PHYLOGEOGRAPHIC PATTERNS IN MITOCHONDRIAL DNA OF THE OSTRICH (*STRUTHIO CAMELUS*)

STEFANIE FREITAG AND TERENCE J. ROBINSON

Mammal Research Institute, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa

ABSTRACT.—We assayed restriction-site differences in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) within and among populations of the Ostrich (Struthio camelus) throughout much of its African distribution. Little genetic diversity was evident among samples drawn from localities throughout southern Africa (S. c. australis), while deep divisions in the mtDNA gene tree exist between representatives of the eastern (S. c. molubdophanes and S. c. massaicus) and northern African subspecies (S. c. camelus). The low mtDNA variability within australis and the presence of widespread mtDNA genotypes in this subspecies suggest considerable historical interconnectedness among populations, either through gene flow and/or recent colonization from smaller source populations. The strong phylogeographic structuring evident in eastern and northern Africa aligns with the currently accepted subspecies designations. Data indicate that the Ethiopian system of the Great Rift Valley has been effective in disrupting east-west gene flow between molybdophanes and camelus, while ecological differences and behavioral/reproductive cues have contributed to maintaining the genetic and phenotypic discreteness of molybdophanes and massaicus in east Africa. Although contemporary Ostrich populations are effectively divided into southern and northern populations by a belt of Brachystegia woodland, arid-corridor links in the recent evolutionary past appear to have allowed for periodic contact between australis and massaicus populations. Consequently, the development of subspecific differences between these two taxa has occurred within the context of shallow evolutionary separation. Received 28 July 1992, accepted 25 November 1992.

THE OSTRICH (Struthio camelus) is currently regarded as comprising four extant subspecies separated by fairly marked phenotypic differences; a fifth, S. c. syriacus, whose range once reportedly extended into Arabia, is now considered extinct (Brown et al. 1982). As presently understood, the species' natural range is restricted to the African continent, generally south of the Sahara. This distribution is disrupted by a belt of Brachystegia ("miombo") woodland in south-central Africa (Hamilton 1982) that effectively divides the Ostrich into northern and southern populations with the former incorporating S. c. camelus, S. c. molybdophanes and S. c. massaicus, while S. c. australis is confined to southern Africa (Brown et al. 1982).

Restriction-enzyme analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) has been useful in the study of evolutionary relationships over microevolutionary time scales in a variety of avian species (e.g. Mack et al. 1986, Ovenden et al. 1987, Shields and Wilson 1987a, Avise and Zink 1988, Avise et al. 1990), often providing resolution beyond that yielded by more conventional approaches such as protein electrophoresis (Barrowclough 1983). Part of the utility of mtDNA analyses as a means for determining the magnitude of intraspecific polymorphism in organisms stems from its high rate of nucleotide-sequence evolution by base substitution, which can give rise to extensive sequence heterogeneity among conspecific individuals. Population genetic variation in mtDNA depends both on the maternal effective population size and life-history processes, with accumulating evidence clearly pointing to the dominant role that historic demographic and zoogeographic factors play in shaping intraspecific phylogenies (Avise 1989).

Geographic variation in size, plumage, eggshell porosity and assorted phenotypic characteristics, underpinnings of the intraspecific classification of the Ostrich (Brown et al. 1982), may be indicative of relatively low interpopulation gene flow across obvious geographic barriers, possibly promoted to some extent by the species' inability to fly. Given the tendency for mtDNA discontinuities to align with geographic boundaries in other species (Avise et al. 1987), we sought to determine whether geographic structuring in mtDNA haplotypes among the Ostrich subspecies and/or the northern and southern Ostrich populations may exist. We present a geographic survey of mtDNA variation in the Ostrich that includes representatives of the four extant subspecies drawn from lo-

Lineage	Composite haplotype	n	Localities
Α	АААААААААААААА	41	1-3, 5-7, 10-14, 16, 18, 20-22
В	BBAAAAAAAAAAAAA	26	1, 4-12, 14, 15, 17, 18
С	AABAAAAAAAAAAAA	6	2, 6, 14, 15
D	BAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	11	1, 4, 22, 23, 24
Е	BAABAAAAAAAAAA	1	18
F	СААААААААААААА	1	19
G	ВАСААААААААААА	2	24
н	AACBBBBBBBAAAAAA	1	26
I	DCDCCCCBCBBBBA	7	25
J	ECDCCCCBCBBBBA	1	25

TABLE 1. Ten mtDNA haplotypes in Ostriches from 26 African localities. Each haplotype composed of morph designations for restriction enzymes *Hinc II, Pvu II, Hind III, Xba I, Ava I, Dra I, Sac I, Ksp I, Bcl I, Ssp I, Stu I, Bgl I, Pst I, Sca I, Xho I.* Locality numbers correspond to those in Figure 1.

calities originating in southern, eastern and northern Africa. Our data show that, although strong geographic structure is evident from the genotypes distinguishing the eastern and north African subspecies, the *australis* clones are closely related and widespread suggesting considerable gene flow between populations. The low levels of sequence divergence distinguishing *australis* and *massaicus* and the presence of a shared lineage spanning the *Brachystegia* woodland indicate the transient nature of this contemporary barrier.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Since domestication has led to large-scale movement of birds to farms across national and geographic boundaries, the utmost care was taken to ensure the provenance of specimens used in this investigation. As a result, where possible, collection preference was given to material obtained from national parks and wildlife reserves, since extant populations in these facilities were almost invariably determined to have descended from birds resident in these areas prior to fencing. We also evaluated these populations on the grounds that there was no evidence of subsequent augmentation of these wild populations from elsewhere.

Ostrich samples (n = 97) were collected in the form of soft tissue or blood from wild and domestic representatives of the four extant ostrich subspecies from 26 African localities: *australis*, n = 78; *massaicus*, 10; *molybdophanes*, 8; *camelus*, 1 (Fig. 1). The single *camelus* specimen used in this study was obtained from Saudi Arabia, which falls outside of the species' natural range, but was initially derived from a population in the Sudan (Greth, Taif, Saudi Arabia). In instances of multiple sampling of subspecies, attempts were made to collect randomly from within different populations and, in the one instance where young birds were sampled, from single specimens taken from different areas within the Kleinsee Nature Reserve. Samples were assigned to a particular subspecies on the basis of morphological examination as well as collection locality.

Where soft tissue was available, mtDNA was extracted from heart and liver using conventional techniques (Lansman et al. 1981). In instances where birds could be restrained and blood samples drawn, highmolecular-weight total genomic DNA was obtained from venous blood (Wetton et al. 1987). Ample quantities of DNA were obtained from blood samples as small as 25 μ L. Furthermore, as reported by other workers (Quinn and White 1987), we found minimal degradation in the DNA extracted from nucleated erythrocytes maintained at room temperature over extended periods.

Mitochondrial DNA (20-30 ng) and genomic DNA (800-900 ng) samples were digested with a suite of 15 type II restriction endonucleases (Table 1) following the conditions recommended by the manufacturers. The selection of restriction enzymes was based on consistent digestion of the Ostrich mtDNA. Restriction-fragment analyses were performed using two different experimental approaches. First, purified mtDNA fragments were end-labelled (Brown 1980) in the presence of (α^{-32}) PdCTP and DNA polymerase (Klenow fragment). Subsequently, the end-labelled fragments were separated electrophoretically by molecular weight in 1.0% agarose gels, dried on 3MM Whatman paper on a slab gel drier and revealed by autoradiography. In cases where total genomic DNA was used, DNA fragments were separated through 0.8% agarose gels and transferred to nylon Hybond N membranes (Amersham) by Southern blotting (Southern 1975). Membrane-bound fragments were probed with purified (α -³²)PdCTP-labelled mtDNA and the mtDNA-specific hybridizing fragments detected by autoradiography. Fragments were sized according to the molecular weight standards run on each gel.

Samples that produced unique fragment patterns with a particular enzyme were assigned a letter designation beginning with A and then proceeding alphabetically. The relative loss or gain of restriction



	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
A	_	0.26	0.13	0.13	0.26	0.47	0.26	1.15	7.59	7.66
В	0.16		0.40	0.13	0.26	0.52	0.26	1.40	6.68	6.74
С	0.13	0.21		0.25	0.40	0.60	0.39	1.33	7.54	7.61
D	0.12	0.12	0.17	_	0.13	0.40	0.12	1.32	7.30	7.36
Ε	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.14	_	0.53	0.26	1.17	7.22	7.29
F	0.59	0.47	0.68	0.45	0.50	_	0.53	1.84	8.69	8.80
G	0.17	0.19	0.26	0.11	0.20	0.45	_	1.15	7.33	7.39
н	0.47	0.19	0.48	0.41	0.39	0.65	0.45	_	8.24	8.31
I	1.75	1.48	1.73	1.76	1.60	2.09	1.62	2.08		0.15
J	1.70	1.66	1.44	1.63	1.66	1.89	1.59	1.90	0.13	_

sites between samples was determined by the additive loss or gain of appropriately sized fragments. A composite mtDNA haplotype derived from all digestion psterns was constructed for each individual. Individuals sharing identical composite haplotypes were grouped into maternal lineages or clones. Nucleotidesequence-divergence estimates (between haplotypes and subspecies) were determined using equations 5.53-5.55 of Nei (1987). In estimating distances between subspecies, nucleotide diversity within each taxon was taken into account (Nei 1987: equation 10.21). All calculations were done by the Restsite computer program vI.I (Nei and Miller 1990). The Jukes-Cantor correction (Nei 1987:equation 5.3) was applied and standard errors were obtained by bootstrapping using 200 replications. The resulting matrix of genetic distance between clones was analyzed phenetically using the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA; Sneath and Sokal 1973).

RESULTS

The 15 restriction endonucleases employed produced 63 to 70 scored fragments in each Ostrich analyzed, revealing an average of 67.1 cleavage sites. This represents approximately 739.2 base pairs (bp) in recognition sequence and 4.03% of the *Struthio* mitochondrial genome. By summing fragment sizes produced by five endonucleases yielding fragments smaller than 9.6 kb, we estimated the size of the Ostrich mitochondrial genome to be 18.348 kb \pm SE of 83 bp (Fig. 2). No mtDNA size variants were observed with each specimen appearing homoplasmic for a specific mtDNA genotype.

The Sca I restriction digestion profile was identical in all 97 specimens, while Xho I fragment patterns were invariant among all australis, massaicus and camelus individuals. Unfortunately, Xho I data for molybdophanes could not be obtained due to consistent partial digestions (or the complete absence of digestion) of the genomic DNA samples and this enzyme was, thus, omitted from our analyses involving this subspecies. On the basis of shared composite haplotypes the 97 study specimens could be grouped into 10 discrete maternal lineages or clones (Table 1).

Pairwise estimates of nucleotide divergence among the 10 clones are presented in Table 2. While lineages H to J are relatively distinct,

[←]

Fig. 1. (A) Historic distribution of Ostriches across the African continent and Arabia (from Brown et al. 1982 and Greth, Taif, Saudi Arabia) and map positions of samples collected in: **Tanzania**: (23) Arusha. **Kenya**: (24) *Kajiado (Three Point Ostrich Farm); (25) *Lewa Downs, northern slopes of Mt. Kenya. **Sudan**: (26) Exact Sudanese locality unknown; specimen provided from an *S. c. camelus* population maintained at Taif, Saudi Arabia. (B) Southern African collection localities of Ostrich samples. **South Africa**: (1) Kalahari Gemsbok National Park; (2) Langjan Nature Reserve; (3) Nylsvlei Nature Reserve; (4) Kruger National Park; (5) Kleinsee Nature Reserve; (6) De Hoop Nature Reserve; (7) *Prince Albert; (8) *Ladismith; (9) *Joubertina; (10) *Matjiesrivier; (11) *Oude Muragie, De Rust; (12) *Doornkraal, De Rust; (13) *Proefplaas, Oudtshoorn; (14) *Van Wykskraal, Oudtshoorn. **Namibia**: (15) Gorrasis Game Farm; (16) Ongombeanavita Farm; (17) Escourt Farm. **Botswana**: (18) Makgadikgadi Pans; (19) Mabuasehube Game Reserve. **Zimbabwe**: (20) Hwange National Park; (21) Northern Matabeleland; (22) Centeral Estate. Those with an asterisk (*) represent domestic stocks.

HINC II		PVUII				
A 9.43	3.42 2.13 1.38 8 8 9 7	A 9.57		6.57		1.34 0
B 9.43	3.42 2.13 0.85 8 9 9 9	B 7.20	6.57	_	2.37	1.34
C 6.28 4.00	3.42 2.13 1.70 0.82	C 7.20	5.19	2.37	1.38	1.34 0
D 5.54 3.42	2.60 2.55 2.13 8 9 9					
E 4.64 3.42 2.6	60 2.55 2.13 1.00 8 9 5	XBAI				
		A 13.23			5.12	
HIND III		в	18.35	-		
A 9.53	6.42 1.27 8 8	C8.52		5.75	4.0	8
B9.53	6.42 1.92					
C9.53	3.94 2.48 1.27 8 8	BGL I				1
D 9.53	5.63 1.92 1.27	A10.11		4.90	2.	51 0
		B6.10	4.30	2.60 2.	35 1.7	3 1.28
A 5.14 3.56	3.52 2.29 1.80 1.15 8 8	KSPI		- 1		1
B 5.60 3.52	2.29 2.26 1.80 1.30 1.15 8	A12.38			.31	1.66
C 6.05 3.00	2.95 2.70 2.08 1.57	В	18.69			1.86
BCLI		PSTI				
13.49	2.36 1.74 0					
B 033	4.16 2.36 1.74 6	ы	18.35			
۲ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	4.03 3.10 0.87	6601				
				100	2 19	194
A 5.00 4.30	4.25 2.11 169	B 10.37		3.85	2.53	1.60
B 8.10	8.00 4.25					_ I
C 10.30	4.25 2.11 1.89	SCAI				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(10.53		4.63	1.69	1.50
SACI		~ <u>_</u>				
A 12.89	3.81 1.65					
B 14.54	3.81					
C 11.86	3.38 1.85 1.46					
STUI						
A 4.73 2.38 2.05	1.92 1.73 1.69 1.53 1.42 0 0					
B. 8.60	4.20 1.92 1.53 1.11 9 9					
XHO I						
A 10.42	7.93					

Fig. 2. Graphic representation of the fragment composition of restriction profiles identified from Ostrich mtDNA. Fragment sizes scaled so that their sum equals overall mean mitochondrial genome size.

estimates between clones A to G are low and range from 0.12 to 0.60%. Nucleotide-divergence estimates between subspecies, allowing for within-taxon sequence diversity, indicate (Table 3) that *australis* and *massaicus* are closely allied (0.06%), while *camelus* and *molybdophanes* are the most distantly related (8.23%).

The relationship among the 10 mtDNA clones is summarized in the UPGMA phenogram (Fig. 3). As expected all *australis* and *massaicus* mt-DNA haplotypes clustered together at low levels of genetic distance, implying an absence of geographic structuring in the clonal branches, while *camelus* and *molybdophanes* join the major cluster as more distinct genotypes.

Although no meaningful population differentiation was evident from the extensively surveyed southern African range of the Ostrich, a marked degree of phylogeographic structuring was found in northeastern Africa, with mtDNA lineages aligning with subspecific designations (Fig. 4). The two *molybdophanes* lineages (I and J) which differ by a single restriction site are, respectively, separated from the *camelus* genotype (H) to the north, and the *massaicus* genotypes (D and G) to the south by a minimum of TABLE 3. Percentage sequence divergence (nucleotide substitutions/site) among S. c. australis, S. c. camelus, S. c. molybdophanes and S. c. massaicus (above diagonal). Values below diagonal are standard errors obtained via bootstrapping. Jukes-Cantor correction applied to all values. Comparisons involving S. c. australis, S. c. massaicus and S. c. camelus based on 15 enzymes, while those involving S. c. molybdophanes based on 14 enzymes. Subspecific nucleotide diversity (presented along diagonal) taken into account in these calculations.

Subspecies	aus- tralis	mas- saicus	camelus	molybdo- phanes
australis	0.15	0.06	1.21	7.16
massaicus	0.04	0.05	1.27	7.25
camelus	0.39	0.38		8.23
molybdophanes	1.67	1.62	2.09	0.04

45 mutational steps. Interestingly, the *camelus* lineage H is more closely allied to the northernmost, and most abundant, *australis* lineage (A), differing by nine mutational steps, which is in sharp contrast to the more pronounced differences between *camelus* and the geographically more closely allied *molybdophanes*.







■ S.c.camelus

Fig. 4. Parsimony network (Lansman et al. 1983) of minimum number of mutational steps linking the most common and widespread *S. c. australis* haplotype (A) to those detected in *S. c. massaicus, S. c. molybdophanes* and *S. c. camelus.* Numbers indicate minimum numbers of restriction-site changes for adjacent lineages.

DISCUSSION

The 78 southern African *australis* specimens drawn from 22 populations were characterized by low levels of mtDNA diversity, both in terms of the number of clones present and the close relationship among them (Tables 1 and 2). In our widespread survey of this subspecies, only six maternal lineages were detected, a low number when compared to that found in most regional surveys of other vertebrate species (e.g. Avise et al. 1979, Brown and Simpson 1981, Lansman et al. 1983). The close affinity between matrilines is clear, with most differing at either one or two restriction sites, and the subspecies being characterized by a mean nucleotide diversity of $0.15 \pm 0.08\%$.

Several reasons could account for these observations. First, the limited mtDNA diversity could result from directional selection (Avise and Ball 1991) favoring particular genotypes, thereby reducing the level of mtDNA polymorphism within this subspecies. A second possibility is that the southern African Ostrich population has gone through a population bottleneck in its recent evolutionary past (similar to that recorded in the period 1886-1890, when severe drought and unknown epidemics caused heavy Ostrich mortality in the region; Smit 1963). Such a reduction in effective population size could have been followed by rapid expansion and subsequent recolonization from small refugial populations. Finally, although there have been relatively few large-scale studies of avian geographic mtDNA structuring to date, examples of limited geographic partitioning of mtDNA genotypes include the Redwinged Blackbird (Agelaius phoeniceus; Ball et al. 1988) and the Downy Woodpecker (Picoides pubescens; Ball and Avise 1992). In these species, historical interconnectedness and the lack of barriers to migration were invoked to account for the limited mtDNA diversity. Clearly, any of these factors, either individually or in concert, may have influenced mtDNA variability in the Ostrich. However, it seems likely that although flightless, the species' high vagility and the absence of meaningful zoogeographic barriers has undoubtedly promoted high levels of gene flow in southern Africa.

The low mtDNA diversity within the southern African Ostrich population was similarly accompanied by a lack of spatial structuring of the lineages. Populations separated by as much as 2,000 km appeared indistinguishable on the basis of mtDNA analysis, with the most common lineage (A) found at 16 of the 22 collection localities. This lack of genetic geographic structure within australis and the associated implication of gene flow within the subspecies' range allows speculation on the possible effects that the historic introduction of extralimital Ostrich may have had on mtDNA diversity in the southern African subregion. These importations, ostensibly to improve feather quality of domesticated Ostrich stocks, involved the 1876 introduction of small numbers of "Barbary birds" (presumably *camelus*; the Barbary States were Tripoli, Algiers, Morocco and Tunisia) and the now extinct syriacus. These early introductions appear to have been followed by the importation of 132 *camelus* from Nigeria in 1912 (Thornton et al. 1961 *in* Smit 1963). Subsequently, the uncontrolled relocation of fertile hybrid Ostriches to numerous localities throughout South Africa has raised fears about widespread introgression to the point where conservation agencies are concerned for the genetic integrity of *australis*.

Clearly, no lineage differences were found in australis that approximate the magnitude of change characterizing the camelus genotype analyzed in our material (see below). Furthermore, the domesticated populations in the Little Karoo (collection localities 7 to 14, Fig. 1), the presumed foci of the introductions, are not noteworthy for increased levels of mtDNA heterogeneity. Given the distinctness of the camelus genotype, and assuming that this is representative of lineages in this subspecies, it could be concluded, albeit indirectly, that little evidence for the continued survival of extralimital lineages within the australis gene pool exists. However, while all southern African Ostrich populations are genetically very similar as measured by mtDNA analysis, this should not be construed (in isolation) as unequivocal support for the abolition of regional controls on the movement of Ostriches as part of agricultural practices in these regions. Obviously, these data reflect only mtDNA lineage survivorship in extant australis populations and not on the possible extent of nuclear introgression.

Our data also show that the contemporary Brachystegia or "miombo" woodlands in Tanzania, Zambia, northern Angola and Zaire (Hamilton 1982) separating Ostriches into northern and southern populations has probably not been an effective zoogeographic barrier over evolutionary time. The differentiation of the eastern African massaicus from the southern African australis is weak (0.06% nucleotide divergence), and is probably due to their relatively recent isolation and probable gene flow in times of a receding Brachystegia woodland. During such periods the arid southwestern and Saharo-Sindic regions of Africa were reportedly connected by an arid corridor (Moreau 1966, Verdcourt 1969, Hamilton 1976, 1982, Kingdon 1971, 1990) the most recent of which probably occurred approximately 20,000 to 12,000 y.b.p. (Hamilton 1982). Consequently, the development of subspecific differences between australis and massaicus has occurred within the context of shallow evolutionary separation. Also, it is feasible that some of these morphological differences are ecophenotypic (not based entirely on genetic differences) as has been suggested for the Red-winged Blackbird by the nestling transplantation experiments of James (1983).

In contrast, however, to the close mtDNA relationship evident between the *australis* and massaicus lineages detected in this study, camelus and molybdophanes are clearly divergent from other sample genotypes. The solitary camelus specimen had a maternal lineage that was distinct from all other sampled genotypes being more divergent from massaicus (1.27% sequence divergence) and australis (1.21% divergence; Table 3) than representatives of either of these two subspecies were from each other. The eight molybdophanes samples comprised two genotypes which were closely related to one another, but were extremely divergent from any of the clones in the other three subspecies. As suggested by Figure 3, molybdophanes appears to have diverged from the common ancestor to the other three subspecies approximately 3.6 to 4.1 million years ago (assuming a 2% per million year rate of sequence evolution; see Helm-Bychowski 1984 in Avise and Ball 1991, Shields and Wilson 1987b). We speculate that the genetic distinctness of molybdophanes arose as a result of isolation probably caused by the Ethiopian system of the Great Rift Valley, which may have served as a major geographic barrier disrupting gene flow. This pattern of geographically replacing species/subspecies pairs on either side of African Rift Valleys has been documented for a variety of birds (Benson et al. 1962). It has been suggested that the floors of these valleys are ecologically different from the surrounding higher elevation areas and, as such, provide an unfavorable biome to species living in the environments at higher levels (Benson et al. 1962).

Attempts to explain the large phylogenetic separation between *molybdophanes* and *massaicus* (7.25% nucleotide divergence; Table 3) are more problematic, given the lack of any readily identifiable zoogeographic barrier separating their ranges and their intrinsic dispersal capabilities. Nonetheless, the mtDNA divergence between these taxa appears to be reflected in their different ecological requirements, as well as behavioral/reproductive cues. Jackson (1938) and Lewis and Pomeroy (1989) reported that *molybdophanes* readily enters more bushed regions (and is a browser) than the strictly open savanna *massaicus* (a grazer). Furthermore, in addition to these adaptational differences, there are reports indicating interbreeding difficulties between them (Brown et al. 1982, Lewis and Pomeroy 1989). This supports published suggestions that *molybdophanes* is phenotypically the most distinct of the Ostrich subspecies, and that separate species status may be warranted (Brown et al. 1982, Lewis and Pomeroy 1989), an observation which is strengthened by the magnitude of sequence divergence between *molybdophanes* and other Ostrich lineages detected in our study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the Foundation for Research Development and the University of Pretoria is gratefully acknowledged. We thank R. Aman, S. K. Davis, M. Englezakis, N. Fairall, C. Foggin, A. Greth, I. Parker, A. Parker, F. Rexrodt, R. Siegfried, and K. van Schalkwyk for providing material. The South African National Parks Board, the Transvaal Chief Directorate of Nature and Environmental Conservation, the Cape Department of Nature and Environmental Conservation, the Kenyan Wildlife Service, and numerous private ostrich farmers allowed us access to specimens on land under their jurisdiction.

LITERATURE CITED

- AVISE, J. C. 1989. Gene trees and organismal histories: A phylogenetic approach to population biology. Evolution 43:1192–1208.
- AVISE, J. C., J. A. ARNOLD, R. M. BALL, E. BERMINGHAM, T. LAMB, J. E. NEIGEL, C. A. REEB, AND N. C. SAUNDERS. 1987. Intraspecific phylogeography: The mitochondrial DNA bridge between population genetics and systematics. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 18:489-522.
- AVISE, J. C., AND R. M. BALL, JR. 1991. Mitochondrial DNA and avian microevolution. Pages 514-524 in Acta XX Congressus Internationalis Ornithologici. Christchurch, New Zealand, 1990. New Zealand Ornithol. Congr. Trust Board, Wellington, New Zealand.
- AVISE, J. C., C. DAVISON-ANKNEY, AND W. S. NELSON. 1990. Mitochondrial gene trees and the evolutionary relationship of Mallard and Black Ducks. Evolution 44:1109–1119.
- AVISE, J. C., C. GIBLIN-DAVIDSON, J. LAERM, J. C. PATTON, AND R. A. LANSMAN. 1979. Mitochondrial DNA clones and matriarchal phylogeny within and among geographic populations of the pocket gopher, *Geomys pinetis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:6694–6698.
- AVISE, J. C., AND R. M. ZINK. 1988. Molecular genetic divergence between avian sibling species: King

and Clapper rails, Long-billed and Short-billed dowitchers, Boat-tailed and Great-tailed grackles, and Tufted and Black-crested titmice. Auk 105: 516–528.

- BALL, R. M., AND J. C. AVISE. 1992. Mitochondrial DNA phylogeographic differentiation among avian populations and the evolutionary significance of subspecies. Auk 109:626–636.
- BALL, R. M., S. FREEMAN, F. C. JAMES, E. BERMINGHAM, AND J. C. AVISE. 1988. Phylogeographic population structure of Red-winged Blackbirds assessed by mitochondrial DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:1558-1562.
- BARROWCLOUGH, G. F. 1983. Biochemical studies of microevolutionary processes. Pages 223–261 in Perspectives in ornithology (A. H. Brush and G. A. Clark, Jr., Eds.). Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- BENSON, C. W., M. P. S. IRWIN, AND C. M. N. WHITE. 1962. The significance of valleys as avian zoogeographical barriers. Ann. Cape Prov. Mus. Nat. Hist. 2:155–189.
- BROWN, W. M. 1980. Polymorphism in mtDNA of humans as revealed by restriction endonuclease analysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 77:3605–3609.
- BROWN, G. G., AND M. V. SIMPSON. 1981. Intra- and interspecific variation of the mitochondrial genome in *Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rattus*: Restriction enzyme analysis of variant mitochondrial DNA molecules and their evolutionary relationships. Genetics 97:125-143.
- BROWN, L. H., E. K. URBAN, AND K. NEWMAN. 1982. The birds of Africa, vol. 1. Academic Press, London.
- HAMILTON, A. C. 1976. The significance of patterns of distribution shown by forest plants and animals in tropical Africa for the reconstruction of palaeoenvironments: A review. Palaeoecol. Africa 9:63–97.
- HAMILTON, A. C. 1982. Environmental history of East Africa: A study of the Quaternary. Academic Press, London.
- JACKSON, F. J. 1938. The birds of Kenya Colony and the Uganda Protectorate, vol. I. Gurney and Jackson, London.
- JAMES, F. C. 1983. Environmental component of morphological differentiation in birds. Science 221: 184–186.
- KINGDON, J. 1971. East African mammals: An atlas of evolution in Africa, vol. I. Academic Press, London.
- KINGDON, J. 1990. Island Africa: The evolution of Africa's rare animals and plants. Collins, London.
- LANSMAN, R. A., J. C. AVISE, C. F. AQUADRO, J. F. SHA-PIRA, AND S. W. DANIEL. 1983. Extensive genetic

variation in mitochondrial DNAs among geographic populations of the deer mouse, *Peromyscus maniculatus*. Evolution 37:1-16.

- LANSMAN, R. A., R. O. SHADE, J. F. SHAPIRA, AND J. C. AVISE. 1981. The use of restriction endonucleases to measure mitochondrial DNA sequence relatedness in natural populations. III. Techniques and potential applications. J. Mol. Evol. 17:214-226.
- LEWIS, A., AND D. POMEROY. 1989. A bird atlas of Kenya. A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam.
- MACK, A. L., F. B. GILL, R. COLBURN, AND C. SPOLSKY. 1986. Mitochondrial DNA: A source of genetic markers for studies of similar passerine bird species. Auk 103:676–681.
- MOREAU, R. E. 1966. The bird faunas of Africa and its islands. Academic Press, London.
- NEI, M. 1987. Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia Univ. Press, New York.
- NEI, M., AND J. C. MILLER. 1990. A simple method for estimating average number of nucleotide substitutions within and between populations from restriction fragment data. Genetics 125:873–879.
- OVENDEN, J. R., A. G. MACKINLAY, AND R. H. CROZIER. 1987. Systematics and mitochondrial genome evolution of Australian rosellas (Aves: Platycercidae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:526-543.
- QUINN, T. W., AND B. N. WHITE. 1987. Identification of restriction-fragment-length polymorphisms in genomic DNA of the Lesser Snow Goose (*Anser caerulescens caerulescens*). Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:126– 143.
- SHIELDS, G. F., AND A. C. WILSON. 1987a. Subspecies of the Canada Goose (*Branta canadensis*) have distinct mitochondrial DNAs. Evolution 41:662–666.
- SHIELDS, G. F., AND A. C. WILSON. 1987b. Calibration of mitochondrial DNA evolution in geese. J. Mol. Evol. 24:212–217.
- SMIT, D. J. V. Z. 1963. Ostrich farming in the Little Karoo. South African Dep. Agric. Tech. Serv. Bull. 358:1-103.
- SNEATH, P. H. A., AND R. R. SOKAL. 1973. Numerical taxonomy: The principles and practice of numerical classification. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco.
- SOUTHERN, E. M. 1975. Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. 98:503-517.
- VERDCOURT, B. 1969. The arid corridor between the north-east and south-west areas of Africa. Palaeoecol. Africa 4:140–144.
- WETTON, J. H., R. E. CARTER, D. T. PARKIN, AND D. WALTERS. 1987. Demographic study of a wild House Sparrow population by DNA fingerprinting. Nature 327:147-149.