

RESEARCH

Open Access



Periodic boundary value problems for impulsive conformable fractional integro-differential equations

Suphawat Asawasamrit¹, Sotiris K Ntouyas^{2,3}, Phollakrit Thiramanus¹ and Jessada Tariboon^{1*} 

*Correspondence:

jessada.t@sci.kmutnb.ac.th

¹Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis Research Center, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, 10800, Thailand
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the existence of solutions for periodic boundary value problems for impulsive fractional integro-differential equations using a recent novel concept of *conformable fractional derivative*. We give a new definition of exponential notations and impulsive integrals for constructing the Green function and a comparison result of the linear problems with impulses. By applying the method of lower and upper solutions in reversed order coupled with the monotone iterative technique, some new sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions are established. The obtained results are well illustrated by an example.

MSC: 26A33; 34A08; 34A37

Keywords: periodic boundary value problem; conformable fractional derivative; impulsive differential equation; monotone iterative technique; lower and upper solutions

1 Introduction

Fractional order differential equations plays an important role in describing many phenomena and processes in various fields of science and engineering such as mechanics, chemistry, control systems, dynamical processes, viscoelasticity, and so forth. For a detailed account of applications and recent results on initial and boundary value problems of fractional differential equations, we refer the reader to a series of books and papers [1–17] and references cited therein.

The definition of the fractional order derivative used is either the Caputo or the Riemann-Liouville fractional order derivative involving an integral expression and the gamma function. Recently, Khalil *et al.* [18] introduced a new well-behaved definition of a local fractional derivative, called *the conformable fractional derivative*, depending just on the basic limit definition of the derivative. This new theory is improved by Abdeljawad [19]. For recent results on conformable fractional derivatives we refer the reader to [20–24].

Impulsive differential equations serve as basic models to study the dynamics of processes that are subject to sudden changes in their states. Recent development in this field has been motivated by many applied problems arising in control theory, population dynamics and

medicines. For some recent work on the theory of impulsive differential equations, we refer the reader to [25–27].

Due to fact that fractional differential equations and impulsive differential equations serve effective tools in order to improve the mathematical modeling of several concepts arising in engineering and various areas of science, many researchers have paid a considerable attention to the subject of impulsive fractional differential equations in the recent literature [28–30].

In this paper, we consider the following periodic boundary value problem for impulsive conformable fractional integro-differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), (Fx)(t), (Sx)(t)), & t \in J := [0, T], t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = I_k(x(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = x(T), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where ${}_aD^\alpha$ denotes the conformable fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ starting from $a \in \{t_0, \dots, t_m\}$, $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = T$, $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$,

$$(Fx)(t) = \int_0^t l(t, s)x(s) ds, \quad (Sx)(t) = \int_0^T h(t, s)x(s) ds,$$

$l \in C(D, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $D = \{(t, s) \in J^2 : t \geq s\}$, $h \in C(J^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $I_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $\Delta x(t_k) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-)$.

The monotone iterative technique coupled with the method of lower and upper solutions provides an effective way to obtain two sequences which approximate the extremal solutions between lower and upper solutions of nonlinear differential and impulsive differential (fractional or non-fractional ordered) equations; see, for instance, [31–35]. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first paper establishing the impulsive fractional differential equations via the conformable fractional calculus developed by [19]. By means of a new maximal principle and new definitions of lower and upper solutions, the monotone iterative technique will be used in our investigation of the problem (1.1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall some definitions and results from conformable fractional calculus and prove some basic results used in the sequel. In Section 3 we define the lower and upper solutions, obtain the Green functions and prove a comparison result. The existence results are contained in Section 4, while an example illustrating the main result is presented in Section 5.

2 Conformable fractional calculus

In this section, we recall some definitions, notations and results which will be used in our main results.

Definition 2.1 [19] The conformable fractional derivative starting from a point a of a function $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is defined by

$${}_aD^\alpha f(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t + \varepsilon(t - a)^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.1}$$

provided that the limit exists.

If f is differentiable then ${}_aD^\alpha f(t) = (t - a)^{1-\alpha} f'(t)$. In addition, if the conformable fractional derivative of f of order α exists on $[a, \infty)$, then we say that f is α -differentiable on $[a, \infty)$.

It is easy to prove the following results.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $k_1, k_2, p, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and the functions f, g be α -differentiable on $[a, \infty)$. Then:*

- (i) ${}_aD^\alpha(k_1f + k_2g) = k_1{}_aD^\alpha(f) + k_2{}_aD^\alpha(g)$;
- (ii) ${}_aD^\alpha(t - a)^p = p(t - a)^{p-\alpha}$;
- (iii) ${}_aD^\alpha\lambda = 0$ for all constant functions $f(t) = \lambda$;
- (iv) ${}_aD^\alpha(fg) = f{}_aD^\alpha g + g{}_aD^\alpha f$;
- (v) ${}_aD^\alpha\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{g{}_aD^\alpha f - f{}_aD^\alpha g}{g^2}$ for all functions $g(t) \neq 0$.

Definition 2.3 [19] *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The conformable fractional integral starting from a point a of a function $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of order α is defined as*

$${}_aI^\alpha f(t) = \int_a^t (s - a)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.4 *If $a = 0$, the definitions of the conformable fractional derivative and integral above will be reduced to the results in [18].*

Theorem 2.5 (Rolle’s theorem) *Let an interval $[c, d] \subset [a, \infty)$ and $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given function that satisfies:*

- (i) f is continuous on $[c, d]$,
- (ii) f is α -differentiable for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ on $[c, d]$,
- (iii) $f(c) = f(d)$.

Then there exists a constant $e \in (c, d)$, such that ${}_aD^\alpha f(e) = 0$.

Proof From (i) f is continuous on $[c, d]$, and since by (iii) $f(c) = f(d)$, there exists a point $e \in (c, d)$ such that $f(e)$ is a maximum or minimum value of f on $[c, d]$. Without loss of generality, we assume that e is a point of local minimum. Then we have

$${}_aD^\alpha f(e) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(e + \varepsilon(e - a)^{1-\alpha}) - f(e)}{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(e + \varepsilon(e - a)^{1-\alpha}) - f(e)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Observe that the first limit is nonnegative and also the second limit is non-positive. Therefore, we obtain ${}_aD^\alpha f(e) = 0$. □

Theorem 2.6 (Mean value theorem) *Let an interval $[c, d] \subset [a, \infty)$ and let $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given function satisfying:*

- (i) f is continuous on $[c, d]$,
- (ii) f is α -differentiable for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Then there exists a constant $e \in (c, d)$, such that ${}_aD^\alpha f(e) = \frac{f(d) - f(c)}{\frac{1}{\alpha}(d - a)^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha}(c - a)^\alpha}$.

Proof Setting the function

$$g(t) = f(t) - f(c) - \frac{f(d) - f(c)}{\frac{1}{\alpha}(d - a)^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha}(c - a)^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}(t - a)^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha}(c - a)^\alpha \right),$$

we see that g satisfy all the conditions of the Rolle’s theorem. Therefore, there exists a constant $e \in (c, d)$, such that ${}_a D^\alpha g(e) = 0$. Using the fact that ${}_a D^\alpha (\frac{1}{\alpha}(t-a)^\alpha) = 1$, we get the desired result. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.7 If $a = 0$, then Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 are reduced to Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in [18], respectively.

3 Impulsive results

Let $J^- = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$, $J_0 = [t_0, t_1]$, $J_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}]$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ be sub-intervals of J and the set $PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x(t)$ is continuous everywhere except for some t_k at which $x(t_k^-)$ and $x(t_k^+)$ exist and $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let $E = PC(J, \mathbb{R})$, then E is the Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in J} |x(t)|$. A function $x \in E$ is called a solution of the impulsive periodic boundary value problem (1.1) if it satisfies (1.1).

Definition 3.1 A function $\mu_0 \in E$ is called a lower solution of the periodic boundary value problem (1.1) if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k} D^\alpha \mu_0(t) \leq f(t, \mu_0(t), (F\mu_0)(t), (S\mu_0)(t)), & t \in J^-, \\ \Delta \mu_0(t_k) \leq I_k(\mu_0(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \mu_0(0) \leq \mu_0(T). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Analogously, a function $\nu_0 \in E$ is called an upper solution of the periodic boundary value problem (1.1) if the inequalities

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k} D^\alpha \nu_0(t) \geq f(t, \nu_0(t), (F\nu_0)(t), (S\nu_0)(t)), & t \in J^-, \\ \Delta \nu_0(t_k) \geq I_k(\nu_0(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \nu_0(0) \geq \nu_0(T), \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

hold.

Let us introduce the new notations which will be used in this paper. For nonnegative real numbers $a \leq b$ and $a, b \in J$, we define

$$e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(a, b) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(b-a)^\alpha}, & a, b \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(b-t_i)^\alpha} \cdot e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_i-a)^\alpha}, & a \in (t_{i-1}, t_i], b \in (t_i, t_{i+1}], \\ & i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(b-t_q)^\alpha} \cdot \prod_{a < t_{i-1} < t_i < b} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_i-t_{i-1})^\alpha} \cdot e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_p-a)^\alpha}, & a < t_{i-1} < t_i < b, \\ & i = 2, 3, \dots, m, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where $t_q = \max\{t_k; a < t_k < b\}$, $t_p = \min\{t_k; a < t_k < b\}$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $\prod_0(\cdot) = 1$.

Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function given by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} f_0(t), & t \in J_0, \\ f_1(t), & t \in J_1, \\ \dots, \\ f_m(t), & t \in J_m. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

The impulsive integral notation is defined as

$$\int_a^b f(s) \hat{d}s = \int_a^{t_p} f_{p-1}(s) ds + \int_{t_p}^{t_{p+1}} f_p(s) ds + \dots + \int_{t_q}^b f_q(s) ds, \quad a, b \in J, \tag{3.5}$$

where $a \leq t_p < \dots < t_q \leq b$.

Example 3.2 For $J = [0, 6]$, $t_k = k$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(2, 5) &= e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(5-4)^\alpha} \cdot e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(4-3)^\alpha} \cdot e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(3-2)^\alpha}, \\ e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(2.2, 3.8) &= e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(3.8-3)^\alpha} \cdot e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(3-2.2)^\alpha}, \\ \int_{0.5}^{3.5} f(s) \hat{d}s &= \int_{0.5}^1 f_0(s) ds + \int_1^2 f_1(s) ds + \int_2^3 f_2(s) ds + \int_3^{3.5} f_3(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to prove the following result.

Property 3.3 Let $a \leq c \leq b \leq d$ be nonnegative real numbers. The following relations hold:

- (i) $e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(a, c)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(c, b) = e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(a, b)$,
- (ii) $e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(a, b)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(c, d) = e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(a, d)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(c, b)$.

Now, we consider the following boundary value problem of a linear impulsive conformable fractional integro-differential equation subject to periodic boundary condition of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha x(t) - Mx(t) = H(Fx)(t) + K(Sx)(t) + v(t), & 0 < \alpha \leq 1, t \in J^-, \\ \Delta x(t_k) = L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ x(0) = x(T), \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $M > 0$, $H, K \geq 0$, $L_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, are given constants and functions $v, \sigma \in E$.

Lemma 3.4 The solution $x \in E$ of the problem (3.6) can be written as the following impulsive integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^T G_1(t, s)P(s) \hat{d}s \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m G_2(t, t_k) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)], \quad t \in J, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $P(t) = H(Fx)(t) + K(Sx)(t) + v(t)$,

$$G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(s-t_h)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, s)}}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}}, & 0 \leq s < t \leq T, \\ \frac{(s-t_h)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, t)} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, s)}}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$G_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(s,t)}}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,T)}}, & 0 \leq s < t \leq T, \\ \frac{e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t)}e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(s,T)}}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,T)}}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

with $t_h = \max\{t_k; k = 0, 1, \dots, m \text{ and } t_k \leq s\}$.

Proof Let $x(t)$ be a solution of the problem (3.6). For $t \in J_0$, multiplying by $e^{-M\frac{(t-t_0)^\alpha}{\alpha}}$ both sides of the first equation of the problem (3.6) and using Lemma 2.2(v),

$$e^{-M\frac{(t-t_0)^\alpha}{\alpha}} {}_{t_0}D^\alpha x(t) - e^{-M\frac{(t-t_0)^\alpha}{\alpha}} Mx(t) = {}_{t_0}D^\alpha [e^{-M\frac{(t-t_0)^\alpha}{\alpha}} x(t)],$$

we obtain

$${}_{t_0}D^\alpha [e^{-M\frac{(t-t_0)^\alpha}{\alpha}} x(t)] = e^{-M\frac{(t-t_0)^\alpha}{\alpha}} [H(Fx)(t) + K(Sx)(t) + v(t)]. \tag{3.10}$$

Applying the conformable fractional integral of order α to both sides of (3.10) for $t \in J_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(0)e^{M\frac{t^\alpha}{\alpha}} + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} e^{M\frac{s^\alpha}{\alpha}} e^{-M\frac{s^\alpha}{\alpha}} P(s) ds \\ &= x(0)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t)} + \int_0^t s^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,s)} P(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in J_1$, multiplying both sides of the first equation of the problem (3.6) by $e^{-M\frac{(t-t_1)^\alpha}{\alpha}}$ and using the product rule, we get

$${}_{t_1}D^\alpha [e^{-M\frac{(t-t_1)^\alpha}{\alpha}} x(t)] = e^{-M\frac{(t-t_1)^\alpha}{\alpha}} P(t). \tag{3.11}$$

The conformable fractional integration of order α from t_1 to t of (3.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(t_1^+)e^{M\frac{(t-t_1)^\alpha}{\alpha}} + \int_{t_1}^t (s - t_1)^{\alpha-1} e^{M\frac{(t-t_1)^\alpha}{\alpha}} e^{-M\frac{(s-t_1)^\alpha}{\alpha}} P(s) ds \\ &= x(t_1^+)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_1,t)} + \int_{t_1}^t (s - t_1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_1,t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_1,s)} P(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x(t_1^+) = x(t_1) + L_1x(t_1) + I_1(\sigma(t_1)) - L_1\sigma(t_1)$ and

$$x(t_1) = x(0)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t_1)} + \int_0^{t_1} s^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t_1)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,s)} P(s) ds,$$

by using Property 3.3(i), we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(0)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t)} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (s - t_0)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0,s)} P(s) ds \\ &\quad + e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_1,t)} [L_1x(t_1) + I_1(\sigma(t_1)) - L_1\sigma(t_1)] \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t (s - t_1)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_1,t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_1,s)} P(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above process, for $t \in J_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= x(0)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, t)} + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_k, t)} [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 &+ \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, s)} P(s) ds \\
 &+ \int_{t_l}^t (s - t_l)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_l, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_l, s)} P(s) ds,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $t_l = \max\{t_k; k = 0, 1, \dots, m \text{ and } t_k < t\}$.

Putting $t = T$ in (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(T) &= x(0)e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)} + \sum_{k=1}^m e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_k, T)} [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, s)} P(s) ds \\
 &+ \int_{t_m}^T (s - t_m)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_m, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_m, s)} P(s) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

From periodic boundary value condition $x(0) = x(T)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(0) &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_k, T)} [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \right. \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, s)} P(s) ds \\
 &\left. + \int_{t_m}^T (s - t_m)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_m, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_m, s)} P(s) ds \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Substituting (3.13) into (3.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= \frac{e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, t)}}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_k, T)} [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \right. \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, s)} P(s) ds \\
 &\left. + \int_{t_m}^T (s - t_m)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_m, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_m, s)} P(s) ds \right\} \\
 &+ \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_k, t)} [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 &+ \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_{k-1}, s)} P(s) ds \\
 &+ \int_{t_l}^t (s - t_l)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_l, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_l, s)} P(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, T)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_k, T) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, T) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_m}^T (s - t_m)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_m, T) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_m, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad - \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, T) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_k, t) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 &\quad - \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, T) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, t) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad - \int_{t_l}^t (s - t_l)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, T) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, t) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_k, t) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, t) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_l}^t (s - t_l)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, t) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, s) P(s) ds \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Property 3.3(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, T)} \left\{ \sum_{t < t_k < T} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_k, T) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_t^{t_{l+1}} (s - t_l)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, T) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_{l+1} < t_k < T} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, T) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_m}^T (s - t_m)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_m, T) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_m, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_k, t) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (s - t_{k-1})^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, t) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_{k-1}, s) P(s) ds \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_l}^t (s - t_l)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, t) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_l, s) P(s) ds \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, T)} \left\{ \sum_{t < t_k < T} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_k, T) [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_t^T (s - t_h)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_0, t) e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_h, T) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}}(t_h, s) P(s) \hat{d}s \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{t_0 < t_k < t} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_k, t)} [L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)] \\
 & + \int_{t_0}^t (s - t_h)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, s)} P(s) \hat{d}s \Big\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the integral equation (3.7) as required.

Conversely, it can easily be shown by direct computation that the integral equation (3.7) satisfies the impulsive periodic boundary value problem (3.6). This completes the proof. \square

Denote $a = \max_k \{t_{k+1} - t_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Now we prove the comparison result.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Assume that $x \in E$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases}
 {}_{t_k}D^\alpha x(t) \geq Mx(t) + H(Fx)(t) + K(Sx)(t), & t \in J^-, \\
 \Delta x(t_k) \geq L_k x(t_k), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 x(0) \geq x(T),
 \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

where $M > 0, H, K \geq 0, L_k \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are given constants. Suppose in addition that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m L_k + \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (m + 2)(M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) \leq 1, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\bar{l} = \sup\{l(t, s) : (t, s) \in J^2\}$ and $\bar{h} = \sup\{h(t, s) : (t, s) \in J^2\}$. Then $x(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in J$.

Proof Suppose, to the contrary, that $x(t) > 0$ for some $t \in J$. Then there are two cases:

- (i) There exists a point $t^* \in J$, such that $x(t^*) > 0$ and $x(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in J$.
- (ii) There exist two points $t^*, t_* \in J$, such that $x(t^*) > 0$ and $x(t_*) < 0$.

Case (i): Setting $u(t) = e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t-t_k)^\alpha} x(t)$ for $t \in J$, then we have

$$\begin{cases}
 {}_{t_k}D^\alpha u(t) \geq H \int_0^t l(t, s) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}[(t-t_k)^\alpha - (s-t_k)^\alpha]} u(s) ds \\
 \quad + K \int_0^T h(t, s) e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}[(t-t_k)^\alpha - (s-t_k)^\alpha]} u(s) ds, & t \in J^-, \\
 \Delta u(t_k) \geq L_k u(t_k), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\
 u(0) \geq e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(T-t_m)^\alpha} u(T).
 \end{cases}$$

Obviously, the functions $u(t)$ and $x(t)$ have the same sign. According to the above, it follows that ${}_{t_k}D^\alpha u(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in J^-$ and $\Delta u(t_k) \geq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. This implies that $u(t)$ is nondecreasing in J . Therefore, we have $u(T) \geq u(t^*) > 0$ and $u(T) \geq u(0) \geq e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(T-t_m)^\alpha} u(T)$, which is a contradiction.

Case (ii): Let $\inf\{x(t) : t \in J\} = -b$. Then we can assume that $b > 0$ and also there exists a point $t_* \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, such that $x(t_*) = -b$ or $x(t_i^+) = -b$. Now, we only consider the case $x(t_*) = -b$. For the case $x(t_i^+) = -b$, the proof is similar. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_{t_k}D^\alpha x(t) & \geq -b \left(M + H \int_0^t l(t, s) ds + K \int_0^T h(t, s) ds \right) \\
 & \geq -b(M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T).
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $t^* \in (t_j, t_{j+1})$ for some $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. We assume $t_* < t^*$, which implies $i \leq j$. For the case $t_* > t^*$, the proof is similar and thus we omit it. By Theorem 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(T) - x(t_m) &\geq x(T) - x(t_m^+) + L_m x(t_m) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (T - t_m)^\alpha {}_{t_m} D^\alpha x(r_m) + L_m x(t_m) \\
 &\geq -b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) + L_m \right], \quad r_m \in (t_m, T), \\
 x(t_m) - x(t_{m-1}) &\geq x(t_m) - x(t_{m-1}^+) + L_{m-1} x(t_{m-1}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (t_m - t_{m-1})^\alpha {}_{t_{m-1}} D^\alpha x(r_{m-1}) + L_{m-1} x(t_{m-1}) \\
 &\geq -b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) + L_{m-1} \right], \quad r_{m-1} \in (t_{m-1}, t_m), \\
 &\dots, \\
 x(t_{j+1}) - x(t^*) &= \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} (t_{j+1} - t_j)^\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha} (t^* - t_j)^\alpha \right] {}_{t_j} D^\alpha x(r^*) \\
 &\geq -b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) \right], \quad r^* \in (t^*, t_{j+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(T) - x(t^*) &\geq \sum_{k=j+1}^m \left(-b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) + L_k \right] \right) \\
 &\quad - b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) \right]. \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t_*) - x(t_i) &\geq -b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) + L_i \right], \\
 &\dots, \\
 x(t_1) - x(0) &\geq -b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the above inequalities, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t_*) - x(0) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^i \left(-b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) + L_k \right] \right) \\
 &\quad - b \left[\frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) \right]. \tag{3.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.16), (3.17), and the third inequality of (3.14), we obtain

$$x(t_*) - x(t^*) \geq -b \sum_{k=1}^m L_k - \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} b(m+2)(M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T),$$

which leads to

$$0 < x(t^*) \leq -b + b \sum_{k=1}^m L_k + \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} b(m+2)(M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T).$$

Thus, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^m L_k + \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha} (m+2)(M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) > 1,$$

which contradicts (3.15). The proof is completed. □

4 Existence results

In view of Lemma 3.4, we define the operator $\mathcal{A} : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}x(t) = & \int_0^T G_1(t,s)[H(Fx)(s) + K(Sx)(s) + v(s)] \hat{d}s \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m G_2(t,t_k)[L_k x(t_k) + I_k(\sigma(t_k)) - L_k \sigma(t_k)], \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where the Green functions $G_1(t,s)$ and $G_2(t,s)$ are defined by (3.8) and (3.9), respectively. Next, we will prove the existence of a unique solution for the problem (3.6). To accomplish this, we set

$$\Lambda := \frac{e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}}{|1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}|} \left[a^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\bar{l}H}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (t_k^2 - t_{k-1}^2) + \bar{h}KT^2 \right) + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right].$$

Lemma 4.1 *Assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $M > 0$, $H, K \geq 0$, $L_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If*

$$\Lambda < 1, \tag{4.2}$$

then the periodic boundary value problem (3.6) has a unique solution on J .

Proof *Case I.* For $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, we see that

$$(s - t_h)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, t)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, s)} \leq a^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}$$

and

$$e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(s, t)} \leq e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}.$$

Case II. For $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, we have

$$e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, t)} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(s, T)} \leq e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}.$$

If $t < t_h \leq s$, then we obtain

$$(s - t_h)^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, t)} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, T)} e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, s)} \leq a^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}. \tag{4.3}$$

If $t_h \leq t \leq s$, we have $e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, t)}e^{-\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_h, s)} \leq 1$, which implies that the above inequality (4.3) holds. From Cases I and II, it follows that

$$\sup_{(t,s) \in J^2} |G_1(t,s)| \leq \frac{a^{\alpha-1} e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}}{|1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{(t,s) \in J^2} |G_2(t,s)| \leq \frac{e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}}{|1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}|}.$$

Transform the problem (3.6) into a fixed point problem, $x = \mathcal{A}x$, where the operator \mathcal{A} is defined by (4.1). For any $x, y \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}y\| &\leq \|x - y\| \int_0^T |G_1(t,s)| [H(F1)(s) + K(S1)(s)] \hat{d}s \\ &\quad + \|x - y\| \sum_{k=1}^m |G_2(t,s)| L_k \\ &\leq \Lambda \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

As $\Lambda < 1$, \mathcal{A} is a contraction. Therefore, by the Banach contraction mapping principle, we deduce that \mathcal{A} has a fixed point which is the unique solution of the problem (3.6). The proof is completed. \square

For $v_0, \mu_0 \in E$, we denote

$$[v_0, \mu_0] = \{x \in E : v_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq \mu_0(t), t \in J\},$$

and we write $v_0 \leq \mu_0$ if $v_0(t) \leq \mu_0(t)$ for all $t \in J$.

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (H₁) *the functions μ_0 and v_0 are lower and upper solutions of the periodic boundary value problem (1.1), respectively, such that $v_0(t) \leq \mu_0(t)$ on J ;*
- (H₂) *the function $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$f(t, x, y, z) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \leq M(x - \bar{x}) + H(y - \bar{y}) + K(z - \bar{z}),$$

for $v_0(t) \leq \bar{x}(t) \leq x(t) \leq \mu_0(t)$, $(Fv_0)(t) \leq \bar{y}(t) \leq y(t) \leq (F\mu_0)(t)$, $(Sv_0)(t) \leq \bar{z}(t) \leq z(t) \leq (S\mu_0)(t)$, $t \in J$;

- (H₃) *the functions $I_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy*

$$I_k(x(t_k)) - I_k(y(t_k)) \leq L_k(x(t_k) - y(t_k)),$$

whenever $v_0(t_k) \leq y(t_k) \leq x(t_k) \leq \mu_0(t_k)$, $L_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$;

- (H₄) *the inequalities (3.15) and (4.2) hold.*

Then there exist monotone sequences $\{\mu_n\}, \{v_n\} \subset E$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(t) = x^(t)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t) = x_*(t)$ uniformly on J and x^*, x_* are maximal and minimal solutions of the problem (1.1), respectively, such that*

$$v_0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2 \leq \dots \leq v_n \leq x_* \leq x \leq x^* \leq \mu_n \leq \dots \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_0,$$

on J , where x is any solution of the periodic boundary value problem (1.1) such that $v_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq \mu_0(t)$ on J .

Proof For any $\sigma \in [v_0, \mu_0]$, we investigate the periodic boundary value problem (3.6) with

$$v(t) = f(t, \sigma(t), (F\sigma)(t), (S\sigma)(t)) - M\sigma(t) - H(F\sigma)(t) - K(S\sigma)(t).$$

Applying Lemma 4.1, the problem (3.6) has a unique solution $x(t)$ for $t \in J$. Let us define an operator \mathcal{A} by $x = \mathcal{A}\sigma$. Then the operator \mathcal{A} is an operator from $[v_0, \mu_0]$ to E and \mathcal{A} has the following properties:

- (i) $v_0 \leq \mathcal{A}v_0, \mathcal{A}\mu_0 \leq \mu_0$;
- (ii) for any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [v_0, \mu_0], \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ implies $\mathcal{A}\sigma_1 \leq \mathcal{A}\sigma_2$.

To prove (i), we set a function $\varphi = v_0 - v_1$, where $v_1 = \mathcal{A}v_0$. Then from condition (H_1) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha \varphi(t) &= {}_{t_k}D^\alpha v_0(t) - {}_{t_k}D^\alpha v_1(t) \\ &\geq f(t, v_0(t), (Fv_0)(t), (Sv_0)(t)) - [Mv_1(t) + H(Fv_1)(t) + K(Sv_1)(t)] \\ &\quad + f(t, v_0(t), (Fv_0)(t), (Sv_0)(t)) - Mv_0(t) - H(Fv_0)(t) - K(Sv_0)(t) \\ &= M\varphi(t) + H(F\varphi)(t) + K(S\varphi)(t), \quad t \in J^-, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi(t_k) &= \Delta v_0(t_k) - \Delta v_1(t_k) \\ &\geq I_k(v_0(t_k)) - [L_k v_1(t_k) + I_k(v_0(t_k)) - L_k v_0(t_k)] \\ &= L_k \varphi(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) &= v_0(0) - v_1(0) \\ &\geq v_0(T) - v_1(T) \\ &= \varphi(T). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.5, we deduce that $\varphi(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in J$, i.e., $v_0 \leq \mathcal{A}v_0$. Similarly, we can prove that $\mathcal{A}\mu_0 \leq \mu_0$.

To prove (ii), we let $u_1 = \mathcal{A}\sigma_1, u_2 = \mathcal{A}\sigma_2$, where $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ on J and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [v_0, \mu_0]$. Setting a function $\varphi = u_1 - u_2$, then for $t \in J$ and by (H_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha \varphi(t) &= {}_{t_k}D^\alpha u_1(t) - {}_{t_k}D^\alpha u_2(t) \\ &= Mu_1(t) + H(Fu_1)(t) + K(Su_1)(t) + f(t, \sigma_1(t), (F\sigma_1)(t), (S\sigma_1)(t)) \\ &\quad - M\sigma_1(t) - H(F\sigma_1)(t) - K(S\sigma_1)(t) \\ &\quad - (Mu_2(t) + H(Fu_2)(t) + K(Su_2)(t) \\ &\quad + f(t, \sigma_2(t), (F\sigma_2)(t), (S\sigma_2)(t)) - M\sigma_2(t) - H(F\sigma_2)(t) - K(S\sigma_2)(t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq M(u_1(t) - u_2(t)) + H(F(u_1 - u_2))(t) + K(S(u_1 - u_2))(t), \\ &= M\varphi(t) + H(F\varphi)(t) + K(S\varphi)(t), \quad t \in J^-, \end{aligned}$$

and by (H₃),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi(t_k) &= \Delta u_1(t_k) - \Delta u_2(t_k) \\ &= L_k u_1(t_k) + I_k(\sigma_1(t_k)) - L_k \sigma_1(t_k) - [L_k u_2(t_k) + I_k(\sigma_2(t_k)) - L_k \sigma_2(t_k)] \\ &\geq L_k [u_1(t_k) - u_2(t_k)] = L_k \varphi(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) &= u_1(0) - u_2(0) \\ &= u_1(T) - u_2(T) \\ &\geq \varphi(T). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from Lemma 3.5, we get $\varphi(t) \leq 0$, which yields $\mathcal{A}\sigma_1 \leq \mathcal{A}\sigma_2$.

Next, we define the sequences $\{\mu_n\}, \{v_n\}$ such that $\mu_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}\mu_n$ and $v_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}v_n$. From (i) and (ii), we see that the sequences $\{\mu_n\}, \{v_n\}$ satisfy the following inequality:

$$v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_n \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \leq \dots \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_0,$$

for all $n \in N$. Obviously, the functions μ_n, v_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha \mu_n(t) = M\mu_n(t) + H(F\mu_n)(t) + K(S\mu_n)(t) \\ \quad + f(t, \mu_{n-1}(t), (F\mu_{n-1})(t), (S\mu_{n-1})(t)) \\ \quad - M\mu_{n-1}(t) - H(F\mu_{n-1})(t) - K(S\mu_{n-1})(t), \quad t \in J^-, \\ \Delta\mu_n(t_k) = L_k \mu_n(t_k) + I_k(\mu_{n-1}(t_k)) - L_k \mu_{n-1}(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \mu_n(0) = \mu_n(T), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha v_n(t) = Mv_n(t) + H(Fv_n)(t) + K(Sv_n)(t) \\ \quad + f(t, v_{n-1}(t), (Fv_{n-1})(t), (Sv_{n-1})(t)) \\ \quad - Mv_{n-1}(t) - H(Fv_{n-1})(t) - K(Sv_{n-1})(t), \quad t \in J^-, \\ \Delta v_n(t_k) = L_k v_n(t_k) + I_k(v_{n-1}(t_k)) - L_k v_{n-1}(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ v_n(0) = v_n(T). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, there exist functions x_* and x^* on J , such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = x_*$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = x^*$ uniformly on J . Clearly, x_*, x^* are solutions of the periodic boundary value problem (1.1).

Finally, we are going to show that x_*, x^* are minimal and maximal solutions of the problem (1.1). Suppose that $x(t)$ is any solution of the problem (1.1) for $t \in J$, such that

$x \in [v_0, \mu_0]$ and also there exists a positive integer n such that $v_n(t) \leq x(t) \leq \mu_n(t)$ on J . Let $\varphi = v_{n+1} - x$, then for $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_k}D^\alpha \varphi(t) &= {}_{t_k}D^\alpha v_{n+1}(t) - {}_{t_k}D^\alpha x(t) \\ &= Mv_{n+1}(t) + H(Fv_{n+1})(t) + K(Sv_{n+1})(t) \\ &\quad + f(t, v_n(t), (Fv_n)(t), (Sv_n)(t)) \\ &\quad - Mv_n(t) - H(Fv_n)(t) - K(Sv_n)(t) - f(t, x(t), (Fx)(t), (Sx)(t)) \\ &\geq M\varphi(t) + H(F\varphi)(t) + K(S\varphi)(t), \quad t \in J^-, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\varphi(t_k) &= \Delta v_{n+1}(t_k) - \Delta x(t_k) \\ &= L_k v_{n+1}(t_k) + I_k(v_n(t_k)) - L_k v_n(t_k) - I_k(x(t_k)) \\ &\geq L_k [v_{n+1}(t_k) - x(t_k)] = L_k \varphi(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) &= v_{n+1}(0) - x(0) \\ &= v_{n+1}(T) - x(T) \\ &\geq \varphi(T). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by applying Lemma 3.5, we have $\varphi(t) \leq 0$, which leads to $v_{n+1} \leq x$ on J . By similar method, we can show that $x \leq \mu_{n+1}$ on J . Since $v_0 \leq x \leq \mu_0$ on J , by mathematical induction, we deduce that $v_n \leq x \leq \mu_n$ on J for every n . Hence, by taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $x_*(t) \leq x(t) \leq x^*(t)$ on J . The proof is complete. \square

5 An example

Example 5.1 Consider the following periodic boundary value problem for impulsive conformable fractional integro-differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}_{t_k}D^{\frac{1}{2}}x(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{12} \sin x(t) + \frac{t^6}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 x(s) ds \\ \quad + \frac{t^{\frac{1}{3}}}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) x(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}, \\ \Delta x(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{16} \tan^{-1}(x(\frac{1}{2})), \quad k = 1, \\ x(0) = x(1). \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Here $\alpha = 1/2$, $T = 1$, $m = 1$, $t_1 = 1/2$. Choosing $\mu_0 = 0$, $v_0 = \begin{cases} -5, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ -6, & t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$ then μ_0 and v_0 are lower and upper solutions of the problem (5.1), respectively, and also $v_0 \leq \mu_0$. Let

$$f(t, u, v, w) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{12} \sin x + \frac{t^6}{15} v + \frac{t^{\frac{1}{3}}}{18} w,$$

then we have

$$f(t, u, v, w) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) \leq \frac{1}{12}(x - \bar{x}) + \frac{1}{15}(v - \bar{v}) + \frac{1}{18}(w - \bar{w}),$$

where $v_0(t) \leq \bar{u}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \mu_0(t)$, $(Fv_0)(t) \leq \bar{v}(t) \leq v(t) \leq (F\mu_0)(t)$, $(Sv_0)(t) \leq \bar{w}(t) \leq w(t) \leq (S\mu_0)(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. We see that

$$I_1(u(t_1)) - I_1(v(t_1)) \leq \frac{1}{16}(u(t_1) - v(t_1)),$$

where $v_0(t_1) \leq v(t_1) \leq u(t_1) \leq \mu_0(t_1)$. Setting $M = 1/12$, $H = 1/15$, $K = 1/18$, $L_1 = 1/16$, $a = 1/2$, $\bar{l} = 1$, and $\bar{h} = 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m L_k + \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha}(m+2)(M + H\bar{l}T + K\bar{h}T) = 0.9345983636 \leq 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \frac{e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}}{|1 - e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)}|} \left[a^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\bar{l}H}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (t_k^2 - t_{k-1}^2) + \bar{h}KT^2 \right) + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right] \\ &= 0.8962956459 < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(t_0, T)} = e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{2})^\alpha} \cdot e^{\frac{M}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{2}-t_0)^\alpha} = 1.265797376$. Therefore, the periodic boundary value problem (5.1) satisfies all conditions of Theorem 4.2. Then by using the monotone iterative scheme,

$$\begin{cases} t_k D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_n(t) = \frac{1}{12} \mu_n(t) + \frac{1}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 \mu_n(s) ds + \frac{1}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) \mu_n(s) ds \\ \quad + \frac{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}{12} \sin \mu_{n-1}(t) + \frac{t^6}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 \mu_{n-1}(s) ds + \frac{t^{\frac{3}{18}}}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) \mu_{n-1}(s) ds \\ \quad - \frac{1}{12} \mu_{n-1}(t) - \frac{1}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 \mu_{n-1}(s) ds \\ \quad - \frac{1}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) \mu_{n-1}(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}, \\ \Delta \mu_n(t_1) = \frac{1}{16} \mu_n(t_1) + \frac{1}{16} \tan^{-1}(\mu_{n-1}(\frac{1}{2})) - \frac{1}{16} \mu_{n-1}(t_1), \quad k = 1, \\ \mu_n(0) = \mu_n(1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} t_k D^{\frac{1}{2}} v_n(t) = \frac{1}{12} v_n(t) + \frac{1}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 v_n(s) ds + \frac{1}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) v_n(s) ds \\ \quad + \frac{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}{12} \sin v_{n-1}(t) + \frac{t^6}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 v_{n-1}(s) ds + \frac{t^{\frac{3}{18}}}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) v_{n-1}(s) ds \\ \quad - \frac{1}{12} v_{n-1}(t) - \frac{1}{15} \int_0^t t^3 s^4 v_{n-1}(s) ds \\ \quad - \frac{1}{18} \int_0^1 \cos^2(s^2 t) v_{n-1}(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}, \\ \Delta v_n(t_1) = \frac{1}{16} v_n(t_1) + \frac{1}{16} \tan^{-1}(v_{n-1}(\frac{1}{2})) - \frac{1}{16} v_{n-1}(t_1), \quad k = 1, \\ v_n(0) = v_n(1), \end{cases}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the problem (5.1) has the extremal solution in the segment $[v_0, \mu_0]$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally in this article. They read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis Research Center, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, 10800, Thailand. ²Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, 451 10, Greece. ³Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics (NAAM) - Research Group, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok. Contract No. KMUTNB-GOV-59-39.

Received: 29 March 2016 Accepted: 23 June 2016 Published online: 01 July 2016

References

- Podlubny, I: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- Kilbas, AA, Srivastava, HM, Trujillo, JJ: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- Sabatier, J, Agrawal, OP, Machado, JAT (eds.): Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering. Springer, Dordrecht (2007)
- Ahmad, B, Ntouyas, SK, Alsaedi, A: New existence results for nonlinear fractional differential equations with three-point integral boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2011**, Article ID 107384 (2011)
- Alsaedi, A, Ntouyas, SK, Agarwal, RP, Ahmad, B: On Caputo type sequential fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, Article ID 33 (2015)
- Ahmad, B, Ntouyas, SK, Tariboon, J: Fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral and integer-fractional-order Neumann type boundary conditions. *Mediterr. J. Math.* (2015). doi:10.1007/s00009-015-0629-9
- Bai, ZB, Sun, W: Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for singular fractional boundary value problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**, 1369-1381 (2012)
- Su, Y, Feng, Z: Existence theory for an arbitrary order fractional differential equation with deviating argument. *Acta Appl. Math.* **118**, 81-105 (2012)
- Ahmad, B, Ntouyas, SK: Existence results for Caputo type sequential fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **50**, 157-174 (2016)
- Alsaedi, A, Ntouyas, SK, Ahmad, B: New existence results for fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2015**, Article ID 205452 (2015)
- Ntouyas, SK, Etemad, S, Tariboon, J: Existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with integral boundary conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, Article ID 92 (2015)
- Ahmad, B, Ntouyas, SK: Some fractional-order one-dimensional semi-linear problems under nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **110**, 159-172 (2016)
- Graef, JR, Kong, L, Wang, M: Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a fractional boundary value problem on a graph. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **17**, 499-510 (2014)
- Tariboon, J, Ntouyas, SK, Thiramanus, P: Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with Hadamard fractional integral conditions. *Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* **54**, 119-134 (2016)
- Ahmad, B, Agarwal, RP: Some new versions of fractional boundary value problems with slit-strips conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, Article ID 175 (2014)
- Tariboon, J, Ntouyas, SK, Sudsutad, W: Fractional integral problems for fractional differential equations via Caputo derivative. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, Article ID 181 (2014)
- Ntouyas, SK, Tariboon, J, Thaiprayoon, C: Nonlocal boundary value problems for Riemann-Liouville fractional differential inclusions with Hadamard fractional integral boundary conditions. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **20**, 91-107 (2016)
- Khalil, R, Al Horani, M, Yousef, A, Sababheh, M: A new definition of fractional derivative. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **264**, 65-70 (2014)
- Abdeljawad, T: On conformable fractional calculus. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **279**, 57-66 (2015)
- Anderson, D, Ulness, D: Newly defined conformable derivatives. *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **10**, 109-137 (2015)
- Batarfi, H, Losada, J, Nieto, JJ, Shammakh, W: Three-point boundary value problems for conformable fractional differential equations. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2015**, Article ID 706383 (2015)
- Abdeljawad, T, Al Horani, M, Khalil, R: Conformable fractional semigroups of operators. *J. Semigroup Theory Appl.* **2015**, Article ID 7 (2015)
- Abu Hammad, I, Khalil, R: Fractional Fourier series with applications. *Am. J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **4**, 187-191 (2014)
- Abu Hammad, M, Khalil, R: Abel's formula and Wronskian for conformable fractional differential equations. *Int. J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **13**, 177-183 (2014)
- Lakshmikantham, V, Bainov, DD, Simeonov, PS: Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore (1989)
- Samoilenko, AM, Perestyuk, NA: Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore (1995)
- Benchohra, M, Henderson, J, Ntouyas, SK: Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions, vol. 2. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York (2006)
- Thaiprayoon, C, Tariboon, J, Ntouyas, SK: Impulsive fractional boundary value problems with fractional integral jump conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014**, Article ID 17 (2014)
- Yukunthorn, W, Ntouyas, SK, Tariboon, J: Impulsive multi-orders Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2015**, Article ID 603893 (2015)
- Yukunthorn, W, Suanta, S, Ntouyas, SK, Tariboon, J: Boundary value problems for impulsive multi-order Hadamard fractional differential equations. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, Article ID 148 (2015)
- Ladde, GS, Lakshmikantham, V, Vatsala, AS: Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations. Pitman, Boston (1985)
- Lakshmikantham, V, Vatsala, AS: General uniqueness and monotone iterative technique for fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21**, 828-834 (2008)

33. Mu, J, Li, Y: Monotone iterative technique for impulsive fractional evolution equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 125 (2011)
34. Cao, J, Chen, H: Impulsive fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Math. Comput. Model.* **55**, 303-311 (2012)
35. Zhang, L, Liang, Y: Monotone iterative technique for impulsive fractional evolution equations with noncompact semigroup. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, Article ID 324 (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
