### Accepted Manuscript



Title: Photocatalytic degradation of low density polyethylene (LDPE) films using titania nanotubes

Author: Saba Sadaqat Ali Ishtiaq A. Qazi Muhammad Arshad Zahiruddin Khan Thomas C. Voice Ch. Tahir Mehmood

 PII:
 S2215-1532(16)30001-0

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.enmm.2016.01.001

 Reference:
 ENMM 35

To appear in:

 Received date:
 13-9-2015

 Revised date:
 20-12-2015

 Accepted date:
 4-1-2016

Please cite this article as: Saba Sadaqat Ali, Ishtiaq A.Qazi, Muhammad Arshad, Zahiruddin Khan, Thomas C.Voice, Ch.Tahir Mehmood, Photocatalytic low density (LDPE) degradation of polyethylene films using titania Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring nanotubes, and Management http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2016.01.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### Photocatalytic Degradation of Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) Films Using Titania Nanotubes

\* Saba Sadaqat Ali, \*Ishtiaq A. Qazi, \*Muhammad Arshad, \*Zahiruddin Khan and \*\*Thomas C. Voice \* Ch. Tahir Mehmood

\*Institute of Environmental Science and Engineering, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, National University of Sciences and Technology, Sector H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

\*\*Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

Corresponding author. Saba Sadaqat Ali, Tel.: +923354741520 E-mail address: sabasadaqat@iese.nust.edu.pk

#### **HIGHLIGHTS**

- This study demonstrated the photocatalytic degradation process of BG sensitized
   TNTs composite PE films under visible light exposure
- TNTs were more effective than TNPs in UV light
- Dye sensitization of nanostructures has increased the degradation rate of LDPE films under visible light
- Volatile organic product generation during photo-degradation produces defects in films which was confirmed by FTIR, SEM and tensile strength measurements
- The composite LDPE-TNTs composite is an environmental friendly polymer material

#### ABSTRACT

Polyethylene (PE) waste disposal is a major issue now a days that poses serious threats to human and environmental health. Among the methods of dealing with problem, photocatalytic degradation in the visible light is an alternative option that has received attention recently. The photo catalyst, generally used, is titania in the nanoparticle form. In the current study, complexity of employing a larger surface area nanomaterial in the form of titania nanotubes has been investigated. Prepared nanostructures were characterized by SEM, EDS, XRD, BET surface area measurements and UV-visible spectroscopy. Taking a lead from the work done for the development of dye sensitized solar cells, blue green dye was used to sensitize the TNTs with very encouraging results. The degradation of pure and composite PE films was measured in terms of photo-induced weight loss and was confirmed by FTIR, SEM, surface roughness and tensile strength testing. Thus, polyethylene films with 10% dye sensitized titania nanotubes showed a degradation of around 50% under visible light over a short period of 45 days.

**Keywords:** Titania, Low Density Polyethylene, Photocatalytic degradation, Titania nanotubes, Dye sensitization

### 1. Introduction

Excessive use of plastics in domestic, industrial and agriculture sectors exert pressure on capacities available for plastic waste disposal which cause an additional burden on the environment (Shah *et al.*, 2008; Zan *et al.*, 2006). Plastics including shopping bags, prepared from polyethylene (PE), after their useful life, find their way to streets, sidewalks, beaches and water bodies ultimately to the block sewerage system which may serve as a suitable habitat for disease causing vectors including mosquitoes (Njeru, 2006) and lead to the death of billions of marine animals by ingestion of the plastic debris or entanglement (Sheavly *et al.*, 2007).

A number of approaches have been proposed for dealing with the plastic waste. These include incineration, landfills, thermal degradation, bio-degradation and photo-catalysis (Singh and Sharma, 2008). Many of these are associated, however, with secondary problems. Uncontrolled burning of polyethylene produces vapours which includes many toxic compounds like ketones, acrolein, and methane and pollute the air which causes serious environmental hazards (Briassoulis *et al.*, 2004; Briassoulis, 2006). Polyethylene wastes buried in soil cause negative effects to soil quality and may affect the drainage patterns leading to declined agricultural yield (Seymour, 1989).

Thus, attention has been focused on alternative means of degrading the plastic material, in general, and polyethylene in particular. These include thermal, catalytic, mechano-chemical, ozone induced and photo-oxidative degradation. Out of all methods, photocatalytic degradation of polymers such as PE has stands out as the most promising (Kim *et al.*, 2006; Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2008; Zhao *et al.*, 2008; Asghar *et al.*, 2011; Mehmood *et al.*, 2015). Photocatalysis using nanostructures as photocatalysts could thus be encouraging and environmental friendly way to tackle the PE problem (Yuan *et al.*, 2013).

Among various types of photocatalysts, TiO<sub>2</sub> (commonly known as Titania) is extensively used for degradation of organic pollutants (Gelover *et al.*, 2004). Titania is a promising photocatalyst due to its high photoactivity, high stability, low cost and absence of toxicity (Fostier *et al.*, 2008). The use of titania as a photocatalyst has also been reported for polyethylene degradation with considerable success (Zan *et al.*, 2006; Zhao *et al.*, 2006; Zhiyong *et al.*, 2007; Zhao *et al.*, 2008; Asghar *et al.*, 2010; Thomas *et al.*, 2013; Liang *et al.*, 2013).

For a catalyst in general and the photocatalyst in particular, the active surface area is of critical importance. In the PE degradation studies reported so far titania has been used in the form of nanoparticles. An option for using a larger surface area material, in the form of nanotubes, however, exists (da Silva *et al.*, 2015).

Titania Nanotubes (TNTs) are now gaining importance in the process of photo-catalysis (Latif *et al.*, 2014) due to their exceptional chemical and physical properties like high surface area, photo catalytic activity, widespread accessibility and ease of production by an easy and efficient hydrothermal method under moderate conditions of pressure and temperature (Wong *et al.*, 2011). Another issue of concern is that  $TiO_2$  is active only in the UV wavelength range below 385 nm which is less than 5% of solar light. Significant efforts have been made to utilize visible part of solar light and to alter the photocatalytic properties of  $TiO_2$  also as doping of nanomaterials with metals (Kato *et al.*, 2005).

Dye sensitization significantly enhance the photocatalytic activity of the titania nanostructures which has been applied for the fabrication of solar cells (Wang and Lin, 2009; Macak *et al.*, 2005). Dye sensitization is also an efficient method to enhance the photo response of TiO<sub>2</sub> in the visible range of spectrum and has been effectively used for environmental applications (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2012; Vinu *et al.*, 2010; Chowdhury *et al.*, 2012; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2001a, 2006b). BG dye also called emerald green, or malachite green has been used in industry such as paper production to color paper towels and to dye silk.

Brilliant green dye (BG, Bis (4-diethylaminophenyl) phenylmethylium chloride) has been used to sensitize titania monoliths (Tomás *et al.*, 2008).

## Materials and methods 2.1 Reagents

Cyclohexane (Merck, Germany), Hydrochloric Acid, Sodium Hydroxide, General Purpose Reagent (GPR) TiO<sub>2</sub> (Sigma Aldrich Laborchemikalien) and brilliant green dye (chemical formula =  $C_{27}H_{34}N_2O_4S$ ; Dye content = 90%, Molecular Weight 482.63 g/mol) from Sigma Aldrich were used without further purification. Low-density polyethylene (LDPE) pellets having a melting point 115 °C and density 0.93 g/cm<sup>3</sup> were purchased from the local market.

#### 2.2 Synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanostructures and PE films

25 g GPR was taken in distilled water and stirred for 24 h on a magnetic plate. The resulting solution was then dried in oven at 105 °C for 12 h. The dried sample was then crushed and calcined in a muffle furnace at 450 °C for 6 h to get TNPs in anatase phase (Khan *et al.*, 2013).

Pure TNTs were synthesized by the hydrothermal method where 2.5 g prepared TNPs were added in 100 ml of 10 M NaOH solution and stirred for 2 h followed by 1 h ultra-sonication. The resulting material was transferred into Teflon lined autoclave under pressure at 135°C for 24 h with continuous stirring. Sample was extracted, cooled at room temperature and washed with 0.1 N HCl and then distilled water several times until the pH of the solution became neutral (6.5 - 8). After washing, the solution was dried in hot air oven for 24 h at 105 °C. The sample was then ground into fine powder and calcinated at 500 °C for 6 h in order to obtain highly crystalline TNTs (Asapu *et al.*, 2011; Latif *et al.*, 2014).

The dye sensitized photocatalyst was prepared by adding 500 mg of TNPs or TNTs in a saturated solution of brilliant green dye. Suspension was stirred on magnetic stirrer for 24 hours in dark to homogenize the dye and achieve the absorption equilibrium. TNPs/TNTs were filtered, washed with DW and dried (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2001; Mack *et al.*, 2005).

Pure polythene films were prepared by dissolving 0.5 g of LDPE beads in 50 mL cyclohexane at 70°C under continuous stirring for 90 minutes. 10 mL of the LDPE suspension was spread on glass petri plate to get pure LDPE film. Composite LDPE films were prepared by dissolving the required amount of TNPs, TNTs or Dye sensitized TNPs in the LDPE suspension and after one hour ultra-sonication, 10 mL of each combination was spread on different glass petri plates. All films were dried for 20 minutes at 70°C and kept at room temperature for 48 h (Asghar *et al.*, 2011). Details of pure and composite LDPE films are given in Table 1. Weight of the resulting composite was around 0.02 g and thickness was about 0.024 mm. LDPE films were cut into 3 cm x 3 cm square pieces and exposed to UV or visible light for degradation experiments.

### 2.4 Experimental Setup

Photo-degradation of LDPE and composite films was carried out under UV light for 15 days in ambient air with a distance of 5cm from the light source. After getting expected results under UV light, visible light experiments were carried for 45 days under the same conditions. Films were constantly irradiated in a closed wooden box containing lamp (90 cm  $\times$  50 cm  $\times$ 50 cm) as displayed in Figure 1. For photo-catalytic experiments, two 18W ultraviolet lamps (primary wavelength of 315 nm) were also used for photo-catalytic activity in UV range and

visible lamps of 85 watt with a wavelength range of 400-700 nm were used as light source. ABM Model 150 digital intensity meter was used for light intensity measurement. Light intensity was 2.54 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for UV lamps and 6.76 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for visible lamp measured at 5cm away from sources. A set of films was also kept under dark. Each sample was weighed at regular time intervals.

#### 2.5 Characterization

Surface morphology and size range of titania nanostructures were characterized by scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM-6460). Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analytical technique was used to obtain spectra of TNPs and TNTs by using JEOL JSM 6490A analytical station to analyze the elemental composition. X-Ray Diffraction Analysis was used for phase identification of TNPs and TNTs by using JEOL JDX-II X-ray diffractometer. The scanning was done between 10° to 80° at a rate of 5° per minute using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.15478$  nm) at an angle of 20. Standard conditions for XRD measurements were; room temperature, radiation at voltage of 40 keV and 30mA of current. The X-ray diffraction patterns helped to get crystalline size based on the Scherer equation (Kim *et al.*, 2001; Younas *et al.*, 2014).



UV Vis spectrophotometer ((SQ-2800 Unico) was used for measuring the absorbance of pure and dye sensitized nanoparticles and nanotubes. Micromeritics Gemini VII BET surface area Analyzer was used to measure the pore size and specific surface area of TNPs and TNTs. For BET analysis TNTs or TNPs samples were first degassed at 130 °C for 4 hours under vacuum (Akarsu *et al.*, 2006).

Tensile testing was done to determine the strength of LDPE films before and after irradiation. The testing procedure was followed according to ASTM 882-85. Universal Testing machine (Schimadzu AG XPlus 20kN) was used for this testing. UV Vis spectrophotometer (T 60 PG instruments) was used for measuring the absorbance of films before and after irradiation.

Nanovea 2d Optical profilometer PS50 was used to measure the surface roughness of LDPE films before and after irradiation. Surface morphologies of pure and composite films before and after irradiation were characterized by scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM-6460).

To study the weight loss during photocatalytic degradation of LDPE and composite films, weighing balance with 0.0001 gm sensitivity (Schimadzu Model ATY-224) was used for weight measurements. The percentage mass loss was determined by this formula:

$$\[Mass loss = \frac{mi - mf}{mi} \times 100\]$$

Where

m<sub>i</sub> = Initial weight before irradiation

 $m_f = Final$  weight after irradiation

FTIR spectra of LDPE and composite films were obtained using Perkin Elmer Spectrum FTIR spectromete to determine molecular changes before and after irradiation. Degradation rates were calculated by using the standard carbonyl index method.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Characterization of nanostructures and LDPE films

Figure 2 (a and b) shows the XRD results of nanoparticles and nanotubes respectively. These graphs are obtained by powder XRD technique using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiations at an angle of 2 $\theta$  from 10° to 80° with a scanning step size of 0.021°. The presence of four main peaks at 2 $\theta$  values of 25.3°, 36.9, 48.04° and 55.07° indicates that TNPs and TNTs are in anatase phase and highly crystalline in nature. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) Analysis in Figure 2 (c & d) shows that major constituents for pure TNPs and TNTs are Titanium and Oxygen i.e. approximately 43% and 56% in TNPs and 41% and 57% in TNTs respectively. BET analysis of pure TNPs and TNTs revealed, at Standard Temperature and Pressure (STP) shows the surface area of pure TNPs as 81 m<sup>2</sup>/g and 161 m<sup>2</sup>/g for TNTs.

#### 4.2 Tensile strength testing

Tensile testing was done to determine the strength of films. The testing procedure was followed according to ASTM 882-85 with using Universal testing machine (Schimadzu AG XPlus 20kN). LDPE films with a gauge length of 30 mm and width of 10 mm were cut from the films and run at a speed of 1mm/min for tensile strength measurements. The average value is presented for each sample. Addition of nanostructure modifies the mechanical properties of LDPE composites which can be seen from their elongation at break (ɛb) average values for each sample, as reported in Table 2. Tensile strength is calculated by this formula and final results are expressed in Megapascals (MPa).

### Tensile Strength = load at break original width x original thickness

Percent elongation is measured by;

### % Elongation = Elongation at rupture x100 Initial gage length

It can be interpreted that film degradation has a negative effect on the tensile strength of films. Addition of nanostructures also affect tensile properties of LDPE films as density of PE increases, tensile strength increases while elongation percent decreases. The high percentage of TNPs or TNTs causes agglomeration in PE and ultimately cause loss of tensile strength as nanostructures agglomeration in PE matrix cause some morphological alterations (Fa *et al.*, 2010). Elongation also correlates with the formation of carbonyl groups as during degradation as carbonyl ratio increases, percent elongation decreases (Dilara & Briassoulis, 2000). Films containing 7% titania nanostructures showed maximum elongation loss and tensile strength decline after 45 days of irradiation. The films degraded after UV radiation broke down on touching and were so fragile that tensile testing could not be performed on these films.

#### 4.3 Weight Loss Measurements

LDPE film weight reduction measurements was carried out to study their photo-degradation. Figure 5 (a and b) displays the photo induced weight loss of different samples with UV and visible light irradiation, respectively. The values of 'k' for each titania concentration (0 to 10%), values of the *Correlation Coefficient* ' $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ' and half-life of each composite are tabulated

### CEPTED

in Table 3 & 4. Weight loss data was plotted again time (d) and exponential equation was fitted on it as first order kinetics

#### [Eq. 1] dW/dt = -kt

With 'k' as the 'degradation rate constant' and, Wo as the weight at day '0' (the start of the disintegration process), integration of the equation leads to Equation 2.

#### $W = W_c e^{kt}$ [Eq. 2]

After UV irradiation, the noticeable degradation rate shows a maximum at films containing 10%TNTs which is 78% by weight and 67% in case of 10%TNPs in 15 days which showed that nanotubes are effective for photocatalytic degradation of LDPE (Figure 5'a').

Pure LDPE films showed no noticeable weight loss after visible irradiation but TNP embedding in LDPE matrix leads to the weight loss of films. In the case of LDPE composites with pure TNPs (10%) exposed to visible light, (Figure 5'b') the weight of films was reduced to almost 41% after 45 days. On the other hand, after 45 days of exposure to visible light, almost 48% of the LDPE-10%TNTs composites were degraded. Also, the weight loss rate for those films which contain brilliant green (BG) dye sensitized TNPs or TNTs is higher than LDPE films containing pure TNPs or TNTs. Similarly, composite films containing BG sensitized TNTs showed faster degradation rate under visible light as compare to BG-TNPs composites.

#### 4.4 Surface Morphology

#### 4.4.1 Surface Roughness measurement

Contact profilometry provided 2D measurements of surface roughness at the micrometer order. The test samples were LDPE composite films 3 x 3 cm in area. Contact profilometry of films quantify the morphology of fractures and surface irregularities in their surfaces before and after irradiation. For a given test line, the roughness was measured as the arithmetical mean of peaks above and under the baseline (Chappard et al., 2003). The mean roughness (Ra) was calculated from several samples according to ISO 8247 and their roughness is tabulated in Table 5. Maximum change in roughness was observed in 10% dye sensitized TNTs composite films. Films were smooth and plane before degradation, but photo induced weight loss caused fractures and holes in films due to which the surface roughness increased.

#### 4.4.2 SEM Analysis

Figure 3 (a and b) shows the images of TNPs and TNTs taken by Scanning electron microscope. The diameter of spherical particles ranges from 40 to 80 nm with an average value of 50 nm. The average diameter of nanotubes is 40 nm. TNTs are in the form of tubular clusters not completely separated from each other and exhibit high surface area as compare to nanoparticles. These images show that the size of nanoparticles and nanotubes are good enough to use in our experiments. SEM image of pure LDPE films before light exposure is shown in Figure 3'c'. SEM images of TNPs and TNTs composite films after UV irradiation are shown in Figure 3'd' and 3'e' respectively. Nanotubes composites showed larger cavities and more damage as compared to nanoparticles composites.

SEM images of LDPE composites after visible light exposure are shown in Figure 3 (f, g, h, i). The surfaces of those films which contain BG sensitized NPs or NTs are more damaged than LDPE films containing pure NPs or NTs. These composite films showed some cavities from degradation with large interconnected holes. LDPE and exposed TiO<sub>2</sub> interaction starts

the photocatalysis process and leads to the formation of holes around  $TiO_2$  nanotubes. Formation of volatile degradation products might have produced such cavities in films (Li *et al.*, 2007). These results proved that degradation efficiency of BG-NTs is much better than pure TNPs. This result is according to weight loss shown in Figure 5 (a and b). The final degraded films are shown in Figure 3 'l'.

#### 4.5 Spectroscopic Analysis

#### 4.5.1 UV-Visible Spectroscopy

Spectra of the dye adsorbed on pure and dye sensitized nanostructures was taken by rubbing the powder sample on a piece of transparent paper and placing it into the optical path of builtin cell holder of the spectrophotometer (same piece of blank paper was used as a reference). Figure 4(a) shows the UV–vis spectra of pure and dye sensitized nanoparticles and nanotubes. Pure TNPs or TNTs absorbs UV light and generate mobile electron e<sup>-</sup> in the conduction band and holes h<sup>+</sup> in the valence band where adsorbed oxygen species react with these electrons and produce reactive oxygen species like O<sup>2-</sup>, O and O<sup>-</sup>. On the other side, BG sensitized nanostructures showed absorbance in visible range (Zhao *et al.*, 2008). Figure 4(b) shows the absorbance spectras of pure LDPE and composite films before and after irradiation. Pure film showed less absorbance in visible as well as in UV range but TiO<sub>2</sub> embedded films showed absorbance in UV range and dye sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> composite films showed absorbance in visible range as well. Nanotubes exhibit more absorbance than nanoparticles. But after visible irradiation exposure, absorbance of TiO<sub>2</sub> composites enhanced because films became whitish perhaps due to light scattering, with continuous light exposure causing LDPE chains breaking and deterioration.

#### 4.5.2 FT-IR Spectrum

FT-IR spectra were used to examine the photo-catalytic degradation of films. Measurement range was 4000–450 cm<sup>-1</sup>, It was observed that pure LDPE films showed characteristics peaks in the region of 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup> (revealing a bending deformation) 2919 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2857 cm<sup>-1</sup> (assignable to CH<sub>2</sub> as an asymmetric stretching) and 719 cm<sup>-1</sup> (indicates a rocking deformation) which are same in all films showing that irradiation exposure does not change the chemical properties of LDPE films (Mouallif *et al.*, 2011) as shown in Figure 6. The spectra of composite LDPE films showed new peaks after irradiation around 1713 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1178 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1631 cm<sup>-1</sup> which could be due to the carbonyl group (C=O) and C–O, C=C astretching vibrations, respectively (Asghar *et al.*, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2007). -OH stretching region of hydroxylic group 3100 - 3600 showed increment in the films as highlighted in Figure 6. It has been reported earlier that an increase in the -OH stretching region of hydroxylic group 3050-3570 is due to formation of hydroxyperoxide and alcohol during photo-oxidation (Guadagno *et al.*, 2001). These alterations in spectra proved the structural alterations in LDPE films while degrading.

#### 4.3.1. Carbonyl index method

To quantify the degree of photo-oxidation in polyethylene films, carbonyl index which is the ratio of absorbance of carbonyl group around 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> to an internal thickness band as reference peak at 1380 cm<sup>-1</sup> is commonly used (Angulo Sanchez *et al.*, 1994; Salem, 2001).

# Carbonyl index (C.I) = $\frac{A \ 1710}{A \ 1380}$

Table 6 shows the carbonyl index of the LDPE and composite films, showing an increase after irradiation. The carbonyl index for LDPE composites which contain TNTs is higher than

TNPs composites. Also TNTs enhance the carbonyl index from 0.33 to maximum of 2.0 under UV light.

#### 5.1 Proposed LDPE photo-degradation mechanism

Photocatalytic degradation of LDPE is only stimulated by UV light due to presence of C - C and C - H bonds in polyethylene. The wavelength of UV light is in range of 200 to 390 nm which form macromolecular radicals due to the as holes and electrons centers after reacting with LDPE. Water and oxygen reacts with these electrons or holes to create active oxygen species leading to the chain cleavage and oxidation reactions. These oxygenated species such as OH and  $O_2^-$  are initiators of LDPE degradation by attacking the polymeric chain (Fa *et al.*, 2010; Zan *et al.*, 2006; da Silva *et al.*, 2014; IKADA, 1993; Mucha *et al.*, 2014; u Zhao *et al.*, 2007).

Basically, degradation of LDPE gains momentum by the presence of alcoholic and carbonyl groups (Zan *et al.*, 2006). It is proposed that in LDPE-(BG-TNPs or TNTs) composites, on titania nanostrucures, BG dye generates holes in ground state which takes part in degradation of LDPE matrix also with -OH and O<sub>2</sub>.

 $h^{+} + (CH_{2}CH_{2}) - -(CH_{2}CH_{2})^{+} -(CH_{2}CH_{2})^{+} + O^{2-} - (C^{+}HCH_{2}) - + HO_{2}^{-} +$ 

The composites with BG-TiO<sub>2</sub> can produce more -OH to photo-degrade polymer matrix. Monitoring of carbonyl groups helps to determine the degradation rate of PE. The damaging effects caused due to photo-oxidative degradation can be noted visually like yellowing, PE loss their mechanical properties and molecular weight get change (Singh and Sharma, 2008).

TiO<sub>2</sub> photosensitization starts when photons excites dye molecules which are adsorbed on TiO<sub>2</sub> and forms cationic radicals by injecting electrons from valence band to conduction band of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Reactive species like H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and OH thus produced allow the injected electrons react with  $O^{2-}$  absorbed on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface which ultimately help to degrade target pollutants (Li *et al.*, 2012).

#### **Conflict of interest**

We have read and understood journal policy on declaration of interests and declare that we have no competing interests. The authors acknowledge National University of Science and technology for providing the facility and financial support.

#### Conclusions

This study demonstrated the photocatalytic degradation process of BG sensitized TNTs composite PE films under visible light exposure. Dye sensitization of nanostructures has increased the degradation rate of LDPE films under visible light and those films can degrade under sunlight with good rate. Volatile organic product generation during photo-degradation produces defects in films which are confirmed by FTIR, SEM and tensile strength measurements. This new LDPE-TNTs composite is an environmental friendly polymer material which could be degraded when exposed to the outdoor environment.

#### References

- Akarsu, M., Asilturk, M., Sayilkan, F., Kiraz, N., Arpac, E. and Sayilkan, H., 2006. A novel approach to the hydrothermal synthesis of anatase titania nanoparticles and the photocatalytic degradation of Rhodamine B. Turk. J. Chem. 30(3), 333-343.
- Angulo-Sanchez, J. L., Ortega-Ortiz, H., & Sanchez-Valdes, S, 1994. Photodegradation of polyethylene films formulated with a titanium-based photosensitizer and titanium dioxide pigment. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 53(7), 847-856.
- Asapu, R., Palla, V. M., Wang, B., Guo, Z., Sadu, R., Chen, D. H., 2011. Phosphorus-doped titania nanotubes with enhanced photocatalytic activity. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. Chem. 225(1), 81-87.
- Asghar, W., Qazi, I. A., Ilyas, H., Khan, A. A., Awan, M. A., Aslam, M. R., 2011. Comparative solid phase photocatalytic degradation of polythene films with doped and undoped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. J. Nano Mat. 2011, 12.
- Briassoulis, D., 2006. Mechanical behavior of biodegradable agricultural films under real field conditions. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 91(6), 1256-1272.
- Briassoulis, D., Aristopoulou, A., Bonora, M., Verlodt, I., 2004. Degradation characterisation of agricultural low-density polyethylene films, Biosyst Eng. 88(2), 131-143.
- Chakrabarti, S., Chaudhuri, B., Bhattacharjee, S., Das, P., Dutta, B. K., 2008. Degradation mechanism and kinetic model for photocatalytic oxidation of PVC–ZnO composite film in presence of a sensitizing dye and UV radiation. J. Hazard. Mater. 154(1), 230-236.
- Chappard, D., Degasne, I., Hure, G., Legrand, E., Audran, M., Basle, M. F., 2003. Image analysis measurements of roughness by texture and fractal analysis correlate with contact profilometry. Biomaterials. 24(8). 1399-1407.
- Chatterjee, D., & Mahata, A., 2001. Demineralization of organic pollutants on the dye modified TiO<sub>2</sub> semiconductor particulate system using visible light. Appl. Catal., B. Env. 33(2), 119-125.
- Chatterjee, D., Dasgupta, S., N. Rao, N., (2006). Visible light assisted photodegradation of halocarbons on the dye modified TiO<sub>2</sub> surface using visible light, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells. 90(7), 1013-1020.
- Chowdhury, P., Moreira, J., Gomaa, H., Ray, A. K., 2012. Visible-solar-light-driven photocatalytic degradation of phenol with dye-sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub>: parametric and kinetic study. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 51(12), 4523-4532.

da Silva, K. I. M., Fernandes, J. A., Kohlrausch, E. C., Dupont, J., Santos, M. J. L., & Gil, M. P. (2014). Structural stability of photodegradable poly (l-lactic acid)/PE/TiO2 nanocomposites through TiO2 nanospheres and TiO2 nanotubes incorporation. Polymer bulletin, 71(5), 1205-1217.

da Silva, K. I., Santos, M. J., & Gil, M. P., 2015. Dependence of the photodegradation rate on the crystalline portion of PE films obtained through in situ polymerization in the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanospheres, nanoribbons and microspheres. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 112, 78-85.

- Fa, W., Yang, C., Gong, C., Peng, T., Zan, L., 2010. Enhanced photodegradation efficiency of polyethylene-TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite film with oxidized polyethylene wax, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 118(1), 378-384.
- Fostier, A. H., Pereira, M. D. S. S., Rath, S., & Guimarães, J. R., 2008, Arsenic removal from water employing heterogeneous photocatalysis with TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized in PET bottles. Chemosphere, 72(2), pp 319-324.
- Gelover, S., Mondragón, P., Jiménez, A., 2004. Titanium dioxide sol-gel deposited over glass and its application as a photocatalyst for water decontamination, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A. Chem. 165(1), 241-246.
- Guadagno, L., Naddeo, C., Vittoria, V., Camino, G., Cagnani, C., 2001. Chemical and morphological modifications of irradiated linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE). Polym. Degrad. Stab. 72(1), 175-186.
- IKADA, E. (1993). Role of The Molecular Structure in The Photodecomposition of Polymers. Journal of Photopolymer Science and Technology, 6(1), 115-122.
- Kato, S., Hirano, Y., Iwata, M., Sano, T., Takeuchi, K., Matsuzawa, S. 2005. Photocatalytic degradation of gaseous sulfur compounds by silver-deposited titanium dioxide. Appl. Catal., B: Env. 57(2), 109-115.
- Khan, S., Qazi, I. A., Hashmi, I., Ali Awan, M., Zaidi, N. U. S. S., 2013. Synthesis of silverdoped titanium TiO<sub>2</sub> powder-coated surfaces and its ability to inactivate pseudomonas aeruginosa and bacillus subtilis. J. Nano Mat. 2013. 8.
- Kim, D. K., Zhang, Y., Voit, W., Rao, K. V., Muhammed, M., 2001. Synthesis and characterization of surfactant-coated superparamagnetic monodispersed iron oxide nanoparticles, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 225(1), 30-36.
- Kim, S. H., Kwak, S. Y., & Suzuki, T., 2006. Photocatalytic degradation of flexible PVC/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanohybrid as an eco-friendly alternative to the current waste landfill and dioxin-emitting incineration of post-use PVC. Polym. 47(9), 3005-3016.
- Latif, W., Qazi, I. A., Hashmi, I., Arshad, M., Nasir, H., Habib, A., 2014. Novel Method for Preparation of Pure and Iron-Doped Titania Nanotube Coated Wood Surfaces to Disinfect Airborne Bacterial Species Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus. Environ. Eng. Sci. 31(12), 681-688.
- Li, X., Wang, D. T., Chen, J. F., Tao, X., 2012. Enhanced Photosensitized phase Degradation of Organic Pollutants under Visible Radiation by (I2) n-Encapsulated TiO<sub>2</sub> Films, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 51(3), 1110-1117.
- Li, Z., Chen, Y., Shi, L., & Zhu, Y., 2007. Solid-phase photocatalytic degradation of polyethylene plastic under UV and solar light irradiation. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 268(1), 101-106.

- Liang, W., Luo, Y., Song, S., Dong, X., Yu, X., 2013. High photocatalytic degradation activity of polyethylene containing polyacrylamide grafted TiO<sub>2</sub>, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 98(9), 1754–1761.
- Macák, J. M., Tsuchiya, H., Ghicov, A., & Schmuki, P., 2005, Dye-sensitized anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes, Electrochem. Commun. 7(11), pp 1133-1137.
- Mehmood, C.T., Qazi, I. A., Baig, M. A., Arshad, M., Qudos, A., 2015, Application of photodegraded polythene films for the treatment of Drimarene Brilliant Red (DBR) dye. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. (1-9) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.12.014.
- Mouallif, I., Latrach, A., Benali, A., Barbe, N., 2011. FTIR study of HDPE structural changes, moisture absorption and mechanical properties variation when exposed to sulphuric acid aging in various temperatures. 20ème Congrès Français de Mécanique, 28 août/2 sept Besançon, France (FR). 2011-25044.
- Mucha, M., Bialas, S., & Kaczmarek, H., 2014. Effect of nanosilver on the photodegradation of poly (lactic acid). J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 131(8).
- Njeru, J. (2006). The urban political ecology of plastic bag waste problem in Nairobi, Kenya. Geoforum. 37, 1046-1058.
- Salem, M. A., 2001. Mechanical properties of UV-irradiated low-density polyethylene films formulated with carbon black and titanium dioxide. Egypt. J. Sol. 24(2), 141-150.
- Seymour, R. B., 1989. Polymer Science Before and After 1899: Notable Developments during the Lifetime of Maurits Dekker. J. Macromol. Sci., Chem. 26(8), 1023-1032.
- Shah, A. A., Hasan, F., Hameed, A., & Ahmed, S., 2008. Biological degradation of plastics: a comprehensive review. Biotechnol. Adv. 26(3), pp 246-265.
- Sheavly, S. B., & Register, K. M., 2007. Marine debris & plastics: environmental concerns, sources, impacts and solutions. J. Polym. Environ. 15(4), pp 301-305.
- Singh, B., & Sharma, N., 2008. Mechanistic implications of plastic degradation. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 93(3), 561-584.
- Thomas, R. T., Nair, V., Sandhyarani, N., 2013. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle assisted solid phase photocatalytic degradation of polythene film: A mechanistic investigation, Colloid. Surface. A. 422, 1-9.
- Tomás, S. A., Zelaya, O., Palomino, R., Lozada, R., García, O., Yáñez, J. M., Ferreira da Silva, A. 2008. Optical characterization of sol gel TiO<sub>2</sub> monoliths doped with Brilliant Green. Eur. Phys. J-Spec. Top. 153(1), 255-258.

u Zhao, X., Li, Z., Chen, Y., Shi, L., & Zhu, Y., 2007. Solid-phase photocatalytic degradation of polyethylene plastic under UV and solar light irradiation. Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical, 268(1), 101-106.

Vinu, R., Polisetti, S., Madras, G., 2010. Dye sensitized visible light degradation of phenolic compounds. Chem. Eng. J. 165(3), 784-797.

- Wang, J., & Lin, Z., 2009. Dye-sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube solar cells with markedly enhanced performance via rational surface engineering, Chem. Mater. 22(2), 579-584.
- Wong, C.L., Tan, Y.N. Mohamed, A.R., 2011. A review on the formation of titania nanotube photocatalysts by hydrothermal treatment. J. Environ. Manage. 92(7), 1669-1680.
- Yuan, F., Li, P., Qian, H., 2013. Photocatalytic Degradation of Polyethylene Glycol by Nano-Titanium Dioxide Modified With Ferric Acetylacetonate, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 43(3), 321-324.
- Zan, L., Fa, W., & Wang, S., 2006. Novel photodegradable low-density polyethylene-TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite film. Environ. Sci. Technol. (5), 1681-1685.
- Zhao, X., Li, Z., Chen, Y., Shi, L., Zhu, Y. 2008. Enhancement of photocatalytic degradation of polyethylene plastic with CuPc modified TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst under solar light irradiation, Appl. Surf. Sci. 254(6), 1825-1829.
- Zhiyong, Y., Mielczarski, E., Mielczarski, J., Laub, D., Buffat, P., Klehm, U. Kiwi, J., 2007. Preparation, stabilization and characterization of TiO<sub>2</sub> on thin polyethylene films (LDPE). Photocatalytic applications, Water Res. 41(4), 862-874.



Figure 1: Experimental setup for photocatalytic degradation of LDPE films



(a)







( c )





**Figure 2: a)** XRD Intensity plot for pure Titania Nanoparticles b) XRD Intensity plots of Titania Nanotubes c) EDS Spectra of nanoparticles d) EDS Spectra of nanotubes





**Figure 3:** a) SEM images of nanoparticles b) nanotubes c) Pure LPPE before exposure d) LDPE-TNPs composites after UV exposure e) LDPE-TNTs composites after UV exposure f) LDPE-TNPs film visible light irradiated for 45 days g) BG-TNPs film visible light irradiated for 45 days h) LDPE-TNTs films visible light irradiated for 45 days i) BG-TNTs film visible light irradiated for 45 days j) LDPE composite films thickness containing 2% TNTs before visible light irradiation k) LDPE composite films thickness containing 2% TNTs after visible light irradiation for 45 Days l) Degraded LDPE films containing TNTs under Visible light



**Figure 4:** a) UV-visible spectrums of nanostructures b) UV-visible spectrum of pure and composite films before and after irradiation exposure



**(a)** 



Figure 5: a) Comparison of weight loss of pure and LDPE composites under UV light where nanotubes showed faster degradation as compare to Titania nanoparticles b) Comparison of weight loss of pure and LDPE composites under visible light



Figure 6: Comparison between FTIR spectra of different LDPE composite films after irradiation

Sr		% of Nanostructres	Weight	Thickness
#		by weight in PE films	(gm)	(mm)
1	<b>Pure LDPE</b>	-	0.0150	0.024
2		1%	0.0201	0.030
3	TNDa	2%	0.0172	0.025
4	11115	7%	0.0171	0.029
5		10%	0.0194	0.026
7		1%	0.0187	0.020
8	-	2%	0.0184	0.025
9	TNTs	7%	0.0221	0.023
10		10%	0.0205	0.025
11		1%	0.0197	0.027
12	BG-TNPs	2%	0.0113	0.023
13		7%	0.0204	0.029
14		10%	0.0204	0.028
16		1%	0.0194	0.026
17	BG-TNTs	2%	0.0197	0.024
18		7%	0.0194	0.022
19		10%	0.0197	0.022

### Table 1. Details of pure and composite LDPE films

 Table 2. Tensile strength and elongation values for pure and composite LDPE films

 before and after visible irradiation

Sample Composition	Tensile Stength (MPa)		Break Elongation (%)	
	Before	After	Before	After
<b>Pure LDPE</b>	10.2	9.8	3.53	3.41
LDPE+2%TNPs	8.88	3.37	7.31	3.19
LDPE+7%TNPs	8.37	0.92	3.12	1.95
LDPE+2%TNTs	14.7	2.17	7.37	1.52
LDPE+7%TNTs	8.81	1.84	7.12	1.73

		W <sub>o</sub> (gm)	k	R <sup>2</sup>	λ <sub>1/2</sub> (days)
	0%	0.0176	0.0003	0.9912	2310
	1%TNPs	0.0205	0.001	0.9913	693
	2%TNPs	0.0191	0.002	0.989	346
I itania Concentration	7%TNPs	0.0216	0.004	0.8952	173
in Percentage	10%TNPs	0.0198	0.004	0.9194	173
(w/w)	1% TNTs	0.0184	0.001	0.9696	693
	2%TNTs	0.0197	0.003	0.914	231
	7%TNTs	0.0229	0.005	0.922	138
	10%TNTs	0.0196	0.006	0.994	115

Table 3. Weight loss data (under UV for 15 days) after applying Exponential Equation

		W <sub>o</sub> (gm)	k	R <sup>2</sup>	λ <sub>1/2</sub> (days)
	0%	0.0150	0.00009	0.593	7700
	1% TNPs	0.0201	0.0009	0.8738	770
	2% TNPs	0.0172	0.001	0.8774	693
	7% TNPs	0.0171	0.001	0.8895	693
	10% TNPs	0.0194	0.002	0.8823	346
	1% BGTNPs	0.0197	0.001	0.8728	693
Titania	2% BGTNPs	0.0113	0.001	0.8576	693
Concentration	7% BGTNPs	0.0204	0.002	0.8872	346
in Percentage	10% BGTNPs	0.0204	0.003	0.8818	231
("/")	1% TNTs	0.0187	0.001	0.8855	693
	2%TNTs	0.0184	0.001	0.8898	693
	7%TNTs	0.0221	0.002	0.8833	346
	10%TNTs	0.0205	0.003	0.8752	231
	1% BGTNTs	0.0194	0.001	0.8663	693
	2% BGTNTs	0.0197	0.002	0.8849	346
	7% BGTNTs	0.0194	0.002	0.899	346
	10% BGTNTs	0.0197	0.004	0.8815	173

# Table 4. Weight loss data (under visible light for 45 days) after applying ExponentialEquation

 Table 5. Surface Roughness values for pure and composite LDPE films before and after irradiation

	11144	lation	
LDPE films Composition		R <sub>a</sub> )	
	Before	After Visible (After 45 Days)	After UV (15 Days)
LDPE	0.52	0.79	0.91
LDPE+2% TNPs	0.64	0.88	0.99
LDPE+10%BG-TNPs	1.04	1.68	-
LDPE+2%TNTs	0.56	1.22	1.42
LDPE+10%TNTs	0.93	1.98	2.83
LDPE+10%BG-TNTs	1.05	1.84	-

Films Composition	Carbonyl index [C=O : CH2]
LDPE	0.33
2%TNPs after Vis	1.2
2% BG TNPs after Vis	1.25
2% TNPs after UV	1.48
2%TNTs after Vis	1.39
2% BGTNTs after Vis	1.52
2%TNTs after UV	2.00

### Table 6. Carbonyl Index of pure LDPE and Composite films after irradiation