JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Phase I/II Trial and Pharmacokinetic Study of Cixutumumab in Pediatric Patients With Refractory Solid Tumors and Ewing Sarcoma: A Report From the Children's Oncology Group

Suman Malempati, Brenda Weigel, Ashish M. Ingle, Charlotte H. Ahern, Julie M. Carroll, Charles T. Roberts, Joel M. Reid, Stephen Schmechel, Stephan D. Voss, Steven Y. Cho, Helen X. Chen, Mark D. Krailo, Peter C. Adamson, and Susan M. Blaney

See accompanying article on page 308

Α	В	S	Т	R	Α	C	Т

Purpose

A phase I/II study of cixutumumab (IMC-A12) in children with refractory solid tumors was conducted. This study was designed to assess the toxicities, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of cixutumumab in children to determine a recommended phase II dose and to assess antitumor activity in Ewing sarcoma (ES).

Patients and Methods

Pediatric patients with relapsed or refractory solid tumors were treated with cixutumumab as a 1-hour intravenous infusion once per week. Two dose levels—6 and 9 mg/kg—were evaluated using a standard three-plus-three cohort design. Patients with refractory ES were treated in an expanded phase II cohort at each dose level.

Results

Forty-seven eligible patients with a median age of 15 years (range, 4 to 28 years) were enrolled. Twelve patients were treated in the dose-finding phase. Hematologic and nonhematologic toxicities were generally mild and infrequent. Dose-limiting toxicities included grade 4 thrombocytopenia at 6 mg/kg and grade 3 dehydration at 9 mg/kg. Mean trough concentration (\pm standard deviation) at 9 mg/kg was 106 \pm 57 μ g/mL, which exceeded the effective trough concentration of 60 μ g/mL observed in xenograft models. Three patients with ES had confirmed partial responses: one of 10 at 6 mg/kg and two of 20 at 9 mg/kg. Serum insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) levels consistently increased after one dose of cixutumumab. Tumor IGF-I receptor expression by immunohistochemistry did not correlate with response in patients with ES.

Conclusion

Cixutumumab is well tolerated in children with refractory solid tumors. The recommended phase II dose is 9 mg/kg. Limited single-agent activity of cixutumumab was seen in ES.

J Clin Oncol 30:256-262. © 2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

The insulin-like growth factor I receptor (IGF-IR) plays a role in the initiation and progression of a variety of cancers, including pediatric malignancies.¹⁻⁹ Preclinical data suggest that inhibition of the IGF-IR may constitute an important therapeutic target in a variety of pediatric solid tumors.¹⁰⁻¹⁵

Cixutumumab (IMC-A12; ImClone Systems, Branchburg, NJ), a human immunoglobulin G 1/ λ monoclonal antibody against the IGF-IR, binds to the IGF-IR with high affinity, decreases cell-surface IGF-IR expression, and blocks interactions with IGF-I

and IGF-II ligands.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In preclinical cancer models, cixutumumab has single-agent activity and also potentiates the effect of concomitantly administered cytotoxic therapy.¹⁹⁻²² When evaluated by the Pediatric Preclinical Testing Program, cixutumumab demonstrated single-agent activity in osteosarcoma, Ewing sarcoma (ES), neuroblastoma, glioblastoma, and rhabdomyosarcoma models.²³

In a single-agent phase I study in adults, cixutumumab was well tolerated at doses from 3 to 15 mg/kg per week; a maximum-tolerated dose was not defined.^{24,25} On the basis of pharmacokinetic (PK) data, the recommended phase II dose in adults is 6 mg/kg when administered weekly.²⁴

Suman Malempati and Charles T. Roberts, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland; Julie M. Carroll and Charles T. Roberts. Oregon National Primate Research Center. Beaverton, OR: Brenda Weigel and Stephen Schmechel, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN: Ashish M. Ingle and Mark D. Krailo, Children's Oncology Group Operations Center, Arcadia, CA: Charlotte H, Ahern and Susan M. Blaney, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; Joel M. Reid, Mayo Clinic and Foundation Bochester MN: Stephan D. Voss, Children's Hospital Boston, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute. Boston, MA; Steven Y. Cho, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore: Helen X. Chen, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD; and Peter C. Adamson, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. Philadelphia, PA.

Submitted June 17, 2011; accepted October 12, 2011; published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on December 19, 2011.

Supported by National Cancer Institute Grants No. U01 CA97452 and U10 CA98543 and General Clinical Research Center Grant No. M01-RR00188-46.

Presented in part at the 45th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, May 29-June 2, 2009, Orlando, FL.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Suman Malempati, MD, Department of Pediatrics, Oregon Health and Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd, CDRC-P, Portland, OR 97239-3098; e-mail: malempat@ohsu.edu.

© 2011 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/12/3003-256/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.37.4355

We report the results of a Children's Oncology Group (COG) phase I trial (ADVL0712) of cixutumumab in pediatric patients with refractory non-CNS solid tumors that included a phase II expansion cohort for relapsed/refractory ES. We also include data from the ES cohort of a COG phase II trial of cixutumumab (ADVL0821).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Population

Patients age 1 to 21 years with relapsed/refractory solid tumors with measurable or evaluable disease and those younger than 30 years of age with measurable disease were eligible for the phase I and II portions of the study, respectively. Additional requirements included: Karnofsky or Lansky performance score of 50 or greater; more than 3 weeks since myelosuppressive chemotherapy; 7 or more days since any antineoplastic biologic agent and 6 or more weeks since therapy with a monoclonal antibody; 2 or more weeks since local palliative radiation, 3 months since total body or craniospinal radiation or 50% or greater irradiation of pelvis, and 6 weeks since other substantial bone marrow radiation; 2 or more months since stem-cell transplantation; 7 or more days since completion of hematopoietic growth factor treatment; absolute neutrophil count of 1000/µL or greater, transfusion-independent platelet count of 100,000/ μ L or greater (\geq 75,000/ μ L for ADVL0821), and hemoglobin of 8.0 g/dL or greater; creatinine clearance of 70 mL/min/1.73 m² or greater or normal serum creatinine for age; total bilirubin of 1.5× upper limit of normal or less and ALT of 110 U/L or less; serum albumin of 2.0 g/dL or greater; and normal serum glucose.

Patients with known diabetes mellitus or uncontrolled infections and pregnant or breast-feeding women were excluded. Treatment with other anticancer agents, insulin, or growth hormone was not allowed.

These trials were sponsored by the National Cancer Institute (NCI), and IMC-A12 was supplied by the NCI through a clinical trial agreement with ImClone Systems. The trials were approved by the institutional review board of each participating institution, and informed consent from the patient or parent/guardian and assent as appropriate were obtained before enrollment.

Study Design

Cixutumumab was administered as a 1-hour intravenous infusion once per week in 28-day cycles. Cycles were repeated without interruption if the patient did not have progressive disease and had recovered from the prior course with an absolute neutrophil count of 750/ μ L or greater, platelet count of 75,000/ μ L or greater (\geq 50,000/ μ L during phase II portion), and other laboratory parameters meeting eligibility criteria. Patients who experienced hyperglycemia continued to receive protocol therapy if asymptomatic and if serum glucose was maintained at less than 250 mg/dL (\leq grade 2) with or without the use of insulin or an oral hyperglycemic agent. In the event of reversible dose-limiting toxicity (DLT), patients could remain on protocol therapy with one dose reduction.

In the phase I study, patients were treated in cohorts of three to six at each dose level starting at 6 mg/kg using a standard three-plus-three cohort design. When a dose cohort was suspended for toxicity evaluation, enrollment was open to 10 patients in the ES expansion cohort.

Once the recommended phase II dose was defined, accrual continued as part of COG protocol ADVL0821. We describe the results for patients with ES enrolled onto ADVL0821, which employed the Simon optimal two-stage design.²⁶ Patients who demonstrated a complete or partial response (PR) confirmed by central review were considered responders for study analysis. All other patients were considered nonresponders. If two or fewer responses of 20 evaluable patients were observed, the agent would not be considered sufficiently active for further study. With this design, cixutumumab would be considered sufficiently active with probability of 0.07 when the true response rate was 5% (type I error). If cixutumumab had a true response rate of 25%, the agent would be considered active with probability of 0.88 (P = .25).

Adverse events were graded according to the NCI Common Toxicity Criteria (version 3.0). Nonhematologic DLT was defined as any grade 4 toxicity attributable to cixutumumab and any attributable grade 3 toxicity excluding nausea or vomiting; hepatic transaminase elevation that returned to grade 1 or lower before the next treatment course; fever or infection; hypophosphatemia, hypokalemia, hypocalcemia, or hypomagnesemia responsive to oral supplementation; hyperglycemia that returned to baseline or lower than grade 2 within 7 days of the next scheduled dose; and grade 3 diabetes controlled with insulin or an oral hypoglycemic agent. Hematologic DLTs included grade 4 neutropenia or thrombocytopenia or grade 3 neutropenia or thrombocytopenia continuing more than 7 days past the next scheduled dose.

Patient Evaluation

History, performance status, physical examination, and serum electrolytes were obtained at baseline, weekly throughout cycle one, and before each subsequent cycle. Complete blood counts and serum/urine glucose were obtained weekly throughout treatment. Disease evaluations were performed after cycle one and after each subsequent odd-numbered cycle using RECIST (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors).²⁷

Patients enrolled onto the phase I trial were eligible for an optional study to evaluate response by [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET). FDG PET scans (\pm standard deviation) were performed at baseline and day 15 (\pm 1) of the first cycle. Central review of responses was performed, and response was designated based on qualitative change in FDG uptake relative to baseline.

PK Studies

Blood samples for PK analysis were obtained on days 1, 8, 15, 22, and 28 of cycle one and days 15 and 28 of cycle two for all patients. If patients consented to additional optional PK sampling, samples (± standard deviation) were also obtained before and at the end of drug infusion and at 1, 3, 6, 24 (± 2) , and 72 (± 24) hours after completion of infusion. Samples were collected in tubes without anticoagulant, allowed to clot at room temperature, centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 15 minutes, and stored at less than -20°C. A validated ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) was used to quantify levels of cixutumumab. Briefly, recombinant human IGF-IR was immobilized to a 96-well microtiter plate by incubating in $1 \times$ phosphate-buffered saline. Plates were blocked with 10% horse serum in 1× phosphate-buffered saline-0.1% polysorbate 20 (Tween-20; ICI Americas, Wilmington, DE) and washed, and cixutumumab standard, controls, and test samples were incubated in the wells. Cixutumumab bound to IGF-IR was detected and quantified by addition of horseradish peroxidase conjugated to antihuman λ -light chain antibody using a tetramethylbenzidine colorimetric readout.

Pharmacodynamic Studies

Serum IGF-I, IGF-II, IGF binding protein 2 (IGFBP-2), and IGFBP-3 concentrations were measured from blood samples obtained on days 1 and 8 of cycle one using commercial ELISA kits from Diagnostic Systems Laboratories (Webster, TX). Change in peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) IGF-IR expression after cixutumumab was quantified by Western blotting. Whole blood samples were collected, and the PBMC layer was removed; cells were lysed using standard methods. Equal amounts of protein were run on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and blotted with anti–IGF-IR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and Lck (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Blots were hybridized with primary antibody at 1:1000, washed, and then hybridized with antirabbit horseradish peroxidase (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) at 1:5000 and visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) on a Fluorchem HD2 imager (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA). Levels of IGF-IR were normalized for levels of Lck.

Immunohistochemistry

Unstained sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor on glass slides were de-waxed and rehydrated using standard methods; for antigen retrieval, slides were prepared as previously described.²⁸⁻³⁰ Antibody dilutions were as follows: anti–IGF-I (rabbit polyclonal, catalogue No. 07-1411; Millipore, Temecula, CA) 1:800, anti–IGF-IR (mouse monoclonal, clone 24-31 catalogue No. MAB1120; Millipore) 1:100, and anti–IGF-II, (rabbit polyclonal, catalogue No. 25,071; Abbiotec, San Diego, CA) 1:200.

Digital images of slides were obtained at $20 \times$ magnification (resolution of 0.58 μ mol/L² per raw image pixel) using a whole slide scanner (ScanScope

257

CS; Aperio, Vista, CA) fitted with a 20×/0.75 Plan Apo objective lens (Olympus, Center Valley, PA) and saved and retrieved using a software interface (Spectrum; Aperio). Ten digital annotation regions in representative areas of each tumor section were applied using a pen tablet screen (Cintiq 21UX; Wacom, Tokyo, Japan). Within annotated regions, pixels that exceeded three threshold limits (ie, weak, moderate, and strong intensity) in the brown colorimetric channel were quantified using image analysis software (Positive Pixel Count v9; Aperio). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) score was defined as $[(1 \times No. of weak-intensity pixels) + (2 \times No. of moderate-intensity pixels) + (3 \times No. of strong-intensity pixels)]/total No. of pixels. The average IHC score across 10 annotated regions on each tumor section was calculated using Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).$

RESULTS

Forty-eight patients (47 eligible) were enrolled onto the study: 12 (all eligible) onto the dose-finding study and 36 (35 eligible) onto the ES expansion/phase II cohort. One patient with ES was not eligible, because required baseline imaging was not obtained before enrollment. Of the 47 eligible patients, three were not fully evaluable for toxicity for the following reasons: first, removal from protocol therapy before completion of the first cycle because of noncompliance; second, with-drawal of consent before completion of the first cycle, and third, disease progression within the first cycle. Forty-five patients were evaluable for response, and 20 patients with ES treated at the recommended phase II dose of 9 mg/kg had measurable disease. Character-

		Patients	
Characteristic	No.		%
Age, years			
Median		15	
Range		4-28	
Sex			
Male	24		5
Female	23		4
Race			
White	39		8
African American	3		
Native American	1		
Asian	1		
Other/unknown	3		
Diagnosis			
Ewing sarcoma/peripheral PNET	35		7
Osteosarcoma	3		
Rhabdomyosarcoma	2		
Wilms tumor	2		
Alveolar soft part sarcoma	1		
Clear cell sarcoma	1		
Epithelioid sarcoma	1		
Fibrosarcoma	1		
Spindle cell sarcoma	1		
Prior therapy			
No. of chemotherapy regimens			
Median		1	
Range		1-10	
Radiation therapy	38		8

istics of all eligible patients in the dose-finding and ES cohorts are summarized in Table 1.

Toxicity

Observed DLTs included one instance of grade 4 thrombocytopenia in a patient with rhabdomyosarcoma treated at 6 mg/kg and one instance of grade 3 dehydration in a patient with ES treated at 9 mg/kg. Grade 2 and higher toxicities are listed in Table 2. Toxicities (\geq grade 2) observed in more than one patient in cycle one were anemia (n = 7), neutropenia (n = 3), lymphopenia (n = 2), thrombocytopenia (n = 3), hyperglycemia (n = 4), fatigue (n = 3), elevated ALT/AST (n = 3), anorexia (n = 2), and vomiting (n = 2). Mild hyperglycemia (grade 1) was observed in 10 patients. The quantity and severity of toxicities were similar at both dose levels.

Antitumor Activity

There were no complete or partial responses in the dose-finding cohort. One patient with alveolar soft part sarcoma treated at 6 mg/kg

	Maximum Grade of Toxicity						
	Course One (total, 44 courses)			Cours (total	ses Two , 80 cou	to 11 Irses)	
Toxicity Type	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	
Hematologic							
Hemoglobin	4	3		2		1	
Leukopenia	1			1			
Lymphopenia	1	1					
Neutrophils/granulocytes	1	2		1			
Platelets	2		1			1	
Nonhematologic							
Fatigue (asthenia, lethargy, malaise)	3			2			
Fever (without neutropenia)	1						
Weight loss	1			2			
Flushing	1						
Alopecia				1			
Pruritus	1						
Hot flashes/flushes	1						
Elevated insulin	1						
Anorexia	2			1			
Dehydration		1		1			
Diarrhea				1			
Oral mucositis/stomatitis	1						
Vomiting	2			1			
Opportunistic infection		1					
Hypoalbuminemia	1						
Alkaline phosphatase				1			
ALT	2	1		1			
AST	2	1		1			
Hyperglycemia	4			1			
Hypophosphatemia	1				1		
Proteinuria	1						
Hypertriglyceridemia				1			
Mood alteration/ depression	1						
Headache					1		
Pain/oral cavity				1			

Table 3. Response by FDG PET							
		RECIST Re	esponse				
Diagnosis	Dose (mg/kg)	Course One	Overall	FDG PET Response*			
Ewing sarcoma	6	SD†	SD†	PR‡			
Ewing sarcoma	6	PR	PR	CR§			
Ewing sarcoma	6	PD	PD	PD∥			
Ewing sarcoma	6	SD†	PD	PD			
Osteosarcoma	6	SD†	PD	PD			
Osteosarcoma	9	PD	PD	PD			
Fibrosarcoma	9	SD†	SD†	PR‡			
Ewing sarcoma	9	PD	PD	PD			
Ewing sarcoma	9	SD†	SD†	SD¶			
Ewing sarcoma	9	PD	PD	PD			

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; FDG, [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose; PET, positron emission tomography; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.

*At day 15 of cycle one.

 $\pm SD \ge three cycles.$

‡Qualitative decrease in FDG uptake.

§Resolution of FDG uptake.

Increased FDG uptake or new focus of FDG activity

¶No significant interval change

had stable disease (SD) for 11 cycles, and one patient with fibrosarcoma treated at 9 mg/kg had SD for seven cycles. In the ES cohort at 6 mg/kg, one patient had a confirmed PR, which was sustained for seven cycles, and four patients had SD for three or more cycles (range, three to 11 cycles). In the ES cohort at 9 mg/kg, PRs were observed in two of 20 patients with measurable disease, and an additional patient had SD for five cycles. Median progression-free survival for this cohort was 44 days (95% CI, 28 to 96).

Antitumor activity as assessed by FDG PET at day 15 in the first cycle was evaluated in 10 patients who participated in this optional companion study. An FDG PET response designation was assigned after central review of the scans based on qualitative change in FDG uptake from baseline (Table 3). There were insufficient data to calculate quantitative standardized uptake value measurements. One patient with ES treated at 6 mg/kg who had a PR by RECIST had resolution of FDG PET activity at day 15. Two patients (one with ES, one with fibrosarcoma) with SD by RECIST had tumor FDG PET activity that decreased markedly but did not resolve by day 15 (representative images in Figs 1A to 1D). Six patients who had progressive disease by RECIST had a corresponding increase in FDG PET activity at day 15.

PKs

Results of noncompartmental PK analysis are presented in Table 4. Mean trough concentrations (C_{min} ; \pm standard deviation) achieved after the first infusions at 6 and 9 mg/kg were 59 \pm 31 µg/mL and 106 \pm 57 µg/mL, respectively. A C_{min} of 60 µg/mL or greater has resulted in significant tumor growth inhibition in xenograft models of colon and pancreatic cancers.¹⁸ This threshold C_{min} was exceeded after the first dose in 14 of 17 patients treated at 9 mg/kg and eight of 17 patients treated at 6 mg/kg. For patients treated at 9 mg/kg, there was a significant correlation between age and C_{min} (Spearman rank correlation, 0.5; P = .04), with C_{min} (\pm standard deviation) of 75.8 \pm 30.3 for patients age 13.5 years or younger versus 139.0 \pm 62.1 for older patients (P = .03).



Fig 1. Reduction in [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) activity with cixutumumab. Fused axial PET/computed tomography of chest (A, B) and lower extremities (C,D) showing increased uptake at baseline in metastatic left lower lobe lung nodule (A, arrow) and intense baseline uptake in primary left midthigh mass at baseline (C, arrow) in patient with metastatic fibrosarcoma. After 2 weeks of cixutumumab therapy, although lung nodule had not changed in size, there was no significant residual FDG uptake remaining (B). Similarly, there was significant reduction in FDG uptake at primary left thigh site with no apparent change in size of tumor (D) after 2 weeks of therapy.

	Table 4. Pharmacokinetic Parameters (mean \pm standard deviation)After First Infusion							
	Dose Level (mg/kg)	C _{min} (µg/mL)*	C _{max} (µg/mL)	Clearance (mL/h/kg)	${ m AUC}_{ m 0-\infty}$ (hr $ imes$ mg/mL)	Half-Life (days)		
6		59 ± 31	252 ± 95	0.25 ± 0.12	32.6 ± 21.1	4.2 ± 1.3		
	No. of patients	17	7	7	7	7		
9		106 ± 57	400 ± 141	0.22 ± 0.08	46.3 ± 20.8	4.4 ± 1.1		
	No. of patients	17	14	9	9	9		
A	Abbreviations: $AUC_{0-\infty}$, area under concentration versus time curve extrapo- lated to infinity: C							

lated to infinity; C_{max} , peak concentration; C_{min} , trough concentration. *Trough concentration 7 days after initial infusion.

Pharmacodynamics

A marked increase in mean serum IGF-I and moderate increase in serum IGFBP-3 were observed after one dose of cixutumumab; this relative change was similar for each dose level (Fig 2A). Serum IGF-II and IGFBP-2 concentrations did not seem to change from baseline.

Sufficient material was available from eight patients treated at 6 mg/kg and four patients treated at 9 mg/kg to analyze IGF-IR expression by PBMCs before and after treatment with cixutumumab (Fig 2B). Varying degrees of change in IGF-IR expression were observed after one dose of cixutumumab (at day 8 of cycle one) at both dose levels. Correlation between PBMC IGF-IR expression and response was not feasible because of the small sample size.

Tumor IGF-I, IGF-II, and IGF-IR Expression

Archival tissue samples from patients with ES (original diagnosis or recurrence) were obtained for IHC evaluation (Fig 2C). IGF-IR expression was evident in a majority of tumors; however, the degree of expression varied. There was not an apparent correlation between response to cixutumumab and tumor expression of IGF-I, IGF-II, or IGF-IR.

DISCUSSION

Cixutumumab administered intravenously once per week was well tolerated in pediatric patients at the two dose levels evaluated. No DLTs in have been reported phase I trials of cixutumumab administered on schedules of once per week and every other week in adults at doses up to 15 mg/kg.^{24,25} Adverse events common to the various anti–IGF-IR monoclonal antibodies in early-phase testing include hyperglycemia, mild skin toxicities, and fatigue.³¹ Consistent with data from adult trials, mild (\leq grade 2) hyperglycemia occurred relatively frequently in our study (14 of 44 evaluable patients). However, no patient had grade 3 or greater hyperglycemia or required treatment for hyperglycemia. The incidence and severity of other adverse events were similar to those seen in adult trials, and no hypersensitivity reactions were observed.

Apart from dose-limiting thrombocytopenia in one patient, hematologic toxicities were mild. Thrombocytopenia was not reported on adult phase I trials of cixutumumab, but it has been observed in early-phase trials of other anti–IGF-IR antibodies.^{24,25,32} The mechanism of thrombocytopenia is unclear, but temporally, it is not consistent with bone marrow suppression. The dose-limiting thrombocytopenia in our study occurred in a patient who had baseline grade 2 thrombocytopenia, had previously undergone autologous stem-cell transplantation, and had intercurrent pneumonia.

Noncompartmental PK analysis showed lower mean trough concentration (\pm standard deviation; 59 \pm 31 μ g/mL) in pediatric



Fig 2. Ewing sarcoma tumor expression of (A) insulin-like growth factor I receptor (IGF-IR), (B) IGF-I, and (C) IGF-II by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Each bar represents the mean IHC score (\pm standard deviation) calculated from 10 separate representative sections from tumor tissue for a single patient. PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease \ge 3 cycles. patients treated at 6 mg/kg than in adults treated at the same dose $(87 \pm 7 \ \mu g/mL)$.²⁴ Data from colon and pancreatic cancer xenograft models suggest that antitumor activity is best achieved at steady-state cixutumumab concentrations above 60 $\mu g/mL$ —a concentration that was achieved at a dose of 6 mg/kg per week in adults.^{18,24} Because this concentration was achieved in a majority of patients at 9 mg/kg in this study, and no additional toxicity was observed, the recommended phase II dose of cixutumumab in children is 9 mg/kg intravenously once per week.

An abundance of preclinical data illustrate the role of IGF-IR in childhood cancer and suggest that inhibition of the IGF-IR is a potentially important strategy for the treatment of pediatric solid tumors.^{10,12,13,33-35} In addition, data from early-phase trials in adults have generated enthusiasm for evaluation of IGF-IR antibodies in patients with ES.^{32,36} In this study, the number of responses observed did not meet the statistical criteria for single-agent activity in ES, with two PRs among 20 patients at the recommended phase II dose. The COG phase II trial (ADVL0821) of cixutumumab at 9 mg/kg once per week in a variety of other pediatric solid tumors is ongoing.

Despite the small number of responses in ES, further evaluation of cixutumumab in pediatric cancers may be warranted. The response rate of 10% in patients with refractory ES is similar to what has been observed for ES in early-phase studies with other IGF-IR antibodies. Responses were observed in 12% of patients with ES in a phase I study of figitumumab (Pfizer, New York, NY) and in 14% of patients with ES in a phase II study of R1507 (Roche, Nutley, NJ).^{37,38} Further development of cixutumumab and other IGF-IR antibodies will require discovery of robust biomarkers that can predict response. We did not demonstrate a correlation between IGF-IR expression by IHC and response. However, better methods for detecting and quantifying tumor IGF-IR expression as well as evaluation of mechanisms of resistance may improve our ability to tailor therapy with IGF-IR antibodies by identifying those patients most likely to benefit. Given the relatively good concordance of early FDG PET with RECIST

REFERENCES

 Pollak M: Insulin and insulin-like growth factor signalling in neoplasia. Nat Rev Cancer 8:915-928, 2008

2. Ayalon D, Glaser T, Werner H: Transcriptional regulation of IGF-I receptor gene expression by the PAX3-FKHR oncoprotein. Growth Horm IGF Res 11:289-297, 2001

3. El-Badry OM, Minniti C, Kohn EC, et al: Insulin-like growth factor II acts as an autocrine growth and motility factor in human rhabdomyosarcoma tumors. Cell Growth Differ 1:325-331, 1990

 MacEwen EG, Pastor J, Kutzke J, et al: IGF-1 receptor contributes to the malignant phenotype in human and canine osteosarcoma. J Cell Biochem 92:77-91, 2004

5. El-Badry OM, Romanus JA, Helman LJ, et al: Autonomous growth of a human neuroblastoma cell line is mediated by insulin-like growth factor II. J Clin Invest 84:829-839, 1989

6. Toretsky JA, Kalebic T, Blakesley V, et al: The insulin-like growth factor-I receptor is required for EWS/FLI-1 transformation of fibroblasts. J Biol Chem 272:30822-30827, 1997

7. Werner H, Re GG, Drummond IA, et al: Increased expression of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor gene, IGF1R, in Wilms tumor is correlated WT1 Wilms tumor gene product. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:5828-5832, 1993
8. LeRoith D, Werner H, Beitner-Johnson D, et

with modulation of IGF1R promoter activity by the

al: Molecular and cellular aspects of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor. Endocr Rev 16:143-163, 1995

9. LeRoith D, Roberts CT Jr: The insulin-like growth factor system and cancer. Cancer Lett 195: 127-137, 2003

10. Scotlandi K, Manara MC, Nicoletti G, et al: Antitumor activity of the insulin-like growth factor-l receptor kinase inhibitor NVP-AEW541 in musculoskeletal tumors. Cancer Res 65:3868-3876, 2005

11. Tanno B, Mancini C, Vitali R, et al: Downregulation of insulin-like growth factor I receptor activity by NVP-AEW541 has an antitumor effect on neuroblastoma cells in vitro and in vivo. Clin Cancer Res 12:6772-6780, 2006

12. Gansler T, Furlanetto R, Gramling TS, et al: Antibody to type I insulinlike growth factor receptor inhibits growth of Wilms' tumor in culture and in athymic mice. Am J Pathol 135:961-966, 1989

13. Geoerger B, Daudigeous E, Debussche LR, et al: The anti insulin-like growth factor I receptor (IGF1-R) antibody AVE1642 exhibits anti-tumor activity against neuroblastoma cell lines and xenografts. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 47, 2006 (abstr 1222)

response in our study, this may provide a useful tool to evaluate the activity of IGF-IR antibodies. In addition, the true value of IGF-IR inhibition may be seen with combination strategies to enhance the cytotoxic effect of other agents. COG trials combining cixutumumab with temsirolimus (phase I) or multiagent chemotherapy for metastatic rhabdomyosarcoma (pilot) are underway. There are also numerous ongoing phase I and II studies in adults with a variety of solid tumors evaluating cixutumumab in combination with multiagent chemotherapy or tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

In summary, cixutumumab is well tolerated in children as a single agent. The pharmacokinetic profile at 9 mg/kg in pediatric patients is similar to that at 6 mg/kg in adults, and the recommended pediatric phase II dose is 9 mg/kg intravenously once per week. Limited single-agent activity was observed in refractory ES.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Suman Malempati, Helen X. Chen, Peter C. Adamson, Susan M. Blaney

Administrative support: Stephen Schmechel, Helen X. Chen Provision of study materials or patients: Suman Malempati Collection and assembly of data: Suman Malempati, Brenda Weigel, Ashish M. Ingle, Julie M. Carroll, Joel M. Reid, Stephen Schmechel, Mark D. Krailo, Susan M. Blaney

Data analysis and interpretation: Suman Malempati, Ashish M. Ingle, Charlotte H. Ahern, Julie M. Carroll, Charles T. Roberts, Joel M. Reid, Stephen Schmechel, Stephan D. Voss, Steve Y. Cho, Helen X. Chen, Peter C. Adamson, Susan M. Blaney

Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

14. Maloney EK, McLaughlin JL, Dagdigian NE, et al: An anti-insulin-like growth factor I receptor antibody that is a potent inhibitor of cancer cell proliferation. Cancer Res 63:5073-5083, 2003

15. Benini S, Manara MC, Baldini N, et al: Inhibition of insulin-like growth factor I receptor increases the antitumor activity of doxorubicin and vincristine against Ewing's sarcoma cells. Clin Cancer Res 7:1790-1797, 2001

16. Burtrum D, Zhu Z, Lu D, et al: A fully human monoclonal antibody to the insulin-like growth factor I receptor blocks ligand-dependent signaling and inhibits human tumor growth in vivo. Cancer Res 63:8912-8921, 2003

17. Sachdev D, Singh R, Fujita-Yamaguchi Y, et al: Down-regulation of insulin receptor by antibodies against the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor: Implications for anti-insulin-like growth factor therapy in breast cancer. Cancer Res 66:2391-2402, 2006

18. Rowinsky EK, Youssoufian H, Tonra JR, et al: IMC-A12, a human IgG1 monoclonal antibody to the insulin-like growth factor I receptor. Clin Cancer Res 13:5549s–5555s, 2007

19. Wu JD, Haugk K, Coleman I, et al: Combined in vivo effect of A12, a type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor antibody, and docetaxel against prostate cancer tumors. Clin Cancer Res 12:6153-6160, 2006

20. Wu KD, Zhou L, Burtrum D, et al: Antibody targeting of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor enhances the anti-tumor response of multiple myeloma to chemotherapy through inhibition of tumor proliferation and angiogenesis. Cancer Immunol Immunother 56:343-357, 2007

21. Allen GW, Saba C, Armstrong EA, et al: Insulin-like growth factor-I receptor signaling blockade combined with radiation. Cancer Res 67:1155-1162, 2007

22. Wang Z, Chakravarty G, Kim S, et al: Growthinhibitory effects of human anti-insulin-like growth factor-I receptor antibody (A12) in an orthotopic nude mouse model of anaplastic thyroid carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 12:4755-4765, 2006

23. Kolb EA, Morton C, Houghton PJ, et al: Pediatric Preclinical Testing Program (PPTP) evaluation of the fully human anti-IGF-IR antibody IMC-A12. Presented at 20th European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer–National Cancer Institute–American Association for Cancer Research Symposium on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics, Geneva, Switzerland, October 21-24, 2008

24. Higano CS, Yu EY, Whiting SH, et al: A phase I, first in man study of weekly IMC-A12, a fully human insulin like growth factor-I receptor IgG1 monocolonal antibody, in patients with advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 25, 2007 (suppl; abstr 3505)

25. Rothenberg ML, Poplin E, Sandler AB, et al: Phase I dose-escalation study of the anti-IGF-IR recombinant human IgG1 monoclonal antibody (Mab) IMC-A12, administered every other week to patients with advanced solid tumors. Presented at the American Association for Cancer Research-National Cancer Institute–European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer International Conference on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics, San Francisco, CA, October 22-26, 2007

26. Simon R: Optimal two-stage designs for phase II clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 10:1-10, 1989

27. Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, et al: New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst 92:205-216, 2000

28. Weber MM, Fottner C, Liu SB, et al: Overexpression of the insulin-like growth factor I receptor in human colon carcinomas. Cancer 95:2086-2095, 2002

29. Freier S, Eran M, Reinus C, et al: Relative expression and localization of the insulin-like growth factor system components in the fetal, child and adult intestine. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 40:202-209, 2005

30. Giani C, Campani D, Rasmussen A, et al: Insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II) immunohistochemistry in breast cancer: Relationship with the most important morphological and biochemical prognostic parameters. Int J Biol Markers 17:90-95, 2002

31. Rodon J, DeSantos V, Ferry RJ Jr, et al: Early drug development of inhibitors of the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor pathway: Lessons from the first clinical trials. Mol Cancer Ther 7:2575-2588, 2008

32. Tolcher AW, Rothenberg ML, Rodon J, et al: A phase I pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of AMG 479, a fully human monoclonal antibody against insulin-like growth factor type 1 receptor (IGF-1R), in advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 25, 2007 (suppl; abstr 3002)

33. Chou AJ, Merola PR, Sowers R, et al: IGF-I/ IGF-I receptor signal transduction in osteosarcoma cell lines. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 45, 2004 (abstr 5193)

34. Shang Y, Mao Y, Batson J, et al: Antixenograft tumor activity of a humanized anti-insulin-like growth factor-I receptor monoclonal antibody is associated with decreased AKT activation and glucose uptake. Mol Cancer Ther 7:2599-2608, 2008

35. Makawita S, Ho M, Durbin AD, et al: Expression of insulin-like growth factor pathway proteins in rhabdomyosarcoma: IGF-2 expression is associated with translocation-negative tumors. Pediatr Dev Pathol 12:127-135, 2009

36. Leong S, Gore L, Benjamin R, et al: A phase I study of R1507, a human monoclonal antibody IGF-1R (insulin-like growth factor receptor) antagonist given weekly in patients with advanced solid tumors. Presented at the American Association for Cancer Research–National Cancer Institute–European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer International Conference on Molecular Targets and Cancer Therapeutics, San Francisco, CA, October 22-26, 2007

37. Olmos D, Postel-Vinay S, Molife LR, et al: Safety, pharmacokinetics, and preliminary activity of the anti-IGF-1R antibody figitumumab (CP-751,871) in patients with sarcoma and Ewing's sarcoma: A phase 1 expansion cohort study. Lancet Oncol 11: 129-135, 2010

38. Pappo AS, Patel S, Crowley J, et al: Activity of R1507, a monoclonal antibody to the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R), in patients (pts) with recurrent or refractory Ewing's sarcoma family of tumors (ESFT): Results of a phase II SARC study. J Clin Oncol 28:698s, 2010 (suppl 15; abstr 10000)