



## Canadian Journal of Soil Science

### Physical attributes of soil under amazon forest conversion for different crop systems in southern Amazonas, Brazil.

Journal:	<i>Canadian Journal of Soil Science</i>
Manuscript ID	CJSS-2019-0070.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Souza, Fernando ; UFRR Campos, Milton; UFAM, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente Brito Filho, Elilson; UFAM, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente Cunha, José; UFAM, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente Lima, Alan; UFAM, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente Sales, Maria; UFAM, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente Santos, Luís; UFAM, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente
Keywords:	soil degradation, Amazon soils, multivariate analysis, soil attributes
Is the invited manuscript for consideration in a Special Issue?:	Not applicable (regular submission)

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## **Physical attributes of soil under amazon forest conversion for different crop systems in southern Amazonas, Brazil**

Fernando Gomes de Souza<sup>1</sup>, Milton César Costa Campos<sup>2</sup>, Elilson Gomes de Brito Filho<sup>2\*</sup>, José Maurício da Cunha<sup>2</sup>, Alan Ferreira Leite de Lima<sup>2</sup>, Maria Clécia Gomes Sales<sup>2</sup>, Luís Antônio Coutrim dos Santos<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Roraima, Boa Vista, Roraima, Brasil.

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente – Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM), Humaitá, Amazonas, Brasil, e-mail: bfsambiente@gmail.com

\*Corresponding author: Elilson Gomes de Brito Filho, Instituto de Educação, Agricultura e Ambiente – Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM), Humaitá, Amazonas, Brasil, Zip code: 69800-000, telephone: +5597981121704, e-mail: bfsambiente@gmail.com.

**Abstract:** The conversion of forested areas into cropping systems modifies the soil physical attributes and affects the environmental and economic sustainability of agricultural activity. Thus, this work aimed to evaluate the modifications caused in the physical attributes of the soil in the area of guarana, cupuacu and annatto compared with forest area in southern Amazonas. In the areas of forest and guarana meshes of 90 x 70 m and regular spacing between the sampling points of 10 x 10 m, in the area of annatto meshes of 90 x 56 m and spacing of 10 x 8 m, for cupuacu meshes of 54 x 42 m, with spacing between the sampling points of 6 x 6 m. The samples were collected in the depths of 0.00-0.05; 0.05-0.10; and 0.10-0.20 m, with 80 sampling points in each area, making 960 samples in the four areas. The cupuacu area most closely resembled the most diverse aspects of soil physical attributes with the forest area and this was noticeable through the averaging test along with the principal component analysis, thus indicating that this crop is the least harmful to the studied soil, as well as the adopted systems of cultivation cause modifications mostly superficially, being these modifications little noticeable in layers superior to 10 centimeters.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

**Key words:** Soil degradation; Amazon soils; soil attributes; multivariate analysis.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the conversion of natural environments to agricultural systems, especially monoculture systems, has led to changes in the soil (Silva et al. 2007, Freitas et al. 2015). Among the factors that contribute to soil degradation, the use and management are more aggravating because they alter the attributes of the soil attributes, contributing to a possible imbalance of the systems and consequently the environment changes in biodiversity (Costa et al. 2015).

Physical indicators such as soil density, porosity and aggregate stability have been frequently used to assess soil quality. These indicators are related to the organization of soil particles and pore space, reflecting the limitations of root growth, seedling emergence, infiltration and percolation of soil water (Cunha Neto et al. 2018).

Recent studies also highlight that soil physical attributes are good indicators of quality and allow monitoring of areas that have suffered some kind of interference (Aquino et al. 2014; Freitas et al. 2018). Thus, with negative changes in the physical attributes of the soil, entails a series of changes among them related to the attributes involved in soil porosity and density, and consequently influencing the dynamics of carbon and organic matter in the soil, thus contributing to a possible degradation (Souza et al. 2014).

For the interpretation of variations in soil attributes it is necessary to use statistical methods. The univariate methods have limitations, since the behavior of attributes is interpreted in isolation, without taking into consideration interaction with the other attributes present, and thus, an option to facilitate the work with data that presents / displays great number of variables is by the use of multivariate analysis (Silva et al. 2010). Through the multivariate analysis technique it is possible to explain the maximum intercorrelation between the variables and to find out which of them contribute more to the characterization and / or alteration of the soil, besides

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

being an efficient tool, if one intends to carry out simultaneous analysis of many variables (Oliveira et al. 2015, Aquino et al. 2016).

Therefore, the objective of this work was to evaluate the physical attributes of the soil in areas under guarana, cupuacu and annatto crops, compared to the forest area.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### LOCATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STUDY AREA

The study was conducted in two rural properties that are part of the São Francisco settlement located in the municipality of Canutama, Amazonas, Brazil under the geographic reference coordinates (8° 13' 23'' S; 64° 00' 50'' W) and (8° 13' 25'' S; 64° 00' 23'' W), in both properties. Four areas were selected, three of them with the first uses: Annatto (*Bixa orellana* L.); Cupuacu (*Theobroma grandiflorum* (Willd. ex. Spreng) Schum) and guarana (*Paullinia cupana* (Mart.) Ducke) and a forest area (Figure 1).

The soil of the study area was classified as Red-Yellow Argisol located in the Amazonian Plain between the Purus and Madeira rivers, it is associated with old alluvial sediments, (upper terraces of the prestocene) of the Quaternary period, characterized by the presence of large tabular reliefs, defined by very weak depths, very smooth slopes and natural drainage is deficient (EMBRAPA, 2018). Regarding the climatic characterization, the climate of the region is rainy tropical, presenting a short dry period. The average rainfall varies between 2250 and 2750 mm per year, with a rainy period between October and June. The mean annual temperatures vary between 25 and 27° C and the relative air humidity between 85 and 90% (Brasil, 1978).

As for the history of use and management of the selected areas for the study, it was possible to gather important and relevant information, that can help in the discussion of it. The areas cultivated with guarana and cupuacu have 7 years of effective cultivation, and the area of annatto only 3 years. It is important to emphasize that the respective areas are derived

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

from logging and burning of the forest, and manual cleaning in the first year of cultivation. There was never any type of fertilization and liming in the cultivated areas, however, there was only weed control with the use of a motorized ridge, besides spraying with glyphosate herbicide to control thatch (*Imperata brasiliensis*), was carried out. The areas of guarana and annatto present an average slope around 3%. The cupuacu area is located in a flatter area, and it is possible to observe the effective accumulation of biomass of the crop in large quantities. The forest area selected for comparison purposes is characterized as tropical forest dense ombrophilous, whose vegetation is perennial, consisting of densified and multi-layered trees between 20 and 50 meters high.

**FIELD METHODOLOGY**

Meshes were established according to the size of the crop. In the areas of guarana and forest, 90 x 70 m meshes were established with regular spacing between the sampling points of 10 x 10 m, however, in the area of annatto the established mesh was 90 x 56 m with spacing between the sample points of 10 x 8 m, for the cupuacu area the mesh was 54 x 42 m, with regular spacing between the sample points of 6 x 6 m. The samples were collected at the crossing points of the meshes, specifically in the crown projection at depths 0.00-0.05; 0.05-0.10; and 0.10-0.20 m, with 80 sample points in each area, totaling 240 samples per area. The points were georeferenced with a Garmin GPS equipment model Etrex (*Datum South American '69*).

At each sampling point, volumetric rings of 4.0 cm in height and 5.1 cm of internal diameter were collected, and samples with a preserved structure were collected in the three layers evaluated for determination of the physical attributes, texture and mechanics of the soil, a total of 960 samples in the four areas evaluated. The samples were dried in the shade and slightly deformed, passed through a 9.51 mm mesh screen, separating the material retained in the 4,76 mm sieve for analysis on the stability of aggregates. Samples retained in the 2.00 mm sieve were used for soil texture analysis.

## LABORATORY DETERMINATIONS AND ANALYSIS

The stability of the soil aggregates was determined by the wet sieving method. The separation and stability of the aggregates was determined according to Kemper & Chepil (1965), with modifications in the following diameter classes: 4.76-2.0 mm; 2.0-1.0 mm; 1.0-0.50 mm; 0.50-0.25 mm; 0.25-0.125; 0.125-0.063 mm.

The aggregates from the 4.76 mm sieve were placed in contact with water on the 2.0 mm sieve and submitted to vertical stirring in Yoder apparatus (SOLOTEST model) for 15 min and 32 oscillations per minute, since for each type of series we use a pendulum set, more than 2 mm with the following diameters: 1 mm, 0.5 mm, 0.25 mm, 0.125 mm, 0.063 mm. The material retained in each class of the sieves was placed in an oven at 105 °C, then measured the respective masses in a digital scale. The results were expressed as a percentage of the aggregates retained in each of the sieving classes for >2 mm, 2-1 mm and <1 mm. It was calculated: weighted average diameter (WAD) and aggregate stability index (ASI) of the class <0.25 mm, both using the formula proposed by Castro Filho et al. (1998), the geometric average diameter (GAD) was calculated according to Schaller & Stockinger (1953), cited by Alvarenga et al. (1986), according to the following equations:

$$\text{WAD} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N n_i D_i}{\sum n_i} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{GAD} = 10^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N n_i \log D_i}{\sum n_i}} \quad (2)$$

Where:

$n_i$  is the percentage of aggregates retained in a given sieve,  $D_i$  is the average diameter of a given sieve and  $N$  is the number of sieve classes.

$$\text{IEA} = \left( \frac{\text{MS} - \text{wp0,25} - \text{areia}}{\text{MS} - \text{areia}} \right) 100 \quad (3)$$

Where:

MS - dry mass of sample, g,e; wp0.25 - aggregate mass of class <0.25 mm, g.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

For the determinations of soil density (Sd), macroporosity (MaP) and microporosity (MiP), total pore volume (TPV) and gravimetric humidity (Ug), the samples collected in volumetric rings were saturated by gradually raising, up to two-thirds of the ring height of a water film on a plastic tray. After saturation, the samples were weighed and taken to the tension table to determine the MiP of the soil, the samples were submitted to a tension of -0.006 MPa. After reaching the equilibrium at a matrix potential of -0.006 MPa, the samples were again weighed and then the measurements of soil resistance to penetration (SRP) were performed, using an electronic bench penetrator (MA- 933, Marconi, SP, BR). Afterwards, the samples were taken to the oven at 105 °C for the determination of Ug, Sd and TPV by the volumetric ring method, and the MaP will be determined by the difference between TPV and MiP (EMBRAPA, 2017). The texture analysis was performed by the method of the pipette using 0.1 N NaOH as chemical mechanical stirring and dispersing apparatus at high speed for 15 minutes, following the methodology proposed by EMBRAPA (2017). The clay fraction was separated by sedimentation, the sand by sieving and the silt was calculated by the difference. Pearson's correlation was used to evaluate the strength and direction of map correlation of the distribution pattern of these variables.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

After performing the analyzes in the laboratory, the data were first submitted to descriptive statistical analysis, which determined the values of mean, median, asymmetry and kurtosis coefficients, coefficient of variation and normality test, which were analyzed using the Software. Statistics 7.

Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare attribute means individually using the 5% Tukey test. Then, the multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used, through factor analysis and clustering, in order to find statistical significance of the sets of soil

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

attributes that most discriminate the environments, in order to obtain attributes that have greater influence on the response. land use.

The adequacy of the factor analysis was made by the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure, which evaluates the simple and partial correlations of the variables, and by the Barlett sphericity test, which aims to reject the equality between the correlation matrix and the correlation identity.

The extraction of the factors was done by the main components, incorporating the variables that presented commonalities equal or superior to five (5). The choice of the number of factors to be used will be made by the Kaiser criterion (factors with eigenvalues greater than 1). In order to simplify the factor analysis, the orthogonal (Varimax) rotation of the factors was performed and represented in a factorial plane of the two components. All multivariate statistical analyzes were processed using STATISTICA version 8 software.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When analyzing the results of the analysis of variance of the physical attributes at the depths (Tables 1, 2 and 3), using the Tukey's test ( $p < 0.05$ ), it was found that the highest values of the attribute density (Sd) from 0.00 to 0.05 m depth, followed the sequence of guarana > annatto > cupuacu > forest, and the respective areas presented significant differences between them (Table 1). Higher values of Sd found in the guarana area, possibly is associated with the time that the crop is under intense agricultural exploitation (7 years), besides the use of fire to clean the area, since the main alterations that can occur with the firing are evidenced by the decrease in the volume of macropores, weighted average diameter of the stable aggregates and by the increase of the soil density, mainly in the superficial depth of the only (Redin et al., 2011).

According to Viana et al. (2011), the increase of soil density in cultivated area can also be explained by the reduction in organic matter content in the soil surface compared to the soil



## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

under native forest. In the depth 0.05-0.10 m, it was verified that the areas cultivated with guarana and cupuacu did not show any significant differences between them, but they were statistically different when compared to the area cultivated with annatto and forest, the forest with the lowest value of  $S_d$  ( $0.96 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) (Table 1), this phenomenon is due to the fact that both areas are close and because they are rustic cultivars of the Amazon, that is, originating from this biome, they have common cultural treatments, which contributes to the differentiation of these environments.

The depth of 0.10-0.20 m, it was observed an increase in density value of the area under cultivation with cupuacu ( $1,16 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ), this area showed significant difference when compared to guarana, annatto and forest areas, this difference was expected, since areas that do not undergo soil rotation tend to occur high deposition of organic material in the soil surface, provided by the accumulation of vegetal residues of the crop itself (Hernani and Salton 2009, Guareschi et al. 2012, Torres et al. 2015).

When analyzing the results of the MaP, MiP and TPV attributes in depth 0,00-0,05 m, it was possible to observe that for the MaP attribute, the areas of annatto, cupuacu and forest did not present significant difference, both only differed significantly from the area cultivated with guarana, so that it presented lower value of MaP on the surface. This result can be explained by the higher soil density found in the guarana area, since it causes a reduction in macroporosity, according to Chioderoli et al. (2012) and Cardoso et al. (2013). The only exception occurred for the area under guarana at depth 0,00-0,05 and 0,05-0,10 m, where values below the reference were observed.

When analyzing the same attributes MAP, MIP and TPV, but this time the depths 0.05-0.10 and 0.10-0.20 m, it was observed that the respective attributes presented significant differences, and that the highest values of MaP and Pt were observed in the forest area for both depths. It is worth noting that MaP and TPV are inversely proportional to density (Chioderoli et al. 2012)

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

and that, therefore, higher TPV values in proximity to the soil surface are generally found in areas under native forest (Luciano et al. 2010, Martinkoski et al. 2017).

It is possible to attribute the highest values of SRP found for the areas of guarana and annatto due to the low effective action of initial preparation of the soil. Adopting the classification of Couto et al. (2016), which classifies soil resistance values as penetration, it can be affirmed that the studied areas at all depths have SRP less than 2 MPa, a fact that characterizes soils without restriction to root growth. In general, it is feasible to give greater attention to the areas of guarana and annatto in order to both have presented higher values of SRP compared to the areas of forest and cupuacu, around 1.01 MPa.

When the attributes related to the soil structure GAD, WAD and IEA were analyzed in the depth of 0.00-0.05 m, it was observed that the highest values of the respective attributes were found in areas under forest, which shows a high degree of aggregation of the soil particles. The results corroborate with the work done by Luciano et al. (2010), where they observed better aggregation of the soil in natural forest, suggesting that this result may have been influenced by the greater biological activity, that is, these microorganisms will act on the decomposition of organic material (plant or animal) from the forest and this favors organic matter being closely related to soil aggregation. The lower values of GAD, WAD and IEA were found in the cupuacu area (Table 1), indicating that the continuous use of the area for a long period (7 years), without the adoption of any conservationist practices, led to the disintegration of soil particles, acceleration in the decomposition of organic carbon and the lower indexes of stability of aggregates in relation to the other areas studied.

When the results of the granulometric fractions were analyzed, a predominance of the silt fraction was observed in all areas, as well as for all studied depths, with values varying from 483.5 to 530.44 g kg<sup>-1</sup>. Similar results were obtained by BRITO et al., (2018) and BRITO FILHO et al., (2018), studying the spatial variability of soil physical attributes in areas under

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

coffee, cocoa and pasture uses in the southern region of Amazonas. After a general analysis of the results, it was possible to characterize the sand, silt and clay contents as medium texture for all depths evaluated.

Table 4 presents the Pearson correlation values at 0.05 and 0.01 significance levels for the physical soil attributes of all the studied areas. It was possible to observe that the Sd attribute presented a positive correlation with MiP ( $r = 0.16$ ), Sand ( $r = 0.48$ ) and SRP ( $r = 0.59$ ). In contrast, the results indicated that the Sd is affected by the attributes MaP ( $r = -0.56$ ), TPV ( $r = -0.22$ ), Ug ( $r = -0.48$ ), IEA ( $r = -0.13$ ) and Silt ( $r = -0.51$ ), both presenting negative correlation. These correlations evidenced that as the Sd increased, simultaneously the MiP and SRP increased, this fact indicates that the process of forest conversion in cultivated areas is promoting modifications in the soil structure, mainly in the increase of the compaction index, something that can prove such indicative is the occurrence of positive correlation of the Ds with the sand, since alone sandy soil are more susceptible to compaction (DONAGEMMA et al., 2016).

Regarding KMO Values (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin), this is a measure that assesses the adequacy of factor analysis (TASSITANO et al., 2015), KMO index values and their classification varies from author to author, where acceptable values are between 0.5 to 1.0, so below 0.5 indicate that factor analysis is unacceptable. According (Reis, 2001) the rating goes from 1 to 0.5, where 1 is very good and below 5 is unacceptable. Considering that the values obtained through the analysis were KMO 0.85, 0.82 and 0.80, for the depths of 0.00-0.05, 0.05-0.10 and 0.10-0.20, respectively, then all the depths obtained the KMO classification as good.

Considering variance as a measure of dispersion, where this will indicate how far the values are from the expected values (YAMAMOTO et al., 2017), as well as that the variables with the highest variance are those that individually will have greater contribution to the total variance (PC1 + PC2) (FREITAS et al, 2015), the need to use two main components was observed

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

considering the eigenvalues and total variance of 61.84% (table 5), corresponding to 35, 03 and 26, for PC1 and PC2, respectively. Evaluating the attributes individually, the largest contribution of the variance (0.93) of GAD and WAD to the total variance was noticeable, meaning a greater distance from the value of these attributes to the expected values.

In the second depth, the largest variance explained was in PC1, but the variance of the attributes that most contributed to the total variance was located in PC2, corresponding to the MiP and GH variables, these values corroborate the results found by Oliveira et al (2015). working with soil attributes in southern Amazonas. At a depth of 0.10-0.20 m, two components were needed, which explains 68.48% of the total variance. It was noticeable that the significant variances were mostly in PC1, but the attribute that had an individual shape. highest contribution, had its largest variance in PC2, being the GH.

The graphical representations of the components (figure 2: A, B and C) allow us to characterize the variables that most differentiated and discriminated in the formation and differentiation of the environment, that is, the variables that were most impacted and their behavior with the replacement of management. by cultivation areas (FREITAS et al., 2015). For a geometric interpretation, the weights assigned to each variable correspond to the projections to each of the coordinate axes represented by the main components (MANLY, 2008).

At the depth of 0.00-0.05 (Figure 2A), it is noted that the forest and cupuassu area scores were similarly concentrated in the third and fourth quadrants, differing from the other two areas of annatto and guarana, which thickened in the first and second quadrants. Thus, in the forest and cupuassu areas were found above average values of MaP and Silte positively correlated with PC2, as well as they were below average for the areas of annatto and guarana, on the other hand sand, RSP, SD and MiP. were above average for the areas of guarana and annatto and also positive relation to PC2, these results corroborate with Cunha (2016) in a study of soils in the Amazonian environment.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

This phenomenon is due to the fact that in addition to the impact caused by the replacement of native forest by the cultivated area, the management used for each crop must also be questioned, and this would be the key point where it is observed that the crop of the annatto and guarana are of shrub size and their management are similar, where after their harvest in a certain part of the year is pruned, besides being very close areas and all these factors contribute to the similarity of these two environments in distinction with the areas of Cupuacu and forest. This way with the more intensive management in the areas of annatto and guarana, this favors the densification of the soil due to the traffic of people, which reduces the amount of macropores and increases the amount of micropores and consequently increases the resistance of the soil to penetration. This explains the fact that the values of these variables are above average for both areas (ALVES et al., 2015).

Regarding the aggregation values, it was observed that IEA, GAD, WAD and  $> 2.00$  had their values above the average for the forest and guarana areas, positively related to PC1, in contrast these two areas had values below the average for the aggregate class  $< 1.00$  mm, which obtained above average values for the cupuassu area, is due to the fact that particles larger than  $< 1.00$  mm and  $> 2.00$  mm are antagonistic, and proportional. It was also observed that these aggregation values did not cause differentiation of areas, unlike porous spaces, and these are the attributes that suffer the most from the impacts caused by management.

The values shown graphically in Figure 2B, referring to the second depth, refer to the soil attributes, where these were more explained and correlated with the main component 1 (46%), where for the forest and guarana areas that their scores concentrated in the second quadrant, the MiP, GH and TPV variables were above average and positively related to PC1, and below average for the cupuassu area. Another fact observed was the location of the annatto area scores in the first quadrant, with values above the average for the GAD, WAD and IEA variables, but with values below the average of aggregate classes  $< 1.00$  mm and  $2.00 - 1.00$  mm, the cupuassu

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

area had its scores in the third and fourth quadrants, where it obtained values above the average with the size aggregate class of 2.00-1.00 mm, and below the average for the porous variables.

. The map showed the great distinction between the cupuassu area and the other areas, which can be attributed to the older management in the cupuassu area, where the impact of management is still superficial due to the short time of use (SOUZA, 2016).

In the third depth (figure 2C) the annatto scores were concentrated in the second and third quadrants, with values above average for aggregation variables, and positive correlation with PC1, although similar scores can still distinguish the cupuassu area from other areas, but now with above average GH, TPV and MiP values. This indicates that Even at greater depths, soil structure is a crucial factor in the differentiation of environments, where even the largest is in relation to porous spaces, even when dealing with low intensity management environments (BAUTLER et al., 2018).

### **Conclusions**

The conversion of native forest areas into cultivated areas, even with native species, causes changes in the physical attributes of the soil.

The lower slope in the forest and cupuacu areas was one of the factors that contributed to the similarities of attributes between the areas, unlike the annatto and guarará (nearby areas).

The management adopted in the areas caused significant changes in the soil only to a depth of 10 cm, not being as expressive from 10 cm.

The attributes that suffered the most changes in forest conversion in cultivated environments were: Sd, MaP, MiP, TPV, SRP and IEA.

The area under forest has been confirmed as the ideal condition for the maintenance of the physical quality of the soil under the conditions studied, while, it presented a greater expression of the physical attributes that are compatible with the sustainability of the system.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa no Estado do Amazonas (FAPEAM), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) e Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

**REFERENCES**

Alvarenga, R.C. Fernandes, B., Silva, T.C.A. Resende, M. 1986. Estabilidade de agregados de um Latossolo Roxo sob diferentes métodos de preparo do solo e de manejo da palha do milho. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 10(2): 273-277.

Alves, A.R., Nascimento, P.S.O., Lima, W.G., Viana, S.S., Ribon, A.A. 2015. Influência do manejo na densidade relativa de um latossolo amarelo sob diferentes usos e manejos de pastagens e mata nativa. In *Anais do Congresso de Ensino, Pesquisa e Extensão da UEG* (Vol. 2).

Aquino R.E., Campos, M.C.C., Soares, M.D.R., Oliveira, I.A., Franciscan, U., Silva, D.M.P., Cunha, J.M. 2016. Chemical soil attributes evaluated by multivariate techniques and geostatistics in the area with agroforestry and sugarcane in Humaitá, AM, Brasil. *Bioscience Journal*, 32(1): 61-72.

Aquino, R.E., Campos, M.C.C., Marques Junior, J., Oliveira, I.A., Mantovanelli, B.C. Soares, M.D.R. 2014. Geoestatística na avaliação dos atributos físicos em Latossolo sob floresta nativa e pastagem na região de Manicoré, Amazonas. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 38(2): 397- 406.

Beutler, A.N., Centurion, J.F., Roque, C.G., Souza, Z. M. 2018. Influência da compactação e do cultivo de soja nos atributos físicos e na condutividade hidráulica em Latossolo Vermelho. *Irriga*, 8(3), 242-249.

Brasil. 1978. Ministério das Minas e Energia. Projeto Radambrasil - Folha SB. 20, Purus. Rio de Janeiro, 561p.

Brito Filho, E.G., Mantovanelli, B.C., Brito, W.B.M., Silva, J.F., Campos, M.C.C., Cunha, J.M.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

2018. Variabilidade espacial da textura do solo em área de terra preta arqueológica sob diferentes usos na Região Sul do Amazonas. *Scientia Agraria Paranaensis*, 17(1), 139-143.

Brito Filho, E.G., Nascimento, W.B., Campos, M.C.C., Viana, S.F., Silva, P.G. 2016. Avaliação dos atributos químicos e físicos do solo em ambientes fisiográfico, em humaitá – am. in: Reunião Brasileira de Manejo e Conservação do Solo e da Água – XX RBMCSA, Foz do Iguaçu- PR.

Brito, W.B.M., Campos, M.C.C., Mantovanelli, B.C., Cunha, J.M., Francison, U., Marcelo Soares, D.R. 2018. Spatial variability of soil physical properties in Archeological Dark Earths under different uses in southern Amazon. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 182: 103-111.

Cardoso, E.J.B.N., Vasconcellos, R.L.F., Bini, D., Miyauchi, M.Y.H., Santos, C.A., Alves, P.R.L., Paula, A.M., Nakatani, A.S., Pereira, J.M., Nogueira, M.A. 2013. Soil health: looking for suitable indicators. What should be considered to assess the effects of use and management on soil health? *Scientia Agricola, Piracicaba*, 70(4): 274-289.

Castro Filho, C., Muzilli, O., Podanoschi, A.L. 1988. Estabilidade dos agregados e sua relação com o teor de carbono orgânico em um Latossolo Roxo Distrófico, em função de sistemas de plantio, rotações de culturas e métodos de preparo das amostras. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 22(3): 527-538.

Chioderoli, C.A., Mello, L.M.M., Noronha, R.H.F., Pariz, C.M., Lima, R.C. 2012. Spatial and linear correlations between soil and corn. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 36(3): 765-774.

Costa, N.R., Andreotti, M., Lopes, K.S.M., Yokobatake, K.L., Ferreira, J.P, Pariz, C.M., Bonini, C.S.B., Longhini, V. 2015. Atributos do Solo e Acúmulo de Carbono na Integração Lavoura-Pecuária em Sistema Plantio Direto. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 39(3): 852-863.

Cunha Neto, F.V., Pereira, M.G., Leles, P.S.S., Abel, E.L.S. 2018. Atributos químicos e físicos



## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

do solo em áreas sob diferentes coberturas florestais e pastagem em além Paraíba – MG. *Ciência Florestal*, 28(1): 13-24.

Cunha, J.M. 2016. Atributos do solo e emissão de CO<sub>2</sub> em Terra Preta Arqueológica preservada e sob cultivo em Novo Aripuanã, Amazonas, Brasil. 98f. (Tese) - programa de pós-graduação em física ambiental- Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso, Cuiabá.

Cunha, J.M., Gaio, D.C., Campos, M.C.C., Soares, M.D.R., Silva, D.M.P., Lima, A.F.L. 2017. Atributos físicos e estoque de carbono do solo em áreas de Terra Preta Arqueológica da Amazônia. *Revista Ambiente & Água*. 12(2): 263-281.

Donagemma, G.K., Freitas, P.L., Balieiro, F.C., Fontana, A., Spera, S.T., Lumbreras, J.F., Viana, J.H.M., Araújo Filho, J.C., Santos, F.C. 2016. Caracterização, potencial agrícola e perspectivas de manejo de solos leves no Brasil. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, Brasília, 51(9): 1003-1020.

EMBRAPA. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos. Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. 4.ed. Revisada. Embrapa Solos Rio de Janeiro, 358p. 2018.

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária – EMBRAPA. Manual de métodos de análise de solo. 3. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Embrapa Solo, 2017. 236p.

Freitas, L., Casagrande, J.C., Oliveira, I. A., Campos, M. C. C., Silva, L. S. 2015. Técnicas multivariadas na avaliação de atributos de um Latossolo vermelho submetido a diferentes manejos. *Brazilian Journal of Agricultural Sciences/Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, 10(1): 17-26.

Freitas, L., Casagrande, J.C., Oliveira, I.A., Campos, M.C.C., Silva, L.S. 2015. Técnicas multivariadas na avaliação de atributos de um Latossolo vermelho submetido a diferentes manejos. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, 10 (1):251-259.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

- Guareschi, R.F., Pereira, M.G., Perin A. 2012. Deposição de resíduos vegetais, matéria orgânica leve, estoques de carbono e nitrogênio e fósforo remanescente sob diferentes sistemas de manejo no Cerrado goiano. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 36(3): 909-920.
- Hernani, L.C., Salton, J.C. 2009. Atributos de solo e produtividade de culturas em sistemas de manejo conduzidos por dezesseis anos. *Boletim de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento*, 54: 1-63.
- Kemper, W.D., Chepil, W.S. Size distribution of aggregates. In: Black, C.A., Evans, D.D., White, J.L., Ensminger, L.E.; Clark, F.E. 1965. eds. *Methods of soil analysis – Physical and mineralogical properties, including statistics of measurement and sampling*. Madison, American Society of Agronomy, 499-510. (Agronomy Series, 9).
- Lima, R.P.D., Silva, A.P.D., Giarola, N.F.B., Silva, A.R.D., Rolim, M.M. 2017. Changes in soil compaction indicators in response to agricultural field traffic. *Biosystems Engineering*. 162: 1–10.
- Luciano, R.V., Bertol, I., Barbosa, F.T., Kurtz, C., Fayad, J.A. 2010. Propriedades físicas e carbono orgânico do solo sob plantio direto comparados à mata natural, num Cambissolo Háplico. *Revista de Ciências Agroveterinárias*, 9(1): 9-19.
- Manly, B.J.F. 2008. *Métodos estatísticos multivariados: uma introdução*. 3ª ed. Porto Alegre: Bookman.
- Manly, B.J.F. *Métodos estatísticos multivariados: uma introdução*. 3ª ed. Porto Alegre: Bookman; 2008.
- Martinkoski, L., Vogel, G.F., Jadoski, S.O., Watzlawick, L.F. 2017. Qualidade Física do Solo Sob Manejo Silvopastoril e Floresta Secundária Floresta e Ambiente. *Floresta e Ambiente*, 24: 1-9.
- Oliveira, I.A., Campos, M.C.C., Freitas, L., Soares, M.D.R. 2015. Caracterização de solos sob diferentes usos na região sul do Amazonas. *Acta Amazônica*, 45(1): 1-12.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

- Oliveira, P.R., Centurion, J.F., Centurion, M.A.P.C., Rossetti, K.V., Ferraudo, A.S., Franco, H.B.J., Pereira, F.S., Bárbaro Júnior, L.S. 2013. Qualidade estrutural de um latossolo vermelho submetido à compactação. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 37(3): 604–612.
- Redin M., Santos G.F., Miguel P., Denega G.L., Lupatini M., Doneda A., Souza E.L. 2011. Impactos da queima sobre atributos químicos, físicos e biológicos do solo. *Ciência Florestal*, 21(2): 381-392.
- Reis, E. 2001. *Estatística multivariada aplicada*. 2ª ed. Lisboa: Edições Sílabo. 343p.
- Silva C.J., Sanches L., Bleich M.E., Lobo F.A., Nogueira J.S. 2007. Produção de serrapilheira no Cerrado e Floresta de Transição Amazônia-Cerrado do Centro-Oeste Brasileiro. *Acta Amazônica*, Manaus, 37(4): 543–548.
- Silva M.O., Freire M.B.G.S., Mendes A.M.S., Freire F.J., Campos M.C.C., Amorim L.B. 2010. Discriminação de diferentes classes de solos irrigados com águas salinas, na região de Mossoró, RN, com o uso de análise multivariada. *Ambiência*, 6(2): 261-270.
- Souza, J.M. 2016. Propriedades físicas do solo de áreas cultivadas com pastagem e eucalipto convertidas de área da floresta atlântica. *Scientia Agraria Paranaensis*, v. 15, n. 4, p. 487-492.
- SPSS Inc. *Statistical Analysis Using SPSS*. Chicago. 2001.
- Tassitano, R.M., Júnior, J., Rech, C.R.C.F., Tenório, M.C.M., Cabral, P.C., Silva, G.A.P. 2015. Validação de escalas psicossociais para atividade física em jovens universitários. *Revista de Saúde Pública*, 49.
- Viana E.T., Batista M.A., Tormena C.A., Costa A.C.S., Inoue T.T. 2011. Atributos físicos e carbono orgânico em Latossolo Vermelho sob diferentes sistemas de uso e manejo. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 35(6): 2105-2114.
- Yamamoto, J.K., Conde, R.P. 2017. Classificação de Recursos Minerais Usando a Variância de Interpolação. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências*, v. 29, n. 3, p. 349-355.

## Physical attributes of Amazonian soils, Fernando Gomes de Souza et al.

**Figure 1.** Location and digital elevation model of the areas with guarana, cupuacu, annatto and forest in the municipality of Canutama, southern Amazonas – AM.

**source:** google maps.

**Figure 2.** Analysis of principal components of soil physical attributes in the three studied depths corresponding to areas under forest conversion in cultivated areas in the South of Amazonas. A: depth 0.00-0.05 m; B: depth 0.05-0.10 m and C: 0.10-0.20 m.

For Review Only

**Table 1.** Mean test and descriptive statistics of the physical attributes in the depth of 0.00-0.05 m for areas with different uses in the south of Amazonas.

Descriptive statistics	Sd g cm <sup>-3</sup>	MaP ----- m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> -----	MiP -----	TPV ----- mm -----	GAD	WAD ----- % -----	IEA	HG MPa	SRP	Sand ----- g kg <sup>-1</sup> -----	Silt	Clay
<b>Guarana</b>												
Average	1,10 <i>a</i>	9,00 <i>b</i>	36.67 <i>a</i>	46,00 <i>a</i>	2,79 <i>a</i>	3,19 <i>a</i>	93,92 <i>b</i>	34,00 <i>bc</i>	0,89 <i>a</i>	390,03 <i>a</i>	392,99 <i>c</i>	216,98 <i>a</i>
Medium	1,11	9,00	37.018	46,00	2,81	3,19	94,54	34,00	0,90	389,92	391,99	216,00
SD	0,10	3,00	4.473	4,00	0,24	0,07	3,09	6,00	0,29	47,98	40,63	32,04
CV (%)	9,51	31,95	12.2	8,87	8,74	2,12	3,29	17,8	32,20	12,30	10,36	14,87
Asymmetry	-0,19	0,89	0.05	0,44	-1,30	-0,56	-1,64	0,32	-0,26	0,08	0,22	-0,45
Curtose	-0,22	1,14	-0.19	0,36	2,32	0,09	3,90	0,57	-0,79	-0,53	-0,30	-0,05
K-S	0,05*	0,10*	0,07*	0,063*	0,11*	0,11*	0,12*	0,05*	0,18*	0,06*	0,09*	0,13*
<b>Annatto</b>												
Average	0,99 <i>b</i>	15,36 <i>a</i>	34,85 <i>b</i>	47,90 <i>a</i>	2,76 <i>a</i>	3,18 <i>a</i>	92,87 <i>b</i>	35,26 <i>b</i>	0,62 <i>b</i>	378,10 <i>a</i>	445,29 <i>b</i>	176,61 <i>b</i>
Medium	1,00	14,03	34,698	47,50	2,80	3,20	93,71	34,85	0,59	375,10	442,29	175,61
SD	0,14	5,39	3,398	4,43	0,27	0,09	4,17	5,64	0,28	51,94	56,11	40,27
CV (%)	14,44	35,07	9,75	9,24	9,89	2,88	4,49	16,00	45,97	13,85	12,69	22,93
Asymmetry	0,03	0,85	0,19	0,07	-0,84	-1,52	-1,09	0,02	1,45	0,57	0,25	-0,11
Curtose	0,81	0,17	1,03	0,22	0,01	2,84	0,60	1,48	4,50	-0,43	0,04	-1,04
K-S	0,06*	0,12*	0,09*	0,06*	0,16*	0,17*	0,15*	0,09*	0,05*	0,10*	0,10*	0,12*
<b>Cupuacu</b>												
Average	0,92 <i>c</i>	15,43 <i>a</i>	27,31 <i>c</i>	40,39 <i>b</i>	2,53 <i>b</i>	3,08 <i>a</i>	91,12 <i>c</i>	30,52 <i>c</i>	0,40 <i>c</i>	263,77 <i>b</i>	519,49 <i>a</i>	216,74 <i>a</i>
Medium	0,92	4,09	27,165	4,43	2,53	3,08	91,58	6,20	0,35	265,50	519,64	209,20
SD	0,10	26,50	4,253	10,97	0,25	0,10	3,96	20,33	0,18	34,15	43,03	33,42
CV (%)	10,84	15,96	15,57	40,05	9,90	3,41	4,34	29,90	45,76	13,00	8,28	15,52
Asymmetry	-0,25	0,08	-0,07	0,32	-0,16	-0,31	-0,94	0,93	0,61	0,24	0,13	-0,01
Curtose	-0,11	-0,33	-0,54	-0,16	-0,88	-0,80	0,55	1,49	-0,44	-0,83	-0,38	-0,33
K-S	0,06*	0,06*	0,05*	0,08*	0,08*	0,10*	0,09*	0,13*	0,08*	0,09*	0,04*	0,14*
<b>Forest</b>												
Average	0,87 <i>d</i>	15,34 <i>a</i>	34,38 <i>b</i>	47,65 <i>a</i>	2,82 <i>a</i>	3,20 <i>a</i>	95,64 <i>a</i>	40,93 <i>a</i>	0,43 <i>c</i>	252,19 <i>b</i>	530,44 <i>a</i>	217,37 <i>a</i>

Medium	0,87	15,29	34,664	47,87	2,85	3,20	95,80	40,32	0,39	252,00	529,01	215,37
SD	0,13	5,48	4,694	6,40	0,23	0,07	2,05	11,68	0,20	26,12	37,53	31,96
CV (%)	15,14	35,69	13,65	13,43	8,26	2,17	2,14	28,54	47,37	10,48	7,09	14,84
Asymmetry	0,14	0,25	-0,19	-0,60	-0,58	-0,28	-0,74	0,45	0,76	0,03	-0,02	-0,59
Curtose	0,16	-0,55	-0,69	0,14	0,05	-0,71	0,52	1,45	-0,25	0,13	0,83	0,98
K-S	0,07*	0,07*	0,08*	0,08*	0,07*	0,07*	0,08*	0,08*	0,43*	0,07*	0,07*	0,15*

**Note:** Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column do not differ by Tukey test (p <0.05).

SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation (%); K-S: Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test. \* Significant at 5% probability; Ds: soil density; MaP: macroporosity; MiP: microporosity; TPV: total porosity; DMG: geometric mean diameter; WAD: weighted mean diameter; IEA: Aggregate Stability Index; HG: gravimetric humidity; RPS: soil resistance to penetration;

For Review Only

**Table 2.** Mean test and descriptive statistics of the physical attributes in the depth of 0.05-010 m for areas with different uses in the south of Amazonas.

Descriptive statistics	Sd g cm <sup>-3</sup>	MaP ----- m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> -----	MiP ----- mm -----	TPV	GAD	WAD ----- % -----	IEA	HG MPa	SRP	Sand ----- g kg <sup>-1</sup> -----	Silt	Clay
<b>Guarana</b>												
Average	1,12 <i>a</i>	9,77 <i>d</i>	35,89 <i>a</i>	45,58 <i>b</i>	2,44 <i>c</i>	2,96 <i>bc</i>	91,12 <i>b</i>	32,28 <i>b</i>	1,01 <i>a</i>	363,61 <i>b</i>	410,86 <i>b</i>	225,53 <i>c</i>
Medium	1,11	3,36	36,000	5,15	2,51	3,01	92,49	5,27	0,99	357,30	407,16	228,65
SD	0,07	34,34	3,465	11,29	0,43	0,24	5,07	16,33	0,40	41,52	39,93	52,07
CV (%)	5,95	9,39	9,66	45,39	17,42	8,00	5,56	32,51	39,72	11,42	9,68	23,09
Asymmetry	0,60	0,76	-0,39	-0,19	-0,97	-1,32	-1,74	-0,51	0,74	0,47	0,05	-0,20
Curtose	-0,17	0,51	0,13	0,67	0,75	1,79	3,37	0,64	-0,02	-0,33	1,53	0,48
K-S	0,13*	0,08*	0,08	0,08*	0,13*	0,14*	0,16*	0,07*	0,10*	0,08*	0,10*	0,10*
<b>Annatto</b>												
Average	1,05 <i>b</i>	14,87 <i>b</i>	33,64 <i>c</i>	46,67 <i>b</i>	2,81 <i>a</i>	3,16 <i>a</i>	94,64 <i>a</i>	32,37 <i>b</i>	1,01 <i>a</i>	383,86 <i>a</i>	320,14 <i>c</i>	296,00 <i>ab</i>
Medium	1,06	14,50	33,643	46,36	2,85	3,19	94,86	32,14	0,99	385,90	320,73	296,00
SD	0,09	20,36	2,706	3,81	0,24	0,11	2,33	3,53	0,40	51,56	34,42	48,64
CV (%)	8,14	3,03	8,04	8,17	8,63	3,45	2,46	10,92	39,72	13,32	10,65	16,43
Asymmetry	-0,70	0,62	-0,39	0,12	-0,83	-1,20	-0,66	0,89	0,74	0,45	0,01	-0,15
Curtose	0,53	1,14	1,01	1,80	0,33	1,30	0,20	1,99	-0,02	-0,51	-0,34	-0,83
K-S	0,07*	0,07*	0,04	0,08*	0,09*	0,12*	0,09*	0,10*	0,07*	0,12*	0,09*	0,11*
<b>Cupuacu</b>												
Average	1,14 <i>a</i>	13,39 <i>c</i>	23,24 <i>d</i>	34,75 <i>c</i>	2,59 <i>b</i>	3,04 <i>b</i>	93,74 <i>a</i>	20,72 <i>c</i>	0,50 <i>c</i>	284,69 <i>c</i>	418,06 <i>b</i>	297,25 <i>a</i>
Medium	1,14	12,82	23,165	33,86	2,60	3,05	94,05	20,05	0,48	286,70	415,20	303,20
SD	0,10	2,43	3,396	3,46	0,26	0,16	2,45	4,24	0,19	29,96	59,37	43,52
CV (%)	8,97	18,11	14,61	9,96	9,87	5,20	2,61	20,48	38,67	10,53	14,20	14,64
Asymmetry	-0,12	0,62	0,20	0,68	-0,68	-1,13	-0,76	0,53	0,34	-0,20	0,98	-0,74
Curtose	-0,60	0,18	-0,16	-0,02	0,85	1,02	0,79	-0,29	-0,79	0,31	1,29	0,22
K-S	0,08*	0,12*	0,06	0,11*	0,10*	0,15*	0,08*	0,091*	0,43*	0,06*	0,09*	0,10*
<b>Forest</b>												
Average	0,96 <i>c</i>	17,78 <i>a</i>	34,72 <i>b</i>	50,38 <i>a</i>	2,52 <i>bc</i>	2,94 <i>c</i>	93,67 <i>a</i>	36,53 <i>a</i>	0,62 <i>b</i>	238,88 <i>a</i>	483,25 <i>a</i>	277,87 <i>b</i>

Medium	0,97	17,01	34,731	49,74	2,52	2,96	94,09	35,67	0,61	238,00	483,40	276,94
SD	0,09	4,14	3,458	3,39	0,31	0,26	2,66	5,73	0,24	24,09	45,85	39,81
CV (%)	9,60	23,28	9,96	6,74	12,33	8,91	2,83	15,7	39,08	10,08	9,54	14,33
Asymmetry	0,01	-0,11	-0,01	0,25	0,00	-0,91	-0,63	-0,03	0,43	0,21	-0,17	0,21
Curtose	0,19	1,38	-0,41	-0,55	-0,56	0,47	-0,12	-0,28	-0,34	0,13	-0,35	0,00
K-S	0,07*	0,09*	0,08*	0,15*	0,15*	0,11*	0,11*	0,08*	0,71*	0,06*	0,08*	0,10*

**Note:** Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column do not differ by Tukey test (p <0.05).

SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation (%); K-S: Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test. \* Significant at 5% probability; Ds: soil density; MaP: macroporosity; MiP: microporosity; TPV: total porosity; DMG: geometric mean diameter; WAD: weighted mean diameter; IEA: Aggregate Stability Index; HG: gravimetric Humidity; RPS: soil resistance to penetration;

For Review Only



**Table 3.** Mean test and descriptive statistics of the physical attributes in the depth of 0.10-0.20 m for the areas with different uses in the south of Amazonas.

Descriptive statistics	Sd g cm <sup>-3</sup>	MaP ----- m <sup>3</sup>	MiP m <sup>-3</sup> -----	TPV	GAD ----- mm	WAD -----	IEA ----- %	HG -----	SRP MPa	Sand ----- g kg <sup>-1</sup>	Silt -----	Clay
<b>Guarana</b>												
Average	1,13 <i>b</i>	11,31 <i>b</i>	36,88 <i>a</i>	45,77 <i>a</i>	2,09 <i>b</i>	2,72 <i>bc</i>	89,47 <i>c</i>	33,14 <i>a</i>	0,69 <i>b</i>	361,48 <i>b</i>	434,92 <i>b</i>	203,60 <i>c</i>
Medium	1,12	3,85	36,958	6,95	2,05	2,72	90,31	6,13	0,68	360,10	435,40	204,00
SD	0,06	34,04	3,993	15,19	0,43	0,27	5,38	18,50	0,30	40,65	23,63	39,11
CV (%)	5,66	11,09	10,83	46,86	20,62	9,87	6,02	32,14	43,42	11,18	5,43	19,19
Asymmetry	0,28	0,18	-0,62	-1,03	-0,15	-0,38	-1,08	-0,21	1,60	0,76	0,19	-0,36
Curtose	-0,33	0,24	0,95	1,90	-0,50	-0,29	0,84	1,97	7,36	0,03	0,56	-0,65
K-S	0,09*	0,06*	0,10*	0,10*	0,06*	0,06*	0,14*	0,08*	0,09*	0,10*	0,08*	0,10*
<b>Annatto</b>												
Average	1,06 <i>c</i>	12,61 <i>b</i>	32,52 <i>c</i>	43,41 <i>b</i>	2,62 <i>a</i>	3,03 <i>a</i>	92,83 <i>a</i>	31,22 <i>b</i>	0,69 <i>b</i>	380,31 <i>a</i>	405,28 <i>c</i>	214,41 <i>b</i>
Medium	1,06	12,43	32,521	43,48	2,67	3,09	93,80	31,11	0,68	380,30	409,14	211,20
SD	0,09	3,33	2,349	3,89	0,27	0,18	3,48	2,77	0,30	53,63	54,32	48,07
CV (%)	8,90	26,42	7,22	8,96	10,46	5,79	3,75	8,88	43,42	14,10	13,40	21,76
Asymmetry	0,01	0,14	-0,09	0,09	-0,69	-1,16	-1,04	0,70	1,60	0,29	-0,01	0,03
Curtose	0,00	-0,25	-0,21	1,72	0,75	0,90	0,64	1,55	7,36	-0,43	-0,42	-0,43
K-S	0,05*	0,06*	0,04	0,09*	0,11*	0,16*	0,15*	0,09*	0,19*	0,07*	0,08*	0,12*
<b>Cupuacu</b>												
Average	1,16 <i>a</i>	12,64 <i>b</i>	10,58 <i>d</i>	46,18 <i>a</i>	2,07 <i>b</i>	2,62 <i>c</i>	90,92 <i>bc</i>	31,01 <i>b</i>	0,77 <i>a</i>	279,30 <i>c</i>	418,40 <i>bc</i>	302,30 <i>a</i>
Medium	1,18	3,23	10,511	3,99	2,07	2,66	91,83	3,53	0,75	278,40	419,50	295,20
SD	0,10	25,53	3,142	8,63	0,39	0,35	3,69	11,39	0,29	26,62	44,49	35,74
CV (%)	8,63	12,49	29,71	45,77	18,67	13,34	4,06	30,59	37,97	9,53	10,63	11,82
Asymmetry	-0,22	0,48	0,57	-0,06	-0,28	-0,67	-1,21	0,49	0,04	0,40	0,06	0,31
Curtose	1,11	-0,20	-0,17	-0,13	-0,70	-0,28	1,30	0,35	-0,66	-0,10	0,50	-0,05
K-S	0,12*	0,13*	0,14*	0,09*	0,09*	0,10*	0,13*	0,09*	0,07*	0,06*	0,07*	0,10*
<b>Forest</b>												
Average	1,02 <i>c</i>	16,47 <i>a</i>	33,36 <i>b</i>	47,64 <i>a</i>	2,15 <i>b</i>	2,75 <i>b</i>	91,86 <i>ab</i>	32,63 <i>ab</i>	0,65 <i>b</i>	241,16 <i>d</i>	467,04 <i>a</i>	291,80 <i>a</i>
Medium	1,03	16,34	33,398	47,40	2,15	2,75	92,65	32,76	0,65	240,98	466,22	292,00

SD	0,09	4,28	2,741	4,75	0,46	0,33	3,93	2,04	0,25	27,20	39,42	32,46
CV (%)	9,26	25,97	8,21	9,98	21,59	11,90	4,28	6,24	38,01	11,28	8,44	11,22
Asymmetry	-0,09	0,67	-0,33	-0,27	-0,07	-0,42	-0,65	0,50	-0,21	0,42	0,25	-0,80
Curtose	0,83	0,69	1,48	0,89	-0,86	-0,61	-0,14	0,49	-0,97	0,15	0,53	1,24
K-S	0,06*	0,13*	0,08*	0,09*	0,07*	0,09*	0,09*	0,11*	0,05*	0,09*	0,06*	0,15*

**Note:** Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column do not differ by Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ ).  
SD: standard deviation; CV: coefficient of variation (%); K-S: Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test. \* Significant at 5% probability; Ds: soil density; MaP: macroporosity; MiP: microporosity; TPV: total porosity; DMG: geometric mean diameter; WAD: weighted mean diameter; IEA: Aggregate Stability Index; Ug: gravimetric unit; RPS: soil resistance to penetration;

For Review Only

For Review Only

**Table 4.** Pearson correlation of soil physical attributes in areas under forest conversion in cultivated areas in southern Amazonia.

Attributes	Sd	MaP	MiP	TPV	HG	GAD	WAD	IEA	Sand	Silt	Clay	SRP
Sd	1,00	-0,56**	0,16**	-0,22**	-0,48**	-0,08 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,08 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,13*	0,48**	-0,51**	-0,06 <sup>ns</sup>	0,59**
Macro		1,00	-0,41**	0,20**	0,09 <sup>ns</sup>	0,02 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,02 <sup>ns</sup>	0,06 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,42**	0,45**	0,08 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,57**
Micro			1,00	0,70**	0,66**	0,22**	0,19**	0,22**	0,32**	-0,32**	-0,12*	0,45**
TPV				1,00	0,71**	0,23**	0,20**	0,23**	0,13*	-0,08 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,09 <sup>ns</sup>	0,14*
HG					1,00	0,21**	0,15**	0,30**	-0,13*	0,12*	-0,004 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,04 <sup>ns</sup>
GAD						1,00	0,82**	0,81**	0,10 <sup>ns</sup>	-0,11*	0,012 <sup>ns</sup>	0,10 <sup>ns</sup>
WAD							1,00	0,62**	0,18**	-0,18**	-0,05 <sup>ns</sup>	0,13*
IEA								1,00	-0,16**	0,10 <sup>ns</sup>	0,21**	-0,01 <sup>ns</sup>
Sand									1,00	-0,87**	-0,50**	0,60**
Silt										1,00	0,11*	-0,59**
Clay											1,00	-0,18**
SRP												1,00

**Note:** \* = significant at the 5% probability level; \*\* = significant at the 1% probability level; ns = not significant.

**Table 5.** Correlation between each principal component of the analyzed variables of the physical attributes of the soil in the three depths studied corresponding to the areas under conversion of forest in cultivated areas in the South of Amazonas - AM.

Attribute	Depths					
	0.00-0.05 m		0.05-0.10 m		0.10-0.20 m	
	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2
SD	-0.05	0.67*	••••	••••	••••	••••
MaP	-0.06	-0.65*	••••	••••	••••	••••
MiP	0.28	0.69*	-0.08	0.95*	0.17	-0.86*
TPV	••••	••••	0.02	0.91*	0.08	-0.83*
GAD	0.93*	0.06	0.94*	-0.03	-0.93*	0.10
WAD	0.93*	0.16	0.93*	-0.02	-0.94*	0.06
Class >2,00	0.91*	0.06	0.92*	0.00	-0.91*	0.03
Class 1,00-2,00	••••	••••	-0.75*	-0.07	0.82*	0.01
Class <1,00	-0.89*	-0.16	-0.89*	0.07	0.89*	-0.08
IEA	0.84*	-0.02	0.82*	-0.04	-0.74*	0.09
HG	0.27	0.63*	0.04	0.95*	-0.08	-0.95*
SRP	0.00	0.71*	••••	••••	••••	••••
Sand	0.04	0.74*	••••	••••	••••	••••
Silt	-0.05	-0.78*	••••	••••	••••	••••
Clay	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••
variance explained (%)	35.03	26.81	46.42	35.87	40.81	27.67

Sd: density; MaP: macroporosity; MiP: microporosity; TPV: total porosity; GAD: geometric average diameter; WAD: weighted average diameter; IEA: Aggregate Stability Index; Ug: gravimetric unit; SRP: soil resistance to penetration; HG: Gravity humidity; PC1 and PC2: principal component 1 and 2.









