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ABSTRACT

Advanced materials are needed to meet the requirements of devices designed for harvesting and storing renewable electricity. In particular, polymer electrolyte membrane water electrolyzers (PEMWEs) could benefit from a reduction in the size of the iridium oxide (IrO\textsubscript{x}) particles used to electrocatalyze the sluggish oxygen evolution reaction (OER). To verify the validity of this approach, we built a library of 18 supported and unsupported IrO\textsubscript{x} catalysts and established their stability number (S-number) values using inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry and electrochemistry. Our results provide quantitative evidence that (i) supported IrO\textsubscript{x} nanocatalysts are more active towards the OER but less stable than unsupported micrometer-sized catalysts, e.g. commercial IrO\textsubscript{2} or porous IrO\textsubscript{x} microparticles; (ii) tantalum-doped tin oxides (TaTO) used as supports for IrO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles are more stable than antimony-doped tin oxides (ATO) and carbon black (Vulcan XC72); (iii) thermal annealing under air atmosphere yields depreciated OER activity but enhanced stability; (iv) the beneficial effect of thermal annealing holds both for micro- and nano- IrO\textsubscript{x} particles, and leads to one order of magnitude lower Ir atom dissolution rate with respect to non-annealed catalysts; (v) the best compromise between OER activity and stability was obtained for unsupported porous IrO\textsubscript{x} microparticles after thermal annealing under air at 450°C. These insights provide guidance on which material classes and strategies are the most likely to increase sustainably the OER efficiency while contributing to diminish the cost of PEMWE devices.

KEYWORDS: proton exchange membrane water electrolyzer; oxygen evolution reaction; doped tin oxide; iridium oxide nanoparticles; S-number.
INTRODUCTION

The worldwide development of renewable energies is essential to meet the ever-increasing energy demand and to limit air pollution issues associated with the use of fossil fuels. According to the Statistical Review of World Energy published by British Petroleum, \(^1\) the share of renewables in the world energy consumption rose to an unprecedented value of 5% in 2019, currently accounting for 10.4% of the world electricity production. However, large-scale energy storage units are required to counterbalance the intermittency of renewable energy sources. Pumped hydroelectricity, which relies on the transfer of water between two reservoirs located at different altitudes, is the most efficient technology (70-85%) to store electricity at power-grid proportions. The efficiency of lithium-ion batteries is close to 85-90%. However, their high price per gigawatt hour (currently close to 150,000,000 € \(^2\)), their insufficient lifespan and the scarcity/lack of purity of the deposits of lithium on Earth limit their usage to consumer electronics (laptops, tablets and cell phones) and to electric or hybrid electric vehicles. Power-to-gas, in particular power-to-hydrogen, is the third option. Water electrolyzers produce molecular hydrogen (H\(_2\)) at an efficiency of ca. 65% using surplus of renewable electricity generated at off-peak times. Alkaline water electrolysis is a reliable, long-lifetime technology based on abundant elements in the Earth’s crust (e.g. nickel) \(^3\). In contrast, proton-exchange membrane water electrolyzers (PEMWEs) electrodes use scarce and costly platinum group metals (PGMs) but only such devices are able to meet the requirements associated with renewable energies: large amplitude (100-300% of the nominal current), rapid (< 30 seconds) and frequent variations in the power applied to the water electrolysis cells \(^4\)-\(^6\). The Achille’s heel of PEMWEs is their high cost per kW, mostly associated with that of electricity but also of constituting materials (PGM content in the electrodes, polymer electrolyte membrane, titanium in the porous transport layers, bipolar plates made of
stainless steel). Decreasing the size and thereby the loading of the iridium oxide (IrO\textsubscript{x}) particles used to electrocatalyze the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is thus an appealing strategy to both reduce the cost of this technology and limit the geological pressure on iridium (gold and platinum are 40-fold and 10-fold more abundant than iridium, respectively\textsuperscript{7}). However, the Gibbs-Thompson effect, \textsuperscript{8-10} which controls the electrochemical stability of nanomaterials, casts a doubt on the viability of this approach.

To tackle these issues, we benchmarked commercial materials (unsupported IrO\textsubscript{2}, Ir/C), unsupported porous iridium oxide (IrO\textsubscript{x}) microparticles, and IrO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles (NPs) supported on carbon black or on doped tin oxide aerogels (AG)/nanofibers (NFs). These were imaged before and after electrochemical testing by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or identical-location transmission electron microscopy (IL-TEM). By coupling electrochemistry with inductively-coupled mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), we assessed their stability number (S-number) values in a H-cell with separated anodic and cathodic compartments. Our findings thus provide practical strategies to design a more efficient and durable new generation of OER nanocatalysts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis, characterization and initial OER activity of supported and unsupported IrO\textsubscript{x} catalysts

Figure 1 schematically describes the various catalysts used in this study (TEM images, base cyclic voltammograms and OER polarization curves can be found in Figure S1, and physicochemical characteristics can be found in Figure S2 and Table S1). These are referred to as IrO\textsubscript{x}/support-X-Y, where IrO\textsubscript{x} represent NPs ca. 1.4 nm in size prepared using a highly reproducible polyol synthesis method, supports are Vulcan XC72 (C), antimony- or tantalum-
doped tin oxide (ATO and TaTO, respectively) or a commercial IrO$_2$ powder (IrO$_2$ comm.), and $X$ and $Y$ provide information on the degree of doping of the tin oxide (SnO$_2$) matrix (in at. %), its morphology (AG or NFs) and/or the temperature at which the electrocatalyst was thermally-annealed under air atmosphere. Four different categories of catalysts can be distinguished:

- Carbon-supported Ir or IrO$_x$ NPs, including a commercial Ir/C (Ir/C comm.) and IrO$_x$ NPs deposited onto Vulcan XC72 (IrO$_x$/C);

- IrO$_x$ NPs deposited onto an ATO aerogel (IrO$_x$/ATO-10-AG), onto ATO nanofibers (IrO$_x$/ATO-10-NFs), or onto a TaTO aerogel (IrO$_x$/TaTO-2.5-AG, IrO$_x$/TaTO-5-AG and IrO$_x$/TaTO-18-AG);

- Unsupported IrO$_x$ electrocatalysts, including IrO$_2$ comm., IrO$_2$ comm. after thermal annealing under air atmosphere (IrO$_2$ comm.-450°C and IrO$_2$ comm.-800°C), IrO$_x$/C after thermal annealing under air (IrO$_x$/C-450°C and IrO$_x$/C-600°C), IrO$_x$ NPs deposited on IrO$_2$ comm. (IrO$_x$/IrO$_2$ comm.), porous IrO$_x$ microparticles after thermal annealing under air atmosphere at different temperatures (porous IrO$_x$-400°C, porous IrO$_x$-450°C, porous IrO$_x$ -500°C and porous IrO$_x$-800°C). Note that IrO$_x$/C-450°C and IrO$_x$/C-600°C fall in the unsupported IrO$_x$ electrocatalysts category as a result of the gasification of the Vulcan support during thermal-annealing in O$_2$ atmosphere;

- Polycrystalline Ir thin-film (Ir$_{pc}$).
Figure 1. Scheme of the library of materials constituted to benchmark the OER activity and the stability of the different IrO$_x$ nano-/micro-particles. AG and NFs stand for aerogel and nanofibers, respectively.

Insights into the oxidation state of Ir surface atoms after the electrochemical conditioning (100 cycles between 0.05 and 1.40 V vs. RHE) are provided by the base cyclic voltammograms recorded in 0.05 M H$_2$SO$_4$ (Figure S1). Indeed, the presence of Ir(+III)/Ir(+IV) redox peaks at ca. 0.95 V vs. RHE shows that mixed Ir oxidation states co-exist in some catalysts, notably the non-thermally annealed, supported ones $^{11-12}$. The presence of a potential region where protons are underpotentially deposited ($E < 0.3$ V vs. RHE) in the CVs also suggests that some surface Ir atoms remained metallic after the electrochemical conditioning $^{13}$. This trend is more pronounced for supported IrO$_x$ nanocatalysts than for unsupported ones but it tends to vanish upon thermal annealing at $T > 400$ °C under air atmosphere, in line with the formation of a tetragonal IrO$_2$ structure (see in situ X-ray diffractograms of IrO$_2$ comm. in Figure S3). Note that electrochemical oxidation of Ir nanoparticles in H$_2$SO$_4$ proved to be faster than in HClO$_4$, hence influencing both the oxidation state of Ir surface atoms after the conditioning step and their OER activity $^{14}$. 
Figure 2 illustrates the kinetic gain provided by decreasing the IrO\textsubscript{x} particle size to nanometer dimensions. For all supported nanocatalysts, the mass-normalized OER activities ($j_{mass}$, A g\textsuperscript{-1}Ir) exceeded 100 A g\textsuperscript{-1}Ir at 1.51 V vs. RHE and 500 A g\textsuperscript{-1}Ir at 1.55 V vs. RHE (Figure S4), and a 10-fold enhancement of the OER mass activity over the commercial IrO\textsubscript{2} catalyst was measured for the best electrocatalysts (IrO\textsubscript{x}/C and IrO\textsubscript{x}/ATO-10-NFs). As shown by Equation 1, the mass-normalized OER activity at a given potential/temperature ($j_{mass}$, A g\textsuperscript{-1}powder) is the product of the turnover frequency of the OER active sites in the same experimental conditions (TOF, electrons site\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}), the gravimetric active site density for the OER (ASD, mol g\textsuperscript{-1}powder), and the Faraday constant $F$ (A s mol\textsuperscript{-1}):

$$j_{mass} = TOF \times ASD \times F \quad (1)$$

Former studies have established that mixed Ir oxidation states coexist in IrO\textsubscript{x} NPs produced by the polyol route \textsuperscript{15-18}. Moreover, the oxidation degree of the Ir surface atoms strongly depends on the chemical nature of the support \textsuperscript{15-16, 18}. Oh \textit{et al.} \textsuperscript{18} first reported that IrO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles are, in average, more metallic when supported on ATO \textit{vs.} Vulcan XC72. As shown by Claudel \textit{et al.}, \textsuperscript{15} this trend persists over time: IrO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles supported onto ATO are less oxidized than IrO\textsubscript{x} nanoparticles arising from the same colloidal solution but supported on Vulcan XC72, even after 30,000 potential cycles between 1.2 and 1.6 V vs. RHE. Similar effect was recently reported for TaTO supports by Abbou \textit{et al.} \textsuperscript{16}. The authors also evidenced an effect of the concentration of Ta on the oxidation degree of Ir surface atoms and of the age of the catalytic suspension. Because the presence of Ir(+III)/Ir(+V) species plays a beneficial role for the rate of both the Ir cation \textit{red - ox} mechanism \textsuperscript{11, 19-23} and the O anion \textit{red - ox} mechanism, \textsuperscript{24} a large fraction of the electrocatalytic
enhancement thus arises from the fact that the IrO₅ NPs are sub-stoichiometric. This hypothesis is confirmed by (i) Figure 2 showing that metallic Ir (Irₚc and Ir/C) and IrOₓ NPs feature higher charge-normalized OER activities at 1.51 and 1.55 V vs. RHE ($j_{\text{spec}}$ in mA mC⁻¹, see calculation mode in Supporting Information), and by (ii) the fact that the deposition of IrOₓ NPs onto the commercial IrO₂ (IrOₓ/IrO₂comm.) leads to a nearly 2-fold gain in OER charge-normalized activity compared to the mother IrO₂ comm.

Obviously, a large fraction of the OER mass activity enhancement is also due to the decrease of the IrOₓ particle size. To provide evidence for this, we refer to the results obtained on the IrO₂ comm.-450°C, porous IrOₓ-450°C, and IrOₓ/C-450°C samples. Because these materials were exposed to nearly-identical thermal annealing conditions (temperature/atmosphere), the Ir surface atoms feature similar oxidation state in the three catalytic materials (see Figure S5); hence the differences in OER activity mostly relate to the differences in particle sizes. As shown by Figure 1, (i) the commercial IrO₂ catalyst contains a mixture of small and large (100 nm) solid particles; (ii) porous IrOₓ catalysts feature an average crystallite size of ca. 9 nm arranged into spheroidal porous particles with size > 1 µm and pores diameters of ca. 200-300 nm and, (iii) even after gasification of the carbon black support upon thermal annealing under air, the IrOₓ/C-450°C catalyst features small IrOₓ NPs (6-8 nm), aerogel-type structure and open porosity. It is clear from Figure 2 that the charge-normalized OER activities of the three catalysts are similar, thus supporting our prime hypothesis that all Ir surface atoms feature similar oxidation state. However, the OER mass activity varies as IrOₓ/C-450°C > porous IrOₓ-450°C > IrO₂ comm.-450°C, i.e. it is inversely proportional to the IrOₓ NP size.
Let us now underline that TOF and ASD are often interrelated parameters (*e.g.* a change in the Ir oxidation state usually translates into a change in crystallographic structure/ASD value). For example, **Figure S5** and **Figure 2** show that crystallization of the amorphous IrO\(_x\) phase initially present in the commercial IrO\(_2\) comm. triggers a drastic drop in OER mass activity (see \(j_{\text{mass}}\) values for IrO\(_2\) comm, IrO\(_2\) comm.-450°C and IrO\(_2\) comm.-800°C), which is in line with former findings.\(^{26-27}\) The same observation can be made for the unsupported porous IrO\(_x\) particles: the gradual formation of the tetragonal structure and the associated transformation of Ir(0) and Ir(+III) into Ir(+IV) species at \(T > 400^\circ\text{C}\) (**Figure S2** and **Figure S5**) decrease both the mass-normalized and the charge-normalized OER activity. In the paper introducing this class of catalytic materials, Faustini *et al.*\(^{25}\) reported that needle-shaped IrO\(_2\) crystallites first form on the surface of the porous IrO\(_x\) particles during the thermal annealing process (see also **Figure S1**). The size of these crystallites then increases with increasing annealing temperature, eventually leading to the collapse of the porous structure at \(T = 800^\circ\text{C}\), and resulting in 7-fold and 40-fold drops in charge-normalized and mass-normalized OER activities, respectively, compared to the mother porous IrO\(_x\)-400°C catalyst.
Figure 2. Initial (a) Ir mass-normalized and (b) charge-normalized OER activities measured at 1.51 V vs. RHE for the various catalysts used in this study. The charge in (b) was calculated by integration of the base voltammograms in the potential region 0.4 – 1.4 V vs. RHE (anodic potential sweep). All curves were recorded in 0.05 M H₂SO₄ at T = 25 °C. The error bars correspond to the standard deviation calculated from at least two independent measurements.

Morphological and structural changes of supported and unsupported IrOx nanocatalysts in OER conditions

The results from this library of materials thus confirm that mixed Ir oxidation states, specific to IrOₓ NPs produced by the polyol route, lead to enhanced charge-normalized OER activity. Combined with the increase of the ASD value arising from a greater dispersion (ratio of the number...
of surface atoms/total number of atoms), this enhancement is even more obvious from the mass-normalized OER activity point of view. However, recent insights from ICP-MS, on-line electrochemical mass spectrometry (OLEMS) and electrochemistry have shown that Ir atoms with oxidation degree < 4 are not stable over the long term. By combining atom-probe tomography and isotope labelling, Kasian et al. showed that oxygen atoms in the near-surface region of amorphous IrOx films participate in the formation of molecular oxygen and accelerate the dissolution of the Ir atoms. To determine the benefits and limitations of the unsupported and supported IrOx catalysts, a given current density of 500 A g⁻¹Ir (i.e. 10 mA cm⁻²geo for the carbon and metal oxide supported materials, IrO₂ comm. and IrOx/IrO₂ comm. catalysts and 25 mA cm⁻²geo for the unsupported materials) was applied until the voltage reached 2.0 V vs. RHE (examples of galvanostatic curves measured at $T = 80 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ may be found in Figure S6). TEM images of the various nanocatalysts before and after the galvanostatic AST are displayed in Figure 3. Clear detachment of the IrOx NPs is visible for the electrocatalysts supported on high-surface area carbon (Ir/C comm. and IrOx/C), confirming that carbon supports corrode in OER conditions. The detachment of IrOx NPs was less pronounced from doped SnO₂ supports, whatever their morphology (AG or NFs). However, former in situ ICP-MS results have shown that both Sn and the doping element leach out from doped SnO₂ supports in OER conditions. Dissolution of the doping element leads to a decrease in the electronic conductivity of the SnO₂ support and, ultimately, results in electrically disconnected IrOx NPs. In contrast, no or mild morphological changes were observed for the unsupported IrOx electrocatalysts. For example, IL-TEM images recorded on the most active porous electrocatalysts (porous IrOx-400°C and porous IrOx-450°C) revealed no change in morphology after 200 potential cycles between 1.20 < $E < 1.60$ V vs. RHE at $T = 60 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ (Figure S7 and Figure S8).
Figure 3. Transmission electron microscopy images of the electrocatalysts before/after the AST (Ar-saturated 0.05 M H₂SO₄, \( j = 10 \) mA cm\(^{-2}\)\(_{geo}\), \( T = 80 \) °C, \( U_{cut-off} = 2 \) V vs. RHE).
Determination of S-number values for supported and unsupported IrO\textsubscript{x} catalysts

Different metrics can be used to compare the OER activity and the stability of the different IrO\textsubscript{x}-based catalysts. In Figure 4, we display the relationship between the initial OER activity of the materials and the duration of the galvanostatic AST, \textit{i.e.} the time required before reaching the cut-off voltage. Clearly, the most efficient catalysts were also the most robust during the AST, however, does this make them the most stable materials? This issue has been heavily debated in the literature but is in the process of being resolved. Indeed, although initially proposed as a criterion of stability,\textsuperscript{18, 33-37} the time required to reach the cut-off voltage in in fact poorly related to the stability of the material. Firstly, because at a constant current, the most active OER catalysts operate under less oxidizing conditions than the least active ones (assuming Tafel kinetics). Indeed, the imposed current is distributed between the different catalytic sites and according to their TOF (\textbf{Equation 1}). The lower OER activity/stability of a given catalytic material thus either stems from its low density of catalytic sites (ASD) or from its low number of electrons transferred per site per second (TOF). Accordingly, Figure S6 shows that the potential always exceeded 1.6 V \textit{vs.} RHE and that the time required to reach the cut-off voltage was always less for the unsupported IrO\textsubscript{x} catalysts during the galvanostatic AST, especially for those that were thermally-annealed. In contrast, the catalysts featuring a high ASD (\textit{e.g.} non-annealed supported IrO\textsubscript{x} nanocatalysts) or those which were intrinsically very active towards the OER (\textit{e.g.} anodically formed Ir oxide on thin-films or single crystals\textsuperscript{15, 38}) electrocatalysed the OER at lower overpotential, and best performed during the galvanostatic AST. A second reason to not consider the time required to reach the cut-off voltage as a criterion of stability has been evoked by Geiger \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{39}. These authors proposed that the abrupt and rapid increase in potential is related to the passivation of the backing
electrode and the associated increase in contact resistance with the catalyst. However, the same type of potential-time profiles has been observed by El-Sayed et al. \textsuperscript{40} on gold backing electrode, thus raising doubts on this hypothesis. The physical detachment of the thin-film electrode is also excluded, as revealed by optical images taken before/after the galvanostatic AST (Figure S9 and Figure S10). Other groups suggested that the progressive loss/deactivation of the most active OER sites (in particular Ir(V)\(=\)O species capable of forming O-O bonds \textsuperscript{41-42}) accounts for the decay in performance. However, although all these hypotheses are scientifically sound, they do not account for the sudden potential increase observed at the end of the galvanostatic AST. According to El-Sayed et al. \textsuperscript{40}, these are the O\(_2\) bubbles generated during the galvanostatic AST, which cause the abrupt potential increase. In this frame, the nanoscopic and microscopic O\(_2\) bubbles forming in the thin-film electrode progressively block some catalytic sites, leading to an increase in current (and thus in potential according to Tafel kinetics) on the catalytic sites that are not limited by mass-transport, and the sudden potential increase simply translates the autocatalytic character of the process. The fact that the best performing nanocatalysts in Figure 4 are all supported onto high surface area, large pore volume carbon black (IrO\(_x\)/C) aerogels (IrO\(_x\)/ATO-10-AG, IrO\(_x\)/TaTO-2.5-AG, IrO\(_x\)-TaTO5.0, IrO\(_x\)/TaTO-18-AG) or nanofibers (IrO\(_x\)/ATO-10-NFs) further supports the hypothesis of El-Sayed et al. \textsuperscript{40}. Decreasing values of S-number as a function of the Ir loading is another proof for fast poisoning by O\(_2\) bubbles during the galvanostatic AST. This trend was more marked for the unsupported catalysts and is exemplified in Figure S11 for porous IrO\(_x\)-450°C. In conclusion, because multiple processes occur during the experiment, a galvanostatic AST is not best suited to assess the stability of a given OER catalyst. Note however that study of degradation mechanism/kinetics of Ir dissolution was not the objective of this study: rather, we strive to gain
insights into the best compromise between OER activity and stability for the various supported and unsupported IrO\textsubscript{x} catalysts.

**Figure 4.** Initial mass-normalized OER activity at a) 1.51 V vs. RHE and c) 1.55 V vs. RHE, and initial charge-normalized OER activity at b) 1.51 V vs. RHE and d) 1.55 V vs. RHE for the electrocatalysts investigated in this study as a function of the duration of the galvanostatic AST. The OER activities were determined from polarization curves (\(\nu = 5\) mV s\(^{-1}\), 25 °C, Ar-saturated 0.05 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}) and the galvanostatic AST was performed at \(j = 10\) mA cm\(^{-2}\text{geo}\), \(T = 80\) °C, \(U_{\text{cut-off}} = 2\) V vs. RHE in Ar-saturated 0.05 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. Coloured regions are guides to the eye to distinguish unsupported catalysts (in pink), and carbon- (in grey) or metal oxide-supported (in blue) catalysts.
To gain a better understanding of the correlations between OER activity and stability, Kim et al.\textsuperscript{43} and Geiger et al.\textsuperscript{29} recently introduced the Activity-Stability Factor (ASF) and the $S$-number, respectively. Kim et al.\textsuperscript{43} defined the ASF as the ratio between the OER current and the equivalent metal dissolution current estimated from ICP-MS analyses. We note that this metric requires knowledge of the number of electrons exchanged during the dissolution of the IrO$_x$ NPs and the catalytic supports. Given multiple Ir oxidation states in the IrO$_x$ NPs and various dissolution routes for the catalytic supports (including chemical dissolution \textit{e.g.} for SnO$_2$-based supports), we took the lead not to use it. Rather, we used the $S$-number defined by Geiger et al.\textsuperscript{29} as the ratio between the number of moles of oxygen produced by the OER and the number of moles of Ir dissolved during water electrolysis. The authors estimated the number of moles of evolved oxygen by integrating the current ($i$ in A) during the potential/current hold (\textbf{Equation 2}):

$$ n_{O_2} = \frac{1}{zF} \int i(t)dt $$

(2)

With $z$ the number of moles of electrons transferred during the OER ($z = 4$), $F$ the Faraday constant (in A s mol$^{-1}$), and $t$ the duration of the galvanostatic AST (in seconds). Strictly speaking, the current recorded during the current hold is the sum of the OER current, the IrO$_x$ NPs dissolution current and the support dissolution current. However, as we will see below, the dissolution currents are negligible over OER currents, hence $i$ can be approximated as the OER current.

In turn, the number of moles of dissolved Ir can be determined from the Ir concentration ([Ir] in g L$^{-1}$) measured by ICP-MS (\textbf{Equation 3}):
\[ n_{Ir} = \frac{[Ir] \times V}{M_{Ir}} \]  

(3)

With \( V \) the volume of electrolyte in the anodic compartment (in L) and \( M_{Ir} \) the molar mass of Ir (192.17 g mol\(^{-1}\)).

The experimental trends, represented in Figure 5, indicate that the unsupported porous IrO\(_x\) catalyst thermally-annealed at 450 °C offers the best compromise between high OER activity and high stability (S-number value \( \sim 320,000 \)), with a 6-fold enhancement over the S-number value of reference IrO\(_2\) comm. (\( \sim 51,000 \), see values in Table S2). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that S-number values exceeding that of commercial IrO\(_2\) are reported. This result implies that, the mass of Ir introduced at the PEMWE anode could be reduced by a factor of 6 for the same lifespan (investment cost) or that it could significantly decrease the electrical consumption for the same Ir loading (operational cost).

Figure 5. S-number values calculated for all the electrocatalysts during a galvanostatic AST performed in Ar-saturated 0.05 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at \( j = 10 \) mA cm\(^{-2}\)geo, \( T = 80 \) °C, \( U_{cut-off} = 2 \) V vs. RHE.
Some supported IrO$_x$ nanocatalysts revealed intermediate $S$-number values. For example, as expected, doped SnO$_2$ supports proved to be more robust than high-surface-area carbon blacks, the $S$-number values varying as: $\text{IrO}_x$/TaTO-5-AG $>$ $\text{IrO}_x$/TaTO-2.5-AG $\sim$ $\text{IrO}_x$/C-450°C $>>$ $\text{IrO}_x$/ATO-10-NFs $>$ $\text{IrO}_x$/C $>$ $\text{Ir/C}_\text{comm.} >$ $\text{IrO}_x$/ATO-10-AG $>$ $\text{IrO}_x$/TaTO-18-AG. These results, obtained *ex situ* in a H-cell with separated anodic and cathodic compartments, agree with those reported in our last *in situ* flow cell ICP-MS study (see Ref. 16 and Figure S12), and demonstrate that ATO-10 (both in AG and NF forms), high-surface area carbon blacks and TaTO-18 are unstable in OER conditions. In agreement with the TEM micrographs displayed in Figure 3, the drop of the OER performance is likely due to the rapid detachment of IrO$_x$ NPs from their supports, leading to a loss of electrochemically active surface area, and thus a rapid increase in the OER overpotential up to the cut-off voltage. The instability of ATO supports in high potential conditions has been ascribed to the preferential leaching of Sb atoms segregated at their surface. 16, 32, 44-47. However, such issue can be overcome by using tantalum as doping agent: indeed, $\text{IrO}_x$/TaTO-2.5-AG and $\text{IrO}_x$/TaTO-5-AG) revealed a promising strategy to enhance the $S$-number values (25,000 $< S$-number $< 35,000$). Using ICP-MS and scanning-transmission electron microscopy coupled with X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy imaging, we recently showed that TaTO supports form a stable Ta$_2$O$_5$ shell covering the mother TaTO support in OER conditions, thus slowing down the dissolution rate of Sn atoms in the acidic electrolyte. 16 The Ta concentration proved key to achieve this core@shell structure. For example, on TaTO-2.5, there is a risk of detachment of IrO$_x$ NPs before the protective Ta$_2$O$_5$ shell is formed. The high Ta doping concentrations are not recommended either because they lead to low electronic conductivity and separated SnO$_2$ and Ta$_2$O$_5$ rich domains. A Ta concentration of 5 at. % in the SnO$_2$ support proved optimal from *in
situ ICP-MS results, and this behavior is confirmed ex situ in Figure 5. It is also interesting to note that intermediate S-number values were obtained for the thermally-annealed IrOx/C-450°C electrocatalyst. This material belongs to the unsupported catalyst category; however, its original nanostructure was partially preserved during the combustion of the carbon black support (Figure S1); resulting in high surface area, low OER overpotential, and better resistance to Ir dissolution than the raw material. In fact, the effect of the thermal annealing is subtle and is best illustrated by the porous IrOx samples. Indeed, Faustini et al. 25, showed that Ir surface atoms in porous IrOx-400°C feature Ir(0), Ir(+III), and Ir(+IV) species and poorly-ordered tetragonal IrO2 structure (and this is confirmed by Figure S5 and Figure S2, respectively). The Ir(+IV) oxidation state progressively becomes predominant during the thermal annealing (see X-ray photoelectron spectra of porous IrOx-450°C, porous IrOx-500°C and porous IrOx-800°C in Ref. 25), leading to a decrease of the charge-normalized OER activity that is easy to rationalize based on the 6-fold drop in intrinsic OER activity for Ir(+IV) species over Ir(+III) species reported by Claudel et al. 15. Importantly, oxidation of Ir surface atoms revealed beneficial to the S-number value as long as it does not induce crystallite growth, the best compromise between OER activity and stability being found for porous IrOx-450°C. This result is at variance with those obtained by Geiger et al. 48 on metallic Ir and electrochemically prepared porous hydrous IrOx films but the conclusions of these two studies must not be opposed. Rather, they demonstrate that the conclusions obtained on extended surfaces are not necessarily transferable to nano- and micro-materials. Indeed, the Ir oxidation state and the IrO2 crystallite size (two key parameters that determine the OER activity and the stability of a given catalytic material) strongly depend on the initial oxidation state of the Ir atoms, the initial Ir/IrOx crystallite size, and the oxygen concentration (oxygen vs. air), the duration and the temperature of the thermal annealing step, these being different in Geiger’s study
and in this work. Secondly, nanomaterials are, in many aspects, different from that of well-defined single crystals, polycrystalline electrode or thin films. In particular, whereas thermal annealing under oxygen leads to an increase in the average Ir oxidation state and in the IrO$_2$ crystallite size for all types of materials, the associated effect on the ASD (or electrochemically active surface area) and on the mass activity towards the OER will be extremely marked for nanomaterials, less marked for micro-materials and practically conveys no meaning for extended surfaces. A major hurdle to reach $S$-number values of commercial IrO$_2$ particles on nano- and micro-materials then consists to finely tune the thermal annealing conditions to oxidize Ir surface atoms, while minimize the increase in crystallite size and thus maintain high OER mass activity.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, using a library of 18 supported and unsupported materials, we shed light on the chemical, structural and morphological characteristics that confer electrocatalytic activity and stability to oxygen evolution reaction catalysts. Our results showed that supported nanocatalysts are extremely active towards the OER because they feature mixed Ir oxidation states and a high density of active sites (small crystallite/particle size). The lack of robustness of their supports, however, prevents the nanocatalysts from sustaining this high OER activity. TaTO supports, less subject to leaching of the doping element than ATO supports, are clearly the most interesting ones from a stability perspective. However, the Ta doping concentration should be finely controlled. In contrast, unsupported materials guarantee long-term OER activity but they suffer from an insufficient density of catalytic sites. Coupled electrochemistry and metal dissolution measurements evidenced that thermal annealing at 450 °C offers the best compromise between
initial and long-term OER activities. Our findings thus lay the ground for a rational approach towards sustainable OER activity in PEM water electrolyzers.
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