



https://helda.helsinki.fi

Phenological shifts of abiotic events, producers and consumers across a continent

Roslin, Tomas

2021-03

Roslin, T, Antao, L, Hällfors, M, Meyke, E, Lo, C, Tikhonov, G, Delgado, MDM, Gurarie, E, Abadonova, M, Abduraimov, O, Adrianova, O, Akimova, T, Akkiev, M, Ananin, A, Andreeva, E, Andriychuk, N, Antipin, M, Arzamascev, K, Babina, S, Babushkin, M, Bakin, O, Barabancova, A, Basilskaja, I, Belova, N, Belyaeva, N, Bespalova, T, Bisikalova, E, Bobretsov, A, Bobrov, V, Bobrovskyi, V, Bochkareva, E, Bogdanov, G, Bolshakov, V, Bondarchuk, S, Bukharova, E, Butunina, A, Buyvolov, Y , Buyvolova, A, Bykov, Y, Chakhireva, E, Chashchina, O, Cherenkova, N, Chistjakov, S, Chuhontseva, S, Davydov, EA, Demchenko, V, Diadicheva, E, Dobrolyubov, A, Dostoyevskaya, L, Drovnina, S, Drozdova, Z, Dubanaev, A, Dubrovsky, Y, Elsukov, S , Epova, L, Ermakova, OS, Ermakova, OS, Ershkova, E, Esengeldenova, A, Evstigneev, O, Fedchenko, I, Fedotova, V, Filatova, T, Gashev, S, Gavrilov, A, Gaydysh, I, Golovcov, D, Goncharova, N, Gorbunova, E, Gordeeva, T, Grishchenko, V, Gromyko, L, Hohryakov, V, Hritankov, A, Ignatenko, E, Igosheva, S, Ivanova, U, Ivanova, N, Kalinkin, Y, Kaygorodova, E, Kazansky, F, Kiseleva, D, Knorre, A, Kolpashikov, L, Korobov, E, Korolyova, H, Korotkikh, N, Kosenkov, G, Kossenko, S, Kotlugalyamova, E, Kozlovsky, E, Kozsheechkin, V, Kozurak, A, Kozyr, I, Krasnopevtseva, A, Kruglikov, S, Kuberskaya, O, Kudryavtsev, A, Kulebyakina, E, Kulsha, Y, Kupriyanova, M, Kurbanbagamaev, M, Kutenkov, A, Kutenkova, N, Kuyantseva, N, Kuznetsov, A, Larin, E, Lebedev, P, Litvinov, K, Luzhkova, N, Mahmudov, A, Makovkina, L, Mamontov, V, Mayorova, S, Megalinskaja, I, Meydus, A , Minin, A, Mitrofanov, O, Motruk, M, Myslenkov, A, Nasonova, N, Nemtseva, N, Nesterova, I, Nezdoliy, T, Niroda, T, Novikova, T, Panicheva, D, Pavlov, A, Pavlova, K, Podolski, S, Polikarpova, N, Polyanskaya, T, Pospelov, I, Pospelova, E, Prokhorov , I, Prokosheva, I, Puchnina, L, Putrashyk, I, Raiskaya, J, Rozhkov, Y, Rozhkova, O, Rudenko, M, Rybnikova, I, Rykova, S, Sahnevich, M, Samoylov, A, Sanko, V, Sapelnikova, I, Sazonov, S, Selyunina, Z, Shalaeva, K, Shashkov, M, Shcherbakov, A , Shevchyk, V, Shubin, S, Shujskaja, E, Sibgatullin, R, Sikkila, N, Sitnikova, E, Sivkov , A, Skok, N, Skorokhodova, S, Smirnova, E, Sokolova, G, Sopin, V, Spasovski, Y, Stepanov, S, Stratiy, V, Strekalovskaya, V, Sukhov, A, Suleymanova, G, Sultangareeva, L, Teleganova, V, Teplov, V, Teplova, V, Tertitsa, T, Timoshkin, V, Tirski, D, Tolmachev, A, Tomilin, A, Tselishcheva, L, Turgunov, M, Tyukh, Y, Van, P , Van, V, Vasin, A, Vasina, A, Vekliuk, A, Vetchinnikova, L, Vinogradov, V, Volodchenkov, N, Voloshina, I, Xoliqov, T, Yablonovska-Grishchenko, E, Yakovlev, V,

2

Phenological shifts of abiotic events, producers and consumers across a continent

3 Authors:

Tomas Roslin¹, Laura Antao², Maria Hällfors², Evgeniy Meyke³, Coong Lo², Gleb Tikhonov⁴, 4 Maria del Mar Delgado⁵, Eliezer Gurarie⁶, Marina Abadonova⁷, Ozodbek Abduraimov⁸, Olga 5 Adrianova⁹, Tatiana Akimova¹⁰, Muzhigit Akkiev¹¹, Aleksandr Ananin^{12,13}, Elena Andreeva¹⁴, 6 Natalia Andriychuk¹⁵, Maxim Antipin¹⁶, Konstantin Arzamascev¹⁷, Svetlana Babina¹⁸, Miroslav 7 Babushkin¹⁹, Oleg Bakin²⁰, Anna Barabancova²¹, Inna Basilskaja²², Nina Belova²³, Natalia 8 Belvaeva²⁴, Tatjana Bespalova²⁵, Evgeniya Bisikalova²⁶, Anatoly Bobretsov²⁷, Vladimir Bobrov²⁸, 9 Vadim Bobrovskyi²⁹, Elena Bochkareva^{30,31}, Gennady Bogdanov³², Vladimir Bolshakov³³, Svetlana 10 Bondarchuk³⁴, Evgeniya Bukharova^{12,102}, Alena Butunina²⁵, Yuri Buyvolov³⁵, Anna Buyvolova³⁶, 11 Yuri Bykov³⁷, Elena Chakhireva²⁰, Olga Chashchina³⁸, Nadezhda Cherenkova³⁹, Sergej 12 Chistjakov⁴⁰, Svetlana Chuhontseva¹⁰, Evgeniy A Davydov^{41,30}, Viktor Demchenko⁴², Elena 13 Diadicheva⁴², Aleksandr Dobrolyubov⁴³, Ludmila Dostoyevskaya⁴⁴, Svetlana Drovnina³⁹, Zoya 14 Drozdova³⁷, Akynaly Dubanaev⁴⁵, Yuriy Dubrovsky⁴⁶, Sergey Elsukov³⁴, Lidia Epova⁴⁷, Olga 15 Ermakova²³, Olga S Ermakova⁴⁸, Elena Ershkova^{49,50}, Aleksandra Esengeldenova²⁵, Oleg 16 Evstigneev⁵¹, Irina Fedchenko⁵², Violetta Fedotova⁴⁴, Tatiana Filatova⁵³, Sergey Gashev⁵⁴, Anatoliy 17 Gavrilov⁵⁵, Irina Gaydysh⁹, Dmitrij Golovcov⁵⁶, Nadezhda Goncharova¹⁴, Elena Gorbunova¹⁰, 18 Tatyana Gordeeva^{57,102}, Vitaly Grishchenko⁵⁸, Ludmila Gromyko³⁴, Vladimir Hohryakov⁵⁹, 19 Alexander Hritankov¹⁴, Elena Ignatenko⁶⁰, Svetlana Igosheva⁶¹, Uliya Ivanova⁶², Natalya Ivanova⁶³, 20 Yury Kalinkin¹⁰, Evgeniya Kaygorodova⁵¹, Fedor Kazansky⁶⁴, Darya Kiseleva⁶⁵, Anastasia 21 Knorre^{14,66}, Leonid Kolpashikov⁵⁵, Evgenii Korobov⁶⁷, Helen Korolyova¹⁰, Natalia Korotkikh²⁵, 22 Gennadiy Kosenkov⁵⁹, Sergey Kossenko⁵¹, Elvira Kotlugalyamova⁶⁸, Evgeny Kozlovsky⁶⁹, 23 Vladimir Kozsheechkin¹⁴, Alla Kozurak¹⁵, Irina Kozyr²³, Aleksandra Krasnopevtseva²³, Sergey 24

25	Kruglikov ⁵¹ , Olga Kuberskaya ²⁹ , Aleksey Kudryavtsev ⁴³ , Elena Kulebyakina ⁷⁰ , Yuliia Kulsha ⁵⁸ ,
26	Margarita Kupriyanova ⁶² , Murad Kurbanbagamaev ²⁷ , Anatoliy Kutenkov ⁷¹ , Nadezhda Kutenkova ⁷¹ ,
27	Nadezhda Kuyantseva ^{38,72} , Andrey Kuznetsov ¹⁹ , Evgeniy Larin ²⁵ , Pavel Lebedev ^{44,73} , Kirill
28	Litvinov ⁷⁴ , Natalia Luzhkova ¹² , Azizbek Mahmudov ⁸ , Lidiya Makovkina ⁷⁵ , Viktor Mamontov ⁷⁰ ,
29	Svetlana Mayorova ³⁷ , Irina Megalinskaja ²⁷ , Artur Meydus ^{76,77} , Aleksandr Minin ^{78,79} , Oleg
30	Mitrofanov ¹⁰ , Mykhailo Motruk ⁸⁰ , Aleksandr Myslenkov ⁷⁵ , Nina Nasonova ⁸¹ , Natalia Nemtseva ¹⁹ ,
31	Irina Nesterova ³⁴ , Tamara Nezdoliy ⁶² , Tatyana Niroda ⁸² , Tatiana Novikova ⁶¹ , Darya Panicheva ⁶⁴ ,
32	Alexey Pavlov ²⁰ , Klara Pavlova ⁶⁰ , Sergei Podolski ⁶⁰ , Natalja Polikarpova ⁸³ , Tatiana Polyanskaya ⁸⁴ ,
33	Igor Pospelov ²⁸ , Elena Pospelova ⁵⁵ , Ilya Prokhorov ³⁶ , Irina Prokosheva ⁸⁵ , Lyudmila Puchnina ⁵² ,
34	Ivan Putrashyk ⁸² , Julia Raiskaya ⁷⁶ , Yuri Rozhkov ⁸⁶ , Olga Rozhkova ⁸⁶ , Marina Rudenko ⁸⁷ , Irina
35	Rybnikova ¹⁹ , Svetlana Rykova ⁵² , Miroslava Sahnevich ¹⁰ , Alexander Samoylov ³⁹ , Valeri Sanko ⁴² ,
36	Inna Sapelnikova ²² , Sergei Sazonov ^{88,102} , Zoya Selyunina ⁸⁹ , Ksenia Shalaeva ⁵⁹ , Maksim
37	Shashkov ^{90,63} , Anatoliy Shcherbakov ⁷¹ , Vasyl Shevchyk ⁵⁸ , Sergej Shubin ⁹¹ , Elena Shujskaja ⁶⁷ ,
38	Rustam Sibgatullin ²⁴ , Natalia Sikkila ⁹ , Elena Sitnikova ⁵¹ , Andrei Sivkov ^{52,102} , Nataliya Skok ⁶² ,
39	Svetlana Skorokhodova ⁷¹ , Elena Smirnova ³⁴ , Galina Sokolova ³⁵ , Vladimir Sopin ⁷⁶ , Yurii
40	Spasovski ⁹² , Sergei Stepanov ⁶⁷ , Vitaliy Stratiy ⁹³ , Violetta Strekalovskaya ⁵⁵ , Alexander Sukhov ⁷¹ ,
41	Guzalya Suleymanova ⁹⁴ , Lilija Sultangareeva ⁶⁸ , Viktorija Teleganova ⁵⁷ , Viktor Teplov ^{27,102} ,
42	Valentina Teplova ²⁷ , Tatiana Tertitsa ²⁷ , Vladislav Timoshkin ¹⁴ , Dmitry Tirski ⁸⁶ , Andrej
43	Tolmachev ²¹ , Aleksey Tomilin ^{95,96} , Ludmila Tselishcheva ⁹¹ , Mirabdulla Turgunov ⁸ , Yurij Tyukh ⁸² ,
44	Polina Van ²⁹ , Vladimir Van ²⁹ , Aleksander Vasin ⁹⁷ , Aleksandra Vasina ⁹⁷ , Anatoliy Vekliuk ¹⁵ , Lidia
45	Vetchinnikova ⁸⁸ , Vladislav Vinogradov ⁹⁸ , Nikolay Volodchenkov ²³ , Inna Voloshina ⁷⁵ , Tura
46	Xoliqov ⁹⁹ , Eugenia Yablonovska-Grishchenko ⁵⁸ , Vladimir Yakovlev ^{10,102} , Marina Yakovleva ⁷¹ ,
47	Oksana Yantser ⁶² , Yurij Yarema ⁸² , Andrey Zahvatov ¹⁰⁰ , Valery Zakharov ³⁸ , Nicolay Zelenetskiy ¹⁹ ,
48	Anatolii Zheltukhin ⁶⁷ , Tatyana Zubina ¹⁰ , Juri Kurhinen ^{2,88} & Otso Ovaskainen ^{2,101}

49 **Affiliations:**

¹Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Ecology, PO BOX 7044, SE-75007 50 Uppsala, Sweden, ²University of Helsinki, PO BOX 65 00014 Helsinki, Finland, ³EarthCape OY, 51 Latokartanonkaari 3 00790 Helsinki, Finland, ⁴Department of Computer Science, Aalto University, 52 PO Box 15400 FI-00076, Finland, ⁵Oviedo University, Research Unit of Biodiversity (UMIB, UO-53 CSIC-PA), Campus Mieres, 33600 Mieres, Spain, ⁶University of Maryland, 3237 Biology-54 Psychology Building, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, United States, ⁷National 55 Park Orlovskoe Polesie, 303943, Orel region, Hotynetskiy district, Zhuderskiy village, Shkolnaya 56 st. 2, Russian Federation, ⁸Institute of Botany, Academy of sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, 57 100053, Tashkent, Bogi shamol str. 232 V, Uzbekistan, ⁹Kostomuksha Nature Reserve, 186930, 58 Karelia Republic, Kostomuksha, Priozernaya 2, Russian Federation, ¹⁰Altai State Nature Biosphere 59 Reserve, 649000, Altai Republic, Gorno-Altaysk, Naberezhnyi st., 1, Russian 60 Federation, ¹¹Kabardino-Balkarski Nature Reserve, 360000, Kabardino-Balkaria, Cherek District, 61 Mechieva 78, Russian Federation, ¹²FSE Zapovednoe Podlemorye, 671623, Republic of Buryatia, 62 Ust-Bargizin, Lenina st. 71, Russian Federation, ¹³Institute of General and Experimental Biology, 63 Siberian Department, Russian Academy of Sciences, 670047, Republic of Buryatia, Ulan-Ude, 64 Sakhyanovoy str. 6, Russian Federation, ¹⁴State Nature Reserve Stolby, 660006, Krasnoyarsk 65 region, Krasnovarsk, Kariernaya 26, Russian Federation, ¹⁵Carpathian Biosphere Reserve, 90600, 66 Zakarpatska obl., Rakhiv, Krasne Pleso Str. 77, Ukraine, ¹⁶Nizhne-Svirsky State Nature Reserve, 67 18700, Leningrad Region, Lodeinoe Pole, Svir River, 1, Russian Federation, ¹⁷State Nature Reserve 68 Prisursky, 428034, Cheboksary, Lesnoj, 9, Russian Federation, ¹⁸Zapovednoe Pribajkalje (Bajkalo-69 70 Lensky State Nature Reserve, Pribajkalsky National Park), 664050, Irkutsk, Bajkalskaya St., 291B, Russian Federation, ¹⁹Darwin Nature Biosphere Reserve, 162723, Cherepovets District, Vologda 71 Region, Borok, 44, p/o Ploskovo, Russian Federation, ²⁰Volzhsko-Kamsky National Nature 72

73	Biosphere Rezerve, 422537, Tatarstan Republic, Zelenodolsk District, p/o Raifa, Sadovy, str.
74	Vechova, 1, Russian Federation, ²¹ FGBU National Park Shushenskiy Bor, 662710, Krasnoyarsk
75	Region, Shushenskoe, Lugovaja 9, Russian Federation, ²² Voronezhsky Nature Biosphere Reserve,
76	394080, Centralnaja usadba, Goszapovednik, Voronezh, Russian Federation, ²³ Baikalsky State
77	Nature Biosphere Reserve, 671220, Buryatia Republic, Kabansky District, Tankhoy, 34
78	Krasnogvardeyskaya Street, Russian Federation, ²⁴ Visimsky Nature Biosphere Reserve, 624140,
79	Kirovgrad, Stepana Razina, 23, Russian Federation, ²⁵ Kondinskie Lakes National Park named after
80	L. F. Stashkevich, 628240, Hanty-Mansijsk district, City Sovietsky, Komsomolski st., 5, Russian
81	Federation, ²⁶ FSBI United Administration of the Kedrovaya Pad' State Biosphere Nature Reserve
82	and Leopard's Land National Park, 690068, Primorskiy kray, Vladivostok, pr. 100-letiya
83	Vladivostoka 127, Russian Federation, ²⁷ Pechoro-Ilych State Nature Reserve, 169436, Komi
84	Republic, Trinity-Pechora region, Yaksha, Laninoy Street 8, Russian Federation, ²⁸ A. N. Severtsov
85	Institute of Ecology and Evolution, 119071, Moscow, Leninsky Prospect 33, Russian
86	Federation, ²⁹ FGBU Zapovednoye Priamurye, Komsomolskiy Department, 681000, Khabarovskyi
87	krai, Komsomolsk-on-Amur, Mira avenue, 54, Russian Federation, ³⁰ Tigirek State Nature Reserve,
88	656043, Barnaul, Nikitina street 111, Russian Federation, ³¹ Institute of Systematics and Ecology of
89	Animals of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, 930091, Novosibirsk, Frunze 11,
90	Russian Federation, ³² State Nature Reserve Bolshaya Kokshaga, 424038, Mary El Republic,
91	Yoshkar-Ola, Voinov-Internacionalistov 26, Russian Federation, ³³ Institute of Plant and Animal
92	Ecology, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 620100, Ekaterinburg, 8 Marta 202/3,
93	Russian Federation, ³⁴ Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Reserve named after K. G. Abramov,
94	692150, Primorsky krai, Terney, Partizanskaya 44, Russian Federation, ³⁵ FSBI Prioksko-Terrasniy
95	State Reserve, 142200, Moscow region, Serpukhov district, Danky, Russian
96	Federation, ³⁶ Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Leninskie Gory, Russian

97 Federation, ³⁷National park Meshchera, 601501, Vladimir region, Gus-Hrustalnyi,

Internacionalnava 111, Russian Federation, ³⁸South Urals Federal Research Center of Mineralogy 98 and Geoecology of the Urals Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ilmeny State Reserve, 99 456317, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, Russian Federation, ³⁹FGBU National Park Kenozersky, 100 163000, Arkhangelsk, Embankment of the Northern Dvina, 78, Russian Federation, ⁴⁰FGBU GPZ 101 Kologrivskij les im. M.G. Sinicina, 157440, Kostromskaja oblasť, Kologriv, Nekrasova 48, Russian 102 Federation, ⁴¹Altai State University, 656049, Lenin Ave. 61, Barnaul, Russian 103 104 Federation, ⁴²Pryazovskyi National Nature Park, 72312, Zaporiz'ka oblast, Melitopol', Interkulturna Street, 21/1, Ukraine, ⁴³State Nature Reserve Privolzhskaya Lesostep, 440031, Penza, Okruzhnaya 105 12-a, Russian Federation, ⁴⁴Komarov Botanical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (BIN 106 RAS), 197376, Saint Petersburg, Professora Popova 2, Russian Federation, ⁴⁵Sary-Chelek State 107 Nature Reserve, 715705, Dzalal-Abad region, Aksu district, Arkyt village, Kyrgyzstan, ⁴⁶Institute 108 for Evolutionary Ecology NAS Ukraine, 03143, Kiev, Lebedeva 37, Ukraine, ⁴⁷FGBU State Nature 109 Reserve Kuznetsk Alatau, 652888, Kemerovo region, Mezhdurechensk, Shakhterov 33-1, Russian 110 Federation, ⁴⁸Kerzhenskiy State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 603001, Nizhny Novgorod, 111 Rozhdestvenskaya 23, Russian Federation, ⁴⁹FSBI United Administration of the Mordovia State 112 Nature Reserve and National Park Smolny, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, Krasnaya 30, 113 Russian Federation, ⁵⁰Ogarev Mordovia State University, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, 114 Bolshevistskava 68, Russian Federation, ⁵¹Brvansk Forest Nature Reserve, 242180, Brvansk region, 115 Suzemka district, Nerussa St., Zapovednaya street, 2, Russian Federation, ⁵²Pinezhsky State Nature 116 Reserve, 164610, Arhangel region, Pinezkiy district, Pinega, Pervomayskaya street, 123 A, Russian 117 Federation, ⁵³The Central Chernozem State Biosphere Nature Reserve named after Professor V.V. 118 Alyokhin, 305528 Kurskiy region, Kurskiy district, p/o Zapovednoe, Russian Federation, ⁵⁴Tyumen 119 State University, 625043, Tyumen, Pirogova str., 3, Russian Federation, ⁵⁵Reserves of Taimyr, 120

121	666300, Norilsk, str. Talnakhskaya, entrance 2, Russian Federation, ⁵⁶ Chatkalski National Park,
122	100059, Toshkent, Shota Rustaveli St., 144-34, Uzbekistan, ⁵⁷ National Park Ugra, 248007, Kaluga,
123	Prigorodnoe lesnichestvo, 3a, Russian Federation, ⁵⁸ Kaniv Nature Reserve, 19000, Kaniv,
124	Shevchenko str. 108, Ukraine, ⁵⁹ Smolenskoe Poozerje National Park, 216270, Smolensk Region,
125	Demidovskiy district, Przhevalskoe, Gurevitch street 19, Russian Federation, ⁶⁰ FSBI Zeya State
126	Nature Reserve, 676246, Stroitelnaya str. 71, Zeya, Amurskaya Oblast, Russian
127	Federation, ⁶¹ Polistovsky State Nature Reserve, 182840, Pskov region, Bezhanitsy district,
128	Bezhanitsy Sovetskaya street, 9B, Russian Federation, ⁶² Ural State Pedagogical University, 620017,
129	Yekaterinburg, prosp. Kosmonavtov, 26, Russian Federation, ⁶³ Institute of Mathematical Problems
130	of Biology RAS – the Branch of the Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of Russian Academy
131	of Sciences, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Prof. Vitkevicha 1, Russian
132	Federation, ⁶⁴ Kronotsky Federal Nature Biosphere Reserve, 684000, Kamchatka region, Yelizovo,
133	Ryabikova street 48, Russian Federation, ⁶⁵ Zhiguli Nature Reserve, 445362, Samara region, P.
134	Bakhilova Polyana, Zhigulyovskaya 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁶ Institute for Ecology and Geography,
135	Siberian Federal University, 660041, Krasnoyarsk, 79 Svobodny pr., Russian Federation, ⁶⁷ Central
136	Forest State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 172521, Tver region, Nelidovo district, Zapovedniy village,
137	Russian Federation, ⁶⁸ National Park Bashkirija, 453870, Bashkortostan Republic, Meleuzovskiy
138	district, Nurgush, Abubakirova 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁹ State Nature Reserve Kurilsky, 694500,
139	Sakhalin, Juzhno-Kurilsk, Zarechnaya 5, Russian Federation, ⁷⁰ Vodlozersky National Park, 185002,
140	Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Parkovaya 44, Russian Federation, ⁷¹ State Nature Reserve Kivach, 186220,
141	Kondopoga District, Republic of Karelia, Russian Federation, ⁷² South-Ural Federal University,
142	4563304, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, ul. Kalinina 37, Russian Federation, ⁷³ Saint-Petersburg
143	State Forest Technical University, 194021, St. Petersburg, Institutsky per. 5, 1-338-3, Russian
144	Federation, ⁷⁴ Astrakhan Biosphere Reserve, 414021, Astrakhan, Tsaerv River Bank 119, Russian

145	Federation, ⁷⁵ FSBI United Administration of the Lazovsky State Reserve and national park Zov
146	Tigra, 692980, Primorskiy Krai, Lazovskiy District, Lazo, Centralnaya, 56, Russian
147	Federation, ⁷⁶ State Nature Reserve Tungusskiy, 660028, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk Street 27
148	19, Russian Federation, ⁷⁷ Krasnoyarsk State Pedagogical University named after V.P. Astafyev,
149	660049, Krasnoyarsk, Ada Lebedeva st. 89, Russian Federation, ⁷⁸ Institute of Geography, Russian
150	Academy of Sciences, 119017, Moscow, Staromonetniy 29, Russian Federation, ⁷⁹ Koltzov Institute
151	of Developmental Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119334, Moscow, Vavilov Street 26,
152	Russian Federation, ⁸⁰ Carpathian National Nature Park, 78500, Ivano-Frankivsk region, Yaremche,
153	V. Stusa street 6, Ukraine, ⁸¹ State Environmental Institution National Park Braslav lakes, 211970,
154	Vitebsk region, Braslav, Dachnaya 1, Belarus, 82 National Park Synevyr, 90041, Zakarpattia Region,
155	Mizhhirs'kyi district, Synevyr-Ostriki, Ukraine, ⁸³ Pasvik State Nature Reserve, 184421, Murmansk
156	region, Nikel, Gvardeyskiy Ave. 43, Russian Federation, ⁸⁴ Mari Chodra National Park, 425090,
157	Mari El Republic, Zvenigovsky District, Krasnogorsky Settlement, Tsentralnaya Street, 73, Russian
158	Federation, ⁸⁵ State Nature Reserve Vishersky, 618590, Perm region, Krasnovishersk, Gagarina
159	street 36B, Russian Federation, ⁸⁶ State Nature Reserve Olekminsky, 678100, Republic Sakha,
160	Olekminsk, Filatova 6, Russian Federation, ⁸⁷ Crimea Nature Reserve, 298514, Alushta,
161	Partizanskaya, 42, Republic of Crimea, ⁸⁸ Forest Research Institute Karelian Research Centre
162	Russian Academy of Sciences, 185910, Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Pushkinskaya 11, Russian
163	Federation, ⁸⁹ Black Sea Biosphere Reserve, 75600, Khersons'ka oblast, Hola Prystan', Mikhail
164	Lermontov 1, Ukraine, ⁹⁰ Institute of Physicochemical and Biological Problems in Soil Sciences
165	Russian Academy of Science, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Institutskaya 2, Russian
166	Federation, ⁹¹ State Nature Reserve Nurgush, 610002, Kirov, Lenina street, 129a, Russian
167	Federation, ⁹² Caucasian State Biosphere Reserve of the Ministry of Natural Resources, 385000,
168	Adygea Republik, Maykop, Sovetskaya str. 187, Russian Federation, 93 National Nature Park

169	Vyzhnytskiy, 59200, Chernivtsi Region, Vyzhnytsya District, Berehomet, Street Central 27 a,
170	Ukraine, 94National Park Khvalynsky, 412780, Region Saratov, Khvalynsk Sity, Oktyberskya
171	Street, 2b, Russian Federation, ⁹⁵ State Research Center Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute,
172	199397, Saint Petersburg, Bering st. 38, Russian Federation, ⁹⁶ Information-Analytical Centre for
173	Protected Areas, 123242, Moscow, Kapranova side-street 3, ⁹⁷ State Nature Reserve Malaya Sosva,
174	628242, Tjumen region, Sovetskiy, Lenina str., 46, Russian Federation, ⁹⁸ Krasnoyarsk State
175	Medical University named after Prof. V.F.Voino-Yasenetsky, 660022, Krasnoyarsk region,
176	Krasnoyarsk, Partizana Zhelezniaka, 1, Russian Federation, ⁹⁹ Surhanskiy State Nature Reserve,
177	191404, Surhandarja region, Sherabad, Agahi, 1, Uzbekistan, ¹⁰⁰ Mordovia State Nature Reserve,
178	431230, Mordovia Republic, Temnikov region, village Pushta, Russian Federation, ¹⁰¹ Centre for
179	Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
180	N-7491 Trondheim, Norway. ¹⁰² Evgeniya Bukharova, Tatyana Gordeeva, Sergei Sazonov, Andrei
181	Sivkov, Viktor Teplov, and Vladimir Yakovlev are deceased.

- 183 * Corresponding author: <u>tomas.roslin@slu.se</u>

Ongoing climate change can shift organism phenology in ways that vary depending on species, 185 habitats, and climate factors studied. To probe for large-scale patterns in associated 186 phenological change, we use 70,709 observations from six decades of systematic monitoring 187 across the former Soviet Union. Among 110 phenological events related to plants, birds, 188 insects, amphibians and fungi, we find a mosaic of change, defying simple predictions of 189 earlier springs, later falls and stronger changes at higher latitudes and elevations. Site mean 190 temperature emerged as a strong predictor of local phenology, but the magnitude and 191 direction of change varied with trophic level and the relative timing of an event. Beyond 192 temperature-associated variation, we uncover high variation among both sites and years, with 193 194 some sites being characterized by disproportionately long seasons and others by short ones. Our findings emphasize concerns regarding ecosystem integrity and highlight the difficulty of 195 predicting climate change outcomes. 196

197

198 Main text

Climate change is restructuring biodiversity across the globe¹. Among key responses to spatial and seasonal changes in ambient temperature are changes in phenology^{2,3} – i.e. changes in seasonrelated life cycle events. At mid- to high latitudes in the northern hemisphere, spring events are generally occurring earlier, whereas autumn events are occurring later, mostly due to rising temperatures^{2,4}. Overall, responses are expected to be faster and more pronounced the higher the latitude or elevation, that is, the lower the average temperatures^{5–7}.

Despite rough consensus regarding these general trends and expectations, there are substantial differences in the observed magnitude and direction of phenological responses to climate change among individual species^{8–10}, different taxonomic groups and trophic levels^{3,11,12}. Where spring

phenology in terrestrial areas has advanced by a global average of 2.3 to 2.8 days/decade^{2,8,13}, some 208 studies have suggested changes as fast as 30 days per decade¹⁴. Higher rates of advance are possibly 209 associated with lower trophic levels^{3,12}, as perhaps reflecting not only different sensitivity to 210 different drivers among trophic layers, but also different responses in terms of physiological 211 development³. Another factor which may accelerate phenological advance relates to the mean 212 timing of an event within the season: spring-time events may be advancing at higher rates than 213 autumn events¹⁵. On top of species-specific variation, there is also considerable site-specific 214 variation in phenological advance¹⁶. Such variation among sites and populations may arise from a 215 combination of factors, including changes in temperature and other climatic variables, population 216 sizes, genetic differences, phenotypic plasticity, and land use^{16,17}. 217

A general reason for variability in phenological responses is that patterns of climate change vary 218 substantially across the globe. As the climate of different regions and biomes are changing in 219 somewhat different ways⁵, uniform responses to climate change are hardly to be expected. Regional 220 variation in climate change concern patterns in e.g. overall warming, precipitation and in the 221 seasonal distribution of change⁶. Thus, changes in phenology can be expected to track local changes 222 in the timing of abiotic or climatically-driven events, within the constraints set by the utilization of 223 and sensitivity to cues of the regional species assemblage^{18–20}. Such local and regional variation in 224 drivers^{19,21,22} and sensitivity towards them^{18,20} can be reflected in spatiotemporal variation in 225 phenological shifts^{4,8,15,23,24}. While the few studies analyzing geographically extensive datasets on 226 phenology have observed spatial variation in temporal trends of phenological responses (e.g. ^{25,26}) 227 and their main abiotic drivers^{5,27–29}, the question remains whether patterns of change and response 228 follow major environmental gradients in latitude, photoperiod or temperature^{15,16,30}. 229

230 Spatially extensive, long-term data across northern Eurasia

Evaluating to what extent shifts in phenological events vary over space 31 , with their annual 231 timing^{3,11,12} or with trophic level^{16,32} requires consistently sampled, community-wide data which are 232 both long-term and spatially extensive^{3,12,16,32}. Such data may also give insights on whether local 233 phenology is influenced by biotic interactions beyond abiotic cues^{7,33,34}. Unfortunately, systematic 234 community-wide data sets are still uncommon, hampering progress in understanding large-scale 235 taxonomic and geographic patterns in phenological change³⁵. The few existing exceptions (e.g. 236 ^{2,4,8,15,36,37}) are built on sparse data and/or combining individual studies using variable methods 237 (e.g.³⁸). However, for such data, both noise and bias may affect the overall pattern detected. 238 Regarding seasonality, most datasets come with a particular bias, since much more interest has been 239 invested in spring- than in autumn-time events^{2,4,39}. This is a problem, since where data are 240 available, phenological events occurring during different times of the year have oftentimes been 241 found to shift differently⁴⁰. 242

Here, we draw on a uniquely comprehensive, long-term database established using uniform 243 protocols across northern Eurasia $^{41-43}$. As this monitoring effort encompassed the timing of multiple 244 seasonal events as characterizing both climatic variation and a wealth of taxa (plants, birds, insects, 245 amphibians, reptiles and fungi; Fig. 1), it allows us to quantify large-scale patterns in phenological 246 responses over six decades. Specifically, we ask: 1) Has the timing of different phenological events 247 shifted similarly across this vast geographic range, measured in terms of the rate and direction 248 (advance or delay) of change?; 2) To what extent does variation in the rate and direction of change 249 follow major biogeographic gradients, such as latitude, photoperiod, temperature or chilling-degree-250 days?; 3) To what extent can variation in the rate and direction of change be linked to the relative 251 seasonal timing of the event (early versus late), or to the species' trophic level?; and 4) To what 252 253 extent does variation in the timing of different events covary locally in ways not attributable to overall patterns, suggesting an effect of biotic interactions (and/or joint responses to unmeasured 254

variables)? When combined, these questions allow us to characterize how different components of
the ecosystem have shifted their phenologies over the last few decades of climate change, which
environmental gradients can explain variation on a large spatial scale, and whether events occurring
during different parts of the season or for different taxa keep pace with each other.

To quantify phenological shifts across events, trophic levels and environmental gradients, we fitted 259 a joint species distribution model⁴¹ treating the dates of the phenological events as the multivariate 260 261 response variable. To capture linear shifts in the timing of events, we included year as a fixed covariate, and to account for phenology varying with environmental or spatial gradients, we 262 included the linear effect of four environmental descriptors of each study site (i.e., mean annual 263 264 temperature, mean annual chilling sum, photoperiod, or latitude), with a separate model fitted for each descriptor (see below). Additionally, in order to quantify to what extent shifts in phenology 265 differ along the environmental gradient, we included an interaction term between year and the 266 environmental descriptor. Variation among sites beyond that explained by the environmental 267 descriptor was captured by including site as a random factor, and similarly year-to-year variation 268 269 beyond any linear trend was modelled by including year as a random factor. All events were grouped into abiotic (n=28; e.g. the transition of daily average temperatures above a given 270 threshold, the melt of snow cover, or the breakup of ice on a given water body; Table S1) versus 271 272 biotic events (n= 82; e.g. the first occurrence of a fungus species, the onset of blooming in a plant species, or the end of leaf fall for a tree species; Table S1), with the latter further split according to 273 the species trophic level, yielding five "trophic levels" (producers, n=54; primary consumers, n=4; 274 secondary consumers, n=22; and saprotrophs, n=2; Fig. 1; Table S1). To evaluate how the 275 multivariate response (i.e. dates of the phenological events) varies within year for each trophic 276 277 level, we further included as explanatory variables the trophic level, the mean timing of the event across all sites, and their interaction. Since we treated the phenological events as the "species" of 278

our joint species distribution model, we treated their characteristics (i.e. the trophic level or the mean timing of the event) as its "traits", just as one would model e.g. the body size or feeding guild of a species as its traits^{41,43}. Thus, the explanatory variables were allowed to affect how the events respond to the fixed effects of year, the environmental descriptor (i.e., mean annual temperature, mean annual chilling sum, photoperiod, or latitude), and their interaction^{5,6,8}. The periodic nature of seasons over time was captured by including the mean timing of the event as the linear effects of its cosine and sine transformations (see Methods for more details).

To examine the impact of different descriptors of climatic conditions at each site, we fitted four 286 alternatives models to the data. Each model was identical in structure, but used an alternative 287 288 climatic descriptor of the sampling sites. The specific metrics used were (1) the mean annual temperature in each study location, (2) the mean annual chilling sum (sum of degree days for those 289 days for which the temperature is below zero), (3) the photoperiod (measured as the difference 290 between the longest and shortest days of the year), and (4) the latitude of the site, with data sources 291 for (1)–(3) identified in the Methods section. We fitted four separate models, rather than including 292 293 all drivers into a single model, because all four drivers are highly correlated. Thus, their simultaneous inclusion in a single model would be of limited added value (in terms of variation 294 "accounted for"), whereas it would compromise the interpretability of the results. 295

296 How phenology is shifting across a continent

All models provided adequate descriptions of the data, as evidenced by a high mean explanatory power: averaged over the events R² was 74% for the model with latitude and 75% for all other models. We note that the reason why all models had similarly high explanatory power was that the part of the site-specific variation not explained by the climatic descriptor of the site was captured by the random effect of the site. The proportion of explained variation attributed to the climatic descriptor was 36% for the mean annual temperature of the site, 24% for the chilling sum, 27% for the photoperiod, and 28% for the latitude (Extended Data Fig 2). Because mean annual temperature
was the best predictor in the sense of explaining the most variation, we report the results of that
model in the main text. All four models yielded qualitatively consistent results (Extended Data Figs.
1-8).

307 The explanatory power was greater for spring events than for autumn events, except for abiotic events (see Table S1) where it was consistently high (Fig. 2a for the model with mean temperature; 308 309 Extended Data Figure 1 for other models). Averaged over the different events, 36.0% of the explained variance was attributed to the site mean temperature, 2.8% to the linear effect of year and 310 its interaction with the temperature, 41.5% to the random effect of the site (i.e. variation among 311 312 sites not explained by mean temperature), 13.4% to the random effect of the year (i.e. variation among years not explained by linear trends), and 6.4% to the random effect of the sample (i.e. 313 synchronous variation at the level of site-year combinations; Fig. 2b). 314

Spring events tend to occur earlier and autumn events tend to occur later at warmer (typically lower 315 316 latitude or elevation) sites, creating a longer activity period or growing season, as reflected by a 317 strong negative effect of temperature on spring event timing and strong positive effect for autumn event timing (Fig. 3a, b). For a large proportion (54%) of all events, we found strong statistical 318 support (at least 95% posterior probability) for a shift towards an earlier date, whereas fewer events 319 320 (10%) showed strong statistical support for a shift towards a later date (Fig. 3a). As examples of events shifting towards an earlier date, we find the break of ice cover, the first blooming of many 321 plants (from Tussilago, *Tussilago farfara*, to the Lily of the valley, *Convallaria majalis*), the first 322 flight of bumblebees, Bombus, and the first occurrence of a range of bird species (ranging from the 323 swift Apus apus to the crane Grus grus). Among events shifting towards a later date, we find the 324 325 formation of snow and ice cover, the timing of autumn colours and leaf fall in several trees (from aspen *Populus tremula* to birch *Betula pendula*), and – perhaps oddly enough – the spring-time 326

awakening of the brown bear, *Ursus arctos*. Specifically, spring events showed the strongest shift
towards earlier dates, whereas autumn events showed the strongest shifts towards later dates (Fig.
3c). This was particularly evident for primary producers, which overall showed stronger shifts in
both directions; i.e. plants advanced early or delayed late events faster than did higher trophic
levels. By comparison, the abiotic events showed even stronger shifts in both directions for both
temperature and year effects. Thus, abiotic change, consumers and producers slide apart as springs
shift earlier and autumns later.

334 Additionally, shifts were more positive at warmer sites for some events (18% showed strong statistical support; Fig. 3d), but more negative for others (15%; Fig. 3d), with no clear pattern over 335 336 time or across trophic levels. Among those events that showed a strong statistical support for an overall shift to earlier, 17% showed a strong statistical support for shifting to earlier especially in 337 cold sites and 16% in warm sites (Fig. 3e). Among those events that showed a strong statistical 338 support for an overall shift to later, 11% showed a strong statistical support for shifting to later 339 especially in cold sites and 22% in warm sites (Fig. 3e). As concrete examples of events which have 340 341 actually shifted later at cold sites but earlier at warm sites, we find the first spring rain, the onset of blooming in Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) and Marsh Labrador tea (Rhododendron tomentosum, syn. 342 Ledum palustre), and the first song of the skylark (Alauda arvensis). Among events shifting earlier 343 344 at cold sites but later at warm sites, we find e.g. the arrival of the rook (Corvus frugilegus), and the ripening of blueberry (Vaccinium myrtillus) and lingonberry (Vaccinium vitis-idaea). 345

346 The random effect of site was positively associated both within spring and within autumn events,

but negatively associated between spring and autumn events (Fig. 4a); i.e., at any given site, spring

events tended to occur particularly early while all autumn events particularly late, or vice versa,

349 after accounting for the effect of site mean temperature. This pattern remained qualitatively

unchanged when replacing mean temperature with other environmental descriptors (mean chilling

sums, photoperiod or latitude; see Extended Data Fig. 6). Thus, summer – in the sense of the 351 biologically active period – tends to be particularly long at some sites and particularly short at other 352 sites, a pattern which is only partly captured by simple environmental gradients (for potential 353 explanations, see below). The random effect of year was positively correlated among events overall, 354 but especially among spring events, meaning that some years are characterized by early spring 355 phenology and others by late spring phenology across all events and trophic levels (Fig. 4b). 356 Perhaps most interestingly, though, the random effect at the level of the sampling unit (i.e. the site-357 by-year combination) showed positive association among most phenological events. Thus, a large 358 majority of all phenological events within a given site in a given year tended to be consistently 359 "early" or "late" to an extent unexplicable by the effect of the year as such (Fig. 4c) – a pattern 360 suggesting that some other factor may be orchestrating the relative timing of events at the level of 361 the local community. 362

363 Striking variation over space, species and trophic levels

364 Our results highlight considerable spatial, seasonal and trophic heterogeneity in the magnitude and 365 direction of shifts in phenological timing. While much of the large-scale variation can be attributed to a simple environmental gradient, phenological responses to ongoing climate change can 366 idiosyncratically cause advance or delay over time within regions, and among sites and trophic 367 368 levels. The strong effect of site beyond the effect of mean temperature (as shown by the overall partitioning of variance in our model; Figs. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2) importantly qualifies the 369 expectation of a strong and general pattern of phenology change along latitudinal or other 370 environmental gradients ¹⁷. Yet, it is consistent with our previous work¹⁷ showing that phenological 371 plasticity is constrained by local differentiation in reaction norms to temperature cues⁴⁴, i.e., by 372 local adaptation (see also^{18,45}). Under such a scenario, we would indeed expect site-specific 373 variation in phenology beyond patterns attributable to average temperature or its change over time. 374

However, it is worth noting that different abiotic events (such as snowmelt, ice formation and ice 375 376 break) likewise vary differently with mean temperature, change differently over time, and remain cross-correlated even when temperature has been accounted for (Figs. 3, 4). In other words, early 377 sites tend to remain early, and late sites tend to remain late, both with respect to the biotic and 378 abiotic components of the local ecosystems. Most interestingly, the overall timing of events tends to 379 be more synchronized than suggested by the overall effect of year. The strong dominance of 380 381 positive residual associations between event-pairs at the random sample level (Figs. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 8) implies that an early date of one given event is associated with an earlier date 382 in another beyond the advance expected by the overall earliness of the year. Residual covariance at 383 384 this level could potentially be interpreted as a sign of a synchronizing effect of biotic interactions among species. In doing so, we should naturally exercise the same caution as whenever statistically 385 observed associations are interpreted as biotic interactions^{41,43}. It is also worth noting that beyond 386 387 biotic interactions, this result is likely to partly reflect the synchronizing effect of abiotic conditions which affect multiple events, as well as the fact that different phenophases within one and the same 388 species are interconnected with each other (e.g a migratory bird species cannot breed without first 389 arriving, so the timing of breeding is constrained by the timing of arriving). Regarding the latter 390 type of dependencies, we note that while we scored two or more separate phenological events in 391 392 several species (Supplementary Information, Table S1), such repeated measures concerned far too few species to account for the vast predominance of positive residual associations observed overall. 393 Thus, the pattern observed may be seen as suggestive, but clearly inconclusive of evidence for an 394 imprint of biotic interactions on community-level phenology^{7,33,34}. 395

Overall, strong phenological shifts over time occur not in lieu of but on top of the imprints of
environmental gradients of mean temperature, photoperiod and latitude^{5,6,8}. That is, strong added
imprints of site on local phenology change might stem from spatial variation in additional factors

varying more idiosyncratically in space, rather than as smooth gradients. Species respond to 399 multiple climatic dimensions over time^{46,47}, and the effects of climate on phenology may further 400 vary with habitat⁴⁸, urbanization^{49,50}, and precipitation^{5,6}. Thus, an anthropogenic-induced shift in 401 the macroclimate^{4,5,15,32}, potentially leading to large-scale environmental changes along latitudes⁵¹ 402 or other geographical gradients, may be further modified by the local changes that species are 403 responding to customized by the local conditions that they have adapted to. Such added impacts 404 could limit the scope for space-for-time substitutions¹⁶, and leads us to warn against uncritical 405 extrapolation of trends observed among sites, trophic levels, or from one region to another⁵. 406 As proposed by Burrows et al.^{17,52}, the observed patterns of shifts in phenology should be compared 407 408 to patterns in the velocity and seasonal shift of climate change. In this context, we note that our current data include both abiotic climate-related events and biotic responses, with the former 409

shifting more than the latter. These disparities between the rates of change in the abiotic
environment and species responses match previous observations that current phenological plasticity
is not keeping pace with variation in climatic conditions^{3,17,18,22,53–56}. Mismatches between the

velocity of climate change and realised seasonal shifts may help identify regions of concern, e.g.

414 where phenology change is lagging behind species thermal niches.

415 Ecosystem integrity imperilled yet understudied

Our findings emphasize concerns regarding ecosystem integrity, as the velocity of change in space and time differed between interacting trophic levels. Combined with different responses among events over different parts of the season, this variation can lead to temporal mismatches between species^{1,11,56} and potentially affect community structure and persistence. Importantly, a shift in the timing of an event related to one life-stage may propagate to another, but our current dataset holds limited resolution towards resolving such knock-on effects. To establish whether the stability and persistence of natural systems is ultimately affected by the loss of phenological synchrony at one

stage, or within food webs, we need a much-improved understanding of the interplay between 423 spatial, trophic, species- and event-specific patterns in phenological responses (e.g. ³⁵). 424 Accumulated negative effects of rapid seasonal shifts across many species may threaten 425 biodiversity^{14,57,58}. Surprisingly enough, our current findings suggest that phenological shifts during 426 the last few decades may differ between warmer and colder locations across our study area. In this 427 context, we stress that our estimates concern absolute, not relative, rates of change. Counter-428 weighing the interpretation of stronger shifts at warmer (thus more southern or lower elevations) 429 sites is the fact that phenology is naturally constrained by the overall length of the season. At high 430 latitudes, i.e. in colder regions, a shift of a few days may amount to a considerable part of the full 431 432 growing season. As polar areas warm at faster rates, resident organisms might lose major parts of their phenological niches ²¹. In contrast, areas of slower seasonal shifts, and smaller relative shifts, 433 may be important repositories for both biodiversity and ecosystem integrity⁵. Our current study 434 provides a first attempt in this direction, and will hopefully inspire more work to come. 435

436

437 **References**

438 439	1.	Pecl, G. T. <i>et al.</i> Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. <i>Science</i> 355 , eaai9214- (2017).
440 441	2.	Parmesan, C., Yohe, G. & Andrus, J. E. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. <i>Nature</i> 421 , 37–42 (2003).
442 443	3.	Thackeray, S. J. <i>et al.</i> Trophic level asynchrony in rates of phenological change for marine, freshwater and terrestrial environments. <i>Global Change Biology</i> 16 , 3304–3313 (2010).
444 445	4.	Root, T. L. <i>et al.</i> Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. <i>Nature</i> 421 , 57–60 (2003).
446 447	5.	Burrows, M. T. <i>et al</i> . The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. <i>Science</i> 334 , 652–655 (2011).
448 449 450	6.	IPCC. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (Cambridge University Press, 2013).

- 451 7. Pau, S. *et al.* Predicting phenology by integrating ecology, evolution and climate science. *Global*452 *Change Biology* **17**, 3633–3643 (2011).
- 453 8. Parmesan, C. Influences of species, latitudes and methodologies on estimates of phenological
 454 response to global warming. *Global Change Biology* 13, 1860–1872 (2007).
- Both, C., van Asch, M., Bijlsma, R. G., van den Burg, A. B. & Visser, M. E. Climate change and unequal
 phenological changes across four trophic levels: Constraints or adaptations? *Journal of Animal Ecology* 78, 73–83 (2009).
- 458 10. Cook, B. I., Wolkovich, E. M. & Parmesan, C. Divergent responses to spring and winter warming
 459 drive community level flowering trends. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*460 *United States of America* **109**, 9000–9005 (2012).
- 461 11. Voigt, W. et al. Trophic levels are differentially sensitive to climate. Ecology 84, 2444–2453 (2003).
- Thackeray, S. J. *et al.* Phenological sensitivity to climate across taxa and trophic levels. *Nature* 535, 241–245 (2016).
- Cohen, J. M., Lajeunesse, M. J. & Rohr, J. R. A global synthesis of animal phenological responses to
 climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 8, 224–228 (2018).
- 466 14. Høye, T. T., Post, E., Meltofte, H., Schmidt, N. M. & Forchhammer, M. C. Rapid advancement of
 467 spring in the High Arctic. *Current Biology* **17**, R449–R451 (2007).
- Menzel, A., Sparks, T. H., Estrella, N. & Roy, D. B. Altered geographic and temporal variability in
 phenology in response to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 15, 498–504 (2006).
- 470 16. Primack, R. B. *et al.* Spatial and interspecific variability in phenological responses to warming
 471 temperatures. *Biological Conservation* 142, 2569–2577 (2009).
- 472 17. Delgado, M. M. et al. Differences in spatial versus temporal reaction norms for spring and autumn
 473 phenological events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*474 *America* Accepted, (2020).
- Renner, S. S. & Zohner, C. M. Climate change and phenological mismatch in trophic interactions
 among plants, insects, and vertebrates. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 49, 165–182
 (2018).
- 478 19. Ackerly, D. D. *et al.* The geography of climate change: Implications for conservation biogeography.
 479 *Diversity and Distributions* 16, 476–487 (2010).
- Zohner, C. M., Benito, B. M., Fridley, J. D., Svenning, J. C. & Renner, S. S. Spring predictability
 explains different leaf-out strategies in the woody floras of North America, Europe and East Asia. *Ecology Letters* vol. 20 452–460 (2017).
- 483 21. Loarie, S. R. *et al.* The velocity of climate change. *Nature* **462**, 1052–1055 (2009).
- Visser, M. E. & Both, C. Shifts in phenology due to global climate change: The need for a yardstick. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 272, 2561–2569 (2005).
- 486 23. Hurlbert, A. H. & Liang, Z. Spatiotemporal variation in avian migration phenology: Citizen science
 487 reveals effects of climate change. *PLoS ONE* 7, e31662- (2012).

- 488 24. Rubolini, D., Møller, A. P., Rainio, K. & Lehikoinen, E. Intraspecific consistency and geographic
 489 variability in temporal trends of spring migration phenology among European bird species. *Climate*490 *Research* 35, 135–146 (2007).
- Cohen, J. M., Lajeunesse, M. J. & Rohr, J. R. A global synthesis of animal phenological responses to
 climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 8, 224–228 (2018).
- 493 26. Geng, X. *et al.* Climate warming increases spring phenological differences among temperate trees.
 494 Global Change Biology doi.org/10.1111/GCB.15301- (2020) doi:10.1111/gcb.15301.
- 495 27. Both, C. *et al.* Large-scale geographical variation confirms that climate change causes birds to lay
 496 earlier. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 271, 1657–1662 (2004).
- 497 28. Menzel, A. & Fabian, P. Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature* **397**, 659- (1999).
- 498 29. Körner, C. & Basler, D. Phenology under global warming. *Science* **327**, 1461–1462 (2010).
- Flynn, D. F. B. & Wolkovich, E. M. Temperature and photoperiod drive spring phenology across all
 species in a temperate forest community. *New Phytologist* **219**, 1353–1362 (2018).
- 50131.Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* Community-level phenological response to climate change. *Proceedings of the*502National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **110**, 13434–13439 (2013).
- 503 32. Doi, H. & Takahashi, M. Latitudinal patterns in the phenological responses of leaf colouring and leaf 504 fall to climate change in Japan. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **17**, 556–561 (2008).
- 505 33. Elzinga, J. A. *et al.* Time after time: flowering phenology and biotic interactions. *Trends in Ecology*506 *and Evolution* vol. 22 432–439 (2007).
- 507 34. Vilela, A. A., del Claro, V. T. S., Torezan-Silingardi, H. M. & Del-Claro, K. Climate changes affecting
 508 biotic interactions, phenology, and reproductive success in a savanna community over a 10-year
 509 period. Arthropod-Plant Interactions 12, 215–227 (2018).
- 510 35. Kharouba, H. M. & Wolkovich, E. M. Disconnects between ecological theory and data in 511 phenological mismatch research. *Nature Climate Change* vol. 10 406–415 (2020).
- 512 36. Walther, G.-R. *et al.* Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **416**, 389–395 (2002).
- 513 37. Ge, Q., Wang, H., Rutishauser, T. & Dai, J. Phenological response to climate change in China: A meta-514 analysis. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 265–274 (2015).
- 515 38. Gallinat, A. S., Primack, R. B. & Wagner, D. L. Autumn, the neglected season in climate change 516 research. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **30**, 169–176 (2015).
- 517 39. Menzel, A. *et al.* European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern.
 518 *Global Change Biology* **12**, 1969–1976 (2006).
- 519 40. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* Chronicles of nature calendar, a long-term and large-scale multitaxon database
 520 on phenology. *Scientific Data* 7, 47- (2020).
- 41. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* How to make more out of community data? A conceptual framework and its
 implementation as models and software. *Ecology Letters* 20, 561–576 (2017).

- Tikhonov, G. *et al.* Joint species distribution modelling with the R-package HMSC. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 11, 442–447 (2019).
- 525 43. Ovaskainen, O. & Abrego, N. *Joint Species Distribution Modelling With Applications in R*. (Cambridge
 526 University Press, 2020).
- 44. Phillimore, A. B., Hadfield, J. D., Jones, O. R. & Smithers, R. J. Differences in spawning date between
 populations of common frog reveal local adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **107**, 8292–8297 (2010).
- 45. Garcia, R. A., Cabeza, M., Rahbek, C. & Araújo, M. B. Multiple dimensions of climate change and
 their implications for biodiversity. *Science* 344, 1247579–1 (2014).
- 532 46. Kudo, G. & Hirao, A. S. Habitat-specific responses in the flowering phenology and seed set of alpine
 533 plants to climate variation: Implications for global-change impacts. *Population Ecology* 48, 49–58
 534 (2006).
- Altermatt, F. Temperature-related shifts in butterfly phenology depend on the habitat. *Global Change Biology* 18, 2429–2438 (2012).
- 537 48. Neil, K. & Wu, J. Effects of urbanization on plant flowering phenology: A review. *Urban Ecosystems*538 9, 243–257 (2006).
- 539 49. Shen, M., Piao, S., Cong, N., Zhang, G. & Jassens, I. A. Precipitation impacts on vegetation spring
 540 phenology on the Tibetan Plateau. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 3647–3656 (2015).
- 541 50. Zhang, J. *et al.* Rapid shifts of peak flowering phenology in 12 species under the effects of extreme 542 climate events in Macao. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 13950- (2018).
- 51. Chuine, I. Why does phenology drive species distribution? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*543 Society B: Biological Sciences 365, 3149–3160 (2010).
- 545 52. Radchuk, V. *et al.* Adaptive responses of animals to climate change are most likely insufficient.
 546 *Nature Communications* **10**, 3109- (2019).
- 547 53. Harrington, R., Woiwod, I. & Sparks, T. Climate change and trophic interactions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14, 146–150 (1999).
- 549 54. Carter, S. K., Saenz, D. & Rudolf, V. H. W. Shifts in phenological distributions reshape interaction 550 potential in natural communities. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 1143–1151 (2018).
- 55. Rudolf, V. H. W. The role of seasonal timing and phenological shifts for species coexistence. *Ecology* 552 *Letters* 22, 1324–1338 (2019).
- 553 56. Kharouba, H. M. *et al.* Global shifts in the phenological synchrony of species interactions over recent
 554 decades. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115,
 555 5211–5216 (2018).
- 556 57. Høye, T. T., Post, E., Schmidt, N. M., Trøjelsgaard, K. & Forchhammer, M. C. Shorter flowering
 557 seasons and declining abundance of flower visitors in a warmer Arctic. *Nature Climate Change* 3,
 558 759–763 (2013).

559 58. Schmidt, N. M. *et al.* An ecological function in crisis? The temporal overlap between plant flowering 560 and pollinator function shrinks as the Arctic warms. *Ecography* **39**, 1250–1252 (2016).

561

562 Methods

We used data from Ovaskainen et al.⁵⁹, who compiled dates of phenological events (for concrete 563 examples, see Supplementary Information, Table T1.1) systematically recorded for multiple 564 taxonomic groups in 471 localities across the Russian Federation, Ukraine, Uzbekistan, Belarus and 565 Kyrgyzstan. In addition, the database includes dates on climatic events recorded at each locality 566 (e.g., ice formation and first day of snowfall). The data were curated by EarthCape⁶⁰ and published 567 on Zenodo⁵⁹. From this set, we selected events for which there were at least 100 data points in total, 568 and at least 10 data points from at least 10 sites. Further, we only included sites that were at least 25 569 km apart, and sampling units for which there were at least 10 events recorded. All anthropogenic 570 events, such as dates for sowing and harvest, were excluded from this analysis. This process yielded 571 70,709 phenological observations for 110 events across 113 locations (Fig. 1). The data included 52 572 573 taxa and four types of meteorological events (Supplementary Info, Table S1.1), and covered the period between 1960-2017 (earlier records were excluded), spanning 43.08°-67.82° latitude and 574 21.15°-136.15° longitude. 575

576 The sampling units consist of (site, year) pairs. We denote by y_{ij} the date on which the

577 phenological event *j* took place in sampling unit *i*. These are combined in the data matrix $\mathbf{Y} = \{y_{ij}\}$

578 with $j = 1, ..., n_s = 110$ events recorded in $i = 1, ..., n_y = 2789$ sampling units. The data consist

of 70,709 dates, so that 77% of the entries in the Y matrix are missing (NA) due to not all events

being recorded in all sites and in all years. To these data, we fitted Hierarchical Modeling of

581 Species Communities (HMSC) models^{41,43}. HMSC is a multivariate Bayesian generalized linear

582 mixed modelling framework, which allowed us to account for both correlation among the

phenological events included in the models, as well as for the spatio-temporal structure of the study
design⁴¹⁻⁴³. Additionally, HMSC allows including trait information to inform species-level
responses to model covariates⁴¹ – in our case, event-level responses. Here, we summarize our
rationale and inference, while an in-depth description of the HMSC model fitted is provided in
Supplementary Information, Text S2.

As predictors related to each sampling unit *i*, we included the linear effect of year of the sampling, 588 aimed to capture temporal shifts in phenology which are the main focus of this study. To account 589 for phenological variation due to climatic conditions, as well as to capture any systematic variation 590 in the phenological shifts as a function of climatic conditions, we also included a variable 591 describing the overall (not year-specific) climatic conditions at each study site, and its interaction 592 with the linear effect of year. As climatic descriptor, we considered four alternatives variables based 593 on ²⁹, who identified the three most important factors controlling phenology in primary producers as 594 the degree of winter chilling, photoperiod (day length relative to night length), and temperature. We 595 thus derived descriptors of spatial variation in each of these three variables, and showed that our 596 597 main results were upheld across each (Extended Data Figs. 1-8). Specifically, these were (1) the mean annual temperature, (2) the mean annual chilling sum (calculated as the sum of degree days 598 for those days for which the temperature is below zero), and (3) the photoperiod measured as the 599 difference between the longest and shortest days of the year, for each site. In addition, we 600 considered (4) the absolute latitude of the site, as a standard descriptor of space as such in both 601 phenological⁶¹ and other biogeographic analyses⁶². We note that photoperiod is a function of 602 latitude, but that the two are non-linearly related to each other. For this reason, we included latitude 603 per se as a separate, potential climatic descriptor. To compute the mean annual temperature and the 604 mean annual chilling sum, we used the ERA5-Land data (representing the period 1979-2019)^{63,64}. 605 To calculate the photoperiod, we used the daylength function of the R-package geosphere⁶⁵. 606

To examine how the shifts and their dependency on the climatic conditions co-varied among 607 608 different types of phenological events, we also included event-level predictors (i.e., species traits in the HMSC framework): (1) the mean timing of each event (calculated as the mean day of the year 609 over the entire data set); and (2) the trophic level of the species for which the phenological event 610 was recorded (classified as producers, primary consumers, secondary consumers, and saprotophs, or 611 612 abiotic events). To account for the periodic nature of seasons over time, we included the mean 613 timing of each event as the linear effect of its cosine and sine transformations. To account for the nature of the study design and to evaluate co-variation among the phenological events, we included 614 three random effects for the site, the year, and the sampling unit (i.e. year-site pairs). All of these 615 were modelled at the community-level, using the latent variable approach of $HMSC^{41,43}$. This 616 allowed us to assess the relationships among phenological events that could not be explained by 617 responses to the main covariates, and for each of the three random effect levels included in our 618 models. For a more technical description of the HMSC model, we refer the reader to ^{41–43}. 619 We fitted the models with package Hmsc⁴² in R⁶⁶ assuming the default prior distributions (see^{42,43}). 620 We performed posterior sampling for four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, each of 621

which we sampled for 375,000 iterations, out of which we discarded the first 125,000 as a transient and thinned the remaining by 1000, thus yielding 250 samples per chain and 1000 samples in total. To evaluate MCMC convergence, we examined the distributions of the potential scale reduction factor over the parameters related to the fixed effects (β –parameters) and the random effects (Ω parameters), equivalent to the Gelman-Rubin statistic⁶⁷.

Overall, we note that our aim is to quantify spatial variation in the rates (slope) of phenological change over time, not to identify the specific drivers of the timing of each individual event. To emphasize this point, we stress that we regressed phenological timing on year and on descriptors of each site's position in physical (latitude) or environmental space (average temperature, average sum

631	of chilling degree days or photoperiod), not on year-to-year variation in some specific driver. What
632	our analyses show is thus that trophic level and seasonal timing of an event affect how it has shifted
633	over time, and that the rate of shift is further affected by its position along the biogeographic
634	gradient, whereas the exact descriptor of this gradient has little impact on the results (Extended Data
635	Figs. 1–8).

~	2	~
h	≺	h
υ.	_	v

637 **Reporting summary**

638 Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in Nature Research

639 Reporting Summary linked to this article.

640

641 Data availability

- 642 The data that support the findings of this study are available in 40,59 , with the exact subset of the data
- used in the present analyses available at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3774386</u>.

644

645 **Code availability**

- 646 The code needed to replicate the current analyses, from data extraction to parameter estimates
- 647 presented, is available at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3774386</u>.

648

649 **References**

- 650 59. Ovaskainen, O. et al. Chronicles of Nature Calendar, a long-term and large-scale multitaxon
 651 database on phenology. *Zenodo* https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3607556- (2020).
- 652 60. Meyke, E. When data management meets project management. *Biodiversity Information*653 *Science and Standards* 3, e37224- (2019).

654 655	61.	Chmura, H. E. <i>et al.</i> The mechanisms of phenology: the patterns and processes of phenological shifts. <i>Ecological Monographs</i> vol. 89 (2019).	
656 657	62.	Willig, M. R. & Presley, S. J. Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity: Theory and Empi Patterns. in <i>Encyclopedia of the Anthropocene</i> vol. 3 13–19 (Elsevier, 2017).	
658 659 660	63.	Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) (2019): C3S ERA5-Land reanalysis . Copernicus Climate Change Service, accessed July 12, 2020. https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home.	
661 662	64.	Hersbach, H. et al. The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society (2020) doi:10.1002/qj.3803.	
663 664	65.	Hijmans, R. J., Williams, E. & Vennes, C. geosphere: Spherical Trigonometry. R package version 1.5-10. (2019).	
665 666	66.	R Core Team. <i>R: A language and environment for statistical computing</i> . (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2018).	
667	67.	Gelman, A. et al. Bayesian Data Analysis. (2013).	
668			

669 Acknowledgements

670 The field work was conducted as part of the monitoring program of nature reserves, Chronicles of

Nature. The work was financially supported by the Academy of Finland, grants 250243 (OO),

672 284601 (OO), 309581 (OO); the European Research Council, ERC Starting Grant 205905 (OO) and

673 Synergy Grant 856506 — LIFEPLAN (to OO and TR); Nordic Environment Finance Corporation

674 Grant (OO); Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation Grant (OO, TR, MH, LA); University of Helsinki

HiLIFE Fellow Grant 2017–2020 (OO); and the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of

Excellence Funding Scheme (223257) to OO via Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics; the Kone

Foundation 44-6977 (MD) and 55-14839 (GT); a Spanish Ramon y Cajal grant RYC-2014-16263

678 (MD); the Federal Budget for the Forest Research Institute of Karelian Research Centre Russian

Academy of Sciences 220-2017-0003, 0220-2017-0005 (LV, SS and JK); the Russian Foundation

680 for Basic Research Grant 16-08-00510 (LK), and the Ministry of Education and Science of the

Russian Federation 0017-2019-0009 (Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics, Russian Academy

682	of Sciences) (NI, MSh). The authors wish to extend their particular thanks to additional colleagues
683	contributing to data collection, especially A. Beshkarev, G. Bushmakova, T. Butorina, L. Chrevova
684	A. Esipov, N. Gordienko, E. Kireeva, V. Koltsova, I. Kurakina, V. Likhvar, I. Likhvar, D.
685	Mirsaitov, M. Nanynets, L. Ovcharenko, L. Rassohina, E. Romanova, A. Shelekhov, N. Shirshova,
686	D. Sizhko, I. Sorokin, H. Subota, V. Syzhko, G. Talanova, P. Valizer and A. Zakusov.

688 Author Contributions

The data were collected by the 195 authors starting from Marina Abadonova and ending with Tatyana Zubina in the author list. Juri Kurhinen, Evgeniy Meyke, Coong Lo, Gleb Tikhonov and Eliezer Gurarie contributed to the establishment and coordination of the collaborative network and to the compilation and curation of the resulting dataset. Tomas Roslin, Otso Ovaskainen, Laura Antão, Maria Hällfors, and Maria del Mar Delgado conceived of the idea behind the current study and wrote the first draft of the paper, with Otso Ovaskainen conducting the analyses. All authors provided useful comments on earlier drafts.

696

697 **Competing Interests**

698 The authors declare no competing interests.

699



Figure 1. Illustration of the study design and the extent of data. Individual panels show top-left:
the amount of phenological data per year included in the study; top and middle: the spatial
provenance of data of each type; and bottom: mean annual temperature for each sampling location.
All events were classified into four trophic levels according to the organism expressing the event:
primary producers (plants), primary consumers (herbivorous insects), secondary consumers
(predatory insects, amphibians, birds, mammals), and saprotrophs (fungi, as commonly feeding on
the remains of multiple other levels).





711 Figure 2. Explanatory power (a) and variance partitioning (b) of the HMSC-model with mean annual temperature as explanatory variable. a, Degree of determination (R^2) as a function of the 712 timing of the event (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and of the trophic level of the 713 714 organism expressing the event (shown by curves in different colours). Here, curves show secondorder models fitted to groups with at least 5 events; continuous lines show mean model prediction 715 716 and dashed lines ± 1 standard error. **b**, Partitioning of the overall variance of the data into the different model components. Here, individual events have been sorted from the earliest to the latest 717 (left to right along abscissa). 718





721 Figure 3. Responses of the events to the fixed effects of mean temperature and year. a, Cases for which the response is positive (red) or negative (blue) with at least 95% posterior probability, 722 723 with the events ordered according to their mean date (increasing from top to bottom). The covariates year and temperature have been normalized to have zero mean, so the main effect of the 724 year relates to a site with average temperature, and the main effect of temperature relates to data 725 points collected at the middle of the study period. **b**, **c**, **d** Dependency of event-specific responses 726 on phenological timing (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and on the trophic level of the 727 organism expressing the event (shown by curves in different colours for those groups with at least 5 728 events). e, Dependency of the response to year x temperature on the response to year. The four 729 730 quadrats correspond to events that have shifted to earlier especially at cold sites (EC), shifted to earlier especially at warm sites (EW), shifted to later especially at cold sites (LC), and shifted to 731 later especially at warm sites (LW). Filled symbols indicate cases that are either positive or negative 732 with at least 95% posterior probability. For the effect of year, we show responses in days per year, 733 734 so that a value of -0.2 represents a shift of two days earlier per decade. For the effect of

- temperature, the unit is days per degree Celsius, so that a value of -4 means that the event takes
- place 8 days earlier in a location with mean temperature 4°C compared to a location with mean
- 737 temperature of 2°C.





Figure 4. Residual associations among events related to random effects. a,b,c Associations at the level of the site (a), the year (b) and the sample (site×year; c) The events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from left to right, and from top to bottom), and estimates of events associations are measured by residual correlation. Shown in colour are associations showing a positive (red) or negative (blue) association with at least 95% posterior probability, with remaining cases indicated in white.



Extended Data Fig. 1: Variance partitioning of alternative HMSC-models. Plots show the partitioning of the overall variance of the data into the model components identified in the figure legend. Individual panels show results for models with identical structure but using alternative climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-left panel corresponds to Fig. 2b of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from https://thenounproject.com.



Extended Data Fig. 2: Explanatory power of alternative HMSC-models. Plots show the degree of determination (R2) as a function of the timing of the event (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and the trophic level of the organism expressing the event (different colours). Curves show second-order models fitted to groups with at least 5 events; continuous lines show mean model prediction and dashed lines ± one standard error. Individual panels show results for models with identical structure but using alternative climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-

- ref panel corresponds to Fig. 2a of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from
- 762 https://thenounproject.com.



765 Extended Data Fig. 3: The sign of responses of phenological events to the fixed effects
766 included in the HMSC model. Plots show cases for which the response is positive (red) or

negative (blue) with at least 95% posterior probability. Events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from top to bottom). The covariates have been normalized to have zero mean, so that the main effect of the climatic descriptor relates to a data point collected at the middle of the study period, and the main effect of the year relates to a site with an average value of the climatic descriptor. Individual panels show results for models with identical structure but using alternative climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-left panel corresponds to Fig. 3a of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from https://thenounproject.com.



775

Extended Data Fig. 4: Dependency of event-specific responses on phenological timing and on the trophic level of the organism expressing the event. Individual sections show results for models with identical structure but using alternative climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-left section corresponds to Fig. 3b–e of the main text. Within each section, that is for each model, individual panels show the dependency of event-specific responses on phenological

timing (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and on the trophic level of the organism 781 expressing the event (shown by curves in different colours for those groups with at least 5 events). 782 The covariates have been normalized to have zero mean, so that the main effect of the climatic 783 descriptor relates to a data point collected at the middle of the study period, and the main effect of 784 the year relates to a site with an average value of the climatic descriptor. In the bottom-right figure 785 within each quadrat, we show the dependency of the response to year × temperature on the response 786 to year; here, the four quadrats within the panel correspond to events that have shifted to earlier 787 788 especially at cold sites (EC), shifted to earlier especially at warm sites (EW), shifted to later especially at cold sites (LC), and shifted to later especially at warm sites (LW). Filled symbols 789 indicate cases that are either positive or negative with at least 95% posterior probability. Silhouettes 790 adapted from https://thenounproject.com. 791



793

Extended Data Fig. 5: Estimated shift in the phenological timing of events occurring in the spring versus autumn as functions of the average climate descriptors of the site. Plots show the estimated shift in the phenological timing (days per year) among events occurring in the spring (solid line, showing predictions for Day of Year (DOY) 100, that is April 10) versus autumn (dotted line, showing DOY250, that is September 7), plotted against the average climate descriptors of the site. The colours of the lines identify the trophic level of the organism expressing the event.
Silhouettes adapted from https://thenounproject.com.



801

Extended Data Fig. 6: Residual associations among events related to the random effects of the site. Plots show the estimates of associations among events measured by residual correlation at the site level. The events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from left to right, and from top to bottom). Event-to-event association matrices identify pairs showing a positive (red) or negative (blue) association, shown only if association has either sign with at least 95% posterior

- probability (the remaining cases are shown in white). Note that the top-left panel corresponds to
- Fig. 4a of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from https://thenounproject.com.



810

Extended Data Fig. 7: Residual associations among events related to the random effects of the year. Plots show the estimates of associations between events measured by residual correlation at the year level. The events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from left to right, and from top to bottom). Event-to-event association matrices identify pairs showing a positive (red) or negative (blue) association, shown only if association has either sign with at least 95%

- 816 posterior probability (the remaining cases are shown in white). Note that the top-left panel
- 817 corresponds to Fig. 4b of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from https://thenounproject.com.



819

Extended Data Fig. 8: Residual associations among events related to the random effects of the year-site pair. Plots show the estimates of associations among events measured by residual correlation at the level of samples, that is year×site combinations. The events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from left to right, and from top to bottom). Event-to-event association matrices identify pairs showing a positive (red) or negative (blue) association, shown only if association has either sign with at least 95% posterior probability (the remaining cases are

- shown in white). Note that the top-left panel corresponds to Fig. 4c of the main text. Silhouettes
- 827 adapted from https://thenounproject.com.

Supporting online material for Phenological shifts of abiotic events, producers and consumers across a continent

Contents

Authors	49
Author affiliations	50
Text S1. Classification of phenological events	53
Table S1. Classification of events by taxonomic and trophic position	53
Text S2. Description of the HMSC model	57
Text S3. Convergence of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) scheme used for posterior sampling	59
Figure S1. MCMC convergence statistics, measured as potential scale reduction factors and effective sample sizes.	59
References	60

Authors

Tomas Roslin¹, Laura Antao², Maria Hällfors², Evgeniy Meyke³, Coong Lo², Gleb Tikhonov⁴, Maria del Mar Delgado⁵, Eliezer Gurarie⁶, Marina Abadonova⁷, Ozodbek Abduraimov⁸, Olga Adrianova⁹, Tatiana Akimova¹⁰, Muzhigit Akkiev¹¹, Aleksandr Ananin^{12,13}, Elena Andreeva¹⁴, Natalia Andriychuk¹⁵, Maxim Antipin¹⁶, Konstantin Arzamascev¹⁷, Svetlana Babina¹⁸, Miroslav Babushkin¹⁹, Oleg Bakin²⁰, Anna Barabancova²¹, Inna Basilskaja²², Nina Belova²³, Natalia Belyaeva²⁴, Tatjana Bespalova²⁵, Evgeniya Bisikalova²⁶, Anatoly Bobretsov²⁷, Vladimir Bobrov²⁸, Vadim Bobrovskyi²⁹, Elena Bochkareva^{30,31}, Gennady Bogdanov³², Vladimir Bolshakov³³, Svetlana Bondarchuk³⁴, Evgeniya Bukharova^{12,102}, Alena Butunina²⁵, Yuri Buyvolov³⁵, Anna Buyvolova³⁶, Yuri Bykov³⁷, Elena Chakhireva²⁰, Olga Chashchina³⁸, Nadezhda Cherenkova³⁹, Sergej Chistjakov⁴⁰, Svetlana Chuhontseva¹⁰, Evgeniy A Davydov^{41,30}, Viktor Demchenko⁴², Elena Diadicheva⁴², Aleksandr Dobrolyubov⁴³, Ludmila Dostoyevskaya⁴⁴, Svetlana Drovnina³⁹, Zoya Drozdova³⁷, Akynaly Dubanaev⁴⁵, Yuriy Dubrovsky⁴⁶, Sergey Elsukov³⁴, Lidia Epova⁴⁷, Olga Ermakova²³, Olga S Ermakova⁴⁸, Elena Ershkova^{49,50}, Aleksandra Esengeldenova²⁵, Oleg Evstigneev⁵¹, Irina Fedchenko⁵², Violetta Fedotova⁴⁴, Tatiana Filatova⁵³, Sergey Gashev⁵⁴, Anatoliy Gavrilov⁵⁵, Irina Gaydysh⁹, Dmitrij Golovcov⁵⁶, Nadezhda Goncharova¹⁴, Elena Gorbunova¹⁰, Tatyana Gordeeva^{57,102}, Vitaly Grishchenko⁵⁸, Ludmila Gromyko³⁴, Vladimir Hohryakov⁵⁹, Alexander Hritankov¹⁴, Elena Ignatenko⁶⁰, Svetlana Igosheva⁶¹, Uliya Ivanova⁶², Natalya Ivanova⁶³, Yury Kalinkin¹⁰, Evgeniya Kaygorodova⁵¹, Fedor Kazansky⁶⁴, Darya Kiseleva⁶⁵, Anastasia Knorre^{14,66}, Leonid Kolpashikov⁵⁵, Evgenii Korobov⁶⁷, Helen Korolyova¹⁰, Natalia Korotkikh²⁵, Gennadiy Kosenkov⁵⁹, Sergey Kossenko⁵¹, Elvira Kotlugalyamova⁶⁸, Evgeny Kozlovsky⁶⁹, Vladimir Kozsheechkin¹⁴, Alla Kozurak¹⁵, Irina Kozyr²³, Aleksandra Krasnopevtseva²³, Sergey Kruglikov⁵¹, Olga Kuberskaya²⁹, Aleksey Kudryavtsev⁴³, Elena Kulebyakina⁷⁰, Yuliia Kulsha⁵⁸, Margarita Kupriyanova⁶², Murad Kurbanbagamaev²⁷, Anatoliy Kutenkov⁷¹, Nadezhda Kutenkova⁷¹, Nadezhda Kuyantseva^{38,72}, Andrey Kuznetsov¹⁹, Evgeniy Larin²⁵, Pavel Lebedev^{44,73}, Kirill Litvinov⁷⁴, Natalia Luzhkova¹², Azizbek Mahmudov⁸, Lidiya Makovkina⁷⁵, Viktor Mamontov⁷⁰, Svetlana Mayorova³⁷, Irina Megalinskaja²⁷, Artur Meydus^{76,77}, Aleksandr Minin^{78,79}, Oleg Mitrofanov¹⁰, Mykhailo Motruk⁸⁰, Aleksandr Myslenkov⁷⁵, Nina Nasonova⁸¹, Natalia Nemtseva¹⁹, Irina Nesterova³⁴, Tamara Nezdoliy⁶², Tatyana Niroda⁸², Tatiana Novikova⁶¹, Darya Panicheva⁶⁴, Alexey Pavlov²⁰, Klara Pavlova⁶⁰, Sergei Podolski⁶⁰, Natalja Polikarpova⁸³, Tatiana Polyanskaya⁸⁴, Igor Pospelov²⁸, Elena Pospelova⁵⁵, Ilya Prokhorov³⁶, Irina Prokosheva⁸⁵, Lyudmila Puchnina⁵², Ivan Putrashyk⁸², Julia Raiskaya⁷⁶, Yuri Rozhkov⁸⁶, Olga Rozhkova⁸⁶, Marina Rudenko⁸⁷, Irina Rybnikova¹⁹, Svetlana Rykova⁵², Miroslava Sahnevich¹⁰, Alexander Samoylov³⁹, Valeri Sanko⁴², Inna Sapelnikova²², Sergei Sazonov^{88,102}, Zoya Selyunina⁸⁹, Ksenia Shalaeva⁵⁹, Maksim Shashkov^{90,63}, Anatoliy Shcherbakov⁷¹, Vasyl Shevchyk⁵⁸, Sergej Shubin⁹¹, Elena Shujskaja⁶⁷, Rustam Sibgatullin²⁴, Natalia Sikkila⁹, Elena Sitnikova⁵¹, Andrei Sivkov^{52,102}, Nataliya Skok⁶², Svetlana Skorokhodova⁷¹, Elena Smirnova³⁴, Galina Sokolova³⁵, Vladimir Sopin⁷⁶, Yurii Spasovski⁹², Sergei Stepanov⁶⁷, Vitaliy Stratiy⁹³, Violetta Strekalovskaya⁵⁵, Alexander Sukhov⁷¹, Guzalya Suleymanova⁹⁴, Lilija Sultangareeva⁶⁸, Viktorija Teleganova⁵⁷, Viktor Teplov^{27,102}, Valentina Teplova²⁷, Tatiana Tertitsa²⁷, Vladislav Timoshkin¹⁴, Dmitry Tirski⁸⁶, Andrej Tolmachev²¹, Aleksey Tomilin^{95,96}, Ludmila Tselishcheva⁹¹, Mirabdulla Turgunov⁸, Yurij Tyukh⁸², Polina Van²⁹, Vladimir Van²⁹, Aleksander Vasin⁹⁷, Aleksandra Vasina⁹⁷, Anatoliy Vekliuk¹⁵, Lidia Vetchinnikova⁸⁸, Vladislav Vinogradov⁹⁸, Nikolay Volodchenkov²³, Inna Voloshina⁷⁵, Tura Xoliqov⁹⁹, Eugenia Yablonovska-Grishchenko⁵⁸, Vladimir Yakovlev^{10,102}, Marina Yakovleva⁷¹, Oksana Yantser⁶², Yurij Yarema⁸², Andrey Zahvatov¹⁰⁰, Valery Zakharov³⁸, Nicolay Zelenetskiy¹⁹, Anatolii Zheltukhin⁶⁷, Tatyana Zubina¹⁰, Juri Kurhinen^{2,88} & Otso Ovaskainen^{2,101}

Author affiliations

¹Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Ecology, PO BOX 7044, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden, ²University of Helsinki, PO BOX 65 00014 Helsinki, Finland, ³EarthCape OY, Latokartanonkaari 3 00790 Helsinki, Finland, ⁴Department of Computer Science, Aalto University, PO Box 15400 FI-00076, Finland, ⁵Oviedo University, Research Unit of Biodiversity (UMIB, UO-CSIC-PA), Campus Mieres, 33600 Mieres, Spain, ⁶University of Maryland, 3237 Biology-Psychology Building, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, United States, ⁷National Park Orlovskoe Polesie, 303943, Orel region, Hotynetskiy district, Zhuderskiy village, Shkolnaya st. 2, Russian Federation, ⁸Institute of Botany, Academy of sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, 100053, Tashkent, Bogi shamol str. 232 V, Uzbekistan, ⁹Kostomuksha Nature Reserve, 186930, Karelia Republic, Kostomuksha, Priozernaya 2, Russian Federation, ¹⁰Altai State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 649000, Altai Republic, Gorno-Altaysk, Naberezhnyi st., 1, Russian Federation, ¹¹Kabardino-Balkarski Nature Reserve, 360000, Kabardino-Balkaria, Cherek District, Mechieva 78, Russian Federation, ¹²FSE Zapovednoe Podlemorye, 671623, Republic of Buryatia, Ust-Bargizin, Lenina st. 71, Russian Federation, ¹³Institute of General and Experimental Biology, Siberian Department, Russian Academy of Sciences, 670047, Republic of Buryatia, Ulan-Ude, Sakhyanovoy str. 6, Russian Federation, ¹⁴State Nature Reserve Stolby, 660006, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk, Kariernaya 26, Russian Federation, ¹⁵Carpathian Biosphere Reserve, 90600, Zakarpatska obl., Rakhiv, Krasne Pleso Str. 77, Ukraine, ¹⁶Nizhne-Svirsky State Nature Reserve, 18700, Leningrad Region, Lodeinoe Pole, Svir River, 1, Russian Federation, ¹⁷State Nature Reserve Prisursky, 428034, Cheboksary, Lesnoj, 9, Russian Federation, ¹⁸Zapovednoe Pribajkalje (Bajkalo-Lensky State Nature Reserve, Pribajkalsky National Park), 664050, Irkutsk, Bajkalskaya St., 291B, Russian Federation, ¹⁹Darwin Nature Biosphere Reserve, 162723, Cherepovets District, Vologda Region, Borok, 44, p/o Ploskovo, Russian Federation, ²⁰Volzhsko-Kamsky National Nature Biosphere Rezerve, 422537, Tatarstan Republic, Zelenodolsk District, p/o Raifa, Sadovy, str. Vechova, 1, Russian Federation, ²¹FGBU National Park Shushenskiy Bor, 662710, Krasnoyarsk Region, Shushenskoe, Lugovaja 9, Russian Federation, ²²Voronezhsky Nature Biosphere Reserve, 394080, Centralnaja usadba, Goszapovednik, Voronezh, Russian Federation, ²³Baikalskv State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 671220, Buryatia Republic, Kabansky District, Tankhoy, 34 Krasnogvardeyskava Street, Russian Federation, ²⁴Visimsky Nature Biosphere Reserve, 624140, Kirovgrad, Stepana Razina, 23, Russian Federation, ²⁵Kondinskie Lakes National Park named after L. F. Stashkevich, 628240, Hanty-Mansijsk district, City Sovietsky, Komsomolski st., 5, Russian Federation, ²⁶FSBI United Administration of the Kedrovaya Pad' State Biosphere Nature Reserve and Leopard's Land National Park, 690068, Primorskiy kray, Vladivostok, pr. 100-letiya Vladivostoka 127, Russian Federation, ²⁷Pechoro-Ilych State Nature Reserve, 169436, Komi Republic, Trinity-Pechora region, Yaksha, Laninoy Street 8, Russian Federation, ²⁸A. N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, 119071, Moscow, Leninsky Prospect 33, Russian Federation, ²⁹FGBU Zapovednoye Priamurye, Komsomolskiy Department, 681000, Khabarovskyi krai, Komsomolsk-on-Amur, Mira avenue, 54, Russian Federation, ³⁰Tigirek State Nature Reserve, 656043, Barnaul, Nikitina street 111, Russian Federation, ³¹Institute of Systematics and Ecology of Animals of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, 930091, Novosibirsk, Frunze 11, Russian Federation, ³²State Nature Reserve Bolshaya Kokshaga, 424038, Mary El Republic, Yoshkar-Ola, Voinov-Internacionalistov 26, Russian Federation, ³³Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 620100, Ekaterinburg, 8 Marta 202/3, Russian Federation, ³⁴Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Reserve named after K. G. Abramov, 692150, Primorsky krai, Terney, Partizanskaya 44, Russian Federation, ³⁵FSBI Prioksko-Terrasniy State Reserve, 142200, Moscow region, Serpukhov district, Danky, Russian Federation, ³⁶Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Leninskie Gory, Russian Federation, ³⁷National park Meshchera, 601501, Vladimir region, Gus-Hrustalnyi,

Internacionalnaya 111, Russian Federation, ³⁸South Urals Federal Research Center of Mineralogy and Geoecology of the Urals Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ilmeny State Reserve, 456317, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, Russian Federation, ³⁹FGBU National Park Kenozersky, 163000, Arkhangelsk, Embankment of the Northern Dvina, 78, Russian Federation, ⁴⁰FGBU GPZ Kologrivskij les im. M.G. Sinicina, 157440, Kostromskaja oblasť, Kologriv, Nekrasova 48, Russian Federation, ⁴¹Altai State University, 656049, Lenin Ave. 61, Barnaul, Russian Federation, ⁴²Pryazovskyi National Nature Park, 72312, Zaporiz'ka oblast, Melitopol', Interkulturna Street, 21/1, Ukraine, ⁴³State Nature Reserve Privolzhskaya Lesostep, 440031, Penza, Okruzhnaya 12-a, Russian Federation, ⁴⁴Komarov Botanical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (BIN RAS), 197376, Saint Petersburg, Professora Popova 2, Russian Federation, ⁴⁵Sary-Chelek State Nature Reserve, 715705, Dzalal-Abad region, Aksu district, Arkyt village, Kyrgyzstan, ⁴⁶Institute for Evolutionary Ecology NAS Ukraine, 03143, Kiev, Lebedeva 37, Ukraine, ⁴⁷FGBU State Nature Reserve Kuznetsk Alatau, 652888, Kemerovo region, Mezhdurechensk, Shakhterov 33-1, Russian Federation, ⁴⁸Kerzhenskiy State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 603001, Nizhny Novgorod, Rozhdestvenskaya 23, Russian Federation, ⁴⁹FSBI United Administration of the Mordovia State Nature Reserve and National Park Smolny, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, Krasnaya 30, Russian Federation, ⁵⁰Ogarev Mordovia State University, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, Bolshevistskaya 68, Russian Federation, ⁵¹Bryansk Forest Nature Reserve, 242180, Bryansk region, Suzemka district, Nerussa St., Zapovednaya street, 2, Russian Federation, ⁵²Pinezhsky State Nature Reserve, 164610, Arhangel region, Pinezkiy district, Pinega, Pervomayskaya street, 123 A, Russian Federation, ⁵³The Central Chernozem State Biosphere Nature Reserve named after Professor V.V. Alvokhin, 305528 Kurskiv region, Kurskiv district, p/o Zapovednoe, Russian Federation, ⁵⁴Tyumen State University, 625043, Tyumen, Pirogova str., 3, Russian Federation, ⁵⁵Reserves of Taimyr, 666300, Norilsk, str. Talnakhskaya, entrance 2, Russian Federation, ⁵⁶Chatkalski National Park, 100059, Toshkent, Shota Rustaveli St., 144-34, Uzbekistan, ⁵⁷National Park Ugra, 248007, Kaluga, Prigorodnoe lesnichestvo, 3a, Russian Federation, ⁵⁸Kaniv Nature Reserve, 19000, Kaniv, Shevchenko str. 108, Ukraine, ⁵⁹Smolenskoe Poozerje National Park, 216270, Smolensk Region, Demidovskiy district, Przhevalskoe, Gurevitch street 19, Russian Federation, ⁶⁰FSBI Zeya State Nature Reserve, 676246, Stroitelnaya str. 71, Zeya, Amurskaya Oblast, Russian Federation, ⁶¹Polistovsky State Nature Reserve, 182840, Pskov region, Bezhanitsy district, Bezhanitsy Sovetskaya street, 9B, Russian Federation, ⁶²Ural State Pedagogical University, 620017, Yekaterinburg, prosp. Kosmonavtov, 26, Russian Federation, ⁶³Institute of Mathematical Problems of Biology RAS - the Branch of the Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of Russian Academy of Sciences, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Prof. Vitkevicha 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁴Kronotsky Federal Nature Biosphere Reserve, 684000, Kamchatka region, Yelizovo, Ryabikova street 48, Russian Federation, ⁶⁵Zhiguli Nature Reserve, 445362, Samara region, P. Bakhilova Polvana, Zhigulvovskava 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁶Institute for Ecology and Geography, Siberian Federal University, 660041, Krasnovarsk, 79 Svobodny pr., Russian Federation, ⁶⁷Central Forest State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 172521, Tver region, Nelidovo district, Zapovedniy village, Russian Federation,⁶⁸National Park Bashkirija, 453870, Bashkortostan Republic, Meleuzovskiy district, Nurgush, Abubakirova 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁹State Nature Reserve Kurilsky, 694500, Sakhalin, Juzhno-Kurilsk, Zarechnaya 5, Russian Federation, ⁷⁰Vodlozersky National Park, 185002, Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Parkovaya 44, Russian Federation, ⁷¹State Nature Reserve Kivach, 186220, Kondopoga District, Republic of Karelia, Russian Federation, ⁷²South-Ural Federal University, 4563304, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, ul. Kalinina 37, Russian Federation, ⁷³Saint-Petersburg State Forest Technical University, 194021, St. Petersburg, Institutsky per. 5, 1-338-3, Russian Federation, ⁷⁴Astrakhan Biosphere Reserve, 414021, Astrakhan, Tsaerv River Bank 119, Russian Federation, ⁷⁵FSBI United Administration of the Lazovsky State Reserve and national park Zov Tigra, 692980, Primorskiy Krai, Lazovskiy District, Lazo, Centralnava, 56, Russian Federation, ⁷⁶State Nature Reserve Tungusskiy, 660028, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk Street 27

19, Russian Federation, ⁷⁷Krasnovarsk State Pedagogical University named after V.P. Astafyev, 660049, Krasnoyarsk, Ada Lebedeva st. 89, Russian Federation, ⁷⁸Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119017, Moscow, Staromonetniy 29, Russian Federation, ⁷⁹Koltzov Institute of Developmental Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119334, Moscow, Vavilov Street 26, Russian Federation, ⁸⁰Carpathian National Nature Park, 78500, Ivano-Frankivsk region, Yaremche, V. Stusa street 6, Ukraine, ⁸¹State Environmental Institution National Park Braslav lakes, 211970, Vitebsk region, Braslav, Dachnaya 1, Belarus, ⁸²National Park Synevyr, 90041, Zakarpattia Region, Mizhhirs'kyi district, Synevyr-Ostriki, Ukraine, ⁸³Pasvik State Nature Reserve, 184421, Murmansk region, Nikel, Gvardeyskiy Ave. 43, Russian Federation, ⁸⁴Mari Chodra National Park, 425090, Mari El Republic, Zvenigovsky District, Krasnogorsky Settlement, Tsentralnaya Street, 73, Russian Federation, ⁸⁵State Nature Reserve Vishersky, 618590, Perm region, Krasnovishersk, Gagarina street 36B, Russian Federation, ⁸⁶State Nature Reserve Olekminsky, 678100, Republic Sakha, Olekminsk, Filatova 6, Russian Federation, ⁸⁷Crimea Nature Reserve, 298514, Alushta, Partizanskaya, 42, Republic of Crimea, ⁸⁸Forest Research Institute Karelian Research Centre Russian Academy of Sciences, 185910, Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Pushkinskaya 11, Russian Federation, ⁸⁹Black Sea Biosphere Reserve, 75600, Khersons'ka oblast, Hola Prystan', Mikhail Lermontov 1, Ukraine, ⁹⁰Institute of Physicochemical and Biological Problems in Soil Sciences Russian Academy of Science, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Institutskaya 2, Russian Federation, ⁹¹State Nature Reserve Nurgush, 610002, Kirov, Lenina street, 129a, Russian Federation, ⁹²Caucasian State Biosphere Reserve of the Ministry of Natural Resources, 385000, Adygea Republik, Maykop, Sovetskaya str. 187, Russian Federation, ⁹³National Nature Park Vyzhnytskiy, 59200, Chernivtsi Region, Vyzhnytsya District, Berehomet, Street Central 27 a, Ukraine, ⁹⁴National Park Khvalynsky, 412780, Region Saratov, Khvalynsk Sity, Oktyberskya Street, 2b, Russian Federation, ⁹⁵State Research Center Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, 199397, Saint Petersburg, Bering st. 38, Russian Federation, ⁹⁶Information-Analytical Centre for Protected Areas, 123242, Moscow, Kapranova side-street 3, ⁹⁷State Nature Reserve Malaya Sosva, 628242, Tjumen region, Sovetskiy, Lenina str., 46, Russian Federation, ⁹⁸Krasnoyarsk State Medical University named after Prof. V.F.Voino-Yasenetsky, 660022, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk, Partizana Zhelezniaka, 1, Russian Federation, ⁹⁹Surhanskiy State Nature Reserve, 191404, Surhandarja region, Sherabad, Agahi, 1, Uzbekistan, ¹⁰⁰Mordovia State Nature Reserve, 431230, Mordovia Republic, Temnikov region, village Pushta, Russian Federation, ¹⁰¹Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway. ¹⁰²Evgeniya Bukharova, Tatyana Gordeeva, Sergei Sazonov, Andrei Sivkov, Viktor Teplov, and Vladimir Yakovlev are deceased.

* Corresponding author: tomas.roslin@slu.se

Text S1. Classification of phenological events

All events were classified into four trophic levels according to the organism expressing the event: primary producers (plants), primary consumers (herbivorous insects) and secondary consumers (predatory insects, amphibians, birds, mammals). Saprotrophs (fungi) were included as a separate level, as commonly feeding on the remains of multiple other levels, and abiotic events as a separate category. Species classification was based on the basic biology of the juvenile stage. For holometaboulous organisms (such as insects undergoing full metamorphosis), the juvenile stage is typically the one consuming the most resources for growth, and the same applies for birds. Specifically, in classifying all birds as secondary consumers, we note that while some species may be mainly herbivorous as adults, their young are dependent on protein-rich arthropods for growth¹. Since we expect breeding-time resources to be more decisive for these species' phenology than the needs of the adults, we have used the diet of the young for our trophic classification.

Table S1. Classification of events by taxonomic and trophic position

The table shows the identity of the species and events included in the analyses, with their taxonomic position, trophic level and relative timing (here expressed as the mean Day of the Year when the phenological event first occurred; mean DOY).

Taxon (+ meteo events)	Trophic level	Event scored	Mean DOY
AVES			
Alaudidae			
Alauda arvensis	Secondary consumer	1st song*	93.14
Anatidae			
Anas crecca	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	119.73
Anas platyrhyncos	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	101.64
Cygnus cygnus	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	107.42
Bucephala clangula	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	109.81
Apodidae			
Apus apus	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	142.78
Charadriidae			
Vanellus vanellus	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	100.43
Corvidae			
Corvus frugilegus	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	78.54
Cuculidae			
Cuculus canorus	Secondary consumer	1st song*	127.64
Fringillidae			
Fringilla coelebs	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	98.12
Gruidae			
Grus grus	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	103.00
Hirundinidae			
Hirundo rustica	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	124.57
		Last occurrence	259.44
Motacillidae			
Motacilla alba	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	103.11
		Last occurrence	271.23

	1		
Muscicapidae			
Luscinia luscinia	Secondary consumer	1st song	125.15
Paridae			
Parus major	Secondary consumer	1st song	42.33
Picidae			
Dendrocopos major	Secondary consumer	Start of drumming	46.91
Sturnidae			
Sturnus vulgaris	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	86.51
	1		
FUNGUS			
Boletaceae			
Leccinum aurantiacum	Saprotroph	1st occurrence	179.67
Leccinum scabrum	Saprotroph	1st occurrence	184.60
INSECTA			
Apidae			
Bombus sp	Primary consumer	1st occurrence	118.04
Culicidae			
Culicidae sp	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	126.78
Formicidae			
Formica rufa	Secondary consumer	Livening up	103.83
Nymphalidae			
Aglais urticae	Primary consumer	1st occurrence	101.22
Pieridae	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Gonepteryx rhamni	Primary consumer	1st occurrence	102.30
MAMMALIA			
Ursidae			
Ursus arctos	Secondary consumer	Awakening	102.49
	· · ·		·
PLANTAE			
Asparagaceae			
Convallaria majalis	Producer	Onset of blooming	144.47
Maianthemum bifolium	Producer	Onset of blooming	167.92
Astaraceae			
Leucanthemum vulgare	Producer	Onset of blooming	169.79
Taraxacum officinale	Producer	Onset of blooming	131.87
Tussilago farfara	Producer	Onset of blooming	106.95
Betulaceae			
Betula pendula	Producer	Onset of sap bleeeding	103.36
		Onset of budburst	120.20
		Onset of leaf unfolding	128.36
		Onset of autumn colouring	242.07
		Onset of leaf fall	247.69
		Full autumn colouring of leaves	264.94
		Leaf fall end	287.69
Caprifoliaceae			
Lonicera caerulea		Onset of blooming	150.41
Ericaceae			
Oxycoccus palustris	Producer	Onset of blooming*	163.29
Ledum palustre	Producer	Onset of blooming	156.75
Vaccinium myrtillus	Producer	Onset of blooming	145.35

		Onset of fruiting (ripe)*	195.23
		Mass fruiting	204.14
Vaccinium vitis-idaea	Producer	Onset of blooming*	162.31
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	227.44
		Mass fruiting	239.47
Fabaceae			
Caragana arborescens	Producer	Onset of blooming	148.28
Grossulariaceae			
Ribes nigrum	Producer	Onset of blooming*	145.99
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	205.27
Malvaceae			
Tilia cordata	Producer	Onset of leaf unfolding	129.07
		Onset of blooming*	181.85
Oleaceae			
Syringa vulgaris	Producer	Onset of blooming	150.87
Onagraceae			
Epilobium angustifolium	Producer	Onset of blooming	180.06
Pinaceae			
Pinus sylvestris	Producer	onset of blooming*	153.18
Ranunculaceae			
Caltha palustris	Producer	Onset of blooming	133.70
Trollius europaeus	Producer	Onset of blooming	150.87
Rosaceae			
Fragaria vesca	Producer	Onset of blooming*	144.48
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	176.94
Prunus padus	Producer	Onset of budburst	114.10
		Onset of leaf unfolding	124.06
		Onset of blooming*	139.22
		Onset of mass blooming	143.10
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	202.32
		Onset of autumn colouring	221.38
		Onset of leaf fall	232.95
		Leaf fall end	275.01
Rosa acicularis	Producer	Onset of blooming	171.34
Rubus chamaemorus	Producer	Onset of blooming*	154.64
Rubus idaeus	Producer	Onset of blooming*	166.83
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	205.81
Sorbus aucuparia	Producer	Onset of blooming*	156.06
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	227.55
Salicaceae			
Salix caprea	Producer	Onset of blooming*	123.08
Populus tremula	Producer	Onset of blooming	115.70
		Onset of leaf unfolding	137.18
		Onset of autumn colouring	241.60
		Onset of leaf fall	248.20
		Full autumn colouring of leaves	263.45
		Leaf fall end	280.00

REPTILIA			
Squamata			
Zootoca vivipara	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	120.50
METEO			

Thaw	1st thawed patches to an earth surface	90.00
	around trunks of trees	
	1st thawed patches on open places	103.11
Temperature	Daily average air temperature -	01.23
remperature	transition above 0	71.25
	Daily average air temperature -	115 19
	transition above +5	113.17
	Daily average air temperature -	136.45
	transition above +10	150.45
	Frost; last	139.86
	Frost; soil; last	142.44
	Daily average air temperature -	1(0.20
	transition above +15	160.29
	Daily minimal air temperature -	165.44
	transition above $+10$	165.44
	Daily average air temperature -	004.00
	transition more low $+15$	234.26
	Daily minimal air temperature -	224.02
	transition more low $+10$	234.83
	Frost: soil: 1st	253.34
	Frost: 1st	259.51
	Daily average air temperature -	
	transition more low $+10$	264.52
	Daily average air temperature -	205 (0
	transition more low $+5$	285.69
	Daily average air temperature -	
	transition more low 0	303.48
Snow	Snow cover melted	114.60
	Snow cover melted: open spaces	115.25
	Snow cover melted; forest	123.08
	Snowfall: last	125.66
	Snow cover formation: 1st	295.66
	Snow cover formation: permanent	308 57
	Snowfall: 1st	283.16
Ice	Ice cover melting: breakup	116 33
	Ice cover melted	120.27
	Ice formation: definitive	310.30
Others	Rain: 1st	0/ 58
Unicis	Thunderstorm: 1st	124.02
	i nunuei storin, i st	134.92

Text S2. Description of the HMSC model

Hierarchical Modelling of Species Communities (HMSC) is a multivariate generalized linear mixed modelling framework^{2,3}. HMSC was primarily developed for community ecology, so that in a typical application the response matrix (**Y**) contains species occurrences or abundances on a set of sampling units (e.g. spatial locations), the environmental predictor matrix (**X**) contains e.g. climatic conditions in those sampling units, and the species trait matrix (**T**) includes species-level traits such as body size. HMSC allows to ask how species occurrences depend on environmental conditions (how **Y** depends on **X**), and how the species responses to environmental variation depend on their traits (how **T** modulates the mapping from **X** to **Y**). Additionally, HMSC includes community-level random effects implemented through latent variables; these allow the modelling of residual (not accounted for species responses to **X**) species associations at different spatiotemporal levels.

Here, we apply HMSC to phenological data, so that instead of species occurrences we include in the response matrix \mathbf{Y} the dates of the phenological events. In other words, phenological events (e.g. the first arrival of a given bird species) here play the role of "species". In the predictor matrix \mathbf{X} , we include the climatic conditions of the study locations, as well as the year of the observation, with the latter capturing the trends over time that are the main focus of this paper. In the species trait matrix \mathbf{T} , we include key characteristics of the phenological events: the mean timing of the event (e.g. to distinguish spring events from autumn events), and the type of event (e.g. to distinguish abiotic from biotic events).

We call a given site-year pair as "sampling unit", and denote by y_{ij} the date at which the phenological event *j* took place in sampling unit *i*. Each data point is then the vector y_{i} , where the dot notation stands for the vector of y_{ij} values for all indices *j*, thus y_i . consisting of the dates at which all phenological events took place for the site-year pair *i*. We modelled the data with the multivariate hierarchical linear mixed model defined as:

$$y_{ij} = \sum_{k} x_{ik} \beta_{kj} + a_{s(i)j} + b_{t(i)j} + c_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}.$$

- Concerning the fixed effects, β_{kj} is the effect of the covariate k on the phenological event j. The covariates included for each sampling unit i were the year, the climatic descriptor of the site, and the interaction between these two. Additionally the model includes the intercept, so $x_{1i} = 1$ for all i.
- Concerning the community-level random effects, s(i) denotes the site and t(i) denotes the year of the sampling unit *i*. Thus $a_{s(i)j}$ is the random effect of the site, $b_{t(i)j}$ is the random effect of the year, and c_{ij} is the random effect of the sampling unit. Each of these is modelled through the

latent variable model described in detail in ², so that e.g. $a_{sj} = \sum_{h=1}^{n_f^s} \lambda_{hj}^s \eta_{hs}^s$, where n_f^s is the number of factors included at the site level (as indicated by the superscript *s*), η_{sk}^s is the latent variable *k* for site *s*, and λ_{kj}^s is the loading of phenological event *j* for factor *k*. We note that the association matrix among the phenological events can be constructed from the loadings λ_{kj}^s as

 $\Omega_{j_1j_2}^s = \sum_{h=1}^{n_f^s} \lambda_{hj_1}^s \lambda_{hj_2}^s; \text{see }^2.$

• Concerning the residual, we assume a linear model, so $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_j^2)$, where σ_j^2 is the residual variance of phenological event *j*.

The responses of the phenological events to the covariates were further modelled with a linear regression, using the traits of the events as predictors. The expected response $\mu_{kj} = E[\beta_{kj}]$ was modelled as $\mu_{kj} = \sum_l t_{jl} \gamma_{kl}$ and the realized response with the multivariate normal model as

 $\beta_{.j} \sim N(\mu_{k}, \mathbf{V})$. As the trait predictors t_{jh} , we included the intercept, the mean day of the phenological event, the type of the phenological event, and the interaction between them. To account for the periodic nature of the day of the year, the mean day of the phenological event *d* was modelled with the predictors $\cos(2\pi d/356)$ and $\sin(2\pi d/356)$. The type of event was implemented as a factor, with the levels of abiotic events, producers, primary consumers, secondary consumers, and saprotophs.

We fitted the models with R-package Hmsc⁴ assuming the default prior distribution. For a technical description of the default prior distribution we refer to ⁴, whereas for an ecological motivation of the default prior distribution we refer to ². We performed posterior sampling for four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, each of which we sampled for 375,000 iterations, out of which we discarded the first 125,000 as a transient and thinned the remaining by 1000, thus yielding 250 samples per chain and 1000 samples in total. To evaluate MCMC convergence, we examined the distributions of the potential scale reduction factor over the parameters related to the fixed effects (β -parameters) and the random effects (Ω -parameters), equivalent to the Gelman-Rubin statistic⁵.

Text S3. Convergence of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) scheme used for posterior sampling

For each model we obtained 250 samples for each of the four MCMC chains, and thus in total 1000 samples. The 250 samples for each chain were obtained by running the MCMC for 375*thin iterations, out of which 125*thin were discarded as transient, and the remaining 250*thin iterations were then evenly thinned to yield 250 samples. We applied thin=1, 10, 100, 1000 to check which level of thinning was sufficient to ensure satisfactory convergence. We assessed MCMC convergence by computing the effective number of samples and the potential scale reduction factor. These are shown in Fig. S3 for the beta-parameters of the HMSC model⁴, which parameters measure the responses of the species to the included covariates. As the majority of the potential scale reduction factors are close to one and the effective sample size is close to the actual sample size of 1000, we conclude the MCMC convergence was satisfactory.

Figure S1. MCMC convergence statistics, measured as potential scale reduction factors and effective sample sizes.

Each boxplot shows the distribution of values over the species-specific beta-parameters for models that include the mean annual temperature (T), latitude (L), photoperiod (P) or chilling sum (C) as the climatic predictor.



References

- 1. White, T. C. R. When is a herbivore not a herbivore ? *Oecologia* **67**, 596–597 (1985).
- 2. Ovaskainen, O. & Abrego, N. *Joint Species Distribution Modelling With Applications in R*. (Cambridge University Press, 2020).
- 3. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* How to make more out of community data? A conceptual framework and its implementation as models and software. *Ecology Letters* **20**, 561–576 (2017).
- 4. Tikhonov, G. *et al.* Joint species distribution modelling with the R-package HMSC. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **11**, 442–447 (2019).
- 5. Gelman, A. et al. Bayesian Data Analysis. (2013).