RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Perception of soft mechanical stress in Arabidopsis leaves activates disease resistance

Lehcen Benikhlef¹⁺, Floriane L'Haridon^{1*+}, Eliane Abou-Mansour¹, Mario Serrano¹, Matteo Binda¹, Alex Costa², Silke Lehmann¹ and Jean-Pierre Métraux¹

Abstract

Background: In a previous study we have shown that wounding of *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves induces a strong and transient immunity to *Botrytis cinerea*, the causal agent of grey mould. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are formed within minutes after wounding and are required for wound–induced resistance to *B. cinerea*.

Results: In this study, we have further explored ROS and resistance to *B. cinerea* in leaves of *A. thaliana* exposed to a soft form of mechanical stimulation without overt tissue damage. After gentle mechanical sweeping of leaf surfaces, a strong resistance to *B. cinerea* was observed. This was preceded by a rapid change in calcium concentration and a release of ROS, accompanied by changes in cuticle permeability, induction of the expression of genes typically associated with mechanical stress and release of biologically active diffusates from the surface. This reaction to soft mechanical stress (SMS) was fully independent of jasmonate (JA signaling). In addition, leaves exposed soft mechanical stress released a biologically active product capable of inducing resistance to *B. cinerea* in wild type control leaves.

Conclusion: Arabidopsis can detect and convert gentle forms of mechanical stimulation into a strong activation of defense against the virulent fungus *B. cinerea*.

Background

Plants are exposed to various forms of mechanical stress caused by rain, snow, wind, animals, pathogens or plants themselves. Such mechanical stimuli induce responses in the plant that were shown in many cases to have an adaptive value [1]. A classical example is the response of trees to wind that results in shorter and thicker trunks. Reaction or compression wood is an anatomical consequence of sensing mechanical stress with subsequent lignification of cell walls [2,3]. Plants also respond to a more delicate mechanical stress referred to as touch that leads to nastic or tropic responses (thigmonasty or thigmotropism). Classical examples include the folding of Mimosa pudica's leaflets, the leaf closure of the Venus fly trap or the coiling of tendrils [4]. Such stimuli lead to visible responses such as a reorientation of organs or changes in shape allowing catching an insect or improved

* Correspondence: floriane.lharidon@unifr.ch



A closer look at the response to wounding has shown that it induces biochemical and molecular changes often associated with subsequently induced resistance mechanisms. For instance, wounded plants produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) [10,11], undergo changes in lignification [12], JA, other hormones or wound signals [13] and exhibit changes in gene expression [5,14] that are associated with induced defense reactions.

In a previous study we have shown that wounding of *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves induces a strong and transient immunity to *Botrytis cinerea* the causal agent of grey mould [7]. The expression of genes for camalexin biosynthesis and of glutathione-S-transferase, the activity of a MAP kinase activity and the accumulation of camalexin are primed by wounding [7]. Wound-induced immunity is independent of the major plant defense pathways involving salicylic acid, JA or ethylene, but depends on



© 2013 Benikhlef et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[†]Equal contributors

¹Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, 10 chemin du Musée, CH-1700, Fribourg, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

glutathione [7]. Recently, we have shown that wounding leads to the formation of ROS within minutes and ROS are required for wound-induced resistance to *B. cinerea* [10]. A strong constitutive resistance to *B. cinerea* also takes place in mutants such as *bdg* and *lacs2.3* defective in the production of a functional cuticle and displaying a phenotype of enhanced cuticular permeability [15]. Moreover, leaf surfaces treated with cutinase produced ROS and became more protected to *B. cinerea* [10]. Thus, increased permeability of the cuticle is linked to ROS formation and resistance to *B. cinerea*. In this study, we have further explored the responses of *A. thaliana* such as ROS and resistance to *B. cinerea* in leaves that are subjected to more gentle form of mechanical stimulation.

Results

SMS treatment of *A. thaliana* leaves induces ROS and resistance to *B. cinerea*

We have treated leaves of *A. thaliana* by gently rubbing them between thumb and forefinger, a mechanical stress that is herewith referred to as a soft mechanical stimulation (SMS). The inoculation of leaves immediately after SMS with spores of *B. cinerea* led to a strong decrease in lesion size (Figure 1A). A rapid burst in ROS evidenced by a green fluorescence was observed in leaves infiltrated with 5-(and-6)-carboxy-2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) immediately after SMS (Figure 1B). DCF-DA detects a broad range of oxidizing reagents including H₂O₂ and O_2^{-} and its use has been previously described [10]. SMS-induced resistance as well as wound-induced resistance were still detected in mutants of NADPH oxidase D (atrboh D) and F (atrboh F) as well as in the double mutant (*atrboh D/F*) meaning that others RBOH proteins are implicated in the formation of ROS (Additional file 1). The response to SMS showed a dose-dependence (Figure 1C-D). Applying only one event of SMS already lead to a small but detectable decrease in lesion size (Figure 1C) as well as production of discrete patches of green DCF-DA-fluorescence (Figure 1D). We used 10 stimulations throughout this study as this lead to the strongest effects. Unstimulated upper leaves of plants stimulated on one lower leaf were not protected against B. cinerea (data not shown) showing the absence of a systemic effect. Moreover, wearing latex gloves was equally effective for SMS-induced resistance (data not shown). The SMS-induced resistance to B. cinerea was transient: when plants were inoculated 8 h after SMS, about 50% of the resistance was lost and 24 h after SMS, plants were fully susceptible (Additional file 2). SMS was followed by a rapid change in intracellular calcium as detected using both yellow cameleon- or aequorin-expressing plants [16] (Figure 2A, B). The expression of so-called touch genes previously associated with





mechanical stimulation such as *TCH3*, *TCH4*, *CML24* and *CML39* [17] was also induced 0.5 to 1 h after SMS treatment (Figure 2C). The effect of SMS could still be observed in mutants of JA biosynthesis and signaling (Figure 3). The ethylene mutant *ein2-1* also responds to SMS (Benikhlef, 2010; PhD thesis, University of Fribourg).

SMS is not accompanied by cellular damage

We next examined the occurrence of overt wounding after SMS, since wounding was shown previously to strongly affect resistance to *B. cinerea* [7]. Macroscopic signs of wounding were not visible on SMS-treated leaves. Nevertheless we assessed the effect of SMS on the cell surface by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of live leaf surfaces as well as vital staining using trypan blue. SMS-treated epidermal cells looked perfectly turgid when viewed under the SEM (Figure 4). The waxy surface of some cells appeared slightly affected but the cells themselves retained turgidity. Some trichomes and cells at their base displayed damage (Figure 4B). When SMS-treated leaves were stained using trypan blue, a vital dye that marks the presence of dead cells, epidermal cells were essentially intact 1 h after treatment with the exception of isolated cell groups that stained in blue at the basis of trichomes (Figure 5A), in agreement with observations made using the SEM directly after SMS. Thus, SMS did not lead to massive cellular damage compared to wounding with forceps that was observed previously [10]. The question remains if the damaged cells at the basis of the trichomes might constitute a sufficiently strong wound stimulus to induce ROS and resistance. This question was approached using the trichome-less glabrous gl1 mutant of Arabidopsis [18]. SEM images of leaf surfaces of the gl1 mutant were compared to WT plants and in both plants cells retained turgidity after SMS (Figure 4). No damaged cells were observed using the vital stain trypan blue in gl1 mutant after SMS (Figure 5A). In fact, the untreated gl1 mutant is as susceptible to B. cinerea as WT plants and after SMS, gl1 displayed resistance to B. cinerea to the same extent as the wild type (Figure 5B). Thus, SMS induced resistance independently of the presence of trichomes and SMS-induced resistance to B. cinerea is not based on wounding of cells.



Alterations in cuticular permeability and SMS

An accumulation of ROS was previously observed in *A. thaliana* plants displaying increased cuticular permeability such as mutants defective in cuticle biosynthesis or in the formation of abscisic acid (ABA) [10]. Therefore we have

determined if the SMS-stimulated leaves underwent a change in cuticular permeability. The permeability of the cuticle of SMS-stimulated leaves was assessed using various diagnostic tests. SMS-treated leaves displayed an increase in cuticular permeability as collectively indicated by





increased chlorophyll leakage, retention of toluidine blue as well as Calcofluor white staining (Figure 6A to C).

SMS is not accompanied by changes in ABA levels

Wound-induced resistance to *B. cinerea* is lost when wounded plants are not maintained under a humid environment (in covered trays). This loss is caused by ABA, the level of which increases under dry conditions (trays uncovered) [10]. In accordance, mutants impaired in ABA were fully resistant after wounding, both when plants were maintained under humid or dry environments [10]. Interestingly, increase in resistance and production in ROS were observed whether plants were maintained at humid or dry conditions after SMS (Figure 7A and B). Consequently, we also determined possible changes in the level of ABA. No changes were observed between the levels of ABA after SMS in plants maintained under humid or dry conditions (Additional file 3). This marks a clear difference between SMS and wound-induced resistance.

SMS and the surface wax layer

Since SMS likely perturbs the waxy surface of the plant without much damage to the underlying cells (Figures 4 and 5A), we explored the importance of the wax layer on the leaf surface. We have used the *myb96-1* mutant affected in the transcription factor MYB96, involved in the biosynthesis enzymes condensing very-long-chain fatty acids involved in cuticular wax biosynthesis [19]. Untreated

myb96-1 mutants displayed increased resistance to *B. cinerea* (Figure 8A). The ROS response of *myb96-1* mutants to *B. cinerea* was much faster than in the wild type since green fluorescence was detected already 3 hours after inoculation with *B. cinerea* (Figure 8B). The *myb96-1* mutant also displayed a slight modification of permeability as indicated by the toluidine blue and Calcofluor white test, but this modification was not detected with the chlorophyll leakage test (Figure 8C-E). Thus, *myb96-1* altered in the wax layer displays a somewhat similar syndrome (increased resistance, ROS and a partial increase of permeability) although less obvious that the wild type leaves after SMS treatment.

SMS and leaf diffusates

Changes in the permeability of the cuticle were shown to be associated with the leakage of diffusates that prevent the development of *B. cinerea in vitro* and *in vivo* [15,20]. We have tested if bioactive diffusates can be obtained from leaf surfaces of SMS-treated WT or *myb96-1* plants. SMS applied to *gl1* and *myb96-1* mutants was similarly effective as on SMS-treated WT plants (Figure 9). Without SMS, diffusates collected from the surfaces of both *gl1* and *myb96-1* plants were inactive similarly to those from WT plants. Thus SMS acted on leaf surfaces in a comparable way in plants or mutants displaying increased cuticular permeability [15,20].



Discussion

Wounding inflicted by clamping leaves with forceps or puncturing with a needle induces a strong immunity of A. thaliana to B. cinerea [7]. In this study, we have explored the effect of softer forms of mechanical stimulation on the resistance of A. thaliana to B. cinerea. In particular, we have observed that a gentle mechanical stimulus applied to the surface of the leaf induced a transient and localized resistance to B. cinerea. Plants are known to be equipped with a sensitive and discriminative sensory system for the detection of molecular patterns generated by pathogens (pathogen- or microbeassociated molecular patterns, PAMPs or MAMPs; damage-associated molecular patterns, DAMPs) [21]. Here we show that a gentle mechanical stress can also be perceived in a differentiated way and lead to specific plant responses that include resistance against a virulent necrotrophic fungus.

How does SMS compare to wounding? Overall, the results on SMS-induced resistance to B. cinerea overlap with wound-induced resistance of A. thaliana leaves [10]. The results presented here further the published observations on wounding by showing that a soft mechanical friction of the surface layer without wounding the underlying cell is already enough to induce resistance. The absence of overt cellular breakage after SMS is supported by the absence of change in the levels of ABA after SMS under dry or humid conditions (Additional file 3) and the observation of leaf surfaces of WT and glabrous mutants after SMS (Figures 4 and 5A). Despite this, SMS-treated plants as well as the waxless mutant display an increased permeability to toluidine blue or Calcofluor white, indicating that the cuticular barrier is affected to a certain extent. The altered cuticular permeability might allow the diffusion of a bioactive molecule(s) observed in SMS-treated plants (Figure 9), similarly as in cuticle-defective mutants [15]. Both wounding



and SMS lead to a rapid and important release of ROS. A number of reports have associated mechanical stress with an increased production of ROS [22-25]. For example, rubbing tomato plant internodes results in a rapid and lasting accumulation of H₂O₂ [23]. ROS are well known for their effect as intracellular signals and were shown to be involved in the activation of defenses in response to biotic and abiotic stress [26]. SMS-induced resistance to B. *cinerea* still takes place in *atrbohD*, *atrbohF* and *atrbohD*/*F* mutants of NADPH oxidoreductase making it unlikely that these NADPH oxidoreductases are involved (Additional file 1). It cannot be excluded that some other Arabidopsis NADPH oxidoreductases are involved. This is similar to results obtained with these mutants after wounding [10]. In addition, SMS- like wound-induced resistance are both independent of JA signaling (Figure 3) [7].

How is SMS perceived by the plant? The results presented here lend themselves to a similar interpretation as the studies on resistance to *B. cinerea* observed in *A. thaliana* after wounding or in plants with defective cuticles [15,20]. SMS might modify the plant surface making it more permeable thus allowing a better transfer of DAMPs (possibly produced by the disturbance of the surface upon SMS) or MAMPs (from B. cinerea) through the cell wall into the cell where they are recognized by adequate receptors. The changes in cuticular permeability (Figure 6), the ROS production (Figure 1) and the diffusion of bioactive molecule(s) through the surface (Figure 9) are all hallmarks of such a scenario. The slightly increased permeability of myb96-1 shown in 2 permeability tests (toluidine blue and Calcofluor white, Figure 8D-E) seems to be sufficient to allow for faster ROS production after inoculation and an increased resistance but not enough to allow sufficient leakage of active diffusates. Recent observations have shown a strong link between the presence of ROS and resistance to B. cinerea after wounding, and the data presented here agree with these conclusions. In fact, ROS accumulation and resistance after wounding were shown to depend on calcium changes and all occur at the same location further supporting this hypothesis (Beneloujaephajri



et al., 2013, submitted). But the nature of the elicitors of these and corresponding receptors remain to be determined.

Sensing of stretch (or touch) by mechano-sensitive proteins, for example by stretch-activated channels in the membrane might be another way SMS is perceived and transduced. A possible model is that mechanical stimulus at the surface of the cell stretches such channels initiating a calcium flux [4,16,27,28]. The SMS-induced transient burst of calcium (Figure 2A and B) and the induction of genes (Figure 2C) that were previously associated with the perception of mechanical stimuli [29] would argue in favor of this scenario. But SMS like cutinase- or wound-induced resistance to B. cinerea are independent of JA signaling [7,20] (Figure 3), an observation that would differentiate SMS from a recent study on induced resistance to B. cinerea induced by leaf bending [30]. Leaf bending (ten times) also referred to as gentle touch is only accompanied by a ca 30% reduction in lesion after inoculation with B. cinerea and is JA-sensitive [30]. This contrasts with the present results where SMS was observed to lead to a full immunity to *B. cinerea* that is insensitive to JA. Experiments would now be needed with mutants blocked in mechano-sensitive touch receptors to differentiate between these pathways. It is most likely that SMS also leads to a major cellular reorganization such as that described by Hardham and colleagues (2008) [31]; the cellular details of the perception and attending mechanisms await now further studies.

Conclusion

Wounding and SMS exemplify how plants can react to a situation where in principle they might become more vulnerable. They rely on the deployment of a stress response that includes rapid changes in calcium levels and the release of active molecules such as ROS that subsequently lead to the activation of defense reactions exemplified by a strong resistance against the virulent *B. cinerea*. Interestingly, SMS is not associated with wounding and a modification in the wax layer is enough to produce this



syndrome. The fact that SMS is also leading to the induction of so-called touch genes leaves two possible scenarios open: i) modifications of the plant surface by SMS lead to a facilitated perception of DAMPs or MAMPs by membrane receptors with subsequent activation of defenses or ii) SMS is perceived by mechano-sensors that subsequently initiate resistance (Figure 10). Overall, these results highlight the remarkable ability of plants to sense external mechanical stimuli and activate a powerful defense response.



Methods

Plant maintenance

Arabidopsis thaliana was grown on a pasteurized soil mix of humus and Perlite (3:1) in a growth chamber with a 12 h day/night photoperiod at 21° C/19°C, with a light intensity of 100 µE m⁻² sec⁻¹ and with a relative humidity of 60-70%. WT plants were the Arabidopsis accession Col0 obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Research Center (Colombus, OH, USA). The Arabidopsis mutant referred to as *gl1* was in the Col0 background [18]. The *Arabidopsis* mutant *myb96-1* was in the Col0 background and was previously described [19]. The *Arabidopsis* mutants *dde 2.2, opr3, coi 1.16* were in the Col0 background.

Culture of B. cinerea, inoculation, staining of hyphae and SMS treatment

B. cinerea strain BMM, provided by Brigitte Mauch-Mani (University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland), were grown on Difco Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) 39 g l⁻¹ (Becton Dickinson, www.bd.com). Spores were harvested in water and filtered through glass wool to remove hyphae. Before inoculation, spores were diluted in 1/4 strength Difco Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) at 6 g l^{-1} (Becton Dickinson, www.bd.com) to the final concentration of 5×10^4 spores ml⁻¹. Six µl of spore suspension were deposited on leaves of 4-week-old plants. Lesion diameter was measured 3 days after inoculation using the digital caliper series 500 (Mitutoyo, www.mitutoyo.com). Data were integrated via the software for metrology IBREXDLL (IBRit, www.ibr. com). The inoculated plants were kept 3 days under high humidity (covered trays) in the growth chamber. Fungal structures and dead plant cells were stained by boiling inoculated leaves for 5 min in a solution of alcoholic lactophenol trypan blue. Stained leaves were extensively cleared in chloral hydrate (2.5 g ml⁻¹) at room temperature by gentle shaking, and then observed using a Leica DMR microscope with bright-field settings.

Plant leaves were gently rubbed between thumb and forefinger without pressing with the thumb. For the time course experiment the SMS treatment was repeated 1, 5, 7 and 10 successive times, for the others experiments the SMS treatment was repeated 10 successive times. For SMS treatment of entire leaves, the SMS treatment was carried out on both sides of the main vein. SMS treatment leaves were incubated in covered trays at high humidity (referred to as humid conditions); in some cases the trays were left uncovered after SMS treatment (referred to as dry conditions) at the same laboratory conditions. Inoculation with *B. cinerea* was performed within 10 min after SMS treatment, by placing a droplet of spores on the SMS-treated site.

For the collection of diffusates, 8 μl of ¼ PDB were incubated for 24 h on non-treated and SMS-treated WT and mutants leaves. Leaf diffusates were collected and mixed

with *Botrytis cinerea* spores to the final concentration of 5×10^4 spores ml⁻¹. The WT plants were inoculated in the same conditions as previously described.

Detection of ROS

ROS were detected using the fluorescent probe 5-(and-6)carboxy-2,7'-dichloro dihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA) (Sigma-Aldrich, www.sigmaaldrich.com) as previously described [10]. SMS treated- and non-treated leaves were vacuum-infiltrated (3×3 min) in 60 μ M of DCF-DA in a standard buffer (1 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid adjusted to pH6.1 with NaOH) [32]. Leaves were then rapidly rinsed in DCF-DA buffer and observed using a Leica DMR epifluorescence microscope with a GFP filter set (excitation 480/40 nm, emission 527/30 nm) (Leica, www.leica.com). Microscope images were saved as TIFF files and processed for fluorescence quantification with Image J version 1.45 (NIH). Software settings were kept the same for every image analyzed and the area of green fluorescence corresponding to ROS production was expressed in pixels.

Tests of cuticle permeability

Chlorophyll extraction and quantification was performed according to a previously described protocol [33]. Leaves were cut at the petiole, weighed and immersed in 30 ml of 80% ethanol. Chlorophyll was extracted in the dark at room temperature with gentle agitation. Aliquots were removed at 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min after immersion. The chlorophyll content was determined by measuring absorbance at 647 and 664 nm and the micromolar concentration of total chlorophyll per gram of fresh weight of tissue was calculated from the following equation: (19.53 \times $(A647 \text{ nm}) + 7.93 \times (A664 \text{ nm}))/g$ fresh weight. The toluidine blue test was carried out by placing 6 µl droplets of a 0.025% toluidine blue solution in 1/4 PDB on the leaf surface. After incubation for 2 h, leaves were washed gently with distilled water to remove excess of the toluidine blue solution from leaves. For staining with Calcofluor white, leaves were bleached in absolute ethanol overnight, equilibrated in 0.2 M NaPO₄ (pH 9) for 1 h, and incubated for 1 min in 0.5% Calcofluor white in 0.2 M NaPO₄ (pH 9). Leaves were rinsed in NaPO₄ buffer to remove excess of Calcofluor white and viewed under UV light on a GelDoc 2000 system (Biorad, www.biorad.com).

RNA extraction and real time RT-PCR

The non-treated and SMS-treated leaves (10X) were ground. RNA was prepared using the Trizol reagent containing 38% saturated phenol, 0.8 M guanidine thiocyanate, 0.4 M ammonium thiocyanate, 0.1 M sodium acetate and 5% glycerol. RNA (1 µg) was then retrotranscribed into cDNA (Omniscript[®] RT kit, Qiagen, www.qiagen.com). RT-PCR was performed using Sensimix[™] SYBR Green Kit (Bioline, www.bioline.com). Gene expression values were normalized to expression of the plant gene At4g26410, previously described as a stable reference gene [17]. The primers used were TCH3fw 5'- TCAAGGTCAGG GTCAAGTGC; TCH3rev 5'- TTGGCGAAGCGATGAT ATTGC; TCH4fw 5'- GAAACTCCGCAGGAACAGTC; TCH4rev 5'- TGTCTCCTTTGCCTTGTGTG; CML24fw 5'- GAGTAATGGTGGTGGTGCTTGA; CML24rev 5'-ACGAATCATCACCGTCGACTAA; CML39fw 5'- GAT TGCATTACTCCGGGGAG; CML39rev 5'- GAGGGCG AACTCATCAAAGC.

Detection of calcium

The monitoring of the cytoplasmic calcium concentrations change was performed using transgenic A. thaliana plants expressing aequorin under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus promoter 35S (gift from Marc Knight, Durham University). Leaves from 4 weeks old aequorin expressing plants were incubated overnight in 10 µM of coelenterazine (CTZ) in the dark to allow the binding between CTZ and aequorin. Basal level and stability of the luminescence signal before SMS treatment were then assessed by introducing the leaves in the luminometer (Sirius single tube luminometer, Berthold detection system, www.berthold-ds.com) where luminescence values were immediately scored every 3 seconds for one minute. After this time, 5 events of SMS were applied to the leaves directly in the luminometer and reading was carried out for three minutes. The luminescence was detected by using FB12 Sirius PC software.

Time-lapse Ca²⁺ imaging

Whole leaves of 16- to 21-day-old plants expressing cytoplasmic localized cameleon YC3.6 were placed in an open top chamber [34]. Leaves were imaged in vivo by an inverted fluorescence microscope Nikon Ti-E (Nikon, JP, www.nikon.com) with CFI planfluor 4× A.N.0,13 dry objective. Excitation light was produced by a fluorescent lamp Prior Lumen 200 PRO (Prior Scientific, UK) at 440 nm (436/20 nm) for Cameleon. Images were collected with a Hamamatsu Dual CCD Camera ORCA-D2 (Hamamatsu, Photonics, JP). The FRET CFP/YFP optical block A11400-03 (Emission 1 483/32 nm for CFP and Emission 2 542/27 nm for cpVenus with a dichroic mirror 510 nm) (Hamamatsu, Photonics, JP) was used for the simultaneous CFP and cpVenus acquisitions. Exposure time was 400 ms with a 2×2 CCD binning and images where acquired every 2 sec. Filters and dichroic mirror were purchased from Chroma (Chroma Technology Corporation, USA). The NIS-Element (Nikon, JP) was used as platform to control microscope, illuminator, camera and postacquisition analyses. The fluorescence intensity was determined over regions of interest (ROIs) that correspond to the SMS-treated site. The SMS treatment was made 1

time. Due to the size of the imaged areas, the background was not subtracted. For cameleon analysis cpVenus and CFP emissions of the analyzed ROIs were used for the ratio (R) calculation (cpVenus/CFP) and normalized to the initial ratio (R0) and plotted versus time (Δ R/R0).

Scanning electron microscopy

SMS-treated and non-treated surfaces leaves were viewed with an S-3500 N variable pressure scanning electron microscope from Hitachi (www.hitachi.com), equipped with a cold stage.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Resistance to *B. cinerea* in *NADPH* oxidase mutants after SMS. Leaves of *NADPH* oxidase mutants treated with SMS (10×) prior to inoculation with *B. cinerea*. SMS-induced resistance to *B. cinerea* were still detected in treated leaves in *atrboh D* and *atrboh F* as well as in the double mutant *atrboh D*/*F* (n = 64; ±SE). After SMS, all plants were kept under humid conditions. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between non-treated and SMS-treated plants for Col0 and each mutant, *T*-Test (p < 0,01).

Additional file 2: Resistance to *B. cinerea* in response to SMS is transient. Leaves were treated with SMS and inoculated with *B. cinerea* at the times indicated (in h). Lesion diameters were measured 3 days after infection (n = 64; ±SE). Asterisks indicate a significant difference from the non-treated (NT) (p < 0.05).

Additional file 3: ABA accumulates after SMS under dry conditions. SMS-treated leaves were maintained for 1.5 h under high humidity in tightly covered well-watered trays (humid) or in uncovered trays at room conditions (dry) prior to measurement of ABA. Following the method of Schmelz et al. (2004) [35], ABA was measured in ng mg⁻¹ fresh weight of plant tissue in non-treated or treated leaves, incubated under humid or dry conditions (n = 5; ±SD). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between treated samples and non-treated samples in humid and dry conditions, *T*-Test (p < 0,01).

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LB, FL: conceptualization of the experiments, ROS staining and quantification, *B. cinerea* infections on wild type and various mutants, trypan blue staining, permeability tests, critical revision of the manuscript. FL, JPM: SEM observations. EAM: Analysis of ABA. MS: experiments on leaf diffusates, critical revision of the manuscript. MB, AC: time-lapse calcium imaging, critical revision of the manuscript. SL: quantification of touch gene expersion, critical revision of the manuscript. JPM: conceptualization of the experiments, manuscript writing, critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Linda Grainger and Thérèse Mandel for their invaluable technical assistance. This work was made possible by funds to J.P.M. from the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 125370) and from the Italian Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) through the grant FIRB 2010 (RBFR1051LJ_001) to A.C. We wish to thank Prof Chung-Mo Park (Seoul National University) for making the *myb96-1* mutant available to us.

Author details

¹Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, 10 chemin du Musée, CH-1700, Fribourg, Switzerland. ²Department of Biosciences, University of Milan, via G. Celoria 26, 20133, Milan, Italy. Received: 15 May 2013 Accepted: 1 August 2013 Published: 13 September 2013

References

- Read J, Stokes A: Plant biomechanics in an ecological context. Am J of Bot 2006, 93:1546–1565.
- Scurfield G: Reaction wood: its structure and function. Science 1973, 179:647–655.
- 3. Wilson BF, Archer RR: Reaction wood induction and mechanical action. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Molec Biol 1977, 28:23–43.
- Braam J: In touch: plant responses to mechanical stimuli. New Phytol 2005, 165:373–389.
- Leon J, Rojo E, Sanchez-Serrano JJ: Wound signalling in plants. J Exp Bot 2001, 52:1–9.
- Howe GA: Jasmonates as signals in the wound response. J Plant Growth Regul 2004, 23:223–237.
- Chassot C, Buchala A, Schoonbeek H, Metraux JP, Lamotte O: Wounding of Arabidopsis leaves causes a powerful but transient protection against Botrytis infection. *Plant J* 2008, 55:555–567.
- Francia D, Demaria D, Calderini O, Ferraris L, Valentino D, Arcioni S, Tamietti G, Cardinale F: Wounding induces resistance to pathogens with different lifestyles in tomato: role of ethylene in cross-protection. *Plant Cell Envir* 2007, 30:1357–1365.
- 9. Walters DR, Cowley T, Weber H: Rapid accumulation of trihydroxy oxylipins and resistance to the bean rust pathogen Uromyces fabae following wounding in Vicia faba. *Ann Bot* 2006, **97**:779–784.
- L'Haridon F, Besson-Bard A, Binda M, Serrano M, Abou-Mansour E, Balet F, Schoonbeek H-J, Hess S, Mir R, Leon J, *et al*: A permeable cuticle is associated with the release of reactive oxygen species and induction of innate immunity. *PLoS Pathog* 2011, 7:e1002148.
- Orozco-Cardenas M, Ryan CA: Hydrogen peroxide is generated systemically in plant leaves by wounding and systemin via the octadecanoid pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:6553–6557.
- 12. Kusumoto D: Concentrations of lignin and wall-bound ferulic acid after wounding in the phloem of Chamaecyparis obtusa. *Trees-Struct Funct* 2005, **19**:451–456.
- Glauser G, Grata E, Dubugnon L, Rudaz S, Farmer EE, Wolfender J-L: Spatial and temporal dynamics of jasmonate synthesis and accumulation in arabidopsis in response to wounding. *J Biol Chem* 2008, 283:16400–16407.
- 14. Reymond P, Weber H, Damond M, Farmer EE: Differential gene expression in response to mechanical wounding and insect feeding in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2000, **12**:707–719.
- Bessire M, Chassot C, Jacquat AC, Humphry M, Borel S, Petetot JMC, Metraux JP, Nawrath C: A permeable cuticle in Arabidopsis leads to a strong resistance to Botrytis cinerea. *EMBO J* 2007, 26:2158–2168.
- Knight MR, Campbell AK, Smith SM, Trewavas AJ: Transgenic plant aequorin reports the effects of touch and cold-shock and elicitors on cytoplasmic calcium. *Nature* 1991, 352:524–526.
- Lee D, Polisensky DH, Braam J: Genome-wide identification of touch- and darkness-regulated Arabidopsis genes: a focus on calmodulin-like and XTH genes. New Phytol 2005, 165:429–444.
- Oppenheimer DG, Herman PL, Sivakumaran S, Esch J, Marks MD: A MYB gene required for leaf trichome differentiation in arabidopsis is expressed in stipules. *Cell* 1991, 67:483–493.
- Seo PJ, Lee SB, Suh MC, Park M-J, Go YS, Park C-M: The MYB96 transcription factor regulates cuticular wax biosynthesis under drought conditions in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2011, 23:1138–1152.
- 20. Chassot C, Nawrath C, Metraux JP: Cuticular defects lead to full immunity to a major plant pathogen. *Plant J* 2007, **49**:972–980.
- 21. Boller T, Felix G, A Renaissance of Elicitors: Perception of microbeassociated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2009, 60:379–406.
- 22. Chehab EW, Eich E, Braam J: Thigmomorphogenesis: a complex plant response to mechano-stimulation. J Exp Bot 2009, 60:43–56.
- Depege N, Varenne M, Boyer N: Induction of oxidative stress and GPX-like protein activation in tomato plants after mechanical stimulation. *Physiol Plantarum* 2000, 110:209–214.
- Gus-Mayer S, Naton B, Hahlbrock K, Schmelzer E: Local mechanical stimulation induces components of the pathogen defense response in parsley. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:8398–8403.

- Monshausen GB, Bibikova TN, Weisenseel MH, Gilroy S: Ca²⁺ regulates reactive oxygen species production and ph during mechanosensing in Arabidopsis roots. *Plant Cell* 2009, 21:2341–2356.
- 26. Torres MA: ROS in biotic interactions. Physiol Plantarum 2010, 138:414-429.
- Nakagawa Y, Katagiri T, Shinozaki K, Qi Z, Tatsumi H, Furuichi T, Kishigami A, Sokabe M, Kojima I, Sato S, *et al*: Arabidopsis plasma membrane protein crucial for Ca2+ influx and touch sensing in roots. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007, 104:3639–3644.
- Sato Y, Wada M, Kadota A: External Ca²⁺ is essential for chloroplast movement induced by mechanical stimulation but not by light stimulation. *Plant Physiol* 2001, 127:497–504.
- Antosiewicz DM, Polisensky DH, Braam J: Cellular localization of the Ca²⁺ binding TCH3 protein of Arabidopsis. *Plant J* 1995, 8:623–636.
- Chehab EW, Yao C, Henderson Z, Kim S, Braam J: Arabidopsis touchinduced morphogenesis is jasmonate mediated and protects against pests. *Curr Biol* 2012, 22:701–706.
- Hardham AR, Takemoto D, White RG: Rapid and dynamic subcellular reorganization following mechanical stimulation of Arabidopsis epidermal cells mimics responses to fungal and oomycete attack. *BMC Plant Biol* 2008, 8. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-8-63.
- Hoffmann A, Hammes E, Plieth C, Desel C, Sattelmacher B, Hansen UP: Effect of CO₂ supply on formation of reactive oxygen species in Arabidopsis thaliana. Protoplasma 2005, 227:3–9.
- Sieber P, Schorderet M, Ryser U, Buchala A, Kolattukudy P, Metraux JP, Nawrath C: Transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing a fungal cutinase show alterations in the structure and properties of the cuticle and postgenital organ fusions. *Plant Cell* 2000, 12:721–737.
- Krebs M, Held K, Binder A, Hashimoto K, Den Herder G, Parniske M, Kudla J, Schumacher K: FRET-based genetically encoded sensors allow highresolution live cell imaging of Ca²⁺dynamics. *Plant J* 2012, 69:181–192.
- Schmelz EA, Engelberth J, Tumlinson JH, Block A, Alborn HT: The use of vapor phase extraction in metabolic profiling of phytohormones and other metabolites. *Plant J* 2004, 39:790–808.

doi:10.1186/1471-2229-13-133

Cite this article as: Benikhlef *et al.*: **Perception of soft mechanical stress in Arabidopsis leaves activates disease resistance**. *BMC Plant Biology* 2013 **13**:133.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit