# Ozone Concentration in Leaf Intercellular Air Spaces Is Close to Zero<sup>1</sup>

## Agu Laisk\*, Olevi Kull, and Heino Moldau

Institute of Astrophysics and Atmospheric Physics, 202444 Töravere, Tartu, Estonia, U.S.S.R. (A.L., H.M.); and Tartu State University, Laboratory of Ecosystems, 61 Tiigi St., 202400 Tartu, Estonia, U.S.S.R. (O.K.)

#### ABSTRACT

Transpiration and ozone uptake rates were measured simultaneously in sunflower leaves at different stomatal openings and various ozone concentrations. Ozone uptake rates were proportional to the ozone concentration up to 1500 nanoliters per liter. The leaf gas phase diffusion resistance (stomatal plus boundary layer) to water vapor was calculated and converted to the resistance to ozone multiplying it by the theoretical ratio of diffusion coefficients for water vapor and ozone in air (1.67). The ozone concentration in intercellular air spaces calculated from the ozone uptake rate and diffusion resistance to ozone scattered around zero. The ozone concentration in intercellular air spaces was measured directly by supplying ozone to the leaf from one side and measuring the equilibrium concentration above the other side, and it was found to be zero. The total leaf resistance to ozone was proportional to the gas phase resistance to water vapor with a coefficient of 1.68. It is concluded that ozone enters the leaf by diffusion through the stomata, and is rapidly decomposed in cell walls and plasmalemma.

Atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> causes the reduction of the growth rate of plants (5, 8). Photosynthesis is reduced by the presence of O<sub>3</sub> before the symptoms of damage are visible in leaves (6). In Scotch pine the O<sub>3</sub> uptake rate was closely correlated with transpiration suggesting that the main route of O<sub>3</sub> into the leaf was through stomata (11). These data indicate that stomata are the main, if not the only, route of O<sub>3</sub> uptake into leaves (3). Obviously, the physical process of O<sub>3</sub> transport is diffusion, as it is for water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub>. This makes it possible to calculate the actual concentration of O<sub>3</sub> in leaf intercellular air spaces.

#### THEORY

The method of calculating the intercellular  $CO_2$  concentration is widespread, and we applied the same technique for calculating the intercellular  $O_3$  concentration. It is based on the knowledge that in the intercellular air spaces, stomatal pores, and leaf boundary layer the diffusion pathways of  $CO_2$ and water vapor coincide to a great extent. The measurements of the leaf transpiration rate reveal information about the diffusion resistance of the whole gaseous pathway from cell surfaces to ambient air:

$$E = \frac{w_i - w_a}{r_{gw}} \text{ or } r_{gw} = \frac{w_i - w_a}{E}$$
(1)

where  $E^2$  is the transpiration rate (minus cuticular transpiration);  $r_{gw}$ , the diffusion resistance in the leaf gaseous phase to water vapor;  $w_i$ , the water vapor concentration at evaporating cell surfaces; and  $w_a$ , that in the ambient air.

 $CO_2$  is a heavier gas (M = 44) than water vapor (M = 18); therefore,  $CO_2$  moves more slowly than water vapor through the same diffusion pathway and at the same concentration difference. The ratio of the diffusion coefficients of H<sub>2</sub>O and  $CO_2$  in the leaf gaseous pathway was measured to be 1.62 (9).

We could not find a value of the diffusion constant for  $O_3$ in air,  $D_2$ , in the literature. However, diffusion constants for various gas mixtures may be calculated using the molecular parameters of component gases (1)

$$D_{12} = \frac{0.43 \times \left(\frac{T}{100}\right)^{1.81} \times \left(\frac{1}{M_1} + \frac{1}{M_2}\right)^{1/2}}{P \times \left(\frac{T_{k_1} \times T_{k_2}}{10^4}\right)^{0.1405} \times \left[\left(\frac{V_{k_1}}{100}\right)^{0.4} + \left(\frac{V_{k_2}}{100}\right)^{0.4}\right]^2} \quad (2)$$

where M, T,  $T_k$ ,  $V_k$  are, correspondingly, molecular weight, temperature (K), critical temperature (K), and critical volume (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) of a component gas, P is atmospheric pressure (bar). Indices 1 and 2 denote component gases. Using values  $M_1 = 29 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ,  $T_{k1} = 132.3 \text{ K}$  and  $V_{k1} = 87.88 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ for air (4, p. 2121) and  $M_2 = 48 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ,  $T_{k2} = 260.9 \text{ K}$ ,  $V_{k2} = 147.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  for ozone (13, p. F65) we get at P = 1 bar and T = 298 K a value of  $D_z = 0.137 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Inserting parameters for water vapor  $M_2 = 10 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ,  $T_{k2} = 647.1 \text{ K}$ ,  $V_{k2} = 55.56 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  (13, p. F74) into the same formula yielded in  $D_w = 0.229 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Therefore, Eq. (2) gives the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of water vapor and O<sub>3</sub>  $D_w/$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was carried out under the Project 02.03-21 of the joint U.S.A.-U.S.S.R. Commission "Effects of air pollutants on plant cover including forest ecosystems."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abbreviations: *E*, transpiration rate;  $w_a$ ,  $w_i$ , water vapor concentration in ambient air (*a*) and on evaporating cell surfaces (*i*);  $r_{gw}$ ,  $r_{gz}$ , leaf gas phase diffusion resistance to water vapor (*w*) and to ozone (*z*); *M*, molecular weight;  $D_w$ ,  $D_z$ , diffusion constant for water vapor (*w*) and for ozone (*z*); *T*, temperature,  $T_k$ , critical temperature;  $V_k$ , critical volume; *P*, atmospheric pressure;  $z_a$ ,  $z_i$ , ozone concentration in ambient air (*a*) and in the leaf intercellular air space (*i*); *Q*, ozone uptake rate; *v*, gas flow rate; *S*, leaf area;  $g_z$ , total leaf conductance for ozone;  $r_z$ , total leaf resistance to ozone;  $g_{gw}$ ,  $g_{gz}$ , leaf gas phase diffusion conductance for water vapor (*w*) and for ozone (*z*).

 $D_z = 1.67$ . The diffusion resistance to ozone in the leaf gas phase can be calculated as

$$r_{gz} = r_{gw} \times D_w / D_z \tag{3}$$

where  $r_{gz}$  and  $r_{gw}$  are gas phase resistances to ozone and water vapor, correspondingly;  $D_w$  and  $D_z$  are diffusion constants for water vapor and O<sub>3</sub> in air. Now it is possible to calculate the O<sub>3</sub> concentration in intercellular air spaces from the measured O<sub>3</sub> uptake rate:

$$z_i = z_a - Q \times r_{gz} \tag{4}$$

where z is the O<sub>3</sub> concentration in ambient (a) and intercellular (i) air, Q is the O<sub>3</sub> uptake rate,  $r_{gz}$  is from Eq. (3). The O<sub>3</sub> uptake rate Q is measured as

$$Q = \frac{(z_1 - z_2) \times v}{S} \tag{5}$$

where  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are O<sub>3</sub> concentrations at the inlet and outlet of the leaf chamber; v, gas flow rate; S, leaf area. Equations 1 to 5 are given in their basic form. The correction terms were included in practical calculations to account for the bulk flow of gas out of the leaf due to evaporation (7).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) and *Perilla ocymoides* L. plants were grown in pots filled with soil on laboratory windows in summer. Upper full-grown leaves were used in experiments. The apparatus for measuring leaf  $CO_2$  and water vapor exchange rates has been described by Oja (10). For present experiments, a self-made, corona-discharge O<sub>3</sub> generator was added to the system. In principle, the apparatus contains two open systems for measuring leaf gas exchange (below referred to as channels) in which the gas composition can be adjusted independently by means of gas mixers (MIX, Fig. 1). For adding  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$ , capillaries are used, and the rate of injection of these gases into the carrier gas stream is



**Figure 1.** Basic circuit of the two-channel leaf gas exchange measurement system. LC, leaf chamber; MIX1 and MIX2, gas mixers;  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , different  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $O_3$ , and water vapor concentrations in the gas mixtures; CH EXCH, channel exchange valve for connecting the leaf chamber into the circuit of either channel 1 or channel 2; EQ, an equivalent resistance to the leaf chamber; EX, exit valves for flushing the leaf chamber after channel exchanges; GA, IR gas analysers; PS, psychrometers; OA,  $O_3$  analyser.

controlled by pressure differences on those capillaries. A sandwich type one-sided leaf chamber LC (volume  $4.4 \times 4.4 \times 0.3$  cm<sup>3</sup>, flow rate 20 cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) can be rapidly switched into the chain of either the first or the second channel by the 'channel exchange' valve. Infrared CO<sub>2</sub> analysers 'Infralyt IV' (GDR) are used for CO<sub>2</sub>, self-made micropsychrometers for water vapor, and a Dasibi model 1003 AH analyser for ozone measurements. Volumes in the gas circuit are all reduced to the minimum. This guarantees a full-deflection response time of the system within 2.3 s (except for O<sub>3</sub>).

## RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the time course of  $CO_2$ , water vapor, and  $O_3$  exchange of the physiologically lower side of an attached sunflower leaf taken from dim light and exposed to saturating PAR in the chamber. To minimize the effects of  $O_3$  on the leaf, it was exposed to  $O_3$  for 3 min periods only (B–H). It could be seen that during a light-induced increase in transpiration and in  $CO_2$  uptake rates, the  $O_3$  uptake rate (proportional to the depth of breaks B–H on the z-line) also increased. From break A it follows that the walls of the empty chamber absorbed less than 3% of incoming  $O_3$ .

Figure 3 displays the dependence of  $O_3$  uptake rate on the  $O_3$  concentration derived from Figure 2 (upper line) and from an experiment with another sunflower leaf with less open stomata (lower line). The proportionality indicates that  $O_3$  degradation in leaf cells is a simple first-order reaction over the range of concentrations used.

The first-order kinetics of  $O_3$  uptake justifies our application of the resistance/conductance approach and the calculation of total leaf conductance to  $O_3$ 



**Figure 2.** Parallel measurement of photosynthesis, transpiration, and O<sub>3</sub> uptake in a sunflower leaf. E, transpiration rate, mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; P, CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate, mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; z, ozone concentration,  $\mu$ L L<sup>-1</sup>, recorded by the ozone analyser. In A to H the leaf chamber was connected for about 3 min into the gas stream of the channel containing O<sub>3</sub>. In A the chamber was empty, before G the inlet O<sub>3</sub> concentration was decreased to 0.4  $\mu$ L L<sup>-1</sup>, before H it was increased to 1,930  $\mu$ L L<sup>-1</sup> (note that at H the range of the analyser extends from 1.0 to 2.0  $\mu$ L L<sup>-1</sup>). Leaf temperature 23.1° C, PAR 30 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration 320  $\mu$ L L<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure 3.** Dependences of  $O_3$  uptake rates Q on  $O_3$  concentration z in two sunflower leaves. Circles on the upper line are calculated from breaks F, G, H. in Figure 2; circles on the lower line—from a similar experiment with an another leaf. Leaf gas phase resistance to water vapor,  $r_{gw}$  in s cm<sup>-1</sup> shown at each point.

where  $z_a$  is the ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentration and Q is the uptake rate. In the linear-flow chamber the ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentration

$$z_a = \frac{z_1 + z_2}{2}$$
(7)

where  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are concentrations at inlet and outlet ports. Combining Eq. (6) with Eqs. (5) and (7), we find

$$g_z = 2 \times \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_1 + z_2} \times \frac{v}{S}.$$
 (8)

In our chamber the actual profile of concentration is closer to exponential than to linear. Nevertheless, the error caused by the use of Eq. (7) does not exceed 3% of  $g_z$  calculated from Eq. (8) (V Oja, personal communication). The calculated values of  $r_z = 1/g_z$  corrected for nonlinearity of concentration profile are given in Table I.

The O<sub>3</sub> uptake rate increased in parallel with the transpiration rate (Fig. 2). The total conductance for O<sub>3</sub>  $g_z$  is plotted against the gas phase conductance  $g_{gw} = 1/r_{gw}$  in Figure 4. The relationship is fully linear up to the highest stomatal openings observed. The conductance for water vapor extrapolates to zero at a small value for O<sub>3</sub> conductance. This residual conductance was mainly caused by the absorption of O<sub>3</sub> by chamber walls and tubing (Fig. 2, A). After subtracting this conductivity (0.035 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) from the total, the slope of the line does not change, but the intercept moves very close to the origin. Evidently, there was very little or no cuticular uptake of O<sub>3</sub> in our experiments with sunflower leaves. The proportionality between the conductances for water vapor and O<sub>3</sub> suggests that the diffusion paths for both gases fully coincide, and that there is no additional resistance to O<sub>3</sub> in the liquid phase of cells. Evidently,  $O_3$  actively reacts with chemical substances in cell walls and plasmalemma, and it undergoes rapid degradation. This means that the  $O_3$  concentration in leaf intercellular air spaces must be close to zero.

Table I lists the values of the intercellular O<sub>3</sub> concentration  $z_i$  calculated from transpiration and O<sub>3</sub> uptake rates and Eq. (4). Though there is some scattering of data, one can see that at different stomatal openings  $z_i$  stays quite close to zero independent of the ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentration applied in the range up to 1500 nL L<sup>-1</sup>.

We used Oja's (9) method for determining the concentration of a gas in the intercellular spaces to verify independently the concentration of  $O_3$  inside the leaf. If an amphistomatous leaf is exposed to O<sub>3</sub> from one side, some of the O<sub>3</sub> molecules should diffuse out from the leaf through the stomata of the other side if the O<sub>3</sub> concentration in intercellular spaces is different from zero. To carry out this experiment, the twochannel system (Fig. 1) was rearranged for use with a twosided leaf chamber. One side of the chamber was connected to the circuit of one channel and the other side to the other channel. O<sub>3</sub> was supplied to the gas stream at the physiologically lower side of a sunflower or *Perilla* leaf while O<sub>3</sub> analyser was switched between the channels. Stomata on both sides of the leaf were open enough to allow a sufficient  $O_3$  exchange. There occurred a significant  $O_3$  uptake from the lower leaf side but no  $O_3$  evolution through the upper epidermis was detected in sunflower (Table II) as well as in a Perilla leaf (data not shown). This shows that the  $O_3$  concentration is negligibly low in the intercellular air spaces of leaves.

### DISCUSSION

We conclude that  $O_3$  enters the leaf through stomata by diffusion. These results confirm more indirect evidence (2, 11) and are in accordance with conclusions drawn by Heath in his review (3). The  $O_3$  concentration in intercellular spaces is extremely low irrespective of the ambient concentration of  $O_3$  applied (up to 1500 nL L<sup>-1</sup>). This means that  $O_3$  is absorbed and rapidly decomposed in the cell walls or plasmalemma; it does not penetrate into the deeper layers of cells. The  $O_3$  uptake rate, Q, can be calculated from the conductance for water vapor and the ratio of the measured diffusion rates for water vapor and  $O_3$  as

$$Q = z_a \times g_{gw} / 1.68 \tag{9}$$

where  $z_a$  is the ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentration,  $g_{gw}$  is the gas phase conductance to water vapor. Moldau and Söber (personal communication) found a significant component of transpiration in bean leaves that was not accompanied by the proportional O<sub>3</sub> uptake, and they identified it as the cuticular transpiration. In our experiments with sunflower, the cuticular transpiration of sunflower leaves was either very small, or it was accompanied by O<sub>3</sub> uptake. Probably, long exposures under high O<sub>3</sub> concentrations damage the cuticule causing increased cuticular transpiration.

There occurred no detectable  $O_3$  flux through the leaf, which may mean that  $O_3$  is rapidly decomposed in stomatal pores or substomatal cavities. The rapid decomposition of  $O_3$ may damage cell walls and the plasmalemma. The reflection

**Table I.** Calculated Resistances and Intercellular  $O_3$  Concentrations for the Experiment in Figure 2 Q is the  $O_3$  uptake rate;  $r_{gw}$ , leaf gas phase resistance to water vapor;  $r_{gz}$ , gas phase resistance to  $O_3$  calculated from  $r_{gw}$  (Eq. 4);  $r_z$ , total resistance to  $O_3$ , calculated from the  $O_3$  uptake rate;  $z_i$ , calculated  $O_3$  concentration in intercellular air space using  $r_{gz}$ .

Point	O3	O <sub>3</sub>	Q	r <sub>gw</sub>	r <sub>gz</sub>	rz	Z,
	nLL <sup>-1</sup>	µmol m <sup>−3</sup>	nmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		s cm <sup>-1</sup>		μmol m <sup>−s</sup>
Α	723	29.0	10.58			27.5	
В	733	29.42	61.3	4.15	6.93	4.83	-4.59
С	691	27.77	123.6	1.55	2.59	2.28	-1.32
D	665	26.32	148.1	1.22	2.04	1.85	-1.66
Е	675	27.12	165.1	1.07	1.78	1.69	-0.35
F	660	26.52	165.1	1.01	1.69	1.66	0.43
G	306	12.30	79.6	1.00	1.67	1.59	-0.16
н	1492	63.98	370.3	0.98	1.64	1.67	-7.55



**Figure 4.** Relationship between the leaf gas phase conductance to water vapor  $g_{gw}$  and the total conductance for ozone  $g_z$  in sunflower leaves. Circles are from the experiment in Figure 2; crosses are from other experiments; square denotes ozone absorption by chamber walls.

**Table II.** Measured  $O_3$  Fluxes and Concentrations in a SunflowerLeaf

 $O_3$  was supplied to the leaf from the lower side, and the evolution of  $O_3$  through the upper epidermis was measured. Abbreviations:  $r_{gw}$ , leaf gas phase resistance to water vapor; Q, ozone exchange rate

Low	ver Side o	of the Leaf	Upper Side of the Leaf			
r <sub>gw</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	Q	r <sub>gw</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	Q	
s cm <sup>−1</sup>	nL L <sup>−1</sup>	nmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	s cm <sup>−1</sup>	nL L <sup>-1</sup>	nmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
2.36	709	89.3	5.30	0	0	
2.96	17 <del>9</del> 0	179.6	4.17	0	0	

coefficients of plastid membranes were reduced in the presence of ozone; the introduction of  $O_3$  into a culture of *Chlorella* cells caused a 15- to 20-fold increase in the efflux of potassium (reviewed in 3). Söber (12) has shown that the properties of the cell walls or plasmalemma of bean leaves changed after a rather short exposure to  $O_3$ . The elasticity modulus of the walls increased, the stretchability of cells decreased.

The tolerance of plants to  $O_3$  has two different mechanisms. One is based on the stomatal closure in response to higher  $O_3$  concentrations and operates on the basis of suppressing the  $O_3$  flux into the leaf. This brings along a considerable reduction in the  $CO_2$  uptake rate and a decrease in the growth rate and plant yield. This mechanism inpractice did not operate during our short-time exposures. The other way to withstand higher O<sub>3</sub> concentrations is to develop a mechanism for the neutralization of the damage caused by O<sub>3</sub> for living cells. Evidently, this mechanism involves the resynthesis of damaged enzyme molecules or membrane fractions, and it causes increased maintenance energy costs accompanied by higher respiration rates (3, 11, and references therein). Studies of the effect of O<sub>3</sub> on plants should lead to the establishment of a repair cost of damage caused by one O<sub>3</sub> molecule in a number of respired CO<sub>2</sub> molecules.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors express their gratitude to the project leaders Prof. R. Noble (Bowling Green University, Bowling Green, OH), and Dr. J. Martin (Tallinn Botanical Gardens, Estonia, U.S.S.R.) for encouraging discussions, as well as to Prof. K. Jensen (U.S. Forest Research Laboratory, Delaware, OH) for providing the ozone analyser, and to Dr. V. Oja for suggesting the design of the ozone generator.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Chen NH, Othmer DF (1962) New generalized equation for gas diffusion coefficient. J Chem Eng Data 7: 37-41
- Guderian R, Tingey DT, Rabe R (1987) Effects of photochemical oxidants on plants. Ecol Stud 52: 129-334
- 3. Heath RL (1980) Initial events in injury to plants by air pollutants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 31: 395-431
- Hodgman CD, Weast RC, Selby SM (1956) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, Ed 37. Chemical Rubber Publ Co, Cleveland, OH, p 2121
- Kress LV, Skelly JM (1982) Response of several eastern forest tree species to chronic doses of ozone and nitrogen dioxide. Plant Dis 12: 1149-1152
- Lehnherr B, Grandjean A, Machler F, Fuhrer J (1987) The effect of ozone in ambient air on ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase activity decreases photosynthesis and grain yields in wheat. J Plant Physiol 130: 189–200
- 7. Leuning A (1983) Transport of gases into leaves. Plant Cell Environ 6:181-194
- Miller PR, Taylor OC, Wilhour RG (1982) Oxidant air pollution in the Central Valley, Sierra Nevada foothills and Mineral King valley of California. Atmos Environ 6: 623–633
- Oja V (1972) Experimental studies of the CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange in plant leaves. Cand thesis. Institute of Astrophysics and Atmospheric Physics, Estonian Acad Sci Tartu (in Russian)

- 10. Oja V (1983) A quick-operating gas measuring device for study-
- Oja V (1983) A quick-operating gas measuring device for study-ing the kinetics of leaf photosynthesis. Fiziologia Rastenii (Soviet Plant Physiol) 30: 1045–1052 (in Russian)
  Skärby L, Troeng E, Boström C-A (1987) Ozone uptake and effects on transpiration, net photosynthesis, and dark respira-tion in Scotch pine. For Sci 33: 801–808
- Söber A (1989) Effects of ozone on the swelling capacity of bean leaf cells. Proceedings of US-USSR Symposium "Air Pollution Effects on Vegetation Including Forest Ecosystems" (in press)
  Weast RC, ed (1983) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, Ed 63. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL