Values are shown as the mean ±SE (n= the number of individual plants used 643 for measurements; WT=7, RAF1=6, SS=7, LSSS=6, SS-RAF1=7, LSSS-RAF1=7).Different 644 645 lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD. (e) 646 Correlation between fresh weight and A_{SAT}. The solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. Plants were grown and 647 648 analyzed as described in Methods.

Fig. 5. Relationships between in vitro and in vivo C_4 and C_3 cycle photosynthetic parameters. 649 Enzyme activity measurements were performed on soluble protein extracted from leaf tissue of 650 651 21/2 week-old plants. Correlations between (a) NADP-ME activity and (b) PEPC activity with 652 Rubisco activity. The solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated 653 using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. (c) BS leakiness measurements on 4-week-old plants. 654 Values are shown as the mean \pm SE (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=6, RAF1=5, SS=5, LSSS=5, SS-RAF1=6, LSSS-RAF1=5).No significant 655 differences were observed (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD. 656

657

658

659

Table 1. Summary of leaf gas exchange, plant growth and photosynthetic enzyme activity.

661

Data represents averages of at least three replicates \pm SE. Statistical significance tests and mean ranking were conducted using one way Tukey-Kramer HSD ANOVA tests. Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (P<0.05). Values significantly different from WT at the 5% level are in bold.

Parameter	WT	RAF1	SS	LSSS	SS-RAF1	LSSS-RAF1		
Leaf gas exchange								
A _{SAT}	30.7	32.0	28.8 ±1.63 <i>a</i>	33.8	33.8	35.5±0.52 <i>c</i>		
$(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	±0.74 <i>ab</i>	±0.54 <i>abc</i>		±0.71 <i>bc</i>	±0.92 <i>bc</i>			
BS Leakiness, Φ	0.21 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.19 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.21 ±0.02 <i>a</i>	0.20 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.22 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.20 ±0.01 <i>a</i>		
Plant growth traits								
Height (cm)	73.2 ±1.8 <i>a</i>	80.7 ±1.5 <i>abc</i>	74.4 ±4.0 <i>ab</i>	83.2 ±0.68 <i>abc</i>	84.5 ±2.9 <i>bc</i>	86.2 ±2.5c		
Fresh Weight (g)	230.5	281.2	209.3	291.7	300.0	293.6 ±15.8c		
	±12.3ab	±18.7 <i>bc</i>	±10.9a	±6.7 <i>bc</i>	±18.7c			
Dry Weight (g)	28.8	32.3	27.6	33.5	37.5 ±2.6b	32.9 ±1.1 <i>ab</i>		
	±0.75 <i>a</i>	±1.3 <i>ab</i>	±0.84 <i>a</i>	±1.2 <i>ab</i>				
Leaf Mass per Area	128.6 ±4.6 <i>a</i>	143.4	121.0 ±4.9 <i>a</i>	137.4 ±3.0 <i>a</i>	144.0 ±5.2 <i>a</i>	129.0 ±4.7 <i>a</i>		
(g m)		±11.3a						
Photosynthetic enzymes								
Rubisco Content	11.7 ±0.4 <i>a</i>	13.8 ±0.7 <i>ab</i>	11.3 ±0.6 <i>a</i>	11.8 ±0.8 <i>a</i>	15.9 ±0.4 <i>b</i>	15.5 ±0.6 <i>b</i>		
(µmol sites m ⁻²)								
% Rubisco	81.8 ±2.7 <i>a</i>	68.9 ±3.0 <i>ab</i>	79.7 ±4.5 <i>a</i>	76.3 ±5.3 <i>ab</i>	61.5 ±2.5b	66.9 ±2.7 <i>ab</i>		
Activation								
<i>in vitro</i> V _{cmax}	48.1 ±3.4 <i>a</i>	49.8 ±3.4 <i>a</i>	43.3 ±4.3 <i>a</i>	50.8 ±9.2 <i>a</i>	56.4 ±2.4 <i>a</i>	53.6 ±2.8a		
$(\mu mol m^2 s^1)$								
Rubisco Activity	35.6 ±2.7 <i>a</i>	51.2 ±2.9b	36.5 ±3.1 <i>a</i>	35.6 ±4.3 <i>a</i>	48.6 ±3.9 <i>ab</i>	52.3 ±2.5b		
$(\mu mol m^2 s^{-1})$								
PEPC Activity	241.3	227.7	247.4	199.3	244.4	221.4 ±21.4 <i>a</i>		
$(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	±17.1a	±38.7 <i>a</i>	±14.1a	±19.3 <i>a</i>	±26.4 <i>a</i>			
NADP-ME Activity	74.3 ±3.1ab	82.2 ±5.9ab	76.8 ±7.4ab	68.9 ±3.1 <i>b</i>	83.8 ±3.4ab	89.6 ±4.2 <i>a</i>		
(µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)								
PEPC/Rubisco	7.8 ±01.0 <i>a</i>	4.6 ±0.6 <i>bc</i>	7.6 ±0.8 <i>ab</i>	6.4 ±0.4 <i>abc</i>	6.1 ±0.6abc	4.5 ±0.4c		
NADP-ME/Rubisco	2.4 ±0.2a	1.7 ±0.1a	2.2 ±0.1a	2.3 ±0.2a	2.1 ±0.1 <i>a</i>	1.8 ±0.1 <i>a</i>		

666

667

668



Fig. 1. Maize transformation constructs and analysis of Rubisco content, cell type expression and assembly status. (a) Schematics of ubiquitin promoter-driven constructs introduced into maize. RBCL_N denotes nucleus-encoded LS, a codon optimized rbcL gene preceded by a chloroplast transit peptide. The grey box represents a Flag epitope tag present in the $RBCL_N$ transgene. (b) Average Rubisco content in 2 1/2 week-old leaves ± SE quantified by 14C-CABP binding (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=11, RAF1=9, SS=10, LSSS=12, SS-RAF1=12, LSSS-RAF1=12). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD. (c) Soluble protein was isolated from M and BS cell preparations and analyzed by immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated at left (n=3 biologically independent experiments). α-PEPC and α -ME (malic enzyme) were used as controls for M and BS cell purity, respectively. (d) Protein was isolated under native conditions from total leaf tissue and analyzed by immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated below (n=3 biologically independent experiments). α-LS recognizes endogenous and transgene encoded Rubisco, while α -FLAG recognizes transgene-encoded Rubisco large subunit. α-RAF1 recognizes the endogenous and transgene-encoded chaperone. Rb marks the position of the Rubisco holoenzyme. * marks a band that may contain LS-chaperonin complex as seen in Feiz et al. (2012).



Fig. 2. Rubisco activity and activation state, and relationship to Rubisco content. Measurements were performed on soluble protein extracted from leaf tissue of 2½ week old plants. (a) Rubisco activity was measured using NADH-linked spectrophotometric assays (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=11, RAF1=9, SS=9, LSSS=11, SS-RAF1=11, LSSS-RAF1=12). (b) Correlation between Rubisco activity and Rubisco content. (c) Rubisco activation status was determined by dividing initial Rubisco activity by total Rubisco activity (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=4, RAF1=4, SS=3, LSSS=3, SS-RAF1=4, LSSS-RAF1=3). (d) Correlation between Rubisco activation and Rubisco content. The solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. All values are shown as the mean ± SE. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD.



Fig. 3. Photosynthetic performance of maize lines. CO_2 and light response curves were measured on the youngest fully expanded leaves of 3½ week old plants at 25°C, using leaf gas exchange. (a) Photosynthetic light response (A-Q) curves at 400 µl/L CO_2 , (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=4, RAF1=5, SS=4, LSSS=4, SS-RAF1=3, LSSS-RAF1=4). PPFD, photosynthetic photon flux density. (b) CO_2 response (A-Ci) curves at 1800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=5, RAF1=6, SS=5, LSSS=6, SS-RAF1=6, LSSS-RAF1=6). Values are shown as the mean ± SE. (c) Correlation between *in vitro* V_{cmax} and the maximum light-saturated rate of photosynthesis (A_{SAT}). (d) Correlation between Rubisco content and A_{SAT}. The solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. Values are shown as the mean ± SE. Plants were grown and analyzed as described in Methods.



Fig. 4. Growth analysis. (a) A representative plant for each genotype is pictured prior to harvest, 40 days after planting. The red dot indicates the top of the whorl, with the horizontal white line as a reference. Note that the order of SS and RAF1 in panel (a) is different than the order in panels b-d. (b) Plant height, (c) above-ground fresh weight and (d) dry weight were measured for each genotype. Values are shown as the mean \pm SE (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=7, RAF1=6, SS=7, LSSS=6, SS-RAF1=7, LSSS-RAF1=7). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD. (e) Correlation between fresh weight and A_{SAT}. The solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. Values are shown as the mean \pm SE. Plants were grown and analyzed as described in Methods.



□WT ■RAF1 OSS △LSSS ●SS-RAF1 ▲LSSS-RAF1

Fig. 5. Relationships between *in vitro* and *in vivo* C_4 and C_3 cycle photosynthetic parameters. Enzyme activity measurements were performed on soluble protein extracted from leaf tissue of $2\frac{1}{2}$ week-old plants. Correlations between **(a)** NADP-ME activity and **(b)** PEPC activity with Rubisco activity. The solid lines represent linear regressions from the data points calculated using Pearson's coefficient of correlation. Values are shown as the mean ±SE. **(c)** BS leakiness measurements on 4-week-old plants. Values are shown as the mean ± SE (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=6, RAF1=5, SS=5, LSSS=5, SS-RAF1=6, LSSS-RAF1=5). Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD.

Table 1. Summary of leaf gas exchange, plant growth and photosynthetic enzyme activity.

Data represents averages of at least three replicates \pm SE. Statistical significance tests and mean ranking were conducted using one way Tukey-Kramer HSD ANOVA tests. Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (P<0.05). Values significantly different from WT at the 5% level are in bold.

Parameter	WT	RAF1	SS	LSSS	SS-RAF1	LSSS-RAF1		
Leaf gas exchange								
A_{SAT}	30.7 ±0.74 <i>ab</i>	32.0	28.8 ±1.63 <i>a</i>	33.8 ±0.71 <i>bc</i>	33.8 ±0.92bc	35.5±0.52c		
BS Leakiness, Φ	0.21 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.19 ±0.01a	0.21 ±0.02 <i>a</i>	0.20 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.22 ±0.01 <i>a</i>	0.20 ±0.01 <i>a</i>		
Plant growth traits								
Height (cm)	73.2 ±1.8 <i>a</i>	80.7 ±1.5 <i>abc</i>	74.4 ±4.0ab	83.2 ±0.68 <i>abc</i>	84.5 ±2.9 <i>bc</i>	86.2 ±2.5 <i>c</i>		
Fresh Weight (g)	230.5 ±12.3 <i>ab</i>	281.2 ±18.7 <i>b</i> c	209.3 ±10.9 <i>a</i>	291.7 ±6.7bc	300.0 ±18.7 <i>c</i>	293.6 ±15.8c		
Dry Weight (g)	28.8 ±0.75 <i>a</i>	32.3 ±1.3 <i>ab</i>	27.6 ±0.84 <i>a</i>	33.5 ±1.2 <i>ab</i>	37.5 ±2.6b	32.9 ±1.1ab		
Leaf Mass per Area (g m ⁻²)	128.6 ±4.6 <i>a</i>	143.4 ±11.3 <i>a</i>	121.0 ±4.9 <i>a</i>	137.4 ±3.0 <i>a</i>	144.0 ±5.2 <i>a</i>	129.0 ±4.7 <i>a</i>		
Photosynthetic enzymes								
Rubisco Content (µmol sites m ⁻²)	11.7 ±0.4 <i>a</i>	13.8 ±0.7 <i>ab</i>	11.3 ±0.6 <i>a</i>	11.8 ±0.8 <i>a</i>	15.9 ±0.4 <i>b</i>	15.5 ±0.6 <i>b</i>		
% Rubisco Activation	81.8 ±2.7 <i>a</i>	68.9 ±3.0 <i>ab</i>	79.7 ±4.5 <i>a</i>	76.3 ±5.3 <i>ab</i>	61.5 ±2.5b	66.9 ±2.7 <i>ab</i>		
<i>in vitro</i> V _{cmax} (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	48.1 ±3.4 <i>a</i>	49.8 ±3.4 <i>a</i>	43.3 ±4.3 <i>a</i>	50.8 ±9.2 <i>a</i>	56.4 ±2.4 <i>a</i>	53.6 ±2.8 <i>a</i>		
Rubisco Activity (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	35.6 ±2.7 <i>a</i>	51.2 ±2.9 <i>b</i>	36.5 ±3.1 <i>a</i>	35.6 ±4.3 <i>a</i>	48.6 ±3.9 <i>ab</i>	52.3 ±2.5b		
PEPC Activity (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	241.3 ±17.1a	227.7 ±38.7a	247.4 ±14.1a	199.3 ±19.3 <i>a</i>	244.4 ±26.4 <i>a</i>	221.4 ±21.4a		
NADP-ME Activity (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	74.3 ±3.1 <i>ab</i>	82.2 ±5.9ab	76.8 ±7.4 <i>ab</i>	68.9 ±3.1 <i>b</i>	83.8 ±3.4 <i>ab</i>	89.6 ±4.2 <i>a</i>		
PEPC/Rubisco	7.8 ±01.0 <i>a</i>	4.6 ±0.6bc	7.6 ±0.8 <i>ab</i>	6.4 ±0.4 <i>abc</i>	6.1 ±0.6 <i>abc</i>	4.5 ±0.4c		
NADP-ME/Rubisco	2.4 ±0.2a	1.7 ±0.1 <i>a</i>	2.2 ±0.1a	2.3 ±0.2 <i>a</i>	2.1 ±0.1 <i>a</i>	1.8 ±0.1a		





Fig. S1. Comparison of different RAF1 transgenic events. (a) Soluble protein was isolated on a leaf area basis from total leaf tissue of three transgenic events and analyzed by immunoblot (n=3 biologically independent experiments). (b) Light-saturated photosynthetic rate, (c) plant height and (d) dry weight were measured for two RAF1 transgenic events. Values are shown as the mean \pm SE (n=5 biologically independent samples). No significant differences were observed (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD.



Fig. S2. Comparison of Hi-II WT controls and WT non-transgenic siblings used in this study. (a) Soluble protein was isolated on a leaf area basis from total leaf tissue of Hi-II and WT siblings and analyzed by immunoblot (n=3 biologically independent experiments). (b) Light-saturated photosynthetic rate, (c) plant height and (d) dry weight were compared. Values are shown as the mean \pm SE (n=5 biologically independent samples). No significant differences were observed (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD.



Fig. S3. Comparison of Rubisco activase expression between WT and transgenic lines. Soluble protein was extracted from leaf tissue of 2½ week-old plants on an equal leaf area basis and analyzed by immunoblotting using the Rubisco activase antibody, indicated at left (n=3 biologically independent experiments).



Fig. S4. Growth analysis under greenhouse conditions. (a) CO₂ assimilation rate pre-pollination (the day silks emerge, n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=23, RAF1=28, LSSS=30, LSSS-RAF1=29) and post-pollination (2 weeks after silk emergence, n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=20, RAF1=28, LSSS=30, LSSS-RAF1=29), (b) plant height over time (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=29, RAF1=29, LSSS=30, LSSS-RAF1=30), (c) dry weight at maturity (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=28, RAF1=28, LSSS=30, LSSS-RAF1=29), (d) time to pollen production (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=29, RAF1=28, LSSS=30, LSSS-RAF1=30), and (e) time to silking (n= the number of individual plants used for measurements; WT=27, RAF1=29, LSSS=30, LSSS-RAF1=27) were measured for each genotype. Values are shown as the mean ±SE. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05); one-way ANOVA Tukey HSD. The significance of the height measurement at 10 weeks is noted alongside the genotype legend.