OPEN ACCESS **MOLECULES** ISSN 1420-3049 www.mdpi.com/journal/molecules

Article

# Photobleaching Kinetics and Time-Integrated Emission of Fluorescent Probes in Cellular Membranes

Daniel Wüstner<sup>1,\*</sup>, Tanja Christensen<sup>1</sup>, Lukasz M. Solanko<sup>1</sup> and Daniel Sage<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Southern Denmark, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark; E-Mails: tanjac@bmb.sdu.dk (T.C.); lsolanko@gmail.com (L.M.S.)
- <sup>2</sup> Biomedical Imaging Group, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; E-Mail: daniel.sage@epfl.ch
- \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: wuestner@bmb.sdu.dk; Tel./Fax: +45-6550-2405.

Received: 10 April 2014; in revised form: 4 July 2014 / Accepted: 10 July 2014 / Published: 29 July 2014

Abstract: Since the pioneering work of Hirschfeld, it is known that time-integrated emission (TiEm) of a fluorophore is independent of fluorescence quantum yield and illumination intensity. Practical implementation of this important result for determining exact probe distribution in living cells is often hampered by the presence of autofluorescence. Using kinetic modelling of photobleaching combined with pixel-wise bleach rate fitting of decay models with an updated plugin to the ImageJ program, it is shown that the TiEm of a fluorophore in living cells can be determined exactly from the product of bleaching amplitude and time constant. This applies to mono-exponential bleaching from the first excited singlet and/or triplet state and to multi-exponential combinations of such processes. The TiEm can be used to correct for illumination shading and background autofluorescence without the need for fluorescent test layers or separate imaging of non-stained cells. We apply the method to simulated images and to images of cells, whose membranes were labelled with fluorescent sterols and sphingolipids. Our bleaching model can be extended to include a probability density function (PDF) of intrinsic bleach rate constants with a memory kernel. This approach results in a time-dependent bleach rate coefficient and is exemplified for fluorescent sterols in restricted intracellular environments, like lipid droplets. We show that for small deviations from the classical exponential bleaching, the TiEm of decay functions with rate coefficients remains largely independent of fluorescence lifetime and illumination, and thereby represents a faithful measure of probe distribution.

**Keywords:** photophysics; kinetics; autofluorescence correction; cholesterol; BODIPY; transport

# 1. Introduction

Live-cell fluorescence microscopy has become a quantitative branch of life sciences, often complementing 'omics' approaches such as proteomics and lipidomics by providing direct access to spatial information about biomolecules in living cells. This is a consequence of highly specific labelling techniques, improved microscope instrumentation and advanced digital imaging devices developed over the last two decades. Ouantification of organelle-associated fluorescence of a given probe by image analysis of acquired fluorescence recordings has become a standard method in many laboratories. In particular quantitative co-localization analysis is one of the most important tools for studying membrane traffic in living cells. To determine intracellular protein destinations, a protein of interest, like a certain membrane receptor, can be expressed as a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-construct in a mammalian cell line or in model organisms, like *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and its distribution is compared to that of characteristic organelle markers. These markers are typically proteins residing in the investigated organelle and are tagged with another GFP-color variant. For example, different rab-GTPases expressed as fluorescent protein constructs have been used to distinguish various endosome populations in living cells and in nematodes [1,2]. Protein trafficking can be also studied in by pulse-chase experiments of antibody-tagged protein variants, in which a particular epitope is genetically introduced into the protein of interest [3,4] or, in case of studying endocytosis, by using fluorescent ligands of endocytic receptors [5]. As alternative organelle markers, lipid analogs have been introduced. For example, the fluorescent ceramide N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-ylaminocaproyl)-D-erythro-sphingosine (C6-NBD-Cer) is used as vital stain of the Golgi apparatus, and more specifically, the trans-Golgi-network (TGN) in living and fixed cells, though it labels to some extent also the nuclear envelope and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [6,7]. The advantage of fluorescent lipid markers is the fact, that one can label any cell easily without the need for transfection with an organelle-resident intrinsically fluorescent protein. For example, retrograde trafficking kinetics of TGN38 to the TGN stained with C6-NBD-Cer have been studied by quantitative immunofluorescence microscopy [3]. Moreover, para-Golgi localization of the endocytic recycling compartment (ERC) containing fluorescent transferrin and sterols could be documented by two-color laser scanning or wide field microscopy with C6-NBD-Cer as marker for the proximate TGN in various cell types [3,8–10]. Fluorescent lipid probes, like C6-NBD-tagged sphingomyelin (C6-NBD-SM) have also been used as non-specific membrane marker, reporting about overall membrane flow and recycling [11–13]. Similarly, the hydrophobic membrane probe trimethylammonium diphenylhexatriene (TMA-DPH) is not only used as membrane viscosity probe with preferred orientation towards the bilayer-water interface [14], but also for studying intracellular membrane flow as well as lipid order in model and cell membranes by fluorescence polarization spectroscopy and microscopy [15-18]. In addition, fluorescent lipid analogs mimicking certain phospho- and sphingolipids have been used to study endocytic lipid sorting and to determine lipid trafficking routes in polarized epithelial cells [19-22]. Finally, a great deal of effort has been spent to determine intracellular trafficking routes of cholesterol using fluorescent cholesterol analogs [23,24]. Here, either cholesterol with a minimally perturbing reporter moiety, such as BODIPY-tagged cholesterol (BChol) has been applied [25,26], or intrinsically fluorescent sterols, like the yeast sterol dehydroergosterol (DHE) or the related cholestatrienol are often used [27]. While the first gives much better fluorescence brightness, the latter two sterol probes are clearly the better mimics of their natural counterparts; *i.e.*, ergosterol and cholesterol (for other sterol labeling techniques see [24,28]). Nevertheless, BODIPY-cholesterol probes with different orientation have been successfully used for studying sterol dynamics in the plasma membrane, revealing fast and unhindered sterol diffusion at the nanoscale [29,30].

Basic assumptions in all quantitative imaging studies designated to determine membrane probe distribution are that the emitted fluorescence signal is proportional to probe concentration and that the fluorescence signal remains stable during the image acquisition. Both assumptions have to be validated in each imaging application and for each fluorophore. Especially the latter assumption is often not valid, since in long-term observations, fluorescent probes become destructed by photobleaching. The emission of some fluorophores for a given probe concentration can depend on the local intracellular environment. For example, in cellular studies employing NBD- tagged probes, environmental factors need to be taken into account [31]. Benson et al. have shown by pixel-wise fitting of a mono-exponential decay model that photobleaching rates of NBD-cholesterol vary by more than one order of magnitude in cells [32]. Pagano et al. also observed-but did not quantify-heterogeneous photobleaching of NBD-phosphatidic acid (NBD-PA) and, after metabolic conversion, NBD-triacylglycerol [33]. Cholesterol-depletion accelerated photobleaching of C6-NBD-Cer in the Golgi apparatus of human skin fibroblasts [34]. In a pioneering study, Thomas Hirschfeld demonstrated almost 40 years ago that these effects of locally varying quantum yield can be overcome by measuring the time-integrated emission (TiEm) of a fluorophore until it is completely photobleached [35]. He showed that the TiEM is independent of the fluorescence quantum yield but also independent of the illumination intensity. This result has been used by some authors to correct for eventual probe self-quenching of NBD-tagged lipids before quantification of their organelle-associated fluorescence (self-quenching can lower the quantum yield and thereby the collected fluorescence signal) [11]. However, simple time-integration of the emitted fluorescence in living cells is not reliable, since cells contain locally varying autofluorescence which will also be integrated by that approach [36]. Here, we present a simple model for the photobleaching process and describe, how that can be used for ease analysis of photobleaching characteristics and discrimination of probe- from autofluorescence in cells. We show how the TiEm is derived from that model and used for correcting illumination shading of intracellular probes. In addition, we present a new implementation of pixel-wise calculation of TiEm in our recently developed plugin to ImageJ [37]. Finally, we extend the model to analyze multi- and non-exponential bleaching processes.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

## 2.1. Limits of Fluorescence as Measure of Probe Concentration

As pointed out in the previous section, one of the major goals of quantitative fluorescence microscopy is to determine the relative amount of tagged molecules in individual intracellular organelles for varying conditions and eventually over time. Since fluorescence of the probes is used as readout, one has to make sure that it is proportional to probe concentration. The detected fluorescence intensity at a given wavelength,  $\lambda$ , is given by [38], see Equation (1):

$$F(\lambda) = f(\theta) \cdot g(\lambda) \cdot \Phi_F \cdot I_{ex} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot b \cdot c \tag{1}$$

Here,  $f(\theta)$  is a geometric factor related to the collection efficiency of the optical system with  $\theta$  being the local convergence angle of the illumination/collecting beam at the sample plane (*i.e.*, fluorescence microscopy is a form of reflected light microscopy, where the objective plays also the role of the condenser). The wavelength-dependent quantum yield of the detector is  $g(\lambda)$ , while  $\Phi_{\scriptscriptstyle F}$  denotes the quantum yield of the fluorophore. The excitation intensity is  $I_{
m ex}$  and is given in W/cm<sup>2</sup>. Using the relation 1 W = 1 J/s, it follows that  $I_{ex}$ , sometimes also named irradiance, is equivalently given in J/(s cm<sup>2</sup>). It can be also expressed as photon flux with units photons/(s cm<sup>2</sup>) by using the energy of illumination photons of a given wavelength, *i.e.*,  $E = (h \cdot \mathbf{c})/\lambda$  with Planck's constant as  $h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34}$  J·s and the speed of light as c = 300,000 km/s =  $3 \times 10^{17}$  nm/s [39]. This would give, for example, for a wavelength of  $\lambda = 320$  nm, as used for excitation of DHE,  $E = 6.211 \times 10^{-19}$  J per photon. Accordingly, an excitation energy of 1 W/cm<sup>2</sup> = 1 J/(s·cm<sup>2</sup>) corresponds to  $1.61 \times 10^{18}$  photons/(s·cm<sup>2</sup>). Similarly, for blue photons with  $\lambda = 500$  nm, as used for excitation of BChol, 1 W/cm<sup>2</sup> corresponds to 2.515  $\times$  10<sup>18</sup> photons/(s·cm<sup>2</sup>). In Equation (1),  $\varepsilon$  is the molar extinction coefficient (in  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>), b is the optical path length (in cm) and c, is the probe concentration (in M). This equation, though central for our purpose, assumes fluorescence being linearly related to excitation energy. It is therefore strictly valid only for highly diluted solutions, in which fluorophores do not interact and for low irradiation, where fluorophores do not saturate. Thus, Equation (1) does not tell about the limitations of fluorescence detection for measurement of probe concentration, namely fluorescence quenching, saturation and photobleaching. To understand these processes, it is very instructive to start with some photokinetic considerations.

The scheme shown in Equation (2) describes the simplest photocycle,

$$s_0 \stackrel{k_{1,-1}}{\longleftrightarrow} s_1$$
 (2)

in which a fluorophore is either in the singlet ground state,  $S_0$ , or, upon excitation with light of a suitable wavelength, resides in the first excited singlet state,  $S_1$ . This gives the following two coupled differential Equations (3) and (4):

$$\frac{dS_0}{dt} = -k_1 \cdot S_0 + k_{-1} \cdot S_1 \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = k_1 \cdot S_0 - k_{-1} \cdot S_1 \tag{4}$$

Here, the rate constant for absorption is  $k_1 = \sigma_{ex} \cdot I_{ex}$ , with  $I_{ex}$  being the illumination intensity (as irradiance in W/cm<sup>2</sup> or as photon flux in photons/(s·cm<sup>2</sup>), see above, and  $\sigma_{ex}$  is the absorption cross-section of the fluorophore (in cm<sup>2</sup> per molecule) for the given wavelength of excitation. The absorption cross-section is related to the extinction coefficient as  $\sigma_{ex} = 2303 \cdot \varepsilon / N_A$ , where  $N_A$  is Avogadro's number (6.0221 × 10<sup>23</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>). Thus,  $k_1$  is for each molecule given in W = J/s, or equivalently, if the energy of illumination photons of a given wavelength is considered (as described above), in photons/s [39]. The measurable fluorescence lifetime,  $\tau_f$ , is the reciprocal of the rate constant  $k_{-1}$  and comprises radiative and non-radiative deexcitation. The solution of this system can be easily found by standard methods and reads for  $S_1(t)$  for the initial conditions  $S_0(t = 0) = M$ (the number of illuminated molecules) and  $S_1(t = 0) = 0$ , see Equation (5):

$$S_{1}(t) = \frac{k_{1} \cdot M}{k_{1} + k_{-1}} \cdot (1 - \exp(-(k_{1} + k_{-1}) \cdot t))$$
(5)

The measured fluorescence is proportional to the occupation of the excited state (*i.e.*, the collection efficiency of the detector and the radiative decay rate of the fluorophore enter as proportionality constants [35,40]). We will ignore these factors in the following and consider fluorescence synonymous with population of the excited state (see Figure 1).

It can be seen from Equation (5) that the solution for  $S_1(t)$  consists of a time-dependent and independent part. The existence of the latter can be already inferred from inspection of Equations (3) and (4), since the corresponding matrix of coefficients is singular, which is characteristic for a closed kinetic system. When plotting the time-independent part of Equation (5), (*i.e.*,  $\overline{S_1} = \frac{k_1 \cdot M}{k_1 + k_2}$ ) as

function of excitation intensity, one finds a saturation curve, where for increasing intensity,  $I_{ex}$ , the plot deviates from a linear relationship (Figure 1A). Here, we used the mean fluorescence lifetime of BChol, a recently introduced fluorescent analog of cholesterol, as measured in model membranes [41] and in cells (*i.e.*,  $\tau_f \sim 5.5$  ns) [26]. Accordingly, one needs to set the excitation energy and acquisition time as short as possible for obtaining good signal-to-noise ratios with maximal detector sensitivity.

Another complicating factor is eventual self-interaction of membrane probes, so called self-quenching. This has been reported for various lipids tagged with nitrobenxoxadiazole (NBD)- and BODIPY-dyes as well as for rhodamine-based membrane probes [42–44]. Alternatively, fluorescence of lipid probes can be quenched by various mechanisms due to the presence of intracellular pigments or proteins. If the quenching takes place in the ground state due to formation of dimers or larger aggregates, less fluorophores are available for excitation thereby lowering the overall observed probe fluorescence (so-called 'static quenching'). Evidence for static quenching of BChol has been provided above 3 mol% in POPC membranes based on: (i) concentration-independence of probe fluorescence for high BChol concentration and (ii) by occurrence of a new absorption band above 3 mol % being characteristic for excited fluorophores, which can lower the measured fluorescence lifetime [44]. Collisions with some quenchers during the excited state lifetime of the fluorophore, so-called 'dynamic quenching', can also take place and will shorten the measured fluorescence lifetime, since an additional relaxation channel has been introduced by the quencher.

**Figure 1.** Simulation of fluorescence saturation, photobleaching and time-integrated emission using BChol as example. (**A**) fluorescence saturation was simulated from the time-independent part of Equation (5) as function of the rate constant for excitation,  $k_1 = \sigma_{ex} \cdot I_{ex}$ . The illumination intensity,  $I_{ex}$ , varied from 0 to  $1 \times 10^{25}$  photons/(s·cm<sup>2</sup>) corresponding to 0 to  $2.5 \times 10^5$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>, and  $\sigma_{ex}$ , the extinction coefficient was set to the value of BChol ( $1.32842 \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>2</sup>). The number of fluorophores is given by *M*, while the fluorescent lifetime is  $\tau_f = 1/k_{-1}$  (in ns); (**B**) simulation of photobleaching using Equation (10), as function of the fluorescence lifetime (values are indicated in the panel) for a fixed excitation rate constant of  $k_1 = 2 \times 10^5$  photons/s and bleach rate constant  $k_2 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and M = 2500; (**C**) simulation of fluorescence as function of integrated acquisition time using Equation (14) and same parameters as in panel B. The influence of the intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , on photobleaching kinetics (**D**) and integrated fluorescence lifetime of  $\tau_f = 5.5 \text{ ns}$ ,  $k_1 = 2 \times 10^5$  photons/s and M = 2,500. See text for further explanations.



According to the model shown in Equations (2)–(5), static and dynamic quenching result in very different predictions for the fluorescence saturation curve (Figure 1A): fluorescence saturation in the presence of static quenching due to complex formation between fluorophore and quencher takes place at the same excitation intensities than in the absence of quencher but with a lowered plateau due to the lower number of fluorophores being available for excitation (Figure 1C, grey, straight curve with M = 1,250 molecules). Note, that the initial amount of fluorophores, M, is arbitrarily chosen and just serves illustrative purposes. An equivalent treatment would be to normalize to the total number of fluorophores and express the excited state population as fraction or in percentage (see below and Supporting Information). Dynamic quenching does not alter the fluorescence plateau but shifts the

saturation point to higher excitation energies due to lowered fluorescence lifetime (Figure 1A, black straight and dashed curves with M = 2,500 molecules and  $\tau_f = 3.5-5.5$  ns). Accordingly, acquiring images of a fluorescent probe at different excitation energies could be an alternative method to fluorescence lifetime imaging for determining mechanisms underlying eventual fluorophore quenching. We tested this idea for BChol in living cells on a confocal microscope but were limited by the relatively narrow linear range of our photomultiplier tube detector (not shown). In fact, optical saturation measurements are normally performed in solution and use more elaborative equipment including pulsed laser excitation and CCD cameras as detectors [45]. In addition, in the complex intracellular environment many other factors contribute to the overall fluorescence signal (e.g., spatially varying intersystem crossing to triplet states, ground state heterogeneity due to probe partitioning into media with varying properties, photobleaching or varying availability of oxygen, which is a known singlet and triplet state quencher). The time-integral of Equation (5) goes for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , with *n* being the number of acquisitions against infinity. Accordingly, for a non-bleaching fluorophore an infinite number of photons can be collected.

# 2.2. A Simple Model for Photobleaching and Time-Integrated Emission

Real fluorophores become destructed by intense and/or prolonged excitation and thereby irreversibly removed from the photocycle. Photobleaching can be included in the model shown in Equations (2)–(5) by adding an irreversible reaction step to the excited state giving Equation (6):

$$S_0 \stackrel{k_{1,-1}}{\longleftrightarrow} S_1 \stackrel{k_2}{\longrightarrow}$$
 (6)

where  $k_2$  is the intrinsic bleach rate constant. We get Equations (7) and (8):

$$\frac{dS_0}{dt} = -k_1 \cdot S_0 + k_{-1} \cdot S_1 \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = k_1 \cdot S_0 - (k_{-1} + k_2) \cdot S_1 \tag{8}$$

For that model, the coefficient matrix has a non-vanishing determinant being characteristic for an open kinetic system. It can be solved analytically [36], but for further extension, we will introduce a simplification here. Suppose, that the photocycle of excitation and radiative or non-radiative relaxation is much faster than photobleaching (*i.e.*,  $k_1$ ,  $k_{-1} \gg k_2$ ), we can use a rapid equilibrium approach (REA), as recently described [46], see Equation (9):

$$\frac{S_1}{S_0} = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} = q = \frac{k_{ex} \cdot I_{ex}}{k_r + k_{nr}} = k_{ex} \cdot I_{ex} \cdot \tau_f$$
(9)

and therefore Equation (10):

$$S_0 = \frac{S_1}{q} = \frac{S_1}{k_{ex} \cdot I_{ex} \cdot \tau_f}$$
(10)

Thus, rapid equilibration of the photocycle after a very short time span gives for continuous excitation the amount of fluorophore in both states as Equation (11):

$$M = S_0(\ge 0) + S_1(\ge 0) \tag{11}$$

such that Equation (12):

$$S_{1}(\geq 0) = \frac{M \cdot k_{1}}{k_{-1} + k_{1}} = \frac{M \cdot q}{1 + q}$$
(12)

This leads to one differential equation for the excited state, whose population is proportional to the emittable fluorescence according to Equation (13):

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = -\frac{q \cdot k_2}{q+1} \cdot S_1 \tag{13}$$

Using the initial conditions given in Equation (12), we obtain Equation (14):

$$S_1(t) = M \cdot \frac{q}{1+q} \cdot \exp(\frac{-k_2 \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot t)$$
(14)

Please note, that this system does not contain a time-independent part, but the amplitude of the decay in Equation (14) equals the time-independent part of the model without bleaching (see Equation (12)). According to Equation (14), the measured or observable bleach rate constant is a function of the intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , the excitation intensity,  $I_{ex}$ , and the fluorescence lifetime,  $\tau_f$ . Dynamic quenching lowers the fluorescence lifetime such that the initial intensity  $S_1(t=0) = \frac{M \cdot q}{1+q}$  as well as

the observed photobleaching rate constant  $k_b = \frac{k_2 \cdot q}{1+q}$  of a fluorophore will be lowered in the presence

of a collisional quencher due to an effective decrease of q (Figure 1B).

Note again, that we ignored the proportionality constants between the population of molecules in the excited state,  $S_1$ , and measured fluorescence signal for the sake of brevity. Taking these constants into account will not affect the results of our analysis. The initial intensity,  $S_1(t=0)$ , and phenomenological photobleaching rate constant,  $k_{h}$ , show saturation behavior for high values of q (in photons/molecule), which can, for example, simulate increasing illumination intensity (not shown, but see [46]). Such saturation of fluorescence and bleach rate constant as function of illumination has been measured for the BODIPY and rhodamine fluorophore [47,48]. A static quencher will only lower the number of fluorophores participating in the photocycle, *i.e.*, M in the model, but not the photobleaching kinetics (not shown). In general, any differences in fluorescence lifetime caused by locally varying fluorescence quantum yield in the cellular environment should be 'detectable' as a small change in photobleaching rate constant, as illustrated in Figure 1B. This effect has been used in the so-called donor photobleaching method for detecting Förster resonance energy transfer between fluorophore couples [49–51]. Since image acquisition requires integration of the fluorescence signal over some time period, varying the fluorescence lifetime for a given intrinsic photobleaching rate constant (e.g.,  $k_2 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) could affect the detected intensity, even in the first acquired frame. To test this in more detail, we calculate the time integral, F, for Equation (14) giving Equation (15):

$$F = \int_{0}^{n} S_{1}(t)dt = \int_{0}^{n} \left[ \frac{M \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot \exp(\frac{-k_{2} \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot t) \right] dt = \frac{M}{k_{2}} \cdot \left( 1 - \exp(\frac{-k_{2} \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot n) \right)$$
(15)

As shown in Figure 1C, the time integral shows a plateau for very long acquisition times because all fluorophores became photobleached (*i.e.*, after more than 100 s in this example). For a given total acquisition time, ( $\leq 100$  s) the measured intensity will vary as function of the fluorescence lifetime (as simulated by varying q), while the total time integral is independent of fluorescence lifetime and quantum yield in accordance with earlier predictions [35]. Thus, Equation (15) reduces to  $M/k_2$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and F becomes the TiEm. Let's denote this as Equation (16):

$$\overline{F_s} = \frac{M}{k_2} \cdot \left( 1 - \exp(\frac{-k_2 \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot n) \right)_{n \to \infty} = \frac{M}{k_2}$$
(16)

Thus, any relative increase of the intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , causes an equally large decrease in  $\overline{F_s}$ , the TiEm from the excited singlet state. Reasons for variation of the intrinsic bleach rate constant can be manifold. For example, in cell membranes  $k_2$  could vary due to different availability of molecular oxygen for photooxidation. Differences in detected TiEm due to variation of the intrinsic photobleaching rate constant,  $k_2$ , can thus become very large, since for lower values of  $k_2$ , it requires longer illumination and more photocycles to totally bleach the pool of available fluorophores (Figure 1E). In other words, the total fluorescence being collectable from a given number of fluorophores before the whole population is bleached depends on intrinsic properties of the probe, like its intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , but not on the fluorescence lifetime,  $\tau_{f_3}$  as first shown in an elegant study by Hirschfeld [35], and reconciled here (compare Figure 1C,E).

Interestingly, Hirschfeld did not take fluorescence saturation into account in his model but still arrived at an expression equivalent to Equation (16), above. Literally, he received an expression, using our nomenclature, of  $\overline{F_s} = k_r \cdot M/k_2$ , since he included the dye-specific radiative lifetime as proportionality constant (see Equation (9) in [35]). Finally, the simple model of Equations (14) and (15) allows for deriving the TiEm solely from the acquired bleach curve. Let's assume one performs a non-linear regression of a mono-exponential decay function of the form  $f = A \cdot e^{-t/\tau} + B$  to a measured bleach curve recorded for a fluorophore in cells. Here, the bleach time constant determined by the fit is  $\tau = 1/k_b$ , and B is a measure of the background autofluorescence (assumed to bleach very slowly, see [36,52]). The decay amplitude, A, again, can be associated with the initial intensity of Equation (14), *i.e.*,  $S_1(t=0) = \frac{M \cdot q}{1+q}$ . Direct integration of this mono-exponential decay function

results in a linear term B·t in the time integral, such that the estimated TiEm would be compromised by autofluorescence (see Supplemental Information and discussion in [36]). With the model in Equation (14) and by having  $k_b = \frac{k_2 \cdot q}{1+q} = \frac{1}{\tau}$ , we get the TiEm of Equation (16) simply as the product

of A and  $\tau$ , thereby automatically correcting for autofluorescence!

#### 2.3. Validation of the Model Using Synthetic Fluorescence Images

To mimic a field of uneven illumination, we generated an image with gradual change of q-values on a line-by-line basis from q(x, y) = 8.0 photons/molecule at the bottom to q(x, y) = 2.0 photons/molecule at the top (Figure 2A). This simulates spatially varying illumination intensity. A synthetic cell image was generated simulating the distribution of a substance between the nucleus with constant amount of M = 7,000 molecules on a background of 100 intensity units and the cytoplasm, where M = 5,000molecules on a background of 600 intensity units (Figure 2B). The background term, B, resembles cellular autofluorescence in the respective compartment (photophysics of background is not considered). Using the q-map of Figure 2A, bleaching fluorescence was simulated by solving Equation (14) on a pixel-by-pixel basis, as defined in Equations (17) and (18), below. To mimic local variations in the intrinsic bleach rate constant, as it might occur in a real cell, we set:

$$S_{1}(x, y, t) = M \cdot \frac{q(x, y)}{1 + q(x, y)} \cdot \exp(\frac{-k_{2}(x, y) \cdot q(x, y)}{1 + q(x, y)} \cdot t) + B$$
(17)

where  $k_2(x, y)$  was given by:

$$k_{2}(x, y) = 0.1 + \frac{0.01}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \sigma^{2}}} \cdot \exp(\frac{-\varsigma^{2}}{\sigma^{2}})$$
(18)

The additive Gauss-term in Equation (18) with amplitude equal to 0.01, mean equal to zero and variance  $\sigma^2 = 1$  was generated in ImageJ by implementing the Box-Muller method, as described [53,54]. That is, for each pixel a new normal-distributed random number was generated initially, thereby changing the bleach rate constant,  $k_2(x, y)$ , and thereby the bleaching behavior slightly from pixel to pixel. As result, we get spatially varying intensity (*i.e.*, amplitude) in the first frame (due to the varying *q*-term; Figure 2B) and heterogeneous bleaching kinetics (due to both, the varying *q*- and  $k_2$  values; Figure 2C, upper two rows). Pixel-wise fitting of a mono-exponential bleaching model implemented in PixBleach gave a perfect reconstruction of the data (Figure 2C, lower two rows). Moreover, the 'illumination shading' simulated by spatially varying *q*-maps was found in the derived amplitude- and time-constant-maps, in full agreement with Equations (14), (17) and (18) (Figure 2D, most left and next right panel).

The background term showed no spatial trend and was correctly determined in the nucleus and cytoplasm with values of  $B = 103.4 \pm 7.4$  and  $B = 602 \pm 5.3$ , respectively (Figure 2D, next left panel). The quality of fit, judged by the root mean squared error ('RMSE') was good and without notable shading effect (Figure 2D, most right panel). The fit using the latest version of PixBleach provides the integrated signal as summed intensity of the reconstructed stack, either without or with background (Figure 2E; 'TiEm –background' and 'TiEm + background'), respectively. We also determined the TiEm as product of amplitude- and time constant map (Figure 2E, next right panel named 'TiEm from formula') and calculated the ratio of 'TiEm-background' and 'TiEm from formula' (Figure 2E most right panel). The latter shows that both ways of calculating the TiEm give identical results with ~73,000 and ~52,000 intensity units in the nucleus and cytoplasm, respectively. Thus, the TiEm calculated in both ways corrects for the different values of the background terms gives deviating results.

PixBleach also provides the integrated signal as function of acquisition number in an image stack as spatial implementation of Equation (15) (Figure 2F). This, again, clearly shows that integration in presence of the background term overestimates the true TiEm (compare Figure 2F lower and upper row). Surface plots generated from the data for the amplitude-map, the background estimated by the fit, the time-constant-map and the 'TiEm-background' (Figure 2G) support these conclusions.

Figure 2. Analysis of synthetic fluorescence images with illumination shading using PixBleach. Synthetic images were simulated using the Java-based Macro language of ImageJ, using the photobleaching model on a pixel-by-pixel, Equation (17), as described in the text. Spatially varying illumination intensity simulated by a ramp-like q-map (A) was used as input for the model at each pixel position with additional random variation of the intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , according to Equation (18). The first frame of this simulation with  $M_1 = 5000$  and  $M_2 = 7000$  being constant throughout the cytoplasm and nuclear compartment, respectively, is shown in panel (B); (C) selected frames of the simulated bleach stack (C, upper two rows) and the reconstructed data from pixel-wise fitting of a mono-exponential decay to the synthetic image set (C, lower two rows); Panel (D) shows fitting results also with a FIRE LUT, from left to right ('amplitude', background', 'time constant' and 'RMSE'); (E) various forms of the time-integrated emission (TiEM); from left to right, calculated as summed pixel intensity in PixBleach, either without including background into the calculation ('TiEm-background') or with the estimated background included ('TiEm + background') and as product of the regression-derived amplitude and time constant ('TiEm from formula'). The most right panel in E show the ration of 'TiEm-background' and 'TiEm from formula' in the indicated range (1.03 in dark blue to 1.05 in yellow/white). G, surface plots of the indicated parameter maps. See text for further explanations.



2.4. Validation of the Model Using Live-Cell Images of Dehydroergosterol-Labeled Fibroblasts

Having tested the model on synthetic images, we aimed for an experimental realization and test with real image sequences acquired on a fluorescence microscope. Earlier studies by Benson *et al.* found that NBD-tagged cholesterol had very heterogeneous photobleaching kinetics in different areas

of cells [32]. The authors suggested that this is due to local self-quenching as well as different availability of molecular oxygen. They concluded that quantitative studies of intracellular sterol distribution using fluorescence microscopy should be interpreted with caution. We have previously shown that DHE bleaches homogeneously in the plasma membrane, ERC, vesicles and the cytoplasm and also found that its fluorescence is proportional to mole fraction in membranes up to 45 mol % of DHE [52,55]. Thus, we can safely state that the imaging studies using DHE performed so far do not suffer from the problems Benson et al. observed for NBD-cholesterol. This is somehow not surprising, since DHE shows relatively little environmental sensitivity of its fluorescence lifetime, while the opposite is true for NBD-tagged lipids [56,57]. Thus, imaging of DHE with spatially varying illumination should allow us testing the model on real cell images. For that purpose, human skin fibroblast were labelled with DHE from a sterol-cyclodextrin complex and imaged on a UV-optimized wide field microscope, as described [52]. Spatially varying illumination was observed as shading in case of slight misalignment of the bulb of the mercury arc lamp. In addition, the used camera (a UV-sensitive back-thinned CCD from Hamamatsu, model Orca 2.0) generated some dark pixels in the image field, which were independently resolved by taking images with closed shutters (not shown). Stacks of DHE-labeled fibroblasts were acquired, and the intensity loss due to photobleaching was analysed using PixBleach, as described above for the synthetic images. The amplitude-map nicely reveals the DHE distribution but showed some slightly lowered intensity at the cell edge in the otherwise homogeneously stained plasma membrane (Figure 3A, zoomed box).

**Figure 3.** Removal of background and illumination shading in DHE-labeled fibroblasts. Human fibroblasts were labelled with DHE/MCD for 3 min, followed by a chase in buffer medium for 1 h at 37 °C. Bleach stacks were acquired on a UV-sensitive wide field microscope and fitted on a pixel-by-pixel basis to a mono-exponential decay function in PixBleach. The fit provides the amplitude-map (**A**), the time constant map (**B**) and the background map. The TiEm calculated including the estimated background reveals image shading and some dark pixels stemming from the camera (arrows). The TiEm without background was either calculated from the summed pixel intensities (**E**) or as product of amplitude and time constant (**F**). Insets corresponding to the box in panel (**A**) illustrate the correction for illumination shading in panel (**E**, **F**). Bar, 10  $\mu$ m.



The time-constant-map confirmed that photobleaching was slower in the upper right corner than in other areas of the cell (Figure 3B). The background map was rather inhomogeneous and revealed the dark pixels generated by the detector, in the central area of the cell (arrow in Figure 3C). The time-integrated signal in the presence of background enhanced the background inhomogeneity including the dark pixels and the shading across the field (Figure 3D). The TiEm calculated from either the integrated signal excluding the background term (Figure 3E) or from the product of amplitude- and time-constant-map (Figure 3F) corrected for both artefacts. Since we verified in independent measurements in liposomes, that DHE's intrinsic bleach rate constant is independent of probe concentration [58] and other factors (not shown) in membranes, the TiEm should represent a true measure of DHE distribution in cells. The TiEm differs also from the amplitude-map in areas where illumination shading was pronounced (compare insets in Figure 3A and Figure 3E,F). The results clearly confirm the value of pixel-wise calculation of TiEm for excluding background, autofluorescence and illumination shading. In case of spatially invariant intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , the TiEm is a reliable measure of probe distribution.

# 2.5. Analysis of Photobleaching and Time-Integrated Emission in the Presence of a Triplet State

Photobleaching takes often place from the triplet state after intersystem crossing in the excited fluorophore [59,60]. This can be easily included in the model as follows. By setting the intersystem crossing rate constant as  $k_3$ , the triplet state can be included giving Equation (19):



Here, the intrinsic bleach rate constant from the triplet state, T, is  $k_4$ , while the transition from the triplet to the singlet ground state is described by  $k_5$  (both rate constants are in s<sup>-1</sup>). The differential equation system describing the system shown in Equation (19) including the REA between  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  is Equations (20) and (21):

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = -\frac{q \cdot (k_2 + k_3)}{q + 1} \cdot S_1 + \frac{q \cdot k_5}{q + 1} \cdot T$$

$$\tag{20}$$

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = k_3 \cdot S_1 - (k_4 + k_5) \cdot T$$
(21)

This system can be written in matrix form as Equation (22):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dS_1}{dt} \\ \frac{dT}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{q \cdot (k_2 + k_3)}{q + 1} & \frac{q \cdot k_5}{q + 1} \\ k_3 & -(k_4 + k_5) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ T \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

The eigenvalues of the coefficient (Jacobian) matrix, **A**, in Equation (22) read as Equations (23) and (24):

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{-k_{4} - k_{5} - q \cdot (k_{2} + k_{3} + k_{4} + k_{5}) - \sqrt{(k_{4} + k_{5} + q \cdot (k_{2} + k_{3} + k_{4} + k_{5}))^{2} - 4 \cdot (1 + q) \cdot ((k_{2} \cdot k_{4} + k_{3} \cdot k_{4} + k_{2} \cdot k_{5}) \cdot q)}{2 \cdot (1 + q)}$$
(23)

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{-k_{4} - k_{5} - q \cdot (k_{2} + k_{3} + k_{4} + k_{5}) + \sqrt{(k_{4} + k_{5} + q \cdot (k_{2} + k_{3} + k_{4} + k_{5}))^{2} - 4 \cdot (1 + q) \cdot ((k_{2} \cdot k_{4} + k_{3} \cdot k_{4} + k_{2} \cdot k_{5}) \cdot q)}{2 \cdot (1 + q)}$$
(24)

This can be also written as Equations (25)-(27):

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{tr(\mathbf{A})}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{tr^{2}(\mathbf{A})}{4} - \|\mathbf{A}\|}$$
(25)

with:

$$tr(\mathbf{A}) = -k_4 - k_5 - \frac{q \cdot (k_2 + k_3)}{1 + q} < 0, \quad \text{for} \quad k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5 > 0$$
(26)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\| = \frac{q \cdot (k_2 \cdot k_4 + k_3 \cdot k_4 + k_2 \cdot k_5)}{1 + q} > 0, \quad \text{for} \quad k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5 > 0$$
(27)

Since we also have  $tr^2(\mathbf{A}) > 4 \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\|$ , for  $k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5 > 0$ , it follows directly from the Rough-Hurwitz criterion that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 0$  and  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in R$ . The corresponding eigenvectors and thereby the solution of Equations (20) and (21) can be also calculated but give formidably complex expressions (not shown). The presence of two different eigenvalues results in a bi-exponential bleaching decay with dependencies on all involved rate constants. Accordingly, also the interpretation of TiEm in the presence of a triplet state,  $\overline{F_T}$ , will be less straightforward than in the case of bleaching from a singlet state only. The only remaining feature is that  $\overline{F_T}$  remains, as  $\overline{F_S}$ , proportional to the number of fluorophores, *M*. Accordingly, in both cases, presence of static fluorophore quenching by complex formation would lower the TiEm proportionally and independent of the actual bleaching kinetics. Bi-exponential photobleaching due to photoreaction from the triplet state has been observed for fluorescein [60,61].

In many experimentally relevant situations we can simplify the differential equation system originating from Equation (19) even further. Fluorescence lifetimes of the excited singlet state are in the nanosecond range, while intersystem crossing and relaxation from the first triplet state (radiative as phosphorescence or non-radiative) typically take place in micro- to milliseconds for many dyes [59]. Photobleaching, however, occurs typically on a time scale of seconds, which allows us to extend the REA to all three states of the system in Equation (19). Thus, we assume that all states come to equilibrium long before significant photobleaching from either the excited singlet or the triplet state takes place. This gives Equations (28)–(30):

$$\frac{dS_0}{dt} = -k_1 \cdot S_0 + k_{-1} \cdot S_1 + k_5 \cdot T = 0$$
(28)

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = k_1 \cdot S_0 - (k_{-1} + k_3) \cdot S_1 = 0$$
(29)

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = k_3 \cdot S_1 - k_5 \cdot T = 0 \tag{30}$$

From Equations (29) and (30) we get Equations (31) and (32):

$$S_0 = \frac{k_{-1} + k_3}{k_1} \cdot S_1 \tag{31}$$

$$T = \frac{k_3}{k_5} \cdot S_1 = q_T \cdot S_1$$
(32)

Now, using this in the model including bleaching (Equation (19), we get Equation (33):

$$\frac{d(S_0 + S_1 + T)}{dt} = k_2 \cdot S_1 - k_4 \cdot T = \frac{d\left(\left\lfloor \frac{k_{-1} + k_3}{k_1} + 1 + q_T \right\rfloor \cdot S_1\right)}{dt} = -(k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T) \cdot S_1$$
(33)

After some elementary rearrangements, one gets the differential equation describing fluorescence in the presence of photobleaching from  $S_1$  and T as Equation (34):

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = \frac{-(k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T) \cdot q}{1 + q \cdot (k_3/k_1 + 1 + q_T)} \cdot S_1$$
(34)

Here, q is defined as above as  $q = k_{ex} \cdot I_{ex} \cdot \tau_f$  in photons/molecule. Using the initial condition  $M = S_0(t \ge 0) + S_1(t \ge 0) + T(t \ge 0)$  and Equations (31)–(33), above, we obtain Equation (35):

$$S_{1}(t) = M \cdot \frac{q}{1 + q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1} + 1 + q_{T})} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T}) \cdot q}{1 + q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1} + 1 + q_{T})} \cdot t\right)$$
(35)

The integrated intensity becomes Equation (36):

$$F = \int_{0}^{n} S_{1}(t) dt = \frac{M}{k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T}} \cdot \left( 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-(k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T}) \cdot q}{1 + q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1} + 1 + q_{T})} \cdot n\right) \right)$$
(36)

Importantly; the photobleaching kinetics as well as the time integral follows again a mono-exponential function; as in case for photobleaching from the excited singlet state; only (see above). To validate the extended model; we performed numerical simulations of the full model (see Equations (19) and (28)–(30)) using parameter values derived for fluorescein isothiocyanate and for rhodamine dyes [59,61]. That is; we used an excitation rate constant of  $k_{ex} = 3.8 \times 10^8$  photons/s; a decay rate constant from the excited singlet state of  $k_{-1} = 2.134 \times 10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup>; an intersystem crossing rate constant between  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^3$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^5$  s<sup>-1</sup> and a decay rate constant from the triplet state of  $k_5 = 5 \times 10^4$  s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4A–C).

In addition, we chose an intrinsic bleach rate constant from the excited singlet state, of  $k_2 = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and from the excited triplet state of  $k_4 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. These values matched the observed bleaching kinetics of fluorescein [61,62]. By varying the intersystem crossing rate constant, we aimed for assessing the effect of varying triplet yields on the probe photophysics and photobleaching probability. There are several time scales visible in the photocycle: (I) in the ns time range, the excited state becomes populated, and for low triplet yields, an equilibration between singlet ground and excited state can be observed (Figure 4A,B, green lines); (II) Depopulation of S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> is observed in tens of ns up to  $\mu$ s as a consequence of build-up of the triplet state, which is most pronounced for large intersystem crossing rate constants (*i.e.*,  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; blue lines in Figure 4A–C); (III) On a much slower time scale, photobleaching from the excited singlet and triplet state further depopulate all molecular states (Figure 4D–F, only shown for  $S_1$  with the same parameter settings). Importantly, the analytical solution to Equation (19) using the REA (see Equation (35)) exactly matches the slowest dynamics (*i.e.*, (III) above), but cannot describe the initial population of the electronic states (compare colored lines and black lines and symbols in Figure 4E).

**Figure 4.** Validation of the extended photobleaching model in the presence of varying triplet yield. The complete kinetic model shown in Equation (19) was solved numerically using a Runge-Kutta scheme implemented in Mathematica 7.0 (Wolfram research Inc., Champaign, IL, USA). (A–C) occupation of the singlet ground state, S<sub>0</sub> (**A**, straight lines), the singlet excited state, S<sub>1</sub> (**B**, short dashed lines) and the first triplet state, T (**C**, long dashed lines) for different intersystem crossing rate constants ranging from  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (green lines), over  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (red lines) to  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (blue lines) on the short time scale (*i.e.*, ns–µs). D-F, dynamics of the excited singlet state on the long time scale for the same conditions; In (**D**), the decay from the excited singlet state according to the full numerical solution is shown. The analytical approximate solution based on the REA (Equation (35) closely matches the long-term dynamics of S<sub>1</sub>; (**E**, black lines and symbols show the analytical solution on top of the numerical simulation (colored curves)); Panel (**F**) is like (**E**) but with a logarithmic time axis to show the coincidence of numerical and analytical model up to 500 s.



The analytical simplified description holds for time scale III until the fluorophore becomes totally photobleached, as indicated on a logarithmic time scale (Figure 4F). To explore further under which conditions, the analytical model based on the REA might fail and for the purpose of illustration, we varied next in parallel the intersystem crossing rate constants from  $k_3 = 6.6 \times 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  to  $k_3 = 6.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and the decay rate constant from the triplet state from  $k_5 = 50 \text{ s}^{-1}$  to  $k_5 = 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , thereby keeping the triplet yield unchanged (all other parameters were as described in Figure 4; see Supporting Information). We found increasing deviation of the analytical model from the complete numerical simulation of Equations (28)–(30) (see Supporting figure for triplet yields of  $q_T = 660/50 = 13.2$ , cyan line;

 $q_T = 66/5 = 13.2$ , pink line and  $q_T = 6.6/0.5 = 13.2$ , blue line). In fact, when the intersystem crossing rate constant is only 66fold higher than the bleach rate constant from the triplet state, the analytical model misses more than the first sec of observed photobleaching (see Supporting figure, blue line). In other words, when significant bleaching takes place BEFORE the equilibration of the electronic states on the first two time scales (see above), our model cannot describe the photobleaching dynamics. Thus, fluorophores bleaching preferentially from the triplet state but having very low spin-orbit coupling in a given environment cannot be studied with our photobleaching model. The model outlined in Equations (20) to (27), in which the triplet state dynamics is explicitly taken into account would account for this situation.

The triplet yield given by the ratio of intersystem crossing rate constant and decay rate constant from the triplet state (*i.e.*,  $q_T = k_3/k_5$ ) is known to vary significantly not only between different fluorophores, but also in different environments. This has been shown, for example, for fluorescein in water *versus* ethanol [59]. As shown in Figure 5 in a simulation using the analytical bleaching model of Equation (35), the triplet yield has a profound effect on the bleaching amplitude,  $A = \frac{M \cdot q}{1 + q \cdot (k_3/k_1 + 1 + q_T)}$ , and on the empirical bleaching rate constant of the mono-exponential decay,  $k_b = \frac{-(k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T) \cdot q}{1 + q \cdot (k_3/k_1 + 1 + q_T)}$ . Both experimentally easily accessible parameters depend hyperbolically

on the illumination intensity, as derived for the case of bleaching from  $S_1$ . In other words, both parameters show saturation for high  $I_{ex}$  also in case of presence of a triplet state. This has been also found in experimental studies of single dye molecules embedded in a polymer matrix [48]. In addition, the bleach rate constant increases in a hyperbolic manner with growing triplet yield, an effect which is most pronounced for high illumination intensities mimicking fluorophore saturation (Figure 5A). In addition, increasing bleaching from the excited singlet state (*i.e.*, increasing  $k_2$ ) affects the measurable bleach rate constant only for low triplet yields (not shown). The bleaching amplitude is inverse proportional to the triplet yield (Figure 5B).

With our analytical approach, the TiEm again becomes independent of q and thereby independent of fluorescence lifetime and illumination intensity, as we get for  $n \to \infty$  the TiEm with triplet as Equation (37):

$$\overline{F_T} = \frac{M}{k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T} \cdot \left( 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-(k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T) \cdot q}{1 + q \cdot (k_3/k_1 + 1 + q_T)} \cdot n\right) \right)_{n \to \infty} = \frac{M}{k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T}$$
(37)

Thus, in the presence of triplet bleaching, any relative increase of the intrinsic singlet bleach rate constant,  $k_2$ , and/or the intrinsic triplet bleach rate constant,  $k_4$ , weighted by the equilibrium constant of the triplet state,  $q_T = k_3/k_5$ , lower the attainable TiEm,  $\overline{F_T}$ . Variation in either the intersystem crossing rate constant or the relaxation rate constant from the triplet to the singlet ground state can therefore have profound impacts on the overall bleaching kinetics, especially if the bleach rate constant from the triplet state is significantly higher than that from the excited singlet state (*i.e.*, if  $k_4 >> k_2$ ). Both intrinsic bleach rate constants, as well as the triplet yield will vary in different regions of the cell. Also, the TiEm with triplet bleaching is, as shown for the singlet state, conveniently given as product of amplitude- and time-constant-map from a mono-exponential fit to experimental data.

**Figure 5.** Impact of varying triplet yields on measured photobleaching parameters. Plot of the experimentally accessible bleach rate constant (**A**) and bleach amplitude (**B**) of the extended analytical model (Equation (35) as function of the triplet yield,  $q_T = k_3/k_5$ , and equilibrium constant of the photocycle between the two singlet states,  $q = k_1/k_{-1}$ . The triplet yield was varied between zero (no triplet occupation) and fifty (high triplet occupation) on the abscissa. Other parameters were as for Figure 4, *i.e.*, an intrinsic bleach rate constant from the excited singlet state, of  $k_2 = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and from the excited triplet state of  $k_4 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Variation of the equilibrium constant of the photocycle between the two singlet states, q, is as indicated in the figure.



2.6. Multi-Exponential Photobleaching of Fluorescent Probes in Living Cells

The model presented so far allows us to give a physical interpretation of mono-exponential bleaching kinetics in case of bleaching from an excited singlet or triplet state. Combination of both cases might occur in cells, if, for example, fluorescent probes experience different local environments (e.g., one probe population, in which the triplet state is quenched by oxygen, leading to Equation (14) and one, in which significant bleaching occurs also from the triplet state, Equation (35)). Let's call the first population for  $M_1$  and the second  $M_2$ , one would get for the photobleaching kinetics in case of identical fluorescence lifetime of both states Equation (38):

$$S_{1}(t) = \frac{M_{1} \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot \exp(\frac{-k_{2} \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot t) + \frac{M_{2} \cdot q}{1+q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1}+1+q_{T})} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(k_{2}+k_{4} \cdot q_{T}) \cdot q}{1+q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1}+1+q_{T})} \cdot t\right)$$
(38)

The TiEm will be the sum of  $\overline{F_s}$  and  $\overline{F_r}$ , given by Equations (16) and (37), respectively. It is also possible, that a fluorophore has several distinct lifetimes in different cellular microenvironments. For example, NBD-tagged PC and SM species as well as BChol have been shown to possess bi-exponential fluorescence lifetime decays in living cells [26,56]. Apart from the possibility of more complex photophysics leading to multi-exponential photobleaching as discussed in Section 4, this could be a consequence of different protonation states in the excited state or due to two dye populations with varying quenching sensitivity [63]. Assuming photobleaching from singlet and triplet state (Equation (35)) but now with two different fluorescence lifetimes, this will lead to Equation (39): Molecules 2014, 19

$$S_{1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{M_{i} \cdot q_{i}}{1 + q_{i} \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1} + 1 + q_{T})} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T}) \cdot q_{i}}{1 + q_{i} \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1} + 1 + q_{T})} \cdot t\right)$$
(39)

with m = 2. Similarly, multi-exponential lifetime decays with m > 2 can enter the model. The TiEm will be given by Equation (40):

$$\overline{F_T^{sum}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m M_i}{(k_2 + k_4 \cdot q_T)}$$
(40)

These different populations can co-exist in regions smaller than the resolution limit (e.g., microdomains) and thereby appear as bi-exponential bleaching in each pixel position. For example, a pixel size of  $0.1 \times 0.1 \mu m$  covers an area of 10,000 nm<sup>2</sup> resembling a plasma membrane patch of about 12,000 lipids, and 300 proteins. In this mesoscopic region, many important membrane phenomena can contribute to spatially varying fluorescence properties of membrane probes. For example, nanodomain formation or surface invagination could result in varying bilayer packing. This, in turn, could cause different vibrational relaxation of the excited fluorophore affecting its lifetime or could result in locally differing availability of oxygen for quenching or bleaching processes. Similarly, slight variation in refractive index of the membrane-embedding solution (e.g., the cytoplasm) can affect the measured fluorescence lifetime, as shown for diphenylhexatriene and certain dicarbocyanine dyes [64,65].

As a consequence, the fluorescence of a probe acquired in the first frame as well as the photobleaching kinetics would be affected as well. Resolving multi-exponential photobleaching kinetics can thereby provide a glimpse of superresolution, *i.e.*, information about the probe microenvironment below the diffraction limit, similar as pixel-wise analysis of fluorescence lifetimes of intracellular probe molecules [35,56]. Empirical pixel-wise fitting is implemented in PixBleach for a bi-exponential fluorescence loss as  $f = A_1 \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} + A_2 \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}} + B$  [36].

Using this expression, we can identify the sum of both empirical fitting amplitudes weighted with their respective bleach time constants again with the TiEm. For example, in case of a singlet and triplet population (Equation (38), we get Equation (41):

$$A_{1} \cdot \tau_{1} + A_{2} \cdot \tau_{2} = \frac{M_{1} \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot \left(\frac{k_{2} \cdot q}{1+q}\right)^{-1} + \frac{M_{2} \cdot q}{1+q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1}+1+q_{T})} \cdot \left(\frac{(k_{2}+k_{4} \cdot q_{T}) \cdot q}{1+q \cdot (k_{3}/k_{1}+1+q_{T})}\right)^{-1}$$
(41)

which is equivalent to Equation (42):

$$\overline{F_{S}} + \overline{F_{T}} = \frac{M_{1}}{k_{2}} + \frac{M_{2}}{k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T}} \cdot = \frac{M_{1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{k_{4}}{k_{2}} \cdot q_{T}\right) + M_{2}}{k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T}}$$
(42)

In case of two populations with identical singlet and triplet bleaching but two different fluorescence lifetimes (Equation (39)), we get Equation (40); *i.e.* Equation (43):

$$A_{1} \cdot \tau_{1} + A_{2} \cdot \tau_{2} = \overline{F_{T}^{sum}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m=2} M_{i}}{(k_{2} + k_{4} \cdot q_{T})}$$
(43)

Importantly, in both cases, the TiEm remains independent of fluorescence lifetime and illumination intensity, *i.e.*, independent of q, and is given by the summed product of fractional amplitude and associated time constant.

To validate the model on cellular images, we labeled HeLa cells with the sphingolipid analoge C6-NBD-SM and acquired bleach stacks on a wide field microscope (Figure 6A).

Figure 6. Correction for illumination shading in HeLa cells labelled with C6-NBD-SM. HeLa cells were labelled with C6-NBD-SM and imaged on a wide field microscope as described in Materials and Methods. Bleach stacks (example frames shown in A) were fitted on a pixel-by-pixel basis to a bi-exponential decay function in PixBleach. The fit provides two amplitude maps (B, C), the time constant maps (D, E) and the background map (not shown). The sum of both amplitudes and both time constant maps are shown in (F, G), respectively. TiEm calculated as product of amplitude and time constant (H) corrects for intensity differences between both cells due to spatially varying irradiance; (I) ratio of summed amplitudes and TiEm (*i.e.*, panel F, H) in the indicated range (0.0 in dark blue to 0.09 in yellow/white). Bar, 5  $\mu$ m.



Photobleaching of fluorescence of C6-NBD-SM was best described by a bi-exponential decay model providing two decay amplitued (Figure 6B,C) and two time constants (Figure 6D,E). The upper

cell has less initial intensity (as seen in the sum of both amplitudes; Figure 6F) and bleaches slower (as seen in the sum of both time constants; Figure 6G) than the lower cell in the field. This points to some illumination shading with lower illumination intensity,  $I_{ex}$ , in the upper half of the image, resulting in lower *q*-values. Clearly, the TiEm calculated by using Equation (41) or Equation (43); left hand side, corrects for this artefact and provides more equal cellular intensities of C6-NBD-SM (Figure 6H). This is true independent of which of the two photophysical mechanisms suggested above underlie the observed bi-exponential photobleaching kinetics. The ratio of summed amplitude and TiEm reveals the correction of a fluorescence intensity gradient given by uneven illumination (Figure 6I). Together, these results illustrate the use of TiEm for correction of illumination shading in case of multi-exponential bleach processes and verify the correctness of our model predictions.

#### 2.7. Extension of the Photobleaching Model to a Random Distribution of Rate Constants

The cellular microenvironment is very heterogeneous such that the 'well-stirred assumption' of classical chemical kinetics might fail. This could also apply to photobleaching of intracellular probes which eventually is more adequately described by a time-dependent rate coefficient, k(t), in such situations. To give an example, we compared recently intracellular trafficking of DHE and BChol in HeLa and BHK cells with normal and elevated fat content [26]. We observed that BChol is preferentially targeted to lipid droplets (LD's) on a time scale of a few minutes, while DHE became much more enriched in the ERC and only to a minor extent transported to LD's in those cells. The affinity of BChol for LD's is likely a consequence of the attached BODIPY group, since BODIPYdyes are used as prominent LD markers [66]. The bleaching kinetics of BChol was analyzed on a pixel-by-pixel basis using PixBleach and could be best described by a stretched exponential (StrExp) function, especially in LD's [26,36]. The StrExp function, also called Kohlrausch function is an empirical decay law with broad use in photophysics for characterization of complex relaxation processes [67,68]. It is characterized by a time-dependent rate coefficient, k(t) instead of a rate constant, which is a consequence of a stochastic distribution of intrinsic bleach rate constants given by a probability density function (PDF) with a memory kernel. The differential equation for decay processes with underlying distribution of rate constants can be generally written as [67], see Equation (44):

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -k(t) \cdot n \tag{44}$$

where *n* is the decaying species, for example number of fluorophores in a given volume with start amount  $n_0$ . There exist many expressions for the rate coefficient, all leading to different decay laws (e.g., the Becquerel functions, also called compressed hyperbola or the Mittag-Leffler function) [69]. In case of the StrExp function, one derives the expression for the normalized decay law (*i.e.*, normalized to initial amount,  $n_0$ , as Equation (45):

$$I(t) = \frac{n(t)}{n_0} = \exp\left(-\left(t/\tau_0\right)^{1/h}\right)$$
(45)

The formula for the rate coefficient becomes Equation (46):

$$k(t) = \frac{d(\ln I(t))}{dt} = \frac{1}{h \cdot \tau_0} \cdot \left(\frac{t}{\tau_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{h} - 1}$$
(46)

The StrExp function is a generalization of the exponential function due to the stretching parameter h. For h > 1 the decay is faster than exponential for  $t < \tau$  and slower afterwards. If the stretching parameter h = 1, one obtains the simple mono-exponential function. When fitting the StrExp function to bleach stacks of BChol labeled cells acquired on a wide field fluorescence microscope, we found that BChol bleaches significantly faster in LD's than in other cellular areas (Figure 7 and [26]).

**Figure 7.** Stretched exponential photobleaching of BODIPY-cholesterol in lipid droplets. HeLa cells were labelled with BChol/MCD and imaged on a wide field microscope as described in Materials and Methods. Bleach stacks were fitted on a pixel-by-pixel basis to a StrExp function implemented in PixBleach. (**A**) most left panel shows bright field (Bf) image of cells with zoomed box indicating LDs (arrowheads) and position of endocytic vesicles, which do not give contrast in the Bf image (arrows). Selected frames of the bleach stack shown in the next left and following frames of panel (**A**) reveal preferred photobleaching of BChol in LD's compared to the rest of the cells (see especially the inset, pointing to LD's with arrowheads and slower bleaching vesicles with arrows); (**B**) shows from left to right the amplitude maps ('A-map'), the map of fitted bleach rate constants,  $k_{b}$ , ('k-map'), and a map of the stretching parameters ('h-map'); (**C**) fit of Equation (48) to a selected LD (blue symbols, data; blue line, fit) and vesicle (green symbols, data; green line, fit); the region selected is highlighted in the corresponding colour in the zoomed inset, which is the same, as in panel A. Inset of (**C**) shows time evolution of the bleach rate coefficient, as defined in Equation (47) using the fitting parameters.



In addition, we observed stretched decays with  $h \sim 1.4-1.5$  in LD's, while in the rest of the cells, the photobleaching was only slightly stretched compared to a mono-exponential decay ( $h \sim 1.05 - 1.15$ ) (see [26] and Figure 7B). This was not a consequence of a different fluorescence lifetime of BChol in LD's. The fluorescence lifetime of BChol was bi-exponential with a major fraction contributing by ~80% and a mean fluorescence lifetime of  $\tau_f = 5.5$  ns, well in accordance with model membrane studies [26,41]. The triplet yield of BODIPY fluorophores, as in BChol, has been shown to be very low [70]. A physical justification for using the StrExp function and thereby for a time-dependent rate coefficient could be the dependence of the bleaching process on a bleaching agent, for example the concentration of molecular oxygen,  $O_2$ , or of generated singlet oxygen,  ${}^1O_2$ , within the LDs [26,71]. Both, molecular oxygen and singlet oxygen catalyse photobleaching processes in tissues and in single cells, for example of GFP [72,73]. Also, oxygen is known to be highly soluble in very hydrophobic environments, like LD's [74,75]. Another possible scenario for consumption of a bleaching agent could be the presence of a natural photosensitizer in the LDs. For example, triacylglycerol bearing polyunsaturated fatty acids or some vitamins could generate singlet oxygen after absorption of UV- and blue light [76]. This, in turn, could enhance photobleaching of droplet-localized sterols. To include this effect in our bleaching model, we can replace the intrinsic bleach rate constant by a rate coefficient according to Equation (47):

$$k_{2}(t) = k' \cdot [O_{2}](t) \equiv k'_{2} \cdot t^{-b}$$
(47)

Thus, the rate coefficient replaces a bi-molecular rate process being dependent on a second species, which we assumed to be molecular oxygen. Alternatively, the rate coefficient could depend on some natural photosensitizer, as outlined above. No matter, what the second species actually is, it must be consumed during the reaction, such that the rate coefficient slows down in course of the bleaching process. The rate coefficient is defined as product of a new intrinsic bleach rate constant,  $k'_2$ , and a power law of time, in which the parameter *b* plays the same role as the stretching parameter, *h*, in the classical StrExp function (see Equations (45) and (46), above). We want to stress the point that time-dependent rate coefficients occur naturally in diffusion-limited bimolecular reactions, for example in fast fluorescence quenching [77]. The classical modelling approach for such processes is the Smoluchowski equation, in which the fluorescence decay becomes the sum of an exponential and a StrExp function, in which the stretching parameter is b = 0.5 [78]. Thus, we can further justify our approach by stating that diffusion of oxygen into the LD's limits the overall bleaching rate.

Assuming that the REA is still valid, we can use Equation (47) in Equation (13) and find upon integration for the time evolution of  $S_1(t)$ , see Equation (48):

$$S_{1}(t) = M \cdot \frac{q}{1+q} \cdot \exp(\frac{-k_{2} \cdot q}{(1+q) \cdot (1-b)} \cdot t^{1-b})$$
(48)

This function recovers for b = 0 Equation (14), and it can also well describe the measured photobleaching kinetics of BChol in various cellular areas (Figure 7C). We find a higher intrinsic bleaching rate constant of BChol in LD's  $k'_2 = 0.2742 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and a much more stretched photobleaching decay (b = 0.2868 than in endocytic vesicles ( $k'_2 = 0.1145 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and b = 0.0725; see legend to Figure 7 for other parameters). The bleaching rate coefficient,  $k_2(t)$  slows down over time for both measured areas, but much more pronounced for LD's. An interpretation could be that LD's contain large

amounts of (singlet) oxygen causing initially fast photo-oxidation of BChol, while over time oxygen gets depleted in LD's. Assuming that replenishment from other cellular areas is slow compared to the bleach rate, oxygen availability would become rate limiting and thereby slow the photobleaching especially in LD's. When incubating BHK cells overnight with DHE in complex with albumin, we found targeting of that sterol to LD's as well, probably as a consequence of DHE esterification. Transport of DHE to LD's after long time paralleled by its esterification has been found also in other cell types [79]. Interestingly, photobleaching kinetics of DHE were faster in LD's than in other regions of BHK cells, and followed only in LD's a stretched exponential decay (not shown). This further supports that LD's provide a very special environment for photodestruction processes of hydrophobic fluorescent probes.

# 2.8. TiEm of the Non-Exponential Photobleaching Model

It remains to be determined, what the TiEm means in case of non-exponential photobleaching. We observed for many data sets that the goodness of fit, as judged by the  $\chi^2$ -value, is slightly better for a pixel-wise fit of the StrExp function compared to a fit with the mono-exponential model, while the respective time constant maps are comparable (not shown). These results suggest that the TiEm calculated as sum of pixel values from the reconstructed bleach stack of the StrExp fitting function can also correct for background artifacts and heterogeneous illumination across the field of view. To support this notion, we calculated the time integral of the acquired fluorescence for the model given in Equation (48). Since this is rather involved, we used Mathematica 7.0 (Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL, USA) for that purpose, giving for 0 < b < 1, see Equation (49):

$$F = \int_{0}^{n} S_{1}(t) dt = \frac{M \cdot n \cdot q}{(1+q) \cdot (b-1)} \cdot \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{k_{2} \cdot n^{1-b}}{(1+q) \cdot (b-1)}\right)}{t^{b/(b-1)}} dt$$
(49)

The integral term is called the exponential integral function. It can be expanded in a series to obtain approximate analytical expressions, or it can be analyzed numerically. Instead, we let  $n \to \infty$  and calculate directly the TiEm as Equation (50):

$$\overline{F_b} = \frac{M \cdot q}{1+q} \cdot \left(-\frac{k_2 \cdot q}{(1+q) \cdot (b-1)}\right)^{1/b-1} \cdot \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{1-b}\right)$$
(50)

1/1 1

where  $\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{1-b}\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{1/1-b} \cdot e^{-t} dt$  is the Euler gamma function.

Clearly, for complex photobleaching kinetics with time-dependent rate coefficient the TiEm becomes dependent on the equilibrium constant of the photocycle, q. Thus, in this case, illumination intensity and fluorescence lifetime will affect the collectable signal of the bleaching fluorophores (*i.e.*, their total photon count number). To assess this quantitatively, the TiEm, for the bleaching model with rate coefficient (Equation (48)), as given in Equation (50),  $\overline{F_b}$ , was plotted as function of the stretching parameter, b, for M = 100,  $k_2 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and various q-values (Figure 8). The curves in Figure 6A start at  $\overline{F_b} = 1,000$  for b = 0 and thereby coincide with the TiEm of fluorescence with bleaching from the excited singlet state,  $\overline{F_s}$ , of Equation (16), above. For increasing values of b,

we observe an increasing deviation of  $\overline{F_b}$  from  $\overline{F_s}$  with ( $\overline{F_b} - \overline{F_s}$ ) > 0, meaning that the TiEm is always larger in case of a rate coefficient than for constant intrinsic bleach rate constant (compare Equations (16) and (50)). Moreover, the difference is larger for small equilibrium constants between  $S_0$ and  $S_1$  than for large ones (e.g., compare q = 0.5 and q = 2.0; green and blue curve in Figure 8A or 3D plot in Figure 8B).

**Figure 8.** Simulation of time-integrated emission of bleaching kinetics with rate coefficients. The time integrated signal was calculated for the model in Equation (48) according to Equation (50) and plotted in Mathematica as a function of the stretching parameter, *b*, in this model (**A**). The bleach rate constant was  $k_2 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and M = 100 molecules corresponding to a TiEm from the singlet state (Equation (16)) of  $\overline{F_s} = 1,000$ . This equals the vsalue of  $\overline{F_b} = 1,000$  for b = 0. The TiEm for the model,  $\overline{F_b}$ , is plotted for three values of the equilibrium constant of the photocycle, q = 2 (blue curve), q = 1 (red curve) and q = 0.5 photons/molecule (green curve), respectively; (**B**) 3D plot of the same model with identical parameters but as function of both, *b* and *q*. See text for further explanations.



Accordingly, for small deviations from a mono-exponential bleach process the pixel-wise fitting of a StrExp function to the fluorescence loss provides similar results as the mono-exponential fit. Also, even though the TiEm for the model with rate coefficient depends on illumination intensity and fluorescence lifetime of the probe via the parameter q (see Equation (50)), this dependence is low for small stretching parameters, b. The larger the q-values, implying high illumination intensities, the lower is the difference between  $\overline{F_b}$  and  $\overline{F_s}$  for a given value of the stretching parameter, b. Thus, in case of strong illumination, which will saturate the fluorophore, larger deviation of the bleaching kinetics from a mono-exponential decay can be tolerated to keep a meaningful interpretation of the TiEm (see Figure 8A,B). Together, bleaching models with underlying distributions of rate constants might be a suitable alternative to mono-and multi-exponential decay models. In some cases, a mechanistic underpinning for time-dependent rate coefficients is possible, while in other cases they purely serve the purpose of improving the fitting performance. For small deviations from the classical monoexponential decay (*i.e.*, for narrow distribution of rate constants), the TiEm given by such models can be interpreted as shown for the mono-exponential decay model developed in this study.

# 3. Experimental Section

# 3.1. Reagents and Cell Labelling

Fetal calf serum (FCS) and DMEM were from GIBCO BRL (Life Technologies, Paisley, Scotland, UK). All other chemicals were from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO, USA). C6-NBD-SM was purchased from Invitrogen/Molecular Probes Inc. (Life Technologies Europe BV, Naerum, Denmark). Buffer medium contained 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM glucose and 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) as described [8]. A stock solution of DHE was made in ethanol and stored under nitrogen at -80 °C. For staining cells with sterol probes, a DHE or BChol labeling solution containing a complex of methyl-β-cyclodextrin with either DHE (DHE/MCD) or BChol (BChol/MCD) was generated as described [26]. Human skin fibroblasts (from Coriell Institute, Camden, NJ, USA) and HeLa cells were grown at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> until 90% confluence in complete DMEM culture medium supplemented with 1% glutamine, 1% penicillin and 10% FCS. The cells were placed on microscopy dishes and allowed to settle for another 48 h in the same growth medium. Fibroblast cells were labelled with DHE/MCD for 3 min, washed with buffer medium and chased for 1 h at 37 °C before imaging. HeLa cells were labelled with C6-NBD-SM after complexing this probe to defatted bovine serum albumin (BSA) as described previously for similar lipid probes [22]. Cells were pulse-labeled for 2 min at 37 °C, washed, chased in buffer medium for 30 min and imaged as described below. Alternatively, cells were labelled with BChol/MCD and chased as described for C6-NBD-SM.

# 3.2. Fluorescence Microscopy, Image Analysis and Simulation

Wide field epifluorescence microscopy was carried out on a Leica DMIRBE microscope with a  $63 \times 1.4$  NA oil immersion objective (Leica Microsystems A/S Ballerup, Denmark) with a Lambda SC smartshutter (Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA, USA) as illumination control. Images were acquired either with an Orca 2 CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan) or with an Andor Ixon<sup>EM</sup> blue EMCCD camera operated at -75 °C and driven by the Solis software supplied with the camera. DHE was imaged in the UV using a specially designed filter cube obtained from Chroma Technology Corp. (Bellows Falls, VT, USA) with 335-nm (20-nm bandpass) excitation filter, 365-nm dichromatic mirror and 405-nm (40-nm bandpass) emission filter. C6-NBD-SM and BChol were imaged using a standard fluorescein filter set [470-nm, (20-nm bandpass) excitation filter, 510-nm longpass dichromatic filter and 537-nm (23-nm bandpass) emission filter]. The illumination intensity was measured using a handheld power meter Orion/TH from Ophir Photonics (Har Hotzvim,

Jerusalem, Israel) after calibration for UV light at a distance of 9 mm from the objective. For bleach stacks, images were acquired sequentially with acquisition time of 20 to 100 ms until probe fluorescence was faded away completely. Image stacks were analysed in ImageJ [37] using an upgraded version of the plugin PixBleach [80]. This upgrade allows the user to choose between varying ways of calculating the total intensity of a given bleach stack on a pixel-by-pixel basis (see Results section). Images with spatially varying intensity decay based on a given model were simulated using self-programmed Macros to ImageJ, as recently described [36,54].

# 4. Conclusions

We present a detailed analysis of photobleaching processes of intracellular fluorophores in one-photon excitation microscopy. We discuss and model several photobleaching mechanisms and derive expressions for the bleaching kinetics and the TiEm. We show that the TiEm is in many experimentally relevant cases independent of illumination intensity and fluoresecence lifetime. Accordingly, the TiEm removes spatial variations in probe emission due to fluorescence saturation or local collisional fluorophore quenching in living cells. We provide several ways of calculating the TiEm including a new software solution as plugin to the popular ImageJ program. Determining the TiEm in this way from bleach stacks of labelled cells allows for correcting for illumination shading without need for fluorescent test layers, as done in earlier work [81,82]. We demonstrate that the TiEm also removes cellular autofluorescence, as long as the latter bleaches orders of magnitude slower than the probe fluorescence. Finally, we discuss photobleaching with time-dependent rate coefficients resembling a probability distribution of rate constants. This complements earlier studies by Koppel et al. using cumulant analysis of intracellular photobleaching [83]. We provide a concrete example of a stretched exponential decay of fluorescence of cholesterol analogs in LD's and discuss the interpretation of the TiEm in this situation. We believe that the practical implementations of our analysis, especially for correcting of shading and autofluorescence will be of value for many applications.

However, we also want to stress that our analysis is limited in two ways; first, it is restricted to two dimensions and does therefore not apply to photobleaching as it takes place in three-dimensional confocal microscopy [84]. Second, our model does not cover more complicated photobleaching processes. Examples for the latter are bimolecular photoreactions in the triplet state (either with oxygen or with semi-reduced or -oxidized radical forms of the dye molecule) [61], collisional triplet-triplet energy transfer between suitable dye molecules (e.g., as seen with porphyrins) [73], and excited state dimer formation, as known for pyrene [85,86]. It must also be emphasized that we model the effect of bimolecular bleaching processes through time-dependent rate coefficients (see Sections 2.7 and 2.8, above), but do not consider explicitly the generation of singlet oxygen or free radicals, as for example known for porphyrins [73], for photoactivated GFP [72], and for intrinsically fluorescent sterols [87]. Neither is bleaching from the triplet or other higher excited states as consequence of absorbing a second photon (two-step photolysis) included in our analysis [48,88]. This phenomenon causes a quadratic increase of the empirical bleach rate constant, while our model always assumes saturation of the measured bleach rate constant for increasing excitation intensity. Two-step photolysis has been observed for various rhodamine and coumarine derivatives with high laser excitation beyond 10<sup>4</sup> W/cm<sup>2</sup>, as used for single-molecule spectroscopy [48,59,88,89]. It is relevant in confocal imaging

and fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS), where the laser is focused to a diffraction-limited spot giving very high irradiance within the focal volume [59,90]. The exact intensity threshold above which 2-step photolysis takes place is a dve-specific property, and might be also relevant for membrane-bound triplet probes, like anthracene [91]. In widefield-illumination, the photon flux during irradiation is much lower, since the excitation light is spread over the whole field of view [32,92]. Both, widefield and confocal imaging can be optimized to achieve a linear relationship between irradiance of excitation and extent of photobleaching [92]. Given suitable lasers as excitation source, however, the irradiance in widefield-illumination can be tuned up, such that a quadratic dependence of the measured photobleaching rate constant on excitation intensity is found. For example, evidence for 2-step photolysis was obtained above 20 mW illumination power focused on a  $100 \times 100 \,\mu\text{m}$  area for rhodamine-dyes embedded into polyvenyl alcohol (PVA) [89]. We have measured the excitation power for our wide field setup being equipped with a 100 W mercury arc lamp (see 3. Experimental Section, above for details). From that, we calculated excitation intensities of 0.256 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and 0.585 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for excitation wavelengths of 335-nm (20-nm bandpass) and 470-nm (20-nm bandpass), respectively, which are focussed by the objective to an area of approx.  $100 \times 100$  µm in the focal plane. Thus, irradiation intensities used here for demonstrating the practical implementation of our analysis are well below those known to cause 2-step photolysis [48,88]. Reversible photobleaching, as known for rhodamine, fluorescein and carbocyanine fluorophores [93] as well as for color variants of GFP [94], is another phenomenon not included in our model. It is observed, though, at excitation intensities well above those used in our study but can, for example, compromise fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments intended for measuring intracellular protein dynamics [94-96]. Finally, we did not take reverse intersystem crossing leading eventually to delayed fluorescence into account, nor did we include photoselection in our analysis [97-99]. It will be an interesting future project to include such effects as well as more complicated photobleaching processes into our model.

# **Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/19/8/11096/s1.

# Acknowledgments

DW acknowledges funding from the Villum foundation and the NovoNordisk foundation. We thank Jonathan Brewer from the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology at SDU for lending us the power meter.

## **Author Contributions**

DW designed the study, developed the photobleaching model, performed image simulations, analyzed the experimental data and wrote the paper. TC and LMS generated the experimental data. DS developed the (upgraded) ImageJ plugin 'PixBleach'. All authors read, commented and approved the final manuscript.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Sönnichsen, B.; de Renzis, S.; Nielsen, E.; Rietdorf, J.; Zerial, M. Distinct membrane domains on endosomes in the recycling pathway visualized by multicolor imaging of Rab4, Rab5, and Rab11. *J. Cell Biol.* 2000, *149*, 901–914.
- 2. Grant, B.; Hirsh, D. Receptor-mediated endocytosis in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* oocyte. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **1999**, *10*, 4311–4326.
- 3. Ghosh, R.N.; Mallet, W.G.; Soe, T.T.; McGraw, T.E.; Maxfield, F.R. An endocytosed TGN38 chimeric protein is delivered to the TGN after trafficking through the endocytic recycling compartment in CHO cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **1998**, *142*, 923–936.
- 4. Chen, I.; Ting, A.Y. Site-specific labeling of proteins with small molecules in live cells. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *16*, 35–40.
- 5. Ghosh, R.N.; Gelman, D.L.; Maxfield, F.R. Quantification of low density lipoprotein and transferrin endocytic sorting in HEp2 cells using confocal microscopy. *J. Cell Sci.* **1994**, *107*, 2177–2189.
- 6. Lipsky, N.G.; Pagano, R.E. A vital stain for the Golgi apparatus. *Science* **1989**, *228*, 745–747.
- 7. Pagano, R.E.; Sepanski, M.A.; Martin, O.C. Molecular trapping of a fluorescent ceramide analogue at the Golgi apparatus of fixed cells: Interaction with endogenous lipids provides a trans-Golgi marker for both light and electron microscopy. *J. Cell Biol.* **1989**, *109*, 2067–2079.
- 8. Hao, M.; Lin, S.X.; Karylowski, O.J.; Wüstner, D.; McGraw, T.E.; Maxfield, F.R. Vesicular and non-vesicular sterol transport in living cells. The endocytic recycling compartment is a major sterol storage organelle. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, *277*, 609–617.
- 9. Wüstner, D.; Mondal, M.; Tabas, I.; Maxfield, F.R. Direct observation of rapid internalization and intracellular transport of sterol by macrophage foam cells. *Traffic* **2005**, *6*, 396–412.
- Wüstner, D.; Herrmann, A.; Hao, M.; Maxfield, F.R. Rapid nonvesicular transport of sterol between the plasma membrane domains of polarized hepatic cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 30325–30336.
- Mayor, S.; Presley, J.F.; Maxfield, F.R. Sorting of membrane components from endosomes and subsequent recycling to the cell surface occurs by a bulk flow process. J. Cell Biol. 1993, 121, 1257–1269.
- 12. Presley, J.F.; Mayor, S.; McGraw, T.E.; Dunn, K.W.; Maxfield, F.R. Bafilomycin A1 treatment retards transferrin receptor recycling more than bulk membrane recycling. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1997**, *272*, 13929–13936.
- 13. Hao, M.; Maxfield, F.R. Characterization of rapid membrane internalization and recycling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000, 275, 15279–15286.
- 14. Van der Sijs, D.A.; van Faassen, E.E.; Levine, Y.K. The interpretation of fluorescence anisotropy decays of probe molecules in membrane systems. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1993**, *216*, 559–565.

- 15. Illinger, D.; Kuhry, J.-G. The kinetic aspects of intracellular fluorescence labeling with TMA-DPH support the maturation model for endocytosis in L929 cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **1994**, *125*, 783–794.
- 16. Florine-Casteel, K. Phospholipid order in gel- and fluid phase cell-size liposomes measured by digitized video fluorescence polarization microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **1990**, *57*, 1199–1215.
- Florine-Casteel, K.; Lemasters, J.J.; Herman, B. Lipid order in hepatocyte plasma membrane blebs during ATP-depletion measured by digitized video fluorescence polarization microscopy. *FASEB J.* 1991, 5, 2078–2084.
- Gasecka, A.; Han, T.J.; Favard, C.; Cho, B.R.; Brasselet, S. Quantitative imaging of molecular order in lipid membranes using two-photon fluorescence polarimetry. *Biophys. J.* 2009, *97*, 2854–2862.
- 19. Mukherjee, S.; Soe, T.T.; Maxfield, F.R. Endocytic sorting of lipid analogues differing solely in the chemistry of their hydrophobic tails. *J. Cell Biol.* **1999**, *144*, 1271–1284.
- Van Genderen, I.; van Meer, G. Differential targeting of glucosylceramide and galactosylceramide analogues after synthesis but not during transcytosis in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 1995, 131, 645–6554.
- Van IJzendoorn, S.C.; Zegers, M.M.; Kok, J.W.; Hoekstra, D. Segregation of glucosylceramide and sphingomyelin occurs in the apical to basolateral transcytotic route in HepG2 cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 1997, 137, 347–357.
- 22. Wüstner, D.; Mukherjee, S.; Maxfield, F.R.; Müller, P.; Hermann, A. Vesicular and nonvesicular transport of phosphatidylcholine in polarized HepG2 cells. *Traffic* **2001**, *2*, 277–296.
- 23. Wüstner, D. Fluorescent sterols as tools in membrane biophysics and cell biology. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **2007**, *146*, 1–25.
- 24. Maxfield, F.R.; Wüstner, D. Analysis of cholesterol trafficking with fluorescent probes. *Methods Cell Biol.* **2012**, *108*, 367–393.
- Hölttä-Vuori, M.; Uronen, R.L.; Repakova, J.; Salonen, E.; Vattulainen, I.; Panula, P.; Li, Z.; Bittman, R.; Ikonen, E. BODIPY-cholesterol: A new tool to visualize sterol trafficking in living cells and organisms. *Traffic* 2008, *9*, 1839–1849.
- Wüstner, D.; Solanko, L.M.; Sokol, E.; Lund, F.W.; Garvik, O.; Li, Z.; Bittman, R.; Korte, T.; Herrmann, A. Quantitative assessment of sterol traffic in living cells by dual labeling with dehydroergosterol and BODIPY-cholesterol. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* 2011, *164*, 221–235.
- 27. Wüstner, D. Following intracellular cholesterol transport by linear and non-linear optical microscopy of intrinsically fluorescent sterols. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *13*, 303–318.
- 28. Gimpl, G. Cholesterol-protein interaction: Methods and cholesterol reporter molecules. *Subcell. Biochem.* **2010**, *51*, 1–45.
- Solanko, L.M.; Honigmann, A.; Midtiby, H.S.; Lund, F.W.; Brewer, J.R.; Dekaris, V.; Bittman, R.; Eggeling, C.; Wüstner, D. Membrane orientation and lateral diffusion of BODIPY-cholesterol as a function of probe structure. *Biophys. J.* 2013, *105*, 2082–2092.
- Hiramoto-Yamaki, N.; Tanaka, K.A.; Suzuki, K.G.; Hirosawa, K.M.; Miyahara, M.S.; Kalay, Z.; Tanaka, K.; Kasai, R.S.; Kusumi, A.; Fujiwara, T.K. Ultrafast diffusion of a fluorescent cholesterol analog in compartmentalized plasma membranes. *Traffic* 2014, 15, 583–612.

- 31. Mazères, S.; Schram, V.; Tocanne, J.F.; Lopez, A. 7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazole-4-yl-labeled phospholipids in lipid membranes: Differences in fluorescence behavior. *Biophys. J.* **1996**, *71*, 327–335.
- Benson, D.M.; Bryan, J.; Plant, A.L.; Gotto, A.M.J.; Smith, L.C. Digital imaging fluorescence microscopy: Spatial heterogeneity of photobleaching rate constants in individual cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 1985, 100, 1309–1323.
- 33. Pagano, R.E.; Longmuir, K.J.; Martin, O.C. Intracellular translocation and metabolism of a fluorescent phosphatidic acid in cultured fibroblasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1983**, *258*, 2034–2040.
- Martin, O.C.; Comly, M.E.; Blanchette-Mackie, E.J.; Pentchev, P.G.; Pagano, R.E. Cholesterol deprivation affects the fluorescence properties of a ceramide analog at the Golgi apparatus of living cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1993, *90*, 2661–2665.
- 35. Hirschfeld, T. Quantum efficiency independence of the time integrated emission from a fluorescent molecule. *Appl. Opt.* **1976**, *15*, 3135–3139.
- Wüstner, D.; Landt Larsen, A.; Færgeman, N.J.; Brewer, J.R.; Sage, D. Selective visualization of fluorescent sterols in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by bleach-rate based image segmentation. *Traffic* 2010, 11, 440–454.
- 37. ImageJ RSB Home Page-Image Processing and Analysis in Java. Available online: http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ (accessed on 1 January 2014).
- 38. Bright, G.R.; Fisher, G.W.; Rogowska, J.; Taylor, D.L. Fluorescence ratio imaging microscopy. *Methods Cell Biol.* **1989**, *30*, 157–192.
- Jovin, T.M.; Arndt-Jovin, D.J. FRET Microscopy: Digital imaging of fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Applications in cell biology. In *Cell Structure and Function by Microspectrofluorometry*; Kohen, E., Hirschberg, J.G., Eds.; Academic Press: Waltham, MA, USA, 1989; pp. 99–117.
- 40. White, J.C.; Stryer, L. Photostability studies of phycobiliprotein fluorescent labels. *Anal. Biochem.* **1987**, *161*, 442–452.
- 41. Ariola, F.S.; Li, Z.; Cornejo, C.; Bittman, R.; Heikal, A.A. Membrane fluidity and lipid order in ternary giant unilamellar vesicles using a new bodipy-cholesterol derivative. *Biophys. J.* **2009**, *96*, 2696–2708.
- 42. Chattopadhyay, A. Chemistry and biology of N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)-labeled lipids: Fluorescent probes of biological and model membranes. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **1990**, *53*, 1–15.
- 43. Marks, D.L.; Bittman, R.; Pagano, R.E. Use of Bodipy-labeled sphingolipid and cholesterol analogs to examine membrane microdomains in cells. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **2008**, *130*, 819–832.
- 44. MacDonald, R.I. Characteristics of self-quenching of the fluorescence of lipid-conjugated rhodamine in membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, *265*, 13533–13539.
- 45. Ghauharali, R.I.; Müller, M.; Buist, A.H.; Sosnowski, T.S.; Norris, T.B.; Squier, J.; Brakenhoff, G.J. Optical saturation measurements of fluorophores in solution with pulsed femtosecond excitation and two-dimensional CCD camera detection. *Appl. Opt.* **1997**, *36*, 4320–4328.
- 46. Lund, F.W.; Wüstner, D. A comparison of single particle tracking and temporal image correlation spectroscopy for quantitative analysis of endosome motility. *J. Microsc.* **2013**, *252*, 169–188.
- 47. Dulin, D.; le Gali, A.; Perronet, K.; Soler, N.; Fourmy, D.; Yoshizawa, S.; Bouyer, P.; Westbrook, N. Reduced photobleaching of BODIPY-FL. *Phys. Procedia* **2010**, *3*, 1563–1567.

- 48. Deschenes, L.A.; Vanden Bout, D.A. Single molecule photobleaching: Increased photon yield and survival time through suppression of two-step photolysis. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2002**, *365*, 387–395.
- 49. Kubitscheck, U.; Kircheis, M.; Schweitzer-Stenner, R.; Dreybrodt, W.; Jovin, T.M.; Pecht, I. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer on single living cells. *Biophys. J.* **1991**, *60*, 307–318.
- Young, R.M.; Arnette, K.; Roess, D.A.; Barisas, B.G. Quantitation of fluorescence energy transfer between cell surface proteins via fluorescence donor photobleaching kinetics. *Biophys. J.* 1994, 67, 881–888.
- Szabo, G.; Pine, P.S.; Weaver, J.L.; Kasari, M.; Aszalos, A. Epitope mapping by photobleaching fluorescence resonance energy-transfer measurements using a laser scanning microscope system. *Biophys. J.* 1992, *61*, 661–670.
- Wüstner, D.; Brewer, J.R.; Bagatolli, L.A.; Sage, D. Potential of ultraviolet widefield imaging and multiphoton microscopy for analysis of dehydroergosterol in cellular membranes. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 2011, 74, 92–108.
- 53. Brandt, S. Datenanalyse; Spektrum Verlag: Heidelberg, Berlin, Germany, 1999.
- Wüstner, D.; Solanko, L.M.; Lund, F.W.; Sage, D.; Schroll, J.A.; Lomholt, M.A. Quantitative fluorescence loss in photobleaching for analysis of protein transport and aggregation. *BMC Bioinform*. 2012, *13*, 296.
- Pourmousa, M.; Róg, T.; Mikkeli, R.; Vattulainen, I.; Solanko, L.; Wüstner, D.; List, N.; Kongsted, J.; Karttunen, M. Dehydroergosterol as an analogue for cholesterol: Why it mimics cholesterol so well or does It? *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2014, *118*, 7345–7357.
- Stöckl, M.; Plazzo, A.P.; Korte, T.; Herrmann, A. Detection of lipid domains in model and cell membranes by fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy of fluorescent lipid analogues. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2008, 283, 30828–30837.
- 57. Smutzer, G.; Crawford, B.F.; Yeagle, P.L. Physical properties of the fluorescent sterol probe dehydroergosterol. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1986**, *862*, 361–371.
- Garvik, O.; Benediktson, P.; Simonsen, A.C.; Ipsen, J.H.; Wüstner, D. The fluorescent cholesterol analog dehydroergosterol induces liquid-ordered domains in model membranes. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* 2009, 159, 114–118.
- 59. Widengren, J.; Mets, Ü.; Rigler, R. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy of triplet states in solution: A theoretical and experimental study. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 13368–13379.
- 60. Song, L.; Varma, C.A.; Verhoeven, J.W.; Tanke, H.J. Influence of the triplet excited state on the photobleaching kinetics of fluorescein in microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **1996**, *70*, 252959–252968.
- 61. Song, L.; Hennink, E.J.; Young, I.T.; Tanke, H.J. Photobleaching kinetics of fluorescein in quantitative fluorescence microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **1995**, *68*, 2588–2600.
- 62. Song, L.; van Gijlswijk, R.P.M.; Young, I.T.; Tanke, H.J. Influence of fluorochrome labeling density on the photobleaching kinetics of fluorescein in microscopy. *Cytometry* **1997**, *27*, 213–223.
- 63. Boens, N.; Ameloot, M. Compartmental modeling and identifiability analysis in photophysics: Review. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **2005**, *106*, 300–315.
- 64. Toptygin, D.; Brand, L. Fluorescence decay of DPH in lipid membranes: Influence of the external refractive index. *Biophys. Chem.* **1993**, *48*, 205–220.
- 65. Krishna, M.M.G.; Periasamy, N. Orientational distribution of linear dye molecules in bilayer membranes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *298*, 359–367.

- 66. Listenberger, L.L.; Brown, D.A. Fluorescent detection of lipid droplets and associated proteins. *Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol.* **2007**, *24*, doi:10.1002/0471143030.cb2402s35.
- 67. Berberan-Santos, M.N.; Bodunov, E.N.; Valeur, B. Mathematical functions for the analysis of luminescence decays with underlying distributions 1. Kohlrausch decay function (stretched exponential). *Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *315*, 171–182.
- Kohlrausch, R. Theorie des elektrischen Rückstandes in der Leidner Flasche. *Pogg. Ann. Phys. Chem.* 1854, *91*, 179–213.
- 69. Berberan-Santos, M.N. Luminescence decays with underlying distributions: General properties and analysis with mathematical functions. *J. Luminesc.* **2007**, *126*, 263–272.
- 70. Hinkeldey, B.; Schmitt, A.; Jung, G. Comparative photostability studies of BODIPY and fluorescein dyes by using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. *ChemPhysChem* **2008**, *9*, 2019–2027.
- Berberan-Santos, M.N. Mathematical basis of the integral formalism of chemical kinetics. Compact representation of the general solution of the first-order linear differential equation. *J. Math. Chem.* 2010, 47, 1184–1188.
- Greenbaum, L.; Rothmann, Z.; Lavie, R.; Malik, Z. Green fluorescent protein photobleaching: A model for protein damage by endogenous and exogenous singlet oxygen. *Biol. Chem.* 2000, 381, 1251–1258.
- Georgakoudi, I.; Foster, T.H. Singlet oxygen-versus nonsinglet oxygen-mediated mechanisms of sensitizer photobleaching and their effects on photodynamic dosimetry. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 1998, 67, 612–625.
- Lee, P.C.; Rodgers, M.A.J. Singlet molecular oxygen in micellar systems. 1. Distribution Equilibria between hydrophobic and hydrophilic compartments. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 4894–4898.
- 75. Subczynski, W.K.; Hyde, J.S.; Kusumi, A. Oxygen permeability of phosphatidylcholine-Cholesterol membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1989**, *86*, 4474–4478.
- Bäumler, W.; Regensburger, J.; Knak, A.; Felgenträger, A.; Maisch, T. UVA and endogeneous photosensitizers the detection of singlet oxygen by its luminescence. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2012, *11*, 107–117.
- 77. Sikorski, M.; Krystkowiak, E.; Steer, R.P. The kinetics of fast fluorescence quenching processes. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem.* **1998**, *117*, 1–16.
- 78. Weller, A. Eine verallgemeinerte theorie diffusionsbestimmter reaktionen und ihre anwendung auf die fluoreszenzlöschung. *Z. Phys. Chem.* **1957**, *13*, 335–352.
- 79. Mondal, M.; Mesmin, B.; Mukherjee, S.; Maxfield, F.R. Sterols are mainly in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane and the endocytic recycling compartment in CHO cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2009**, *20*, 581–588.
- 80. Biomedical Imaging Group. PixBleach Pixelwise analysis of bleach rate in time-lapse images. Available online: http://bigwww.epfl.ch/algorithms/pixbleach/ (accessed on 1 June 2014).
- 81. Ghauharali, R.I.; Hofstraat, J.W.; Brakenhoff, G.J. Fluorescence photobleaching-based shading correction for fluorescence microscopy. *J. Microsc.* **1998**, *192*, 99–113.

- 82. Zwier, J.M.; van Rooij, G.J.; Hofstraat, J.W.; Brakenhoff, G.J. Image calibration in fluorescence microscopy. *J. Microsc.* **2004**, *216*, 15–24.
- 83. Koppel, D.E.; Carlson, C.; Smilowitz, H. Analysis of heterogeneous fluorescence photobleaching by video kinetics imaging: The method of cumulants. *J. Microsc.* **1989**, *155*, 199–206.
- Van Oostveldt, P.; Verhaegen, F.; Messens, K. Heterogeneous photobleaching in confocal microscopy caused by differences in refractive index and excitation mode. *Cytometry* 1998, *32*, 137–146.
- Kalyansundaram, K.; Thomas, J.K. Environmental effects on vibronic band intensities in pyrene monomer fluorescence and their application in studies of micellar systems. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2039–2044.
- 86. Somerharju, P. Pyrene-labeled lipids as tools in membrane biophysics and cell biology. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2002**, *116*, 57–74.
- Albro, P.W.; Bilski, P.; Corbett, J.T.; Schroeder, J.L.; Chignell, C.F. Photochemical reactions and phototoxicity of sterols: Novel self-perpetuating mechanisms for lipid photoxidation. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 1997, 66, 316–325.
- Eggeling, C.; Widengren, J.; Rigler, R.; Seidel, C.A.M. Photobleaching of fluorescent dyes under conditions used for single-molecule detection: Evidence of two-step photolysis. *Anal. Chem.* 1998, 70, 2651–2659.
- 89. Gensch, T.; Böhmer, M.; Aramendia, P.F. Single molecule blinking and photobleaching separated by wide-field fluorescence microscopy. *J. Phys. Chem.* **2005**, *109*, 6652–6658.
- Bernas, T.; Zaresbski, M.; Cook, R.R.; Dobrucki, J.W. Minimizing photobleaching during confocal microscopy of fluorescent probes bound to chromatin: Role of anoxia and photon flux. *J. Microscopy* 2004, *215*, 281–296.
- 91. Baranyai, P.; Gangl, S.; Grabner, G.; Knapp, M.; Köhler, G.; Vidoczy, T. Using the decay of incorporated photoexcited triplet probes to study unilamellar phospholipid bilayer membranes. *Langmuir* **1999**, *15*, 7577–7584.
- 92. Murray, J.M.; Appleton, P.L.; Swedlow, J.R.; Waters, J.C. Evaluating performance in three-dimensional fluorescence microscopy. *J. Microscopy* **2007**, *228*, 390–405.
- 93. Velez, M.; Axelrod, D. Polarized fluorescence photobleaching recovery for measuring rotational diffusion in solutions and membranes. *Biophys. J.* **1988**, *53*, 575–591.
- 94. Sinnecker, D.; Voigt, P.; Hellwig, N.; Schaefer, M. Reversible photobleaching of enhanced green fluorescent proteins. *Biochemistry* **2005**, *44*, 7085–7094.
- 95. Mueller, F.; Morisaki, T.; Mazza, D.; McNally, J.G. Minimizing the impact of photoswitching of fluorescent proteins on FRAP analysis. *Biophys. J.* **2012**, *102*, 1656–1665.
- 96. Daddysman, M.K.; Fecko, C.J. Revisiting point FRAP to quantitatively characterize anomalous diffusion in live cells. *J. Phys. Chem.* **2013**, *117*, 1241–1251.
- Ringemann, C.; Schönle, A.; Giske, A.; von Middendorff, C.; Hell, S.W.; Eggeling, C. Enhancing fluorescence brightness: Effect of reverse intersystem crossing studied by fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy. *ChemPhysChem* 2008, 9, 612–624.

- 98. Füreder-Kitzmüller, E.; Hesse, J.; Ebner, A.; Gruber, H.J.; Schütz, G.J. Non-exponential bleaching of single bioconjugated Cy5 molecules. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2005**, *404*, 13–18.
- Levin, P.P.; Costa, S.M.P. Kinetics of oxygen induced delayed fluorescence of eosin adsorbed on alumina. The dependence on dye and oxygen concentrations. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2000, 320, 194–201.

Sample Availability: Not available.

 $\bigcirc$  2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).