P-CONVEXITY AND B-CONVEXITY IN BANACH SPACES

RY

DEAN R. BROWN(1)

ABSTRACT. Two properties of B-convexity are shown to hold for P-convexity:

- (1) Under certain conditions, the direct sum of two P-convex spaces is P-convex.
- (2) A Banach space is P-convex if each subspace having a Schauder decomposition into finite dimensional subspaces is P-convex.
- 0. Introduction. In the previous paper [1] the question of whether all B-convex spaces are reflexive was discussed. The concept of a P-convex space was introduced by C. Kottman [4] as follows:

Definition. For a positive integer n, let P(n, X) be the supremum of all numbers r such that there is a set of n disjoint closed balls of radius r inside $U(X) = \{x: ||x|| \le 1\}$. X is said to be P-convex if $P(n, X) < \frac{1}{2}$ for some n. Kottman showed that all P-convex spaces are both B-convex and reflexive. Therefore the question "Is there a B-convex space that is not P-convex?" is of interest.

Many properties of B-convex spaces are not known for P-convex spaces. In this paper we consider two of these properties and prove partial analogs of them for P-convex spaces: The first property is that direct sums of B-convex spaces are B-convex [2]. The proof of this fact for B-convex spaces rests on the invariance of B-convexity under isomorphism, but it is not known whether P-convexity possesses this invariance. Two partial analogs of the direct sum property are obtained, Theorems 1.3 and 1.5, using Ramsey's theorem of combinatorics. The second property is that a space is B-convex if each subspace having a basis is B-convex [1]. A partial analog of this is proved, Theorem 2.1, using one of the direct sum results.

We will use the following characterization of P-convexity from Remark 1.4 of [4]: Let a set of n elements be called δ separated of order n provided the distance between any two elements of the set is at least δ . Then a space X is P-convex if and only if for some positive integer n and some positive number $\epsilon < 2$ there is no $2 - \epsilon$ separated set of order n in U(X).

Received by the editors July 7, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46B10; Secondary 46B05, 46B15, 05A05.

Key words and phrases. B-convexity, P-convexity, reflexivity, uniform convexity, geometry of the unit ball, Ramsey's theorem, Schauder basis, Schauder decomposition.

⁽¹⁾ This work represents a part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, which was submitted to the Ohio State University under the helpful direction of Professor David W. Dean.

I. Direct sums. The results of this section are based on the following theorem proved in 1930 by Ramsey [6].

Theorem (Ramsey). Let p, q, and r be integers so that p, $q \ge r > 1$. Then there is a number n(p, q, r) having the following property. Let S be a set having n(p, q, r) or more elements. Let the family of all r-subsets of S (where an r-subset is a set having r elements) be divided into two disjoint families, α and β . Then either

- (1) there is $A \subset S$, a subset with p elements, so that any r subset of A is in α , or
 - (2) there is $B \subseteq S$, a subset of q elements, so that any r subset of B is in β . We use this theorem to prove the following lemma.
- 1.1 Lemma. Let A and B be sets, P_A a property which a 2-subset of points (a_i, a_j) in A may have, and P_B a property on 2-subsets of points (b_i, b_j) in B. Suppose there is an integer N_A so that if $a_i, \dots, a_n, n \ge N_A$, are distinct points of A, then there is i, j so that (a_1, a_j) has P_A , and there is N_B with the corresponding property for B. Then there is an integer N_{AB} so that if $n \ge N_{AB}$, a_1 , ..., a_n distinct points of A, b_1, \dots, b_n distinct points of B, then there is i, j so that both (a_i, a_j) has P_A and (b_i, b_j) has P_B .

Proof. Let $N_0 = \max(N_A, N_B)$ and let $N_{AB} = n(N_0, N_0, 2)$ from Ramsey's theorem. For $n \ge N_{AB}$ let $S = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Given $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$, let

$$\alpha = \{(i, j): (a_i, a_i) \text{ does not have } P_A\},$$

$$\beta = \{(i, j): (b_i, b_i) \text{ does not have } P_B; (i, j) \notin \alpha\}.$$

Now suppose there is no i, j as asserted in the lemma. Then $\alpha \cup \beta$ is the set of all pairs of elements of S. Also $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$, so Ramsey's theorem applies. If Conclusion 1 holds, there is $\{i_n\}_{n=1}^{N_0} \subset S$ so that each $(i_n, i_m) \in \alpha$. Thus $\{a_{i_n}\}_{n=1}^{N_0}$ is a set of N_A or more points, no pair of which has P_A , which is a contradiction. Conclusion 2 yields a similar contradiction.

Lemma 1.1 will be incorporated into the following lemma for ordered pairs $(a, b) \in A \times B$.

1.2 Lemma. Let A, B, P_A , P_B , N_A , N_B , N_{AB} be as in Lemma 1.1 with the additional property that a pair having the same first and second elements of A, (a_i, a_i) , always has P_A , and the corresponding property for B. Then if $\{(a_i, b_i)_{i=1}^m\}$ is a set of distinct pairs from $A \times B$ (i.e., any two pairs differ in the first or second entries, or both) and $n \ge N_{AB}N_AN_B$, then there is i, j so that both (a_i, a_j) has P_A and (b_i, b_i) has P_B .

Proof. Let a^1, a^2, \dots, a^{rA} be the distinct values of $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and write the sets

$$\{(a_i, b_i): a_i = a^1\}, \{(a_i, b_i): a_i = a^2\}, \cdots, \{(a_i, b_i): a_i = a^{rA}\}.$$

If one of these sets, say the Kth, has N_B or more pairs, then for these pairs $\{b_i: a_i = a^K\}$ are distinct and so there is i, j so that (b_i, b_j) has P_B . By hypothesis $(a_i, a_j) = (a^K, a^K)$ has P_A so the conclusion of the lemma holds. Otherwise each of the sets has less than N_B pairs, so that the total number of pairs in all of the sets is $n < N_B r_A$. Since $N_A p_A N_A p_B \le n$, we have $r_A > N_A p_A p_A p_A p_A p_B$. By choosing one pair from each of the sets, we get a family of pairs $\{(a^n, b_{i(n)})\}_{n=1}^{r_A}$ having distinct first elements, i.e., if $n \ne m$ then $a^n \ne a^m$. Now let b^1, b^2, \dots, b^{r_B} be the distinct values of $\{b_{i(n)}\}_{n=1}^{r_A}$ and write the sets

$$\{(a^n, b_{i(n)}): b_{i(n)} = b^1\}, \cdots, \{(a^n, b_{i(n)}): b_{i(n)} = b^{rB}\}.$$

If any of these sets has N_A or more elements, say the Kth, then $\{a^n: b_{i(n)} = b^K\}$ are distinct and there is no j, k so that (a^j, a^k) has P_A and $(b_{i(j)}, b_{i(k)}) = (b^K, b^K)$ has P_B . Since $(a^j, b_{i(j)})$ and $(a^k, b_{i(k)})$ were in the original set of pairs $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ the conclusion of the lemma holds. Otherwise each of the sets has less than N_A pairs, so that the total number of pairs in all the sets is $r_A < r_B N_A$. Since we showed $N_{AB}N_A < r_A$ we have $r_B > N_{AB}$. Take one pair from each of the sets to get $\{(a^{n(j)}, b^j)_{j=1}^{r_B}$, a subset of $\{(a_i, b_i)_{i=1}^{r_B}$, so that if $j \neq k$ then $a^{n(j)} \neq a^{n(k)}$ and $b^j \neq b^k$. Thus $\{a^{n(j)}\}_{j=1}^{r_B}$ and $\{b^j\}_{j=1}^{r_B}$ are distinct points of A and B. Applying Lemma 1.1 to these pairs concludes the proof.

Theorem 1.3. Let Y and Z be subspaces of X so that $X = Y \oplus Z$. If Y is finite dimensional and Z is P-convex then X is P-convex.

Proof. Since Z is P-convex there is n_Z , δ so that if $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are distinct points in U(Z) and $n \geq n_Z$ then there is i, j such that $\|z_i - z_j\| < 2 - \delta$. Since Y is finite dimensional, U(Y) is compact and there is n_Y so that if $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are distinct points in U(Y) and $n \geq n_Y$ then there is i, j such that $\|y_i - y_j\| < \delta/2$. Let U(Y) = A, say (y_i, y_j) has P_A if $\|y_i - y_j\| < \delta/2$, and let $N_A = n_Y$. Let U(Z) = B, say (z_i, z_j) has P_B if $\|z_i - z_j\| < 2 - \delta$, and let $N_B = n_Z$. Let $\{y_i + z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be distinct pairs in U(X), $n \geq N_{AB}N_AN_B$. Then $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset A$ and $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B$. By Lemma 1.2 there is i, j so that (y_i, y_j) has P_A ; i.e., $\|y_i - y_j\| < \delta/2$, and (z_i, z_i) has P_B ; i.e., $\|z_i - z_j\| < 2 - \delta$. Thus

$$||(y_i + z_i) - (y_i + z_i)|| \le ||y_i - y_i|| + ||z_i - z_i|| \le 2 - \delta/2.$$

Corollary 1.4. If X is not P-convex, and Y is a subspace of X of finite codimension, then Y is not P-convex.

The following theorem can be proved for direct sums of infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

Theorem 1.5. Let $Y \oplus Z$ be the direct sum of two P-convex Banach spaces normed by $\|(y, z)\| = \max(\|y\|, \|z\|)$. Then $Y \oplus Z$ is P-convex.

Proof. Since Y is P-convex, there is n_Y , ϵ_Y so that if $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are distinct points in U(Y) and $n \geq n_Y$ we have some i, j so that $\|y_i - y_j\| < 2 - \epsilon_Y$. Similarly there is n_Z , ϵ_Z with this property for points in U(Z). Let A = U(Y). Say (y_i, y_j) has P_A if $\|y_i - y_j\| < 2 - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_Y, \epsilon_Z)$. Let $N_A = n_Y$. Similarly let P_A and define P_B and P_A . Let $\{(y_i, z_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be distinct pairs in P_A in P_A . Then $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \in B$. By Lemma 1.2 there is P_A ; i.e., P_A ; i.

$$\|(y_i, z_i) - (y_j, z_j)\| = \|(y_i - y_j, z_i - z_j)\| < 2 - \epsilon.$$

2. Subspaces. We will use the following

Definition. A sequence $\{M_i\}$ of closed subspaces of a Banach space X is a Schauder decomposition of X if every element u of X has a unique, norm-convergent expansion $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i$, where $u_i \in M_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Grinblyum [3] has characterized Schauder decompositions as follows.

Theorem. A sequence $\{M_i\}$ of closed subspaces of X is a Schauder decomposition of X if and only if there is a constant K such that for all integers m, n and all sequences $\{u_i\}$ with $u_i \in M_i$ we have $\|\Sigma_{i=1}^n u_i\| \le k \|\Sigma_{i=1}^{n+m} u_i\|$.

The following theorem is the *P*-convex analog to the *B*-convex subspaces with basis property.

Theorem 2.1. If X is not P-convex, it contains a subspace having a Schauder decomposition into finite dimensional subspaces which is not P-convex.

Proof. Let $\{\delta_i\}$ and $\{\epsilon_i\}$ be sequences of positive numbers less than one tending toward zero. Let $\{k_i\}$ be a sequence of integers tending to infinity. A sequence p(m) of integers and a sequence $\{x_i\}$ of vectors will be constructed with the following properties:

Let L denote the closed span $[x_i]$ and let $L_m = [x_i]_{p(m-1)+1}^{p(m+1)}$, then

- (1) For each $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ there is a $2 \epsilon_m$ separated set of order k_m in $U(L_m)$.
- (2) For any integers n, q and any $\{u_i\}$, $u_i \in L_i$, we have $\|\Sigma_{i=1}^n u_i\| \le (1+\delta_n)\|\sum_{i=1}^{n+q} u_i\|$.

By property (1) L is not P-convex and by property (2) $\{L_m\}$ is a Schauder decomposition of L.

The construction is by induction on m as in the B-convex Theorem 2.3 of [1]. Let m=1. Since X is not P-convex it contains a $2-\epsilon_1$ separated set of order k_1 . Let L_1 be the span of this set; let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{p(1)}$ be a linearly independent set spanning L_1 . Choose $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{q(1)} \subset U(L_1^*)$ by Lemma 2.1 of [1] and extend to X so that if $x \in L_1$,

$$||x|| \le (1+\delta_1) \max\{f_i(x): i=1,\dots,q(1)\}.$$

Let $\Lambda_1 = \bigcap_{i=1}^{q(1)} f_i^{-1}(0)$. Let P_1 be the projection from $L_1 \oplus \Lambda_1 \to L_1$. Then $\|P_1\| \leq 1 + \delta_1$. Since Λ_{m-1} is of finite codimension, it is not P-convex by Corollary 1.4, so that the induction step can be carried out. Property (2) follows from the fact that $\|P_m\| \leq 1 + \delta_m$.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. R. Brown, B-convexity and reflexivity in Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 187 (1974), 69-76.
- 2. D. P. Giesy, On a convexity condition in normed linear spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1966), 114-146. MR 34 #4866.
- 3. M. Grinbylum, On the representation of a space of type B in the form of a direct sum of subspaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.) 70 (1950), 749-752. (Russian) MR 11, 525
- 4. C. A. Kottman, Packing and reflexivity in Banach space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 150 (1970), 565-576. MR 42 #827.
- 5. A. Pelczyński, A note on the paper of I. Singer, 'Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces,' Studia Math. 21 (1961/62), 371-374. MR 26 #4156.
- 6. F. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) 30 (1930), 264-286.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY, YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO 44503