Perfect State Transfer in Arbitrary Distance

Supriyo Dutta

Department of Mathematics National Institute of Technology Agartala Jirania, Tripura, India - 799046 Email: dosupriyo@gmail.com

Abstract

Quantum Perfect State Transfer (PST) is a fundamental tool of quantum communication in a network. It is considered a rare phenomenon. The original idea of PST depends on the fundamentals of the continuous-time quantum walk. A path graph with at most three vertices allows PST. Based on the Markovian quantum walk, we introduce a significantly powerful method for PST in this article. We establish PST between the extreme vertices of a path graph of arbitrary length. Moreover, any pair of vertices j and n - j - 1 in a path graph with n vertices allow PST for $0 \le j < \frac{n-1}{2}$. Also, no cycle graph with more than 4 vertices does not allow PST based on the continuous-time quantum walk. In contrast, we establish PSTs based on Markovian quantum walk between the pair of vertices j and j + m for $j = 0, 1, \ldots (m-1)$ in a cycle graph with 2m vertices.

1 Introduction

Transferring quantum information between different locations without interrupting the encoded information is essential for future quantum technologies [1-7]. Routing quantum information is crucial for communication between quantum processors and many other applications. Considering the advantage of coupling between neighboring qubits, we can transport quantum information across a network. It is beneficial for keeping the network sites remain at fixed locations. The perfect state transfer (PST) protocol uses an engineered but fixed coupling network, represented by a graph [8, 9].

A combinatorial graph G = (V(G), E(G)) is a combination of a vertex set V(G) and an edge set E(G) [10]. There are *n* vertices in the graph. We number them $0, 1, \ldots (n-1)$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ is given by $a_{i,j} = 1$ if $(i,j) \in E(G)$ and $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $(i,j) \notin E(G)$ [11]. Assume that the vertices are spins and the edges connect spins which interact between each other. There are different interaction Hamiltonians, for example $H = -\sum_{(i,j)\in E(G)} (\sigma_x^i \sigma_x^j + \sigma_y^i \sigma_y^j)$. Here, $\sigma_{x/y}^i$ are the Pauli matrices for the *i*-th spin. Let the initial state of the system is $|A\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{2^n}$. After time *t* if the state of the system is in $|B\rangle$ then the transfer fidelity is given by $f_{AB}(t) = \langle B|e^{\iota H t_0}|A\rangle$. There is a PST at time $t = t_0$ if $f_{AB}(t_0) = 1$. A graph-theoretic simplification shows that there is a PST between the vertices *j* and *k* at time t_0 if $f_{jk}(t_0) = \langle k|e^{\iota A(G)t_0}|j\rangle = 1$, where $|j\rangle$ and $|k\rangle$ are the state of the walker is $e^{\iota A(G)t}|j\rangle$. The continuous-time quantum walk is determined by the unitary matrix $e^{\iota A(G)t}$. If there is a PST between the vertex *j* and *k* at time t_0 we have $|k\rangle = e^{\iota A(G)t_0}|j\rangle$ [13–16].

There are different classes of graphs supporting PST, such as the path graphs, hypercube graphs, etc. A path graph P_n with n vertices has edge set $\{(j, j+1) : j = 0, 1, \ldots, (n-2)\}$. Path graph P_2 allows PST between 0 and 1 at time $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Also, P_3 supports PST between 0 and 2 at time $t = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$. When n > 3, P_n does not allow PST based on continuous-time quantum walk between any pair of vertices [4]. A path of length n between two vertices i and j of a graph is a sequence of distinct vertices and edges $i = v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, e_n, v_n = j$. Distance between two vertices i and j is denoted by d(i, j) which is the number of edges in the shortest path between them. Therefore, P_2 and P_3 support PST between two vertices at distance 1 and 2 respectively. Also for them the ratio $\frac{|E(G)|}{d(i,j)} = 1$, where |E(G)| is the number of edges in G as well as i and j are two vertices having PST at maximum distance. There are graphs supporting PST in a greater distance, such as hypercube graphs, cubelike graphs [16], Cayley graphs [17, 18], etc. But, the ratio $\frac{|E(G)|}{d(i,j)}$ is more than one for them. For example, a hypercube graph Q_n in n dimension supports PST between a pair of antipodal vertices. In Q_2 , there are 4 edges to support PST at distance 2. In Q_3 , there are 12 edges to support PST at distance 3. Technically, it is difficult to control a large number of

interactions between qubits when we want to communicate between two vertices at distance. Therefore, we need an advanced mechanism to perform PST in a path graph with an arbitrary number of vertices. This article fulfils the requirement.

The continuous-time quantum walk can not produce PST in a path graph of arbitrary length. In the literature of quantum information and computation, there are different proposals of quantum walk other than continuous-time quantum walk, for example, discrete-time quantum walk [19, 20], Szegedy quantum walk [21], and many others [22–24]. The idea of PST is also generalized in a similar fashion [25–27]. Here, we consider a quantum walk based on Markov chain [28, 29] which is a variant of the Szegedy quantum walk. These quantum walks are applied in different problems of quantum computing [30–32]. In this work, we observed that any path graph P_n supports PST between two extreme vertices 0 and (n-1). Interestingly, PST is possible simultaneously between any pair of vertices j and (n-1-j) with $0 \le j < \frac{n-1}{2}$. A cycle graph C_n with nodes $0, 1, 2, \ldots (n-1)$ has an edge between i and j if $i - j \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Recall that, PST based on the continuous-time quantum walk is possible only in C_4 , which is a hypercube of dimension 2. But, in the case of PST based on Markovian quantum walk we observe that C_n permits PST for any even number n between the pair of vertices j, and $j + \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$.

We distribute this work as follows. In section 2, we introduce Markovian quantum walk and PST using it. Here we develop a basis of \mathcal{H}^n different from the computational basis to perform the quantum walk. We bind up this section with three lemmas which are essential to establish PSTs. Sections 3 and 4 are dedicated to state transfer on the cycle, and the path graphs, respectively. In these sections, we work out the state after t time steps of evolutions starting from an arbitrary initial vertex j. It assists us in identifying a pair of vertices allowing PST and periodic vertices. In conclusion, we mention a few other graphs allowing PST, without proof. We mention three lemmas in Appendix, which we utilize in sections 3 and 4. Proofs of these lemmas contains straightforward calculations.

2 Markovian quantum walk and perfect quantum state transfer

The random walk [33] on a graph is a fundamental mathematical tool for modelling and simulating complex problems and natural phenomena. Szegedy developed a general method for quantizing a random walk to create a discretetime quantum walk. To develop the idea of a quantum walk, we first generate a directed graph from a given simple graph G. On every edge, we assign two opposite orientations. For example, (j, k) and (k, j) denote two oppositely oriented edges from the vertex j to k, and from k to j, respectively. The out-degree of a vertex j is denoted by d_j which is the number of outgoing edges from j. We define

$$p_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_j} & \text{if } (j,k) \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{if } (j,k) \notin E(G); \end{cases}$$
(1)

such that $\sum_{k \in V(G)} p_{j,k} = 1$. As G is connected $d_j \neq 0$ for any $v \in V$ and $0 \leq p_{j,k} \leq 1$. The probability transition matrix $P = (p_{jk})_{n \times n}$ leads to a random walk on G.

For every vertex j we assign an element of the computational basis of n dimensional vector space \mathcal{H}_n , say $|j\rangle$, where $|j\rangle = [0, 0, \dots 1(j\text{-th position}) \dots 0]^{\dagger}$. Corresponding to a directed edge (j, k) from j to k we assign a vector $|jk\rangle = |j\rangle \otimes |k\rangle$ where $|jk\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$. A superposition of the vectors representing the edges outgoing from the vertex j is

$$|\psi_j\rangle = \sum_{k \in V} \sqrt{p_{j,k}} \, |jk\rangle = |j\rangle \otimes \left(\sum_{k \in V} \sqrt{p_{jk}} \, |k\rangle\right).$$
⁽²⁾

We can establish that the vectors in $\Psi = \{|\psi_j\rangle : j \in V(G)\}$ are orthonormal because $\langle \psi_j | \psi_j \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \psi_j | \psi_k \rangle = 0$ for $j \neq k$. Therefore, the vectors in Ψ are linearly independent. The number of vectors in Ψ is n, which is the dimension of \mathcal{H}_n . Thus, the vector subspace $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ spanned by Ψ is isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_n . It leads to to define a linear transformation $T : \mathcal{L}(\Psi) \to \mathcal{H}_n$ such that $T | \psi_j \rangle = | j \rangle$ for $j \in V(G)$. It can be represented by a matrix T, such that, $T = \sum_{j \in V(G)} | j \rangle \langle \psi_j |$. Also, $T^{\dagger} = \sum_{j \in V(G)} | \psi_j \rangle \langle j |$, such that $T^{\dagger} | j \rangle = | \psi_j \rangle$. Therefore, any walk on $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ is equivalent to a walk on \mathcal{H}_n . It allows us to restrict our discussion on the quantum walk and state transfer on the space $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ only.

Corresponding to vertex $j \in V(G)$ we now assign a basis state $|\psi_j\rangle \in \Psi$. The unitary matrix leading the Markovian quantum walk acting on $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ is defined by

$$U = S(2\Pi - I). \tag{3}$$

Here, $\Pi = \sum_{j \in V} |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j|$ denotes the linear sum of all orthogonal projectors on Ψ . Also, $S = \sum_{j \in V} \sum_{k \in V} |jk\rangle \langle kj|$ is a SWAP operator, and I is the identity matrix of order n^2 . The following three lemmas demonstrate crucial characteristics of U. Proof of them are mentioned in appendix.

Lemma 1. Given any vertex j on a cycle or a path graph we have $S(2\Pi - I) |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|(j+1)j\rangle + |(j-1)j\rangle)$, where $|\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |j(j+1)\rangle + |j(j-1)\rangle$. Here, $j \neq 0$ and $j \neq (n-1)$.

Lemma 2. Given any vertex j on a cycle or a path graph with $j \neq (n-1)$ we have $S(2\Pi - I) | (j+1)j \rangle = |(j+2)(j+1)\rangle$

Lemma 3. Given any vertex j on a cycle or a path graph with $j \neq 0$ we have $S(2\Pi - I) |(j - 1)j\rangle = |(j - 2)(j - 1)\rangle$.

Suppose the walker starts at vertex j at time t = 0. After times t probability of getting the walker at vertex k is given by $P_t(k) = \langle \psi_k | U^t | \psi_j \rangle$. We say that there is a PST between vertices j and k at time t if $|\psi_k\rangle = U^t |\psi_j\rangle$, that is, $P_t(k) = 1$. We define a vertex $j \in V$ is a periodic vertex at time t if $|\psi_j\rangle = U^t |\psi_j\rangle$. Now, we are in a position to investigate PST in different graphs.

3 State transfer on cycle graph

A cycle graph C_n with *n* vertices is given by a vertex set $V(C_n) = \{0, 1, 2, ..., (n-1)\}$ and an edges set $E(C_n) = \{((j-1), j) : j = 1, 2, ..., (n-1)\} \cup \{((n-1), 0)\}$. In other words, two vertices *j* and *k* are connected in C_n if and only if $j - k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Therefore, for every vertex *j* we can define

$$p_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } j - k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Using equation (2) we can write

$$|\psi_i\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |0(n-1)\rangle) & \text{if } j = 0;\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|j(j+1)\rangle + |j(j-1)\rangle & \text{if } j = 1, 2, \dots (n-2);\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(n-1)0\rangle + |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle) & \text{if } j = (n-1); \end{cases}$$
(5)

for any cycle C_n with *n* vertices. The above equation can be written more precisely

$$|\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|j(j\oplus 1)\rangle + |j(j\oplus 1)\rangle),$$
(6)

where \oplus and \oplus denote addition modulo n and subtraction modulo n, respectively, throughout the text. Now, we demonstrate the following characteristics of the operator $U = S(2\Pi - I)$ for C_n , which will play a crucial role in PST.

1. Lemma 1 suggests that for any vertex j in C_n we have $S(2\Pi - I) |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|(j+1)j\rangle + |(j-1)j\rangle)$, when $j \neq 0$ and (n-1). For j = 0 we have $S(2\Pi - I) |\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|10\rangle + |(n-1)0\rangle)$. Also, for j = n-1 we can verify that $S(2\Pi - I) |\psi_{n-1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0(n-1)\rangle + |(n-2)(n-1)\rangle)$. Combining all these, we have

$$S(2\Pi - I) |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j \oplus 1)j\rangle + |(j \oplus 1)j\rangle \right).$$

$$\tag{7}$$

2. From Lemma 2 we state that for any vertex $j \neq 0$ and $j \neq (n-1)$ in C_n we have $S(2\Pi - I) |(j+1)j\rangle = |(j+2)(j+1)\rangle$. Also, for j = n-1 we have

$$S(2\Pi - I) |0(n-1)\rangle = S(2\Pi |0(n-1)\rangle - |0(n-1)\rangle) = S\left(2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\psi_0\rangle - |0(n-1)\rangle\right) = S|01\rangle = |10\rangle.$$
(8)

For j = 0 we have $S(2\Pi - I) |10\rangle = |21\rangle$. Combining we get

$$S(2\Pi - I) |(j \oplus 1)j\rangle = |(j \oplus 2)(j \oplus 1)\rangle.$$
(9)

3. Using Lemma 3 we can justify that $S(2\Pi - I) | (j - 1)j \rangle = | (j - 2)(j - 1) \rangle$, when $j \neq 0$ and $j \neq (n - 1)$. Considering the cases for j = 0 and j = (n - 1) we can prove in general

$$S(2\Pi - I) |(j \ominus 1)j\rangle = |(j \ominus 2)(j \ominus 1)\rangle.$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

Now we are in a position to apply $S(2\Pi - I)$ multiple times on $|\psi_j\rangle$ with help of equations (7), (9) and (10). Note that,

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^2 |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S(2\Pi - I) \left(|(j \oplus 1)j\rangle + |(j \oplus 1)j\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j \oplus 2)(j \oplus 1)\rangle + |(j \oplus 2)(j \oplus 1)\rangle \right).$$
(11)

Similarly,

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{3} |\psi_{j}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S(2\Pi - I) \left(|(j \oplus 2)(j \oplus 1)\rangle + |(j \oplus 2)(j \oplus 1)\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j \oplus 3)(j \oplus 2)\rangle + |(j \oplus 3)(j \oplus 2)\rangle \right).$$
(12)

Applying the principle of mathematical induction we can write the following theorem in general.

Theorem 1. In a cycle graph C_n with n vertices applying $U = S(2\Pi - I)$ on state $|\psi_j\rangle$ for t times we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^t |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j \oplus t)(j \oplus (t-1))\rangle + |(j \oplus t)(j \oplus (t-1))\rangle \right)$$

where $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots (n-1)$.

This theorem leads us to the idea of PST on a cycle graph with an even number of vertices. Consider the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. In a cycle graph C_{2m} there is a PST between any two vertices j and j + m at time t = m for j = 0, 1, ..., (m-1).

Proof. Applying Theorem 1 we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^m |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|(j \oplus m) (j \oplus (m-1))\rangle + |(j \oplus m) (j \oplus (m-1))\rangle \right].$$

$$(13)$$

Since $0 \leq j \leq 2m - 1$, we have $j \oplus m \equiv j \oplus m$ and $j \oplus m \oplus 1 \equiv j \oplus (m - 1)$. Now, applying equation (6) we find that $[S(2\Pi - I)]^m |\psi_j\rangle = |\psi_{j\oplus m}\rangle$ Therefore, if the cycle graph has n = 2m vertices then there is PST between j and $j \oplus m$ at time t = m.

Applying the superposition principle of quantum states, we conclude that, given any two distinct vertices j and k in C_{2m} , there are PSTs between j and $j \oplus n$ as well as k and $k \oplus n$ simultaneously at time t = m.

Corollary 2. All the vertices in C_n are periodic at time t = n for any n.

Proof. Applying Theorem 1 we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{n} |\psi_{j}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|(j \oplus n) (j \oplus (n-1))\rangle + |(j \oplus n)(j \oplus (n-1))\rangle \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|j(j+1)\rangle + |j(j-1)\rangle \right] = |\psi_{j}\rangle,$$
(14)

since $j \oplus n = j \oplus n = j$, $j \oplus (n-1) = j-1$, and $j \oplus (n-1) = j+1$. Therefore, the vertex j is a periodic vertex for all j at time t = n.

4 State transfer on a path graph

Recall that a path graph P_n with n vertices 0, 1, ..., (n-1) has edges (j, (j+1)) for j = 0, 1, ..., (n-2). Now, the out-degree of 0 and (n-1) is 1. Also, the out-degree of each of the remaining vertices is 2. Applying equation (1) we have

$$p_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (j,k) = (0,1) \text{ or } ((n-1),(n-2)); \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } (j,k) \in E(G), (j,k) \neq (0,1) \text{ or } (j,k) \neq ((n-1),(n-2)); \\ 0 & \text{if } (j,k) \notin E(G). \end{cases}$$
(15)

For every vertex j we generate $p_{j,k}$, such that $\sum_{k \in V(G)} p_{j,k} = 1$. Applying equation (2) we have

$$|\psi_{j}\rangle = \begin{cases} |01\rangle & \text{if } j = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|j(j+1)\rangle + |j(j-1)\rangle) & \text{if } j = 1, 2, \dots (n-2); \\ |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle & \text{if } j = (n-1). \end{cases}$$
(16)

The sum of projection operators on $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ for path graph P_n is given by

$$\Pi = |01\rangle \langle 01| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)} \left(|j(j+1)\rangle + |j(j-1)\rangle \right) \left(\langle j(j+1)| + \langle j(j-1)| \right) + |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle \left\langle (n-1)(n-2)| \right\rangle.$$
(17)

In the theorem below, we demonstrate PST between the two extreme vertices of any path graph P_n .

Theorem 2. There is a PST between the vertices 0 and (n-1) in a path graph P_n with n vertices at time t = (n-1).

Proof. Note that, $[S(2\Pi - I)]|\psi_0\rangle = |10\rangle$. Applying Lemma 2 repeatedly on $|10\rangle$ we can prove that $[S(2\Pi - I)]|\psi_0\rangle = |10\rangle$. $[I]^{t} |\psi_{0}\rangle = |t(t-1)\rangle$. Putting t = n-1 we have $[S(2\Pi - I)]^{(n-1)} |\psi_{0}\rangle = |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle = |\psi_{n-1}\rangle$. Also, $[S(2\Pi - I)]^{(n-1)} |\psi_{0}\rangle = |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle = |\psi_{n-1}\rangle$. $I)] |\psi_{n-1}\rangle = |(n-2)(n-1)\rangle. \text{ Applying Lemma 3 on } |(n-2)(n-1)\rangle \text{ repeatedly, we have } [S(2\Pi - I)]^t |\psi_{n-1}\rangle = |(n-2)(n-1)\rangle.$ $|(n-t-1)(n-t)\rangle$. When t = (n-1) observe that $[S(2\Pi - I)]^{(n-1)} |\psi_{n-1}\rangle = |01\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle$. Therefore, we can conclude that there is a PST between 0 and (n-1) in P_n at time t = (n-1).

Now, we prove that there is PST between other pairs of vertices in P_n .

Theorem 3. Let $1 \le j \le n - j - 1$ represents a vertex of a path graph P_n , then

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{t} |\psi_{j}\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j+t)(j+t-1)\rangle + |(j-t)(j-(t-1))\rangle \right) & \text{when } 0 \le t \le j; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j+t)(j+t-1)\rangle + |(t-j)(t-j-1)\rangle \right) & \text{when } j+1 \le t \le n-j-1; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(2n-t-j-2)(2n-j-t-1)\rangle + |(t-j)(t-j-1)\rangle \right) & \text{when } n-j \le t \le (n-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. This result holds trivially when t = 0. Lemma 1 indicates the proof for t = 1. For other values of t with $2 \le t \le j$, the proof is similar to Theorem 1. When t = j we have $[S(2\Pi - I)]^j |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|(2j)(2j-1)\rangle + |01\rangle)$.

Now, consider the range $j+1 \le t \le n-j-1$, that is $1 \le t_1 = t-j \le n-2j-1$. Applying Lemma 2, we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{j+1} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(S(2\Pi - I) |(2j)(2j - 1)\rangle + S(2\Pi - I) |01\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(2j + 1)(2j)\rangle + |10\rangle \right).$$
(18)

Applying Lemma 2 repeatedly we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{j+t_1} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(2j+t_1)(2j+t_1-1)\rangle + |t_1(t_1-1)\rangle \right)$$

or
$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^t |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(j+t)(j+t-1)\rangle + |(t-j)(t-j-1)\rangle \right).$$
 (19)

When t = (n - j - 1) we get $[S(2\Pi - I)]^{(n-j-1)} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|(n-1)(n-2)\rangle + |(n-2j-1)(n-2j-2)\rangle)$. Now, consider the range $n - j \le t \le (n - 1)$, that is $0 \le t_2 = t + j - n \le j + 1$. When t = n - j, equivalently, $t_2 = 0$ we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{n-j} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(S(2\Pi - I) |(n-1)(n-2)\rangle + S(2\Pi - I) |(n-2j-1)(n-2j-2)\rangle \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(n-2)(n-1)\rangle + |(n-2j)(n-2j-1)\rangle \right).$$
(20)

Applying Lemma 2 and 3 repeatedly we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{n-j+1} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(n-3)(n-2)\rangle + |(n-2j+1)(n-2j)\rangle \right).$$
(21)

In general we can write

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{n-j+t_2} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(n - (t_2 + 2))(n - (t_2 + 1))\rangle + |(n - 2j + t_2)(n - 2j + t_2 - 1)\rangle \right)$$

or
$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^t |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(2n - t - j - 2)(2n - j - t - 1)\rangle + |(t - j)(t - j - 1)\rangle \right).$$
(22)

Combining all we observe the result.

We mention the following corollaries to discuss PST and periodic vertices in a path graph.

Corollary 3. There is PST between the vertices j and n-j-1 in line graph P_n for $1 \le j < \frac{n-1}{2}$ at time t = n-1. Proof. Let the initial state be $|\psi_j\rangle$. Applying Theorem 3 we have the state at time t = (n-1), which is

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{n-1} |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|(n-j-1)(n-j)\rangle + |(n-j-1)(n-j-2)\rangle \right) = |\psi_{n-j-1}\rangle.$$
(23)

Therefore there is PST between the vertices j and (n - j - 1).

Corollary 4. In a path graph P_{2m+1} the vertex m is periodic at time t = (n-1).

Proof. Applying n = 2m + 1, j = m, and t = n - 1 = 2m in Theorem 3 we have

$$[S(2\Pi - I)]^{2m} |\psi_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|m(m+1)\rangle + |m(m-1)\rangle) = |\psi_m\rangle.$$
(24)

Therefore, the vertex $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is periodic at time t = n - 1, when n is an odd number.

As there is PST s between j and n - j - 1 all the vertices in P_n are periodic at time t = 2(n - 1).

We can visualize the movement of the quantum walker in terms of probability. Suppose the walker starts at vertex j at time t = 0 with the initial state $|\psi_j\rangle$. Probability of getting it at vertex k at time t is $P_t(j,k) = \langle \psi_k | [S(2\Pi - I)]^t | \psi_j \rangle$. Theorem 3 indicates when $0 \le t \le j$ we have

$$P_t(j,k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } k = j \text{ and } t = 0; \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{when } k = j + t \text{ or } k = j - t \text{ and } t = 0 \le j < j - 1; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{when } k = 0 \text{ and } t = j. \end{cases}$$
(25)

When $j + 1 \le t \le n - j - 1$ we have

$$P_t(j,k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{when } k = j+t \text{ or } k = t-j \text{ and } j+1 \le t < n-j-1; \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{when } k = n-2j-1 \text{ and } t = n-j-1; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{when } k = n-1 \text{ and } t = n-j-1. \end{cases}$$
(26)

Also for $n - j \le t \le n - 1$ we have

$$P_t(j,k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{when } k = 2n - t - j - 2 \text{ or } k = t - j \text{ and } n - j \le t < n - 1; \\ 1 & \text{when } k = n - j - 1 \text{ and } t = n - 1. \end{cases}$$
(27)

Other than these values of t, j and k we have $P_t(j, k) = 0$. For example, we consider a path graph with 6 vertices with a walker who initiates walking at vertex j = 1. There is a PST between vertex 1 and 4. We plot $P_t(j, k)$ with bar diagrams for different values of t in figure 1.

5 Conclusion

In this article, we study PST in the path graphs and the cycle graphs with an arbitrary number of vertices. We consider an alternative approach to PST which is based on the Markovian quantum walk. The idea of the Markovian quantum walk is a variant of the Szegedy quantum walk. In case of the continuous-time quantum walk, we consider $\exp(itA(G))$ as the evolution operator. PST based on the continuous-time quantum walk is limited in path graph with at most 3 vertices and cycle graph with at most 4 vertices. Considering the evolution operator $S(2\Pi - I)$ of Markovian quantum walk we overcome this limitation. We investigate the propagation of a quantum walker on path and cycle graphs. In a cycle graph with 2m vertices, we establish PST between any pair of vertices j and j + m at time t = m for $j = 0, 1, \ldots m - 1$. Also, in case of path graphs P_n we observe PST between any pair of vertices j and n - j - 1 at time t = n - 1 for $0 \le j < \frac{n-1}{2}$. When n is odd the vertex $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is a periodic vertex. Therefore, this work opens up a new direction to investigate PST in graphs which might be more efficient in quantum communication.

The idea of PST based on Markovian quantum walk is new and different from the other proposals of PST. It is well-known that the antipodal vertices of a hypercube graph allow PST based on the continuous-time quantum walk. In our case, only a two-dimensional hypercube graph which is a cycle graph with four vertices allows PST.



Figure 1: The probability of getting the walker at the vertex $k \in P_6$ at different time instance t = 0, 1, ..., 5. Suppose the walker started at vertex 1 at t = 0. At t = 5, it reaches vertex 4. Therefore, the probability of getting the walker at time t = 0 at vertex 1 is 1. Similarly, the probability of getting the walker at time t = 5 at vertex 4 is 1. There is a PST between vertices 1 and 4 at time t = 5.

Hypercube graphs do not allow PST based on Markovian quantum walk in them when their dimension is more than two. Interestingly, all the vertices in the hypercube graph of dimension 4 are periodic at time t = 12. The central vertex of the star graphs is periodic at time t = 2. The non-central vertices of the star graphs are periodic at time t = 4. Tensor product of two path graphs $P_i \otimes P_j$ allows PST when $i + j \leq 7$. Computer programs identify these graphs supporting PST or having periodic vertices in them. Here, we mention them without proof, which an interested reader may attempt.

Funding

This work is supported by the SERB funded project entitled "Transmission of quantum information using perfect state transfer" (Grant no. CRG/2021/001834).

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 1:

Proof.

$$(2\Pi - I) |\psi_j\rangle = 2\Pi |\psi_j\rangle - |\psi_j\rangle = 2 |\psi_j\rangle \langle\psi_j|\psi_j\rangle - |\psi_j\rangle = 2 |\psi_j\rangle - |\psi_j\rangle = |\psi_j\rangle$$

or $S(2\Pi - I) |\psi_j\rangle = S |\psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}S(|j(j+1)\rangle + |j(j-1)\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(j+1)j\rangle + |(j-1)j\rangle).$ (28)

Proof of Lemma 2:

Proof.

$$(2\Pi - I) |(j+1)j\rangle = 2\Pi |(j+1)j\rangle - I |(j+1)j\rangle = 2 |\psi_{(j+1)}\rangle \langle \psi_{(j+1)}|(j+1)j\rangle - |(j+1)j\rangle = 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\psi_{(j+1)}\rangle - |(j+1)j\rangle,$$
(29)

since $\langle \psi_{(j+1)} | (j+1)j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Applying equation (2) we have

$$S(2\Pi - I) |(j+1)j\rangle = S\left(2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(j+1)(j+2)\rangle + |(j+1)j\rangle) - |(j+1)j\rangle\right) = S\left(|(j+1)(j+2)\rangle + |(j+1)j\rangle - |(j+1)j\rangle\right) = S\left(|(j+1)(j+2)\rangle = |(j+2)(j+1)\rangle.$$
(30)

Proof of Lemma 3:

Proof.

$$(2\Pi - I) |(j-1)j\rangle = 2\Pi |(j-1)j\rangle - |(j-1)j\rangle = 2 |\psi_{(j-1)}\rangle \langle \psi_{(j-1)}|(j-1)j\rangle - |(j-1)j\rangle = 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\psi_{(j-1)}\rangle - |(j-1)j\rangle,$$
(31)

since $\langle \psi_{(j-1)} | (j-1)j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Applying equation (2) we have

$$S(2\Pi - I) |(j - 1)j\rangle = S\left(2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(j - 1)j\rangle + |(j - 1)(j - 2)\rangle) - |(j - 1)j\rangle\right)$$

= $S\left(|(j - 1)(j - 2)\rangle + |(j - 1)j\rangle - |(j - 1)j\rangle\right) = S\left|(j - 1)(j - 2)\rangle = |(j - 2)(j - 1)\rangle.$ (32)

References

- Giuliano Benenti, Giulio Casati, and Giuliano Strini. Principles of Quantum Computation and Information-Volume II: Basic Tools and Special Topics. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2007.
- [2] David McMahon. Quantum computing explained. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
- [3] Sougato Bose. Quantum communication through an unmodulated spin chain. *Physical review letters*, 91(20):207901, 2003.
- [4] Matthias Christandl, Nilanjana Datta, Artur Ekert, and Andrew J Landahl. Perfect state transfer in quantum spin networks. *Physical review letters*, 92(18):187902, 2004.
- [5] MB Plenio, J Hartley, and J Eisert. Dynamics and manipulation of entanglement in coupled harmonic systems with many degrees of freedom. *New Journal of Physics*, 6(1):36, 2004.
- [6] Georgios M Nikolopoulos, David Petrosyan, and P Lambropoulos. Electron wavepacket propagation in a chain of coupled quantum dots. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 16(28):4991, 2004.
- [7] David P DiVincenzo. The physical implementation of quantum computation. Fortschritte der Physik: Progress of Physics, 48(9-11):771-783, 2000.
- [8] Man-Hong Yung. Quantum speed limit for perfect state transfer in one dimension. *Physical Review A*, 74(3):030303, 2006.
- [9] Matthias Christandl, Nilanjana Datta, Tony C Dorlas, Artur Ekert, Alastair Kay, and Andrew J Landahl. Perfect transfer of arbitrary states in quantum spin networks. *Physical Review A*, 71(3):032312, 2005.
- [10] Douglas Brent West et al. Introduction to graph theory, volume 2. Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, 2001.
- [11] Ravindra B Bapat. Graphs and matrices, volume 27. Springer, 2010.
- [12] Tobias J Osborne. Statics and dynamics of quantum X Y and Heisenberg systems on graphs. Physical Review B, 74(9):094411, 2006.
- [13] Vivien M Kendon and Christino Tamon. Perfect state transfer in quantum walks on graphs. Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, 8(3):422–433, 2011.

- [14] Chris Godsil. State transfer on graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 312(1):129–147, 2012.
- [15] Chris Godsil, Stephen Kirkland, Simone Severini, and Jamie Smith. Number-theoretic nature of communication in quantum spin systems. *Physical review letters*, 109(5):050502, 2012.
- [16] Wang-Chi Cheung and Chris Godsil. Perfect state transfer in cubelike graphs. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 435(10):2468–2474, 2011.
- [17] Hiranmoy Pal and Bikash Bhattacharjya. Perfect state transfer on gcd-graphs. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 65(11):2245-2256, 2017.
- [18] Ying-Ying Tan, Keqin Feng, and Xiwang Cao. Perfect state transfer on abelian Cayley graphs. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 563:331–352, 2019.
- [19] Dorit Aharonov, Andris Ambainis, Julia Kempe, and Umesh Vazirani. Quantum walks on graphs. In Proceedings of the thirty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 50–59, 2001.
- [20] Andris Ambainis. Quantum walks and their algorithmic applications. International Journal of Quantum Information, 1(04):507–518, 2003.
- [21] Mario Szegedy. Quantum speed-up of Markov chain based algorithms. In 45th Annual IEEE symposium on foundations of computer science, pages 32–41. IEEE, 2004.
- [22] Renato Portugal. Quantum walks and search algorithms, volume 19. Springer, 2013.
- [23] Salvador Elías Venegas-Andraca. Quantum walks: a comprehensive review. Quantum Information Processing, 11(5):1015–1106, 2012.
- [24] Norie Konno. Quantum walks. In *Quantum potential theory*, pages 309–452. Springer, 2008.
- [25] Paweł Kurzyński and Antoni Wójcik. Discrete-time quantum walk approach to state transfer. *Physical Review* A, 83(6):062315, 2011.
- [26] Xiang Zhan, Hao Qin, Zhi-hao Bian, Jian Li, and Peng Xue. Perfect state transfer and efficient quantum routing: A discrete-time quantum-walk approach. *Physical Review A*, 90(1):012331, 2014.
- [27] Ada Chan and Hanmeng Zhan. Pretty good state transfer in discrete-time quantum walks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03762, 2021.
- [28] Etsuo Segawa. Localization of quantum walks induced by recurrence properties of random walks. Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, 10(7):1583–1590, 2013.
- [29] Radhakrishnan Balu, Chaobin Liu, and Salvador E Venegas-Andraca. Probability distributions for Markov chain based quantum walks. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 51(3):035301, 2017.
- [30] Andris Ambainis. Quantum walk algorithm for element distinctness. SIAM Journal on Computing, 37(1):210– 239, 2007.
- [31] Jiangfeng Du, Chao Lei, Gan Qin, Dawei Lu, and Xinhua Peng. Search via Quantum Walk. In Search Algorithms and Applications. IntechOpen, 2011.
- [32] Harry Buhrman and Robert Spalek. Quantum verification of matrix products. arXiv preprint quantph/0409035, 2004.
- [33] Gregory F Lawler and Vlada Limic. Random walk: a modern introduction, volume 123. Cambridge University Press, 2010.