PHOTOREACTIVE TITANATE NANOMATERIALS FOR WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS

By

Ibrahim El Saliby

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy



Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology University of Technology, Sydney AUSTRALIA 2012

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree, nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that this thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work on the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all the information sources and literature used as indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

I dedicate this work to my parents in Lebanon

Jihad & Jamilee El Saliby

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Ho Kyong Shon for his encouragement, meticulous follow-up and guidance during the completion of my thesis. I will never forget your invaluable efforts to improve the research environment of our group, to intensify our passion to knowledge and to help us achieve our goals. Also, I would like to thank my co-supervisor A/Prof. Jaya Kandasamy for his help and follow up. He has shown serenity and wisdom in dealing with several issues, I thank him for all the help and support during my journey at UTS.

I would like also to acknowledge Dr. Laszlo Erdei for his help in the design, implementation and correction of this research thesis. The help of Prof. Saravanmuthu Vigneswaran, Dr. Robert McLaughlan and A/Prof. Huu Hao Ngo for supporting my research engagement in the CTWW labs is also appreciated.

Warm thanks to all my lab mates and beloved friends, Yousef, Wen, Sherub, Thanh, Mohammad, Johir, Thamer, Dang, Ben, Chinu, Ganesh, Gausul and Javeed for their friendship and help during the last four years.

Special thanks must go to Dr. Andrew McDonagh, Dr. Ric Wuhrer, Mark Berkahn, Katie McBean, Jong Beon Kim and Jong-Ho Kim for training me, sharing their knowledge, and providing valuable help in the characterisation of nanomaterials.

I also would like to thank the University of Technology, Sydney, and the Australian Postgraduate Awards for providing full financial support through scholarships for the completion of this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	XX
ABSTRACT	xxi
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1. Nanotechnology for Water Remediation	1-2
1.2. Heterogeneous Photocatalysis over TiO ₂	1-2
1.3. Visible-light Active Photocatalysts	1-3
1.4. Peroxide Method for Photocatalysts' Synthesis	1-4
1.5. Micro-Assemblies of Nanostructures	1-5
1.6. Research Objectives and Scope	1-6
1.6.1. Objectives	1-6
1.6.2. Scope of the Investigation and Thesis Structure	1-7
CHAPTER 2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	2-1
2.1. Nanotechnology in Water and Wastewater Treatment	2-2
2.1.1. History and Overview	2-2
2.1.2. Benefits of Nanotechnology in Water and	
Wastewater Treatment	2-5
2.1.2.1. Water Treatment	2-5
2.1.2.2. Water Purification	2-6
2.1.3. Application of Nanotechnology in Water and	

Wastewater Treatment Processes	2-9
2.1.3.1. Nanomaterials and Membrane Filtration	2-9
2.1.3.2. Metals, Bimetallic Nanoparticles and Mixed Oxides	2-12
2.1.3.3. Modified Photocatalysts	2-15
2.1.4. Nanotechnology and Human Health	2-17
2.2. Heterogeneous Photocatalysis over TiO ₂	2-19
2.2.1. Overview	2-19
2.2.2. Fundamentals and Basic Principles	2-20
2.2.3. Photocatalytic Reactors	2-22
2.2.4. Operational Parameters	2-23
2.2.4.1. Photocatalyst Loading	2-23
2.2.4.2. Composition and Characteristics of Photocatalysts	2-24
2.2.4.3. Concentration of Pollutants	2-24
2.2.4.4. Effect of pH	2-25
2.2.4.5. Light Intensity	2-26
2.2.4.6. Dissolved Oxygen	2-27
2.2.4.7. Temperature	2-27
2.2.4.8. Optimisation Methodology	2-28
2.2.4.9. Process Efficiency	2-28
2.2.5. Kinetics of TiO ₂ photocatalysis	2-29
2.3. Solar/Visible Light Responsive TiO ₂	2-33
2.3.1. Background	2-33
2.3.2. Overview of Visible Light Activity by Doping TiO_2	2-34
2.3.2.1. Nitrogen Doping	2-34
2.3.2.2. Silver Doping	2-36
2.3.3. Doped TiO ₂ and Water Reclamation	2-39
2.3.3.1. Nitrogen Doped TiO ₂	2-39
2.3.3.1.1. Photodegradation of Dyes	2-39
2.3.3.1.2. Photodegradation of Phenolic Compounds	2-42
2.3.3.1.3. Other Organic Water Contaminants	2-44
2.3.3.2. Silver Doped TiO_2	2-46

2.3.3.2	2.1. Photodegradation of Dyes	2-46
2.3.3.2	2.2. Other Prominent Water Contaminants	2-47
2.3.3.3	8. Co-doping using Metal/non-metal Elements	2-48
2.4.	Model Water Pollutants used in this Study	2-51
2.4.1.	Humic acid as a Natural Water Pollutant	2-51
2.4.1.1	. Characteristics of Humic Acid	2-51
2.4.1.2	2. Photocatalytic Decomposition of Humic Acids	2-52
2.4.2.	Methylene blue	2-54
2.5. 8	Summary	2-57
CHAF	PTER 3	
MATI	ERIALS AND METHODOLOGIES	3-1
3.1. In	troduction	3-2
3.2. M	aterials	3-2
3.2.1.	Degussa P25 Photocatalyst	3-2
3.2.2.	Chemicals	3-3
3.2.3.	Wool	3-3
3.3. Pł	notocatalysts Characterisation Equipments and Methods	3-5
3.3.1.	Scanning Electron Microscope / Energy Dispersive X-ray	3-5
3.3.2.	Transmission Electron Microscope	3-5
3.3.3.	Specific Surface Area and Pore Size Measurements	3-6
3.3.4.	X-ray Diffractometer	3-6
3.3.5.	X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscope	3-7
3.3.6.	Malvern Zetasizer	3-7
3.4. Pł	notocatalytic Reactors	3-8
3.4.1.	Photoreactor 1	3-8
3.4.2.	Photoreactor 2	3-8

3.4.3. Solar Simulator	3-9
3.5. Auxiliary Laboratory Instruments	3-11
3.6. Analytical Procedures	3-14
3.6.1. UV-vis Spectrophotometry	3-14
3.6.2. Dissolved Organic Carbon Measurement	3-16
3.6.3. Ion Chromatography	3-18
3.7. Data Processing and Plotting	3-19
CHAPTER 4	
PEROXIDE METHODS FOR ADVANCED	
PHOTOCATALYSTS SYNTHESIS	4-1
4.1. Introduction	4-2
4.2. Peroxide Methods for Photocatalysts Synthesis	4-3
4.2.1. Metal Doping of Titania	4-3
4.2.2. Synthesis of Nanomaterials	4-4
4.2.3. Thin Film and Composite Photocatalysts Synthesis	4-7
4.2.4. Nano and Microstructured Photocatalysts	4-9
4.3. Experimental Investigation	4-12
4.3.1. Dissolution of P25	4-12
4.3.1.1. Experiment 1: Sodium Hydroxide Base	4-12
4.3.1.2. Experiment 2: Ammonium Hydroxide Base	4-12
4.3.2. Solution and Powder Characterisation	4-13
4.4. Results and Discussion	4-14
4.4.1. Characteristics of Powder Samples	4-14
4.4.1.1. Solution Characteristics using NaOH Base	4-14
4.4.1.2. Morphology and Composition of Na^{12} and Na^{18}	4-16

4.4.1.3. Solution Characteristics using NH ₄ OH Base	4-21
4.4.1.4. Morphology and Composition of A^8 and A^{20}	4-24
4.5. Conclusions	4-28
CHAPTER 5	
DEVELOPMENT OF VISIBLE LIGHT	
SENSITIVE TITANIA PHOTOCATALYSTS	
BY COMBINED NITROGEN AND SILVER DOPING	5-1
5.1. Introduction	5-2
5.2. Experimental	5-5
5.2.1. Photocatalysts Synthesis	5-5
5.2.2. Characterisation of Photocatalysts	5-5
5.2.3. Photocatalytic Experiments	5-6
5.2.3.1. Visible Light Source	5-6
5.2.3.2. Simulated Solar Light Source	5-6
5.2.3.3. Data Collection	5-6
5.3. Results and Discussion	5-8
5.3.1. Characteristics of Photocatalysts	5-8
5.3.1.1. SEM/EDX and TEM	5-8
5.3.1.2. Textural Properties	5-12
5.3.1.3. X-ray Diffraction	5-13
5.3.1.4. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy	5-15
5.3.2. Photocatalytic Properties	5-17
5.3.2.1. Visible Light Photocatalysis	5-17
5.3.2.2. Simulated Solar Light Photocatalysis	5-22
5.4. Conclusions	5-25

CHAPTER 6

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERISATION	
OF HIGHLY-ADSORBENT AND PHOTOACTIVE	
MESOPOROUS NA-TITANATE MICROSPHERES	6-1

6.1. Introduction	6-2

6.2. Ex	xperimental Investigation	6-5
6.2.1.	Synthesis of Microspheres	6-5
6.2.2.	Powder Characterisation	6-5
6.2.3.	Adsorption Experiments	6-6
6.2.4.	Photocatalytic Properties under UV Light	6-6
6.2.5.	Lifetime Cycle of Photocatalysts	6-6
6.2.6.	Photocatalyst Separation by Settling	6-7

6.3. Re	esults and Discussion	6-8
6.3.1.	Characteristics of Mesoporous Microspheres	6-8
6.3.2.	Adsorption of Methylene Blue on Mesoporous Microspheres	6-13
6.3.3.	Photocatalytic Decolourisation of Methylene Blue	
	and DOC Removal	6-16
6.3.4.	Mineralisation of Methylene Blue	6-18
6.3.5.	Photocatalytic Stability	6-19
6.3.6.	Supernatant Turbidity	6-22

6-23

6.4. Conclusions

CHAPTER 7

7-1

7.1. Introduction	7-2
-------------------	-----

7.2. Experimental Investigations	7-4
7.2.1. Synthesis	7-4
7.2.2. HTNF Characterisation	7-4
7.2.3. MB Adsorption Experiments	7-4
7.2.3.1. Effect of HTNF Loading	7-5
7.2.3.2. Effect of MB Concentration	7-5
7.2.3.3. Effect of pH	7-5
7.2.3.4. Effect of Solution Temperature	7-5
7.2.3.5. Adsorption Equilibrium and Isotherms	7-5
7.2.4. Photocatalytic Degradation under Simulated Solar Light	7-6
7.2.5. Photocatalytic Stability	7-7
7.2.6. Photocatalyst Separation by Settling	7-7
7.3. Results and Discussion	7-8
7.3.1. Characteristics of H-titanate Nanofibres	7-8
7.3.2. Effect of Operating Conditions on MB Adsorption	7-10
7.3.2.1. Effect of HTNF Loading	7-10
7.3.2.2. Effect of Initial MB Concentration	7-11
7.3.2.3. Effect of Solution pH	7-12
7.3.2.4. Effect of Solution Temperature	7-13
7.3.2.5. Adsorption Equilibrium and Isotherms	7-14
7.3.3. Photocatalytic Decolourisation and DOC Removal of MB	7-18
7.3.3.1. Effect of Solution pH	7-18
7.3.3.2. Effect of Light Intensity	7-21
7.3.4. Photocatalytic Stability of HTNF	7-23
7.3.5. Settling Characteristic of HTNF	7-26

7.4. Conclusions

7-28

CHAPTER 8

SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERISATION

8.2.4. Sedimentation Experiments

AND SEPARATION	OF PHOTOREA	CTIVE
----------------	-------------	-------

H-TITANATE NANOFIBROUS CHANNEL	8-1

8-2 8.1. Introduction

8.2. Materials and Methods		8-5
8.2.1.	Materials and Synthesis of Nanofibrous Channel	8-5
8.2.2.	Characterisation of NC-TiO ₂	8-6
8.2.3.	Study of Photocatalytic Activity	8-7
8.2.4.	Sedimentation Experiments	8-8

- 8.3. Results and Discussion 8-9 8.3.1. NC-TiO₂ Characteristics 8-9 8.3.2. Photocatalytic properties 8-18 8.3.2.1. NC-TiO₂ loading 8-18 8.3.2.2. Effect of Initial HA Concentration 8-20 8.3.2.3. Effect of Process pH 8-22 8.3.3. Lifetime Cycle of NC-TiO₂ 8-23 8.3.4. Settleability of NC-TiO $_2$ 8-25
- 8.4. Conclusions 8-27

CHAPTER 9

9.1. Co	onclusions	9-2
9.1.1.	The Synthesis of Nanomaterials from Peroxo-	
	Titanium Complex	9-2
9.1.2.	Nitrogen-doped and Silver Co-doped photocatalysts	
	for Visible and Solar Light Photocatalysis	9-2

9.1.3. Adsorption of Methylene Blue and its Photodecomposition

using Na-Titanate Microspheres under UV Light	9-3
Kinetics of Adsorption of Methylene Blue over	
Hydrogen-Titanate Nanofibres and its Photodecomposition	
under Solar Light	9-4
Degradation of Humic Acid over Nanofibrous Channel	
under UV light	9-5
ecommendations	9-6
NDICES	A-1
dix A	A-2
dix B	A-4
div C	A-5
	A-3
OGRAPHY	B-1
	Kinetics of Adsorption of Methylene Blue over Hydrogen-Titanate Nanofibres and its Photodecomposition under Solar Light Degradation of Humic Acid over Nanofibrous Channel under UV light ecommendations NDICES dix A dix B

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. TiO_2 nanowire membrane with simultaneous	
photooxidation potential (Xiao et al. 2008).	2-11
Figure 2.2. Sedimentation of (a) TiO ₂ nanoparticles after	
30 min and microspheres for (b) 5 min, (c) 15 min and	
(d) 30 min (Wei et al. 2008).	2-17
Figure 2.3. The proposed HA chemical formula (Schulten 1993).	2-52
Figure 2.4. Chemical structure of MB.	2-55
Figure 2.5. Pathways of MB decomposition (Houas et al. 2001).	2-56
Figure 3.1. SEM image of Degussa P25 TiO ₂ particles	
used in this study.	3-2
Figure 3.2. Zeta potential of 1 g/L Degussa P25 suspension	
in Milli Q water.	3-3
Figure 3.3. SEM image of a Merino wool fibre.	3-4
Figure 3.4. Photo (left) and a schematic drawing (right)	
of Photoreactor 1.	3-8
Figure 3.5. Photo (left) and schematic diagram (right)	
of the annular slurry Photoreactor 2.	3-9
Figure 3.6. Solar simulator outside (left) and inside (right).	3-10
Figure 3.7. SolSim-164 and AM1.5 spectral emission comparison.	3-10
Figure 3.8. Centrifuge (left) and orbital shaker (right).	3-11
Figure 3.9. Hach HI 93414 turbidity and chlorine meter (left)	
and TPS pH meter (right).	3-12
Figure 3.10. Oven (left) and Labec furnace (right).	3-12
Figure 3.11. Teflon cell used for hydrothermal treatment.	3-13
Figure 3.12. Shimadzu UV-1700 spectrophotometer.	3-15
Figure 3.13. Linear regression of MB concentration versus	
absorbance at $\lambda = 664$ nm.	3-15
Figure 3.14. Multi N/C 3100 DOC analyser with autosampler.	3-17
Figure 3.15. Standard curve of DOC (mg/L).	3-17
Figure 3.16. Metrohm ion chromatograph.	3-18

Figure 3.17. Linear relationship between peak area (µs/cm.sec)	
and sulphate concentration (mg/L).	3-19
Figure 4.1. TiO_2 foam produced by Arabatis and Falaras (2003).	4-10
Figure 4.2. Photo-sensitized peroxo species phenomenon described	
by Shankar et al. (2009).	4-11
Figure 4.3. Absorbance spectrum for PTC solutions of Na ¹² , Na ¹⁸	
after 2, 4 and 24 h of reaction time at room temperature.	4-15
Figure 4.4. SEM images of Na ¹² powders at two different	
magnifications (A) 10 KX and (B) 100 KX.	4-19
Figure 4.5. SEM images of Na ¹⁸ powders at two different	
magnifications (A) 10 KX and (B) 100 KX.	4-20
Figure 4.6. EDS spectra of Na ¹² (spectrum 4) and Na ¹⁸	
(spectrum 5) powders.	4-21
Figure 4.7. Absorbance spectrum for A^8 and A^{20} after 2, 4 and 24 h	
of reaction time at room temperature.	4-23
Figure 4.8. SEM images of A^8 powders at two different	
magnifications (A) 10 KX and (B) 100 KX.	4-25
Figure 4.9. SEM images of A^{20} powders at two different	
magnifications (A) 10 KX and (B) 100 KX.	4-26
Figure 4.10. XPS spectra of (A) A^8 and (B) A^{20} samples.	4-27
Figure 5.1. Zeta potential of TiN and TiNAg.	5-8
Figure 5.2. SEM images of TiO_2 -N (a) and TiO_2 -N-Ag (b).	5-10
Figure 5.3. TEM images of TiO_2 -N (a) and TiO_2 -N-Ag (b).	5-11
Figure 5.4. Nitrogen adsorption and desorption in	
TiO_2 -N and TiO_2 -N-Ag.	5-14
Figure 5.5. XRD patterns of Degussa P25, TiO ₂ -N and TiO ₂ -N-Ag	
photocatalysts showing anatase (A) and rutile (R) phases.	5-15
Figure 5.6. Decolourisation of MB (a) and DOC removal	
(b) in the absence of photocatalysts (apparent photolysis)	
and in the presence of TiO_2 -N, TiO_2 -N-Ag and Degussa P25	
under visible light.	5-18
Figure 5.7. (a) N-doped and (b) N/Ag co-doped TiO_2	
photocatalytic mechanism.	5-21

Figure 5.8. Decolourisation of MB (a) and DOC removal	
(b) in the presence of TiO_2 -N, TiO_2 -N-Ag and	
Degussa P25 under simulated solar light.	5-24
Figure 6.1. SEM images of as prepared samples (NT-25 and NT-80)	
and calcined samples (NTC-25 and NTC-80).	6-9
Figure 6.2. XRD diffraction pattern of NT-80, NT-25	
compared to the original Degussa P25	
(A) anatase, (R) rutile.	6-11
Figure 6.3. Zeta potential of microspheres prepared at room	
temperature (powder loading 1 g/L, T = 25 °C).	6-12
Figure 6.4. Zeta potential of microspheres prepared after hydrothermal	
treatment (powder loading 1 g/L, T = 25 °C).	6-12
Figure 6.5. (A) Decolourisation and (B) DOC removal of MB by	
adsorption on NT-80, NTC-80, NT-25 and NTC-25	
([MB] = 10 mg/L, pH 7, data collected after 30 min).	6-15
Figure 6.6. Kinetics of (A) decolourisation of MB and (B) DOC	
photodegradation on NT-80, NTC-80, NT-25 and NTC-25	
([MB] = 10 mg/L, pH 7, photocatalyst loading = 50 mg/L).	6-17
Figure 6.7. Evolution of sulphate ions during the photocatalytic	
degradation of MB over NT-25, NTC-25,	
NT-80 and NTC-80 ([MB] = 10 mg/L, pH 7,	
photocatalyst loading = 50 mg/L).	6-19
Figure 6.8. Lifetime cycles of NT-25, NTC-25 for the	
decolourisation of MB ($[MB] = 10 \text{ mg/L}, \text{ pH 7},$	
photocatalyst loading = 50 mg/L , (A) dark adsorption).	6-20
Figure 6.9. Lifetime cycles of NT-80 and NTC-80 for the	
decolourisation of MB ($[MB] = 10 \text{ mg/L}, \text{ pH 7},$	
photocatalyst loading = 50 mg/L , (A) dark adsorption).	6-21
Figure 6.10. Normalised decrease in supernatant turbidity	
using NT-25, NTC-25, NT-80, NTC-80 and P-25	
(pH 7, photocatalyst loading = 50 mg/L).	6-22
Figure 7.1. Zeta potential of 1 g/L suspension of	
as-prepared (AP-HTNF) and calcined HTNF (C-HTNF).	7-8

Figure 7.2. SEM	images of H titanate nanofibres (A and B).	7-9
Figure 7.3. EDS	plot showing the elemental composition of HTNF.	7-10
Figure 7.4. Effect	t of HTNF loading on the adsorption	
of MI	B from solution. (Experimental conditions:	
MB =	= 10 mg/L, T = 25 °C, shaking speed = 150 rpm,	
pH =	9).	7-11
Figure 7.5. Effect	t of initial MB concentration on the adsorption	
of MI	B onto HTNF. (Experimental conditions: HTNF =	
0.5 g/	/L, T = 25 °C, shaking speed = 150 rpm, pH = 9).	7-12
Figure 7.6. Effect	t of solution pH on the adsorption	
of MI	B onto HTNF. (Experimental conditions: HTNF =	
0.5 g/	/L, MB = 20 mg/L, T = 25 °C, shaking speed =	
150 r	pm).	7-13
Figure 7.7. Effect	et of solution temperature on the adsorption	
of MI	B onto HTNF. (Experimental conditions: HTNF =	
0.5 g/	L, $MB = 20 \text{ mg/L}$, shaking speed = 150 rpm, pH = 9).	7-14
Figure 7.8. (A) E	Effect of HTNF loading and (B) MB concentration	
on the	e amount of dye adsorbed at equilibrium (q_e , mg/g).	7-15
Figure 7.9. (A) E	Effect of solution's pH and (B) temperature	
on the	e amount of dye adsorbed at equilibrium (q_e , mg/g).	7-17
Figure 7.10. (A)	Effect of solution pH on the discolouration	
and (I	B) DOC removal of MB solution using HTNF.	
(Expe	erimental conditions: $HTNF = 0.5 \text{ g/L}, \text{ MB} = 20 \text{ mg/L},$	
T = 2	6 °C, air flow = 0.6 L/min, light intensity = 28 000 lx).	7-20
Figure 7.11. Effe	ect of light intensity on the discolouration (A)	
and D	OOC removal (B) of MB solution using HTNF.	
(Expe	erimental conditions: $HTNF = 0.5 \text{ g/L}, \text{ MB} = 20 \text{ mg/L},$	
T = 2	6 °C, air flow = 0.6 L/min, pH = 9).	7-22
Figure 7.12. (A)	Photocatalytic degradation of MB, (B) desorption	
of int	ermediate products and (C) Photocatalytic decomposition	
of int	ermediates ("NA" stands for normalised absorbance).	7-25
Figure 7.13. UV	vis spectrum for the photocatalytic degradation	
of MI	B showing three stages (stage 1: 0 to 120 min;	

Figure 7.14. Photocatalytic stability of HTNF over three degradation cycles.7-26Figure 7.15. Normalised turbidity decrease in function of sedimentation time for HTNF and Degussa P25.7-27Figure 8.1. A proposed mechanism for NC-TiO2 synthesis.8-5Figure 8.2. NC-TiO2 synthesis steps. (A) wool fleeces, (B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-66Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-99Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L) over NC-TiO2 as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm.8-19	stage 2: 121 to 360 min; stage 3: 361 to 480 min).	7-25
Figure 7.15. Normalised turbidity decrease in function of sedimentation time for HTNF and Degussa P25.7-27Figure 8.1. A proposed mechanism for NC-TiO2 synthesis.8-5Figure 8.2. NC-TiO2 synthesis steps. (A) wool fleeces, (B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-6Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 7.14. Photocatalytic stability of HTNF over three	
time for HTNF and Degussa P25.7-27Figure 8.1. A proposed mechanism for NC-TiO2 synthesis.8-5Figure 8.2. NC-TiO2 synthesis steps. (A) wool fleeces, (B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-6Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	degradation cycles.	7-26
Figure 8.1. A proposed mechanism for NC-TiO2 synthesis.8-5Figure 8.2. NC-TiO2 synthesis steps. (A) wool fleeces, (B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-6Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 7.15. Normalised turbidity decrease in function of sedimentation	
Figure 8.2.NC-TiO2 synthesis steps. (A) wool fleeces, (B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-6Figure 8.3.Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4.SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5.X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6.Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7.XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8.Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9.Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	time for HTNF and Degussa P25.	7-27
(B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-6Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 8.1. A proposed mechanism for NC-TiO ₂ synthesis.	8-5
on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO2 after calcination.8-6Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 8.2. NC-TiO ₂ synthesis steps. (A) wool fleeces,	
Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO2 solution (1g/L).8-9Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	(B) H-titanate suspension, (C) adsorption of H-titanate	
Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO2 at different magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	on wool fibres, (D) NC-TiO ₂ after calcination.	8-6
nagnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameterof the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness,(D) nanofibres dimensions.Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 andDegussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels.(A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and(B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels.(A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolutionspectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2pand (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over differentNC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed byabsorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B).Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min;temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	Figure 8.3. Zeta potential of NC-TiO ₂ solution (1g/L).	8-9
of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness, (D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 8.4. SEM micrographs of NC-TiO ₂ at different	
(D) nanofibres dimensions.8-12Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	magnifications; (A) full size, (B) internal diameter	
Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO2 and Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	of the channel, (C) maximum wall thickness,	
Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.8-13Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels. (A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO ₂ loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	(D) nanofibres dimensions.	8-12
Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels.(A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 8.5. X-ray diffraction patterns showing NC-TiO ₂ and	
(A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Degussa P25. (A) anatase and (R) rutile.	8-13
(B) pore size distribution curve.8-15Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels. (A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 8.6. Nitrogen adsorption results of nanofibrous channels.	
Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels.(A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolutionspectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2pand (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over differentNC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed byabsorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B).Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min;temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	(A) adsorption-desorption isotherms and	
(A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	(B) pore size distribution curve.	8-15
spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	Figure 8.7. XPS patterns of nanofibrous channels.	
and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.8-17Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-17	(A) high-resolution spectra of Ti2p; (B) high-resolution	
Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B). Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	spectra of O1s; (C) high-resolution spectra of S2p	
NC-TiO2 loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B).Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)8-19	and (D) high-resolution spectra of N1s.	8-17
absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B).Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; $3x15W$ UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	Figure 8.8. Photocatalytic removal of HA over different	
Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min; temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.8-19Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	NC-TiO ₂ loads (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g/L) as revealed by	
temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps. 8-19 Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm (A) and DOC measurement (B).	
Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min;	
	temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.	8-19
over NC-TiO ₂ as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm.	Figure 8.9. Photocatalytic removal of HA (5, 10 and 20 mg/L)	
-	over NC-TiO ₂ as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm.	
Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min;	Experimental conditions: pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min;	
temperature 26 °C; $3x15W$ UVC lamps; NC-TiO ₂ = 1g/L. 8-21	temperature 26 °C; $3x15W$ UVC lamps; NC-TiO ₂ = 1g/L.	8-21
	Figure 8.10. Photocatalytic removal of HA over NC-TiO ₂ in	
	Figure 8.10. Photocatalytic removal of HA over NC-TiO ₂ in	

different pH as revealed by absorbance at $\lambda = 254$ nm.	
Experimental conditions: $HA = 10 \text{ mg/L}$, Air flow 0.6 L/min;	
temperature 26 °C; $3x15W$ UVC lamps; NC-TiO ₂ = 1g/L.	8-22
Figure 8.11. Photocatalytic lifecycle of NC-TiO ₂ for the	
degradation of HA as shown by UV absorbance (A)	
at $\lambda = 254$ nm and DOC (B). Photodegradation reaction	
conditions: NC-TiO ₂ load (1 g/L); pH 7; Air flow 0.6 L/min;	
temperature 26 °C; 3x15W UVC lamps.	8-24
Figure 8.12. Initial (A, 10 mg/L) and treated (B) HA solutions	
after the fourth treatment cycle using	
1 g/L NC-TiO ₂ loading.	8-25
Figure 8.13. Decrease of the supernatant turbidity in	
function of time at different NC-TiO ₂ loads.	8-26
Figure B.1. Adsorption of MB onto TiO ₂ -N and TiO ₂ -N-Ag.	A-4
Figure C.1. High magnification image of NT-80.	A-5
Figure C.2. Adsorption/Desorption isotherms of NT-25 and NT-80.	A-6

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Membrane type and characteristics	
(Thorsen and Flogstad 2006)	2-5
Table 2.2. Metal compounds and their effect on	
water and wastewater contaminants	2-13
Table 2.3. Selected methods for the preparation of	
N doped TiO ₂	2-36
Table 2.4. Selected methods for the preparation of Ag	
doped TiO ₂ using AgNO ₃ as a silver precursor	2-38
Table 2.5. Mecoprop and MCPA degradation rates	
over several photocatalysts.	2-46
Table 2.6. Recent methods for the preparation of	
N/metal co-doped TiO_2 .	2-49
Table 3.1. List of chemicals	3-4
Table 5.1. Textural parameters of nanocatalysts	
examined in this study	5-12
Table 5.2. XPS results data for TiO ₂ -N and TiO ₂ -N-Ag	5-16
Table 6.1. Textural parameters of microspheres	6-10
Table 7.1. Langmuir isotherm constants for MB	
adsorption onto HTNF under different operating conditions	7-18
Table 7.2. R_L values for different operational conditions	7-18
Table 7.3. Regression equation and R^2 for MB discolouration	
against DOC removal	7-21
Table 7.4. Apparent pseudo-first order kinetics for the photocatalytic	
degradation of MB over HTNF under different	
operating conditions	7-23

ABSTRACT

Increasing water scarcity and environmental considerations are calling for more effective means to purify industrial and urban wastewaters. Advanced oxidation processes are able to effectively remove many persistent and toxic pollutants from water to enable the use and reuse of impaired quality raw water sources. Among these processes, heterogeneous photocatalysis has some notable inherent advantages, such as relative process simplicity, and the minimal generation of waste streams. Unfortunately, the commercially available photocatalysts show poor efficiency in the utilisation of natural solar radiation and are difficult to separate and recover from treated water, which results in high operational costs. In order to alleviate these recognised problems, this thesis explored an improved method of material synthesis to produce nanomaterials with desirable properties.

The investigation of peroxide method at high pH values showed that this facile approach offers great potential for the fabrication of various nanoparticles, nanostructures, and thin films deposits. The shape, size, structure and surface properties of the resulting photocatalysts were simply controlled through the process pH, the time of reaction, and the chemical composition of the solvent base. Both partial and complete dissolution of the precursors was accomplished to fabricate various, crystalline and amorphous anatase and alkali titanate end products. The use of ammonium hydroxide base was more advantageous, since it provided a very simple means to achieve simultaneous N doping.

Effective N-doping of Degussa P25 commercial photocatalyst was simply achieved using highly concentrated (25%) ammonium hydroxide base in 24 h time at room temperature in an open reactor. The raw product slurries were neutralised with acid, washed with water, and aged until dry at 75 °C. Additional doping (co-doping) with Ag was achieved by adding AgNO₃ to the raw slurries. The resulting nanoparticles had elongated rod and needle-like shapes, 2-3 times larger specific surface area (92.9-144.6 m^2g^{-1}) than the precursor P25, and 5.4-6.5 nm mesopore sizes. These photocatalysts were remarkably effective in the photobleaching of Methylene Blue

under visible light, and simulated solar light illuminations. Therefore, this method is suitable to improve the performance of currently available commercial photocatalysts.

Na-titanate microspheres with 700-800 nm diameter were produced at low (25-80 °C) temperatures using NaOH for base, and P25 precursor in the peroxide method. Both the raw and calcined products showed very high adsorption affinity towards Methylene Blue dye, and were also capable of its degradation under UV light without minimal loss of performance after five complete treatment cycles. The calcined product showed higher catalytic activity, and could be fully recovered from the spent slurry by gravity settling.

Exchanging Na with H in titanates microspheres in HCl solution resulted in the formation of hydrogen-titanate nanofibres, which were transformed into anatase nanofibres after annealing at 550 °C for 6 h. The nanofibres had average specific surface area of $31.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, average pore volume of $0.10 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ and average pore size of 50 Å. These products also were effective adsorbent of the model pollutant and adsorbents and good photocatalyst under simulated solar light illumination. No reduction in photocatalytic activity was observed over three complete treatment cycles, and the effective separation of nanofibres was achieved by gravity settling resulting in low residual solution turbidity. Such nanofibres may also be used to produce paper-like photoreactive filter materials.

An easily separable fibrous photocatalyst was produced by depositing H-titanate nanofibres on wool fibres serving as bio-template. The calcined end-product showed anatase crystal phase and nanofibrous morphology (about 50 μ m length and 9.5 μ m diameter), having about 24 m²/g specific surface area with mesoporous characteristics. These nanofibres were effective in the photocatalytic degradation of humic acid model pollutant solution under UV light irradiation. The performance of this photocatalyst showed only a small decrease after three complete treatment cycles, and the microfibres were easily separated from the treated solution by sedimentation with very low supernatant turbidity.