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# PERTURBATION ANALYSIS FOR THE MOORE-PENROSE METRIC GENERALIZED INVERSE OF CLOSED LINEAR OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES 
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we characterize the perturbations of the MoorePenrose metric generalized inverse of closed operator in Banach spaces. Under the condition $R(\delta T) \subset R(T), N(T) \subset N(\delta T)$, respectively, we get some new results about upper-bound estimates of $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\|$ and $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M}\right\|$.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout the present article, let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces on real field $\mathbb{R}$. Let $L(X, Y), B(X, Y)$, and $C(X, Y)$ be the set of linear operators, the set of all bounded linear operators, and the set of all densely defined closed linear operators from $X$ to $Y$, respectively. For any $T \in L(X, Y)$, $D(T), R(T)$, and $N(T)$ denote the domain, the range and the kernel of $T$, respectively.

A closed subset $M \subset X$ is called topological-complemented if there is a closed subset $N \subset X$ such that $X=M+N$ and $M \cap N=\{0\}$. In this case, we set $X=M \oplus f N$. As we know, for any $T \in C(X, Y)$, if $\overline{R(T)}$ (the closure of $R(T)$ ) and $N(T)$ are topological-complemented, then there is a linearly generalized inverse $T^{+}$such that

$$
T T^{+} T=T \quad \text { on } D(T), \quad T^{+} T T^{+}=T^{+} \quad \text { on } D\left(T^{+}\right) .
$$

[^0]Furthermore, if $R(T)$ is closed, then $T^{+} \in B(Y, X)$ is a bounded linear operator (see [4], [15]).

The linear generalized inverse has been widely studied over the last decades and has many important applications in numerical approximation, statistics, optimization, and more (see [2], [12]). However, linearly generalized inverse cannot deal with the extremal solutions, the minimal norm solutions, and the best approximation solutions of an ill-posed linear operator equation in Banach spaces. In order to solve the best approximation problems for an ill-posed linear operator equation in Banach spaces, Nashed and Votruba introduced the concept of the (set-valued) metric generalized inverse of a linear operator in Banach spaces (see [8]). In 2003, H. Wang and Y. Wang introduced the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse for linear operator on Banach space in [14], which is a homogeneous operator.

In recent years, some papers on the perturbation of Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse have appeared (see [3], [10], [13]). In [9], Ni characterized the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse for an arbitrary linear operator in Banach space. In [1], J. Cao and Y. Xue considered the simple expressions of the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse and investigated the perturbations for the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse of bounded linear operators. Some results on the perturbation of the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse similar to the linearly generalized inverse are obtained in [7] by H . Ma et al., under the assumption that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive and that metric projection $\pi_{N(T)}$ is linear and that $R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T), N(T) \subseteq N(\delta T)$.

It is well known the metric projection is a homogeneous operator, and then the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse is different from the linearly generalized inverse. In the present article, we characterize the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse of closed operator with closed range in Banach spaces. Under some conditions, we present the upper bounds of $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\|$ and $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M}\right\|$, respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a subset in $X$. If $\lambda x \in M$ whenever $x \in M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then we call $M$ a homogeneous subset. A nonlinear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a bounded homogeneous operator if $T$ maps every bounded set in $X$ into a bounded set in $Y$ and $T(\lambda x)=\lambda T x$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $H(X, Y)$ denote the set of all bounded homogeneous operators from $X$ to $Y$. Equipped with the usual linear operations on $H(X, Y)$ and norm on $T \in H(X, Y)$ defined as $\|T\|=\sup _{\|x\|=1}\|T x\|, H(X, Y)$ become a Banach space (see [12]). Obviously, $B(X, Y) \subseteq H(X, Y)$.

Recall that a nonlinear operator $T$ is called quasi-additive on subspace $M \subset X$ if

$$
T(x+z)=T(x)+T(z), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall z \in M
$$

If a homogeneous operator $T \in H(X, X)$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$, then we call $T$ a quasilinear operator.

Let $M \subset X$. Then the (set-valued) metric projection $P_{M}$ defined on $X$ is a mapping from $X$ to $M$,

$$
P_{M}(x)=\{z \in M \mid\|x-z\|=d(x, M), \forall x \in X\}
$$

where $d(x, M)=\inf _{\forall y \in M}\|x-y\|$.
If $P_{M} \neq \emptyset$, then $M$ is called a proximinal set. If $P_{M}$ is a singleton, then $M$ is said to be a Chebyshev set. In this case, we denote $P_{M}$ by $\pi_{M}$. Moreover, $\pi_{M}$ satisfies the following properties.

Proposition 2.1 ([12]). Let $M \subset X$ be a subspace of $X$. Then
(1) $\pi_{M}^{2}(x)=\pi_{M}(x), \forall x \in X$ (i.e., $\pi_{M}$ is idempotent);
(2) $\left\|x-\pi_{M}(x)\right\| \leq\|x\|$ and so $\left\|\pi_{M}(x)\right\| \leq 2\|x\|, \forall x \in X$;
(3) $\pi_{M}(\lambda x)=\lambda \pi(x), \forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., $\pi_{M}$ is homogenous);
(4) $\pi_{M}(x+z)=\pi_{M}(x)+\pi_{M}(z)=\pi_{M}(x)+z$ for any $z \in M$ (i.e., $\pi_{M}$ is quasiadditive on $M$ );
(5) $\pi_{M}$ is a closed operator if $M$ is a Chebyshev subspace.

Lemma 2.2 ([12]). Let $M \subset X$ be a Chebyshev subspace. Then $\pi_{M}^{-1}(0)$ is a linear subspace if and only if $\pi_{M}$ is a linear operator.
Lemma 2.3 ([6]). Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space. Then $X$ is strictly convex if and only if every nonempty closed convex subset $M \subset X$ is a Chebyshev set.

Let $X^{*}$ be the dual space of $X$ and let $M^{\perp}=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=0, x \in M\right\}$. Now, we recall the notation from "dual-mapping."

Definition 2.4. The set-valued mapping $F_{X}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ defined as

$$
F_{X}(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \quad \forall x \in X
$$

is called the dual-mapping of $X$, where $\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=x^{*}(x)$.
Lemma 2.5 (Generalized Orthogonal Decomposition Theorem; see [12]). Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $M \subset X$ be a proximinal subspace. Then for any $x \in X$, we have
(1) $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$ with $x_{1} \in M$ and $x_{2} \in F_{X}^{-1}\left(M^{\perp}\right)$;
(2) if $M \subset X$ is a Chebyshev subspace, then the decomposition in (1) is unique such that $x=\pi_{M}(x)+x_{2}$, and in this case, we write $X=M \dot{+} F_{X}^{-1}\left(M^{\perp}\right)$. Where $F_{X}^{-1}\left(M^{\perp}\right)=\left\{x \in X \mid F_{X}(x) \cap M^{\perp} \neq \emptyset\right\}$.
Definition 2.6 ([12], [14]). Let $T \in L(X, Y)$. Assume that $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ are Chebyshev subspaces. If there is a homogeneous operator $T^{M}: D\left(T^{M}\right) \rightarrow D(T)$ such that
(1) $T T^{M} T=T, \quad$ on $D(T)$;
(2) $\quad T^{M} T T^{M}=T^{M}, \quad$ on $D\left(T^{M}\right)$;
(3) $T T^{M}=\pi_{R(T)}, \quad$ on $D\left(T^{M}\right)$;
(4) $T^{M} T=I-\pi_{N(T)}, \quad$ on $D(T)$,
then $T^{M}$ is called the Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse of $T$. Here, $D\left(T^{M}\right)=R(T)+F_{Y}^{-1}\left(R(T)^{\perp}\right)$.

By Definition 2.6 and Lemma 2.5, if $T^{M}$ exists, then the spaces $X, Y$ have the following unique decompositions:

$$
X=N(T) \dot{+} F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right), \quad Y=R(T) \dot{+} F_{Y}^{-1}\left(R(T)^{\perp}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.7 ([12]). Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be a linear operator with $R(T), N(T)$ as Chebyshev subspaces. Then there exists unique Moore-Penrose metric generalized inverse $T^{M}$ of $T$ such that

$$
T^{M}(y)=\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}(y)
$$

for any $y \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$. Here, $C(T)=D(T) \cap F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)$.
Lemma 2.8. Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be a linear operator with $R(T), N(T)$ as Chebyshev subspaces. Then $T^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{-} \pi_{R(T)}$ is independence of the choice of $T^{-} \in L(Y, X)$ which satisfied $T T^{-} T=T$.
Proof. Since $N(T), R(T)$ are Chebyshev subspaces, we have that $T^{M}$ exists and $T T^{M}=\pi_{R(T)}, T^{M} T=I-\pi_{N(T)}$. Let $B=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{-} \pi_{R(T)}$. Then

$$
B=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{-} \pi_{R(T)}=T^{M} T T^{-} T T^{M}=\pi_{R(T)}=T^{M}
$$

From Lemma 2.8, we know that, if $T^{-}$is a bounded linear operator, then $T^{M}$ is a bounded homogeneous operator and $\left\|T^{M}\right\| \leq 2\left\|T^{-}\right\|$.

Lemma 2.9 ([11, IV.5, Theorem 5.8]). Let X, Y be Banach spaces and let T be a closed linear operator with $D(T) \subseteq X, R(T) \subseteq Y$. Suppose that $T^{-1}$ exists. Then $T^{-1}$ is continuous if and only if $R(T)$ is closed in $Y$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed. If $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection, then $T^{M}$ is a closed homogeneous operator that is quasiadditive on $R(T)$.

Proof. Since $X, Y$ are reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces and $R(T), N(T)$ are Chebyshev subspaces, $T^{M}$ exists. By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$
T^{M}(y)=\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}(y), \quad \forall y \in D\left(T^{M}\right)
$$

Noting that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection, by Lemma 2.2, $\pi_{N(T)}^{-1}(0)=$ $F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)$ is a linear subspace, and so is $C(T)$. Thus, $\left.T\right|_{C(T)}$ is a linear operator from $C(T)$ onto $R(T)$ and consequently $\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1}$ is a linear operator from $R(T)$ onto $C(T)$.

For any $x_{n} \in D\left(T^{M}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{M}\left(x_{n}\right)=y$, we have

$$
y=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{M}\left(x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

Since $R(T)$ is closed, by Lemma 2.9, $\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1}$ is a continuous linear operator. Thus, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{R(T)}\left(x_{n}\right)=\left.T\right|_{C(T)} y$. By Proposition 2.1(5), $\pi_{R(T)}$ is a closed operator and so $\pi_{R(T)}(x)=\left.T\right|_{C(T)} y$. Thus, $y=\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}(x)$. Consequently, $T^{M}$ is a closed homogeneous operator.

Noting that $\pi_{R(T)}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$ and that $\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1}$ is a linear operator, it is easy to verify that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in$ $C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed. Then $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection if and only if $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$.

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 2.10, we know that if $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection, then $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$. On the contrary, suppose that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$. Then, for any $x, y \in D(T)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N(T)}(x+y) & =\left(I-T^{M} T\right)(x+y) \\
& =(x+y)-T^{M}(T x+T y) \\
& =x+y-T^{M} T x-T^{M} T y \\
& =\left(I-T^{M} T\right) x+\left(I-T^{M} T\right) y \\
& =\pi_{N(T)} x+\pi_{N(T)} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection.

## 3. The perturbation analysis of the Moore--Penrose metric GENERALIZED INVERSE

Let $T \in C(X, Y)$ and $\delta T$ be a linear operator with $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T)$. Recall that $\delta T$ is relatively bounded with respect to $T$ or simply $T$-bounded if there are constants $a, b>0$ such that

$$
\|\delta T x\| \leq a\|x\|+b\|T x\|, \quad \forall x \in D(T)
$$

The constant $b$ is called the $T$-bounded of $\delta T$. From [5, Theorem 1.1], we know that if $b<1$, then $\bar{T}=T+\delta T$ is closed if and only if $T$ is closed.

Let $T$ be a linear operator. The reduced modulus $\gamma(T)$ of $T$ is defined as

$$
\gamma(T)=\inf \{\|T x\| \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, N(T))=1, \forall x \in D(T)\}
$$

Here, $\operatorname{dist}(x, N(T))=\inf _{\forall y \in N(T)}\|x-y\|$. Obviously, $\gamma(T) \operatorname{dist}(x, N(T)) \leq\|T x\|$.
Let $M, N$ be the homogeneous subsets of Banach space $X$. Put

$$
\eta(M, N)= \begin{cases}\sup \{\operatorname{dist}(x, N) \mid x \in M,\|x\|=1\}, & M \neq\{0\} \\ 0, & M=\{0\}\end{cases}
$$

We define $\hat{\eta}=\max \{\eta(M, N), \eta(N, M)\}$ the gap between $M$ and $N$ (see [3]). Clearly, $\operatorname{dist}(x, N) \leq\|x\| \eta(M, N)$. If $M$ and $N$ are subspaces, then the gap between $M$ and $N$ is denoted by $\hat{\delta}=\max \{\delta(M, N), \delta(N, M)\}$ (see [5]).
Lemma 3.1. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed. If $T^{M}$ is a bounded homogenous operator, then

$$
\frac{1}{\left\|T^{M}\right\|} \leq \gamma(T) \leq \frac{\left\|T T^{M}\right\|}{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}
$$

Proof. Since $T^{M}$ is a bounded homogenous operator, we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, N(T))=\left\|x-\pi_{N(T)} x\right\|=\left\|T^{M} T x\right\| \leq\left\|T^{M}\right\|\|T x\|
$$

and so $\gamma(T) \geq \frac{1}{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}$.
Noting that $\operatorname{dist}(x, N(T))=\left\|T^{M} T x\right\|$, we have

$$
\gamma(T)\left\|T^{M} T x\right\|=\gamma(T) \operatorname{dist}(x, N(T)) \leq\|T x\|, \quad \forall x \in D(T)
$$

For any $y \in Y, T^{M} y \in D(T)$. Hence,

$$
\gamma(T)\left\|T^{M} T T^{M} y\right\| \leq\left\|T T^{M} y\right\|
$$

and consequently, $\gamma(T) \leq \frac{\left\|T T^{M}\right\|}{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}$.
Lemma 3.2 ([15, Lemma 1.3.6]). Let $T, \bar{T} \in C(X, Y)$ with $D(T)=D(\bar{T})$. Assume that there are constants $\lambda>0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\|\bar{T} x\| \geq \lambda\|T x\|+\mu\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in D(T)
$$

Then

$$
\gamma(\bar{T}) \geq \lambda \gamma(T)(1-2 \delta(N(T), N(\bar{T})))+\mu
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T$ be a T-bounded linear operator with $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T)$, $\bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Assume that $b<1$ and that

$$
\delta(N(T), N(\bar{T}))<\frac{(1-b) \gamma(T)-a}{2(1-b) \gamma(T)} .
$$

Then $\bar{T} \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(\bar{T})$ closed.
Proof. $\bar{T} \in C(X, Y)$ is evident since $b<1$.
Noting that $\delta T$ is a $T$-bounded linear operator, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{T} x\| & \geq\|T x\|-\|\delta T x\| \\
& \geq\|T x\|-[a\|x\|+b\|T x\|] \\
& =(1-b)\|T x\|-a\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\gamma(\bar{T}) \geq(1-b) \gamma(T)(1-2 \delta(N(T), N(\bar{T})))-a
$$

Thus, if

$$
\delta(N(T), N(\bar{T}))<\frac{(1-b) \gamma(T)-a}{2(1-b) \gamma(T)}
$$

then $\gamma(\bar{T})>0$ (i.e., $R(\bar{T})$ is closed).
Proposition 3.4. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in$ $C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T$ be a $T$-bounded linear operator with $b<1$ and $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T), \bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Then
(1) $\gamma(T)\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) \pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right\| \leq \frac{a}{1-b}\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right\|$,
(2) $|\gamma(\bar{T})-\gamma(T)| \leq\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right\| \gamma(\bar{T})+b \gamma(T)+a$,
(3) $\gamma(\bar{T}) \gamma(T)\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right) x\right\| \leq\{\gamma(\bar{T})+(1+b) \gamma(T)\}\|T x\|+a \gamma(T)\|x\|$ for any $x \in D(T)$,
(4) $\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right)\right\| \leq 2\left\{\frac{a}{(1-b) \gamma(T)}+\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)\right\}$.

Proof. Obviously, $\bar{T} \in C(X, Y)$ and $\pi_{N(\bar{T})}$, $\pi_{N(T)}$ exist by the assumption.
(1) Since $R(T)$ is closed, we have $\gamma(T)>0$. Thus, for any $x \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(T)\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| & =\gamma(T) \operatorname{dist}\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x, N(T)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|T \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|=\left\|(\bar{T}-\delta T) \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|=\left\|\delta T \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\delta T$ is a $T$-bounded linear operator with $b<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\delta T \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| & \leq a\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|+b\left\|T \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| \\
& =a\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|+b\left\|(\bar{T}-\delta T) \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| \\
& =a\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|+b\left\|\delta T \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have $\left\|\delta T \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| \leq \frac{a}{1-b}\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|$. Consequently, we get

$$
\gamma(T)\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| \leq \frac{a}{1-b}\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|
$$

(2) Since $N(T)$ is a Chebyshev subspace, by Lemma 2.5 (generalized orthogonal decomposition theorem), we have

$$
X=N(T)+F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right) .
$$

For any $x \in F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right),\|x\|=1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, N(T))=\left\|x-\pi_{N(T)} x\right\|=\|x\|=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a+b\|T x\| & \geq\|\delta T x\| \geq\|\bar{T} x\|-\|T x\| \\
& \geq \gamma(\bar{T}) \operatorname{dist}(x, N(\bar{T}))-\|T x\| \\
& =\gamma(\bar{T})\left\|x-\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|-\|T x\| \\
& \geq \gamma(\bar{T})\left\{\left\|x-\pi_{N(T)} x\right\|-\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x-\pi_{N(T)} x\right\|\right\}-\|T x\| \\
& =\gamma(\bar{T})-\gamma(\bar{T})\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right\|-\|T x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This easily implies that

$$
a+b \gamma(T) \geq \gamma(\bar{T})-\gamma(T)-\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right\| \gamma(\bar{T})
$$

Interchanging $\bar{T}$ and $T$, we get

$$
\pm(\gamma(\bar{T})-\gamma(T)) \leq\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right\| \gamma(\bar{T})+b \gamma(T)+a
$$

(3) For any $x \in D(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T x\|+\|\delta T x\| & \geq\|\bar{T} x\| \geq \gamma(\bar{T}) \operatorname{dist}(x, N(\bar{T})) \\
& =\gamma(\bar{T})\left\|x-\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| \\
& \geq \gamma(\bar{T})\left\|\pi_{N(T)} x-\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|-\gamma(\bar{T})\left\|x-\pi_{N(T)} x\right\| \\
& =\gamma(\bar{T})\left\|\pi_{N(T)} x-\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|-\gamma(\bar{T}) \operatorname{dist}(x, N(T)) \\
& \geq \gamma(\bar{T})\left\|\pi_{N(T)} x-\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|-\gamma(\bar{T}) \frac{\|T x\|}{\gamma(T)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(T) \gamma(\bar{T})\left\|\pi_{N(T)} x-\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\| & \leq(\gamma(\bar{T})+\gamma(T))\|T x\|+\gamma(T)\|\delta T x\| \\
& \leq\{\gamma(\bar{T})+(1+b) \gamma(T)\}\|T x\|+a \gamma(T)\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) By Lemma 2.5, $\pi_{N(T)}^{-1}(0)=F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)$. Thus, for any $y \in F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right) \cap$ $D(T), \pi_{N(T)} y=0$ and for any $x \in D(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x\right\| & =\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\left[\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x-y\right]\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\|\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x-y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\| \inf _{y \in F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)}\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x-y\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\| \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x, F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\|\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x\right\| \eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\|\|x\| \eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Associated with item (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right) x\right\| \\
& \quad=\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x-\pi_{N(T)} \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x+\pi_{N(T)} \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x-\pi_{N(T)} x\right\| \\
& \quad=\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x+\pi_{N(T)}\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) \pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|+\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-I\right) x\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \gamma(T)^{-1}\left\|\delta T \pi_{N(\bar{T}} x\right\|+\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\|\|x\| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{a}{(1-b) \gamma(T)}\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})} x\right\|+\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)\left\|\pi_{N(T)}\right\|\|x\| \\
& \quad \leq 2\left\{\frac{a}{(1-b) \gamma(T)}+\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)\right\}\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right)\right\| \leq 2\left\{\frac{a}{(1-b) \gamma(T)}+\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

Corollary 3.5. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T \in B(X, Y), \bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Then
(1) $\gamma(T)\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) \pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right\| \leq\|\delta T\|\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right\|$,
(2) $|\gamma(\bar{T})-\gamma(T)| \leq\left\|\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right\| \gamma(\bar{T})+\|\delta T\|$,
(3) $\gamma(\bar{T}) \gamma(T)\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right) x\right\| \leq \gamma(\bar{T})\|T x\|+\gamma(T)\|\bar{T} x\|$ for any $x \in D(T)$,
(4) $\left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right)\right\| \leq 2\left\{\gamma(T)^{-1}\|\delta T\|+\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)\right\}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T$ be a T-bounded linear operator with $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T)$.
(1) If $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection and $R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T)$, then $T^{M} \delta T$ is a linear operator. Furthermore, if $R(T)$ is topological-complemented in $Y$, then $T^{M} \delta T$ is a $T$-bounded linear operator.
(2) If $T^{M}$ is a bounded homogenous operator, then $\delta T T^{M}$ is bounded homogeneous operator and $\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\| \leq\left(a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b\right)$.

Proof. (1) Since $R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T)$, by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$
T^{M} \delta T=\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)} \delta T=\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1} \delta T
$$

Noting that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection, we have that $\left(\left.T\right|_{C(T)}\right)^{-1}$ is a linear operator form $R(T)$ onto $C(T)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus, $T^{M} \delta T$ is a linear operator.

Since $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection, by Lemma 2.2, $\pi_{N(T)}^{-1}(0)=F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)$ is a closed linear subspace. This shows that $N(T)$ is topological-complemented in $X$. Note that $R(T)$ is topological-complemented in $Y$, too. Therefore, there is a bounded linear operator $T^{-} \in B(Y, X)$ such that $T T^{-} T=T$. Thus, by Lemma 2.8, $T^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{-} \pi_{R(T)}$ is a bounded homogeneous operator. Then, for any $x \in D(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{M} \delta T x\right\| & =\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{-} \pi_{R(T)} \delta T x\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{-} \delta T x\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|T^{-}\right\|\|\delta T x\| \\
& \leq a\left\|T^{-}\right\|\|x\|+b\left\|T^{-}\right\|\|T x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $T^{M} \delta T$ is a $T$-bounded linear operator.
(2) For any $y \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\delta T T^{M} y\right\| & \leq a\left\|T^{M} y\right\|+b\left\|T T^{M} y\right\| \\
& \leq a\left\|T^{M}\right\|\|y\|+b\left\|\pi_{R(T)}\right\|\|y\| \\
& \leq\left(a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b\right)\|y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This indicates that $\delta T T^{M}$ is a bounded homogeneous operator and that

$$
\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\| \leq\left(a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b\right)
$$

Proposition 3.7. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in$ $C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T: D(\delta T) \rightarrow D\left(T^{M}\right)$ be a linear operator such that $D(T) \subset D(\delta T), R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T), \bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Assume that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $I+\delta T T^{M}: D\left(T^{M}\right) \rightarrow D\left(T^{M}\right)$ is bijective,
(2) $\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}=\left.\left(I+T^{M} \delta T\right)\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}: R\left(T^{M}\right) \rightarrow R\left(T^{M}\right)$ is bijective,
(3) $D\left(T^{M}\right)=\bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right)+N\left(T^{M}\right)$ and $N(\bar{T}) \cap R\left(T^{M}\right)=\{0\}$.

Proof. Since $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection, we have that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$ by Lemma 2.10. Since $N\left(T^{M}\right)=F_{Y}^{-1}\left(R(T)^{\perp}\right)$, we have $R(T) \cap N\left(T^{M}\right)=$ $\{0\}$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Assume that $W=I+\delta T T^{M}$ is bijective. For any $\xi \in R\left(T^{M}\right)$, there is a $z \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $\xi=T^{M} z$. Thus,

$$
T^{M} \bar{T} \xi=\left(T^{M} T+T^{M} \delta T\right) T^{M} z=\left(I+T^{M} \delta T\right) T^{M} z=\left(I+T^{M} \delta T\right) \xi
$$

This shows that $\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}=\left.\left(I+T^{M} \delta T\right)\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}$.

Let $\xi \in R\left(T^{M}\right)$ and let $T^{M} \bar{T} \xi=0$. Then $\left(I+T^{M} \delta T\right) \xi=0$. Since $W$ is invertible, we have

$$
\left(I-T^{M} W^{-1} \delta T\right)\left(I+T^{M} \delta T\right) \xi=0
$$

(i.e., $\xi=0$ ). So $\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}$ is injective.

For any $y \in R\left(T^{M}\right)$, there is a $z \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $y=T^{M} z$. Since $I+\delta T T^{M}$ is bijective, there is a $\xi \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $z=\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \xi$. Thus,

$$
y=T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \xi=T^{M} \bar{T} T^{M} \xi \in R\left(\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}\right) .
$$

This shows that $R\left(T^{M}\right) \subset R\left(\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}\right)$. Hence, $R\left(T^{M}\right)=R\left(\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}\right)$ and consequently $\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}$ is surjective.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. For any $\xi \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$, there is an $\eta \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $T^{M} \xi=$ $T^{M} \bar{T} T^{M} \eta$ since $\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}$ is surjective. Noting that $R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T)$ and $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$, we have $T^{M}\left(\xi-\bar{T} T^{M} \eta\right)=0$. Thus, $\zeta=\xi-\bar{T} T^{M} \eta \in$ $N\left(T^{M}\right)$ and so $D\left(T^{M}\right) \subseteq \bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right)+N\left(T^{M}\right) \subseteq D\left(T^{M}\right)$. Hence, $D\left(T^{M}\right)=$ $\bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right)+N\left(T^{M}\right)$.

For any $\xi \in N(\bar{T}) \cap R\left(T^{M}\right)$, there is $\eta \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $\xi=T^{M} \eta$ and $\bar{T} \xi=0$. So $T^{M} \bar{T} T^{M} \eta=0$. Since $\left.T^{M} \bar{T}\right|_{R\left(T^{M}\right)}$ is a injective, we have $T^{M} \eta=0$ (i.e., $\xi=0$ ). This proves that $N(\bar{T}) \cap R\left(T^{M}\right)=\{0\}$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. For any $\xi \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$, there are $\xi_{1} \in D\left(T^{M}\right), \xi_{2} \in N\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $\xi=\bar{T} T^{M} \xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$ since $D\left(T^{M}\right)=\bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right)+N\left(T^{M}\right)$. Let $\eta=T T^{M} \xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$. Then $\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \eta=\xi$, that is, $I+\delta T T^{M}: D\left(T^{M}\right) \rightarrow D\left(T^{M}\right)$ is surjective.

To prove $I+\delta T T^{M}$ is injective, let $\zeta \in D\left(T^{M}\right)$ such that $\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \zeta=0$. Noting that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(\delta T) \subset R(T)$, we have

$$
0=T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \zeta=T^{M} \bar{T} T^{M} \zeta
$$

and consequently $\bar{T} T^{M} \zeta \in \bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right) \cap N\left(T^{M}\right)$. Since $R(\delta T) \subset R(T)$, we have $\bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right) \subset R(T)$. Noting that $R(T) \cap N\left(T^{M}\right)=\{0\}$, we have $\bar{T} T^{M} \zeta=0$. Thus, $T^{M} \zeta \in N(\bar{T}) \cap R\left(T^{M}\right)=\{0\}$ and finally,

$$
0=\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \zeta=\zeta
$$

Corollary 3.8. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T: D(\delta T) \rightarrow D\left(T^{M}\right)$ be a linear operator such that $D(T) \subset D(\delta T), R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T), \bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Assume that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(\bar{T}) \cap R\left(T^{M}\right) & =\{0\}, \quad D(T)=N(\bar{T})+R\left(T^{M}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
D\left(T^{M}\right) & =R(\bar{T})+N\left(T^{M}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then $I+\delta T T^{M}: D\left(T^{M}\right) \rightarrow D\left(T^{M}\right)$ is bijective.
Proof. Since $D(T)=N(\bar{T})+R\left(T^{M}\right)$, we have $R(\bar{T})=\bar{T} R\left(T^{M}\right)$, and consequently $D\left(T^{M}\right)=\bar{T} R\left({ }^{M}\right)+N\left(T^{M}\right)$. Thus, $I+\delta T T^{M}: D\left(T^{M}\right) \rightarrow D\left(T^{M}\right)$ is bijective by Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.9. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in$ $C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T$ be a $T$-bounded linear operator with $D(T) \subseteq$ $D(\delta T)$. Assume that $R(T)$ is topological-complemented in $Y$ and that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(\delta T)$. If $\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|<1$, then $I+\delta T T^{M}$ is invertible, and

$$
\left\|\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, we have that $\delta T T^{M}$ is a bounded homogeneous operator. If $\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|<1$, then the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\left(\delta T T^{M}\right)^{n}\right\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|^{n}=\left(1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|\right)^{-1}<+\infty
$$

This shows the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}\left(\delta T T^{M}\right)^{n}$ is convergent to $A$, say. Since $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(\delta T)$, we have that

$$
\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left(\delta T T^{M}\right)^{i}
$$

converges to $\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right) A=A\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)$ and to $I$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. That is $\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}=A$ and consequently $\left\|\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}$.
Theorem 3.10. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T \in L(X, Y)$ be a $T$-bounded linear operator with $b<1$ and $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T), R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T), \bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Assume that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection and that $R(T)$ is topological-complemented in $Y$. If $\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|<1$, then
(1) $\bar{T}^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}$,
(2) $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\| \leq 2 \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}$,
(3) $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M}\right\| \leq 4\left\{\frac{a\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-b}+\frac{\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}{2}+\delta_{1}\right\} \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}$.

Here, $\delta_{1}=\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)$.
Proof. Since $R(T)$ is topological-complemented in $Y$ and $R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T)$, then $T^{M}$ and $\bar{T}^{M}$ are bounded homogeneous operator by Lemma 2.8.
(1) By Theorem 3.6(2), we know that $\delta T T^{M}$ is a bounded homogenous operator. If $\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|<1$, then $I+\delta T T^{M}$ is invertible by Proposition 3.9. Noting that $R(\delta T) \subseteq R(T)$, we have $T T^{M} \delta T=\delta T$. Thus, $\bar{T} T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \bar{T}=\bar{T}$ by simple computation. By Lemma 2.8, we have $\bar{T}^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}$.
(2) It is clear that $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}\left\|\pi_{R(T)}\right\|$ since $\left\|I-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right\| \leq 1$ and $\|(I+$ $\left.\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \| \leq \frac{1}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}$.
(3) Since $T^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M} \pi_{R(T)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{T}^{M}-T^{M} \\
& \quad=\left(I-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}-\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M} \pi_{R(T)} \\
& \quad=\left\{\left(I-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right) T^{M}-\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)\right\}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\left(\pi_{N(T)}-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right) T^{M}-T^{M} \delta T T^{M}\right\}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)} \\
& =\left(\pi_{N(T)}-\pi_{N(\bar{T})}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}-T^{M} \delta T T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For convenience, we set $\delta_{1}=\eta\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(\bar{T})^{\perp}\right), F_{X}^{-1}\left(N(T)^{\perp}\right)\right)$ in the following. By Proposition 3.4(4) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq 2\left\{\frac{a}{(1-b) \gamma(T)}+\delta_{1}\right\}\left\|T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq 4\left\{\frac{a}{(1-b) \gamma(T)}+\delta_{1}\right\} \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|} \\
& \quad \leq 4\left\{\frac{a\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-b}+\delta_{1}\right\} \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M}\right\| \leq & \left\|\left(\pi_{N(\bar{T})}-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}\right\| \\
& +\left\|T^{M} \delta T T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(T)}\right\| \\
\leq & 4\left\{\frac{a\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-b}+\delta_{1}\right\} \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}+\frac{2\left\|T^{M}\right\|\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|} \\
= & 4\left\{\frac{a\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-b}+\frac{\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}{2}+\delta_{1}\right\} \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.11. Let $X, Y$ be reflexive strictly convex Banach spaces, $T \in C(X, Y)$ with $R(T)$ closed, and let $\delta T \in L(X, Y)$ be a $T$-bounded linear operator with $D(T) \subseteq D(\delta T), N(T) \subseteq N(\delta T), \bar{T}=T+\delta T$. Assume that $\pi_{N(T)}$ is a linear metric projection and that $R(T)$ is topological-complemented in $Y$. If $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(\delta T)$ and $a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b<1$, then
(1) $\bar{T}^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(\bar{T})}$,
(2) $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\| \leq 2 \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}$,
(3) $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}\left(1+a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b\right)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6(2), we have that $\delta T T^{M}$ is a bounded homogenous operator and $\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\| \leq a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b$.
(1) Since $a\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\|+2 b<1$, we have $b<\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, let $\bar{T}$ be a closed linear operator with $I+\delta T T^{M}$ invertible. Then $N(T) \subseteq N(\delta T)$ shows that $\delta T=\delta T T^{M} T$. So, it is easy to check that $\bar{T} T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \bar{T}=\bar{T}$. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\bar{T}^{M}=\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(\bar{T})}
$$

(2) Obviously, $\bar{T}$ is a bounded homogenous operator and $\left\|\bar{T}^{M}\right\| \leq 2 \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}$.
(3) For any $\xi \in D(T), \pi_{R(\bar{T})} \xi \in R(\bar{T})$. Hence, there is an $x \in D(T)$ such that $\pi_{R(\bar{T})} \xi=\bar{T} x$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(\bar{T})} \xi & =\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \bar{T} x \\
& =\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}\left(T+\delta T T^{M} T\right) x=T x \in R(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $T^{M}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$ and $R(\delta T)$. So is $I+\delta T T^{M}$. Hence, $\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}$ is quasiadditive on $R(T)$ and $R(\delta T)$ since $I+\delta T T^{M}$ is invertible. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M} & =\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(\bar{T})}-\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M} \\
& =\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left\{\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1} \pi_{R(\bar{T})}-I\right\} \\
& =\left(I-\pi_{N(T)}\right) T^{M}\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}\left\{\pi_{R(\bar{T})}-I-\delta T T^{M}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{T}^{M}-T^{M}\right\| & \leq\left\|\left(I+\delta T T^{M}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|T^{M}\right\|\left(\left\|I-\pi_{R(\bar{T})}\right\|+\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}\left(1+\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\left\|T^{M}\right\|}{1-\left\|\delta T T^{M}\right\|}\left(1+a\left\|T^{M}\right\|+2 b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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