

pH-Controlled Self-Assembled Fibrillar Network Hydrogels: Evidence of Kinetic Control of the Mechanical Properties

Ghazi Ben Messaoud, Patrick Le Griel, Daniel Hermida-Merino, Sophie L. K. W. Roelants, Wim Soetaert, Christian Victor Stevens, Niki Baccile

▶ To cite this version:

Ghazi Ben Messaoud, Patrick Le Griel, Daniel Hermida-Merino, Sophie L. K. W. Roelants, Wim Soetaert, et al.. pH-Controlled Self-Assembled Fibrillar Network Hydrogels: Evidence of Kinetic Control of the Mechanical Properties. Chemistry of Materials, American Chemical Society, 2019, 31 (13), pp.4817-4830. 10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b01230. hal-02160875

HAL Id: hal-02160875 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02160875

Submitted on 20 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

2 pH-controlled self-assembled fibrillar network (SAFiN) hydrogels:

3 evidence of a kinetic control of the mechanical properties

- 4 Ghazi Ben Messaoud,^{a,†} Patrick Le Griel,^a Daniel Hermida-Merino,^b Sophie L. K. W.
- 5 Roelants,^{c,d} Wim Soetaert,^c Christian Victor Stevens,^e Niki Baccile^{a,*}
- 6
- 7 ^a Sorbonne Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Laboratoire de Chimie de
- 8 la Matière Condensée de Paris, LCMCP, F-75005 Paris, France
- 9 [†] Current address: DWI- Leibniz Institute for Interactive Materials, Forckenbeckstrasse 50,
- 10 52056 Aachen, Germany
- ^b Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), DUBBLE@ESRF BP CS40220,
- 12 38043 Grenoble, France
- ^c Ghent University, Centre for Industrial Biotechnology and Biocatalysis (InBio.be), Coupure
- 14 Links 653, Ghent, Oost-Vlaanderen, BE 9000
- ^d Bio Base Europe Pilot Plant, Rodenhuizekaai 1, Ghent, Oost-Vlaanderen, BE 9000
- ^e SynBioC, Department of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ghent University, Coupure Links
- 17 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium.
- 18

19 * Corresponding author:

- 20 Dr. Niki Baccile
- 21 E-mail address: niki.baccile@upmc.fr
- 22 Phone: 00 33 1 44 27 56 77
- 23
- 24

25 Abstract

Control of the nucleation and growth process in self-assembled fibrillary networks (SAFiN) 26 with the goal of preparing physical hydrogels from low molecular weight gelators (LMWG) is 27 well-established but mainly for temperature-driven hydrogelators. In the presence of other 28 stimuli, like pH, the fundamental knowledge behind gel formation still lacks. In particular, 29 whether pH affects nucleation and growth of the fibers and how this aspect could be related to 30 the stability of the hydrogel is still matter of debate. In this work, we establish a precise 31 relationship between the pH change rate during the micelle-to-fiber transition, observed for 32 33 stearic acid sophorolipids - a bolaform microbial glycolipid – and supersaturation. We show that tough SAFiN hydrogels are obtained for slow pH change rates, when supersaturation is 34 35 low, while weak gels, or even phase separation through powder precipitation, are obtained upon fast pH change. Interestingly, these results are independent of the pH change method, may it be 36 37 through manual variation using HCl, or by using the internal hydrolysis of glucono- δ -lactone (GDL), the latter being currently acknowledged as a unique way to systematically obtain tough 38 39 gel through internal pH change.

40

41 Introduction

The development of soft stimuli-responsive materials is a topic that has gained much 42 attention in the past decades for the applications in many fields including tissue engineering, 43 cosmetics, food and environmental science¹⁻⁸ and in relationship to the most recent materials' 44 processing techniques, like 3D⁶ and 4D printing.⁹ In this field, low molecular weight gelators 45 (LMWG),^{10,11} small compounds commonly forming self-assembled fibrillary network (SAFiN) 46 hydro- or organogels, attract a large interest for their potentially infinite possibilities in terms 47 of the (molecular) function - (gel) property. The gelation is generally driven by weak 48 interactions and can be triggered by numerous stimuli like temperature,¹² pH,¹³ salt¹⁴ or 49 enzymes.15 In this class of materials, fluorenyl-9-methoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) amino acid 50 derivatives are one of the most popular class of LMWG but peptides, peptide amphiphiles and 51 glycolipids^{16–20} are also largely explored. 52

Temperature-driven SAFiN hydro- and organogels are by far the most common systems benefitting of the largest knowledge. Their mechanisms of formation and relationship between the gel mechanical properties and fiber nucleation/growth phenomena are well-understood. Supersaturation, driven by large temperature variations between the sol and gel phases, is responsible for high degrees of fiber branching, leading to gels with poor mechanical properties.^{21–24} However, when it comes to the preparation of homogenous SAFiN hydrogels

triggered by pH as external stimulus, control of pH variation and of mechanical properties is 59 still challenging. Several approaches, like generation of carboxylic acids during anhydrides 60 hydrolysis²⁵ or UV irradiation of a photoacid generator²⁶ were developed as better alternatives 61 to an obvious manual addition of the acid. However, since a decade, it is commonly 62 acknowledged that use of glucono- δ -lactone (GDL, Figure 1b) is a straightforward, economic 63 and smart approach: *in situ* release of gluconic acid during the hydrolysis of GDL promotes the 64 formation of homogeneous SAFiN hydrogels.^{2,16,27,28} However, whichever the method of 65 acidification, the mechanisms of pH variation in relationship to the mechanical strength of the 66 gel are not fully understood. This is particularly true for hydrogels prepared by manual pH 67 change and of which the reported variations in terms of mechanical properties are also ascribed 68 to the differences in shear induced by mixing during gel formation.²⁹ Even for GDL, such a 69 relationship is not obvious and it is generally assumed that the final pH is practically the main 70 parameter that controls the mechanical properties of the hydrogels.^{2,30,31} 71

Recently, we have described the pH-induced fibrillation of the stearic derivative of 72 acidic sophorolipids (SLC18:0, Figure 1a).³² Sophorolipids are the most common, abundant 73 and commercially-available microbial glycolipid biosurfactant in the literature, ^{33,34} with 74 interesting self-assembly properties^{35–37} and a wide range of applications for their low 75 environmental impact, low cytotoxicty and good antimicrobial properties.^{33,34,38} SLC18:0 is 76 known to undergo a pH-driven micelle-to-fiber (twisted ribbon) phase transition at pH ~7.4 77 (Figure 1a), below which this compound forms a SAFiN, although the formation of a hydrogel 78 79 was never reported. To the best of our knowledge, in the broader field of glycolipid biosurfactants, only cellobioselipids and non-acidic symmetrical sophorolipids were shown to 80 have gelling properties.^{39–41} 81

If this compound could be expected to form a SAFiN hydrogel, which we show as the 82 first result of this work, we also report an unprecedented role of the kinetics of pH variation to 83 control the hydrogel homogeneity and to improve its mechanical properties. In contrast to the 84 abundant literature on pH-driven LMWG hydrogels (often composed of Fmoc-derivatives), we 85 86 show that both heterogeneous (through external HCl addition) and homogeneous (through internal GDL hydrolysis) acidification can induce the formation of homogeneous SAFiN 87 hydrogels with comparable mechanical properties. We show that fine tuning of the acidification 88 rate, using either HCl or GDL, controls the formation of a strong (homogeneous SAFiN), weak 89 gel or even no gel at all, due to the formation of spherulites. By combining rheology, multi-90 scale (from nm to mm) structural analysis and exploring the pH-induced sol-gel transition by 91 92 nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) in solution, we propose that the formation of SLC18:0 93 hydrogels is not driven by the final pH but it is a diffusion-limited process. Under these 94 circumstances, the method of acidification (external or internal) is not as important as initially 95 imagined, because supersaturation plays a much more crucial role in the nucleation and growth 96 mechanisms of the fibers during pH variation, in analogy to what is known in temperature-97 driven LMWG SAFiN.^{21,42-44} Slow pH variation kinetics promote homogeneous fibrillation and 98 tough hydrogels while fast kinetics induce spherulite formation and phase separation. 99



100

Figure 1 – a) Chemical structure of SLC18:0 microbial glycolipid and its pH-driven assembly from micelles
 to fibers and b) hydrolysis of glucono-δ-lactone (GDL) to gluconic acid in water.

103

105 Experimental Section

Chemicals. SLC18:0 ($M_w = 624.8 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}$) was obtained from SLC18:1 (Soliance, now 106 Givaudan Active Beauty, France). The monounsaturated SLC18:1 was first hydrolyzed in an 107 alkaline medium and the pH is then adjusted to ~4.5 to obtain the deacetylated open acidic form 108 and finally recovered using method 1 as reported previously.⁴⁵ The fully saturated SLC18:0 109 was then obtained by a chemical modification step described elsewhere.³² Glucono- δ -lactone 110 (GDL, Mw = 178.1 g.mol⁻¹) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 5 M NaOH and HCl stock 111 solutions were respectively prepared by the dissolution of an appropriate amount of solid 112 113 sodium hydroxide pellets (Sigma Aldrich) in water and by diluting 37 w% hydrochloric acid (Sigma Aldrich) in water. All solutions were prepared with Milli-Q-grade water. 114

115

Preparation of the hydrogels. The general method to prepare the hydrogels consists in 116 117 dispersing a given amount of SLC18:0 (in wt%) in water at the desired concentration, followed by sonication during 1-2 minutes, to break up the aggregated powder. The pH of the solution is 118 119 increased to pH ~11 under gentle magnetic stirring with few µL of 5 M NaOH (generally between 5 μ L and 20 μ L, according to the sample concentration, for a typical volume of 1 mL). 120 121 The solution, turbid at the equilibrium pH, becomes mostly clear at basic pH, as discussed in previous work,³² although slight turbidity can occur above pH 11 due to the formation of 122 platelets.⁴⁶ Hydrogels are then obtained by acidification of the basic solution. However, the 123 method to decrease the pH is critical for the hydrogel stability and properties. We present three 124 methods of acidification, of which two of them are classical in the literature, while the third 125 126 was specifically developed in this work.

1) Manual acidification using HCl. Manual acidification is a simple and classical 127 approach to decrease the pH. Despite its optimization to this specific system, one should be 128 careful to use it to obtain SLC18:0 hydrogels, because it lacks of precision and reproducibility. 129 Briefly, solutions of 1 M and 0.5 M of HCl are used to manually acidify the SLC18:0 solution 130 (values here are intended for a typical 1 mL solution). pH can be varied rapidly using 1 M HCl 131 solution until pH ~7.4. Then 2 μ L of a 0.5 M HCl (for SL \leq 2.5 wt%) or a 1 M HCl solution 132 (for SL > 2.5 wt%) are added dropwise under gentle stirring (~ 100 rpm) using a magnetic bar. 133 If small aggregates appear in solution, the sample must be sonicated between each added aliquot 134 until the aggregates dissolve. The solution becomes then more and more turbid, but 135 homogeneous. The stirring rate of the sample should be increased (> 300 rpm) due to the rise 136 of the solution viscosity. Under these conditions, one can keep adding HCl until the desired 137 138 final pH is reached (tough gels are generally obtained at $pH \sim 6$). If these steps are not performed

correctly, one obtains a biphasic system composed of a precipitate and a slightly turbid aqueous 139 phase at already pH ~ 7 and pH should be increased again to solubilize the sample and start the 140 acidification step again. Regeneration of the sample generates larger amounts of salt (here, 141 NaCl) and which may interfere with gel formation. However, salt concentrations up to at least 142 200 mM do not perturb gel formation. We discuss this point in the last section of the manuscript. 143 To increase the chances to reach the gel phase, we suggest to add a lag time of 5 min to 10 min 144 between each addition of HCl aliquots in the pH region between 7.4 and 6.5, when the micelle 145 to fiber transition occurs. In a standard successful experiment, the total amount of HCl 1 M 146 147 added should not increase 50 µL for a 1 mL solution at 5 wt%, that is final concentration of about 50 mM HCl. The final dilution factor, after taking into account the added volume of 148 NaOH and HCl generally does not not exceed 1.03~1.04. Using HCl solution of molarity above 149 150 1 M is not recommended due to the sharper pH jumps, which promote the formation of a 151 precipitate.

2) Use of GDL. In-situ hydrolysis of GDL is known to yield reproducible, stable and 152 153 tough hydrogels in low molecular weight gelators. This method was adapted to this system as follows. A given amount of GDL is weighted in a vial, to which the SLC18:0 solution at basic 154 155 pH is added. Mixing is immediately achieved by vortexing for approximately 20 - 30 seconds 156 and the sample is left at rest (no stirring is applied) with gelation taking place over few hours. The amounts are approximately $1:0.63 (\pm 15\%) = SLC18:0:GDL$ molar ratio for a SLC18:0 157 solution at pH \sim 11, and one can also follow the data in Table 1 for convenience. These values 158 are indicative and we suggest the reader to optimize the amount of GDL on his/her own system. 159 160 In fact, the error in the amount of GDL strongly depends on the amount of base introduced in the solution, that is on the initial pH of the SLC18:0 solution. Specific comments on the 161 employment of GDL will be given in the last section of the manuscript. 162

163 Table 1 – Typical concentration values (± 15%) of SLC18:0 and GDL to obtain a homogeneous hydrogel

164 starting from a solution at pH ~11.

C _{SLC18:0} / mg/mL	C _{GDL} / mg/mL
10	1.8
17.5	3.1
25	4.5
50	8.9
75	13.4
100	17.8

165

166 *3) Controlled acidification using HCl.*

167 This method was developed in this work in order to prepare reproducible SLC18:0

hydrogels using HCl. Manual acidification is replaced by an automated and more controlled 168 protocol. A given HCl solution (normally 1 M for a 5 wt% SLC18:0 solution) is placed in a 169 syringe, which is located in a programmable syringe pump. The acidic solution is brought to 170 the SLC18:0 vial through a thin wall microbore PTFE tube using controlled delivery rates. The 171 apparatus is shown in Figure S 1. In this work we have spanned the range $30 < \text{rate } [\mu L/h] <$ 172 6000, corresponding to molar rates 30 mM/h and 6 M/h. The SLC18:0 solution should be kept 173 under stirring (~ 300 rpm) and pH can be monitored so to stop HCl injection at the desired final 174 pH value. One should note that the molarity of the HCl solution can vary as long as one adjusts 175 176 the acid feeding rate in order to keep the overall molar rate constant and dilution factor low. For instance, hydrogels with similar properties can be obtained either with a 1 M HCl solution 177 at a rate of 30 μ L/h (30 mM/h) or with a 0.5 M HCl solution at a rate of 60 μ L/h (30 mM/h). 178 However using HCl concentrations below 0.5 M is not recommended due to the higher amount 179 180 of HCl needed to achieve pH~6, leading to a higher dilution of the final system.

181

182 Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS). SAXS experiments are performed at 25°C at the DUBBLE BM26B beamline at the ESRF synchrotron facility (Grenoble, France).^{47,48} Samples 183 184 have been analysed during the run SC4639 using a beam at 11.93 KeV and a sample-to-detector 185 distance of 2.10 m. Samples are prepared and inserted in 1 mm quartz tubes. The signal of the Pilatus 1M 2D detector (172 x 172 µm pixel size), used to record the data, is integrated 186 azimuthally with PyFAI to obtain the I(q) vs. q spectrum $(q = \frac{4\pi \sin \theta}{\lambda})$, where 20 is the 187 scattering angle) after masking systematically wrong pixels and the beam stop shadow. Silver 188 behenate ($d_{ref} = 58.38$ Å) is used as SAXS standard to calibrate the q-scale. Data are not scaled 189 190 to absolute intensity.

191

192 Rheology. Viscoelastic measurements were carried out using an Anton Paar MCR 302 rheometer equipped with parallel titanium or stainless steel sandblasted plates (diameter 25 193 mm). All experiments were conducted at 25 °C and the temperature was controlled by the 194 stainless steel lower plate, which is the surface of the Peltier system. During experiments, the 195 measuring geometry was covered with a humidity chamber to minimize water evaporation. To 196 197 characterize SLC18:0 hydrogels, strain sweep experiments were first conducted by changing the shear strain (γ) from 0.001% to 100% to determine the linear viscoelastic region (LVER). 198 After loading a new sample, values between $\gamma = 0.02 - 0.05$ % within the LVER were used in 199 the subsequent angular frequency (ω) sweep from 100 and 0.01 rad.s⁻¹. To monitor the gelation 200

kinetic of GDL-induced hydrogels, SLC18:0 solutions were mixed with the appropriate amount of GDL and the final mixture was vortexed for 20 seconds and immediately loaded on the bottom plate. Dynamic oscillatory time sweep experiments were performed by applying a constant oscillation frequency ($\omega = 6.28 \text{ rad.s}^{-1}$) and a shear strain (γ) within the LVER and data were collected during 360 minutes. A delay of 3-4 minutes occurs between the moment of mixing and the beginning of the measurement.

207

¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR): solution NMR was used to follow the kinetics of 208 micelle-to-fiber phase transition,⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ because it is only sensitive to fast-tumbling molecular 209 species in solution or in micellar environments, while crystalline solids are not detected. Time-210 211 resolved ¹H solution NMR experiments are acquired on a Bruker Avance III 300 spectrometer using a 5 mm ¹H-X BBFO probe at $T = 25^{\circ}$ C. Number of transient is 16 with 5 s recycling delay. 212 213 Experiments are carried out in D₂O as follows: a 2.5 wt% concentrated solution of SLC18:0 is prepared in 99.99% D₂O at pD ~ 11, using a 5 M solution of NaOD (NaOH powder dispersed 214 in D_2O). The solution is split in half and the ¹H NMR spectrum of the first half is recorded. The 215 second half is added to the corresponding amount of pre-weighted GDL (refer to Table 1) 216 217 necessary to obtain a homogeneous hydrogel. The mixture is eventually vortexed and inserted 218 in a standard 5 mm glass tube. A technical uncompressible delay of about 6 to 7 minutes occurs between the moment of mixing and the first recorded spectrum. The comparison between the 219 first spectrum and the solution at basic pH shows no real differences between the two spectra 220 and for this reason, the first recorded spectrum of the gelation kinetics is used for normalization. 221 The same experiment is repeated on a solution to which the amount of GDL is doubled, so to 222 obtain a precipitate instead of a homogeneous hydrogel. Attribution of the ¹H NMR spectrum 223 of SLC18:0 is provided in detail in ref.³². 224

Absolute values of the peak area as a function of time are obtained using the 225 226 "integration" and "relaxation" moduli of the TopspinTM 3.5 pl7 version of the software, while the full width at half maximun (FWHM) profiles have been automatically obtained by using of 227 DMFit software, available free of charge at the developer's website.^{52,53} We have observed 228 small phasing problems affecting the peak of H₂O during the kinetics experiments. Since this 229 is the most intense peak, poor phasing can affect the baseline in the vicinity of the anomeric 230 CH between 3 ppm and 4.5 ppm. This unavoidable fact strongly affects the actual value of the 231 peak area. For this reason, we only calculate the time-resolved evolution of the aliphatic peak 232 integral contained between 0.5 ppm and 2.5 ppm. 233

Avrami plots. The Avrami equation is commonly used to determine the nucleation and 235 growth mechanism of bulk crystals^{54,55} and it has been successfully applied to the study of 236 fibrillar self-assembled gels.^{21,22,56} The general form of the Avrami equation is $X_{cr} = 1 - 1$ 237 e^{-kt^n} , X_{cr} is the volume fraction of the crystalline phase at a given time of the reaction, k is 238 the kinetic constant, t is the time and n is the type of nucleation (heterogeneous or 239 240 instantaneous) and dimensionality of crystal growth, and where n is commonly contained between 1 and 4, indicating a 1-D or fiber-like, 2-D or platelet-like and 3-D growth. The Avrami 241 plot is generally applied in the nucleation and growth phase, so to avoid complex crystallization 242 effects.⁵⁷ Plotting $ln\{-ln[(1 - X_{cr})]\}$ against ln(t) gives access to n (slope) and ln(k)243 (intercept). In this work, $X_{cr} \equiv X_F$, where X_F is the fiber fraction obtained from ¹H NMR 244 according to $X_F = (1 - X_M) = (1 - X_A)$,⁵⁸ where X_M is the water-soluble micellar fraction, 245 which is experimentally obtained from the normalized peak area at $2.5 < \delta/\text{ppm} < 0.5$, here 246 247 referred to obtained as X_A .

248

Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy (Cryo-TEM). These experiments were carried 249 out on an FEI Tecnai 120 twin microscope operating at 120 kV equipped with a Gatan Orius 250 CCD numeric camera. The sample holder was a Gatan Cryoholder (Gatan 626DH, Gatan). 251 Digital Micrograph software was used for image acquisition. Cryofixation was done on a 252 253 homemade cryofixation device. The solutions were deposited on a glow-discharged holey carbon coated TEM copper grid (Quantifoil R2/2, Germany). Excess solution was removed and 254 the grid was immediately plunged into liquid ethane at -180 °C before transferring them into 255 256 liquid nitrogen. All grids were kept at liquid nitrogen temperature throughout all experimentation. 257

259 **Results and discussion**

260 *SLC18:0 forms hydrogels*

The micelle-to-fiber phase transition obtained by the pH-jump method on a diluted 261 solution (0.5 wt%) of SLC18:0 was studied in detail in previous works by combining cryo-262 TEM and pH-resolved in situ SAXS.^{32,59} In the fiber phase region, we observed that 263 centrifugation of a stable colloidal solution of SLC18:0 can easily lead to a fiber-rich lower 264 phase by forced syneresis. This observation suggests that SLC18:0 hydrogels can most likely 265 be obtained by the direct pH-jump if concentration is high enough, just as observed for 266 analogous LMWG, where gelation is driven by pH.^{18,27,60,61} To test this hypothesis, we prepare 267 a series of SLC18:0 samples at various concentrations both by manual acidification using HCl 268 269 solution (0.5 M or 1 M) and upon addition of GDL to the initial basic solutions at pH 11. The first method is straightforward but more user-dependent (please, refer to the experimental 270 section for a note on reproducibility), while the second method is user-independent, it provides 271 homogeneous SAFIN gels²⁷ but requires the addition of an extra molecule in close-to-equimolar 272 273 amounts (here optimized at 1 SLC18:0: 0.63 GDL) with respect to SLC18:0, and which could interfere with the self-assembly process. The rheological properties of a series of SLC18:0 274 275 samples prepared at pH 6 and various concentrations using the above mentioned methods are shown in Figure S 2. Dynamic strain sweep experiments performed on SLC18:0 samples, 276 277 prepared both by manual acidification using HCl (Figure S 2a) and upon GDL addition (Figure 278 S 2b), demonstrate a typical strain softening behavior. At low shear strain values both moduli exhibit a constant value with G' > G'', demonstrating the solid-like character of the samples; 279 upon shear strain increase, both moduli decreases from a given shear strain named as critical 280 shear strain (γ_c) which is calculated from the extent of the linear stress (σ) – strain (γ) 281 relationship (Figure S 2c). The extent of the linear viscoelastic regime from γ_c is related to 282 structural changes and gel disruption. At higher shear strain, a G' - G'' crossover is observed 283 and finally $G' \leq G''$, reflecting the fluidization of the samples, or a gel-to-sol transition. The 284 angular frequency-dependent storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli, measured for all samples both 285 by manual acidification using HCl (Figure S 2d) and addition of GDL (Figure S 2e), show that 286 $G'(\omega) > G''(\omega)$, with no evidence of angular frequency dependence of the storage modulus 287 $G' \propto \omega^0$, indicating that samples are gels over the entire angular frequency range. 288

289 Whichever the acidification method, concentration has a clear impact on the strength of 290 the hydrogels, where $2.10^{-2} < G'/kPa < 2$ for manual HCl addition, while $2.10^{-1} < G'/kPa < 200$ 291 in GDL. However, acidification through GDL systematically provide hydrogels with elastic

moduli in the order of two log units higher. This is summarized in profiles showing the 292 concentration dependency of the gel plateau storage modulus $G_0(C)$ for both methods of 293 acidification (Figure 2a). The $G_0(C)$ behavior is very useful to understand the rheological 294 behavior of hydrogels and their structural organization, based on theoretical models, originally 295 296 established for polymers but extended to fibrillary systems, because self-assembled filaments can be described as polymers with a significant bending rigidity.⁶² $G_0 \propto AC^n$, with A being a 297 constant and n an empirical exponent, is a well-known scaling law measured in colloidal and 298 polymer gels.^{63–65} From Figure 2a, G_0 scales linearly with concentration with a slope contained 299 between 2.0 and 2.4 for both manual HCl acidification and GDL, respectively. This 300 301 experimental $G_0(C)$ behavior is in a good agreement with scaling laws of entangled polymers in a good solvent and in a semidilute regime with n = 2.25,⁶³ or with n = 11/5, for entangled 302 semiflexible biopolymers.⁶⁶ Similar values are also found for fibrillary hydrogels composed of 303 bacterial cellulose⁶⁷ and LMWG, ^{2,18,41,67} but one should also mention that $n = 5/2^{66}$ was also 304 reported for highly cross-linked semiflexible biopolymer networks. If $G_0(C)$ indicates that 305 SLC18:0 samples become stiffer with concentration, they are also more sensitive to 306 deformation, as highlighted by the decrease of the theoretical critical strain, γ_c with increasing 307 308 concentration (Figure S 2f in the supporting information and discussion therein). Such concentration dependency of γ_c is commonly attributed to the reduction of the mesh size (the 309 average spacing between fibers) and reduction of the entanglement length (distance between 310 311 entanglement points).⁶⁶

The normalization of the strain sweep data (Figure S 2g) highlights the strain overshoot 312 nature of SLC18:0 samples.⁶⁸ Such strain hardening overshoot was previously reported for a 313 wide range of complex fluids like concentrated emulsions⁶⁹ or microgels suspensions,⁷⁰ but also 314 for SAFIN without discussing its origin.⁷¹ Depending on the complex fluid, the origin of the 315 strain overshoot can be attributed to an increase of the effective volume of temporal structures,⁷² 316 to a variation of aggregate size in suspensions⁷³ or to a rearrangement of clusters⁷⁴ during 317 oscillatory shear deformation.⁶⁸ However it's generally assumed that weak strain overshoot is 318 a result from the balance between the formation and the destruction of the network junctions.⁶⁸ 319 Here, we observe that the intensity of the reduced loss modulus (G''/G_0'') does not show a clear 320 321 dependence neither on the SLC18:0 concentration nor to the acidification technique (Figure S 2h-i). However, the mere presence of a strain hardening overshoot in this system indicates the 322 323 statistically-relevant presence of intermediate-size structures, which under large deformation will first resist against the imposed deformation, resulting in an increase in G'', before breaking 324

up above a given deformation limit, beyond which the SLC180 fibers align with the flow field, explaining the decrease in G''. The possible nature of these structures will be discussed by mean of microscopy tools, later on.

Based on the behavior of SLC18:0 samples under small and large strains, we applied 328 three cycles of step-strain experiments to evaluate the recovery time and mechanical yield of 329 the hydrogels after applying a large deformation (Figure 2b). During each cycle, samples are 330 331 first subjected to a constant strain of 0.02% (in the linear viscoelastic regime, 0.02 % $< \gamma_c$) before increasing the strain from 0.1% to 100% during 2 min (large deformation, 100 % >> γ_c) 332 and the strain is decreased again from 100% to 0.02% for 30 minutes. For both SLC18:0 333 samples prepared using either HCl or GDL, it was observed that before applying the first large 334 deformation, G' is constant and greater than G''; however, when a large deformation is applied, 335 G' becomes lower than G'', demonstrating the liquid-like behavior of these gel at high strain 336 values. Immediately after removing the 100% strain, SLC18:0 hydrogels prepared using HCl 337 338 and GDL respectively recovered 82% and 77% of their original stiffness (average values after three cycles). After three cycles, the average complete recovery time is estimated to be ~7 min 339 340 and ~3 min for SLC180 hydrogels prepared using HCl and GDL, respectively. The interesting recovery yield and time (few minutes) highlight the self-healing feature of the SLC18:0 341 hydrogels. 342

The rheological characterization of SLC18:0 samples prepared both by manual acidification using HCl and addition of GDL (molar ratio of 1 SLC18:0 : 0.63 GDL) demonstrate the successful preparation of SLC180 hydrogels with interesting mechanical properties (stiffness and self-healing properties). We highlight two important points:

1) The gain in magnitude of the storage modulus $G'(\omega)$ between the HCl and GDL approach is close to two orders of magnitude in favour of the GDL approach. This observation is not surprising and is comparable to what was reported for Fmoc conjugated peptides,^{16,27,28,31} where GDL-driven gelation was implemented to prepare repeatable homogeneous and strong fibrillar hydrogels. Our results confirm the true interest in using GDL over manual HCl pH variations also for the SLC18:0 LMWG.

The $G_0(C)$ behavior of SLC18:0 samples span between theoretical prediction of hydrogels driven by entanglement, although cross-linking due to tip- and side-branching should not be excluded: highly cross-linked semiflexible biopolymer networks have shown an exponent of n = 5/2, as predicted by the Mackintosh, Käs and Janmey theory.⁶⁶ Moreover, similar exponents were also attributed to cross-linking LMWG-derived hydrogels.^{2,75}

If these interesting results class SLC18:0 as a new LMWG, similarly to FMOC 359 derivatives and other carbohydrate-based compounds,⁷⁶⁻⁸⁰ we must highlight a drastic user-360 dependent reproducibility of the hydrogels both when using HCl and non-optimized GDL 361 addition. We have in fact experienced many failures, consisting in powder precipitation instead 362 of hydrogel formation, while reducing the pH. Considering that all experiments within a given 363 method are performed under equal conditions of temperature, initial pH and dilution factors, 364 we make the hypothesis that the rate of pH change may have crucial effects in the mechanical 365 366 properties of gel.





368

Figure 2 - a) Evolution of the plateau modulus (G_0) with SLC18:0 concentration at pH 6. Gels are prepared both by manual acidification using HCl 1 M (triangles) and upon GDL addition (circles, molar ratio 1 SLC18 : 0.63 GDL) to basic SLC18:0 solution (initial pH ~11). The dashed lines are theoretical scaling predictions for entangled semiflexible polymers (De Gennes, n=2.25;⁶³ Mackintosh et al., n=11/5)⁶⁶ and cross-linked networks (Mackintosh et al., n=5/2).⁶⁶ b) Three cycles of step-strain experiments (ω = 6.28 rad.s⁻¹, destructuring at $\gamma = 100$ % during 2 min followed by recovery at $\gamma = 0.02$ % during 30 min).

375

376 *The acidification rate controls the mechanical properties*

If manual addition of HCl has long been questioned to provide hydrogels with lower 377 elastic moduli²⁷ and probably being one of the reasons for poor quantitative agreement in terms 378 of hydrogel mechanical properties among different authors,¹⁶ the impact of GDL amount on the 379 gel properties has equally been questioned.³¹ We have ourselves tested several SLC18:0:GDL 380 molar ratios and we surprisingly observe that above an optimal amount of GDL, which is 381 empirically set at approximately 1:0.63 (\pm 15%), a powdery precipitate is systematically 382 observed. Similarly, lower GDL amounts do not promote gelation, because pH remains above 383 384 the micelle-to-fiber phase transition. To prove the direct impact of pH rate change on the

hydrogel mechanical properties, we have also prepared several hydrogels replacing the standard manual HCl 1 M addition (concentration of SLC18:0 was 5 wt%) with a controlled rate by mean of a syringe pump (apparatus is shown in Figure S 1). We have spanned the pH change rates over two orders of magnitude, between 30 μ L/h and 6000 μ L/h. Figure 3a and Figure 3b respectively report the corresponding time-dependent pH profiles, while the mechanical properties of the final hydrogels prepared using both HCl at different acidification rates and with different GDL amounts are given in Figure 3c-e.

For samples prepared using GDL, the evolution of the pH of a SLC18:0 1 wt% solution 392 with time at three GDL molar ratios (0.63, 0.94, 1.25) is shown in Figure 3b, while the 393 corresponding evolutions of G' are given in Figure 3c. For GDL ratios below 0.94, a 394 395 homogeneous hydrogel is systematically obtained, while at 1.25 the solution has practically no mechanical properties and a powder precipitate is generally observed at the bottom of the vial. 396 397 The pH drop profiles with time (Figure 3b) show indeed that the rate of pH change is the same for all samples below 20 min, that is during the initial hydrolysis of GDL and until pH settles 398 399 between 7 and 7.4. Above 20 min, the largest amount of GDL (1.25) induces a faster decay in pH for a final pH below 5 after 250 min, compared to about 6/6.5 for lower GDL amounts. If 400 similar differences in terms of pH decay rate have been observed by Adams et al.,³¹ they did 401 not observe an impact on the gel mechanical properties, which was rather affected by the value 402 of the final pH.^{2,30,31} In this work, precipitation occurs as early as 30-40 min after excess of 403 GDL is added, that is when pH is still sufficiently high (between 6 and 7), in contrast to what 404 405 was found with Fmoc-conjugated peptides. If final pH effects are excluded in this system, this point will be commented in more detail in the last section of this manuscript. 406

For 5 wt% SLC18:0 hydrogels prepared using HCl at different acidification rates, at 407 $6000 \,\mu$ L/h (black squares), the pH drops below 6 within 1 minute and a powder is immediately 408 obtained. The corresponding G' (~1 Pa, Figure 3e) is practically not significant but it is 409 analogous to the plateau modulus of GDL at 1.25 molar ratio (magenta hexagons, Figure 3c). 410 At lower HCl addition rates (between 100 and 1000 μ L/h), the mechanical properties gradually 411 increase (Figure 3e) up to the kPa domain. The elastic modulus becomes comparable with a 5 412 wt% gel obtained with manual addition of HCl (Figure 3d), but also with a 1 wt% gel obtained 413 by using optimal amounts of GDL (black squares, Figure 3c). Very interestingly, for very small 414 HCl addition rates $(30 \,\mu\text{L/h})$ the time evolution of pH (diamonds, Figure 3a) matches exactly 415 the time-dependent pH profiles recorded in the presence of GDL at 0.63 and 0.94 molar ratios 416 (black and red-segmented lines, Figure 3b) up to 100 min. The elastic modulus of the SLC18:0 417 5 wt% hydrogel obtained at a HCl addition rate of 30 µL/h (Figure 3e) is now one order of 418

magnitude superior if compared to a 5 wt% gel obtained by the manual HCl method (Figure 419 3d) and only a factor two (linear scale) lower compared to a 5 wt% SLC18:0 hydrogel obtained 420 by GDL (Figure 3d). In clear, at constant pH (here, 6) and concentration (5 wt%), controlling 421 the acidification rate below 50 µL/h generates the same time-dependent evolution of pH 422 423 compared to GDL (up to 100 min) and is responsible for a 50-fold improvement in the elastic modulus compared to manual HCl addition. On the other hand, data in Figure 3d, e also indicate 424 that manual pH variation, although more difficult to reproduce, can still produce hydrogels with 425 interesting, yet not optimized, mechanical properties. 426

427 One can conclude that homogeneous and tough hydrogels with comparable elastic moduli could be obtained both by GDL and HCl, provided a very low (< 30 μ L/h for a typical 428 HCl 1 M used in SLC18:0 at C= 5 wt%) acidification rate when employing a HCl solution 429 (more general considerations on the acidification rates expressed in terms of mM/h are 430 431 commented in the last section of the manuscript). Gels are generally formed during the pHlowering process but, as expected, hardening occurs after one to two hours after removing the 432 433 magnetic stirrer shear. Uncontrolled acidification rates certainly explain part of the discrepancies in terms of mechanical properties of LMWG hydrogels found in the literature.^{16,27} 434 435 Moreover, other parameter like stirring (i.e., shearing the sample during hydrogel formation and fiber growth) during HCl acidification were also suggested to affect the hydrogels 436 mechanical properties, and which promoted the use of GDL in the past.²⁹ 437

As a last remark, we highlights that for sufficiently low HCl acidification rates and for 438 optimum GDL amounts, the pH rises shortly after an initial abrupt drop and before decreasing 439 again. The length and moment in time of the pH rise varies with the acidification rate (or GDL 440 amount) but it is systematically observed. Adams et al.,^{49,81} as well as other authors⁸² reported 441 the same phenomenon on Fmoc-conjugated peptides acidified with GDL and they attributed it 442 to the difference between the pKa of the monomer with respect to the apparent pKa 443 444 corresponding to the self-assembled peptide. This is most likely due to the well-known charge compensating process, that lies behind the origin of the apparent pka, a phenomenon often 445 observed in self-assembled fatty acids. When fatty acids assemble into a crystal or even a 446 447 lamellar phase, the surface charge density is initially neutralized by a diffusion of protons form the bulk solution, which is the origin of the temporary raise in bulk pH.⁸³⁻⁸⁵ 448





451 Figure 3 – Time evolution of pH time measured for SLC18:0 solutions (V=1 mL) at a starting pH 11. In a), 452 the pH of 5 wt% SLC18:0 solutions is lowered under stirring (~ 300 rpm) using controlled rates of addition 453 of HCl 1 M. In b), the pH is lowered for a 1 wt% SLC18:0 at pH 11 (V= 1 mL) upon vortexing (20 s - 30 s) 454 with GDL, where x stands for the GDL molar ratio with respect to one mole of SLC18:0. The solution is left 455 at rest after vortexing. c) Time evolution of the elastic moduli (data are collected in the linear domain, ω = 456 6.28 rad.s⁻¹; $\gamma = 0.02\%$) of SLC18:0 solutions at various concentrations upon mixing with GDL. d) Plateau 457 elastic moduli measured at 5 wt% using the GDL and manual HCl methods. e) Evolution of the plateau 458 elastic moduli ($\omega = 6.28$ rad.s⁻¹, $\gamma = 0.02\%$, each point is averaged over 10 minutes of acquisition) for a series 459 of 5 wt% SLC18:0 hydrogels prepared from pH 11 with various rates of addition of a 1 M HCl solution.

460

461 Supersaturation and spherulite formation depend on the pH change rate. A multiscale analysis.

The data presented above are in contrast, to the best of our knowledge, with the existing literature on the mechanistic aspects of pH-driven hydrogels for LMWG (mainly recorded on Fmoc-conjugated peptides), and which is based on the following. GDL is preferred to HCl and it always gives a homogeneous fibrillar hydrogel. This is shown by Adams^{16,27,31} and confirmed by micro-rheology data;^{82,86} the rate of pH change has little influence on the gel mechanical properties, which are rather governed by the final pH.^{2,30,31}

To better understand the discrepancy between the mechanism of formation of SLC18:0 hydrogels and Fmoc-conjugated peptides, we investigate the structural and morphological properties of the fibers over a broad scale, from the nanometer to the micrometer range, 471 combining SAXS, cryo-TEM and optical microscopy. Data on the kinetics of fiber formation
472 are also evaluated by ¹H NMR. It is important to stress that, in order to avoid artifacts, all
473 experiments have been collected on wet samples and no observation has been performed on
474 neither air dried nor freeze-dried samples.

475 The nanoscale structure of the hydrogels prepared both with HCl and GDL has been studied with SAXS, presented in Figure S 3. The SAXS profiles of hydrogels obtained with 476 HCl and GDL (Figure S 3a) and with GDL at x = 0.63 (hydrogel) and x = 2.52 (powder 477 precipitate) (Figure S 3b) are all comparable and they are the typical fingerprint of SLC18:0 478 twisted ribbons, as described elsewhere.^{59,87} All data are characterized by a broad diffraction 479 peak at q = 2.36 nm⁻¹, indicative of the lipid packing in the ribbon plane, an oscillation at about 480 0.75 nm⁻¹, probably indicating the ribbon form factor, and a strong low-q scattering with no 481 plateau. The fibrillary and twisted ribbon morphology is confirmed at a larger scale (> 100 nm) 482 by cryo-TEM experiments presented in Figure 4a1, Figure 4b2 and Figure 4c2 for, respectively, 483 HCl (5 M, powder), GDL (x= 0.63, hydrogel) and GDL (x= 2.52, powder) samples. 484 485 Combination of SAXS and cryo-TEM irrefutably show that neither GDL at any amount nor the pH-change rate have perturbed the formation of twisted ribbons and the packing of SLC18:0 486 487 within the ribbons. The poorer mechanical properties observed for excess of GDL and fast pH 488 change rates must then be explained by differences in the morphology/aggregation at a larger scale. Cryo-TEM of the powder precipitates obtained either by employing 5 M HCl (Figure 489 4a2) or GDL x = 1.25 (Figure 4c1, Figure 4c2) shows both spherulitic aggregates (Figure 4a2) 490 and side branching (Figure 4c1, Figure 4c2). On the contrary, cryo-TEM corresponding to a 491 stable hydrogel obtained with x = 0.63 GDL shows a homogeneous network of twisted ribbons 492 with little amount of spherulites and side-branched fibers. One should observe nonetheless that 493 the fiber cross section is heterogeneous, whichever the approach employed; diameters varying 494 between 10 nm to 50 nm are not uncommon in none of the samples, as already observed in 495 more diluted SLC18:0 systems;^{32,87} fibers of high cross-sectional uniformity could only be 496 obtained after dialysis.87 497





Figure 4 - a-c) Cryo-TEM images recorded on a series of samples prepared at 0.5 wt% SLC18:0 and
acidified using a1-a2) 5 M HCl (powder precipitate), and SLC18:0:xGDL, with b1-b2) x= 0.63, c1-c2) x=
1.25.

Further observations at a larger scale using optical microscopy confirm the above 502 assumptions. A homogeneous gel (obtained with x = 0.63 GDL) displays a broad fibrillar 503 504 network (SLC18:0 at 1 wt%), where sporadic nucleation centers are not uncommon (Figure 5a). Similar results are obtained for the hydrogel prepared at 5 wt% using HCl at a rate of 60 µL/h 505 506 (Figure 5c). In contrast, spherulites strongly characterize those samples that form a precipitate in solution, regardless the method of preparation: excess of GDL (x = 1.25, Figure 5b) and fast 507 HCl rates (6000 µL/h, Figure 5d). Interestingly, the presence of both spherulites and branched 508 interconnected fibers is compatible, and it can actually explain, the peculiar strain hardening 509 510 overshoot characterizing the strain sweep data (Figure S 2a,b), and put in evidence in the reduced viscous modulus (G''/G''_0) as function of the reduced shear strain (γ/γ_c) profiles 511 (Figure S 2g,h,i). Rheology data, briefly commented in the mechanical properties section and, 512 513 more extensively, in the Supporing Information, the support the existence of spherulites and branched structures from a statistical point of view. 514



Figure 5– a,b) Optical microscopy images of SLC18:0 (1 wt%) after *x*GDL with a) *x*= 0.63 and b) *x*= 1.25,
being the SLC18:0:*x*GDL molar ratio. c,d) Optical microscopy of SLC18:0 (5 wt%) after HCl (1 M) addition
at c) 60 μL/h and d) 6000 μL/h. For all samples, initial pH ~11 and final pH is contained between 6 and 6.5.

The kinetics of crystallization can be followed via ¹H NMR spectroscopy, which is only 520 sensitive to the compound in a fast-tumbling (e.g., micellar phase), but not crystalline, 521 environment (e.g., fibers). Figure S 4a shows the evolution of the crystalline fraction (as defined 522 in the materials and method section), X_C , of SLC18:0 (2.5 wt%) with time after adding GDL at 523 x = 0.63 and x = 1.25, where the former produces a homogeneous gel and the latter a powder 524 525 precipitate. In both cases, the final X_c is about 0.8, thus excluding the possibility that the poor mechanical properties in the x=1.25 GDL sample depend to a smaller fraction of self-assembled 526 fibers. The time evolution of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) shows larger values for 527 the gel (up to 35 Hz compared to ~25 Hz for the powder), in agreement with a more 528 homogeneous environment, where the mobility of micellar SLC18:0 is further reduced due to 529 hydrogel formation. One should note that the discontinuities in both X_C and FWHM plots are 530 most likely artifacts due to problems in a satisfactory baseline subtraction and consequently to 531 the signal integration, as explained in the materials and methods section. 532

The multi-scale study shows that the only major difference between two SLC18:0
samples prepared at the same concentation and temperature but different pH change rates (either

using GDL or HCl) is constituted by the morphology at the micron scale: spherulites, originated 535 by tip- and side-branching phenomena during crystallization and growth, are systematically 536 detected. Previous studies on pH-driven formation of hydrogels using LMWG did not show 537 similar features and spherulitic domains where only obtained in solvent-triggered gels.^{2,16,88} 538 Tip- and side-branching phenomena are well-documented in LMWG systems, they are well-539 understood and described for temperature-driven hydro and oleogels.^{21,22,43,44} The correlation 540 between mechanical properties of the gel and branching was also established: at high branching 541 degree, spherulites dominate the gel and the mechnical properties become lower than in more 542 homogeneous gels.^{42,44} 543

544 Branching occurs when growth prevails over nucleation rate. When nucleation occurs 545 at the surface of an existing fiber, the crystallographic mismatch nucleation barrier is inversely 546 proportional to supersaturation, σ ,

547

$$\sigma = \frac{c - c_{eq}}{c_{eq}}$$
 Eq.1

where *C* is the actual molar fraction and C_{eq} the equilibrium molar fraction of a solute in solution at a given temperature. It has been widely demonstrated for LMWG that the higher the supersaturation, the lower the mismatch nucleation barrier, the higher the branching degree, with a consequent loss in the mechanical properties. If temperature, cooling rate and even seeding are commonly regarded at as the main factors impacting supersaturation in LMWG, pH variation was seldom systematically investigatigated.



554

Figure 6– Time-evolution of the soluble micellar molar fraction, X_m , of SLC18:0 (2.5 wt%) in water upon addition of optimum (x= 0.63) and excess (x= 1.25) of GDL. The pH change profile is also provided. Initial pH is ~11. x is the amount of GDL in the molar ratio SLC18:0:xGDL.

558

Figure 6 demonstrates the link between pH rate change and supersaturation according to the assumption that σ is not only temperature but also pH-dependent, $\sigma(pH)$. In this case, Eq. 1 can be rewritten as in Eq. 2, where dependency on pH is now explicited and dependency on temperature is omitted, assuming that all experiments are performed at the same temperature.

$$\sigma(pH) = \frac{C(pH) - C_{eq}(pH)}{C_{eq}(pH)}$$
 Eq. 2

 $C_{eq}(pH)$ is now the pH-dependent equilibrium concentration of SLC18:0; although we do not 564 know the exact values, $C_{eq}(pH)$ is inversely proportional to the concentration of [H⁺] in 565 solution (SLC18:0 precipitates in the form of twsted ribbons by lowering the pH). C(pH), on 566 the contrary, can be estimated through ¹H NMR and its evolution with pH is simply 567 $C(pH) = C_{pH11} * X_m(pH)$, where C_{pH11} is the SLC18:0 concentration at pH ~11, when it is 568 totally dissolved in solution, and $X_m(pH)$ is the molar fraction of SLC18:0 in a micellar 569 environnement determined through ¹H NMR. Eq. 2 can then be rewritten and rearranged as a 570 571 function of $X_m(pH)$, as follows,

572

$$\sigma(pH) = X_m(pH) \frac{c_{pH11}}{c_{eq}(pH)} - 1$$
 Eq. 3

When pH decreases, $\frac{C_{pH11}}{C_{eq}(pH)}$ is always higher than 1 and it is independent of the pH change rate. 574 On the contrary, even if $\lim_{pH\to acid} X_m(pH) \simeq 0$, the rate at which this event occurs may vary 575 from system to system. $\sigma(pH)$ is then maximized when pH is low (small $C_{eq}(pH)$) and when 576 $\lim_{pH\to acid} X_m(pH)$ remains close to 1 as long as possible. In other words, if $X_m(pH)$ is close to 577 unity all along the decrease in pH, supersaturation is enhanced and branching occurs. This is 578 experimntally observed for the SLC18:0. Figure 6 shows that x=0.63 GDL after about 70 min, 579 pH ~6 and X_m has dropped much below 0.8. In this case, one expects small supersaturation and 580 low branching: at x=0.63 GDL a homogeneous hydrogel is always obtained for any SLC18:0 581 concentration (Figure 3d, Figure 3c). On the contrary, in excess of GDL, $X_m(pH)$ decreases at 582 a much slower rate, while pH drops fast: after 70 min, pH ~6 and X_m ~0.92. In this case, 583 584 supersaturation and branching are promoted, as verified experimentally (Figure 4c1,c2, Figure 585 5b,d).

The following intriguing question should be answered at this point: why do pH change 586 rate and supersaturation have such an impact on the self-assembly of SLC18:0, while they do 587 not on the hydrogel formation of most Fmoc-conjugated peptides, for which GDL concetrations 588 as high as 2 M are used to form stable hydrogels?²⁷ To answer this question one could question 589 both the probability and diffusivity of the acido-base reaction in our system. Literature data 590 concerning SAFiN hydrogels based on Fmoc-conjugated peptides suggest that in the general 591 $R - COO^{-} + H^{+} \rightleftharpoons R - COOH$ (*R* being a general aliphatic backbone) equilibrium, the 592 reaction is shifted towards the acid, of which the formation is fast upon acidification and 593 594 diffusion is rapid. On the contrary, the data presented in this work on the SAFiN hydrogel 595 formation of SLC18:0 suggest small reaction probabilities and/or slow diffusion. At a molecular level, the presence of a micellar phase at basic pH for SLC18:0^{32,89} could explain the 596 597 discrepancy between SLC18:0 and the literature. Two possible sources of rate-limiting steps can be identified: 1) low reaction probability of the hydronium ions with the carboxylate groups; 598 2) slow diffusion of R - COOH from the micelle to the nucleation site. Although, at present, 599 none of these hypotheses can be easily verified, we can formulate the following comments. 600 601 Reaction rates in micellar solution are well-known to be affected by the presence of the micelles.⁹⁰ In the present system, it could be possible that the reaction probability between 602 hydronium ions and the carboxylate group in the micelle is not high because the latter does not 603 necessarely lie at the micelle-water palisade, as in classical head-tail surfactant micelles, but it 604 could diffuse between the micelle interior and surface. SLC18:0 is a bolaamphiphile and its 605

micellar structure is not as well-defined as the structure of a common head-tail surfactant. We 606 have specifically studied the structure of sophorolipid micelles^{89,91} and found that the carboxilic 607 group could be located within the entire volume of the micelle. In the second hypothesis, two 608 scenarios could hold. In the first one, the diffusion rate of a single SLC18:0 molecule after 609 protonation is slow compared to the pH change rate; in the second scenario, the micellar 610 aggregate is able to retain a critical number of protonated SLC18:0 and above which the micelle 611 burst out, thus releasing its entire molecular population, which diffuses immediately towards a 612 nucleation site. Unfortunately, we do not dispose of any quantitative data to support these 613 scenarios, but we have nonetheless shown that the micelle-to-fiber transition in SLC18:0 occurs 614 in a narrow pH range and without any morphological transition between the micelle and the 615 fiber, possibly supporting the second scenario.89 It goes without saying that further 616 understanding of the nature of the supersaturation requires further experimental data, but this is 617 618 out of the scope of this work. Nonetheless, Avrami plots (please refer to the materials and method section form more information) for the gel and powder samples obtained from ¹H NMR 619 620 data (Figure S 4c) indicate a value for the exponent n=0.45, where values of n below unity, although uncommon, are typically found in systems with diffusion-controlled crystallization 621 growth and heterogenous nucleation,^{56,57,92,93} thus supporting the overall mechanistic 622 hypothesis. 623





Figure 7– The pH-dependent mechanism of hydrogelation of SLC18:0 (at room temperature) strongly depends on the supersaturation level of the solution. For slow pH variations in time (black curve on top), supersaturation is low and the SAFiN is compatible with a diffusion-limited nucleation and growth of the fibers, leading to homogenous tough gels. For fast pH variations in time (black curve on the bottom),

supersaturation is high and the fibrillary network is rich in side branching and spherulites, leading to weak
gels or loss of a gel due to precipitation. The red dotted line represents the theoretical solubility line of
SLC18:0 with pH.

633

634 Figure 7 summarizes the main findings of this work; at basic pH, which can be contained between 8 and 11, SLC18:0 is soluble in water in its ionic form and from previous studies we 635 636 know that it forms micelles, although coexisting with a minority of nanoscale platelets (these can be actually visible in suspension by the eye at pH above 10.5-11).^{32,46,59} When pH is reduced 637 gradually (upper part of scheme in Figure 7 at controlled low rates (< ~ 50 mM/h, please refer 638 to the last section for more comments on the rate), either using GDL or HCl, both acido-base 639 reactions at the micelle-water palisade and diffusion of SLC18:0 molecules from the micellar 640 environment to the nucleation sites are allowed enough time to occur. Growth can then take 641 place without crystallographic mismatch, because supersaturation is kept at minimum due to 642 the fact that the molar fraction of soluble solute follows the reduction in the equilibrium 643 concentration at each pH. A homogeneous fiber network with low degree of branching is 644 eventually formed and the hydrogel mechanical properties are maximized. On the opposite, if 645 646 pH is decreased rapidly, the equilibrium concentration drops too fast with respect to both acidobase reaction probability and diffusion rate of SLC18:0. In this case, supersaturation is high due 647 to the large difference between the amount of soluble lipid, still high, and the actual low pH, 648 which imposes small value of the equilibrium concentration. The high supersaturation 649 decreases the crystallographic mismatch energy barrier and tip and side branching become then 650 651 possible, thus forming spherulites; the mechanical properties of the gel are reduced or even inexistent, as a powdery precipitate forms. These facts now explain the strong differences in 652 653 terms of mechanical properties between the hydrogel obtained by GDL hydrolysis and manual addition of HCl (Figure S 2), as well as the difficulty to reproduce a hydrogel when HCl is 654 655 added manually. Manual addition using HCl solutions of typical molarity between 0.1 M and 1 M is responsible for small, but sensitive, pH jumps, which can be at the origin of supersaturation 656 phenomena and high degrees of branching. When precipitation due to spherulite formation is 657 not favored over gelling (most common result), the resulting gel is generally weaker between 658 one and two orders of magnitude (Figure 3). Using HCl solutions of molarity below 0.1 M 659 would on the contrary result in an overall dilution of the initial compound, which would also 660 lead to a weaker gel. In the end, to prepare a reproducible tough hydrogel composed of 661 SLC18:0, slow (< 50 mM/h) and continuous addition of HCl (generally 0.5 M or 1 M is 662

acceptable) under stirring (\leq 300 rpm), or appropriate amount of GDL (leaving the solution at rest), should be employed.

665

666 Conceptual and practical considerations

Effect of charge and relevance of final pH. Most of the previous literature work states 667 that the final pH strongly determines the strength and stability of the gel. This effect could be 668 explained by the neutralization of the negative charges on the fibers. Although side-branching 669 and spherulite formation could not be explained by such an argument, we have tested the effect 670 of pH on the stability of the gel for the SLC18:0 system. Electrophoretic mobility experiments 671 run from pH ~11 to pH ~2 (Figure S 5a) on a diluted solution (0.25 wt%) of SLC18:0 672 qualitatively show that negative charges (the exact origin and localization of which are 673 674 impossible to determine in this qualitative experiment) are persistent to at least pH 4 and 675 become negligible below pH 3, below which one should not expect to obtain a stable gel. In fact, when GDL or controlled addition of HCl solutions are employed, gels are easily obtained 676 677 at pH values as low as 2 and they are stable over an "infinite" period of time. At the same time, spherulite formation, weak gels or precipitation can be observed at pH between 6 and 7, that is 678 679 during the nucleation and growth phase and when the system presents negative charges. This is 680 shown on Figure S 5c,d, where the gel formation is followed in-situ as a function of time for a SLC18:0 concentration of 5 wt% and using large amounts of GDL. In all cases, $G' \sim 100$ Pa, a 681 value that is two orders of magnitude lower that G' recorded on the same sample, prepared with 682 the optimized amount of GDL (Figure S 2b). Figure S 5d even shows the loss of all mechanical 683 684 properties after about 400 minutes (shrinkage is excluded because the gap is allowed to adjust setting normal force to zero during measurement). The loss of the properties is simply due to 685 sedimentation of the spherulites. Sedimentation can actually be observed visually in the 686 solution. These experiments show that final pH and surface charge are not involved in spherulite 687 688 formation and, eventually, precipitation, resulting in the loss of the gel mechanical properties.

689 *Effect of salt.* When spherulites form, one should not expect to have strong gels or even no gels at all. However, one can increase the pH again above 8 and lower it again by changing 690 the rate of addition, or adding GDL, for instance. However, starting from basic pH values, and 691 multiple pH changes in general, generate salt (NaCl in this work), which may have a deleterious 692 effect on fibrillation, as we have also supposed in a previous work.⁹⁴ In a standard experiment 693 performed for 1 mL solution and SLC18:0 concentration of 5 wt%, one can typically generate 694 50 mM of NaCl or less, according to the initial pH value. Figure S 5b1 compares the mechanical 695 properties of two gels prepared under exactly the same conditions (please refer to the 696

Supporting Information for more details). One contains about 20 mM NaCl, simply generated 697 by the pH change process, and the other one has an additional content of 250 mM of NaCl, 698 699 introduced in the solution at basic pH, before the pH change process. The system with high salt content has slightly worst mechanical properties ($G' \sim 150$ Pa against $G' \sim 350$ Pa) and a larger 700 strain overshoot (Figure S 5b2), suggesting the presence of more spherulitic structures. 701 702 However, the effect is far from being impressive and one can consider that both gels still have 703 mechanical properties in the same order of magnitude. These data suggest that, if needed, one 704 can regenerate the same gel several times before considering that salt may have an actual effect 705 on the mechanical properties. Although a thorough study of salt effects are out of the scope of 706 this work, our experience shows that gels become difficult to reproduce above at least 0.5 M of 707 NaCl.

GDL against controlled HCl. Our data show that the gel properties strongly depend on 708 709 the kinetics of acid addition, independently of its nature and final pH. To further support this statement, we have prepared a gel by adding a concentrated solution of gluconic acid to a basic 710 711 solution of SLC18:0, where gluconic acid is directly prepared from hydrolyzing GDL in water overnight. Figure S 5b1,b2 show that the mechanical properties of two gels (concentration of 712 713 SLC18:0 is 2.5 wt%, volume is 1 mL), respectively prepared by adding a solution of either HCl or gluconic acid (both at 0.25 M and added at a rate of 20 μ L/h), are comparable, with G'ranging 714 between 200 Pa and 350 Pa. Interestingly, these values are still one order of magnitude smaller 715 with respect to the use of GDL ($G' > 10^3$ Pa for SLC18:0 at 2.5 wt%). These data show that: 1) 716 the nature of the acid is not an important factor; 2) the use of GDL/gluconic acid does not bring 717 718 any specific added value to the system, nor it interferes with fibrillation; 3) the rate of GDL hydrolysis, or the rate of addition of gluconic acid, are, again, the main critical parameters to 719 720 control the gel mechanical properties. Of all the experiments that we have performed, it is clear 721 that use of GDL has systematically provided the strongest gels. However, we stress the fact that GDL can also induce spherulite formation, weak gels and precipitation. In Table 1, we provide 722 the optimum amounts of GDL, that we have found for this system. Lower amounts will not 723 reduce the pH enough while higher amounts provoke a rapid pH transition, favouring spherulite 724 formation. Nonetheless, the reader should be aware that these values strongly depend on the 725 726 initial pH, that is on the amount of base that it is introduced in the system. In fact, reproducibility 727 of gel with GDL may actually be very poor and should systematically be optimized, because the amount of initial base may not be strictly identical from one experiment to another. In 728 addition, hydrolysis rate of GDL is strongly dependent on temperature, which may also limit 729 the reproducibility of a given experiment. These are certainly the main drawbacks of using 730

GDL. On the contrary, a controlled addition of HCl (or gluconic acid) guaranties a direct control
of the rate and pH at all time, thus ensuring a better reproducibility of the experiment,
independently on the initial pH value.

734 If having a good control of the addition rate of the acid guarantees a more reproducible 735 result from one user to another, gels prepared through GDL still seem to have better mechanical properties. Although GDL hydrolysis is not homogeneous in time, one can qualitatively 736 evaluate an equivalent corresponding acidification rate. For practical reasons, acidification rates 737 throughout this work are reported in µL/h, but using mM/h units will help comparing 738 739 acidifications rates with GDL and HCl. For a typical SLC18:0 concentration of 5 wt% in 1 mL at pH 11, we have employed a 50 mM solution of GDL (Table 1). If the experiment is run over 740 741 300 min, one can estimate an average hydrolysis rate of 10 mM/h. Interestingly, if the same system is acidified with a 1 M HCl solution added at 30 μ L/h (Figure 3e), the rate is 30 mM/h, 742 743 that is three times faster, resulting in a weaker gel. These considerations reinforce the idea that the hydrolysis rate is the actual key to control the mechanical properties of the gel. 744

745 Other factors. It may not be excluded that other factors may play a key role and are 746 worth exploring in the future: 1) constancy of the acidification rate; 2) initial pH; 3) stirring; 4) 747 volumes; 5) nucleation centers. The acidification profiles of GDL and HCl are not the same in 748 the beginning of the acidification curve (Figure 3a,b). At the moment, it is not clear whether or not the rate of pH change before fibrillation has any significant impact, nor it is clear whether 749 or not the initial pH plays a role. According to our experience, good quality gels can be obtained 750 starting when initial pH is 11 (data in this work) or 9. We have also obtained strong gels both 751 752 when acidification rate is either constant or not. However, these qualitative results do not mean 753 that these parameters may not have an effect on branching, and consequently on gel strength. Stirring, only employed here upon HCl acidification, may also have an important effect. We 754 have experienced good gels both under strong (> 500 rpm) and mild (< 200 rpm) stirring 755 756 conditions, but it may not be excluded that the better mechanical properties of the GDLacidified systems are related to its steady state. In this case, when employing HCl, one could 757 prefer mild stirring conditions, which, however, may not guarantee satisfactory 758 homogenization. Adapting the size of the stirrer to the volume of the solution may also be an 759 760 important parameter to explore. Finally, the entire process described in this work is governed by heterogenous nucleation phenomena, whereas the presence of a substrate lowers the energy 761 barrier. It may not be excluded that small heterogeneities may favor spherulite nucleation and 762 growth. Spurious use of ultrasounds can be possible during the nucleation phase to help dissolve 763 764 the nuclei before lowering the pH.

765

766 Conclusion

In this work we explore the pH-driven hydrogel properties of stearic acid sophorolipid, 767 768 a microbial glycolipid. This compound is known to undergo a micelle-to-twisted ribbon phase 769 transition around pH 7.4 and we show here that above 1 wt% it is possible to form a self-770 assembled fibrillary network (SAFiN) hydrogel. At a first glance, this system behaves as fluorenyl-9-methoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) amino acid derivatives, which form hydrogels below a 771 given pH. In particular, we show that use of internal acidification using the hydrolysis of 772 773 glucono- δ -lactone (GDL) provides a homogenous and stronger hydrogel than a more classical manual pH variation approach using HCl. Oscillatory rheology experiments show that 774 775 acidification through GDL provides elastic moduli in the range between 10 kPa and 100 kPa, 776 while after using HCl the elastic moduli are rarely higher than 1 kPa. These results corroborate 777 the data recorded on other pH-responsive hydrogels prepared using FMOC derivatives. 778 However, the admitted mechanistic behavior in pH-responsive hydrogels is that the final pH 779 governs the gel mechanical properties, which is not what we find in this work.

In the second part of the paper we demonstrate that mechanical properties of SLC180 780 781 hydrogel do not actually depend on the acidification method itself but on the rate of 782 acidification, may it occur through HCl addition, provided a strict control over the addition of HCl to the solution, or GDL hydrolysis. In contrast to what is generally known, both HCl and 783 GDL can induce a phase separation observed through precipitation of spherulites in the solution. 784 If SAXS experiments show that whichever the method of preparation, SLC18:0 always 785 nucleates into self-assembled fibers below neutral pH, cryo-TEM and optical microscopy 786 experiments allow to associate side branching and spherulite formation of fast HCl acidification 787 788 rates or excess of GDL. Rheology shows, on the contrary, that hydrogels with similar mechanical properties can be prepared with low HCl acidification rates or optimal GDL 789 790 amount. Solution NMR spectroscopy performed on two systems, one containing excess (leading to precipitation) and the other an optimal amount (leading to gel) of GDL, reveals an 791 792 important mismatch between the expected equilibrium and measured SLC18:0 concentrations 793 as a function of pH when excess of GDL is employed. This experiment proves the existence of supersaturation when pH changes too fast. Supersaturation is known to decrease the 794 crystallographic mismatch nucleation energy, a necessary and sufficient condition to observe 795 side branching and spherulite formation in SAFiN prepared with low molecular weight gelators. 796 797 In clear, slow acidification rates promote strong SLC18:0 hydrogels with low, or no, degree of 798 branching, while high acidification rates promote highly branched fibers forming weak gels, or

- no gels at all. Although the origin of this phenomenon is still not clear, we think that the micellar
 environment in the pH region prior to nucleation and growth of the fibers establishes a limited
 process, slowing down the SLC18:0 molecular diffusion from the micelles to the nucleating
 fibers. Additional experiments are needed to better understand this phenomenon.
- 803

804 Acknowledgements

Research leading to these results received funding from the European Community's Seventh
Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under Grant Agreement No. Biosurfing/289219.
This work also received financial support by the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility
(ESRF), Grenoble, France, under the experiment number SC 4778. Mr. Abdoul Aziz Ba
(Sorbonne Université, Paris, France) and Mrs Chloé Seyrig (Sorbonne Université, Paris,
France) are acknowledged for their experimental assistance. Dr. Thibaut Divoux (CNRS, MIT)
is acknowledged for helpful discussion on rheology.

812

Supporting Information. Figure S 1 illustrates the typical setup employed for controlled
acidification. Figure S 2 shows the rheological properties of SLC18:0 hydrogels. Figure S 3
shows Small Angle X-ray Scattering experiments. Figure S 4 reports the kinetic experiments
(mmicelles molar fration, FWHM and Avrami plots) performed through 1H solution NMR.
Figure S 5 combines electrophoretic mobility experiments and complementary rheology
analyses. This material is available free of charge via the internet at http://pubs.acs.org

819 **References**

- 820 (1) Okesola, B. O.; Smith, D. K. Applying Low-Molecular Weight Supramolecular
 821 Gelators in an Environmental Setting-Self-Assembled Gels as Smart Materials for
 822 Pollutant Removal. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, 45, 4226–4251.
- (2) Colquhoun, C.; Draper, E. R.; Schweins, R.; Marcello, M.; Vadukul, D.; Serpell, L. C.;
 Adams, D. J. Controlling the Network Type in Self-Assembled Dipeptide Hydrogels. *Soft Matter* 2017, *13*, 1914–1919.
- 826 (3) Venkatesh, V.; Mishra, N. K.; Romero-Canelón, I.; Vernooij, R. R.; Shi, H.;
- 827 Coverdale, J. P. C.; Habtemariam, A.; Verma, S.; Sadler, P. J. Supramolecular
 828 Photoactivatable Anticancer Hydrogels. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 5656–5659.
- 829 (4) Ramin, M. A.; Latxague, L.; Sindhu, K. R.; Chassande, O.; Barthélémy, P. Low
- Molecular Weight Hydrogels Derived from Urea Based-Bolaamphiphiles as New
 Injectable Biomaterials. *Biomaterials* 2017, 145, 72–80.
- 832 (5) Huang, G.; Li, F.; Zhao, X.; Ma, Y.; Li, Y.; Lin, M.; Jin, G.; Lu, T. J.; Genin, G. M.;
- Xu, F. Functional and Biomimetic Materials for Engineering of the Three-Dimensional
 Cell Microenvironment. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 12764–12850.
- 835 (6) Nolan, M. C.; Fuentes Caparrós, A. M.; Dietrich, B.; Barrow, M.; Cross, E. R.; Bleuel,
 836 M.; King, S. M.; Adams, D. J. Optimising Low Molecular Weight Hydrogels for

Automated 3D Printing. *Soft Matter* **2017**, *13*, 8426–8432.

- 838 (7) Frith, W. J. Self-Assembly of Small Peptide Amphiphiles, the Structures Formed and
 839 Their Applications. (a Foods and Home and Personal Care Perspective). *Philos. Trans.*840 *R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2016, *374*, 20150138.
- 841 (8) Stubenrauch, C.; Gießelmann, F. Gelled Complex Fluids: Combining Unique
 842 Structures with Mechanical Stability. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 3268–3275.
- 843 (9) Sydney Gladman, A.; Matsumoto, E. A.; Nuzzo, R. G.; Mahadevan, L.; Lewis, J. A.
- Biomimetic 4D Printing. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *15*, 413–418.
- 845 (10) Draper, E. R.; Adams, D. J. Low-Molecular-Weight Gels: The State of the Art. *Chem*846 2017, *3*, 390–410.
- 847 (11) Du, X.; Zhou, J.; Shi, J.; Xu, B. Supramolecular Hydrogelators and Hydrogels: From
 848 Soft Matter to Molecular Biomaterials. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 13165–13307.
- 849 (12) Qiao, Y.; Lin, Y.; Yang, Z.; Chen, H.; Zhang, S.; Yan, Y.; Huang, J. Unique
 850 Temperature-Dependent Supramolecular Self-Assembly: From Hierarchical 1D
- 851 Nanostructures to Super Hydrogel. J. Phys. Chem. B **2010**, 114, 11725–11730.
- 852 (13) Jayawarna, V.; Ali, M.; Jowitt, T. A.; Miller, A. F.; Saiani, A.; Gough, J. E.; Ulijn, R.

- 853 V. Nanostructured Hydrogels for Three-Dimensional Cell Culture Through Self-
- Assembly of Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl–Dipeptides. *Adv. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 611–614.
- 855 (14) Ozbas, B.; Kretsinger, J.; Rajagopal, K.; Schneider, J. P.; Pochan, D. J. Salt-Triggered
 856 Peptide Folding and Consequent Self-Assembly into Hydrogels with Tunable Modulus.
 857 *Macromolecules* 2004, *37*, 7331–7337.
- 858 (15) He, H.; Wang, H.; Zhou, N.; Yang, D.; Xu, B. Branched Peptides for Enzymatic
 859 Supramolecular Hydrogelation. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54*, 86–89.
- Raeburn, J.; Cardoso, A. Z.; Adams, D. J. The Importance of the Self-Assembly
 Process to Control Mechanical Properties of Low Molecular Weight Hydrogels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 5143–5156.
- 863 (17) Jung, J. H.; Rim, J. A.; Han, W. S.; Lee, S. J.; Lee, Y. J.; Cho, E. J.; Kim, J. S.; Ji, Q.;
 864 Shimizu, T. Hydrogel Behavior of a Sugar-Based Gelator by Introduction of an
- 865 Unsaturated Moiety as a Hydrophobic Group. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2006, *4*, 2033–
 866 2038.
- 867 (18) Greenfield, M. A.; Hoffman, J. R.; De La Cruz, M. O.; Stupp, S. I. Tunable Mechanics
 868 of Peptide Nanofiber Gels. *Langmuir* 2010, *26*, 3641–3647.
- (19) Clemente, M. J.; Fitremann, J.; Mauzac, M.; Serrano, J. L.; Oriol, L. Synthesis and
 Characterization of Maltose-Based Amphiphiles as Supramolecular Hydrogelators. *Langmuir* 2011, 27, 15236–15247.
- (20) Jung, J. H.; John, G.; Masuda, M.; Yoshida, K.; Shinkai, S.; Shimizu, T. Self-Assembly
 of a Sugar-Based Gelator in Water: Its Remarkable Diversity in Gelation Ability and
 Aggregate Structure. *Langmuir* 2001, *17*, 7229–7232.
- kin K. Y.; Sawant, P. D. Mechanism of the Formation of Self-Organized
 Microstructures in Soft Functional Materials. *Adv. Mater.* 2002, *14*, 421–426.
- kinetics of Fractal Nanofiber Networks in
 Organogels. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2001, 79, 3518–3520.
- Wang, R.; Liu, X.-Y.; Xiong, J.; Li, J. Real-Time Observation of Fiber Network
 Formation in Molecular Organel: Supersaturation-Dependent Microstructure and Its
 Related Rheological Property. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 2006, *110*, 7275.
- (24) Yu, R.; Lin, N.; Yu, W.; Liu, X. Y. Crystal Networks in Supramolecular Gels:
 Formation Kinetics and Mesoscopic Engineering Principles. *CrystEngComm* 2015, *17*,
 7986–8010.
- 885 (25) Draper, E. R.; Mears, L. L. E.; Castilla, A. M.; King, S. M.; McDonald, T. O.; Akhtar,
 886 R.; Adams, D. J. Using the Hydrolysis of Anhydrides to Control Gel Properties and

- Homogeneity in PH-Triggered Gelation. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 95369–95378.
- Raeburn, J.; McDonald, T. O.; Adams, D. J. Dipeptide Hydrogelation Triggered via
 Ultraviolet Light. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, *48*, 9355–9357.
- Adams, D. J.; Butler, M. F.; Frith, W. J.; Kirkland, M.; Mullen, L.; Sanderson, P. A
 New Method for Maintaining Homogeneity during Liquid-Hydrogel Transitions Using
 Low Molecular Weight Hydrogelators. *Soft Matter* 2009, *5*, 1856–1862.
- Wang, H.; Yang, Z.; Adams, D. J. Controlling Peptide-Based Hydrogelation. *Mater. Today* 2012, *15*, 500–507.
- (29) Helen, W.; De Leonardis, P.; Ulijn, R. V.; Gough, J.; Tirelli, N. Mechanosensitive
 Peptide Gelation: Mode of Agitation Controls Mechanical Properties and Nano-Scale
 Morphology. *Soft Matter* 2011, *7*, 1732–1740.
- (30) Johnson, E. K.; Adams, D. J.; Cameron, P. J. Directed Self-Assembly of Dipeptides to
 Form Ultrathin Hydrogel Membranes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 5130–5136.
- 900 (31) Chen, L.; Morris, K.; Laybourn, A.; Elias, D.; Hicks, M. R.; Rodger, A.; Serpell, L.;
- Adams, D. J. Self-Assembly Mechanism for a Naphthalene-Dipeptide Leading to
 Hydrogelation. *Langmuir* 2010, *26*, 5232–5242.
- 903 (32) Cuvier, A. S.; Berton, J.; Stevens, C. V; Fadda, G. C.; Babonneau, F.; Van Bogaert, I.
 904 N. A.; Soetaert, W.; Pehau-Arnaudet, G.; Baccile, N. PH-Triggered Formation of
 905 Nanoribbons from Yeast-Derived Glycolipid Biosurfactants. *Soft Matter* 2014, *10*,
- 906 3950–3959.
- 907 (33) Van Bogaert, I. N. A.; Saerens, K.; De Muynck, C.; Develter, D.; Soetaert, W.;
 908 Vandamme, E. J. Microbial Production and Application of Sophorolipids. *Appl.*
- 909 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *76*, 23–34.
- 910 (34) Marchant, R.; Banat, I. M. Microbial Biosurfactants: Challenges and Opportunities for
 911 Future Exploitation. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2012, *30*, 558–565.
- 912 (35) Dhasaiyan, P.; Banerjee, A.; Visaveliya, N.; Prasad, B. L. V. Influence of the
- 913 Sophorolipid Molecular Geometry on Their Self-Assembled Structures. *Chem. Asian J.*914 2013, 8, 369–372.
- 915 (36) Dhasaiyan, P.; Prasad, B. L. V. Self-Assembly of Bolaamphiphilic Molecules. *Chem.*916 *Rec.* 2017, *17*, 597–610.
- 917 (37) Zhou, S.; Xu, C.; Wang, J.; Gao, W.; Akhverdiyeva, R.; Shah, V.; Gross, R.
- 918 Supramolecular Assemblies of a Naturally Derived Sophorolipid. *Langmuir* 2004, 20,
 919 7926–7932.
- 920 (38) Roelants, S. L. K. W.; Renterghem, L. Van; Maes, K.; Everaert, B.; Redant, E.;

921		Vanlerberghe, B.; Demaeseneire, S.; Soetaert, W. Taking Biosurfactants from the Lab
922		to the Market: Hurdles and How to Take Them by Applying an Integrated Process
923		Design Approach. In Microbial Biosurfactants and their Environmental and Industrial
924		Applications; Banat, I. M., Thavasi, R., Eds.; CRC Press, 2018.
925	(39)	Imura, T.; Kawamura, D.; Ishibashi, Y.; Morita, T.; Sato, S.; Fukuoka, T.; Kikkawa,
926		Y.; Kitamoto, D. Low Molecular Weight Gelators Based on Biosurfactants, Cellobiose
927		Lipids by Cryptococcus Humicola. J. Oleo Sci. 2012, 61, 659-664.
928	(40)	Imura, T.; Yamamoto, S.; Yamashita, C.; Taira, T.; Minamikawa, H.; Morita, T.;
929		Kitamoto, D. Aqueous Gel Formation from Sodium Salts of Cellobiose Lipids. J. Oleo
930		<i>Sci.</i> 2014 , <i>63</i> , 1005–1010.
931	(41)	Baccile, N.; Renterghem, L. Van; Griel, P. Le; Ducouret, G.; Brennich, M.; Cristiglio,
932		V.; Roelants, S. L. K. W.; Soetaert, W. Bio-Based Glyco-Bolaamphiphile Forms a
933		Temperature-Responsive Hydrogel with Tunable Elastic Properties. Soft Matter 2018,
934		14, 7859–7872.
935	(42)	Wang, R. Y.; Liu, X. Y.; Narayanan, J.; Xiong, J. Y.; Li, J. L. Architecture of Fiber
936		Network: From Understanding to Engineering of Molecular Gels. J. Phys. Chem. B
937		2006 , <i>110</i> , 25797–25802.
938	(43)	Liu, X. Y. Gelation with Small Molecules: From Formation Mechanism to
939		NanostructureArchitecture. Top. Curr. Chem. 2005, 256, 1-37.
940	(44)	Wang, R.; Liu, XY.; Xiong, J.; Li, J. Real-Time Observation of Fiber Network
941		Formation in Molecular Organel: Supersaturation-Dependent Microstructure and Its
942		Related Rheological Property. J. Phys. Chem. B. 2006, 110, 7275.
943	(45)	Baccile, N.; Cuvier, AS.; Valotteau, C.; Van Bogaert, I. N. A. Practical Methods to
944		Reduce Impurities for Gram-Scale Amounts of Acidic Sophorolipid Biosurfactants.
945		Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2013, 115, 1404–1412.
946	(46)	Cuvier, A. S.; Babonneau, F.; Berton, J.; Stevens, C. V.; Fadda, G. C.; Péhau-
947		Arnaudet, G.; Le Griel, P.; Prévost, S.; Perez, J.; Baccile, N. Nanoscale Platelet
948		Formation by Monounsaturated and Saturated Sophorolipids under Basic PH
949		Conditions. Chem A Eur. J. 2015, 21, 19265–19277.
950	(47)	Bras, W.; Dolbnya, I. P.; Detollenaere, D.; van Tol, R.; Malfois, M.; Greaves, G. N.;
951		Ryan, A. J.; Heeley, E. Recent Experiments on a Small-Angle/Wide-Angle X-Ray
952		Scattering Beam Line at the ESRF. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 791–794.
953	(48)	Portale, G.; Cavallo, D.; Alfonso, G. C.; Hermida-Merino, D.; van Drongelen, M.;
954		Balzano, L.; Peters, G. W. M.; Goossens, J. G. P.; Bras, W. Polymer Crystallization

- 955 Studies under Processing-Relevant Conditions at the SAXS/WAXS DUBBLE
- 956 Beamline at the ESRF. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **2013**, 46, 1681–1689.
- (49) Wallace, M.; Iggo, J. A.; Adams, D. J. Using Solution State NMR Spectroscopy to
 Probe NMR Invisible Gelators. *Soft Matter* 2015, *11*, 7739–7747.
- (50) Fuhrhop, J. H.; Svenson, S.; Boettcher, C.; Rössler, E.; Vieth, H. M. Long-Lived
 Micellar N-Alkylaldonamide Fiber Gels. Solid-State NMR and Electron Microscopic
 Studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4307–4312.
- 962 (51) Frkanec, L.; Jokić, M.; Makarević, J.; Wolsperger, K.; Žinić, M. Bis(PheOH) Maleic
 963 Acid Amide-Fumaric Acid Amide Photoizomerization Induces Microsphere-to-Gel
 964 Fiber Morphological Transition: The Photoinduced Gelation System. *J. Am. Chem.*965 Soc. 2002, 124, 9716–9717.
- 966 (52) http://nmr.cemhti.cnrs-orleans.fr/dmfit. DMFit http://nmr.cemhti.cnrs-orleans.fr/dmfit.
- 967 (53) Massiot, D.; Fayon, F.; Capron, M.; King, I.; Calvé, S. Le; Alonso, B.; Durand, J. O.;
 968 Bujoli, B.; Gan, Z.; Hoatson, G. Modelling One and Two-Dimensional Solid-State
 969 NMR Spectra. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 2002, *40*, 70–76.
- 970 (54) Avrami, M. Kinetics of Phase Change. I General Theory. J. Chem. Phys. 1939, 7,
 971 1103–1112.
- 972 (55) Avrami, M. Granulation, Phase Change, and Microstructure Kinetics of Phase Change.
 973 III. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1941, *9*, 177–184.
- 974 (56) Terech, P. Kinetics of Aggregation in a Steroid Derivative/Cyclohexane Gelifying
 975 System. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1985, 107, 244–255.
- 976 (57) Lopes-da-Silva, J. A.; Coutinho, J. A. P. Analysis of the Isothermal Structure
 977 Development in Waxy Crude Oils under Quiescent Conditions. *Energy and Fuels*978 2007, 21, 3612–3617.
- 979 (58) Feio, G.; Cohen-Addad, J. P. NMR Approach to the Kinetics of Polymer
 980 Crystallization. 1. Cis-1,4-Polybutadiene. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B Polym. Phys.* 1988, 26,
 981 389–412.
- 982 (59) Baccile, N.; Cuvier, A. S.; Prévost, S.; Stevens, C. V.; Delbeke, E.; Berton, J.; Soetaert,
 983 W.; Van Bogaert, I. N. A.; Roelants, S. Self-Assembly Mechanism of PH-Responsive
 984 Glycolipids: Micelles, Fibers, Vesicles, and Bilayers. *Langmuir* 2016, *32*, 10881–
 985 10894.
- (60) Tang, C.; Smith, A. M.; Collins, R. F.; Ulijn, R. V.; Saiani, A. Fmoc-Diphenylalanine
 Self-ASsembly Mechanism Induces Apparent PKaShifts. *Langmuir* 2009, 25, 9447–
 988 9453.

- 989 (61) Tang, C.; Ulijn, R. V.; Saiani, A. Effect of Glycine Substitution on Fmoc-
- Diphenylalanine Self-Assembly and Gelation Properties. *Langmuir* 2011, 27, 14438–
 14449.
- (62) Kierfeld, J.; Baczynski, K.; Gutjahr, P.; Lipowsky, R. Semiflexible Polymers and *Filaments: From Variational Problems to Fluctuations*; 2008; Vol. 1002.
- (63) de Gennes, P.-G. Dynamics of Entangled Polymer Solutions. I. The Rouse Model.
 Macromolecules 1976, 9, 587–593.
- 996 (64) de Rooij, R.; van den Ende, D.; Duits, M. H. G.; Mellema, J. Elasticity of Weakly
 997 Aggregating Polystyrene Latex Dispersions. *Phys. Rev. E* 1994, *49*, 3038–3049.
- 998 (65) Flory, P. J. *Principles of Polymer Chemistry*; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY,
 999 NY, 1953.
- 1000 (66) MacKintosh, F. C.; Käs, J.; Janmey, P. A. Elasticity of Semiflexible Biopolymer
 1001 Networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1995, 75, 4425–4428.
- 1002 (67) Chen, S. Q.; Lopez-Sanchez, P.; Wang, D.; Mikkelsen, D.; Gidley, M. J. Mechanical
 1003 Properties of Bacterial Cellulose Synthesised by Diverse Strains of the Genus
 1004 Komagataeibacter. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2018, *81*, 87–95.
- 1005 (68) Hyun, K.; Wilhelm, M.; Klein, C. O.; Cho, K. S.; Nam, J. G.; Ahn, K. H.; Lee, S. J.;
 1006 Ewoldt, R. H.; McKinley, G. H. A Review of Nonlinear Oscillatory Shear Tests:
- Analysis and Application of Large Amplitude Oscillatory Shear (LAOS). *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2011, *36*, 1697–1753.
- 1009 (69) Mason, T. G.; Bibette, J.; Weitz, D. A. Elasticity of Compressed Emulsions. *Phys. Rev.*1010 *Lett.* 1995, 75, 2051.
- 1011 (70) Cloitre, M.; Borrega, R.; Leibler, L. Rheological Aging and Rejuvenation in Microgel
 1012 Pastes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2000, *85*, 4819.
- 1013 (71) O'Leary, L. E. R.; Fallas, J. A.; Bakota, E. L.; Kang, M. K.; Hartgerink, J. D. Multi1014 Hierarchical Self-Assembly of a Collagen Mimetic Peptide from Triple Helix to
 1015 Nanofibre and Hydrogel. *Nat. Chem.* 2011, *3*, 821–828.
- 1016 (72) Tirtaatmadja, V.; Ta, K. C.; Jenkins, R. D. Rheological Properties of Model Alkali1017 Soluble Associative (HASE) Polymers: Effect of Varying Hydrophobe Chain Length.
 1018 *Macromolecules* 1997, *30*, 3271–3282.
- 1019 (73) Raghavan, S. R.; Khan, S. A. Shear-induced Microstructural Changes in Flocculated
 1020 Suspensions of Fumed Silica. *J. Rheol.* (N. Y. N. Y). **1995**, *39*, 1311.
- 1021 (74) Parthasarathy, M.; Klingenberg, D. J. Large Amplitude Oscillatory Shear of ER
 1022 Suspensions. *J. Nonnewton. Fluid Mech.* 1999, *81*, 83–104.

- 1023 (75) Dudukovic, N. A.; Zukoski, C. F. Mechanical Properties of Self-Assembled Fmoc1024 Diphenylalanine Molecular Gels. *Langmuir* 2014, *30*, 4493–4500.
 1025 (76) Menger, F. M.; Caran, K. L. Anatomy of a Gel. Amino Acid Derivatives That Rigidify
- 1026 Water at Submillimolar Concentrations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11679–11691.
- 1027 (77) Komatsu, H.; Ikeda, M.; Hamachi, I. Mechanical Reinforcement of Supramolecular
 1028 Hydrogel through Incorporation of Multiple Noncovalent Interactions. *Chem. Lett.*1029 2011, 40, 198–200.
- 1030 (78) Clemente, M. J.; Fitremann, J.; Mauzac, M.; Serrano, J. L.; Oriol, L. Synthesis and
 1031 Characterization of Maltose-Based Amphiphiles as Supramolecular Hydrogelators.
 1032 *Langmuir* 2011, 27, 15236–15247.
- 1033 (79) Clemente, J.; Romero, P.; Serrano, J. L.; Fitremann, J.; Oriol, L. Supramolecular
 1034 Hydrogels Based on Glycoamphiphiles: E Ff Ect of the Disaccharide Polar Head. 2012,
 1035 No. Lc.
- 1036 (80) Jung, J. H.; Rim, J. A.; Han, W. S.; Lee, S. J.; Lee, Y. J.; Cho, E. J.; Kim, J. S.; Ji, Q.;
 1037 Shimizu, T. Hydrogel Behavior of a Sugar-Based Gelator by Introduction of an
 1038 Unsaturated Moiety as a Hydrophobic Group. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2006, *4*, 2033–
 1039 2038.
- 1040 (81) Adams, D. J.; Mullen, L. M.; Berta, M.; Chen, L.; Frith, W. J. Relationship between
 1041 Molecular Structure, Gelation Behaviour and Gel Properties of Fmoc-Dipeptides. *Soft*1042 *Matter* 2010, *6*, 1971–1980.
- 1043 (82) Aufderhorst-Roberts, A.; Frith, W. J.; Donald, A. M. Micro-Scale Kinetics and
 1044 Heterogeneity of a PH Triggered Hydrogel. *Soft Matter* 2012, *8*, 5940–5946.
- 1045 (83) Goddard, E. D. Ionizing Monolayers and PH Effects. *Adv. Coll. Interf. Sci.* 1974, *4*,
 1046 45–78.
- 1047 (84) Ptak, M.; Egret-Charlier, M.; Sanson, A.; Bouloussa, O. A NMR Study of the
 1048 Ionization of Fatty Acids, Fatty Amines and N-Acylamino Acids Incorporated in
 1049 Phosphatidylcholine Vesicles. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1980, 600, 387–
 1050 397.
- 1051 (85) Cistola, D. P.; Hamilton, J. A.; Jackson, D.; Small, D. M. Ionization and Phase1052 Behavior of Fatty-Acids in Water Application of the Gibbs Phase Rule. *Biochemistry*1053 1988, 27, 1881–1888.
- 1054 (86) Aufderhorst-Roberts, A.; Frith, W. J.; Kirkland, M.; Donald, A. M. Microrheology and
 1055 Microstructure of Fmoc-Derivative Hydrogels. *Langmuir* 2014, *30*, 4483–4492.
- 1056 (87) Cuvier, A. S.; Babonneau, F.; Berton, J.; Stevens, C. V.; Fadda, G. C.; Genois, I.; Le

Griel, P.; Péhau-Arnaudet, G.; Baccile, N. Synthesis of Uniform, Monodisperse, 1057 Sophorolipid Twisted Ribbons. Chem. - An Asian J. 2015, 10, 2419-2426. 1058 1059 (88) Raeburn, J.; Pont, G.; Chen, L.; Cesbron, Y.; Lévy, R.; Adams, D. J. Fmoc-1060 Diphenylalanine Hydrogels: Understanding the Variability in Reported Mechanical 1061 Properties. Soft Matter 2012, 8, 1168–1174. (89)Baccile, N.; Selmane, M.; Le Griel, P.; Prévost, S.; Perez, J.; Stevens, C. V.; Delbeke, 1062 1063 E.; Zibek, S.; Guenther, M.; Soetaert, W.; et al. PH-Driven Self-Assembly of Acidic Microbial Glycolipids. Langmuir 2016, 32, 6343–6359. 1064 (90)1065 Bunton, C. A. Reactions in Micelles and Similar Self-Organized Aggregates, New Compre.; Page, M. I., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam - New York - Oxford, 1984; Vol. 6. 1066 1067 (91) Manet, S.; Cuvier, A. S.; Valotteau, C.; Fadda, G. C.; Perez, J.; Karakas, E.; Abel, S.; Baccile, N. Structure of Bolaamphiphile Sophorolipid Micelles Characterized with 1068 SAXS, SANS, and MD Simulations. J. Phys. Chem. B 2015, 119, 13113-13133. 1069 (92) Huang, X.; Terech, P.; Raghavan, S. R.; Weiss, R. G. Kinetics of 5α-Cholestan-3β-Yl 1070 1071 N-(2-Naphthyl)Carbamate/n-Alkane Organogel Formation and Its Influence on the Fibrillar Networks. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4336–4344. 1072 1073 (93)Toro-Vazquez, J. F.; Dibildox-Alvarado, E.; Charó-Alonso, M.; Herrera-Coronado, V.; 1074 Gómez-Aldapa, C. a. The Avrami Index and the Fractal Dimension in Vegetable Oil Crystallization. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2002, 79, 855-866. 1075 Cuvier, A. S.; Babonneau, F.; Berton, J.; Stevens, C. V.; Fadda, G. C.; Genois, I.; Le (94)1076 Griel, P.; Péhau-Arnaudet, G.; Baccile, N. Synthesis of Uniform, Monodisperse, 1077 Sophorolipid Twisted Ribbons. Chem. - An Asian J. 2015, 10, 2419–2426. 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085

Table of Contents

