

NANO EXPRESS

Open Access

Photocatalytic reduction synthesis of SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites and their enhanced photocatalytic activity

Tao Xian^{1,2}, Hua Yang^{1,2*}, Lijing Di², Jinyuan Ma¹, Haimin Zhang² and Jianfeng Dai^{1,2}

Abstract

SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites were prepared via photocatalytic reduction of graphene oxide by UV light-irradiated SrTiO₃ nanoparticles. Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy analysis indicates that graphene oxide is reduced into graphene. Transmission electron microscope observation shows that SrTiO₃ nanoparticles are well assembled onto graphene sheets. The photocatalytic activity of as-prepared SrTiO₃-graphene composites was evaluated by the degradation of acid orange 7 (AO7) under a 254-nm UV irradiation, revealing that the composites exhibit significantly enhanced photocatalytic activity compared to the bare SrTiO₃ nanoparticles. This can be explained by the fact that photogenerated electrons are captured by graphene, leading to an increased separation and availability of electrons and holes for the photocatalytic reaction. Hydroxyl ($\cdot\text{OH}$) radicals were detected by the photoluminescence technique using terephthalic acid as a probe molecule and were found to be produced over the irradiated SrTiO₃ nanoparticles and SrTiO₃-graphene composites; especially, an enhanced yield is observed for the latter. The influence of ethanol, KI, and N₂ on the photocatalytic efficiency was also investigated. Based on the experimental results, $\cdot\text{OH}$, h^+ , and H₂O₂ are suggested to be the main active species in the photocatalytic degradation of AO7 by SrTiO₃-graphene composites.

Keywords: SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites; Photocatalysis; Photocatalytic mechanism

PACS: 61.46. + w; 78.67.Bf; 78.66.Sq

Background

Semiconductor photocatalysts have attracted considerable attention over the past decades due to their potential applications in solar energy conversion and environmental purification [1,2]. Among them, SrTiO₃, a well-known cubic perovskite-type multimetallic oxide with a bandgap energy (E_g) of approximately 3.2 eV, is proved to be a promising photocatalyst for water splitting and degradation of organic pollutants [3-6]. Furthermore, the photocatalytic activity of SrTiO₃ can be tailored or enhanced by doping with metalloid elements, decoration with noble metals, and composite with other semiconductors [7-10]. It is generally accepted that the basic principle of semiconductor photocatalysis involves the photogeneration

of electron-hole (e^- - h^+) pairs, migration of the photo-generated carriers to the photocatalyst surface, redox reaction of the carriers with other chemical species to produce active species (such as $\cdot\text{OH}$, $\cdot\text{O}_2$, and H₂O₂), and attack of the active species on pollutants leading to their degradation. In these processes, the high recombination rate of the photogenerated carriers greatly limits the photocatalytic activity of catalysts. Therefore, the effective separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs is very important in improving the photocatalytic efficiency.

Graphene, being a two-dimensional (2D) sheet of sp^2 -hybridized carbon atoms, possesses unique properties including high electrical conductivity, electron mobility, thermal conductivity, mechanical strength, and chemical stability [11-13]. On account of its outstanding properties, graphene has been frequently used as an ideal support to integrate with a large number of functional nanomaterials to form nanocomposites with improved performances in the fields of photocatalysts [14-21], supercapacitors [22],

* Correspondence: hyang@lut.cn

¹State Key Laboratory of Advanced Processing and Recycling of Non-ferrous Metals, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, People's Republic of China

²School of Science, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, People's Republic of China

field-emission emitters [23], and fuel cells [24]. Particularly, the combination of graphene with photocatalysts is demonstrated to be an efficient way to promote the separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs and then enhance their photocatalytic activity [14-21]. In these photocatalyst-graphene composites, photogenerated electrons can be readily captured by graphene which acts as an electron acceptor, leading to an increasing availability of photogenerated electrons and holes participating in the photocatalytic reactions. But so far, the investigation concerning the photocatalytic performance of SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites has been rarely reported.

Up to now, semiconductor-graphene nanocomposites have been generally prepared using graphene oxide as the precursor, followed by its reduction to graphene. To reduce the graphene oxide, several methods have been employed including chemical reduction using hydrazine or NaBH₄ [14], high-temperature annealing reduction [15], hydrothermal reduction using supercritical water [16], green chemistry method [17], and photocatalytic reduction using semiconductors [18-21]. Among them, the photocatalytic reduction is an environment-friendly and a mild way for the synthesis of semiconductor-graphene composites. In this route, the solution containing the photocatalyst and graphene oxide is irradiated with light energy greater than the E_g of the photocatalyst, during which graphene oxide receives electrons from the excited photocatalyst and is thus reduced to graphene. During the photocatalytic reduction process, photocatalyst nanoparticles are assembled onto graphene sheets to form photocatalyst-graphene composites. Herein, we report the synthesis of SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites via the photocatalytic reduction method. The photocatalytic activity of the composites was evaluated by the degradation of acid orange 7 (AO7) under ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation, and the photocatalytic mechanism involved was discussed.

Methods

SrTiO₃ nanoparticles were synthesized via a polyacrylamide gel route as described in the literature [25]. The graphene oxide used in this research was purchased from Nan-Jing XF Nano Materials Tech Co. Ltd. (Nanjing, China). SrTiO₃-graphene composites were prepared via a photocatalytic reduction route. A certain amount of graphene oxide was dispersed in 50 mL distilled water, followed by ultrasonic treatment of the suspension for 30 min. Then, 0.1 g SrTiO₃ nanoparticles and 0.0125 g ammonium oxalate (AO) were added to the suspension under magnetic stirring. After stirring for 10 min, the mixture was purged with nitrogen and exposed to UV light irradiation from a 15-W low-pressure mercury lamp for 5 h under mild stirring. During the irradiation, the color of the mixture changed from brown to black, indicating the reduction

of the graphene oxide. After that, the product was separated from the reaction solution by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 10 min, washed several times with distilled water and absolute ethanol, and then dried in a thermostat drying oven at 60°C for 4 h to obtain SrTiO₃-graphene composites. A series of samples were prepared by varying the weight fraction of graphene oxide from 2.5% to 10%.

The photocatalytic activity of the samples was evaluated by the degradation of AO7 under UV light irradiation of a 15-W low-pressure mercury lamp ($\lambda = 254$ nm). The initial AO7 concentration was 5 mg L⁻¹ with a photocatalyst loading of 0.5 g L⁻¹. Prior to irradiation, the mixed solution was ultrasonically treated in the dark to make the photocatalyst uniformly dispersed. The concentration of AO7 after the photocatalytic degradation was determined by measuring the absorbance of the solution at a fixed wavelength of 484 nm. Before the absorbance measurements, the reaction solution was centrifuged for 10 min at 4,000 rpm to remove the photocatalyst. The degradation percentage is defined as $(C_0 - C_t) / C_0 \times 100\%$, where C_0 and C_t are the AO7 concentrations before and after irradiation, respectively. To investigate the photocatalytic stability of the SrTiO₃-graphene composites, the recycling tests for the degradation of AO7 using the composite were carried out. After the first cycle, the photocatalyst was collected by centrifugation, washed with water, and dried. The recovered photocatalyst was introduced to the fresh AO7 solution for the next cycle of the photocatalysis experiment under the same conditions. The process was repeated four times.

Terephthalic acid (TA) was used as a probe molecule to examine hydroxyl (\cdot OH) radicals produced over the irradiated SrTiO₃-graphene composites. It is expected that TA reacts with \cdot OH to generate a highly fluorescent compound, 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid (TAOH). By measuring the photoluminescence (PL) intensity of TAOH that is pronounced around 429 nm, the information about \cdot OH can be obtained. TA was dissolved in a NaOH solution (1.0 mmol L⁻¹) to make a 0.25-mmol L⁻¹ TA solution and then to the solution was added 0.5 g L⁻¹ SrTiO₃-graphene composites. The mixed solution, after several minutes of ultrasound treatment in the dark, was illuminated under a 15-W low-pressure mercury lamp. The reacted solution was centrifuged for 10 min at 4,000 rpm to remove the photocatalyst and was then used for the PL measurements through a fluorescence spectrophotometer with the excitation wavelength of 315 nm.

The phase purity of the samples was examined by means of X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) with Cu K α radiation. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) measurements were carried out on a Bruker IFS 66v/S spectrometer (Ettlingen, Germany). The morphology of the samples was observed by a field emission transmission electron microscope (TEM). The UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra

were measured using a UV-visible spectrophotometer with an integrating sphere attachment.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 schematically shows the photocatalytic reduction process of graphene oxide by UV light-irradiated SrTiO₃ nanoparticles. It is noted that the SrTiO₃ particles have an isoelectric point at pH 8.5 [26]; that is, they bear a negative surface charge when pH > 8.5 and a positive surface charge when pH < 8.5. When the SrTiO₃ particles are added to the graphene oxide suspension, the pH value of the mixture is measured to be approximately 6.5, implying that the SrTiO₃ particle surface is positively charged. On the other hand, the oxygen-containing functional groups of graphene oxide (such as carboxylic acid -COOH and hydroxyl -OH) are deprotonated when it immersed in water, which leads to negative charges created on graphene oxide [27]. As a result, the SrTiO₃ particles are expected to be adsorbed onto the graphene oxide sheets through electrostatic interactions. Upon UV-light irradiation, electrons and holes are produced on the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) of the SrTiO₃ particles, respectively. The photogenerated holes are captured by ammonium oxalate that is a hole scavenger [28], leaving behind the photogenerated electrons on the surface of the SrTiO₃ particles. The electrons are injected from the SrTiO₃ particles into the graphene oxide and react with its oxygen-containing functional groups to reduce graphene oxide.

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra of graphene oxide, SrTiO₃ particles, and SrTiO₃-graphene(10%) composites. In the spectrum of graphene oxide, the absorption peak




Figure 2 FTIR spectra of graphene oxide, SrTiO₃ particles, and SrTiO₃-graphene(10%) composites.

at 1,726 cm⁻¹ is caused by the C = O stretching vibration of the COOH group. The peak at 1,620 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the C = C skeletal vibration of the graphene sheets. The absorption peak of O-H deformation vibrations in C-OH can be seen at 1,396 cm⁻¹. The absorption bands at around 1,224 and 1,050 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the C-O stretching vibration. For the SrTiO₃ particles, the broad absorption bands at around 447 and 625 cm⁻¹ correspond to TiO₆ octahedron bending and stretching vibration, respectively [29]. The absorption peak at around 1,630 cm⁻¹ is due to the bending vibration of H-O-H from the adsorbed H₂O. In the spectrum of the SrTiO₃-graphene composites, the characteristic peaks of SrTiO₃ are detected. The absorption




Figure 1 Schematic illustration of the photocatalytic reduction process of graphene oxide by UV light-irradiated SrTiO₃ nanoparticles.

peak at $1,630\text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the overlay of the vibration peak of H-O-H from H_2O and C = C skeletal vibration peak in the graphene sheets. However, the absorption peaks of oxygen-containing functional groups, being characteristic for graphene oxide, disappear. The results demonstrate that graphene oxide is completely reduced to graphene during the photocatalytic reduction process.

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of the SrTiO_3 particles and the SrTiO_3 -graphene (10%) composites. It is seen that all the diffraction peaks for the bare SrTiO_3 particles and the composites can be indexed to the cubic structure of SrTiO_3 , and no traces of impurity phases are detected. This indicates that the SrTiO_3 particles undergo no structural change after the photocatalytic reduction of graphene oxide. In addition, no apparent diffraction peaks of graphene in the composites are observed, which is due to the low content and relatively weak diffraction intensity of the graphene.

Figure 4a shows the TEM image of graphene oxide, indicating that it has a typical two-dimensional sheet structure with crumpled feature. Figure 4b shows the TEM image of the SrTiO_3 particles, revealing that the particles are nearly spherical in shape with an average size of about 55 nm. The TEM image of the SrTiO_3 -graphene (10%) composites is presented in Figure 4c, from which one can see that the SrTiO_3 particles are well assembled onto the graphene sheet.

Figure 5a shows the UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra of the SrTiO_3 particles and SrTiO_3 -graphene composites. The composites display continuously enhanced light absorbance over the whole wavelength range with increasing graphene content. This can be attributed to the strong light absorption of graphene in the UV-visible light region [30]. Figure 5b shows the corresponding first derivative of the reflectance (R) with respect to wavelength λ (i.e., $dR/d\lambda$), where the peak wavelength is characterized to be the




Figure 3 XRD patterns of the SrTiO_3 particles and SrTiO_3 -graphene(10%) composites.






Figure 4 TEM images of (a) graphene oxide, (b) SrTiO_3 particles, and (c) SrTiO_3 -graphene(10%) composites.




absorption edge of the samples. It is seen that the SrTiO₃ particles and composites present two absorption peaks in the derivative spectra. The strong and sharp absorption edge at approximately 370 nm is suggested to be attributed to the electron transition from valence band to conduction band. In comparison to the SrTiO₃ particles, the SrTiO₃-graphene composites show almost no shift in this absorption edge, indicating that the effect of graphene on the band structure of SrTiO₃ can be neglected. From this absorption edge, the E_g of the samples is obtained to be approximately 3.35 eV. In addition, the relatively weak absorption edge at approximately 335 nm may be ascribed to the surface effects.

The photocatalytic activity of the SrTiO₃-graphene composites was evaluated by the degradation of AO7 under UV light irradiation. Figure 6 shows the photocatalytic degradation of AO7 over the SrTiO₃-graphene composites as a function of irradiation time (t). The blank experiment result is also shown in Figure 6, from which one can see that AO7 is hardly degraded under UV light irradiation without photocatalysts, and its degradation percentage is less than



8% after 6 h of exposure. After the 6-h irradiation in the presence of SrTiO₃ particles, about 51% of AO7 is observed to be degraded. When the SrTiO₃ particles assembled on the graphene sheets, the obtained samples exhibit higher photocatalytic activity than the bare SrTiO₃ particles. In these composites, the photocatalytic activity increases gradually with increasing graphene content and achieves the highest value when the content of graphene reaches 7.5%, where the degradation of AO7 is about 88% after irradiation for 6 h. Further increase in graphene content leads to the decrease of the photocatalytic activity.

Figure 7 shows the PL spectra of the TA solution after reacting for 6 h over the UV light-irradiated SrTiO₃ particles and SrTiO₃-graphene(7.5%) composites. The blank experiment result indicates almost no PL signal at 429 nm after irradiation without photocatalyst. On irradiation in the presence of the SrTiO₃ particles, the PL signal



centered around 429 nm is obviously detected, revealing the generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals. When the SrTiO_3 -graphene composites are used as the photocatalyst, the PL signal becomes more intense, suggesting that the yield of the $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals is enhanced over the irradiated composites.

Generally, h^+ , $\cdot\text{OH}$, $\cdot\text{O}_2$, and H_2O_2 are thought to be the main active species responsible for the dye degradation [31]. It is known that ethanol is a scavenger for $\cdot\text{OH}$, and KI is a scavenger for both $\cdot\text{OH}$ and h^+ [32,33]. By investigating the effect of ethanol and KI on the photocatalytic efficiency of the composites toward the AO7 degradation, we can clarify the role of h^+ and $\cdot\text{OH}$ in the photocatalysis. The role of $\cdot\text{O}_2$ and H_2O_2 , which are derived from the reaction between dissolved O_2 and photogenerated e^- , on the dye degradation can be examined by investigating the effect of N_2 on the photocatalytic efficiency since the dissolved O_2 can be removed from the solution by the N_2 -purging procedure. Figure 8 shows the effect of N_2 (bubbled at a rate of 0.1 L min^{-1}), ethanol (10% by volume), and KI ($2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$) on the degradation percentage of AO7 after 6 h of photocatalysis. It is demonstrated that when adding ethanol to the reaction solution, the photocatalytic degradation of AO7 undergoes a substantial decrease, from approximately 88% under normal condition to approximately 40% on addition of ethanol. This suggests that $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical is an important active species responsible for the dye degradation. Figure 7 provides direct evidence showing the generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals over the irradiated SrTiO_3 -graphene composites. The addition of KI to the reaction solution results in a higher suppression of the photocatalytic efficiency compared to the addition of ethanol, where only 16% of AO7 is caused to be degraded, indicating that the photogenerated h^+ also plays a role in the degradation of AO7. In addition, the photocatalytic efficiency decreases slightly



under N_2 -purging condition, implying comparatively minor role of $\cdot\text{O}_2$ and/or H_2O_2 for the dye degradation.

In order to understand the photocatalytic mechanism of semiconductor-based photocatalysts, it is essential to determine their energy-band potentials since the redox ability of photogenerated carriers is associated with energy-band potentials of photocatalysts. The conduction band and valence band potentials of SrTiO_3 can be calculated using the following relation [34]:






$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{CB}} &= X - E^e - 0.5E_g \\ E_{\text{VB}} &= E_{\text{CB}} + E_g, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where X is the absolute electronegativity of SrTiO_3 (defined as the arithmetic mean of the electron affinity and the first ionization of the constituent atoms) and estimated to be 5.34 eV according to the data reported in the literature [35,36], E^e is the energy of free electrons on the hydrogen scale (4.5 eV), and E_g is the bandgap energy of SrTiO_3 (3.35 eV). The conduction band and valence band potentials of SrTiO_3 vs. normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) are therefore calculated to be $E_{\text{CB}} = -0.84 \text{ V}$ and $E_{\text{VB}} = +2.51 \text{ V}$, respectively.


Based on the obtained experimental results, a possible photocatalytic mechanism of SrTiO_3 -graphene composites toward the degradation of AO7 is schematically shown in Figure 9. When SrTiO_3 is irradiated with light of energy greater than its bandgap energy, electrons are excited to the conduction band from the valence band, thus creating electron-hole pairs (Equation 2). Generally, most of the photogenerated electrons and holes recombine rapidly, and only a few of them participate in redox reactions. It is noted that graphene, which is an excellent electron acceptor and conductor, has a Fermi level (-0.08 V vs. NHE [37]) positive to the conduction band potential of SrTiO_3 (-0.84 V). When SrTiO_3 particles




are assembled onto graphene sheets, the photogenerated electrons can readily transfer from the conduction band of SrTiO₃ to graphene (Equation 3). Thus, the recombination of electron-hole pairs can be effectively suppressed in the composites, which leads to an increased availability of electrons and holes for the photocatalytic reactions. The Fermi level of graphene is positive to the redox potential of O₂/·O₂ (-0.13 V vs. NHE) but negative to that of O₂/H₂O₂ (+0.695 vs. NHE) [31,38]. This implies that the photogenerated e⁻ which transferred onto the graphene cannot thermodynamically react with O₂ to produce ·O₂, but can react with O₂ and H⁺ to produce H₂O₂ (Equation 4). H₂O₂ is an active species that can cause dye degradation, and moreover, H₂O₂ can also participate in the reactions as described in Equations 5 and 6 to form another active species ·OH. The valence band potential of SrTiO₃ (+2.51 V) is positive to the redox potential of OH⁻/·OH (+1.89 V vs. NHE) [39], indicating that the photogenerated h⁺ can react with OH⁻ to produce ·OH (Equation 7). As a consequence, the active species ·OH, h⁺, and H₂O₂ work together to degrade AO7 (Equation 8).




From Figure 6, it is found that the photocatalytic activity of the composites is highly related to the content of graphene, which can be explained as follows. With raising the graphene content, the amount of SrTiO₃ particles decorated on the surface of graphene is expected to increase, thus providing more photogenerated carriers for the photocatalytic reaction. When the graphene content in the composites reaches 7.5%, the SrTiO₃ particles are decorated sufficiently, consequently leading to the achievement of the highest photocatalytic activity. However, with further increasing graphene content above 7.5%, the photocatalytic efficiency begins to exhibit a decreasing trend. The possible reason is that the excessive graphene may shield the light and decrease the photon absorption by the SrTiO₃ particles, and moreover, the amount of available surface active sites tends to be reduced due to an increasing coverage of graphene onto the surface of the SrTiO₃ particles.



Besides the photocatalytic activity, the reusability of photocatalysts is another crucial factor for their practical applications. The stability of the SrTiO₃-graphene(7.5%) composites is examined by the recycling photocatalytic experiment, as shown in Figure 10. It reveals that the degradation percentage of AO7 maintains 80% to 88% for five consecutive recycles. The tiny or negligible loss of the photocatalytic efficiency indicates the excellent photocatalytic reusability of the as-prepared SrTiO₃-graphene composites. Figure 11 shows the XRD patterns of the composites before and after the recycle experiment, revealing no obvious crystal structure changes. Figure 12 shows the TEM images of the composites before and after the recycle experiment, from which one can see that SrTiO₃ particles are still well decorated on the graphene sheets.





Conclusions

SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites were prepared by irradiating the mixture solution of SrTiO₃ nanoparticles and graphene oxide sheets, during which graphene oxide receives electrons from the excited SrTiO₃ nanoparticles to be reduced to graphene, simultaneously leading to the assembly of SrTiO₃ nanoparticles onto graphene sheets. Compared to the bare SrTiO₃ nanoparticles, the as-prepared SrTiO₃-graphene composites exhibit an enhanced photocatalytic activity for the degradation of AO7 under irradiation of UV light. This can be attributed to the effective separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs due to the electron transfer from

SrTiO₃ to graphene and, hence, increased availability of electrons and holes for the photocatalytic reaction. The enhanced generation of ·OH radicals is observed over the irradiated SrTiO₃-graphene composites compared to the bare SrTiO₃ nanoparticles. The photocatalytic efficiency is slightly decreased by purging with N₂ but is significantly suppressed by the addition of ethanol and KI (especially for the latter). Based on the experimental results, ·OH, h⁺, and H₂O₂ are suggested to be the main active species causing the dye degradation.

Abbreviations

AO: ammonium oxalate; AO7: acid orange 7; CB: conduction band; e⁻: photogeneration of electron; E_g: bandgap energy; FTIR: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy; h⁺: photogeneration of hole; H₂O₂: hydrogen peroxide; NHE: normal hydrogen electrode; OH: hydroxyl radicals; PL: photoluminescence; TA: terephthalic acid; TAOH: 2-hydroxyterephthalic acid; TEM: transmission electron microscope; UV: ultraviolet; VB: valence band; XRD: X-ray powder diffraction.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HY and TX conceived the idea of experiments. TX, LD, JM, and HZ carried out the preparation and characterization of the samples. HY, TX, and JD analyzed and discussed the results of the experiments. TX drafted the manuscript. HY improved the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

HY is a professor and a Ph.D. degree holder specializing in the investigation of photocatalytic and nanometer materials. JD is a professor and a Ph.D. degree holder specializing in the investigation of nanometer materials. JM and HZ are instructors and M.Sc. degree holders specializing in the research of nanometer materials. TX is a doctoral candidate major in the study of photocatalytic materials. LD is a graduate student major in the preparation of photocatalytic materials.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51262018) and the Hongliu Outstanding Talents Foundation of Lanzhou University of Technology (Grant No. J201205).

Received: 24 April 2014 Accepted: 20 June 2014

Published: 29 June 2014

References

1. Mills A, Davies RH, Worsley D: **Water purification by semiconductor photocatalysis.** *Chem Soc Rev* 1993, **22**:417–425.
2. Hofmann MR, Martin ST, Choi W, Bahnemann DW: **Environmental applications of semiconductor photocatalysis.** *Chem Rev* 1995, **95**:69–96.
3. Zheng Z, Huang B, Qin X, Zhang X, Dai Y: **Facile synthesis of SrTiO₃ hollow microspheres built as assembly of nanocubes and their associated photocatalytic activity.** *J Colloid Interface Sci* 2011, **358**:68–72.
4. Kato H, Kobayashi M, Hara M, Kakihana M: **Fabrication of SrTiO₃ exposing characteristic facets using molten salt flux and improvement of photocatalytic activity for water splitting.** *Catal Sci Technol* 2013, **3**:1733–1738.
5. da Silva LF, Avansi W, Andres J, Ribeiro C, Moreira ML, Longo E, Mastelaro VR: **Long-range and short-range structures of cube-like shape SrTiO₃ powders: microwave-assisted hydrothermal synthesis and photocatalytic activity.** *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2013, **15**:12386–12393.
6. Kuang Q, Yang S: **Template synthesis of single-crystal-like porous SrTiO₃ nanocube assemblies and their enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution.** *ACS Appl Mat Interfaces* 2013, **5**:3683–3690.
7. Cao T, Li Y, Wang C, Shao C, Liu Y: **A facile in situ hydrothermal method to SrTiO₃/TiO₂ nanofiber heterostructures with high photocatalytic activity.** *Langmuir* 2011, **27**:2946–2952.

8. Puangpetch T, Chavadej S, Sreethawong T: Hydrogen production over Au-loaded mesoporous-assembled SrTiO₃ nanocrystal photocatalyst: effects of molecular structure and chemical properties of hole scavengers. *Energy Convers Manage* 2011, **52**:2256–2261.
9. Guoa J, Ouyang S, Li P, Zhang Y, Kako T, Ye J: A new heterojunction Ag₃PO₄/Cr-SrTiO₃ photocatalyst towards efficient elimination of gaseous organic pollutants under visible light irradiation. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2013, **134–135**:286–292.
10. Zou F, Jiang Z, Qin X, Zhao Y, Jiang L, Zhi J, Xiao T, Edwards PP: Template-free synthesis of mesoporous N-doped SrTiO₃ perovskite with high visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity. *Chem Commun* 2012, **48**:8514–8516.
11. Bolotin KI, Sikes KJ, Jiang Z, Klima M, Fudenberg G, Hone J, Kim P, Stormer HL: Ultrahigh electron mobility in suspended graphene. *Solid State Commun* 2008, **146**:351–355.
12. Balandin AA, Ghosh S, Bao W, Calizo I, Teweldebrhan D, Miao F, Lau CN: Superior thermal conductivity of single-layer graphene. *Nano Lett* 2008, **8**:902–907.
13. Frank IW, Tanenbaum DM, Van Der Zande AM, McEuen PL: Mechanical properties of suspended graphene sheets. *J Vac Sci Technol B* 2007, **25**:2558–2561.
14. Xu TG, Zhang LW, Cheng HY, Zhu YF: Significantly enhanced photocatalytic performance of ZnO via graphene hybridization and the mechanism study. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2011, **101**:382–387.
15. Cuong TV, Pham VH, Tran QT, Chung JS, Shin EW, Kim JS, Kim EJ: Optoelectronic properties of graphene thin films prepared by thermal reduction of graphene oxide. *Mater Lett* 2010, **64**:765–767.
16. Lü W, Chen J, Wu Y, Duan L, Yang Y, Ge X: Graphene-enhanced visible-light photocatalysis of CdS particles for wastewater treatment. *Nanoscale Res Lett* 2014, **9**:148.
17. Gao M, Peh CKN, Ong WL, Ho GW: Green chemistry synthesis of a nanocomposite graphene hydrogel with three-dimensional nanomesopores for photocatalytic H₂ production. *RSC Advances* 2013, **3**:13169–13177.
18. Liu X, Pan L, Zhao Q, Lv T, Zhu G, Chen T, Lu T, Sun Z, Sun C: UV-assisted photocatalytic synthesis of ZnO-reduced graphene oxide composites with enhanced photocatalytic activity in reduction of Cr(VI). *Chem Eng J* 2012, **183**:238–243.
19. Wong TJ, Lim FJ, Gao M, Lee GH, Ho GW: Photocatalytic H₂ production of composite one-dimensional TiO₂ nanostructures of different morphological structures and crystal phases with graphene. *Catal Sci Technol* 2013, **3**:1086–1093.
20. Bell NJ, Ng YH, Du A, Coster H, Smith SC, Amal R: Understanding the enhancement in photoelectrochemical properties of photocatalytically prepared TiO₂-reduced graphene oxide composite. *J Phys Chem C* 2011, **115**:6004–6009.
21. Akhavan O: Graphene nanomesh by ZnO nanorod photocatalysts. *ACS Nano* 2010, **7**:4174–4780.
22. Li Z, Zhou Z, Yun G, Shi K, Lv X, Yang B: High-performance solid-state supercapacitors based on graphene-ZnO hybrid nanocomposites. *Nanoscale Res Lett* 2013, **8**:473.
23. Yan Z, Ma L, Zhu Y, Lahiri I, Hahm MG, Liu Z, Yang S, Xiang C, Lu W, Peng Z, Sun Z, Kittrell C, Lou J, Choi W, Ajayan PM, Tour JM: Three-dimensional metal-graphene-nanotube multifunctional hybrid materials. *ACS Nano* 2013, **7**:58–64.
24. Liang Y, Li Y, Wang H, Zhou J, Wang J, Regier T, Dai H: Co₃O₄ nanocrystals on graphene as a synergistic catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction. *Nat Mater* 2011, **10**:780–786.
25. Xian T, Yang H, Dai JF, Wei ZQ, Ma JY, Feng WJ: Photocatalytic properties of SrTiO₃ nanoparticles prepared by a polyacrylamide gel route. *Mater Lett* 2011, **21–22**:3254–3257.
26. Kosmulski M: pH-dependent surface charging and points of zero charge. IV. Update and new approach. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 2009, **337**:439–448.
27. Talyzin AV, Hausmaninger T, You S, Szabob T: The structure of graphene oxide membranes in liquid water, ethanol and water-ethanol mixtures. *Nanoscale* 2014, **6**:272–281.
28. Liu W, Wang M, Xu C, Chen S, Fu X: Significantly enhanced visible-light photocatalytic activity of g-C₃N₄ via ZnO modification and the mechanism study. *J Mol Catal A Chem* 2013, **9–15**:368–369.
29. Last JT: Infrared-absorption studies on barium titanate and related materials. *Phys Rev* 1957, **105**:1740–1750.
30. Zhao D, Sheng G, Chen C, Wang X: Enhanced photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue under visible irradiation on graphene@TiO₂ dyade structure. *Appl Catal B Environ* 2012, **111–112**:303–308.
31. Teoh WY, Scott JA, Amal R: Progress in heterogeneous photocatalysis: from classical radical chemistry to engineering nanomaterials and solar reactors. *J Phys Chem Lett* 2012, **3**:629–639.
32. Daneshvar N, Salari D, Khataee AR: Photocatalytic degradation of azo dye acid red 14 in water: investigation of the effect of operational parameters. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem* 2003, **157**:111–116.
33. Li YY, Wang JS, Yao HC, Dang LY, Li Z: Efficient decomposition of organic compounds and reaction mechanism with BiOI photocatalyst under visible light irradiation. *J Mol Catal A Chem* 2011, **334**:116–122.
34. Morrison SR: *Electrochemistry at Semiconductor and Oxidized Metal Electrode*. New York: Plenum; 1980.
35. Hotop H, Lineberger WC: Binding energies in atomic negative ions. *J Phys Chem Ref Data* 1975, **4**:539–576.
36. Andersen T, Haugen HK, Hotop H: Binding energies in atomic negative ions. III. *J Phys Chem Ref Data* 1999, **28**:1511–1533.
37. Zhang J, Yu J, Jaroniec M, Gong JR: Noble metal-free reduced graphene oxide-Zn_xCd_{1-x}S nanocomposite with enhanced solar photocatalytic H₂-production performance. *Nano Lett* 2012, **12**:4584–4589.
38. Arai T, Yanagida M, Konishi Y, Iwasaki Y, Sugihara H, Sayama K: Efficient complete oxidation of acetaldehyde into CO₂ over CuBi₂O₄/WO₃ composite photocatalyst under visible and UV light irradiation. *J Phys Chem C* 2007, **111C**:7574–7577.
39. Tachikawa T, Fujitsuka M, Majima T: Mechanistic insight into the TiO₂ photocatalytic reactions: design of new photocatalysts. *J Phys Chem C* 2007, **111C**:5259–5275.

doi:10.1186/1556-276X-9-327

Cite this article as: Xian et al.: Photocatalytic reduction synthesis of SrTiO₃-graphene nanocomposites and their enhanced photocatalytic activity. *Nanoscale Research Letters* 2014 **9**:327.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com