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The first purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f(x) x^{\prime}+g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=e(t),  \tag{1}\\
x(0)=x(2 \pi), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi) . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $f: R \rightarrow R, g:[0,2 \pi] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ and $e:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow R$ are continuous.
This is a well-studied problem. In his survey [1], Cesari outlines a branch of research followed by Lefschetz, Levinson, Graffi, Cesari, and Cesari and Kannan. A related branch may be followed in the papers by Lazer [6], Lazer and Leech [7], Mawhin [9], Reissig [10]-[12], Chang [2] and Martelli [8].

Hypotheses which insure a solution to (1), (2) have gradually been refined to something like the following:
(A) Almost no restrictions on $f$.
(B) There exist constants $k, R$, positive, and $A, B$ (with $A>B$ ) such that (i) $|x| \geqq R \Rightarrow|g(x)|<k|x|$; and (ii) $x \geqq R \Rightarrow g(x) \geqq A, x \leqq-R \Rightarrow$ $g(x) \leqq B$, and $B<e_{m}<A$ where $e_{m}=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e(t) d t$. (For simplicity we have let $g=g(x)$.)

In elaboration we note: (a) If $g$ has the form $g(x)=m^{2} x+h(x), m$ an integer, then (i) becomes $\left|g(x)-m^{2}(x)\right|<l|x|$. (b) The results can be extended to vector equations with $f(x) x^{\prime}$ becoming $(d / d t)[\nabla f(x(t))]$. (c) The best results seem to relate $k$ to the eigenvalues of the problem (1), (2), which in this case is $k=1$.

In this paper we use the Alternative or Lyapunov-Schmitt Method to solve the problem. We develop further a technique begun in [13] and we use a splitting of the operator $L x=-x^{\prime \prime}$ into $T^{*} x=-x^{\prime}, T x=x^{\prime}$. (See Kannan and Locker [3], or Cesari [1].) We can then (a) eliminate the term $f(x) x^{\prime}$ in a natural way; (b) introduce an $x^{\prime}$ into $g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$; (c) have a scheme which can be applied to higher order problems.

Additionally we note: (a) Half of the work is showing that our
version of hypotheses (A) and (B) make this abstract scheme work. (Related results for a fourth order problem are presented in [14]). (b) With the inclusion of an $x^{\prime}$ term we have had to sacrifice in the choice of $k$ and take $k<1 / \sqrt{6}$.

Theorem. Let (1), (2) be given and assume
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right) \quad|g(t, x, y)| \leqq k\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $0<k<1 / \sqrt{6}$ for all $(t, x, y) \in[0,2 \pi] \times$ $R \times R$; and
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)$ there exist constants $R>0, A, B($ with $A>B)$ such that $x \geqq R \Rightarrow$ $g(t, x, y) \geqq A, \quad x \leqq-R \Rightarrow g(t, x, y) \leqq B$, for all $(t, y) \in[0,2 \pi] \times R$, and $B<e_{m}<A$ where $e_{m}=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e(t) d t$.
Then (1), (2) has at least one solution.
Proof. $1^{\circ}$. We write the problem as an operator equation in a Banach space and employ the Alternative Method. (For more details see [1].)

Let $X=\left\{x \in C^{2}[0,2 \pi]: x(0)=x(2 \pi), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right\}$ and, for $x \in X$, let $P x=(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x$. Then $P$ is a projection. Let $X_{0}=P X, X_{1}=(I-P) X$ (so $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{0}$ ). Let $Z=C[0,2 \pi]$ and, for $z \in Z$, let $Q z=(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} z$. Let $Z_{0}=Q Z$ and $Z_{1}=(I-Q) Z$ (so $Z=Z_{1} \oplus Z_{0}$ ). Define $L, N$ and $H$ by $D(L)$ (domain of $L$ ) $=X, L x=-x^{\prime \prime} ; \quad D(N)=C^{1}[0,2 \pi], N x=\left\{f(x) x^{\prime}\right\}+$ $\left\{g\left(\cdot, x, x^{\prime}\right)-e\right\}=\left\{N_{1} x\right\}+\left\{N_{2} x\right\} ;$ and $H=\left[L \mid X_{1}\right]^{-1}$. Note that $K(L)$ (the kernel of $L$ ) $=[1]$ (the constant functions) $=X_{0}$; that $R(L)$ (the range of $L)=Z_{1}$; and that $Z_{0}=[1]$.

Now (1), (2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=N x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3) is equivalent to the pair of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=P x+H(I-Q) N x  \tag{4}\\
0=Q N x \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$2^{\circ}$. We "split" the operator $H$ into $J^{*} J$. (For more details see [3] or [1].)

Let $Y=\left\{y \in C^{1}[0,2 \pi]: y(0)=y(2 \pi)\right\}$ and, for $y \in Y$, let $P y=(1 / 2 \pi)$ $\int_{T^{*}}^{2 \pi} y$. Let $P Y=Y_{0}(=[1])$ and $(I-P) Y=Y_{1}$ (so $Y=Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}$ ). Define $T^{*}$ and $T$ by

$$
D\left(T^{*}\right)=X, \quad T^{*} x=-x^{\prime} \quad\left(\text { so } K\left(T^{*}\right)=X_{0}, \quad R\left(T^{*}\right)=Y_{1}\right) ;
$$

and

$$
D(T)=Y, \quad T y=y^{\prime} \quad\left(\text { so } K(T)=Y_{0}, \quad R(T)=Z_{1}\right)
$$

Now let $L=T T^{*}$. If we let $J^{*}=\left[T^{*} \mid X_{1}\right]^{-1}$ and $J=\left[T \mid Y_{1}\right]^{-1}$, then $H=J^{*} J$. If $x=x_{1}+x_{0} \in X_{1} \oplus X_{0}$, then $x_{1}=J^{*} y_{1}$ for some $y_{1} \in Y_{1}$ and $x_{0}=P x$. Hence (4) may be written as $J^{*} y_{1}=J^{*} J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+x_{0}\right)$. Now $J^{*}$ is one-to-one so we may cancel it and $Y_{0}=[1], X_{0}=[1]$ so we may write $y_{0}$ in place of $x_{0}$.

Thus (4), (5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}=J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& y_{0}=y_{0}+Q N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

To be precise we should write $U Q N$ in (7) where $U: Z_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ is a bijection. But since $Z_{0}=[1], Y_{0}=[1]$, we can omit the $U$.
$3^{\circ}$ Continuity and compactness of operators. Now we change notation and let $Y=\left\{y \in L^{2}[0,2 \pi]: y(0)=y(2 \pi)\right\}$ (the periodic, square-integrable functions) with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Again for $y \in Y$ we let $P y=(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} y, \quad P Y=Y_{0},(I-P) Y=Y_{1}$, and $Y=Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}$. A solution $y_{1}$ of (6) will be in the range of $J$, i.e., $y_{1} \in$ $C^{1}[0,2 \pi]$, and hence the solution of (4), (5), $x=J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}$ is in $C^{2}[0,2 \pi]$.

To show the compactness of the operator appearing in (6) we introduce
$H^{1}=\left\{x(t): x^{\prime} \in Y\right.$ (so $x$ is absolutely continuous) $\}$
with the norm $\|x\|_{H}=|x|_{0}+\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \quad\left(|x|_{0}=\sup _{[0,2 \pi]}|x(t)|\right)$ and

$$
L^{1}=\left\{x(t): x \text { is Lebesgue integrable on }[0,2 \pi] \text { and } \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x=0\right\}
$$

with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. We will show that the composition of the following sequence of operators is compact and continuous:

$$
Y_{1} \xrightarrow{J^{*}} H^{1} \xrightarrow{(I-Q) N} L^{1} \xrightarrow{J} Y_{1} .
$$

This has been discussed in detail in [4] so we shall only outline the proof here.
(a) Since $J$ can be represented as $J z=\int_{0}^{t} z-(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t} z$ we see that its domain can be extended to include $L^{1}$. And, as shown above, the solution $y_{1}$ will still be in $C^{1}[0,2 \pi]$.
(b) $N: H^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ is bounded: $\left\|N_{1} x\right\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t$ so by the continuity of $f, N_{1}$ takes sets bounded in $H^{1}$ inṭo sets bounded in $L^{1}$. That $N_{2}$ is bounded follows along similar lines.
(c) $N: H^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}$ is continuous:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|N_{1} x-N_{1} x_{0}\right\|_{1} \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi} & \left|f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)-f\left(x_{0}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(x_{0}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)-f\left(x_{0}(t)\right) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

The first integral: with $x^{\prime} \in L^{2}[0,2 \pi], f(c) x^{\prime}(t)=g(c, t)$ is continuous in $c$ and measurable in $t$. By Krasnosel'skii's version of Lusin's theorem [5] we may divide [ $0,2 \pi$ ] into disjoint subsets $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ such that $I_{1}$ is closed and $g \mid R^{n} \times I_{1}$ is continuous in ( $c, t$ ) and $I_{2}$ has arbitrarily small measure.

We have just seen that the integrands are bounded so the integral over $I_{2}$ can be made small. On $\left\{(c, t):\left|c-x_{0}(t)\right| \leqq 1, t \in I_{1}\right\}, g(c, t)$ is uniformly continuous, so the integral over $I_{1}$ can be made small by making $\left|x-x_{0}\right|_{0}$ small.

In the second integral we have $\left|f\left(x_{0}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)-f\left(x_{0}(t)\right) x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqq\left|f\left(x_{0}(t)\right)\right|$ $\left|x^{\prime}(t)-x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right|$ and the proof is straightforward. That $N_{2}$ is continuous follows along similar lines.
(c) $J$ and $J^{*}$ are integral operators and are known to be continuous and compact and the projection $(I-Q)$ is continuous. Hence $J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)$ is a continuous, compact mapping from $Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}$ into $Y_{1}$.
(d) The projection $Q$ is continuous and its range is finite dimensional. Hence $Q N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)$ is a continuous, compact mapping from $Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}$ into $Y_{0}$.
(e) Since $(I-Q) u=u-(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u$ and $J v=\int_{0}^{t} v-(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t} v, J(I-Q) u=$ $\int_{\|}^{t} u-(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\right)-(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t} u+(1 / 2 \pi)^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\right)^{0_{0}}=J u$ and hence
$\|J(I-Q)\|=\|J\|$.
$4^{\circ}$. A theorem from the Leray-Schauder theory of degree. Returning to (6), (7) let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)=J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right), \\
& T_{0}\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)=y_{0}+Q N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $I_{k}$ be the identity operator on $Y_{k}(k=1,0)$. Let $I=\operatorname{column}\left(I_{1}, I_{0}\right)$ and $T=$ column $\left(T_{1}, T_{0}\right)$. Then (6), (7) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-T)\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is of the form of identity plus a compact operator from $Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}$ into $Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}$ and the theory of degree may be applied. We shall use the following variant of the Borsuk theorem:

Let $\lambda(I-T)\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \neq(1-\lambda)(I-T)\left(-y_{1},-y_{0}\right)$ for $1 / 2 \leqq \lambda<1$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in \partial B\left(R_{1}, R_{0}\right)$ where $B\left(R_{1}, R_{0}\right)=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in Y_{1} \oplus Y_{0}:\left\|y_{1}\right\| \leqq R_{1},\left\|y_{0}\right\| \leqq\right.$ $R_{0}$ \}. Then (8) has a solution in $B\left(R_{1}, R_{0}\right)$.

We will show that $\lambda\left(I_{1}-T_{1}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \neq(1-\lambda)\left(I_{1}-T_{1}\right)\left(-y_{1},-y_{0}\right)$ on $S^{1}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right):\left\|y_{1}\right\|=R_{1},\left\|y_{0}\right\| \leqq R_{0}\right\}$ and $\lambda\left(I_{0}-T_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \neq(1-\lambda)\left(I_{0}-T_{0}\right)$ $\left(-y_{1},-y_{0}\right)$ on $S^{0}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right):\left\|y_{1}\right\| \leqq R_{1},\left\|y_{0}\right\|=R_{0}\right\}$.

Now $\lambda\left(I_{1}-T_{1}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)=(1-\lambda)\left(I_{1}-T_{1}\right)\left(-y_{1},-y_{0}\right)$ implies $y_{1}-\lambda J(I-$ Q) $N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)+(1-\lambda) J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*}\left(-y_{1}\right)-y_{0}\right)=0$ which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2} & -\lambda\left\langle J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right), y_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{9}\\
& +(1-\lambda)\left\langle J(I-Q) N\left(J^{*}\left(-y_{1}\right)-y_{0}\right), y_{1}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for } \quad\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in S^{1}
\end{align*}
$$

And $\lambda\left(I_{0}-T_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right)=(1-\lambda)\left(I_{0}-T_{0}\right)\left(-y_{1},-y_{0}\right)$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda Q N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)=(1-\lambda) Q N\left(J^{*}\left(-y_{1}\right)-y_{0}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \text { for } \quad 1 / 2 \leqq \lambda<1, \quad\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in S^{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

We will show that (9) and (10) do not hold under the hypotheses of the theorem.
$5^{\circ}$. Hypothesis ( $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ ) implies that (9) does not hold.
(a) Let $x=J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}, \quad$ so $\quad x^{\prime}=-y_{1} \quad$ and $\quad x(0)=x(2 \pi)$. Then $2 \pi\left(Q N_{1} x\right)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t) d t=F(x(2 \pi))-F(x(0))=0\left(F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f\right) ; J(I-$ Q) $N_{1} x=\left(\operatorname{see} 3^{\circ}\right.$, (a)); $\int_{0}^{t} f(x(s)) x^{\prime}(s) d s-(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{t} f(x(s)) x^{\prime}(s) d s d t=F(x(t))-$ c) (a constant); and $\left\langle J(I-Q) N_{1} x, y_{1}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}(F(x(t))-c) x^{\prime}(t) d t=G(x(2 \pi))-$ $G(x(0))-c(x(2 \pi)-x(0))=0\left(G(u)=\int_{0}^{u} F\right)$. Likewise $\left\langle J(I-Q) N_{1}(-x)\right.$, $\left.y_{1}\right\rangle=0$.
(b) From hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$ it follows that $|g(t, x(t), y(t))|^{2} \leqq k^{2}\left(|x(t)|^{2}+\right.$ $\left.|y(t)|^{2}\right)$. This, together with the continuity of $g$, implies that $N_{2}$ takes $H_{1}$ into $L^{2}$. So in this estimate we can work in $L^{2}$.

If $(I-Q) y=y_{1}=\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k} \varphi_{k}+b_{k} \psi_{k}\right) \quad\left(\varphi_{k}(t)=(1 / \sqrt{\pi}) \cos k t, \psi_{k}(t)=\right.$ $(1 / \sqrt{\pi}) \sin k t)$, then $J y_{1}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} k^{-1}\left(a_{k} \psi_{k}-b_{k} \varphi_{k}\right)$ and $\left\|J y_{1}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} k^{-2}\left(a_{k}^{2}+b_{k}^{2}\right) \leqq$ $\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k}^{2}+b_{k}^{2}\right)=\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}$, so $\|J\| \leqq 1$. And $\left\|J \varphi_{1}\right\|=\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|$ so $\|J\|=1$.
(c) With $k, 0<k<1 / \sqrt{6}$, given in hypothesis $\left(h_{1}\right)$ let $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1$, be such that $k=(1 / \sqrt{6})(1-\varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}$. In the definition of $B\left(R_{1}, R_{0}\right)$ (see $4^{\circ}$ ) let $R_{1}=\max (2\|J\|\|e\| / \varepsilon, R / 2 \eta \sqrt{2 \pi}, 1$ ) where $\eta>0$ is such that $(1+\eta)^{2}=(1+6 \varepsilon / 4)$, i.e., $\eta=(1+6 \varepsilon / 4)^{1 / 2}-1$. (Here $e=e(t)$ is from (1), $R$ given in hypothesis ( $\mathrm{h}_{2}$ ).)

Let $R_{0}=2 R_{1}+R / \sqrt{2 \pi}$. Then $\left|\left\langle J(I-Q)(-e), y_{1}\right\rangle\right| \leqq\|J\|\|e\|\left\|y_{1}\right\| \leqq$ $\varepsilon\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2} / 2$ for $\left\|y_{1}\right\|=R_{1}$. Next $\|x\|^{2}=\left\|J^{*} y_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{0}\right\|^{2} \leqq\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}(1+4+6 \varepsilon)$ if $\left\|y_{0}\right\|^{2} \leqq R_{0}^{2}=\left(2 R_{1}+R / \sqrt{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \leqq 4 R_{1}^{2}(1+6 \varepsilon / 4) \quad$ and $\quad\left\|y_{1}\right\|=R_{1}$. And $\left\|g\left(\cdot, x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{2} \leqq k^{2}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}\right) \leqq(1 / 6)(1-\varepsilon)^{2}(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}(6+6 \varepsilon)=(1-\varepsilon)^{2}$ $\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}$. So $\left|\left\langle J(I-Q) g\left(\cdot, x, x^{\prime}\right), y_{1}\right\rangle\right| \leqq(1-\varepsilon)\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}$ and $\mid\left\langle J(I-Q) N_{2}\left(J^{*} y_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.y_{0}\right), y_{1}\right\rangle \mid<\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}$ for $\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in S^{1}$. The same estimates hold for $\mid\langle J(I-$ Q) $\left.N_{2}\left(J^{*}\left(-y_{1}\right)-y_{0}\right), y_{1}\right\rangle \mid$ and hence (9) does not hold.
$6^{\circ}$. Hypothesis $\left(h_{2}\right)$ implies that (10) does not hold. Let $\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in S^{0}$ and $\quad x(t)=x_{1}(t)+x_{0}=J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0} . \quad$ From $\quad x_{1}(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} y_{1}(t) d t+(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}$
$\int_{0}^{t} y_{1}(s) d s d t$, it follows that $\sup _{[0,2 \pi]}\left|x_{1}(t)\right| \leqq 2 \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|y_{1}(t)\right| d t \leqq 2 \sqrt{2 \pi}\left\|y_{1}\right\|$. Hence $\left|x_{1}(t)+x_{0}\right| \geqq\left|y_{0}\right|-2 \sqrt{2 \pi}\left\|y_{1}\right\|=\sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\left\|y_{0}\right\|-2\left\|y_{1}\right\|\right) \geqq R$. Thus, for $\left(y_{1}, y_{0}\right) \in$ $S^{0}$ either $g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \geqq A$ or $\leqq B$.

In $5^{\circ}$ we showed that $Q N_{1} x=0$. So $Q N\left(J^{*} y_{1}+y_{0}\right)=(1 / 2 \pi)$ $\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e(t) d t\right]$. If (10) holds, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)-\mu g\left(t,-x(t),-x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} d t=(1-\mu)\left(2 \pi e_{m}\right) \\
& 0<\mu<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is impossible by $\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)$ since the integral is $\geqq A-\mu B$ and $A-\mu B>(1-\mu) e_{m}, \quad 0<\mu<1$.

To consider equation (1) with $x=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, a vector, we change $f(x) x^{\prime}$ to $(d / d t)[\nabla f(x(t))]$ where $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ is of class $C^{2}$ and $\nabla$ is the gradient operation. Also $g$ and $e$ are assumed to be vector-valued. Hypothesis $\left(h_{1}\right)$ remains the same while $\left(h_{2}\right)$ is most simply stated as
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e(t) d t=0$ and there exists a constant $R>0$ such that $\sum_{1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \geqq R^{2}$ and $x_{i} \geqq R / \sqrt{n}$ implies $x_{i} g_{i}(t, x, y)>0$ for all ( $t, y$ ) (or $<0$ for all $(t, y)), i=1, \cdots, n$.

The proof is much the same.
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