Perimeter of sublevel sets in infinite dimensional spaces

Vicent Caselles, Alessandra Lunardi [†], Michele Miranda jr [‡], Matteo Novaga[§]

Abstract

We compare the perimeter measure with the Airault-Malliavin surface measure and we prove that all open convex subsets of abstract Wiener spaces have finite perimeter. By an explicit counter–example, we show that in general this is not true for compact convex domains.

1 Introduction

In the setting of abstract Wiener spaces and Malliavin calculus, the definition of set with finite perimeter and function of bounded variation has been first given by Fukushima and Hino in [7], [8]. Recently there has been an increasing interest in the study of geometric properties of sets with finite perimeter and, in particular, in the structure of the perimeter measure. We mention for instance the paper by Hino [10], where the author provides a notion of cylindrical essential boundary and a representation of the perimeter measure by means of a codimension one Hausdorff measure, introduced by Feyel and de la Pradelle in [6]. In the papers [2, 3] Ambrosio et al. give a new version of these results, together with the Sobolev rectifiability of the essential boundary, that is the fact that the essential boundary is contained, up to negligible sets, in a countable union of graphs of Sobolev functions defined on hyperplanes. The question whether or not the rectifiability result can be extended, as in the Euclidean case, to Lipschitz functions is still an open question.

In this paper we address some questions about perimeters of good sets. First, we compare the perimeter measure with the surface measure introduced by Airault and Malliavin in [1], showing that for suitably smooth sets such notions coincide. We establish the equality

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = \int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\left(\frac{\nabla_{H}u}{|\nabla_{H}u|_{H}}\right) d\gamma, \quad r \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1)

for a wide class of real valued functions u. Here P_{γ} and $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}$ denote the perimeter and the divergence with respect to the Gaussian measure γ , and H is the relevant Cameron–Martin

^{*}Departament de Tecnologies de la Informació i les Comunicacions, Universitat Pompeu–Fabra, C/Roc Boronat 138, 08018 Barcelona, Spain, e-mail: vicent.caselles@upf.edu

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 53/a, 43124 Parma, Italy, e-mail: alessandra.lunardi@unipr.it

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Ferrara, via Machiavelli 35, 44121 Ferrara, Italy, e–mail: michele.miranda@unife.it

 $^{^{\$}}$ Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Padova, via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy, e–mail: no-vaga@math.unipd.it

space, see Sect. 2 for precise definitions. Formula (1) has several consequences, such as continuity and boundedness of $r \mapsto P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\})$.

Then, we investigate the question whether or not a convex set has finite perimeter. In Proposition 9 we show that all open convex sets have finite perimeter. On the other hand, in Proposition 10 we prove that in any infinite dimensional Hilbert space with a non-degenerate Gaussian measure there exists a closed convex set (a Hilbert cube) with infinite perimeter. Such a convex set is compact under a mild condition on the covariance operator.

In the case of balls related results may be found in the papers [12, 9, 13], where the notion of perimeter is replaced by the density (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) of the image measure of γ under $\|\cdot -x_0\|$, and that contain further discussions of other aspects of Gaussian measures of balls. In a Hilbert space, taking $u(x) = \|x - x_0\|^2$, (1) gives a simple explicit formula for the perimeter of any ball.

2 Notation and preliminary results

We consider an abstract Wiener space (X, γ, H) , where X is a separable Banach space, endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, γ is a non-degenerate centered Gaussian measure, and H is the Cameron-Martin space associated to the measure γ .

Let us recall the definition and properties of H that will be used in the sequel. By Fernique's Theorem (e.g., [4, Theorem 2.8.5]), there exists a positive number $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\int_X e^{\beta \|x\|^2} d\gamma(x) < +\infty.$$

This implies that the dual space X^* is contained in $L^2(X, \gamma)$. The closure \mathcal{H} of X^* in $L^2(X, \gamma)$ is called *reproducing kernel*, and H is the range of the one to one operator $R: \mathcal{H} \to X$ defined by

$$Rf := \int_X f(x) x \, d\gamma(x),$$

(the latter is a Bochner integral). H is endowed with the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]_H$ and the associated norm $|\cdot|_H$ induced by $L^2(X, \gamma)$ through R. So, $h \in H$ if and only if there is $\hat{h} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\int_X \hat{h}(x) \langle x, x^* \rangle d\gamma(x) = \langle h, x^* \rangle, \qquad \forall x^* \in X^*,$$

(here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality between X and X^{*}), and in this case $|h|_H = ||\hat{h}||_{L^2(X,\gamma)}$.

The continuity of R implies that the embedding of H in X is continuous, that is, there exists $c_H > 0$ such that

$$\|h\|_X \le c_H |h|_H, \qquad \forall h \in H.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

Moreover, H is separable and it is densely embedded in X; there exists a sequence (x_j^*) in X^* , such that the elements $h_j := Rx_j^*$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, form an orthonormal basis of H. We then define $\lambda_j := \|x_j^*\|_{X^*}^{-2}$. We shall consider an ordering of the vectors x_j^* such that the sequence (λ_j) is non increasing. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by H_n the linear span of h_1, \ldots, h_n , and by $\Pi_n : X \to H_n$ the projection

$$\Pi_n(x) := \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle x, x_j^* \right\rangle h_j, \qquad x \in X.$$

The map Π_n induces the decomposition $\gamma = \gamma_n \otimes \gamma_n^{\perp}$, with γ_n and γ_n^{\perp} Gaussian measures having H_n and H_n^{\perp} as Cameron–Martin spaces.

The covariance operator Q is the restriction of R to X^* . In the case that X is a Hilbert space, after the canonical identification of X and X^* , Q is a bounded symmetric trace class operator in X and the constant c_H is related to the largest eigenvalue of Q, $c_H = \lambda_1^{1/2}$. The numbers λ_i considered above are precisely the eigenvalues of Q.

The measure γ is absolutely continuous with respect to translations along Cameron–Martin directions; more precisely, for $h \in H$, $h = Rx^*$, the measure $\gamma_h(B) = \gamma(B - h)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to γ and

$$d\gamma_h(x) = \exp\left(\langle x, x^* \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |h|_H^2\right) d\gamma(x).$$
(3)

For any function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ differentiable at a point $x \in X$, the derivative f'(x) is an element of X^* , hence its restriction to H belongs to H^* . The element $y \in H$ such that $f'(x)(h) = [y,h]_H$ for each $h \in H$ is denoted by $\nabla_H f(x)$. It follows that

$$\nabla_H f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_j f(x) h_j$$

where $\partial_j := \partial_{h_j}$ is the directional derivative of f in the direction h_j .

We denote by $\mathcal{F}C_b^1(X)$ the space

$$\mathcal{F}C_b^1(X) = \{ f : X \to \mathbb{R} : \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m \in X^*, \text{ such that} \\ f(x) = \varphi(\langle x, \ell_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, \ell_m \rangle), \varphi \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \}.$$

We also define the space $\mathcal{F}C_b^1(X, H)$ of cylindrical *H*-valued functions as the vector space spanned by the functions $f\ell$, with $f \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1(X)$ and $\ell \in H$. For functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1(X, H)$,

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)\ell_i,$$

with $f_i \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1(X), \ell_i \in H$, the divergence is defined as

$$\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\varphi(x) = \sum_{j\geq 1} \partial_j^* [\varphi(x), h_j]_H = \sum_{j\geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_j^* f_i(x) [\ell_i, h_j]_H,$$
(4)

where $\partial_j^* f(x) := \partial_j f(x) - \hat{h}_j(x) f(x)$; this divergence operator is, up to the sign, the formal adjoint in $L^2(X, \gamma)$ of the gradient ∇_H . In fact formula (4) may be extended to all vector fields $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(X, \gamma; H)$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}$ is a bounded operator from $W^{1,p}(X, \gamma; H)$ to $L^p(X, \gamma)$ for every $p \in (1, +\infty)$ [4, Prop. 5.8.8].

With these notations, the following integration by parts formula holds:

$$\int_{X} f \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \varphi \, d\gamma = -\int_{X} [\nabla_{H} f, \varphi]_{H} \, d\gamma, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}C^{1}_{b}(X), \varphi \in \mathcal{F}C^{1}_{b}(X, H).$$
(5)

Moreover, if a function u belongs to the Orlicz space $L \log^{1/2} L(X, \gamma)$, then $u \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \varphi \in L^1(X, \gamma)$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}C^1_b(X, H)$.

Following [8] and [2], we define the γ -total variation of a function $u \in L \log^{1/2} L(X, \gamma)$ as

$$|D_{\gamma}u|(X) := \sup\left\{\int_{X} u(x)\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\varphi(x)d\gamma(x) : \varphi \in \mathcal{F}C^{1}_{b}(X,H) : |\varphi(x)|_{H} \le 1\right\}.$$
 (6)

We say that u has finite γ -total variation, $u \in BV(X, \gamma)$, if $|D_{\gamma}u|(X) < +\infty$. A measurable subset $E \subseteq X$ is said to have γ -finite perimeter if $P_{\gamma}(E) := |D_{\gamma}\chi_E|(X) < +\infty$. The perimeter is lower semicontinuous with respect to the L^1 -convergence, in the sense that if (E_n) is a sequence of sets with finite perimeter such that χ_{E_n} converges to χ_E in $L^1(X, \gamma)$, then $P_{\gamma}(E) \leq$ $\liminf_{n\to\infty} P_{\gamma}(E_n)$.

To any function $u \in BV(X, \gamma)$ an *H*-valued measure $D_{\gamma}u$ is associated. Thanks to the Radon–Nikodym theorem, the polar decomposition $D_{\gamma}u = \sigma_u |D_{\gamma}u|$ holds, where $|D_{\gamma}u|$ is the total variation measure and $\sigma_u : X \to H$ is a $|D_{\gamma}u|$ –measurable function with $|\sigma_u(x)|_H = 1$ $|D_{\gamma}u|$ –a.e. $x \in X$. In the case $u = \chi_E$, we shall write $D_{\gamma}\chi_E = \sigma_E |D_{\gamma}\chi_E|$. For functions with bounded variation we have the following integration by parts formula,

$$\int_{X} u \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \varphi \, d\gamma = -\int_{X} [\varphi, \sigma_{u}]_{H} d|D_{\gamma} u|, \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}C^{1}_{b}(X, H).$$
(7)

In particular, if $u \in W^{1,1}(X,\gamma)$, then $u \in BV(X,\gamma)$, the total variation measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Gaussian measure, and $D_{\gamma}u = \nabla_H u \gamma$.

An important tool is the following coarea formula ([7], see also [14]).

Proposition 1. Let $u \in BV(X, \gamma)$; then almost all the level sets $\{u < r\}$ have finite perimeter and the following equality holds,

$$|D_{\gamma}u|(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\gamma}\left(\{u < r\}\right) dr.$$
(8)

3 Comparison with the Malliavin surface measure

We now compare the notion of perimeter with the surface measure introduced by Airault and Malliavin in [1] in the case of suitably smooth hypersurfaces. We refer to [1] and to [4, Sect. 6.9, 6.10] for its construction and properties.

The smooth surfaces under consideration are level surfaces of functions

$$u \in W^{\infty}(X, \gamma) := \bigcap_{p>1, k \in \mathbb{N}} W^{k, p}(X, \gamma)$$

such that $\frac{1}{|\nabla_H u|_H} \in \bigcap_{p>1} L^p(X, \gamma)$. For such functions, the image measure $\gamma \circ u^{-1}$ defined in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ by $\gamma \circ u^{-1}(I) = \gamma(u^{-1}(I))$ has a smooth density k with respect to the Lebesgue measure

(e.g., [4, Theorem 6.9.2]). For each r such that k(r) > 0, a Radon measure σ_r supported on $u^{-1}(r)$ is well defined, and we have

$$\int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} v \, d\gamma = \int_{\{u = r\}} \frac{[v, \nabla_H u]_H}{|\nabla_H u|_H} \, d\sigma_r, \tag{9}$$

for all $v \in W^{\infty}(X, \gamma)$ such that $[v, \nabla_H u]_H$ is continuous.

Since k is continuous, for all $r\in\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$k(r) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{r-\varepsilon}^{r+\varepsilon} k(s) \, ds = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\gamma \left(\{x : r-\varepsilon \le u(x) \le r+\varepsilon \} \right)}{2\varepsilon}.$$
 (10)

In particular, $\gamma(\{u^{-1}(r)\}) = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2. Let $u: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$u \in C(X) \cap \bigcap_{p>1, k \in \mathbb{N}} W^{k,p}(X,\gamma), \qquad \nabla_H u \in C(X,H), \qquad \frac{1}{|\nabla_H u|_H} \in \bigcap_{p>1} L^p(X,\gamma).$$
(11)

Then, for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with k(r) > 0, the level set $\{u < r\}$ has finite perimeter and

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = \sigma_r(u^{-1}(r)) = \int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_H \, d\gamma,$$
(12)

where $\nu_H := \nabla_H u / |\nabla_H u|_H$.

Proof. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ we define

$$v_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{\nabla_H u(x)}{\left(\varepsilon + |\nabla_H u(x)|_H^2\right)^{1/2}} \in C(X, H) \cap \bigcap_{p>1, k \in \mathbb{N}} W^{k, p}(X, H).$$

By (9) we have

$$\int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} v_{\varepsilon} \, d\gamma = \int_{\{u = r\}} \frac{|\nabla_H u|_H}{(\varepsilon + |\nabla_H u|_H^2)^{1/2}} \, d\sigma_r.$$
(13)

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, by monotone convergence the right hand side goes to

$$\int_{\{u=r\}} d\sigma_r = \sigma_r(u^{-1}(r)) \in [0, +\infty]$$

On the other hand, for a.e. $x \in X$ we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\gamma} v_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nabla_H u(x)}{(\varepsilon + |\nabla_H u|_H^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{[\nabla_H^2 u(x) \nabla_H u(x), \nabla_H u(x)]_H}{(\varepsilon + |\nabla_H u(x)|_H^2)^{3/2}}$$

so that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} v_{\varepsilon}(x) = \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_{H}(x)$ and, denoting by $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ the Hilbert-Schmidt norm,

$$|\operatorname{div}_{\gamma} v_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq \frac{|\operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nabla_{H} u(x)|}{|\nabla_{H} u(x)|_{H}} + \frac{\|\nabla_{H}^{2} u\|_{HS}}{|\nabla_{H} u(x)|_{H}} \in \bigcap_{p>1} L^{p}(X, \gamma).$$

So, the left-hand side of (13) goes to

$$\int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_H \, d\gamma,$$

which implies that $\sigma_r(u^{-1}(r)) < +\infty$ and that the second equality in (12) holds. Moreover, by (9)

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = \sup_{v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1(X,H), \ |v|_H \le 1} \int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} v \, d\gamma$$
$$= \sup_{v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1(X,H), \ |v|_H \le 1} \int_{\{u = r\}} \frac{[v, \nabla_H u]_H}{|\nabla_H u|_H} \, d\sigma_r$$
$$\le \sigma_r(u^{-1}(r)) < +\infty.$$

In particular, the function $\chi_{\{u < r\}}$ belongs to $BV(X, \gamma)$. We claim that

$$D_{\gamma}\chi_{\{u < r\}} = -\frac{\nabla_H u}{|\nabla_H u|_H} \,\sigma_r. \tag{14}$$

In fact, (7) and (9) imply

$$\int_{X} [\varphi, \sigma_{\{u < r\}}]_{H} d|D_{\gamma}\chi_{\{u < r\}}| = -\int_{X} \frac{[\varphi, \nabla_{H}u]_{H}}{|\nabla_{H}u|} d\sigma_{r},$$
(15)

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(X, H)$, the subset of $\mathcal{F}C_b^1(X, H)$ consisting of smooth functions. We remark that $\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}(X, H)$ is dense in C(K, H) for any compact set $K \subset X$. Indeed, for each $u \in C(K, H)$ the range of u is compact, so that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite dimensional subspace Y of H such that u(K) is contained in the ε -neighborhood of Y. The Stone– Weierstrass theorem yields the approximation of Πu , where Π is the orthogonal projection on Y, and hence the approximation of u. Moreover, since X is separable and the measures $|D_{\gamma}\chi_{\{u < r\}}|$ and σ_r are finite, then they are tight, so that equality (15) can be extended to any $\varphi \in C_b(X, H)$, and the claim follows. This yields (14) and hence the first equality in (12). \Box

We recall that the set $\{r \in \mathbb{R} : k(r) > 0\}$ is connected ([11]), and it is dense in the range of u since u is continuous. Then, it contains the interior part of the range of u.

Notice that, for each r in the range of u, at points x such that $\nabla_H u \neq 0$ the vector $\nu_H = \nabla_H u / |\nabla_H u|_H$ is orthogonal to all tangent vectors to the level set $\{u = r\}$ belonging to H, with respect to the scalar product in H. Then, it may be considered as the (exterior) unit normal vector to the surface $\{u = r\}$.

Now we extend a part of Proposition 2 to a wider class of functions u.

Proposition 3. Assume that $u \in BV(X,\gamma) \cap L^p(X,\gamma)$ and $z \in W^{1,p'}(X,\gamma;H)$ for some $p \in [1, +\infty)$ satisfy $|z(x)|_H \leq 1$ for a.e. $x \in X$ and

$$|D_{\gamma}u|(X) = \int_X u \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma.$$

Then

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = -\int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma \le \|\operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z\|_{L^{1}(X,\gamma)}$$
(16)

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Recalling that $\int_X \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma = 0$, by the Layer-Cake formula we get

$$|D_{\gamma}u|(X) = \int_{X} u \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dr \int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma,$$

while by (8),

$$|D_{\gamma}u|(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) \, dr$$

On the other hand, for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$-\int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma \le P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}). \tag{17}$$

This follows approaching z by a sequence of vector fields $z_n \in \mathcal{F}C_b^1(X, H)$, with $|z_n|_H \leq 1$, in $W^{1,p'}(X,\gamma)$ if p > 1, in any $W^{1,q}(X,\gamma)$ if p = 1, and recalling (6).

Comparing the integrals that give $|D_{\gamma}u|(X)$, for almost every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = -\int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma.$$
(18)

Fix now any $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and let (r_n) be a sequence of numbers such that (18) holds, $r_n < r_0$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_n = r. \text{ Then } \lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_{\{u < r_n\}}(x) = \chi_{\{u < r_0\}}(x) \text{ for each } x \in X, \text{ so that by dominated convergence } \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\{u < r_n\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma = \int_{\{u < r_0\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma. \text{ By the lower semicontinuity of } P_{\gamma},$ we obtain

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r_0\}) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} P_{\gamma}(\{u < r_n\}) = -\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{u < r_n\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma = -\int_{\{u < r_0\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} z \, d\gamma.$$
(19)
6) now follows from (17) and (19).

(16) now follows from (17) and (19).

Corollary 4. Assume that

$$u \in W^{1,1}(X,\gamma;H) \cap L^p(X,\gamma), \qquad \nabla_H u \neq 0 \ \gamma - \text{a.e.}, \qquad \frac{\nabla_H u}{|\nabla_H u|_H} \in W^{1,p'}(X,H),$$

for some $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Then for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = \int_{\{u < r\}} \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_H \, d\gamma, \tag{20}$$

the function $r \mapsto P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\})$ is continuous in \mathbb{R} , and

$$\lim_{r \downarrow \mathrm{ess \, inf} \, u} P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \uparrow \mathrm{ess \, sup} \, u} P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = 0.$$

In particular, $P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\})$ is bounded by a constant independent of r.

Proof. The function u satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3 with $z = -\nabla_H u/|\nabla_H u|_H$, hence formula (20) holds. For any $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{r \uparrow r_0} \chi_{\{u < r\}}(x) = \chi_{\{u < r_0\}}(x), \quad \lim_{r \downarrow r_0} \chi_{\{u < r\}}(x) = \chi_{\{u \le r_0\}}(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Since $u \in W^{1,1}(X,\gamma)$ and $\nabla_H u \neq 0$ a.e., then $\gamma(\{u = r_0\}) = 0$ by [5, Thm. 9.2.4]. So, $\lim_{r \to r_0} \chi_{\{u < r\}} = \chi_{\{u < r_0\}}$ a.e., and (20) yields that $r \mapsto P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\})$ is continuous at r_0 by dominated convergence. In particular, since $\chi_{\{u < ess \inf u\}} = 0$ a.e., then $\lim_{r \downarrow ess \inf u} P_{\gamma}(\{u < r\}) = 0$. Since $\chi_{\{u < ess \sup u\}} = 1$ a.e., then $\lim_{r \uparrow ess \sup u} u = \int_X \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_H d\gamma = 0$, and the statement follows.

3.1 Balls in Hilbert spaces

Assume now that X is a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. The Hilbert space H is just $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}X$ equipped with the inner product $[h,k]_H = \langle Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h, Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}k \rangle$. We choose a orthonormal basis of X consisting of eigenvectors e_j of $Q, Qe_j = \lambda_j e_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then setting $h_j = e_j/|e_j|_H = \sqrt{\lambda_j}e_j$, the elements $h_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, form a orthonormal basis of H. Fixed any $x_0 \in X$ and r > 0, the the exterior unit normal vector ν_H at $x \in \partial B_r(x_0)$ is given by

$$\nu_H(x) = \frac{Q(x - x_0)}{|Q(x - x_0)|_H} = \frac{Q(x - x_0)}{\|Q^{1/2}(x - x_0)\|}$$

We define the mean curvature at $x \in \partial B_r(x_0)$ as the divergence of ν_H at x. Since $[\nu_H(x), h_j]_H = \langle x - x_0, h_j \rangle / \|Q^{1/2}(x - x_0)\|$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_{H}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\partial_{h_{j}} \left(\frac{\langle x - x_{0}, h_{j} \rangle}{(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x - x_{0}, h_{i} \rangle^{2})^{1/2}} \right) - \frac{\langle x, h_{j} \rangle}{\lambda_{j}} \cdot \frac{\langle x - x_{0}, h_{j} \rangle}{\|Q^{1/2}(x - x_{0})\|} \right]$$
(21)
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|h_{j}\|^{2}}{\|Q^{1/2}(x - x_{0})\|} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle x - x_{0}, h_{j} \rangle^{2} \|h_{j}\|^{2}}{\|Q^{1/2}(x - x_{0})\|^{3}} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle x - x_{0}, e_{j} \rangle \langle x, e_{j} \rangle}{\|Q^{1/2}(x - x_{0})\|}$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{Tr} Q - r^{2} - \langle x - x_{0}, x_{0} \rangle}{\langle Q(x - x_{0}), (x - x_{0}) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\|Q(x - x_{0})\|^{2}}{\langle Q(x - x_{0}), (x - x_{0}) \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

where $\operatorname{Tr} Q = \sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i < +\infty$ is the trace of the covariance operator Q. By computing $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_H$ at $x = re_j$, we see that the mean curvature is unbounded if X is infinite-dimensional.

Lemma 5. If X is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, the function $u(x) = ||x - x_0||^2$ satisfies condition (11) for all $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. Being X a Hilbert space, $u \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\nabla_H u(x) = 2Q(x - x_0), u \in W^{k,p}(X, \gamma)$ for each $p > 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore it is enough to show that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{|\nabla_H u|_H}\right\|_{L^p(X,\gamma)} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \langle Q(\cdot - x_0), \cdot - x_0 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(X,\gamma)} < +\infty \qquad \forall p > 1.$$
(22)

For all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\langle Q(x-x_0), (x-x_0)\rangle}\right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \le \left|\frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \langle x-x_0, e_k \rangle^2}\right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \le \left|\frac{1}{\lambda_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle x-x_0, e_k \rangle^2}\right|^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \langle Q(\cdot - x_0), \cdot - x_0 \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(X,\gamma)} \le \lambda_n^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{H_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x - x_0, e_k \rangle^2 \right|^{-\frac{p}{2}} d\gamma_n(x) < +\infty$$

for all n > p, which gives (22).

Using Corollary 4 we get several properties of perimeters of balls.

Corollary 6. Every ball in X has finite perimeter; for each $x_0 \in X$ the function $r \mapsto p(r) := P_{\gamma}(B_r(x_0))$ is continuous in $[0, +\infty)$ and

$$\lim_{r \to 0} P_{\gamma}(B_r(x_0)) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} P_{\gamma}(B_r(x_0)) = 0$$

Moreover, there exist $0 < r_m < r_M$ (depending on x_0) such that p is monotone increasing in $[0, r_m]$ and monotone decreasing in $[r_M, +\infty)$.

Proof. The function $u(x) = ||x - x_0||^2$ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 4. Indeed, recalling (21), for $||x - x_0|| = r$ we have the estimate

$$\frac{\operatorname{Tr} Q - r^2 - \|x_0\|r - \lambda_1}{\langle Q(x - x_0), (x - x_0) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} \nu_H \le \frac{\operatorname{Tr} Q - r^2 + \|x_0\|r}{\langle Q(x - x_0), (x - x_0) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$
(23)

that gives an L^p -bound on $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_H$, namely $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_H \in L^p(X,\gamma)$ for p < n if X is n-dimensional, $n \ge 2$, and $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_H \in \bigcap_{p>1} L^p(X,\gamma)$ if X is infinite dimensional, by Lemma 5.

Except for the last claim, the statement is a consequence of Corollary 4. Moreover, (23) implies that $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_{H} \geq 0$ if $||x-x_{0}|| \leq r_{0} := (-||x_{0}|| + \sqrt{||x_{0}||^{2} + 4(\operatorname{Tr} Q - \lambda_{1})})/2$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_{H} \leq 0$ if $||x-x_{0}|| \geq r_{1} := (||x_{0}|| + \sqrt{||x_{0}||^{2} + 4\operatorname{Tr} Q})/2$. By (20), p is monotone increasing in $(0, r_{0})$ and monotone decreasing in $[r_{1}, +\infty)$. The last claim follows.

We point out that a continuity result similar to the one of Corollary 6 was already proved by Talagrand in [16], where the notion of perimeter is replaced by the density of the distribution of the norm of X.

Remark 7. Similar results are easily available for ellipsoids $\{x \in X : ||T(x - x_0)|| = r\}$, if $T \in L(X)$ is the diagonal operator

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k$$

with $t_k \geq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_k > 0$ for infinite values of k. Indeed, setting $u(x) = \|T(x-x_0)\|^2$ we have $\nabla_H u(x) = 2QT(x-x_0), \nu_H(x) = QT(x-x_0)/\|Q^{1/2}T(x-x_0)\|$, Lemma 5 goes through with obvious changements, and

$$\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_{H} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}QT - \langle x, T(x - x_{0}) \rangle}{\|Q^{1/2}T(x - x_{0})\|} - \frac{\|QT^{3/2}(x - x_{0})\|^{2}}{\|Q^{1/2}T(x - x_{0})\|^{3}}$$

so that

$$|\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_{H}| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Tr} QT + ||x|| ||T(x - x_{0})|| + ||QT||_{L(H)}}{||Q^{1/2}T(x - x_{0})||}$$

which implies that $\operatorname{div}_{\gamma}\nu_{H} \in L^{p}(X,\gamma)$ for every $p < \infty$. So, Corollary 4 may be applied and it yields that the function $r \mapsto p(r) := P_{\gamma}(\{x \in X : ||T(x - x_{0}|| = r\}))$ is continuous in $[0, +\infty)$ and it vanishes as $r \to 0$ and as $r \to +\infty$.

4 Open convex sets have finite perimeter

In this section we prove that any open convex subset of an abstract Wiener space X has finite perimeter.

We first recall an important property of Gaussian measures in separable spaces [4, Thm. 4.2.2, Rem. 4.2.5].

Proposition 8. Let A, B be Borel subsets of X and let $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Then

$$\gamma(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \ge \gamma(A)^{\lambda}\gamma(B)^{1-\lambda}.$$

Proposition 9. For every open convex subset $C \subset X$, $\gamma(\partial C) = 0$ and $P_{\gamma}(C) < +\infty$.

Proof. The statement is obvious if C = X, so we assume $C \neq X$. Moreover, without loss of generality we may assume that C contains the origin of X. Indeed, if $0 \notin C$ there exists $h \in C \cap H$ such that $[h, h']_H \geq 0$ for all $h' \in C \cap H$. If $h = Rx^*$, then $\langle x, x^* \rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in C$, since H is dense in X, and using the Cameron–Martin formula (3) we get

$$\gamma(C) \le e^{\frac{|h|_H^2}{2}} \gamma(C-h),$$
$$P_{\gamma}(C) \le e^{\frac{|h|_H^2}{2}} P_{\gamma}(C-h).$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that the statement holds when C is replaced by C - h. In this case, since C is open, it contains a ball of radius r > 0 centered at the origin.

We argue as in [13, Proposition 3.2] (see also [12]) and we set $g(t) := \gamma(tC)$, for $t \in [0, +\infty)$. For $0 \le t_1 \le t_2$, applying Proposition 8 with $A = t_1C$ and $B = t_2C$, we get

$$g(\lambda t_1 + (1 - \lambda)t_2) \ge g(t_1)^{\lambda} g(t_2)^{1 - \lambda}$$

$$\tag{24}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Inequality (24) implies that the function $f(t) := \log g(t)$ is concave on $(0, +\infty)$. An immediate consequence is that g is continuous on $(0, +\infty)$, so that $\gamma(\partial C) = 0$, since $\partial C \subset (1 + \varepsilon)C \setminus C$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, there exists M > 0 such that

$$\frac{g(1+\eta)-g(1)}{\eta} \le M, \qquad \forall \eta \in (0,1).$$

Letting

$$d_C(x) := \inf_{y \in C} \|x - y\|, \qquad x \in X.$$

the distance d_C is 1-Lipschitz in X, and then it is H-Lipschitz with constant c_H as in (2). By [4, Example 5.4.10], $d_C \in W^{1,1}(X,\gamma)$. Moreover, $|\nabla_H d_C|_H \leq c_H$ a.e., and consequently for every $\eta \in (0,1)$

$$M \ge \frac{g(1+\eta) - g(1)}{\eta} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\gamma((1+\eta)C) - \gamma(C) \right)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\gamma(C+B_{\eta r}) - \gamma(C) \right)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{\eta c_H} \int_{(C+B_{\eta r}) \setminus C} |\nabla_H d_C|_H \, d\gamma$$

For every $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and r > 0 the function

$$u(x) := \min\{d_C(x), \eta r\},\$$

is still *H*-Lipschitz and consequently in $W^{1,1}(X, \gamma)$, moreover $\nabla_H u = \chi_{(C+B_{\eta r})\setminus C} \nabla_H d_C$ and for $t \leq \eta r = \max u$ we have $\{u < t\} = C + B_t$. Applying (8) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\eta c_H} \int_{(C+B_{\eta r})\backslash C} |\nabla_H d_C|_H \, d\gamma = \frac{1}{\eta c_H} \int_X |\nabla_H u|_H \, d\gamma$$
$$= \frac{r}{c_H} \frac{1}{\eta r} \int_0^{\eta r} P_\gamma(C+B_t) \, dt$$

As a consequence, letting $\eta \to 0$, there exists a decreasing sequence $t_n \to 0$ such that

$$P_{\gamma}(C+B_{t_n}) \le \frac{Mc_H}{r}.$$

The statement follows from the lower semicontinuity of the perimeter.

5 A compact convex set with infinite perimeter

In this section X is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and we prove that there exists a convex set with positive measure and infinite perimeter.

Proposition 10. There exists a closed convex set $C \subset X$ with $P_{\gamma}(C) = +\infty$. Moreover, if the covariance operator Q satisfies

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j \log j < +\infty, \tag{25}$$

then C is compact.

Proof. We fix a sequence of positive numbers $r_k > 0$ satisfying

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{r_k^2}{2}}}{r_k} = \frac{1}{(k+1)(\log(k+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$
(26)

Then for k big enough we have

$$(\log(k+1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \le r_k \le 2(\log(k+1))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(27)

We define the sets

$$Q_n := \{ x \in H_n : -r_j \le [x, h_j]_H \le r_j, \forall j \le n \} \qquad C_n := \Pi_n^{-1}(Q_n) \subset X.$$

The decomposition $X = \operatorname{Ker}(\Pi_n) \oplus H_n$ and $\gamma = \gamma_n \otimes \gamma_n^{\perp}$ yields

$$\gamma(C_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{r_k} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds \ge \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{(k+1)(\log(k+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)$$
(28)
= $\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{(k+1)(\log(k+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \right)\right)$

where we have used the inequality

$$\int_{\varrho}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds \le \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{\varrho}^{+\infty} s e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds = \frac{e^{-\frac{\varrho^2}{2}}}{\varrho}$$

The sequence C_n converges decreasing to the closed set

$$C := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$$

and

$$\gamma(C) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \gamma(C_n) \ge \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{(k+1)(\log(k+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\right) := a.$$

The series in the exponential is asymptotically equivalent to the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(\log(k+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

which is convergent. Then, a > 0.

We now estimate the perimeters of the sets C_n ; we denote by $Q_n^k \subset H_n$ and $C_n^k \subset X$ the sets

$$Q_n^k := \{ x \in H_n : -r_j \le [x, h_j]_H \le r_j, \forall j \le n, j \ne k \} \qquad C_n^k := \Pi_n^{-1}(Q_n^k).$$

Then, since $\gamma(C_n^k) \ge \gamma(C_n) \ge a$, by (26)

$$P_{\gamma}(C_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{r_k^2}{2}} \gamma(C_n^k) \ge a \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-\frac{r_k^2}{2}} = a \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{r_k}{(k+1)(\log(k+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \to +\infty.$$

It remains to prove that the perimeter of C is the limit of the perimeters of C_n . To this aim we consider the conditional expectations

$$u_{m,n}(x) = \mathbb{E}_m(\chi_{C_n})(x) = \int_X \chi_{C_n}(\Pi_m x + (I - \Pi_m)y)\gamma(dy), \qquad n > m.$$

A direct computation shows that $u_{m,n} = \alpha_{m,n} \chi_{C_m}$ with

$$\alpha_{m,n} = \prod_{j=m+1}^{n} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{0}^{r_{j}} e^{-\frac{s^{2}}{2}} ds$$

As $n \to +\infty$, since $\chi_{C_n} \to \chi_C$ in $L^1(X, \gamma)$ and by the continuity of the conditional expectation \mathbb{E}_m in $L^1(X, \gamma)$, we obtain $u_{m,n} \to u_m = \mathbb{E}_m(\chi_C)$ in $L^1(X, \gamma)$ with

$$u_m = \alpha_m \chi_{C_m}, \qquad \alpha_m = \prod_{j=m+1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{r_j} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds$$

Let us show that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha_m = 1$. We have

$$\alpha_{m,n} \ge \prod_{j=m+1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(j+1)(\log(j+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \sim \exp\left(-\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \frac{1}{(j+1)(\log(j+1))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)$$

so that the assertion follows from the convergence of the series in the right-hand side. Then,

$$P_{\gamma}(C) = \lim_{m \to +\infty} |D_{\gamma}u_m|(X) = \lim_{m \to +\infty} P_{\gamma}(C_m) = +\infty$$

Moreover, if

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} r_j^2 \|h_j\|^2 < +\infty, \qquad (29)$$

then C is compact. Recalling (27), since $||h_j||^2 = \lambda_j$, (29) is equivalent to (25).

Notice that, taking $r \leq \min_k r_k$, the ball centered at 0 with radius r of the Cameron–Martin space H is contained in C.

Acknowledgements. V. Caselles and M. Novaga acknowledge partial support by Acción Integrada Hispano Italiana HI2008-0074. V. Caselles also acknowledges support by MICINN project, reference MTM2009-08171, by GRC reference 2009 SGR 773 and by "ICREA Acadèmia" for excellence in research, the last two funded by the Generalitat de Catalunya. A. Lunardi was supported by PRIN 2008 research project "Deterministic and stochastic methods in evolution problems". M. Miranda and M. Novaga acknowledge partial support by the GNAMPA project "Metodi geometrici per analisi in spazi non Euclidei; spazi metrici doubling, gruppi di Carnot e spazi di Wiener", and by the Research Institute Le Studium.

References

- H. Airault and P. Malliavin, Intégration géométrique sur l'espace de Wiener. Bull. Sci. Math., 112(1):3–52, 1988.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, S. Maniglia, M. Miranda Jr and D. Pallara. BV functions in abstract Wiener spaces. J. Funct. Anal., 258(3):785–813, 2010.

- [3] L. Ambrosio, M. Miranda Jr and D. Pallara. Sets with finite perimeter in Wiener spaces, perimeter measure and boundary rectifiability. *Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst. A*, 28(2):591-606, 2010.
- [4] V. I. Bogachev. Gaussian measures. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 62, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [5] V. I. Bogachev. Differentiable measures and the Malliavin calculus. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 164, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [6] D. Feyel, A. de la Pradelle. Hausdorff measures on the Wiener space. *Potential Anal.*, 1:177-189, 1992.
- [7] M. Fukushima. BV functions and distorted Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes over the abstract Wiener space. J. Funct. Anal., 174:227-249, 2000.
- [8] M. Fukushima and M. Hino. On the space of BV functions and a related stochastic calculus in infinite dimensions. J. Funct. Anal., 183(1):245-268, 2001.
- [9] A. Hertle. Gaussian surface measures and the Radon transform on separable Banach spaces. *Measure theory, Oberwolfach 1979*, Lecture Notes in Math. 794:513–531, 1980.
- [10] M. Hino. Sets of finite perimeter and the Hausdorff–Gauss measure on the Wiener space. J. Funct. Anal., 258(5):1656-1681, 2010.
- [11] F. Hirsch and S. Song. Properties of the set of positivity for the density of a regular Wiener functional. Bull. Sci. Math., 122(1):1-15, 1998.
- [12] J. Hoffmann-Jorgensen, L.A. Shepp and R.M. Dudley. On the lower tail of Gaussian seminorms. Ann. Prob., 7:319-342, 1979.
- [13] W. Linde. Gaussian measure of translated balls in a Banach space. Theory Probab. and Appl., 34(2):307-317, 1989.
- [14] M. Ledoux. A short proof of the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality. High dimensional probability (Oberwolfach, 1996). Progr. Probab., Birkhäuser, 43:229-232, 1998.
- [15] P. Malliavin. Stochastic analysis. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 313, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [16] M. Talagrand. Sur l'intégrabilité des vecteurs gaussiens. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 68(1):1-8, 1984.